Letter dated December 13, 1950

WHEREAS, <u>Application No.</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Six months extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4534, dated July 12, 1950, is hereby granted to August and Celestina Formost to construct an S-unit, 2-story apartment house, with a setback to be not less than the main wall of the building at the North end of the block, being the Easterly 44 feet of Lots 7 and 8, Block 9, Bayview Homestead, on the Northwest corner of Sth Avenue and Cedar Street, Zone R-4, provided that 5 surfaced parking spaces for automobiles are maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 27 , 19 50

FORM 2145

Ву___

Secretary

6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3		
Application Received _12-15-	50 By	C. B. Ros	2
		City Planning	Department
Investigation made	50 By a	llen Jones	& Buston
8		City Planning	Department
considered by Zoning Committee	12-27-50 Hear	ring date	
Decision approver - Condition Sent to City Cle	2. Date	12-27-50	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle	rk 12-28-50 Build	ding Inspector 12-	29-50
Planning Commission 12-29-5	Petitioner 12-2	r-50 Health Dep	artment 12 - 29 - 50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _	Cour	ncil Hearing, date _	
Decision of Council	Date		
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn		inued to	
Time limit extended to	Date	e of action	

and the second of the second

1 461

NY SHATT 17.2.7.

,

interference interference

manifold with a manual

1708 . 2005

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clelland and Elizabeth Wharton to construct a car-port with a 5-foot setback, the residence to observe the regular City Ordinance disregarding the construction of this garage or car-port, on South 60 feet of North 140 feet of Villa Lot 47, Normal Heights, on West side of Cliff Place at North end of Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary

Decement 1	
Application Received 12-15-50	By C. 73. Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 27 - 50$	By <u>Allen Jones Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-27-	50 Hearing date
Ditta annal	Date 12-27-50
C (Devolution cent to (ity (lerk / 2-6)	-SoBuilding Inspector 12-29-50
Planning Commission 12-27-50 Petition	Health Department 12-29-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the participation of a participation of the state of the stand to the stand of the stand of the share of a stand of a stand of a stand of a stand of the a construction of the second state of the second se

Auglet and the same in and the state of a light of a light of a mar in berought

10 1

gungha why shows of

,

김 영화 나는 가지?

化矿 医内侧下颌 建合物的 医

370 4* * QUSDL

The File to Collina it.

and in agricult with their site strain straining and

and and an applied and an and a second provide the second and the so b constitution states of a sector states and

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Palmer and Margaret E. Hughes, owners, and Palmer and Marian R. Hughes, purchasers, to construct the garage portion of a residence with a 7-foot setback on North 30 feet of Pueblo Lot 1260 and the South 5 feet of La Jolla Park, at Northerly end of Vista Del Mar, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Ву ____

Secretary

Van Huse City Planning Department E.C. Application Received ______ By___ Investigation made 12-27-50 By alle Z City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12-27-50 Hearing date Date 12-27-50 apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-28-50 Building Inspector 12-29-50 Planning Commission 12-29-50 Petitioner 12-28-50 Health Department 12-29-50 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date _ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn LA JOILA Continued to PK. Time limit extended to Date of action SO SET . Por SOF a 4 PTUN 1. C. T. T. W. W. repair of pyram the darry. * com pit con a land Antis T. C. J Will Chant 5 THE LOUP OF BCH 1. MARINE JOLLA a shi shi n y 11 P , 1 5 3717.0 50

NONT INTERIA

101.1. 1.2703

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5563</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **<u>not</u>** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin E. and Ardis D. Watters to construct single family residence with 3-foot sideyard on rear portion of attached garage, where 4 feet required, on Lot 25, Clearview, on Nutmeg Street West of 54th Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ December 27 , 19_50

By_

Secretary

Application Received 12-18-50	By 7. W. mc Concell
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-27-50	_ By <u>Allen Jones Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-27.	-So Hearing date
D 0	$12t_{0}$ $7 - 7 - 50$
Come & Perclution sent to City Clerk /2-2	8-50 Building Inspector 12-29-50
Planning Commission 12-29-50 Petition	ner 12-28-50 Health Department 12-29-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second s

ALL AND AND A

a.c. 174 1

,

10 7 1 1 F ...

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Frank E. Melcher to construct single family residence with 4-foot sideyear, where 5 feet required, on Lot 9, Block B, Presidic Hills, adjoining 2476 Presidio Drive, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 1-11-51 Council Res 5205 following

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Pecember 27 , 19 _____ , 19 _____ , 50

Secretary

all with the state of the state	
Application Received	By E.C. Van Hune City Planning Department
Investigation made7-27-50	By <u>Allen Jones Briton</u> Ety Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12-27</u> Decision <u>Derect</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12-24</u> Planning Commission <u>12-29</u> - 5° Petition	Date $12 - 27 - 50$ 2-50 Building Inspector $12 - 29 - 50$ Der $12 - 28 - 50$ Health Department $12 - 29 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

a. The Mary Sec. 2.

with the lot of the state of th

and the second s

The second tax thread on the carrier prover the

Sale + Stallori

RESOLUTION NO. 107621 See Res. 5205

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the request of Frank E. Melcher, 2476 Presidio Drive, for a six months' extension on Council Resolution No. 105344, adopted February 5, 1952 and on Council Resolution No. 100913, adopted January 11, 1951, granting side yard variance to permit construction of a single-family residence with a 4-foot sideyard, on Lot 9 Block B, Presidio Hills, adjoining 2476 Presidio Drive, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby denied.

I pourshy mutifies the abo	ove to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 107621
the Council of the City of San Dieg	o, as adopted by said CouncilJuly 17, 1952
of the	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG
FORM 1270	ByDeputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 105344

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the request of F. E. Melcher for a six months' extension on Council Resolution No. 100913, adopted January 11, 1951 granting side yard variance to permit construction of a single-family residence with a 4-foot sideyard, on Lot 9 Block B, Presidio Hills, adjoining 2476 Presidio Drive, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby granted, as recommended by the Planning Department, under date of January 29, 1952 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no further extension of time on said variance will be granted.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>105344</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>FEB</u> 5 1952 FRED W SICK

Donald L. Steinert

By....

City Clerk.

Deputy.

ACORH FORM 1270

RESOLUTION NO. 100913 see 5205

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Frank E. Melcher, 2476 Presidio Drive, Tom the decision of the Honing Committee in denying by its desolution No. 5205, wariance to the provisions of Ordinance to. 8924, Section 82, to parmit construction of a single-family desidence with a 4-foot sideyard, on Lot 9 Block B Presidio Hills, djoining 2476 Presidio Drive, in Hone R-1, be, and it is hereby ustained; and said Honing Committee decision is hereby pverruled ad denied.

FRED W. GICK City Clerk.



WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul F. Nauman to build 4 units (2 duplexes) on lot with 10-foot access court back to the first living unit, the other 3 living units to be served by a 5-foot access court, on East 26 feet of Lot 13, Block 31, Normal Heights, at 3214 Adams Ave., "C" Zone, on condition the owner construct and maintain off-street parking for not less than 3 automobiles on the rear of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5206

M 2145

ed.

-December 27 , 19-50

12-12-50	By_	E.C.	Van Huse City Planning Department
2-27-50	By_	alle	City Planning Department
Committee <u>12-27-</u>	SU H	Hearing da	ate
to City Clerk 12-28.	- 50 H	Building In	nspector 12-29-50
L-L-S Petitione	eriz		nealth Department / == = 5 0
erk, date	(Jouncil He	earing, date
	I	Date	
ctive			
	(Continued	to
]	Date of act	tion
	$\frac{2-27-50}{C_{o}}$ Committee <u>12-27-</u> Comdul. to City Clerk <u>12-28</u> 2-29-50 Petition	$\frac{2-27-50}{12-27-50}$ By Committee $\frac{12-27-50}{12}$ F to City Clerk $\frac{12-28-50}{12-28-50}$ F erk, date ctive	Committee $12-27-50$ Hearing da Could Date 12 to City Clerk $12-28-50$ Building I 2-29-50 Petitioner $12-28-50erk, date Council HeDate$

and some of the second s

iset is a substant of the state of the state

solvering and a second of an or property (a particular to the second of the

The first state of the state of the state of the state of the state of

the second second with the state of the second second

PATTERNAL CONTRACTOR STATES TO A CONTRACTOR OF THE

NGDEDST 1. . .

of the train State and

10-14 F

Trange Breeze

, .

CUBRIC MALINE 19705 St

50+* C200

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8849</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. W. Anderson Corp. to erect 5-foot high solid vertical board fence in front of setback lines on Lots 58, 59, 83, and 84, Plumosa Manor Unit No. 2 at Northeasterly end of Poinsettia Drive and Southeasterly end of Kingsley Street, Zone R-1, on condition that any fence in the street and beyond the setback lines be removed when the above-named streets are extended.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By__

Secretary

Application Received _12-12-50	By 7-W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
	City I failing Department
Investigation made _12-27-50	_ By <u>Allen Jones Buton</u> Gity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-2	-7-5- Hearing date
Desision OPPER Constitution	Date $12 - 27 - 50$ $-28 - 5^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $12 - 29 - 50$
Decision little and to City Clark (2-	28-SuBuilding Inspector 12-29-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	The second secon
Planning Commission /2-27-50 Petitio	ioner /2-27-50 riealth Department /2-27-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

DALA.*

State Training

,

with the state of the

Lough + Should

and the second second and

All and a start for the start of the start o

The factor build of the manager and the second

and any series where the the series of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8874</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albin E. Dahlin to relocate and enlarge garage to 12 feet by 20 feet in rear 30% of lot with no sideyard and no rear yard on East 65 feet of Lot 34 and East 65 feet of South 15 feet of Lot 35, at 3564 Cherokee Ave., Zone R-2. BLK 90 - Sty Ht

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5208

FORM 2145

Dated_

December 27 . 19 50

The second se	
Application Received _12-19-50	By E.C. Van Alex City Planning Department
Investigation made _12-27-5	o By allen Jone & Butow City Planning Department
Const Desolution cent to City Clerk /2	Date $(2-27-50)$ -28-50 Building Inspector $(12-29-50)itioner (2-28-50) Health Department (12-29-50)$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

CONTRACTOR STORES

production of the second se

N. C. Start Ward Strate Cable (Starting

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy C. Eitel, owner, and Sid M. Simmonds, purchaser, to divide into two parcels, each 50 feet wide, and have right to crect two residences on each parcel on Northerly 100 feet of Lot B. Block 3, J. G. Burne's Addition, on East side of Fay Street 150 feet South of Genter Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 27 ' 19 50

FORM 2145

By

Secretary XXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Application Received 12-19-50	By <u>E.C. Van Alue</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $12-27-50$	By <u>Ally Jours</u> Buston
Considered by Zoning Committee $12-27-5$ Decision $22-27-5$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12-2F-5$	Date $72-27-56$ Se Building Inspector $72-29-50$
Planning Commission 12-29-50 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	12-21-So nealth Department 14-47-So
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	_ Continued to Date of action

The second se

1

While I was a second water of all all a strug, and all a strug and all the strugger of a supply was

The approach is a series and a ball of a series of

the second second

AND THE PRESENCE

41 Territ

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5872 & Letter</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carroll N. Anderson to construct an addition to the existing garage with 1-foot side yard and 13-foot rear yard on Lot 13, Block 2, El Retiro, at 4730 Soria Drive, Zone R-2. according to plan submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 27 , 19 50 Dated

By

Seoretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>12-19-50</u> By	E. C. Van Huner City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-27-50</u> By	allen Jones + Buston City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-28-50 H	Date $12 - 27 - 50$ Building Inspector $12 - 29 - 50$ -28 - 50 Health Department $12 - 29 - 50$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council I Resolution becomes effective	Jaic
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

There is a the set of the set of a set of the set of the

Mu entrette en en en tre tre anne en l'amperet de trette en la terret en latert, i de trette la

to the second

,

A REPORT OF CONTRACTS

putner that - to the - the

1.1.1

· warder to . m.

and the subscript of the feature temperature

* (P.J.)

