

RESOLUTION NO. 604

WHEREAS, Application No. 2275 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert and Elsie C. Henry to build a residence on the Wly 100 ft of the Ely 325 ft (except the Nly 15 ft) of Lots 14 and 15, Cave and McHatton's Subdivision, approximately 60th and Detroit Streets, 100 ft frontage on Detroit Street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 11, 1949

By

H. H. Jorgensen

Secretary

Application Received 5/8/44 By ?

City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/10/44 By Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/10/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5/10/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/11/44 Building Inspector 5/12/44

Planning Commission 5/12/44 Petitioner 5/12/44 Health Department 5/12/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 605

WHEREAS, Application No. 2278 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leone Wright to build a one-bedroom addition to an existing residence at 3370 Talbot Street on the Ely 17 ft of Lot 26 and all of Lot 27, Block 11, Roseville Heights; the existing house being 2 ft. from the side lot line and the chimney less than 6 inches from the side lot line.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 11, 1944

By H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 5/6/44 By Haclaig
City Planning Department
Investigation made 5/10/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5/10/44 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 5/11/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/11/44 Building Inspector 5/12/44
Planning Commission 5/12/44 Petitioner 5/12/44 Health Department 5/12/44 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 606

WHEREAS, Application No. 2265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of W. Drain to build a porch addition to a residence at 3804 Fairmount Avenue on the Ely 43 ft of Lots 25 and 26, Block 47, City Heights; existing residence is attached to a 9½ ft wide garage located 2 ft. from the rear lot line and 2½ ft. from the side lot line; residence 11½ ft. from the rear lot line; porch addition to be 2½ ft. from the side lot line, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 11, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/9/44 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/10/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/10/44 Hearing date

Decision denied Date 5/10/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/11/44 Building Inspector 5/12/44

Planning Commission 5/12/44 Petitioner 5/12/44 Health Department 5/12/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 5/17/44 Council Hearing, date 5/31/44

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

*Appeal withdrawn 5/23/44 + requested revised
plans be reconsidered by the Zoning Committee*

RESOLUTION NO. 607

WHEREAS, Application No. 2270 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: Permission is hereby granted to Adolph Levi & Son to excavate approximately 500,000 cu.yds. of rock and soil on a portion of P.L.1104, north of Friar's Road and processing of gravel with a portable crusher provided an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record, to comply with the following conditions: (1) to grade new excavated areas and to fill in existing areas in P.L.1104 to a grade of not less than 1% ascending from Friar's Road to base of cut; (2) to finish the excavated faces of such cuts to a smooth even grade with a slope of not less than 1 1/2 units horizontal to 1 unit vertical and to round off the intersections of such cut faces, with existing natural ground and the floor of the excavations with vertical curves in such a way as to not leave a distinct line of intersection; (3) to replace any trees damaged or destroyed and to plant 3 ft. Eucalyptus trees on 50 ft. centers on new excavated areas along the north side of Friar's Road; (4) to construct cattle guards where necessary along temporary construction roads; (5) to remove all machinery equipment, rubbish and debris at termination of work so as to leave area of operation in a smooth neat condition; (6) to grade property to grades as shown on attached grading plan; (7) above conditions shall be complied with in full and all work completed within 180 days of date.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 15, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/29/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/3/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/3/44 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 5/3/44 + Amended 5/17/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/26/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 608

WHEREAS, Application No. 2241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roxie Mae and Howard P. Foley to use the residence, 4328 Florida Street on the front portion of Lot 31 and the south 1/2 of Lot 32, Block 99, University Heights, as an annex to the Hillside Hospital subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum number of patients to be twelve (12);
2. Six months after hostilities in the present war cease, this residence will be vacated or will then be made to comply with the then existing zone ordinance.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/9/44 By Halsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/10/44 Hearing date 5/24/44

Decision Conditional approval Date 5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 609 (See Res. 607)

WHEREAS, Application No. ^{By letter dated} ~~May 20, 1944~~ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That the uses and conditions enumerated and set forth in Resolution No. 607, adopted May 15, 1944, be amended by adding thereto, the following:

That permission is hereby granted to Adolph Levi & Son to install a 10,000 gallon gasoline storage tank on a portion of P.L. 1104, South of Friar's Road and to store 80 trucks, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the trucks are parked in an orderly manner;
2. That the tank is removed and the hole filled and all work completed within 180 days in the manner specified in and required by said Resolution No. 607.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *H.K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Letter

Application Received

5/23/44

By

Mail

City Planning Department

Investigation made

5/3/44

By

Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

5/24/44

Hearing date

Decision

Approved Conditionally

Date

5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

5/25/44

Building Inspector

5/26/44

Planning Commission

5/26/44

Petitioner

5/26/44

Health Department

5/26/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 610

WHEREAS, Application No. 2288 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace L. Benbough to construct a 24 ft. by 50 ft. addition with 0' setback on Imperial Avenue to the existing mausoleum which does not comply with the yard requirements, on a portion of the I.O.O.F. cemetery, 3960 Imperial Avenue.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13216 and Ordinance No. 12321 and Section 8a, of Ordinance 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/19/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 & Admission

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 611

WHEREAS, Application No. 2293 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom Reardon to construct two single family residences on the Northeasterly corner of Concord and Talbot Streets; one on the Sly $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lots 21 to 23 and one on the Sly $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lots 24 to 26, Block 10, Roseville Heights; the residence on the Sly $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lots 24 to 26 to maintain only an 11 foot rear yard, provided the setback of adjoining residence on Concord Street is maintained. This action taken by the Zoning Committee with knowledge of a previous Resolution No. 68609, dated December 6, 1938.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 32, New Series and Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/22/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 + assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 612

WHEREAS, Application No. 2280 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin G. Laing and Edith M. Bennett Laing to conduct a real estate office at 1295 Prospect Street on the North 50 ft. of Lots 18 and 19, Block 52, La Jolla Park provided there is no advertisement or signs except a card not more than 60 sq. in. in area, attached flat to the building.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary

Application Received 5/18/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 + Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 613

WHEREAS, Application No. 2279 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manuel Youtsey to erect an 18 ft. by 20 ft. garage in the 3500 Block on Wilson Avenue, Lots 31 and 32, Block 88, City Heights for the storage of car, tools and personal property; this property is a vacant lot and the garage not to be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/20/44 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 Adm. Sec.

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO.

614

See

- RES 3924

WHEREAS, Application No. 2277 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to J. B. Gates to erect a 50 ft. by 100 ft. addition to an existing laundry at 1143-55 - 18th Street on Lots 10 and 11, Block 11, Gardner's Addition provided the front of the existing building is remodeled to conform with the addition, according to the elevation submitted; total number of employees not to exceed 50 and maximum horsepower to be 75 h.p.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

A. W. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated May 25, 1949

By.....

