

Letter dated January 19, 1951, WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4955, dated September 6,1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Avoyer to build and operate 4 model homes in the subdivision of La Jolla Cliffs, on Lots 17, 18, 19 and 37, according to the approved Tentative Map of La Jolla Cliffs; on La Jolla Shores Dr., approximately 1,500 ft. Southwest of Miramar Junction with Highway No. 101, in Zone R-1, one house to be used as a tract office with two 4 ft. by 8 ft. signs. to be used as a tract office, with two 4 ft. by 8 ft. signs.

This Resolution to expire one year from the effective date of the above Resolution No. 4955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 357-358

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary.

Dated Fobruary 7 , 19 5] FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5301

Application Received	By 7. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made Z - 7 - 5 1	_ By <u>Allen Jones + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and the second strategies

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Harold Silva to construct a two-story residence with a 15 ft. rear yard, on Lot 7, Block 34, Roseville, on the Westerly corner of Willow St. and Dickens St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > XSecretary

Dated February 7 , 151

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	7. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Decision $2-8-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-8-51$ Planning Commission $2-9-51$ Petitioner 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $2 - 7 - 5 /$ Building Inspector $2 - 9 - 5 /$ -8 - 5 / Health Department $2 - 9 - 5 /$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

ENTERTIES AND ATTEMN

the state in the sec

We served in the rate of the

A PLANE

the second second second

A. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

An and Roma and Andrews

a mark a manager that had a set the

and the second a fact to the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$995</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney Rose to split off the Westerly 50 feet from Lots 4, 5, and 6, Gatalina Park, as a building site, making a total of 4 building sites on the 3 lots, with permission to build single family residence on each, at Northwesterly corner of intersection of Niagara Ave. and Catalina Boulevard, Zone R-1, on condition that 15-foot setback be maintained on Niagara Ave. on the Westerly 50 feet of these lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	February 7	· ,	19_51
FORM 2145			

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By E. C. Van Hung City Planning Department
Investigation made 2-7-51	By <u>Alle Jours Buton</u> Gity Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	5 / Hearing date Date 2-7-51 -51 Building Inspector 2-9-51 oner 2-8-51 Health Department 2-9-51 Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

No. and An Providence

,

I I a faile interior when

124 24 24 24 24 24

and the second second substances and the second processing the

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>\$753</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u><u><u>so</u></u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u><u><u>so</u></u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u></u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sidney Rose to permit excavation of approximately 7000 cubic yards for preparation of building sites on Lots 1 through 6, Catchina Park, on Mortherly side of Catalina Blvd. between Newport and Niagara, Sons R-1, subject to any conditions which may be imposed by the City Manager if an excavation permit is issued.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Fabruary 7____, 1951___

By.

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received	By <u>E. C. Van Hun</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 7 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jonie Buston</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	L Building Inspector 2-9-51
Application withdrawn Fime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8991</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carrol C. Thompson to construct a single family residence with a <u>10 ft. rear yard</u> at the nearest point of the building, according to the plot plan and legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, being the East 108 ft. of the North 200 ft. of the Southerly 330 ft. of Pueblo Lot 170, on the Westerly side of Gage Dr., 130 ft. North of Dupont St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 7 , 1951

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5305

Application Received _____ By ____ By ____ C. Van The City Planning Department Investigation made _____ Z-7-51 By _____ By _____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-51 Hearing date_____ Decision apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-8-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51 Planning Commission 2-9-51 Petitioner 2-8-51 Health Department 2-7-51 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council ______ Resolution becomes effective _____ Continued to Application withdrawn ______ Time limit extended to _____ Date of action ALBION 0 X 200 DR GAGE 5

3

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9012 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary A. Baker to construct 22 foot x 36 foot concrete block accessory building with 0' sideyard, apartment above to observe 3-foot sideyard and have an open metal hand rail 42 inches above the garage roof, on Lot 42, Block 58, Ocean Beach, at 4971 Cape May, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above. insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated _____ **February 7** , ¹⁹ - 51 FORM 2145 By

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5306

Application Received By	<u>E. C. Van Three</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-7-51</u> By	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-8-51</u> Planning Commission 2-9-51 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector $2-7-5/$ 2-7-5/ 2-8-5/ Health Department $2-9-5/$
	Continued to Date of action

the second of the second of a second of the second of the

the case of a set of the set

THE TRANSFORMER

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY AND A PARTY AND A

Description of the Street Complete Street

Stan March

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hillcrest Auto Laundry, Inc., to erect an S foot by 12 foot lunch stand with O' setback on Lots 14 to 23, inclusive, G. A. Bush Addition, at 624 University Ave, "C" Zone, subject to architectural approval and on condition that all structures in front of setback line will be removed from the land lying between University Avenue and the setback line established by Ordinance #1212, New Series, when the City requests it at owner's expense and at no cost to the City, and that agreement to that effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1212, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 706 Liles with City click

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	Februar	v 7	,	19 51
	And the lot of the lot of the	1 N		