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. R. G. Snell to construct a 3-car garage with 2 living units above, with 4-foot access to the street on Lot 21 and the West one-half of Lot 22, Block 112, University Heights, at 3058 El Cajon Blvd., "C" Zone.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, section Sa, be, and is hereby <u>DENIED</u> as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

__ ^{, 19}_50

December 27

Secretary

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received 12-19-50	By F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department	
	By <u>Allen Jone & Buston</u> City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12-27-50</u> Hearing date Decision Derviced Date <u>12-27-50</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12-28-50</u> Building Inspector <u>12-29-50</u> Planning Commission <u>12-29-50</u> Petitioner <u>12-28-50</u> Health Department <u>12-29-50</u>		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

The second of the second is the second second

a plant school of the second state of the time of the

a search and a search of the search of the search of 2000 in the search of the search

present in the same of the second second

Lants. Thus.

,

matigial and the pilotes.

SOM* MESTI

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8761</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley T. and Ollie A. Burdette to operate pharmaceutical laboratory for compounding and preparation of ointments and other drug preparations, applicants only ones employed, and maximum of 3 Horsepower electrically operated equipment, to be conducted in the living quarters on the front of the property and the 2-car garage in the rear maintained for the parking of automobiles, on Lot 32, except South 5 feet, and all of Lot 33, Block 44, City Heights, at 40352 Van Dyke Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 27 , 19 50

FORM 2145

By

Secretary XXXXXXXX

Res. #5212

Application Received By	F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{12-13-50}{12-27-50}$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{12-13-50}{12-27-50}$ He Decision appr-Condl. $\frac{12-13-50}{12-27-50}$ Decision appr-Condl.	allen Jone Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Decision appar- Condl. 12-27-50 Da	ate 12-27-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-28-50 Bu Planning Commission 12-29-50 Petitioner 12	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to Da	ate of action

plotes and the second s

,

THE THE THE PARTY

Sen - 1.75

1.5

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sylvester L. and Mary P. Haehn, purchaser, and M'Lissa B. Angier, owner, to construct a 4-unit court with at least 3 garage spaces, with no setback on Eagle Street for the garage and laundry building, on all that portion lying East of Reynard Way of Lots G and H. Block 375. Horton's Addition, and portion of Spruce Street and Eagle Street closed adjacent, this portion being triangular lot at Reynard Way, Eagle Street, and Spruce St. closed, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 27 , 19_50

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5213

Application Received _12 - 21 - 50	By 7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12 - 27 - 50</u>	By alle Jone, Buton
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-27-	- 50 Hearing date
D: · · · · ·	1)ate 12-27-50
Const Devolution sent to (ity (lerk /	-50 Building Inspector 12-29-50
Planning Commission (2-29-50 Petition	ner (2-28-50 Health Department (2-29-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The particulation of the algorithm of the algorithm in the particulation of the la A REPORT OF A REPORT OF A REAL THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REPORT OF A REAL OF A

JA 6 7 7 1 2 4 4

OFORCY . . A

allound in LIGGER : The same

,

WELL BE TO PARA

a series a series and the series in the series of the part to be presented a long

Gather and S

the start is the second

The second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8842</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred B. and Elizabeth Thorsen to redivide 6 lots into 5 building sites as follows:

Parcel 1 is Lot 1, except the North 10 feet, and street closing

Parcel 2 is Lot 2, except the North 10 feet, and street closing

Parcel 3 is Lot 3 and the West 5 feet of Lot 4, except the North 10 feet, and street closing Recycled -6-5-1951 - Union Tuto + 50. Tutber.

Parcel 4 is Lot 4 except the West 5 feet, and except the North 10 feet, and street closings

Parcel 5 is Lots 5 and 12 and the North 10 feet of Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, plus street closing

All in Block 9, T. J. Higgens Addition, and permission to construct 2 living units on each parcel, with the exception of Parcel 5 which is to contain not more than 3 living units, on condition that Lots 5 and 12 be retained in the same ownership and not sold separately and that the owner sign an agreement to that effect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 699

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated December 27 , 1950

By E. C. Van Here	
City I famming Departmente	
	A F.
0 By allen Jones Lanceste	- purlon
2-13-50 City Planning Department	
2-27-50 Hearing date	
. Date 12-27-50	
k 12-28.50 Building Inspector 12-29-50	
Petitioner 12-28-50 Health Department 12-2	9-50
Council Hearing date	
Counten filearing, date	
Date	and a supervision of the supervi
Continued to	
a set of a second s	
Date of action	
50111-10	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

3

i.

.

71 7

The de

,

12 - 1 - 2 15

.

Ser.

The second se

and a state of the second of the second state south

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. Corey to erect single family dwellings on each of three parcels, each to contain no less than one acre without the deduction for proposed street openings, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1774 as per Record of Survey Map No. 2550 and suggested changes in Harry C. Haelsig's letter dated December 27, 1950, on file in the Planning Department Office, on condition that the owner offer and dedicate to the City a 5-foot strip along the front of this property for the widening of La Jolla Rancho Road and La Jolla Mesa Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ December 27_____, 19_50