Application Received 5/19/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 5/24/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44
Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

WHEREAS, Application No. 2234 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ann Farrington to divide Lot 1, Block 17, North Shore Highlands on the corner of Loring and Everts Streets, into two parcels; each parcel to be a minimum of 5000 sq. ft. in area; 15 ft. setbacks to be maintained on both Loring St. and Everts Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 25, 1944

By Secretary

Application Received.....By.....
City Planning Department

Investigation made.....By.....
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee.....Hearing date.....

Decision.....Date.....

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk.....Building Inspector.....

Planning Commission.....Petitioner.....Health Department.....

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date.....Council Hearing, date.....

Decision of Council.....Date.....

Resolution becomes effective.....

Application withdrawn.....Continued to.....

Time limit extended to.....Date of action.....

RESOLUTION NO. 616

WHEREAS, Application No. 2241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. S. Sylvester to use an auxiliary building at 1025 Hunter Street on the West 40 feet of the East 60 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 16, Arnold & Choates Addition for a Dental Laboratory with no employee's and no advertising.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary

Application Received 5/10/44 By Stahm
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 5/24/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44
Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 617

WHEREAS, Application No. 2276 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to Della Marshall to convert a single family dwelling at 5073 Narragansett Street on Lots 52, 53 and the SEly 20 ft. of Lot 54, Block 70, Ocean Beach into a duplex; existing building and the proposed structure with only a 3 foot side yard.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary

Application Received 5/18/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 5/24/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 618

WHEREAS, Application No. 2295 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka, Jr. to change Lot 1 and the West $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 2, Block 29, Bird Rock Addition into one parcel to permit one single family residence, on Colima Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/44 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made June/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/43 Hearing date

Decision Blanket Approval Date 8/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

79600

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Cabrillo Cleaners & Laundry, by Alvin B. Baranov, vice president, 3364 University Avenue, San Diego, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Resolution No. 620 the application No. 2299 for variance to Ordinance No. 12820, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee action is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission be and it is hereby granted to Henry J. Theuner and Cabrillo Cleaners & Laundry to construct an addition to the second story of the cleaning and dyeing establishment at 3350 University Avenue on Lots 14 to 17 Block 196 City Heights with as many employees as shall be necessary, for the duration of the present war and for six months thereafter, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79600 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

JUN 20 1944

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 619

WHEREAS, Application No. 2294 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Klicka, Jr. to change Lot 12 and the north $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 13, Block 8, Bird Rock City By-the-Sea, into one parcel to permit one single family residence, on Waverly Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated May 25, 1949

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 3/44 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/43 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/43 Hearing date _____

Decision Blanket approval Date 6/43

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5/25/44 Building Inspector 5/26/44

Planning Commission 5/26/44 Petitioner 5/26/44 Health Department 5/26/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 629

WHEREAS, Application No. 2299 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows :

The petition of Henry J. Theuner and Cabrillo Cleaners and Laundry to construct an addition to the second story of a cleaning and dyeing establishment at 3350 University Avenue on Lots 14 to 17, Block 196, City Heights, with a maximum of 80 employees, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1949

By *H. N. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/20/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date 6/7/44
Decision denied Date 6/7/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44
Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 *assess
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6/9/44 Council Hearing, date 6/20/44
Decision of Council Conditional Approval Date 6/20/44
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 621

WHEREAS, Application No. 2239 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rieta C. Hough to use the lower floor of the residence at 4287 Hortensia Street on the Sly 60 ft. of the Nly 85 ft. of Lot T, Fremont Heights for a separate living unit, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the living unit is not rented to more than two (2) persons;
2. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease the lower floor will then be vacated as a rental and will be used in compliance with a previous agreement No. 194; previous agreement to be held in abeyance for the duration;
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated June 8, 1944, 19.....

By.....

*Agreement #300
filed 6/13/44*

Application Received 5/12/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date 6/7/44

Decision Conditional Approval Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 * assess

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 622

WHEREAS, Application No. 2311 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Mc Kusiak to enclose a porch at 3367 Mountain View Drive on the Wly 74 ft. (measured on the south line) of Lot 2, Block 1, Normal Heights, to make a living room with a one foot rear yard; also other repairs in excess of 50% of the assessed valuation, and with a 1 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/3/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO

RESOLUTION NO. 623

WHEREAS, Application No. 2287 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Christine W. Campbell-Briggs to alter a studio and storeroom at 3613 State Street on Lot 4, Block 163, Middletown to the fourth living unit on the lot, with a 3 foot sideyard and no rear yard for the rear unit on the lot, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the studio and storeroom will then be vacated and will no longer be used as living quarters;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 1020, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above; also a variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 5/23/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 Hearing date 6/7/44

Decision Conditional Approval Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 + Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

NEGOTIATION NO

79601

RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Bruce C. Van Horn, 3336 Adams Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Resolution 624 the application No. 2303 for variance to Ordinance 12989, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee action is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted on a revocable basis to alter a storage building at the rear of 3328 Adams Avenue on the Wly 24-1/2 feet of the Ely 100 feet of the Sly 76 feet of Lot 3 Block 29 Normal Heights and use it for the slaughtering of chickens and rabbits, on the condition that the premises shall be kept in good, clean condition, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above to Roy V. Thomas, owner.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79601
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council -----
JUN 20 1944

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By -----
Deputy

6.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 624

WHEREAS, Application No. 2303 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Roy V. Thomas to alter a storage building at the rear of 3328 Adams Avenue on the Wly 24½ ft. of the Ely 100 ft. of the Sly 76 ft. of Lot 3, Block 29, Normal Heights and use it for the slaughtering of chickens and rabbits, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/3/44 By _____

City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6/13/44 Council Hearing, date 6/20/44

Decision of Council Conditional Approval Date 6/20/44

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO.

625, extended by Res. # 23

WHEREAS, Application No. 2285 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ella M. Fehr and Ellen Walsh to alter a garage at 3835 Swift Avenue on Lot 16, Block 191, City Heights into living quarters with a 2 foot sideyard, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease the garage will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters but will be converted back to a garage;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Agreement #296
filed 6/9/44

Dated June 8, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary

Application Received 5/31/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/27/44 6/7/44 By Zoning Commission
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional approval Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 626

WHEREAS, Application No. 2146 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to First National Trust and Savings Bank to subdivide into two parcels the Wly 320 ft. of the Sly one-half (except the Nly 77.62 ft. of the Ely 18.5 ft. of Pueblo Lot 149 (except streets), as follows: (1) the Sly 95 ft of the Wly 320 ft of the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Pueblo Lot 149; and (2) the Nly 137.85 ft. of the Wly 320 ft. (except the Nly 77.62 ft. of the Ely 18.5 ft.) of S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Pueblo Lot 149; on Gage Lane south of Dupont Street and to sell the separate parcels on each of which is situated an existing dwelling, subject to the acceptance and dedication of easements for Gage Lane.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 10, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/3/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/10/44 Building Inspector 6/10/44

Planning Commission 6/10/44 Petitioner 6/10/44 Health Department 6/10/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO