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received E	By <u>E. C. Van Hune</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>2-7-51</u> B	Cityle lanning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $2-7-51$ Building Inspector $2-9-51$ 2-8-51 Health Department $2-9-51$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a state the second of the second the second second

the same manifestering to an an an an an and the server and the server and

a second at the second at the second

Holy A Martines

Lie Ant Stat



stup in concerptory and in each the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8932</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hollis D. and Marion Gilbert to erect a single family residence with a 10 ft. setback on Oliphant St. and a 5 ft. setback on Wawona Dr., on Lot 11, Block 8, Point Loma Heights, on the Southerly corner of Wawona Dr. and Oliphant St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 7 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	I By E. C. Van Huse City Planning Department
	By Aller Jones Burton City Planning Department
	-7-51 Hearing date Date 2-7-51 2-8-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51 Petitioner 2-8-51 Health Department 2-9-51 Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9009</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

BLK 57

Permission is hereby granted to Marold M. and Frieda G. Isham to erect a single family residence on the Easterly 10 ft. of Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, the Northerly 10 ft. of Hawthorne St. closed adjacent, and the Westerly 1/2 of Granada Ave. closed adjacent, Seaman and Choates' Addition, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 7 , 1951

FORM 2145

Ву ____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $1-3$	1-51 By 7.W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made	-51 By <u>Allen, Jones + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision approximation Sent to City	tee $2 - 7 - 5/$ Hearing date Date $2 - 7 - 5/$ Clerk $2 - 8 - 5/$ Building Inspector $2 - 9 - 5/$ S/ Petitioner $2 - 8 - 5/$ Health Department $2 - 9 - 5/$ te Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

er manyles places yes a case of

1. 1. A. 1.

.

which a sum through

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9008</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald F. and Eileen Hazleton to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the North side of Camino de Oro, 100 ft. East of La Jolla Shores Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 7 , 19 5] FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer



WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas S. Wylly and Edith M. Wylly to build 2 duplex dwellings and 4 garage spaces on portion of lot divided after soning on South 2 of Lot 3 and all of Lots 4 and 5. Block B. South La Jolla, on Neptune Flace, 120 feet North of Nautilus Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary.

Dated _____ February 7 _____, 1951____

Zoning Engineer

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The strate is a life	
Application Received	51 By D.E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 7 - 5$	By Allen, Jone Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee _	2-7-5/ Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 2-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	k 2-8-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51
Planning Commission 2-9-51	Date $2-7-51$ $k \underline{2-8-51}$ Building Inspector $\underline{2-9-51}$ Petitioner $2-8-51$ Health Department $2-9-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10 1

1 per an an an

the constant and a

the set was a set and a set of the set of th

د. المراجع

Sec

TOTAL MALLANT.

The Man

1 - Hy I parter

The stand with

· ·

A. 5 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. B. and Margarite Wolpe to erect 3 duplexes on parcels designated as A. B. and C. on Lot 1. except East 34 feet thereof. Block 241, Pacific Beach, at Balboa Ave. Hast of Noyes St., Zone R-4, Parcel A maintaining S-foot setback on Balboa Ave., Parcel B - 9-foot setback, and Parcel C - 10-foot setback, on condition that two surfaced off-street parking spaces on each Parcel be constructed and maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ February 7 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

T. A. A. Maria	
Application Received _2-7-51	By Louchel
-pplication receives	City Planning Department
Investigation made Z - 7 - 5 1	By By Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-8	<u>P-5 /</u> Hearing date Date 2-7-5/ <u>-9-51</u> Building Inspector <u>2-9-51</u>
Decision appr - Condel.	Date 2-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-	-9-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51
Fenning Commission = (= > Fen	itioner 2~(~~) nealth Department ~~(~~)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Ane minit extended to	

line and and the second s

Wat The State of States

16 11 11 230

The second second second by the second by the second by the second secon

Splan and she a she was

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>3~5900</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Ethel Gillitzer to erect 2 duplexes on parcels designated as D and E, on East 34 feet of Lot 1 and West 66 feet of Lot 2, Block 241, Pacific Beach, on Balboa Ave. east of Noyes, Zone R-4, Parcel D maintaining 11-foot setback on Balboa Ave. and Parcel E - 12-foot setback, on condition that two surfaced off-street parking spaces on each Parcel be constructed and maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated _____ February 7____, 19_51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5313

Application Received _____ By_ Lac City Planning Department Investigation made _____ By_alle City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-51 Hearing date_ Decision appar- Conde Date 2-7-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-9-51</u> Building Inspector <u>2-9-51</u> Planning Commission <u>2-9-51</u> Petitioner <u>2-9-51</u> Health Department <u>2-9-51</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to GREATEN & CARTA THE PARTY REAL AND A REPORT ALL PROPERTY ALL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A 6- 1 U | - 15 11 PLICE MALL The I a share the second 1) - 10.14 a the set expanded to be the set of 6 THE THE A SHOW AND SHOW THERE 1 T. <82> 32

10 × 11 11 11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5900</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew T. and Winifred La Pointe to erect 3 duplemes on parcels designated as F. G. and H. on East 34 feet of Lot 2 and all of Lot 3. Block 241, Pacific Beach, on Balboa Ave. east of Noyes, Zone R-4, Parcel F maintaining 13-foot setback on Balboa Ave., Parcel G - 14-foot setback, and Parcel H - 15-foot setback, on condition that two surfaced off-street parking spaces on each Parcel be constructed and maintained.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____February 7____, 19_51

Ву____

Secretary.