By_

FORM 2145

Res. #5215

96	
Application Received <u>11-29-50</u> B	y P. Q. Butow City Planning Department
12-13-50	y <u>Allen Jones Lancaster Buston</u> City Planning Department
12-13-50	II and the
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-13-50 Decision appr. Committee	Date 12-27-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27-5 Planning Commission 12-29-50 Petitioner	Date $(2-27-50)$ Building Inspector $(2-29-50)$ (2-28-50) Health Department $(2-29-50)Council Heating date$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
PL inny	
PL	
and the second states of the second states and the second states a	the second of the second s
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	1911 - an ana agoar entro norre march a ag
de toos	ALL TIT I TO DESTRIPTION OF OF OF OU
deman in the second for the second	and a support of the set of the s
A Y Y Y	B A-C B TITE B
4/14	COREY
201	Kiel I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
	LA JOLLA RANCHO RD
	the state of the s
	A .
	43300
4	the second
1	
a server server a ser	the second second of the second
~~~~ '	
	The second se

1129 M MAR TOT TIME &

Letter dated December 22, 1950

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Resolution No. 5095 dated November 1, 1950, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Will D. and Crystal K. Rudd, to construct a single family residence with a 5-foot setback, on a portion of Lot 1, Block 32, University Heights, and that portion of the South Half of Golden Gate Drive closed by Resolution No. 17806 and Resolution No. 33460, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being on the northeast corner of Massachusetts Street, and Golden Gate Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated - ' ¹⁹-50 December 27 FORM 2145

By

Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Application Received 12-22-50 By P. Q. Button City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-27-50 By allen Jone & Burton
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-27-50 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date 12-27-50
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk (2-28-50 Building Inspector (2-2-9-50
Planning Commission 12-29-50 Petitioner 12-28-50 Health Department 12-29-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

int t

-01071.07 N

,

, the of provers

w . 8) I must make outside of a monthought france.

The second second

is a star of the set the subministry as a set of the introduct

. In the state of the second second

and the second provide the statistic of the second

'60" # 1070

10 00

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel R. Fivecoat to operate a dog kennel (for 5 dogs), pups to be disposed of on or before they are 12 weeks old, on Lots 44 to 46, inclusive, Block 261, Guions Addition, Lots 44 to 46, inclusive, Block 261, Hoel's, and Lot A, Block 261, Arlington, at 3709 Cottonwood, in Zone R-4, license to be revokable and this variance to expire June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 27 ____ , 19_50

By.

Secretary
Application Received 12-26-5	By P. Q. Butow
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-27-50	By <u>allen Jones Buston</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-	2.27-50 Hearing date
Decision appr - Condl.	Date 12-27-50
Come of Decolution sent to City Clerk	(12-28-50 Building Inspector 12-29-50
Planning Commission 12-29-50	Petitioner 12-28-50 Health Department 12-27-50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second second

12 Your off, on our off, to the flog world from the first first from the flog world from the flog world from the first from the flog world from the flog world from the first from the flog world from the flog world from the first from the flog world from th

The second of the second to the second of th

. . . . .

A SALAN STATE AND A SALAN AND A SALAN AND AND A the production of the second second second second

The state of a state of the state of a state of a state, sealow by more and

1 1

.  and the set of the later

- and - U.D. -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8848</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1000 1

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Resolution No. 5203 dated December 27, 1950, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Palmer and Margaret E. Hughes, owners, and Palmer and Marian R. Hughes, purchasers, to construct the garage portion of a residence with a 7-foot setback on that portion of the North 30 feet of Pueblo Lot 1260 and South 5 feet of Block 1, La Jolla Park, lying between Vista Del Mar Avenue and Neptune Place as shown on City Engineer Drawing 3374-B, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 3 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>12-14-50</u> B	y <u>E.C. Van These</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-27-50</u> B	y <u>Allen Jones Buton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12-27-50</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-4-51</u> Planning Commission 1-4-51 Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

3

the second second second

Printell And

FRA. 11.

,

6X .....

source of great

la statistic . Willier, pratez , end i liste

· contraction and the second second second second second

the state of the s

or Lin

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William G. and Nellie M. Douglas to divide lot into 4 parcels according to plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, and to construct not more than 2 living units on each parcel, with setbacks to be observed according to the regular City Ordinance, but in no case less than 15 feet on both streets, on South  $\frac{1}{2}$  of West  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Southeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Lot 25 of a portion of Ex-Mission Lands (Horton's Purchase) at Northeasterly corner of intersection of Hilltop Drive and 42nd Street in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

RICE STORY

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated

January 3 , 19 51

Application Received <u>12-18-50</u> By	City Flamming Department
Investigation made $\frac{12 - 27 - 50}{1 - 3 - 51}$ By $12 - 27 - 50$	allen Jone Lancaster & Busto (City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
- ·· Orech M.	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-4-51</u>	Building Inspector <u>1-4-51</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council freating, date
Desision of CollDCI	Date
Perclution becomes effective	
Application withdrawii	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Contract of the second second second second

and the second of the second part is made to get a second

and a state of the second of t

the surger the start is

and a some the BIT for some start is the solution of the

. . . x

- - - ·

,

and the second state of the

fortigen in garage.

RESOLUTION NO. 5220 see 101070 nept page

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8683</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert W. Little to construct a single family residence on a parcel of land split out of original lot after zoning on Westerly Half of Lot 17, Block 3, Warner Villa Tract, on North side of DuPont Street, approximately 75 feet East of Catalina Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______ January 10_____, 19_51

Break with

APPEAL FILED SETFOR 125/51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

L

Application Received <u>12-20-50</u> By <u>7.20. Mc Concel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made By allen, Jones Lancaster Bur (2-27-50 By Allen, Jones Lancaster Bur City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision City Planning Department Date 1-10-51 Date 1-10-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>
Planning Commission (-12-5) Petitioner (-11-5) Health Department (-12-5) Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to   Time limit extended to Date of action

A CARLES AND A CARLES

the state of the state of the state of the state of the

The second state of the se

the st raises to an apparer of I the Dalland

2 TO 220 HAR HER HER TH

 $f^{*}$ 

5

HELP' L & T. W. W.

1 1 1 1

,

trendersolly of the

water The

THOT OLL & COMPLETATION OF

# RESOLUTION NO. 101070

### BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Arthur P. Loring, 3726 DuPont Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 5220, application No. 8683, to Robert W. Little variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, Section 4, to construct a singl family residence on a parcel of land split out of original lot after zoning, on the westerly half of Lot 17, Block 3, Warner Villa Tract, being on the north side of DuPont Street, approximately 75 feet east of Catalina Bodlevard in Zone R-1, be and it is hereby denied and said Zoning Committee is hereby sustained.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5816</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

12 ....

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{[alifornia, as follows:}

Permission is hereby DENIED to W. L. and N. A. Dean to construct addition to existing kitchen with 4-foot sideyard on Lot 23, Block 24, Sunset Cliffs, at 1099 Sunset Cliffs Blvd., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{Voked} automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-^{ruction} permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ^{Ath} day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 19_51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

AM 2145

January 10

A PERFORMANCE IN THE PERFORMANCE INTERPERFORMANCE INTERPE INTERPERFORMANCE INTERPERFORMANCE INTERPERFORMAN	
Application Received By	
Investigation made $(2-27-5)$ By $(2-27-5)$ By $(2-27-5)$ By $(2-27-5)$ By $(2-27-5)$ He considered by Zoning Committee $(1-10-5)$ He	allen Jones Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
I UNSIGEICU DY DOMANS	ate 1-10-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51 Bu	vilding Inspector 1-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk bt	Inding Inspector
Planning Commission (-12-5) Petitioner (-1	realth Department rest /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to Da	ate of action

and a set of a basis and a strange of a set of the set

14

con fa constant fair a the fair a f

and the first and an and a start of the second second and the second s

3 . 4 .

3

÷ .

1.5

,

ĉ. Poj

Contrat Juli Turnes

Car a Dray

"11 12 12

Letter dated December 9, 1950 Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Appl of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Six months extension from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4731 is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. John Bretz, Jr., to construct an apartment hotel and garages with no setback, on Lots 19 through 26, Block 6, La Jolla Strand, on the following conditions:

A corner cut-off be granted to the City, at the Northwesterly 1. corner of Gravilla St. and Electric Ave .:

This variance not to become effective until the effective 2. date of Ordinance No. 4432, New Series.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

____ ^{, 19}_51 Dated January 10, FORM 2145

By

XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By P. O Burtow City Planning Department
	By <u>Allen, Jones Lancaster, Bust</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-5	/ Hearing date
Decision approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-5</u>	Date 1-10-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-5	L Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>
Planning Commission (= (2-5) Petitione	r /~//~ S / Health Department /~/ Z-S /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

14-21 (Sr 14

1.1

area Arteco

i

WEV SHAW CON

Act of the other

Strain C. -

,

ghi it is here it

and when when

WE THE WAT THE MARK

+ :

.

,

.

Hat - Cours

Water Charles

the providence of the second states of the second states of the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herchel L. and Gertrude M. Bates to crect triplex and garages maintaining 12-foot setback on Tennyson and average of the block (10*6*) on Wabaska Drive on Northerly portion of Block H. Pt. Loma Heights, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Zone R-4, provided 3 off-street parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ , 19_____, 19______

_____

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>12-7-50</u> By	y <u>7. W. Mc Concell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-13-50</u> B	y allen, Jones Lance ter, Buton
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date (2-13-50 Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u> In(2-51 Health Department (-12-51)
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A MARANA S . 3 *

want to serve the ba

4. 152



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8853</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

10.01

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albertine Gronberg to sell off petitioner's private library, 95% of sales by mail, and to sell other books in connection therewith; such activity to be limited to two hours per week, no signs, no employees, no advertising; on Lots 29 and 30, Block 23, Ocean Beach Subdivision, at 4619 Niagara Ave., in Zone R-2; this variance to expire June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Souing Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>12-26-50</u> By	7-20. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-10-51</u> By	<u>Allen, Jours Lance ter Bust</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-51	Hearing date
Decision appr Conal Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u>	Date 1-10-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51	Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>
Planning Commission /-/2-5/ Petitioner	I-II-SI Health Department I-IZ-SI
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

in the and the pair providing a side with the base press

The second s

and the second of the second sec

0 64.

States and the state and

1-2-1-1

1 18 La Cal

REPERT OF 19 1

2.0

. .

1 days 1 patients -

1

3

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8552</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

12.12

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifford E. Wells to construct 32 foot fence, 22 feet above first floor level, beyond setback line on Tennyson Street, on portion of Block H, Resubdivision of Point Loma Heights, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 3789 Wabaska Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_____, 19_____

By.

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5225

1/



WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Co. to divide 4 lots into 3 building sites, as follows: Parcel 1 - Lot 69 and North 5 of Lot 70 Parcel 2 - South 5 of Lot 70 and Lot 71 except South 5 feet Parcel 3 - Lot 72 and South 5 feet of Lot 71

in Clairemont Unit #1, on West side of Deerpark and South of Muxley St., Zone R-1, provided each by itself be held in a separate ownership and not divided, and that agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

agreement to 701 Filed with city Cleph - 1 - 8 - 5

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 10 , 19

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Ras. #5226

Application Received 12-27-50 B	By O. E. South. City Planning Department
Investigation made E	by <u>Allen Jones Lancester Bust</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> Decision <u>2007</u> - <u>Concel</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Planning Commission <u>1-12-51</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u>	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hearing date} \\ \text{Date} & 1 - 10 - 51 \\ \text{Building Inspector} & 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\ 1 - 12 - 51 \\$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

-

and the bolic states are setting as a setting of

Congrate "

And the second

1

,

5 . W.

5

RETURN DOCTOR

「湯」、「ここの」

200.70

. .

1. 4

10.20 1 10 100 5-

1

and - Armania and a share and

I. A

pot us

1 1 11 1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

40.90

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Co. to divide 3 lots into 2 building sites, as follows: Parcel 1 - Lot 74 and North 2 of Lot 75 Parcel 2 - Lot 76 and South 2 of Lot 75

in Clairemont Unit #1, on West side of Deerpark, North of Jellett Street, Zone R-1, provided each by itself be held in a separate cumership and not divided, and that agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

a green # 702 Filed with aty clerk

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Bos. 15227

Application Received	By D.E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made	By allen, Jones Lance ter Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-</u> Decision <u>Completers</u> <u>- Could</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-</u> Planning Commission <u>1-12-51</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	$\frac{5}{Date} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac$
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

With Prove the second second second second

All June 1 Jonath in

R. S

,

THE GIVE THE REAL

the family and the second

Cart Line

A CAPACITY AND

....

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

.....

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Rose Lucas to construct single family residence on portion of lot divided after zoning on Southerly 10 feet of Lot S and all of Let 9, Block 11, Bird Rock City By the Sea, on East side of Beauront, between Bird Rock Ave. and Forward St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 10 , 1951

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5223

FORM 2145

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 10 - 51$ By	allen Jones Lancaster Bust
	Hearing date Date /-/0-5/ Building Inspector _/-/2-5/ -//-5/ Health Department /-/2-5/ Council Hearing, date Date
	Continued to Date of action

....

1

- 11 La

a service proving the proving the service to be a service and

A STAR NO

British to the t

to Marine success and

1120000

1

and at the

.