RESOLUTION NO. 627

WHEREAS, Application No. 2313 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. Hadden, owner and Floyd M. Ellis, lessee, to continue the operation of a grocery store and meat market at 3317 Meade Avenue on the Ely 42.5 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block A, Sterlingworth.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/3/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6/7/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44
Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 & Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 628

WHEREAS, Application No. 2291 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. M. McLauchlan to add a bathroom and alter to sleeping rooms, a storage room at 505 Cedar Street on Lot A, Block 203, Horton's Addition, attached to a store building which has no sideyard.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By *H. H. Jangman*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/24/44 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 629

WHEREAS, Application No. 2290 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Russell Oliver and Glen Besnah to build an addition to a garage at 6102 La Jolla Boulevard on Lot 11, Block 10, La Jolla Hermosa to be used as extra sleeping room and bath; garage being only 12½ feet from the center line of the alley; subject to certain conditions:

1. That the extra sleeping room and bath will not be rented or occupied as separate living quarters but will be used as a part of the main residence;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated June 8, 1944

Agreement # 298
filed 6/12/44

Application Received 5/19/44 By Haelzig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/24/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/24/44 & 6/7/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional approval Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 630

WHEREAS, Application No. 2312 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Dyer, Inc. to build a single family residence on the Nly 148 feet (measured on Rosecrans Street) of Pueblo Lot 186, Rosecrans Street south of Talbot Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That 10 feet be dedicated for the widening of Rosecrans Street;
2. That he observe the setback line established by the existing houses on the street;

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary

Application Received 6/5/44 By Neff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44

Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 631

WHEREAS, Application No. 2252 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That, L. M. Wetherbee, having submitted revised plans and specifications for the alteration of a portion of a garage at 3612 Strand Way on Lot B, Block 158, Mission Beach and leaving the garage adjoining the living quarters with the garage wall adjacent to the side lot line on one side and no side yard on the other side, subsequent to the adoption of Resolution No. 585, dated April 27, 1944, and which revised plans and specifications subject to certain conditions now meet with their approval, the petition of said L. M. Wetherbee, be, and the same is hereby approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the changes in the revised plans be complied with;
2. Six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the altered garage will then be vacated and no longer used as living quarters;
3. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned

Above. The permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated June 8, 1944

Agreement # 299
filed 6/13/44

Letter filed with City Clerk

Application Received

4/21/44

By

Burton

City Planning Department

Investigation made

4/26/44

By

Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by

Denied 4/26/44 - 5/10/44

Hearing date

Decision

Conditional Approval

Date

6/7/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

6/8/44

Building Inspector

6/9/44

Planning Commission

6/9/44

Petitioner

Health Department

6/9/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

G.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 632

WHEREAS, Application No. 2265 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Subsequent to the adoption of Resolution No. 606, dated May 11, 1944, W. Drain submitted revised plans for the porch addition to a residence at 3804 Fairmount Avenue on the Ely 43 ft of Lots 25 and 26, Block 47, City Heights; existing residence attached to a 9½ ft wide garage located 2 ft. from the rear lot line and 2½ ft. from the side lot line; residence 11½ ft. from the rear lot line; porch addition to be 4 ft. from the side lot line and which revised plans now meet with their approval, the petition of said W. Drain, be, and the same is hereby approved,

A variance to the Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 8, 1944

By *H. W. Jorgensen*
Secretary

Letter dated
Application Received 5/23/44 By _____
City Planning Department
Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6/7/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/8/44 Building Inspector 6/9/44
Planning Commission 6/9/44 Petitioner 6/9/44 Health Department 6/9/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 6/9/44 Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 634

WHEREAS, Application No. 2281 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James Quartiano and Boy Scouts Troup #103 to establish a Boy Scout Headquarters for Troop #103 and a Teen Age Club, 4100 Block on Hilltop Drive on Lots 37 to 39 inclusive, Block 2, Buena Vista Tract.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 15, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/6/44 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date 6/7/44
Decision Approved Date 6/7/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/15/44 Building Inspector 6/16/44
Planning Commission 6/16/44 Petitioner 6/16/44 Health Department 6/16/44 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 635

WHEREAS, Application No. 2330 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ben W. Hayward and Tom Allen to divide Lot 3, Block 1, Bay Shore Addition and a portion of Lot 2, Block 12, New Roseville, a parcel approximately 200 ft. by 160 ft. into two parcels each with a single family residence, and a fractional 10 ft. parcel to be included with the adjoining property on the east, 970 Scott Street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 15, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/14/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Investigation made not investigated By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/14/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/14/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/15/44 Building Inspector 6/16/44

Planning Commission 6/16/44 Petitioner 6/16/44 Health Department 6/16/44 Person

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 636

WHEREAS, Application No. 2253 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ira G. and Christine E. Coburn to alter and maintain garages at 3575 Arnold Avenue on Lots 5 and 6, Block 75, Park Villas as two living units, with no sideyard on one side, making three living units on the two lots, subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the living unit on the south side will then be vacated and will no longer be used as living quarters, but will be converted back to a garage;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, and Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By.....

Secretary.

Dated June 22, 1944

Agreement #301
filed 6/26/44

Application Received 5/25/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date 6/21/44
Decision Cond. Approval Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 637

WHEREAS, Application No. 2314 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Elizabeth Agnew, Ray J. Baker, Carl H. Burkett, W. K. and Helen Baker and S. Frost Holcomb, Jr. to excavate and level Lots 36 to 43 inclusive, El Paso Tract, Curlew Street, between Brooks Ave. and Torrance St., for future building purposes according to plans submitted with the exception that the limit of excavation will not be within five (5) feet of Godsal Lane or within five (5) feet of the dividing line between Lots 43 and 44, El Paso Tract.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/6/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date 6/21/44
Decision Approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/23/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 + answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION NO. 638

WHEREAS, Application No. 2308 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sadie Ashook and Bernice Williams to make a 27 ft. by 27 ft. addition to the rear of an existing store building at 3194 Webster Street on Lots 47 and 48, Block 332, Choates Addition and to remodel the face of the existing building; no addition to be made at the front of the building.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/3/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date 6/21/44

Decision Approved Date 6/21/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44

Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 639

WHEREAS, Application No. 2322 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Continental Baking Company to construct a 43 ft. by 50 ft. addition on the second floor of an existing building at 1220 University Avenue on Lots 5 to 8 inclusive, Block 188, University Heights, for offices and restrooms.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By *H. K. Janssen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/13/44 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date 6/21/44
Decision Approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 Answer
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 640

WHEREAS, Application No. 2257 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Royal Cleaners and Dyers to build a 25 ft. by 30 ft. addition to a cleaning and dyeing work accessory building at 3428 El Cajon Boulevard on the Wly 37.75 ft. of the Ely 75.5' of the Sly 103.5 ft. of Lot 17, Block B, Teralta; not more than 10 employees'.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/16/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date
Decision Approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 + Amerson
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 641

WHEREAS, Application No. 2332 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard K. McLean to erect a single family dwelling on the Wly 50 ft. of the Sly 100 ft. of Lot 4, Block 156, La Playa on Owen Street west of San Antonio Avenue, subject to approval of the plans by the Zoning Committee.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/17/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/23/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 Admission
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 642 *see* 73930

WHEREAS, Application No. 2329 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harriet W. Burton to alter a large two story residence at 4374 Arcadia Drive on Lots 33 and 34, Avalon Heights into three (3) living units subject to the following conditions:

1. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the residence will be vacated as three (3) living units and will be converted back to a single family dwelling;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

*Agreement #303
filed 6/30/44*

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/17/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l approval Date 6/21/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/23/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44

Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 + Assn

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 643

WHEREAS, Application No. 2323 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Laura and John Hertel to construct an 18 ft. by 50 ft. dining room and convert an existing building and make a 9 ft. by 20 ft. addition for a restaurant at 401 South 33rd Street on Lots 12 to 18, inclusive, Block 2, J. H. Orcutt's Subdivision, provided plans are submitted to the Zoning Committee for approval.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated. June 22, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/19/44 By ?
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/23/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 644

WHEREAS, Application No. 2268 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sarah T. Duarte to build a 10 ft. by 12 ft. addition to a residence at 3167 Newton Avenue on Lot 6, Block 39, H. P. Whitney's Addition, one sideyard being 2½ feet and the other sideyard being 42 in., provided all requirements of the Building Department are complied with.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/8/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date _____
Decision approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 Adm.
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 645

WHEREAS, Application No. 2331 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Axel and Ester Pedersen to make a laundry room addition to an existing garage at 3995 Mississippi Street on the West 60 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 198, University Heights with a one foot sideyard; garage approximately 43 feet from the front property line, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the garage and addition will not be used as living quarters;
2. That an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Agreement #302
Filed 6/27/44
Dated June 22, 1944

Application Received 6/16/44 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved, Conditional Date 6/21/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44

Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 646

WHEREAS, Application No. 2325 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. G. Keighley to repair fire damage in excess of 50% of the assessed value of the building at 3768 - 7th Avenue on Lots 31 and 32, Block 4, Crittenden's Addition, a storage room for the conduct of a non-conforming wholesale candy business, provided there are no signs except a small one on the door which now exists.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....June 22,....., 1944.....

By.....*H. K. Jorgensen*.....
Secretary.

Application Received 6/16/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/21/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44

Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 Amuseur

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 647

WHEREAS, Application No. 2333 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leslie A. True to rebuild a bedroom on a residence at 4774 East Mountain View Drive on the West 62.5 ft. of the North 20 ft. of Lot 15 and the Westerly 62.5 ft. of Lot 16, Block 22, Normal Heights; existing residence with only a 3 ft. 6 in. rear yard and a 2 ft. side yard.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

A. M. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated June 22, 1944

By.....

Application Received 6/18/44 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 6/21/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44

Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 648

WHEREAS, Application No. 2112 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gertrude Myers to build an 8 ft. by 8 ft. addition to an existing garage at 4074 Central Avenue on Lots 43 and 44, Block 40, City Heights which is less than the required 70 ft. back from the front property line and less than the 3 ft. from the side lot line, provided the addition is of siding and a gabled roof to match the existing garage.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/17/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 6/21/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44

Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44 + Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 649

WHEREAS, Application No. 2336 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John F. and Anne Smith to excavate a rise of ground, dig a 30 ft. by 80 ft. by 5 ft. irrigation basin and remove not over two thousand (2,000) cu. yds. of top soil on the East 2/3 of Lot 6, West 2/3 of Lot 7, West 1/3 of Lot 9 and the East 1/3 of Lot 10, in Reiner's Subdivision of P. L. 1103, northerly of Mission Valley Road in the river bottom; the excavation to be completed within sixty (60) days.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 22, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/21/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 6/21/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 6/21/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/22/44 Building Inspector 6/23/44
Planning Commission 6/23/44 Petitioner 6/23/44 Health Department 6/23/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 650

WHEREAS, Application No. 2208 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Neal C. and Lona Monroe to conduct a hobby service shop in an existing garage at 2311 Erie Street on Lots 11 and 12, Block 66, Morena, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs will be placed on the property;
2. That if complaints are made by surrounding property owners the permit shall be revoked;
3. Six months after hostilities in the present war cease, this garage will be vacated and a business will no longer be conducted on the premises;
4. An agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #305
filed 7/10/44

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

A. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated July 6, 1944

By _____

Application Received 6/21/44 By Stahn
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date 7/5/44

Decision Conditional Approval Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 & Adm.

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 651

WHEREAS, Application No. 2316 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin S. Pearring to operate a shoe repair shop as incidental to a residence at 642 Denby Street on Lots 33 and 34, Block 11, Morrison's Marscene Park, subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum one and one third horse power (1 1/3 h.p.);
2. Hours of operation from 5:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M.;
3. That if complaints are made by surrounding property owners the permit shall be revoked;
4. Six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the shoe repair business will then be discontinued;
5. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Dated July 6, 1944

By _____

Agreement #306
filed 7/14/44

Application Received 6/22/44 By mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee - Hearing date 7/5/44

Decision Conditional Approval Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 Adessa

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date - Council Hearing, date -

Decision of Council - Date -

Resolution becomes effective -

Application withdrawn - Continued to -

Time limit extended to - Date of action -

Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 79770

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of L. J. Wittkop, 1119 28th Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Resolution No. 652 his application No. 2305 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 to operate a maternity hospital and sanitarium in an existing building at 1119 - 28th Street on Lots 25 and 26 Block 63 E. W. Morse's Subdivision, be, and it is hereby referred to Zoning Committee.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79770 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JUL 25 1944

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By AUGUST M. WADSTROM
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 652

REPEALS RESOLUTION #553, dated March 30, 1944

WHEREAS, Application No. 2305 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 553, dated March 30, 1944, be, and it is hereby repealed; and that;

The petition of L. J. Wittkop, lessee and Fourth Avenue Hospital Association, Inc., owner, to operate a maternity hospital and sanitarium in an existing building at 1119 - 28th Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 63, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/3/44 By Wuff
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/7/44 ^{+ 7/5/44} By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/7/44 Hearing date 6/21/44 + Continued 7/5/44
Decision Denied Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44
Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 + address

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/11/44 Council Hearing, date 7/25/44
Decision of Council Referred back to Z.C. Date 7/25/44

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 653

WHEREAS, Application No. 2324 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Capital Incomes Corporation, owner, and Frank Aloï, purchaser, to erect a two (2) stall private stable and fence about 200 ft. east of 54th Street on the South side of University Avenue on the Nly 140 ft. of the Wly 100 ft. of the Ely 565.9 ft. of Lot 28, Lemon Villa, permit to be revoked if not kept in a sanitary condition at all times.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/23/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 Hearing date 7/5/44

Decision Conditional Approval Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

5.15

RESOLUTION NO. 654

WHEREAS, Application No. 2325 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer G. and Constance G. Beehtel to erect and operate a private stable (3 horses) at Galveston and Gardena Streets on Lot 126, Morena, provided the stable is placed in the ravine according to a sketch submitted.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....July 6, 1944....., 19.....