2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8905</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Edmund L. Keeney to construct single family residence with a 12-foot setback on Arbitrary Lot #59, Assessor's Map No. 33, on Spindrift Drive, South of Marine Room, Zone R-1, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 10 , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5229

AN COMMENT OF A	The second s
Application Received	By F. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-5</u> Decision <u>Appen</u> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-5</u> Planning Commission <u>1-12-51</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	late / - / A - E /
	53.2
	PACIFIC
41	
60	59
SP.	KEENEY
SP Nop Sp Nop Sp	58
Assessor's MAP	
33	

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas J. and Doris B. Kavanaugh to split out parcel and erect single family residence thereon, on Westerly 2 of Lot 18. Gardena Home Tract, on Southwesterly side of Gardena Ave., approximately 265 feet Southeast of Illion Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

__ ^{, 19}51 January 10 FORM 2145

Dated_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $(-10-51)$ By	allen, Jones Lancaster, Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-51	Hearing date
Decision app -	Date (~10-5)
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51	Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u> -11-51 Health Department 1-12-51
Planning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner /	-11-51 Health Department 1-12-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

8 8 8 8 9

Tert with a state of the state

to the start of the end

,

and the state of these

TT ZUCKER

to provide the second second second second second

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8903</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to U. D. Jones to construct single family dwelling on lot divided after zoning on Easterly 50 feet of Westerly 100 feet of Southerly 150 feet of Lot 2, Encanto Heights #2, on North side of Broadway approximately 300 feet East of 60th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

## ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 10 , 19 51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5231

FORM 2145

Application Received	By <u>7. W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 10 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jonen Lancaster Burto</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-5</u> Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-(1-5</u> Planning Commission <u>1-12-5</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	$ \begin{array}{c} \underline{\ } Hearing date \\ \hline \\ Date \\ \hline \\ \underline{\ } Date \\ \hline \\ \underline{\ } L Building Inspector \\ \underline{\ } $
Resolution becomes effective   Application withdrawn   Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

I share at the alternal and other to be the the law

.

Set & Strates

### 5232

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Will D. Rudd, owner, James B. Eschwege, purchaser, and Miss Ruth Menten, owner, to divide into 2 parcels as follows: (1) West 75 feet and (2) East 65 feet and 10 feet of closed Rhode Island Street, Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 32, University Heights, at Golden Gate Drive and Rhode Island St., Zone R-1, provided 15-foot setback be maintained on Golden Gate Drive and regular City Ordinance on Rhode Island.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12985, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 10 , 19 51

By.

Secrebery

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5232

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>1-3-51</u> By	D.E. South. City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-10-51</u> By	Allen Jone Lancaster Busto
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date /-/0-5/ Building Inspector /-(2-5/ Health Department /-/2-5/
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and for a state of the state of the state of the provide of the state of the state

ALC: NO

legion

17 Star 124 oppl:-

again ( . ) 200411. U

,

1072 1. . .

provings - c d - there .

in a busic theory is between the parent handness

and the second second

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT

of WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8823</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Silas E. and Helen W. Gould to construct a single family residence on portion of Pueblo Lot 145, according to legal description on file in Planning Department Office, said portion divided after soning and having no street frontage, but served by a private easement from Ladera Street, on condition that the owner grant an easement to the City of San Diego over and across the Westerly 50 feet of the land described in Application No. 8823, on file in the Planning Department, and lying adjacent to the proposed Mean High Tide Line as shown on City Engineer's drawing No. 4712-L, dated March 7, 1931, said easement shall convey to the City of San Diego on behalf of the public the right to pass over and across said 50 foot strip of land for the purpose of going to and from the adjacent beach or beaches. Easement Granted to City

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, bs. 5-28-57and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

January 10

Dated

Application Received _____ By ____ By ____ C. B. Paco City Planning Department Investigation made ______ I-10-51 By <u>Aller Jones Kanc</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> Hearing date Decision appen. Condit. Date 1-10-51 Decision appr. conde. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u> Planning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner (-11-51 Health Department (-12-5) Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to 5 LADERA COMPANY NOTING AND ANTI STUDIES : d 600 Croven PACIFIC 200

STADIAN STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8915</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wallace Korson to erect a triplex with one unit being served by a 6 ft. 5 in. access court on Mast 70 feet of West 130 feet of South 2 of Lot 26 and Mast 70 feet of West 130 feet of Lot 27 and North 10 feet of Myrtle Ave. closed adjacent, Block 254, University Heights, on Northwesterly corner of Wilshire Terrace and Myrtle Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated_____Junuary 10 , 19 51

By

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5234

Application Received <u>1-4-51</u> By	<u>P.Q. Buston</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made By	allen, Jones Lancaster, Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> Decision appr.	Late $l = l = 5$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-(1-5)</u>	- (- ) Health Department (- (2-))
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.1

STELLY PROPERTY ON UP

We de la company de

and the second state the second state of the second state of

a l'annoir a to sant tal los sithe o parit -

* 1. . . T - ---

attraction of the state

TU11. - . . .

Toosed a strand a set and a strand a strand and

LAS. Jak

No Later

, ,

N SPECTE ST

a logi a transfer a transfer a
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8883</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jasber Frontiero to construct 4-car garage with apartment in the rear and one apartment above, making 6 living units and 6 garage spaces on the lot, with 10-foot rear yard on new structure, approximately 4-foot rear yard on existing structure, and 7-foot access to street on Lot G, Block 223, Horton's Addition, on Northwest corner of First and Elm Streets, Zone "C".

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated_____January 10 , 1951____

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>1-4-51</u> B	y <u>E.C. Van Kine</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 10 - 51$ B	y <u>Allen Jones Lancaster</u> , Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-51	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 1-10-51
Con Constitution cent to ( ity ( lerk /~)	Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>
Planning Commission 1-12-3 / Petitioner	(-11-5/ Health Department (-12-5)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second second

open and the state of the state of the

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Fuller to construct single family residence. Making 3 units on property with 4 ft. 3 in. access leading to 9 ft. access into 16 ft. access to street, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 23, Fairmount Addition, at 4072 - 49th Street, Zone R-4, provided one off-street parking space is provided and maintained in addition to 2-car garage now existing on subject property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Pated January 10 , 19 51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5236

Application Received <u>1-3-51</u> By <u>D. E. South</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made I = 10-51 By allen Jones Lance tes Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee       1-10-51       Hearing date         Decision       Image: - Concil       Date       1-10-51         Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51       Building Inspector       1-12-51
Decision appr Conde Date 1-10-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51 Building Inspector 1-12-51
Planning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner 1-11-51 Health Department 1-12-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

providence and a

17 140 Nr 1 1

TIM

1013 --1991 Sty + 1931 and the

,

,

- - E

1 The state

and the second states and the

gris at and and its line gamme the write

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie E. Speiser to alter an existing garage and add to an existing triplex for a 4th living unit, maintaining existing 3 ft. 6 in. side yard, all units to comply with the 10-foot rear yard requirement, on Northerly 70 feet of Westerly 95 feet of Acre Lot 45, Pacific Beach, at 4775 Jewell St., Zone R-4, on condition that off-street parking spaces be provided back of the setback line for not less than 3 automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 10____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary XXXXXXX Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5237

Application Received II-30-50 By By F. W. me Concell City Planning Department	
12-13-50	
Investigation made 1-10-51 By allen Jones Lancaster to	inst
Considered by Zoning Committee _1-10-51 Hearing date	
necision appr. Condi. Date 1-10-51	
Decision appr. Condit. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>	5
planning Commission 1-12-31 Petitioner 1-1-31 Realth Department	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	AL TON
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

The all address of the second second second

LANDOTTOT

62. 924 Far

,

ant & Caller

the day of a get when the Denter D

THE THE STATE WATER AND THE

and a state of the state of the

the second second and share and the second sec

un pr

The factor of the second se

# dated 1/6/51

WHEREAS, Application No. **ggul: & letter** has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred B. and Elizabeth Thorsen, owners, and M. S. Frazell, purchasor, to erect duplex or two single family dwellings with a 10-foot setback on Myrtle Ave. and S-foot setback on Herbert Street, on Easterly 45 feet of South 90 feet of Lot 4, Elock 9, Higgins Addition, and portion of Herbert St. closed adjoining on East and portion of Myrtle Ave. closed adjoining on South, at Northwest corner of Herbert Street and Myrtle Ave., Zone R-2, on condition that one off-street parking space be provided for each living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ January 10 , 19_51

FORM 2145

By.

Zoning Engineer

Res. 45238

1. A. 193		
Application Received	12-6-50	By E. C. Van New City Planning Department
	12-13-50	
Investigation made	12-27-50 1-10-51	- By allen Jones Lancaster Bust
	12-13	-50 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee	Esi Hearing date
Decision appr.	Conde.	Date 1-10-51
Copy of Resolution ser	nt to City Clerk 1-11	Date 1-10-51 -51 Building Inspector 1-12-51
Planning Commission	1-12-51 Petiti	oner $(-)(-S)$ Health Department $(-)^2 - 5$
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes ef	fective	
Application withdraws	n	Continued to
Time limit extended to	0	Date of action

C. F. AFR. Series

TELES OF THE

CONF. 17. 71

Long Total.

Con parti - Fr

1 1 4 4 2 4 1

1. Carro Trees

,

1.5 1. 1.2 . . . . . .

.

anti data parant.

and a second second

s more thank a second provide the start of a start of the second se

TIN PART TO ALTER ANTING THE ATT

VOL* 2:04:34

1.2

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED to J. M. Stroud</u>, owner, and J. P. Haley, purchaser, to build single family residence on portion of lot split out after zoning, without street frontage, but facing on an easement approximately 30 feet wide, to be recorded, on portion of Lot 44, Point Loma Terrace, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at end of Catalina Place adjoining Lot 28, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, section 4, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

### ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 51

FORM 2145

-----

Secretary

1 the bird Persta timella Application Received _____ By E. C. Van The City Planning Department Investigation made <u>1-10-51</u> By <u>Allen</u> <u>Jones</u> <u>Lance</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-51 Hearing date_ Decision Deniel Date 1-10-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk (-11-51 Building Inspector (-12-51) Planning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner (-11-51 Health Department (-12-51) Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action IVD. B CATALINA J rt 1 26 3 31 2 × 30 2 1919 "d' ALALAS SUGA Π 1967.5.6. 29111 Cudhyko juni 28 THE WITTE OUT IT 4. 30 M IN 31.40. 710" 50 STroup VIV 140' FAUlconer Chill Brief, Diality and STroud Distances of the states Charley Charles of the State LOT 4 LOMA POINT 1001 ERRACE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8927</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marvin Herrmann to build 660 sq. ft. garage with 15-foot rear yard on Lot 5, Coronado Vista, at 620 San Gorgonia Street, Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 10 , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By	P. Q. Bustow City Planning Department
avestigation made $1 - 10 - 51$ By	Aller Jones Lancaster Bust City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> H Decision <u>Appr</u> . Decision <u>Input</u> D Decision Sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> B	learing date
recision appr. D	ate 1-10-51
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51 B	uilding Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>
Anning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner	Health Department 1-12-5
APPeal filed with City Clerk, date C	council Hearing, date
Pecision of CouncilE	Date
Risolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

· £ ₹

Nº ABRETHERE

.

2 De amounter

State Contract & a

Algere a second

1000 1. 12 . 12 3

the all more you gut this

- MIRE * Production of the state of the state

I served and a server and a server and a server and a server as

THE PERSON PERSON PERSON AND A PERSON PERSON AND A PERSON PERSON

some start the match with a start of a defenden of some short for the

PLID.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8920</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. M. Rodefer to excavate approximately 2500 cu. yd. soil to be removed from property on Southeasterly 110 feet of Block 544, 545, and 546, Old San Diego, and Jefferson Street closed and Congress St., except the 100 feet Easterly of La Jolla Ave., on the Northwesterly side of Witherby Street from sewer easement across Block 546 to a point 100 feet Northeasterly of La Jolla Ave., Zones "C" and R-4; subject to any conditions which may be imposed in the excavation permit which may be issued by the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990, section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

#### ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Saccetacy

Zoning Ingineer

FORM 2145

Dated____

January 10 , 19 51

L

and the second se
plication Received 1-5-51 By P.Q. Button City Planning Department
restigation made <u>1-9-51</u> By <u>Rick &amp; Butten</u> City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> Hearing date cision appro- Conde. Date 1-10-51 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u> Potitioner (1-12-51)
rision and the Company Date 1-10-51
asion sent to City Clerk (-11-5 / Building Inspector (-12-5)
anning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner 1-11-51 Health Department 1-12-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Treating, date
solution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

77 2

NOT T FIGHT

i and

. · · ·

,

AND-

Der ander ander andere andere

and some the production of the spectra states of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5067</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen S. Jessop to erect single family residence, maintaining a 10-foot setback on San Remo Way, on Lots 7 and 8, La Playa Highlands, on West side of San Gorgonio Street, at the intersection of McCall Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary

City Zoning Engineer

Res. #5242

FORM 2145

January 10 ' 19 51

Dated___

The second s	
	By P. Q. Butow City Planning Department
Investigation made $-1-10-51$	By <u>Allen, Jones, Lancater, Bust</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date 1-10-51 <u>1(-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u> itioner 1-11-51 Health Department 1-12-51 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

M. O. W. Marri

1 Lew

1 1 1 1 1

I rear a management of the state of the

any the set of the set of 

the man man and the second and the second

and the second sec

a first star late i said , its - ing statistic .

TRAP BASTIC



Barbara had a

The wanted a to a

1011 ELLE 4 1

O I ALL THE PART

--- *

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. Wanicky to construct single family residence, making 3 living units on lot, with S-foot access to street, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 40, Seaman & Choates Addition, at 1733 Dale St., Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking be constructed at extreme rear of the lot to provide 3 off-street parking spaces.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By

Dated ______ January 10 _____, 19_51

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5243

FORM 2145

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Application Received	12-18-50	_ By <u> </u>	City Planning Department	
Investigation made	12-27-50 1-10-51 12-2	By <u>allen</u>	Ocity Planning Department	5
Considered by Coninc	r ( ommittee /~/0"	S / Hearing da	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
Diani Commission			nealth Department / / /	
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council He Date	aring, date	
Resolution becomes ef	n	Continued		
Time limit extended t	o'	Date of act	:10n	

CONTRACTOR OF STA

mar - No Dop 2

sto bto the start - not an and include as most and the Berlin L and the second second second and the state of the second state of the second state and the second state of the seco Fill F B to C Stoffen .... notific Sector - > to wrow the treat, and able 3 we . The N S MAN DESCRIPTION TO THE TAX THE TAX , and the state of the second se which has not a part of a prove that and the horizon of

RESOLUTION NO.____

59bh

#### Letter dated January 4, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section has been considered by the Zoning Committee 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Extension of six months from expiration date of Resolution No. 4833 dated July 12, 1950, granting permission to Henry O. Colt to convert an existing guest house and storage room to a living unit with a kitchen, with an existing 2-foot sideyard, the addition to conform and be in the R-2 Zone, on the North 10 feet of Lot 11, all of Lots 13 through 16, except the North 12 feet of the East 87 feet of Lot 16, Block 10, First Addition to South La Jolla, 7221 Olivetas Ave., Zones R-1 and R-2.

Variances to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, and Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

## ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

January 10 , 19 51 Dated_

By

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation made I-10-51 By <u>Allen Jones Lance Ter Bust</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-51 Hearing date
Decision Opper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1-1(-5)}{1-1-5}$ Building Inspector $\frac{1-12-51}{1-12-51}$ Planning Commission $\frac{1-12-51}{1-12-51}$ Petitioner $\frac{1-12-51}{1-12-51}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-51</u>
Planning Commission (-12-5) Petitioner (-11-5) Health Department (-12-5)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

. . .

12 marine

al of

,

......

Contraction of the second

The rest of the set of the set of the set of the

4.000

12. en 17. 249

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennstedt Investment Co. to construct 4 Model Homes on Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, on Portion of Lot 67, Bancho Mission of San Diego, to be known as Dennstedt Point Unit #1, on most Southerly Street on Dennstedt Point Unit #1 at Cotoctin St., Zone R-1, for a period of one year.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

p 118

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated_ January 10

FORM 2145

Bv

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5245

Application Received <u>1-8-51</u> By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $(-10-5)$ By	allen Jone Lancaster Busto
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-10-51	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u>	Date 1-10-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-11-51	Building Inspector 1-12-51
Planning Commission 1-12-51 Petitioner	-((-S) Health Department (-(2-S)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

n na hanna a s

.. 2

and the state of the second second

. .

I where we as he was good

Hunter J. 197

1000

Postante parteces

North All Contraction

"ur mill the mil

and a set of the set o

and the loss of the real spectra cards and the

within an internet

is it a marph where the the for provident

The second second

T ...

5246

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>Bot</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas J. and Doris B. Kawanagh to construct single femily residence on parcel split out of lot after soning, on Northwesterly 2 of Lot 17. Gardena Home Tract, on South side of Gardena Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 55, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______January 10_____, 1951_

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

	and the second se
(See also Reso. # 5230)	
Application Received 1-11-51 By E.C. Van The City Planning Departmen	<u>ی</u>
Investigation made <u>1-10-51</u> By <u>aller</u> Jones Kanne	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> Hearing date Decision appr. Date 1-10-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-11-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-12-55</u> Planning Commission <u>1-12-51</u> Petitioner <u>1-11-51</u> Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date	
Resolution becomes effective	

Strate and the state of the sta

There are a second and the second sec

a set of set of a set of a set of the set of

a for the second of the state of the first state of the s

The provide a start of the start party to be against be

all at the stand of the stands and all

to 170 21 ...

C. 01. 1 . . . .

elern To 1

,

Religion and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8387</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alva W. and Genevieve C. Oliphant to construct a single family dwelling on the North 65 feet of their parcel of land on portion of Lot 17, Rartition of Rancho Mission, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on East side of Euclid Ave. and North of Beverly Subdivision, in Zone R-2, on condition that 10-foot easement for street purposes be dedicated to the City for the widening of Euclid Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, Section Wa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 156

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_51

By____

Zoning Engineer

ered by Zoning Committee 1-	By <u>Allen Jones Lancaster Bu</u> City Planning Department
f Resolution sent to City Clerk g Commission 1-18-51 P filed with City Clerk, date on of Council tion becomes effective	$\begin{array}{c} \hline & \hline $
ation withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
per la ser en de s	RANCHO MISSION
	RANCHO MISSION POR LOT 17
	CITY BOUNDARY
R OLIPHA	
FUCL	BEVERLY
513	
	and a start of the second
	the weather weather the first take been a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>**8908**</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin F. Levere to convert existing building to living quarters (one family) with 27" sideyard on Lots 17 and 18, Block 15, University Heights, at 4629 Oregon, Zone R-4, provided the remodeled building complies with the Building Inspector's requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 24, ____ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5248

Application Received	1-2-5p	By E. Van Hice City Planning Department
prestigation made	1-10-51 1-24-51 1-10	By allen, Jones Lancaster Burto City Planning Department
considered by Zoning pecision Condel.	Committee $1-24$ appendent to City Clerk $1-24$	<u>4-51</u> Hearing date Date 1-24-51 25-5/ Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City	1-26-51 Petit	Council Hearing, date
pecision of Council Resolution becomes ef Application withdraw	fective	DateContinued to
Time limit extended t	0	Date of action

Antenate selat

1

· · · · · · · · ·

A LAN

E III

in the second second

and the second sec

2 pt

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Seedorf to divide portion of Lot 34 into 4 building sites as shown on sketch on file in the Planning Department Office and to build one single family residence on each on Portion of Lot 34, Lemon Villa, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Ogden Street near Shiloh, in Zone R-1, subject to acceptance and dedication by the City Council of a 13 foot strip for the widening of Ogden Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 154, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Easement granted to City 1-30-51

page 11

Bes. #5249

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated	January 18,	- '	¹⁹ 51
			-

FORM 2145

By_

City Zoning Engineer

S. C Application Received _____ By___ V a City Planning Department Investigation made _____ By____ By____ La onea nco City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-17-51 Hearing date Decision appr - Conde. Date 1-17-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-18-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-19-51</u> Planning Commission <u>1-19-51</u> Petitioner <u>1-18-51</u> Health Department <u>1-19-51</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action t 0 1 H 5 ST OGDE EMON and a love of the state of the in a state of the part of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. 8886 ___ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ NO _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- not 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Margery S. Hutman, owner, and Louis Feller, dba Astor Lumber Co., lessee, to store lumber on that portion of Pueblo Lot 243 and Lots 9 and 10, Block 4, Drucker's Addition, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, 4000 Midway Dr., in the "C" Zone.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4407, New Series, and Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

51

	2	ZONI	NG	COMM	ITTEE
CITY	OF	SAN	DI	EGO,	CALIFORNIA

hte	db			, 1	9
ORM	2145	January	24		53

Secretary XXXXXXXX

Res. No. 52

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	1-12-51 By <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made/ _	- 24-51 By <u>Allen Jones Birkel + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Co Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to Planning Commission /-	committee $1-24-51$ Hearing date         Date $1-24-51$ Date $1-24-51$ o City Clerk $1-25-51$ Building Inspector $1-26-51$ $26-51$ Petitioner $1-25-51$ Health Department $1-26-51$ erk, date         Date         Date         tive
LOCKER S	De la compañía de la
JUPITER ST. HEALT MIDWAY	HORIN P.L. 243 HORING STONTION Drive

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8959</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Earl Gustafson to build porch addition to store building with O' setback where 5 feet required on Northwesterly 55 feet of Southwesterly 55 feet of Pueblo Lot 317 at 2804 Midway Drive, "C" Zone, on condition that porch addition be removed at no expense to the City if and when the City requests it for street widening, and an agreement be signed and recorded to that effect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 191, New Series, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 705 Filed in City clenk's office 1= 26-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ January 24 _____, 19 51

*ORM 2145

By.

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5251

Application Received	1-12-5\$	By D. E. South
- Iprication Received	<u> </u>	City Planning Department
Investigation made	1-24-51	_ By <u>Allen Jones Burkel + Burt</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 1-24-	<u>51</u> Hearing date
Decision appr	. Condil .	Date $1 - 24 - 51$ 5-51 Building Inspector $1 - 26 - 51$
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 1-25	5-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission	1-26-51 Petitio	ner /-25-5/ Health Department 1-26-5/
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

The four the trade and the of the state of the state

and the state of t

· . . . .

gaser ....

,

REGEL OF THE

. en t.e.e.e.e.

on 60. 1

diose 1-17

LALON O TOT

DUTCH THE TREET.

and the second second second second

The state of the state of the state of the

and the start in the further was the to the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section is of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louis and Alice M. Vothelin to construct 32 ft. cyclone type fence on top of existing retaining wall, making over-all height 7 to 8 ft., on Lots 25 and 26, Block 3, Ocean Beach, at 4505 Saratoga, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated _______ , 1951

_____

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

ORM 2145

Application Received By E_C_Van Hine City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>Allen, Jones Birkel &amp; Burte</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-4-51 Hearing date
Decision appendent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner (-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

21 8 51 ...

,

her when

and Station
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8940</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Fiedler K. Lutes to erect an addition to the living room of an existing residence, the addition to have a 10 ft. setback, on the Southwesterly 75 ft. of Lots 5 and 6, except the Northwest 5 ft. of Lot 5, Block 152, Roseville, 2004 Willow St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____January 24____, 19_5]

Secretary

Res. No. 5253

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By_

plication Received 1-15-51 By <u>G. Rick</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made 1-24-51 By allen Jones Brikel But
nsidered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hearing date
Date 1-24-51 Device Date 1-24-51 Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51 Anning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
anning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
Pplication withdrawn Continued to
Ine limit extended to Date of action

and the second state of th

and all you

the second state of the second state

and the set of the set of the second second

and the second

新教 前月 1 7

御:王朝(今日)

The Artistan . . .

HOR DI LLOSS.

WIR CALLARS

2,0007

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8965</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Kettenburg, Jr., to erect 40 ft. x 70 ft. showroom, stockroom, and office in connection with existing beat works on Lots 5 and 6, Block 29, Roseville, on Northerly side of Carlton Street between Scott and Shafter Streets, Zone R-4, on condition that a hedge be planted on both Carlton and Dickens Streets for full length of the property except where a building replaces a fence on the lot line, that the premises be cleaned up, and that all buildings be re-painted; all the above work to commence within thirty days.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

By_

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5254

FORM 2145

Application Received5-51	By <u>F. W. Mc Comele</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u>	_ By allen Jones Birpul, Buto City Planning Department
Unsidered by Zoning Committee 1-2-4	-51 Hearing date Date 1-24-51
Planning Commission (- 26-5) Petiti	ioner /-25-5/ Health Department /-26-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- and - and the

2

A STAR * DATE OF A

The stands are supply a site and the stand of the same

and the second second

,

Clargen an anna a the man to the second of t I preserve the contraction of the second of This entrementer proper - treatment in straining on the thirty proper and the development or the table of tabl NALE' IT ALL the start of the print of the protocold and the en en en transferencia provide ana statica 3 . 1 a Martin C.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9001</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. Kettenburg, Jr., to erect 40 ft. x 70 ft. showroom, stockroom, and office in connection with existing boat works, with O' setback where 15 feet is required, on Lots 5 and 6. Block 29. Roseville, on Northerly side of Carlton Street between Scott and Shafter Streets, Zone R-4. on condition that a hedge be planted on both Carlton and Dickens Streets for full length of the property except where a building replaces a fence on the lot line, that the premises be cleaned up, and that all buildings be re-painted; all the above work to commence within thirty days.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 24 , 19_51

FORM 2145

By__

Secretary.

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5255

By P. Q. Bustow City Planning Department
ondy a remaining a operation
_ By <u>Allen, Jones Buchel</u> , Burt
City Planning Department
-51 Hearing date Date 1-24-51 -5-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Date 1-24-51
-5-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
ioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Council Hearing, date
Date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

I a confidence

a stand of the stand of the

THE SET ATT

the state put it.

The second second second second second second second

.

.

CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR

.

.

Se contracto

,



RESOLUTION NO. <u>5256</u> Rel 101294 Following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8961</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby DENIED to Mid-City Heights, Inc., to construct a residence with attached garage, with a 6 ft. setback on Milton St., being Lot 85, Clairemont Heights No. 1, on the Southeasterly corner of Milton St. and Cecelia Terrace, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Appeal Hearing 2-15-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Porm 2145 January 24

By.

Zoning Engineer

51

Secretary

XXXXXXX

Res. No. 5256

see 5256

# RESOLUTION NO. 101294

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mid-City Heights, Inc., from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5256, application No. 8961, variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 to permit construction of a residence with attached garage, with a 6 ft. setback on Milton Street, being Lot 85, Clairemont Heights No. 1, on the southeasterly corner of Milton Street and Cecelia Terrace, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby denied; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 101294 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council ______ Feb. 15, 1951

		FRED W.	SICK
	Ву	HELEN M.	
MER	FORM 1270 20M - 8/50		Deputy.
			Comment of the second
-	Date of action	ot b	Time limit extende