By.....

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/17/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/21/44 Hearing date 7/5/44

Decision Cond'l. Approve Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 + Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO

RESOLUTION NO. 655

WHEREAS, Application No. 2319 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ^{no} special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ^{not} work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ^{not} necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Tom Hester to erect a standard poster panel on the Northwest corner of Redwood & 4th Avenue on Lot G, Block 358, Horton's Addition, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944.

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/23/44 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee..... Hearing date 7/5/44

Decision denied Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 + Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date..... Council Hearing, date.....

Decision of Council..... Date.....

Resolution becomes effective.....

Application withdrawn..... Continued to.....

Time limit extended to..... Date of action.....

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 656

WHEREAS, Application No. 2341 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Glennie W. Gay to convert an existing garage and storage room at 2820 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 23 and the West 15 ft. of Lot 24, Block 108, University Heights into three (3) sleeping rooms with a 1 ft. 6 in. sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/30/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44
Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 657

WHEREAS, Application No. 2272 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Interstate Bakeries Corporation to make an addition to an existing bakery at 1955-1973 Julian Avenue on the West 1/2 of Lot 28 and Lots 29 to 35 inclusive, Block 188, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 5/6/44 By Mail (Haelzig)
City Planning Department

Investigation made 5/10/44 + 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5/10/44 Hearing date 7/5/44

Decision Denied Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/6/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 + Amman

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/8/44 Council Hearing, date 7/18/44

Decision of Council Referred back to Z.C. Date 7/18/44

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 658

WHEREAS, Application No. 2346 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Balboa Building Company to erect a motion picture theatre and construct a parking lot in conjunction therewith, 3100 Rosecrans Street on Lots 3 and 4, Block 28, Montemar Ridge Unit No. 3, plans and design to be approved by the Planning Commission.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2668 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/30/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Conditional Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

Plan

RESOLUTION No. 79769

BE IT RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Julia A. Nichols, 1578 Linwood Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 659, a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1020 New Series, permission to Forrest L. Heatt and Security Trust & Savings Bank to excavate approximately 2500 cubic yards of rock and soil on State Street between Chalmers and Walnut Streets on Lots 10 and 11 Block 162 Middletown, be, and it is hereby denied and overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Forrest L. Heatt and Security Trust & Savings Bank to excavate approximately 2500 cubic yards of rock and soil on Lots 10 and 11 Block 162 Middletown, on the following provisions:

That the work shall be completed within one year from the date of this resolution;

That the condition now existing detrimental to the adjoining property, shall be corrected;

That no part of the excavation be lower than Elevation 122.0 (City Datum) and that the slope of bank is not less than one (1) unit vertical to one (1) unit horizontal and that no excavation be made closer than five (5) feet to State and adjoining lots; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1020 New Series be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above for the period of one year from the date hereof.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. _____
of the Common Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council

JUL 25 1944

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM City Clerk

By _____

Deputy

Form 18 V-5-28-80 2M

PRINTED IN SAN DIEGO

RESOLUTION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 659

WHEREAS, Application No. 2347 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Forrest L. Heatt and Security Trust & Savings Bank to excavate approximately 2500 cubic yards of rock and soil on State Street between Chalmers and Walnut Street on Lots 10 and 11, Block 162, Middletown, provided that no part of the excavation is lower than Elevation 122.0 (City Datum) and that the slope of bank is not less than one (1) unit vertical to one (1) unit horizontal and that no excavation be made closer than five (5) feet to State Street and adjoining lots.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 1020, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By

H. A. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/3/44 By Halsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved, Cond'l Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/11/44 Council Hearing, date 7/25/44

Decision of Council Cond'l Approval Date 7/25/44

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 660

WHEREAS, Application No. 2337 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Karl Adrio to make an addition to an existing residence with an 18 ft. rear yard and connected to a garage which has only a 1 ft. rear yard at 3666 Meade Avenue on the East 50 ft. of Lots 23 and 24, Block D, Teralta Heights.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/3/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/44 Building Inspector 7/7/44

Planning Commission 7/7/44 Petitioner 7/7/44 Health Department 7/7/44

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 661

WHEREAS, Application No. 2300 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Manchester to make an addition to an existing machine shop at 1985 Frankfort Street on Lots 45 and 46, Block "B", Turner and Barr's South Orchard Tract, subject to the following conditions:

1. No machinery to be operated on the premises before 6:00 AM or after 9:00 PM;
2. Maximum employees one (1) besides himself;
3. Revocable permit;
4. Premises to be cleaned up; and kept in an orderly condition.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By

H. H. Manchester
Secretary.

Application Received 7/7/44 6/7/44 By Neff City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date 7/19/44

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 662

WHEREAS, Application No. 2235 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Dennstedt Company to divide Lots 1 and 2, Block 16, North Shore Highlands into two parcels each and to permit the construction of a single family residence on each parcel provided all parcels are a minimum of 5000 sq.ft. in area and that the regular setbacks maintained on Wilbur and Beryl Streets and that a 15 ft. setback maintained on Everts Street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By.....

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/15/44 By Stahn
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/20/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 663

WHEREAS, Application No. 2357 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. W. J. Rickerson, Life Estate, and E. J. Rickerson and Obe F. Rickerson, owners to continue the use of a non-conforming store building at 2848 - 30th Street on Lot 1, Aurora Heights as a vacuum cleaner agency, sales and repairs.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By

A. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/15/44 By ?

City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision of Council

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Continued to

Date of action

NEGOTIATION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 664

WHEREAS, Application No. 2350 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pasquale Lococo to repair and make alterations to a residence at 2312 Columbia Street on Lot 7, Block 67, Middletown, which is only 3 feet from another residence.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/8/44 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 665

WHEREAS, Application No. 2320 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Palmer Bilt Homes to erect and maintain a tract office and the tract builders construction yard at 4795 - 50th Street on Lots 52 to 57 inclusive of Talmadge Park Manor (being a tentative map of a portion of Lot 9, North Lemon Villa) subject to the following conditions:

1. One year from the date of this Resolution the tract office and tract builders construction yard will be removed;
2. The 6 ft. high, stucco mesh fence to be constructed will be setback 15 ft. along 50th Street and will also be removed at the end of one year.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary

Application Received 7/13/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/20/44

Planning Commission 7/20/44 Petitioner 7/20/44 Health Department 7/20/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 666

WHEREAS, Application No. 2367 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to V. Charles and Floy A. Moore to operate a repair shop for office machines, on a part time basis at 2020 - 31st Street on the Nly 40 ft. of Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 1, Watkins and Biddle provided no signs are placed on the premises and no employee's.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/14/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Conditional Approval Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

R.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 667

WHEREAS, Application No. 2338 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Francis and Jesus Castillo to make an addition to an existing residence which has a one (1) ft. sideyard on one side and two (2) ft. four (4) in. on the other side at 1966 Newton Avenue on Lot 17, Block 129, Mannasse & Schiller.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 6/24/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 + 7/19/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Plan.