100	Continued to		Application withdr
Chen a	Phenetic many Manager and States and States and		Resolution becomes
	_Date		Decision of Council
-	- Council Heating, date	ity Clerk, date	Appeal filed with C
	1-2-51 Health Department 1-26-51	noi 1-26-51 Petitioner	Planning Commiss
	1 Building Inspector (-26-51)	e-ca-1 219() vtr.) of tues	noitulosed to van.)
	Date 1-24-51		Decision Decision
	Hearing date	ing Committee 1-24-5	mon we have his mon
-	City Planning Department		Investigation made
2	V allen Bower Tribed K	15-7-1	obem asitenitseun
1990	City Planning Department		ALCONT HOUPPurdder
	F. W. The Cound	a	Application Receive

8978 has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. ____ f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ no ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Mid-City Heights, Inc., to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Milton St., on Lot 112, Clairemont Heights No. 1, at Cecelia Terrace, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated

19 FORM 2145 January 24

51

By

Secretary

XXXXXX

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5257

	and the second
	ACI
Application Received	51 By D-C. South City Planning Department
nvestigation made	By <u>allen Joner Burkels Bust</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Perision (Perision	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	k <u>1-25-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-26-51</u> Petitioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
121	• · · · · ·
	and realized the second second buildings by the
and from the second second	trange and poor which to the end in a solution of
	A STATISTICS IN THE STREAM IN THE STATE
19 - 19 - X	Les a la state de la service d
	the second s
Liun ,	
	the state of the second s
	the second second the second s
	and having the second by the stand of the second second stand
E	
and the second s	in the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8979</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section for Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Mid-City Heights, Inc., to construct a residence with a 5 ft. setback on Cecelia Terrace, being Lot 102, Clairemont Heights No. 1, at Illion St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

## ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_____January 24____, 1951

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made By	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-24-51</u> Decision Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25-51</u> Planning Commission <u>1-26-51</u> Petitioner <u>1-</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date 1-24-51 Building Inspector <u>1-26-51</u> 25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

,

and as a success we wanted that a property of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8919</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to E. I. and Julia E. Bangel to construct a 10 ft. by 32 ft. addition to a non-conforming grocery store, and construct living quarters over said grocery store, with a total of 74% coverage, being the East 38 ft. of Lot 6, Block 19, Hoitt's Subdivision, 2864 "K" St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 24 , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 5259

Application Received <u>1-15-51</u> By	7 - W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By	Allen Jone Birkel, Burts
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date
Decision Decision Section Section Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51	Building Inspector <u>1-2-6-51</u>
Planning Commission /= 26-51 Petitioner /	-25-5/ Health Department /-26-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an experiment of the product of the production of the second second the second second second second second second

THE THE THE STREET WE WITH A REPORT OF THE STREET AND AND ADD

a and the second start and a

Dave al on a second and a second s

,

· This are not a

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section if of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace W. Mahren to construct a second unit on a lot divided after zoning on Westerly 52 feet of Lot 6, Block 4, F. T. Scripps Addition, at 536 Arenas, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. 15260

ated _____ January 24 , 19_51

-----

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Construction of the second sec	
Application Received5-51	By E.C. Van Mice City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$	By allen, Jones Birkel Burto
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-20</u> Decision <u>Appr</u> -	$\frac{4-51}{\text{Date } 1-24-51}$ Date $1-24-51$ $\frac{25-51}{\text{Building Inspector } 1-26-51}$ itioner $1-25-51$ Health Department $1-26-51$
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

14,5* 500

the second of the second second second second

and, Doubled with but, out it in

" P I BOULDER & BEAR THIS I BE THE

. Mode H. T. . Couldred And them.

Sec.

LICKS, C





WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8962</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby DENIED to Earl and Marie Gurman to construct an 18 ft. by 20 ft. addition to a non-conforming grocery store on Lots 23 and 24, except the East 50 ft. thereof, Block C, Teralta Heights, 3602 Meade St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal Hearing 2-15-51 101293





Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ January 24 , 1951

By

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5261

sec 5261

# RESOLUTION NO. 101293

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Earle V. Gurman and Marie Gurman, 3602 Meade Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5261, application No. 8962 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 for permission to construct an 18 ft. by 20 ft. addition to a non-conforming grocery store on Lots 23 and 24, except the East 50 ft. thereof, Block C Teralta Heights, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

		FRED W. SICK
		City Clerk,
	Ву	HELEN M WILLIG
ACORM	FORM 1270 20M - 8/50	Deputy
In all second second	Date of action	fime limit extended to
	Continued to	Application withdrawn
	a harrie have active the base of the second and	Resolution becomes effective
	_Date	Decision of Council
	. Council Hearing, date	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
	i-2-51 Health Department 1-26-51	Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner
	Building Inspector 1-26-51	Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk L-25-51
	19te 1-24-51	Decision Demus
	Hearing date	Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-4-51
	City Planning Department	
st.	y allen gower tribul tou	Investigation made 1-24-51 B
	City Planning Department	
	V E. C. Jan Hue	Application Received /-/6-5/ B
	Charles and a second	

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. D. Goodrich to construct a Masonry fence along the side property line S feet in height on Lots 1 through 5, Block 94, Manasse and Schiller, at 1870 Main Street, Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 24 , 19_51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received 1-16-51 By	<u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $(-24-5)$ By	allen, Jone Buchel, Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee (-24-51) Decision	Hearing date Date $1-24-51$ Building Inspector $1-26-51$ -25-51 Health Department $1-26-51$
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and a second second

YEN INNEL CALL THE ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND INNEL THE PARTY OF THE ALL AND INNEL THE PARTY OF THE ALL AND A

and the second second

hints the "

205014 .

TOP

J

8-12-6

SHOW IN STR.

and the state of the second second

and the second second second second second and second second second second second second second second second s

APP -

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert D. Field and Fred O'Farrell to divide lot into two 65 ft. x 120 ft. parcels with permission to build single family residence on each on Let 5. Fenelon Heights, on Northerly side of Plum Street 105 feet Westerly of Fenelon Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated______January 24 , 1951

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

and the second	
Application Received <u>1-16-51</u> By	y P. Q. Kurtow City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$ By	y <u>Allen, Jonen Bishel</u> , Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date
Decision Office	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2-5-51	Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner	(-25-5) Health Department $(-26-5)$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the states in a

,

N^a Carlos Constantos

TAR PATTOR &

3

Support of Starty D

the end of the second second states and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7402</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to f Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. I. and Hattie E. Westlund to construct a second floor in an existing garage, said second floor to be used for living quarters, with O' sideyard where 3 ft. required, on the West 2 of Lot C, Block 4, Golden Hill Addition, at 2434 Broadway, "C" Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 24 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5264

agent with the table of	in the second
Application Received	By E. C. Van Nine City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jone Bishel</u> Busto
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24	51 Hearing date
Decision and -	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Decolution sent to City Clerk 1-25	-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petition	ner (-25-51 Health Department (-26-5)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

personal provide a second s

A and the second s

,

Merel P Bereinen - State - Sta

1 0

HOP -

STORE TO BE TRADE

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. V. Vogt to construct a second floor in an existing garage, said second floor to be used for living quarters, with C' sideyard where 3 ft. required, and 7-foot access court to the street, on the East 2 of Lot C, Block 4, Golden Hill Addition, at 2442 Broadway, "C" Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated January 24 , 1951

TORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Contraction approach is a second of the second s	
Application Received 1-16-51 By E. C.	- Van Kling City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>Allen</u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hearing date_	internet to the state of play to the state of the
Decision Date (- 2	4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk (=25-5/ Building Inspe	ector 1-26-51
Planning Commission (-26-5) Petitioner (-25-5)	Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Heari	ng, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action	

1. 11 mm - 1

2. Aprilis is the second state of the second state of a menusciple of a men

,

107 provident and the second s

8* 14 13 19 18

and a second to be a second to be a second to the second to be a second to be a second to be a second to be a s

and a support of the second in the second of the second se

a serie and a large by the second for the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald E. and Ruth Shattuck to erect garage with 1 foot setback in conjunction with new residence, where average of the block is approximately 19 ft. 4 in., on Lot 154, Talmadge Park, on second lot South of Madison, West side of Van Dyke, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ited _____ January 24 ____, 19_51

RM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5266

Application Received <u>1-16-51</u> By	y E. C. Van Hine City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$ By	y allen, Jones, Bishel, Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-5.	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-5	Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner	-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

new Provide and the synthesis of the second of the second states of the second s

methical, state is the second of the second se

Promit of the first of the second s

The GAP By a Base The N

7

State - State - State

Part - Link

the second secon

All the second constrained in the following the state

and any shaft on early a subscription of

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Daly Highleyman to build third residence and garage on 3 lots, where 2 residences exist, on Lots 17, 15, and 19, Block 5, La Jella Beach, at rear of 470 Sea Lans, Zone R-2.

initial de la state activities that to as all

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245, New Series, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ath day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ited January 24 , 19_51

By

Zening Engineer

Res. 15267

11	
Application Received6_51	By F. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
$n_{\text{vestigation made}} = 1 - 2 - 4 - 5 1$	By <u>Allen, Jones Birkel</u> , Bust
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 1-24	4-51 Hearing date
Perision appr.	Date 1-24-51
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2	Date (- 24-51 5-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Lanning Commission 1-26-51 Petit	tioner (-25-5) Health Department (-26-5)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

- 2

Mark Revealed
 Mark Revealed

ALT .

,

1986 C. TATA AND STAND

PROPERTY AND STREET AND A STREET

WEFTE A TOKS

the second second to the second

Le - II - C L - C - L'euros, es l'euros

17.2 The second second second second second

t part i ser e transporter a ser e transporter e ser e s E ser e s

Int. a stall, I, I and I and the in the dollars

74.

WHEREAS, Letter dated January 15, 1951 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4853 dated July 26, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4432 dated February 8, 1950, be granted to Philip K. and Edith I. Humberstad to divide a pertion of Lot 59 of Subdivision No. 5 of Let 12 of Eanche Mission, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Northeast corner of Pidgeon St. and Lisbon St., Zone R-1, and erect a single family residence on each parcel as follows:

- 1. 100 ft. on Pidgeon St., and 116 ft. on Lisbon St.
- 2. 50 ft. on Lisbon St., 150 ft. depth;
- 3. 66 ft. on Lisbon St., 150 ft. depth

This permission on the condition that the regular City Ordinance with regard to setbacks be observed on both Pidgeon St. and Lisbon St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

### FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19₅₁ Dated_ January 24 FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

	Statistics - Fin
$\partial = 1$	
Application Received <u>1-15-51</u> By	M. Laechel City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$ By (	allen Jones Buchel Bust City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 He	earing date
Decision appr. Da	ate 1-24-51
Decision approx. Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25-51</u> Bu Planning Commission <u>1-26-51</u> Petitioner <u>1-25</u>	uilding Inspector 1-26.51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

on where a tax address . Tax age

The Excellence consideration and

NB-11-14 NB 2***

F Fit.

,

1.4

to an which the second second

The second second

19-110-100 145-60

Ne dat, Prisia and Salar and Malaria

to the series are a series of the series are a

ME - MAR AT THE AND . .

1021

the particular a state

1 - - N. 72.2

1.0

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles Farrell, owner, and W. L. Canning, purchaser, to divide lot into two one-acre parcels with permission to build single family residence on each on portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, per legal description and plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, on Easterly side of Hidden Valley Road, approximately 600 feet Southerly of Ardath Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ , 19_____, 19_____

By_

Zoning Ingineer

Map Facing 351

Res. #5259

			41+77533	
Application Received $-/-/$		ECZO	71.	
Investigation made $1 - 24$				on
Considered by Zoning Commi Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Planning Commission 1-26 Appeal filed with City Clerk, da Decision of Council	D y Clerk <u>(-25-51</u> B - 51 Petitioner (-2 ate C D	ate /-24-5/ uilding Inspector <u>/-2</u> -5-5/ Health Dep ouncil Hearing, date _	-6-51	
Resolution becomes effective	C	ontinued to Date of action		
Time limit extended to				
	LATIMER (		P.L. 128	8
,	15+2 EARE		16	
L. 1286	153 CPN	EY RD.		
			K,	
			1"	

P

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8954</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to M. P. Walstrom to construct a single family residence with an 8 ft. rear yard, making three living units on the lot with approximately 10 ft. access to the street, being Lot "C", Block 245, Horton's Addition, 2145 Fourth Ave., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

### ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_____January 24____, 195]

-----

Secretary_

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

By

Application Received By E. C. Van The City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Bichel Burton</u> (City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-24-51</u> Hearing date Decision Decision Date 1-24-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-26-51</u> Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective

3.1

OU F MAS THE

1946 T. T.

Date:

,

A DALAPHEN & CARDIN

and a the matine single and there is a there .

.

and the fail to work that and
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8957</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Erwin H. Kramer to construct 15 ft. x 18 ft. 6 in. garage with 0' sideyard and rear yard, to replace existing garage, where 3 foot sideyard and 4 foot rear yard are required, on West 40 feet of East 50 feet of Lot 12, Block 2, Resubdivision of Lots 6, 7, 15, 16, and 17 of Block A, Teralta, at 3249 Meade, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ January 24 _____, 1951

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

and the state of the	
Application Received By	E. C. Van Nice City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$ By	allen Jonen Birkel Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date