79823

RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Gladys Elizabeth Bradley, 3805 Swift Street, from Zoning Committee Resolution No. 668 denying her application No. 2339 for variance to the provisions of Section 8a Ordinance No. 8924 to construct a two story building, one room and bath on each floor with no sideyard on one side and a 5 ft. 6 in. rear yard at 3460 Wightman Street on the East 35 ft. of the West 100 ft. of Lots 22 to 24 inclusive, Block 191 City Heights, be, and it is hereby denied and overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

79823

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. _____
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _____

AUG 8 1944

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk
AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By _____ Deputy

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 668

WHEREAS, Application No. 2359 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Gladys E. Bradley to construct a two story building, one room and bath on each floor with no sideyard on one side and a 5 ft. 6 in rear yard at 3460 Wightman Street on the East 35 ft. of the West 100 ft. of Lots 22 to 24 inclusive, Block 191, City Heights, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 6/26/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 7/19/44 Hearing date

Decision Denied Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/25/44 Council Hearing, date 8/8/44

Decision of Council Denied Date 8/8/44

Resolution becomes effective 8/8/44

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 669

WHEREAS, Application No. 2373 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilfred W. Allington to convert an existing storage shed into living quarters, making a third living unit at 6320 Wunderlin Street on Lot 8 (except the West 100 ft. of the North 100 ft.) Encanto Heights. (Block 13)

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/15/44 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 670 See Res # 2359

WHEREAS, Application No. 2359 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert E. and Margo E. Miller to conduct a radio repair shop, part time, at 3275 Boundary Street on the West 50 ft. of Lots 1 to 3 inclusive, Block "D" Montclair, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs will be placed on the premises;
2. No employee's except the owner;
3. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the radio repair shop will then be discontinued.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/17/44 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date

Decision Conde Approval Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 671

WHEREAS, Application ^{By letter dated June 23, 1944} No. 8924, has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~be~~ materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bertha L. Curry, c/o Percy H. Goodwin Co., agent, 302 First National Bank Bldg., to operate a convalescent home on Lots 1 and 2, Block 12, Cleveland Heights, 139 W. Pennsylvania Avenue, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the permit be for a period of three (3) years from August 22, 1944 (the date of the expiration of permit under Resolution No. 75952);
2. One sign on the property provided that it is acceptable to the City Planning Commission;
3. That no mental cases are admitted;
4. Revocable if not kept in an orderly and proper manner.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and it is hereby granted for a period ~~a period~~ of three years from August 22, 1944, in so far as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Letter
Application Received 6/26/44 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 + 7/19/44 Hearing date
Decision Cond. Approval Date 7/19/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44
Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 672

WHEREAS, Application No. 2358 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George A. Millar Estate to excavate a small hill at Imperial Avenue and Plymouth Street on Lots 1 to 8 inclusive, Block 1, Panorama Heights to the approximate level of surrounding streets.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 78 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/20/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made ~~None~~ None By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved sub. to rec. signature Date 7/5/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44

Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 673

WHEREAS, Application No. 2365 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore S. and Myrtle G. Stivers to use a portion of a garage at 3852 - 45th Street on Lots 37 and 38, Block 6, City Heights Annex No. 1 for the storage of painting contractor's equipment and supplies, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That no signs be placed on the premises;
- 2. No employee's except the owner;
- 3. Permit to expire one year from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 20, 1944

By H.H. Janssen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/19/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made none By
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date
Decision Condit Approval Date 7/19/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/20/44 Building Inspector 7/21/44
Planning Commission 7/21/44 Petitioner 7/21/44 Health Department 7/21/44 + Assumed
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 674

WHEREAS, Application No. 2354 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vincenzo Carnevale to convert an existing chicken hatchery at 7520 Jamacha Road on a portion of Lot 12, Partition of Rancho Ex-Mission of San Diego into living quarters, making two residences on the lot, provided that the owner give an easement 50 ft. in width for the extension of Jamacha Road through his property.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 26, 1944

By *H.K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/10/44 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 Hearing date

Decision Cond'l approval Date 7/19/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/26/44 Building Inspector 7/26/44

Planning Commission 7/26/44 Petitioner 7/26/44 Health Department 7/26/44 Assess

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 675

See Res. #707

Referred back to Zoning Committee after appeal filed with City Council
WHEREAS, Application No. 2272 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Interstate Bakeries Corporation to make an addition to an existing bakery at 1955-1973 Julian Avenue on the West 1/2 of Lot 28 and Lots 29 to 35 inclusive, Block 188, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, with no sideyard on the East side, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all loading and unloading will be within the building;
2. Trucks will be kept off of the public street;
3. The residence on Lot 27 and the East 1/2 of Lot 28, Block 188, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition will be used as a residence;

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12942 and Section 8a, of Ordinance No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Referred to Z.C. by the City Council

Application Received *July 18, 1944* By _____

City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee *7/19/44*

Hearing date *8/2/44*

Decision *Cond. Approval*

Date *8/2/44*

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk *8/3/44*

Building Inspector *8/4/44*

Planning Commission *8/4/44*

Petitioner *8/4/44*

Health Department *8/4/44 + Amerson*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____

Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____

Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____

Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____

Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 676

WHEREAS, Application No. 2408 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Interstate Bakeries Corporation to make an addition to an existing bakery at 1955-1973 Julian Avenue on the West 1/2 of Lot 28, 29 and 30, Block 188, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition with no setback from Julian Avenue.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 8/2/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____

Decision approved Date 8/2/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44

Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

6.K
RESOLUTION NO. 677

WHEREAS, Application No. 2399 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom L. Stavros to move in a store building and attach it to an existing residence at 3038 Main Street on Lots 33 and 34, Block 37, H. P. Whitney's Addition, store building to be on the west lot line, provided it is used as a store and not as living quarters.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/29/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Cond. Approval Date 8/2/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44

Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 678 (Extension granted by Res # 2327) O.K.

WHEREAS, Application No. 2374 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lindsey King to conduct a gunsmith's shop within an existing building at 4890 - 33rd Street on Lot 24, Block 14, Normal Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. The shop is not to be operated before 8:00 AM or after 8:00 PM;
2. Maximum of 3/4 h.p. equipment;
3. No employee's except the owner;
4. That six months after hostilities in the present war cease, the gunsmith's shop will then be discontinued;
5. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #308
filed 8/10/44

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By H.H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/28/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved, Conditionally Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/4/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44
Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 679

WHEREAS, Application No. 2382 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eleanor and George W. Patterson to build a 300 square foot building to be used as garage and storage purposes at 4804 Circle Drive on the Wly $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 59, Bonnie Brae, with a 4 ft. sideyard on the NEly lot line and no sideyard on the NWly lot line, provided the existing garage is removed.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944.