Decision $Appr.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25-51</u> Planning Commission $(-26-5)$ Petitioner (-	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51	Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-	-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1

Hereit in a state of the state

والأرواب وأوار المعقر الأ

man at a car and the

120

,

pussion to and a start

And And Hally & Bear

and the self and

star conversion of the creating star proces

234 A DECEMPTIC AT LOSA INFO THE REPORT OF THE CASE

" we to be a the same a second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

5272

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard R. and Nell P. McQueen to excavate approximately 1500 cu. yds. of soil for use as transport material for a new subdivision on Lot 16, portion of Partition of Rancho Mission of San Diego, also known as Charmolee Tract, on Southerly end of Thrush Street, near 59th St. and Imperial Ave., Zone R-1, on condition that owner obtain a permit from the City Manager to make subject excavation and that all conditions in said permit be complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_____January 24 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

1 Mary 1 and 1 and 16 7. W. Application Received _____ By___ mo 0 City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By _____ By _____ By _____ City Planning Department 13, Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hearing date_ Decision appr - Condil. Date 1-24-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51 Planning Commission (-26-5) Petitioner (-25-5) Health Department (-26-5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action AEMORA DR o Pare · IA 3.04 ALAST OT C 16 IN (CL. T. T. OL. W DISS 5 1000 Youn ratio A fer 2 N1 V 0 5 16

T #T 1981.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5910</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James A. Frame and Fred J. Grosso to erect 18 inch high louvered fence on top of existing 6-foot high masonry wall, overall height of 7 ft. 6 in. on side lot line, on Lots 38 and 39, Block 165, University Heights, at 4060 - 30th Street, "6" Zone, on condition the louvers match the existing louvers on the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretar

ated _______ , 19_51

By

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5273

Mr. Mayer Save	
Application Received	By F. W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
Investigation made $(-24-51)$	By <u>Allen Jones Biskel</u> Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee, <u>1-2</u> Decision appropriate Condition Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1</u> Planning Commission 1-26-51 Pe	-4-51 Hearing date Date 1-24-51 -25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51 etitioner 1-25-51 Health Department (-26-51 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

VEALUT NUMBER OF A STATE OF ASTATE OF A STATE OF A STAT

gelen with the

.

monday in a langer

42.11

DATE ATTACK & ALERANDER AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRES ADDRESS ADDRESS

where we have a start of the second start of t

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. L. Carringer to construct residence and attached garage with 4-foot setback on Harbor View Place for portions of garage and residence, where average of the block is approximately 5 ft. 6 in., on Lots 14 and 15, Block 4, Ironton, on Northerly side of intersection of Harbor View Place and Armade Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Zoning Engineer

January 24, 1951 , 19____ ORM 2145

lated_

Application Received	By F.W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-50</u>	_ By allen, Jones Burkel, Burto
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-4-	5  Hearing date
Decision appr	Date 1-24-50
Decision Appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25	S-SI Building Inspector 1-26-50
Planning Commission /= 26-39 Petitio	ner (-23->/ Health Department /-26-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Dettined with City Clerk, dute	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

12 1.1 5:01 1005

112022 2

State of the state

what " Way we get at which is W. Harris March Pitt and The all of i gane i com Nameranis i internet a serie de la serie de la calatració portation ende legeo e concerne de la serie de la calatración de la calatración de la calatración de la calatración de la cala Notas e series de la calatración de la c

- 1 - <u>e</u> Todatel and in the second of the and the second sec

.

The second s

2 -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8923</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. L. Carringer to construct residence with 4-foot sideyard for garage portion of structure, where 5 feet required, on Lots 14 and 15, Block 4, Ironton, on Northerly side of intersection of Harber View Place and Armada Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ January 24 , 1951

By_

Zoning Ingineer

and the second	
Application Received <u>1-17-51</u>	By <u>7. W. Mc Comell</u> City Planning Department
	By <u>Allen Jonen Buchel Buton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-	SI Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-	SI Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-2-6-51 Petition	er /-25-5/ Health Department /-26-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Activity and the second of the second of the second second

3

The group of the state of the second state

a to a second to the second they we to be officer as

the second at the provide

8-1001 178-13-

and the standard of the standard of the

12 20

, .....

1. . . 2. 191

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5974</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. Livengood to construct addition to front and side of existing residence have 3-foot sideyard, where 4 feet required, on Lot 5, Block 6, Normal Heights, at 5027 East Mountain View Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ , 19_51

TORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

The second second second	Rented to the second seco
	By D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$	By allen Jones Buchel Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2	4-51 Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 1-24-51 -25-5/Building Inspector 1-26-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk L	-2 5-5/Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Pe	titioner (-25-51 Health Department (-26-5)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

milde of Aul

20

1 2 T.J . 1 4 Hotel.

94 · S.2549

8 18

T . 1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen S. Jessop to divide two lots into two parcels, according to Record of Survey Map submitted, and to erect a single family residence on each parcel, upper parcel maintaining a 12-foot rear yard, on Lots 7 and 8, La Playa Highlands, on West side of San Gorgonio Street at intersection of McCall St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted______ Jenuary 24_____, 19_51

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5277

Application Received <u>1-8-51</u> By <u>P.Q. Butter</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Birkel Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-10-51</u> Hearing date <u>1-24-51</u> Decision <u>Appr</u> . Date <u>1-24-51</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-26-51</u> Building Inspector <u>1-26-51</u> Planning Commission <u>1-26-51</u> Petitioner <u>1-26-51</u> Health Department <u>1-26-51</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective   Application withdrawn   Time limit extended to   Date of action

- - - · · ·

,

P. 1. 1. E.

and a start of the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8976</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. L. McClennan to construct a 15 ft. by 28 ft. garage with no sideyard, 60 ft. from the front property line, on Lot "M", Block 15, Las Alturas No. 4, 5292 Imperial Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

## ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

ated January 24 , 1951

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

oplication Received 1-18-51 By 7. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
vestigation made I-24-51 By allen Jones Birkel Buston
onsidered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hearing date
Date 1-24-51 Depy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51. Building Inspector 1-26-51
anning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

stationed and the second se

Warman an all DECENTER OF DECENTER and any and and and

wat find an inter

,

. A. Marine

martil animate an sa

and the second second

. ...

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Cory to construct garage, approximately 19 ft. x 39 ft., attached to existing buildings, new structure to have O' sideyard where 4 feet required, on Lots 16, 17, and 18, Block 193, University Heights, at 39352 Centre Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Ss, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 24 , 19 51

-----

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5279

Application Received 1-18-51	By <u>E.C. Van Thre</u> City Planning Department
	By <u>Allen, Jones Birkel Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-5	/ Hearing date
Decision approx	Date $1-24-51$ <u>1</u> Building Inspector $1-26-51$ 1-25-51 Health Department $1-26-51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-5	L Building Inspector <u>1-26-51</u>
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner	1-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

She that is the Although the second state of the polyton

The second second second and second s

· · ·

PRESERVATION PRESERVATION PROPERTY

19 (C. 17) (C. 17)

and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to W. E. and Doreen O. Butterfield to erect a garage addition to an existing residence, to have no sideyard, on Lots 39 and 40, except the Northerly 40 ft. and except the Southerly 45 ft. thereof, Block 287, Pacific Beach, 4211 Gresham St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

## ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

RM 2145 January 24

ited___

, 19

51

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5280

Application Received 1-18-51 By 7	City Planning Department
Investigation made $(-24-5)$ By $\mathcal{A}$	len Jones Biskel Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hear	ng date
Decision Denied Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25-51</u> Build	1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Build	ing Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner (-25	-51 Health Department 1-26-5,
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cound	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
	nued to
	of action

and a state of a second state of a second state of the second state of

а.

and the second sec

Y Sala

man i ma

,

- --

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8711</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

11 1 -

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bank of America National Trust & Savings Association to construct four single family residences to be used as model homes for a period of one year and to be located on lots Nos. 1255, 1299, 1302, and 1304 of Talmade Park Estates #4, on Fortion of Lot 23, Rancho Mission Subdivision, according to the approval tentative subdivision map and in compliance with all laws as applied to the proposed lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2494, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 102

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted January 24 , 19 51

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

RM 2145

Application ReceivedB	y P. Q. Burtow City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 24 - 51$ By	y allen, Jones Birkel Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date Date $1 - 24 - 51$ Building Inspector $1 - 26 - 51$ -25 - 51 Health Department $1 - 26 - 51$
Decision appr. Could	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51	Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner /	-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

a star i star i sentito e

a second of a second of the product of

DI THE ROOM TO

* *

ALK CONTRACT

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Julia H. Schilling to construct a guest house above an existing garage, the entire structure to have a 1 ft. sideyard and a 14 ft. 6 in. rear yard, on Lot 4, Block 5, Kensington Heights, 5114 Canterbury Dr., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed _____ January 24____, 1951

M 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5282

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Application Dessived	1-3-51	By D. E. South
Application Received .		City Planning Department
Investigation made	1-10-51 1-24-51	By allen Jones Birble Buto City Planning Department G-51 Hearing date
Considered by Zoning	Committee 1-24	4-5/ Hearing date
Decision Decision	id	Date
Conv of Resolution set	nt to City Clerk 1-2	25-31 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission	1-26-51 Petit	tioner (-25-S! Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes ef:	fective	
Application withdrawn	1	Continued to
Time limit extended to	)	Date of action

The second second

÷ •

11 E

of the second second second second

and the second of the second second second

1 and nothing

The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee he City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Minnie M. Luts to construct 16 ft. z 20 ft. addition to existing garage, making a total length of 38 feet and 608 sq. ft. in area, with a 3-foot sideyard, on Lot F, Elock 13, Combination Land Co.'s Sub. of Teralta, at 4443 Felton Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed January 24 , 1951

M 2145

_____

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received <u>1-9-51</u> By <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Birkel Burton</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1-25-5, Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Lots of Packs

vair

When the strange in

A LON AND THE A

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ no _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to George L. and Ruth E. Curry to make alterations to an existing residence having a 2 ft. sideyard, on the West 100 ft. of Lot 22 and the West 100 ft. of the North 12-1/2 ft. of Lot 23, Block 58, University Heights, 4509 Kansas St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted _____ January 24 , 1951

RM 2145

-----

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

By

Application Received By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>(</u>	allen Jones Birkel Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-24-51</u> Her Decision <u>Decision</u> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25-51</u> Bui Planning Commission <u>1-26-51</u> Petitioner <u>1-2</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Con</u> Decision of Council <u>Date</u>	incil Hearing, date
A millation withdraway	ntinued to

WHEREAS, Application No.  $\underline{8977}$  has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Berenice I. Stone, M.D. and Mrs. Alice L. Stone, to erect an office on the rear of the property, and to retain an existing sign reading "Berenice I. Stone, M.D.", approximately 30 in. by 5 in. mounted on iron posts approximately 5 ft. high, on Lots 31 and 32, Block 69, Park Villas, 3668 Pershing Ave., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section &c, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted____January 24____, 197___

RM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>1-19-51</u> By <u>7. W. Mc Concell</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Birkel</u> Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-2-14-51 Hearing date
Decision Date 1-24-51
Tony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Decision Date /- 24-5/ Decision Date /- 24-5/ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>/-25-5/</u> Building Inspector <u>/-26-5/</u> Planning Commission /- 26-5/ Petitioner /-25-5/ Health Department /- 26-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

(newspaper)

and the second second

and she will be a stand the second stand

the weather and the second states and the

4.2.2

1055 145 1

1.025-5 - - -

-10-11-11

8. 340

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8925</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>net</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Gas & Electric Co. to build 1600 lin. ft. of E-foot chain link industrial foncing on Lots 1 to 48, inclusive, Eleck 96, Mannasse & Schiller and San Diego Land & Title Co. Subdivisions, at Main, Dewey, Newton, and Evans Streets, Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

## ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

ted_____

January 24

Application Received <u>1-19-51</u> By <u>P. P. Butow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $1-24-51$ By <u>allen</u> Jones Birkel Buten Considered by Zoning Committee $1-24-51$ Hearing date Decision <u>appen</u> . Decision <u>appen</u> . Date $1-24-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-25-51$ Building Inspector $1-26-51$ Planning Commission $1-26-51$ Petitioner $1-25-51$ Health Department $1-26-51$ Planning Colucil Lerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u> Date <u>Date</u>
considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51 Hearing date
Decision appen. Date 1-24-51 Decision sent to City Clerk 1-2-5-51 Building Inspector 1-24
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk
Plannal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective
Resolution becomes crective   Application withdrawn   Continued to   Time limit extended to
Time mint extended to

12.

-- " a" \$

Sec. A Diale

* 5

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5985</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

12.1

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>act</u>adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Gas & Electric Co. to install 150 lin. ft. of 3-foot high chain link fence around Transformer Sub Station on Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 10, Le Jella Park, at Pearl and Eads Streets, Sone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ed January 24 , 19 51