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/21/44 By Mail (neff)
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Candle Approval Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44
Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 680

WHEREAS, Application No. 2397 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Capital Incomes Corporation, owner, and Jake and Patricia Walker, purchasers, to build a residence on the Nly 60 ft. of the Ely 350 ft. of the Sly 176 ft, measured on 47th Street, lying north of Railroad Right-of Way, in Lot 39, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, located on the west side of 47th Street, approximately 800 ft. south of Market Street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/29/44 By Mail (uff)
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44
Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 1000

O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 681

WHEREAS, Application No. 2798 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. J. San Filippo to erect a store building, 40 ft. by 60 ft., on the Northeast corner of 45th St. and Logan Avenue on Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block H, Alta Vista Suburb with a 50 ft. setback from Logan Avenue and a 15 ft. setback on 45th Street, provided all loading and unloading is from the alley at the rear.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 78, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/31/44 By Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 8/2/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44

Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + Disease

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

6.12.

RESOLUTION NO. 682

WHEREAS, Application No. 2566 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clemons Smith to convert an existing second floor at 1469 University Avenue on Lot 8, Block 1, University Place into three apartments with no sideyard on either side, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/13/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 + 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 + 8/2/44 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44
Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

NEGOTIATION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 683

WHEREAS, Application No. 2372 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ^{no} special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ^{not} work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ^{not} necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ^{not} materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ^{not} be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ^{not} adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Sarah Shannon to alter a portion of an existing garage building at 358 - 22nd Street on Lot 11, Block 29, Sherman's Addition into sleeping rooms without the required sideyard on the north and with a rear yard of 2 ft. 10 in., be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By

H. K. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/14/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/19/44 & 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/19/44 & 8/2/44 Hearing date _____

Decision denied Date 8/2/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44

Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

01/5
RESOLUTION NO. 684

WHEREAS, Application No. 2384 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Fitzsimons to make repairs to an existing residence at 3753 - 43rd Street on the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 10 and all of Lot 11, Block 74, City Heights, which has a 2 ft. 6 in. sideyard.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Plans OK
8/10/44

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By H. N. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 7/28/44 By Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44
Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 Assessor
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 685

WHEREAS, Application No. 2396 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioners, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Louis Moisan to build apartments over garages at 5312 El Cajon Boulevard on Lot 3 (except the Sly 100'), Block 12, Alhambra Park and maintain only a 5 ft. setback from Marcellena Road, a dedicated street, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/29/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44
Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

0.K
RESOLUTION NO. 686

WHEREAS, Application No. 2403 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter Trepte to excavate and remove approximately 8000 cubic yards of soil at Alpha and Beta Streets on Lots 35, 36 and 37, Ocean Vista Gardens and adjacent portion of Pueblo Lot 1345, subject to the following conditions:

1. One (1) to one (1) slope to be maintained adjoining Lot 34;
2. All to a grade above the street level;
3. All work to be completed within 30 days;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 8/2/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved, Conditional Date 8/2/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/44 Building Inspector 8/4/44

Planning Commission 8/4/44 Petitioner 8/4/44 Health Department 8/4/44 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 687

WHEREAS, Application No. 2284 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Dennstedt Company to divide Lot 2, Block 10, North Shore Highlands, Law and Everts Streets into two parcels and to permit the construction of a single family residence on each parcel provided all parcels are a minimum of 5000 sq. ft. in area and that a 15 ft. setback is maintained on both Law and Everts Streets.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....August 7....., 1944.....

By.....*A. H. Jorgensen*.....
Secretary.

Application Received? 7/22/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8/2/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/7/44 Building Inspector 8/8/44

Planning Commission 8/8/44 Petitioner 8/8/44 Health Department 8/8/44 + Deason

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 688

WHEREAS, Application No. 2394 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irene Reese and O. W. Chase to build an addition, consisting of a sleeping room and a bath room, to an existing restaurant building at 3712 Main Street, on Lots 6 and 7, Block 255, Hoel's Subdivision, provided the addition is built at least four (4') feet from the southeasterly lot line.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 15, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/29/44 By I. C. Baughman
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8/2/44 By J. E.
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date
Decision app'd. Date 8/2/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/15/44 Building Inspector 8/15/44
Planning Commission 8/15/44 Petitioner 8/15/44 Health Department 8/15/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective 8/21/44
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 689

WHEREAS, Application No. 2383 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Union Title Insurance & Trust Company and Fine Arts Society of San Diego to establish and conduct a free Public Museum of Art and allied subjects and carry on the cultural and educational activities of the Fine Arts Society of San Diego at 2030 Sunset Boulevard on Lots 15 to 19, inclusive, Block 8, Mission Hills, provided that the sign to be erected will not be over eight square feet in size.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary

Application Received 7/29/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date 8/16/44

Decision Cond'd Approval Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 690

WHEREAS, Application No. 2379 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Title Insurance & Trust Co., and Griffith Company to build and operate a Contractor's Office and Storage and Equipment Yard, at 6th Street Extension, Friar's Road and State Freeway, on the southerly 335 feet of Lots 1 and 2, and the northerly 330 feet of Lot 6, in E. W. Morse's Subdivision of P. L. 1106 (portion lying west of State Freeway right-of-way), provided a 7 ft. fence is erected and a hedge and trees are planted around the exterior boundaries of the property.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *S. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/27/44 By Burt

City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/2/44 By Zoning Committee

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date 8/16/44

Decision Condl Approval Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

*No old folks home
now B + L. or Hotel*
79934

*Plan
Parvin
11/30/44
and illegal
sticking in
kitchen*

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of L. J. Wittkop, 1119 - 28th Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 691 of his application No. 2305 for permission to operate a sanatorium on Lots 25 and 26 Block 63 E. W. Morse's Subdivision, be, and it is hereby granted, and said Zoning Committee decision be, and it is hereby overruled, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission be, and it is hereby granted to said L. J. Wittkop for the sole purpose of operating a home for the aged, limited to fifteen patients for the duration of hostilities in the present only, and

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79934 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council SEP 5 1944

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 691

Referred back to Zoning Committee after appeal filed with City Council.

WHEREAS, Application No. 2305 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of L. J. Wittkop, lessee and Fourth Avenue Hospital Assoc., Inc., owner, to operate a sanitarium which will allow for a boarding home for the aged, with nursing care only, at 1119-28th Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 63, E. W. Morse's Subdivision, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Referred back to Zoning Committee from the City Council

Application Received By
City Planning Department

Investigation made *8/16/44* By *Zoning Committee*
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date *8/16/44*

Decision *Denied* Date *8/16/44*

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk *8/17/44* Building Inspector *8/18/44*

Planning Commission *8/18/44* Petitioner *8/18/44* Health Department *8/18/44 & Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date *8/22/44* Council Hearing, date *9/5/44*

Decision of Council *Council Approval* Date *9/5/44*

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

Plan.

79933

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of The Old San Diego Chamber of Commerce from the decision of the Zoning Committee granting by Resolutions 692 and 693 of applications 2386 and 2387 of Milton P. Sessions, owner, and C. A. Bachman, purchaser, variances to Ordinances 12990 and 12321, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission be and it is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, owner, and C. A. Bachman, purchaser, to construct a garage and 6-foot wall on the northeasterly 100 feet of the northwesterly 100 feet of Lot 2 Block 454 Old San Diego with a 3-foot setback on Mason Street and a 6-foot wall with a 0-foot setback on Congress Street. Said wall shall be 100 feet along Congress Street, 45 feet along Mason Street and 15 feet return adjoining the neighboring property, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the conditions of Zoning Committee Resolution 692 be, and they are hereby made a part of this resolution, and that the construction of said wall shall be a condition of this grant. Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 and Ordinance No. 12321 be, and they are hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79933 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

AUG 31 1944

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM
City Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 692

WHEREAS, Application No. 2386 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, owner, and C. A. Bachman, purchaser, to build and operate a garage and storage yard for contractor's equipment (and to construct pre-cast septic tanks) at Mason & Congress Streets on the northeasterly 100 feet of the northwesterly 100 feet of Lot 2, Block 454, Old San Diego, subject to the following conditions:

1. The garage and storage yard are not to be operated before 8:00 AM or after 6:00 PM;
2. Maximum of three trucks;
3. Maximum of six employees.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12990, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

6' fence - c - m
stone 1344

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 8/17/44 By Ernest Haclair
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date 8/16/44
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/22/44 Council Hearing, date 8/29/44

Decision of Council approved Cond'l Date 8/29/44

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

Plan.

79933

RESOLUTION NO.-----

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of The Old San Diego Chamber of Commerce from the decision of the Zoning Committee granting by Resolutions 692 and 693 of applications 2386 and 2387 of Milton P. Sessions, owner, and C. A. Bachman, purchaser, variances to Ordinances 12990 and 12321, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission be and it is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, owner, and C. A. Bachman, purchaser, to construct a garage and 6-foot wall on the northeasterly 100 feet of the northwesterly 100 feet of Lot 2 Block 454 Old San Diego with a 3-foot setback on Mason Street and a 6-foot wall with a 0-foot setback on Congress Street. Said wall shall be 100 feet along Congress Street, 45 feet along Mason Street and 15 feet return adjoining the neighboring property, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the conditions of Zoning Committee Resolution 692 be, and they are hereby made a part of this resolution, and that the construction of said wall shall be a condition of this grant.

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 and Ordinance No. 12321, be, and they are hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above. 79933

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 79933 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

AUG 31 1944

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By -----

Deputy

O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 693

WHEREAS, Application No. 2387 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Milton P. Sessions, owner and C. A. Bachman, purchaser to build a garage and storage yard for contractor's equipment at Mason and Congress Streets on the northeasterly 100 feet of the northwesterly 100 feet of Lot 2, Block 454, Old San Diego, with a three ft. setback on Mason Street, provided setbacks are maintained on Congress Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated: August 17, 1941

By: *H. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 8/2/44 By Haelsig
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date 8/16/44

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/22/44 Council Hearing, date 8/29/44

Decision of Council Approved Date 8/29/44

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 694

WHEREAS, Application No. 2376 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. B. and June Charroin (Charroin Mfg. Co.) to conduct the manufacturing of ladies clothing at 1031-14th Street on Lot "D" and the West 30 feet of Lot "I", Block 179, Horton's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. No manufacturing to be done on premises before 7:30 AM or after 4:00 PM;
2. Maximum of 75 employees;
3. No manufacturing on Sundays.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944.

By *H. K. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 7/25/44 By Case
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/2/44 Hearing date 8/16/44

Decision Conde Approval Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/12/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 Adm.

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. _____

0. K

RESOLUTION NO. 695

WHEREAS, Application No. 2109 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. L. Branch to build a 13 ft. by 14 ft. addition to an existing residence, the addition to be four feet from side lot line, while the residence is one foot six inches from side lot line at 3954 Texas Street on Lots 37 and 38, Block 199, University Heights.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *H. K. Langens*
Secretary.

Application Received 8/5/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 696

WHEREAS, Application No. 2419 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rena R. Saunders to make repairs to the foundation of a house which is only one foot from the side lot line at 527 - 13th Street on the north one-half of Lot "E", Block 104, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 8/9/44 By Burton City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date 8/16/44

Decision Approved Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 & assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to _____

Application withdrawn _____ Date of action _____

Time limit extended to _____

RESOLUTION NO. 697

WHEREAS, Application No. 2102 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Beatrice Darrington to build a 7 ft. by 16 ft. addition to an existing residence which has a 2 ft. sideyard at 2859 "K" Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 1, Reed's Central Addition.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By *A. H. Jorgensen*
Secretary.

Application Received 8/4/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/12/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 698

WHEREAS, Application No. 2282 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank L. Stribling and Mrs. Bliss Stribling to operate a private dance studio at 4439 Georgia Street on Lot 1 (except the east 50 feet), Block 76, University Heights, provided no public dances are held.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....August 17....., 1944

By.....*H. H. Jorgensen*.....
Secretary.

Application Received 8/1/44 By Stahm
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date _____
Decision Approved Date 8/16/44
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44
Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 699

WHEREAS, Application No. 2106 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl J. and Valma V. Karcher to build a single-family residence on a parcel of land as described on the attached sheet, on Seminole Drive.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13558, and is That portion of Lot 23 of La Mesa Colony, according to Map thereof No. 346, filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Diego County, March 8, 1887, described as follows: Commencing at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of said Lot 23 with the northwesterly line of Seminole Drive as shown on said Map, said point being also a point on a curve concave westerly having a radius of 162.5 feet; thence northerly along the arc of said curve to the right through a central angle of 44°02'20" (44°) a distance of 124.90 feet to a point of tangency; thence north 43°02'50" east (record north 42°57' east) a distance of 45 feet to the true point of beginning; thence continuing north 43°02'50" east along said northwesterly line of Seminole Drive a distance of 100 feet; thence north 46°57'10" west a distance of 282.82 feet to a point in the southwesterly line of said Lot 23; thence south 8°48'40" east along said southwesterly line of Lot 23 a distance of 161.91 feet; thence south 46°57'10" east a distance of 155.48 feet to the true point of beginning containing 0.50 acres.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

A. H. Jorgensen

Dated August 17, 1944 By Secretary.

Application Received 8/4/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/18/44

Planning Commission 8/18/44 Petitioner 8/18/44 Health Department 8/18/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION NO. 700

WHEREAS, Application No. 2413 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Allen A. and Frances Whaley to build a 10 ft. by 16 ft. sleeping porch addition on the rear of a house which is only about three feet from the house on the front of the lot at 3838 - 49th Street on Lots 154 and 155, Block 2, Resubdivision of Fairmount Addition.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 17, 1944

By

H. H. Jorgensen
Secretary.

Application Received 8/12/44 By Burton
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/16/44 By Zoning Committee
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/16/44 Hearing date _____

Decision Approved Date 8/16/44

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/17/44 Building Inspector 8/17/44

Planning Commission 8/17/44 Petitioner 8/17/44 Health Department 8/17/44 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Decision of Council _____ Date _____

Resolution becomes effective _____

Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____

Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____