Secretery-

Zoning Ingineer

м 2145

Application Received <u>1-19-51</u> By	C. B. Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-24-51</u> By	allen Jones Buchel Buton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1-25-51}{1-26-51}$ Planning Commission $1-26-51$ Petitioner 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector (-26-5/ -25-5/ Health Department (-26-5/
Resolution becomes effective   Application withdrawn   Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the state of the

\$231 - 14 - K

provide a strand property of the second strand stra

1. ALL DE ton

19 ... ......

A TATE OF AN AND AND AND

and the state of t

a contra se con ma presente servere se l'active de la contra de la con

and the second second reaction on extension of the second se

"32" ..... but hand considered on the Souly Considered

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8935</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

11 1 1

5288

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul T. and Elva G. Walker to divide Portion of Lot 1, Partition of Pueblo Lot 1782, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, into 4 building sites, 3 parcels fronting on dedicated street and 4th parcel to be served by a 50-foot easement from Van Nuys, according to plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, provided the owner grant an easement for street widening of La Jolla Mesa Drive in conformity with Major Street Plan and the drawings on file in the City Planning Department, and that the 50-Plan and the drawings on file in the Serve the 4th parcel, be recorded in the County foot easement referred to, to serve the 4th parcel, be recorded in the County Recorder's Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

- ' ¹⁹-51

Zoning Engineer

RM 2145

January 24

ted_

.

a design of the second s	
1. 1. D. 1. 1-19-51 P.	A & South
Application ReceivedBy	City Planning Department
Investigation made 1-2-4-51 By	<u>Allen Jone Birkel Burton</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date Date $(-24-5)$ Building Inspector $(-26-5)$ -25-5 Health Department $(-26-5)Council Hearing date$
Decision appr- Condil	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25-51	Building Inspector <u>1-26-51</u>
Planning Commission 1-26-57 Petitioner /	-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	1 ot
1 where we do not a survey of a survey of	/ · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and analysis and the speeds series and series and series and	afreen must reme , the wet all being the
	A
Ja . and when a se waster and a sea a second the	to pread out on the second sec
Nozi la	O' , amont an enversion have and
A. T. A.	and the transferred and the second se
	A have the for the for the for the former of the former of the second se
P. K. M. within And St. 5	the state of the s
200 p	1 29 54° the land for the open
	1727 117 11
DD 00	TT ////A'
PIL V T	
' PZ B	ai y that y is
PZ B	3
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	W Lo
	and provide the second
	1~1
	15
Calls ST	
	10
	LTT
$\backslash$	
\	
	the second

a station in provid

1015 24 121

and the map spin and the factor becaused in the

A DECEMBER OF STREET
WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred J. Cicone to divide Portion of Let 32, Lemon Villa, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, into two parcels as shown on Surveyor's Map submitted, and erect a single family residence on each at Northeast corner of 54th St. and Chollas Road, Zone R-1, on condition he grant easements for street widening and corner cut-off on Chollas Road and 54th Street, and that he relinquish to the City his access rights from his property into Chollas Road.  $\rightarrow OK$  10/2/51

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 154, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be roked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted_____January 24_____, 19_51

RM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

solution becomes effective	$\begin{array}{c} -73-56 \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
ne limit extended to	
water a start were to g	
dillare the	Lot 32
5	a the second and a second point of the second
4	in suite and the set of a set of the set
×1 +1	
	1
7 19	RD.
ty	RD.
Ly	RD.
Ly	RD. HollAS
t in the second	RD.
Ly	RD. HollAS RD.
H Ly Cicover	RD. HollAS RD.
H Ly CICONEL	RD. Hours RD. Lot 31

. A. B. G. C.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William C. and Anna Hubrich to erect second single family residence on parcel split out after zoning on Westerly 50 feet of Easterly 150 feet, North of North Line of University Ave., in Let 29, Lemon Villa, at 5590 University Ave., Zones R-1 and "C", provided parcel is retained in one ownership and the proposed residence be kept 40 feet from the rear let line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 154, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be where automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Res. #5290

ted_____January 24____, 19_51

RM 2145

By_

Secretary XXXXXXX

Application Received $1 - 2 - 51$	By 7. W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
1-10-51	A DALLAR CONTRACTOR OF
Investigation made	By <u>Allen Jone Bushel</u> , Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-	SI Hearing date
Decision appen- Could Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-20</u>	Date $1 - 2 + 51$
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-29	5-51 Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission Petitio	mer 1 - 25 - 51 Health Department 1 - 26 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Recolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5 6 -

man the attended a strategy

station and

NO TALLY GAL

÷

٠.

10日本 大学学会社

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George H. and Hilda Andrea Cogswell to erect 1 single family residence on a parcel of land not of record at time of zoning on East 15 feet of Lot 95 and all of Lot 96, Collwood Park, on North side of Fontiac Street, approximately 135 feet East of Rockford Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be poked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conpotion permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

RM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Res. #5291

Application Received <u>1-2-3-51</u> B	y N.C. Harles City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 2 - 4 - 51$ B	y allen Jones Biskel Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-51	Hearing date
Decision append	Date 1-24-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2-5-51	Building Inspector 1-26-51
Planning Commission 1-26-51 Petitioner 1	-25-51 Health Department 1-26-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

statistic statistic of the set of

Finisher's a N

and the second state of th

the manufacture approve that the second seco

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul L. Blount to use portion of non-conforming store building according to sketch submitted for Retail Sporting Goods store, including sale and repair of guns, maximum of 10 lbs. powder on hand at any time, on South 45 feet of Villa Lot 217 and North 30 feet of Villa Lot 218, University Heights, at 4532 Maryland St., Zone R-4, variance to expire concurrent with his lease approximately one year from this date.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secrecary

January 24 , 19 51

By

RM 2145

red_

Application Received50	By 7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Allen, Jones Birkel Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-24-	5/ Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-25</u> Planning Commission <u>1-26-5</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ner (-25-5/ Health Department /-26-5/
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	

and a set of the set o

and stopped on a char of a

The second reaction and second the second second

- I safed at an antipulation to the control of the second

they wanted allowing the party of the stands i see the stands

the state of the second state of the state of the second state

- I shall be shown had been the

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9004</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Magness to erect single family dwelling on Lot 4, except Northerly 422 ft., Block 131, La Playa, and 1/2 of Laurence Street closed, at San Fernando and Laurence Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

1ted _____ January 31 . 1951

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5293

Application Received <u>1-31-51</u> By <u>P.Q. Button</u> City Planning Department
City Flamming Department
Investigation made By Rick + Buton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-31-51</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Appen</u> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-31-51</u> Building Inspector <u>2-1-51</u>
Decision of Desolution sent to City Clerk 1-31-51 Building Inspector 2-1-51
Diaming Commission 2-1-51 Petitioner/-31-51 Health Department 4-1-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Desolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and a second sec

a life and an annual second second

1 3 3 4

2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5802</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Burnett Furniture Co. to build and operate 3-story furniture warehouse (ground floor all parking) and parking lot, to be in conformity with the sketches submitted, on Lots 7 and 8, Block 6, Crittenden's, and Lot 9, Block 6, Tract 1375, 225 to 300 feet South of University Ave., East side of 7th Street, in Zone R-c.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3285, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted _____ February 7 , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5294

Application Received	By <u>7. W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
1-24-51	
Investigation made 2 - 7 - 51	By <u>Allen Jones Birkel Buto</u> City Planning Department
1-2-4-5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-5	Hearing date 2-7-51
Decision approved	Date 2-7-51
Decision approach Clerk 2- P-S	I Building Inspector <u>2-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 2-9-51 Petitione	r 2-8-51 Health Department 2-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

An end of the second se

So I I THE ON TROUBLE !!

1. y "O

- BORIN .

1011 - 1/1* 71603

1.1

the state of states and the states and the states and the states

340

They, They was a, and and as and

Server Burger

and the rest of the second state and the second state of

The second state of the second s

No.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6921</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Charles M. Lutes and Lyon Electric Co. to construct corregated iron fence ranging from 6 feet to 7 feet 6 inches in height on portion of Lot 10 and all of Lots 11 and 12, according to plan submitted, Block 212, Middletown, at 2095 Moore St., in M-1 zone, provided it is painted a suitable color.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted Fobruary 7 , 1951

RM 2145

By___

Secretary

Res. #5295

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By E. C. Van Mine City Planning Department
Investigation made2-7-51	By <u>Allen</u> , <u>Jones</u> + <u>Button</u> City Planning Department
9	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-	SI Hearing date
Decision appr- Condil	Date 2-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-8-	SI Building Inspector 2-9-51
Planning Commission 2-9-51 Petition	Date $2-7-51$ <u>S1</u> Building Inspector <u>2-9-51</u> her 2-8-51 Health Department 2-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

gir 1"

En la ma

In the stand of the with the schere of the stand of

in a series

and a second and a s

William maturation

122 11.

2 1

when a weat

2

the star marging beaution

· Logit got had a for the second

a provide the second of the

The Contemporation

1. C. . 1. J. F. 2. 4 5 4 5 4 9 1

1.01

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9010</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William A. Peterman to erect a concrete block wall and retaining wall at a maximum height of 72 feet on side lot line and rear lot line according to sketch submitted on Lets 25 and 26, Grandview, at 2731-33 "G" Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

February 7 , 1951

By

19900190VX

Zoning Ingineer

RM 2145

ted_

Application Received	1 By D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made 2- 7-51	By <u>Allen, Jones &amp; Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2- Decision	$\frac{7-5}{\text{Date}} \xrightarrow{2-7-5}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Z	titioner 2-8-51 Health Department 2-9-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.00

1 10 18 1 mail

*

RESOLUTION NO. 5297 see 101454 following

Amended

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8948</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Paul A. & Ardelle P. Willis to construct a duplex in addition to two existing single family residences, making a total of 4 living units on the Westerly 5 ft. of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 11, Encanto Heights, on the Southeasterly corner of Wunderlin Ave. and 68th St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appeal Hearing 3-1-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted _______ , 1951

By_

Secretary

Application ReceivedB	y F. W. Mc Concell. City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-7-51</u> B	y <u>Allen Jones &amp; Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-7-51$ Decision Convert Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-8-51$ Planning Commission $2-9-51$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $2-7-5$ / Building Inspector $2-9-5$ / 2-8-5 / Health Department $2-9-5$ / Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

shift have been at

Date in the Australia

## See 5297 RESOLUTION NO. 101454

## BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Paul Allen Willis from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying application No. 8948, Resolution No. 5297, for variance to Ordinance No. 116, New Series to permit Construction of a duplex upon the westerly 5 feet of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 11, Encanto Heights, in Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby denied.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>101454</u> he Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>March 6, 1951</u>

	FRED	N	SICK	City Clerk.
		M.	WILLIG	
By				Deputy.



5298

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. Westall and Maynard F. McNeil to build 12-unit court with 14.5-foot setback on Normal Street where 17 feet required, on Lots 5 to 8, inclusive, Block 179, University Heights, at 4067-4079 Normal Street, Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for 9 cars be provided and maintained without obstructing any required access courts, side yards, or setback areas.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

red _____ February 7 , 19_51

By_

Secretary.

Application Received $1 - 24 - 5$	1_ By 7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-7-51</u>	By <u>Allen Jones &amp; Burton</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	7-51  Hearing date    Date  2-7-51    -8-51  Building Inspector  2-9-51    titioner  2-8-51  Health Department  2-9-51    Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective    Application withdrawn    Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Entropy we be the press of a self sales of a second 

W 31. S. S.

Mental Officials

a collection of the second sec

A DESCRIPTION OF SECONDERSE OF MUSIC DECEMBER OF

* -Q-

Carrow M. P. P.

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>7952</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David F. and Lois T. Ferris to redivide into two parcels with permission to build or maintain single family residence on each, according to plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, on Lote 45 and 46. Reynard Hills, at 2538 Magle Street, R-1 Zone, providing the dividing line is drawn not less than 20 feet to the rear of the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

above division received

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ Fobruary 7 , 19 51

ORM 2145

By_

Secretery

Application Received5	By F. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 7 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jones Buto</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 2. Decision appro- Condil	$\frac{-7-5 \ /}{\text{Date}} \xrightarrow{-7-5 \ /} \frac{\text{Hearing date}}{2-8-5 \ /} \frac{1}{\text{Building Inspector}} \xrightarrow{2-9-5 \ /} \frac{1}{2-8-5 \ /} \frac{1}{\text{Health Department}} \xrightarrow{2-9-5 \ /} \frac{1}{2-8-5 \$
	etitioner 2-8-5/ Health Department 2-9-5/ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
I ime limit extended to	

When the Standy

gradies of the state

internet in the second se

and the same investigation and the second second

1 Same and the same the relation of the same and the same a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>K989</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William F. Bouchard to construct two-story building to contain three living units and three garage spaces, making 4 living units on the lot, with 7-foot access to street, on Lots 7 and 5. Elock 160, University Heights, at 4057 - 32nd Street, Zone E-4, provided the existing building at the extreme rear of the lot be entirely removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated February 7 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

ORM 2145

Application ReceivedE	By <u>J. W. Mc Comell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-7-51</u> E	By allen Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-5 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-8-5	Hearing date
Decision appr- Conde	Date 2-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-8-5	Building Inspector 2-9-51
Diaman ( ommission & 7 - 5   Petitioner	APRENI Hoalth Department a g - b
A proof filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
D 1 1 1 on becomes circuive	
A lightion withul awit	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 . C. C.

State I - 1 The man

10 A