Soning Ingineer

Application Received By By C. Van The City Planning Department	
Investigation made 2-7-51 By Allen Jones Bur City Planning Department	tow
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-51 Hearing date	
Decision appro- concil. Date 2-7-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-9-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-9-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51	
Planning Commission 2-9-51 Petitioner 2-9-51 Health Department 2-9-5	1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	Sanda Parta
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

Hour A de marcos

your Str. 19:55

911 C I 1. 1. 1. 1. 1000 0 17 1 1 4 101. and the second s -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5942</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mos</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mos</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roger Wrenn and Bettie Wrenn to construct garage with 0' sideyard on Lot 9, Block 11, Valencia Park, at 411 Los Angles Place. Zone R-1, on condition the garage floor is not more than 1 foot above sidewalk grade.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______7____, 19_51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	1 By E. C. Van Here City Planning Department
	By <u>Allen Jones Buston</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{-7-5 \ } \ \text{Hearing date} \\ Date \ 2-7-5 \ \\ \underline{-8-5 \ } \ \text{Building Inspector} \ \underline{2-9-5 \ } \\ \underline{-8-5 \ } \ \text{Health Department} \ 2-9-5 \ \\ -6000000000000000000000000000000000000$
Pecision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Control and the second statements and a for the statement of a statement of a statement of the statements and the

tere interesting in the second second in the second second to be born proof to the

.....

A a set d g is d to g is a set of the set of a plantary configuration of gatego b a set d g is d to g is a set of the set of the set of the set of gatego b

The let a lot at the

 the second s

· 108 2017 2010

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8944</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reger Wronn and Bettie Wronn to construct garage with 19-foot setback where 25 feet is the average of the block on Let 9. Block 11, Valencia Park, at 411 Les Angles Place, Zone R-1, on condition the garage floor is not more than 1 foot above sidewalk grade.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 7 , 19 51

Secretary.

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received	51 By E. C. Van Nice City Planning Department
nvestigation made Z- 7- 5	1 By Allen Jones Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	$\frac{2-7-51}{k 2-8-51}$ Hearing date Petitioner 2-8-51 Hearing date Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Coulien meaning, date
Pecision of Council	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

time to a

1

.....

Walth Parts of Bull 2.

11 1 1

Serve spill Sa

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9005</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Isabel Bedford to convert a garage under construction into living quarters, making 3 units on a parcel, on Lots 5, 6, and 7, Block 1, Bungalow Park, on East side of Chamoune Street, 100 feet South of Dwight Street, Zone R-2, on condition that plans be submitted for 3 concrete or asphalt surfaced off-street parking spaces at the rear of the property and that the 3 spaces be constructed before the living quarters are occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057. Section 4a, be, and is bereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. 3575 Chomoune

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-^truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Oated_____Fobriary 7____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received	51 By E. C. Van Mine City Planning Department
nvestigation made $2-7-5$	1_ By <u>Alle Jones Burto</u> City Planning Department
Janning Commission 2-7-51	$\begin{array}{c} -7-5 \ 1 \\ \text{Date} \\ \hline 2-8-51 \\ \text{Building Inspector} \\ \hline 2-9-51 \\ \text{Petitioner} \\ \hline 2-9-51 \\ \hline \end{array}$
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

.

Startes and a man part way to in what

the part of a state part of the state of the state of the state of the

CALW 14

5. 1. 6.

1. 1.

Ser CELLS

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Ray Clark Dickson to construct residence with 5-foot setback on La Grescentia Drive where average of the block is approximately 15 feet, on Let 5, La Playa Highlands, Zone R-1, on condition regular setback is maintained on San Gorgonio Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____February 7____, 19_51

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Ingineer
Application Received	By P. O. Butow City Planning Department
Investigation made 2- 7- 51	By <u>Allen Jonny Buston</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $2-7-57$ <u><i>R-51</i></u> Building Inspector $2-9-57$ tioner $2-8-57$ Health Department $2-9-57$
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

CONTRACTOR AVAIL

A DE TRADE

A THE REPORT OF A THE REPORT O

and the second sec

1.1.1

Letter Dated February 6, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Whereas there have been substantial improvements made in and to a building and that the appearance of the building is in keeping with other development in the area, therefore, be it resolved that Agreement No. 437 recorded in the County Recorder's Office on November 1, 1946, in Book 2263, Page 369, under Document No. 117996, on Lot 1, Block 66, Point Loma Heights, is of no further force or effect and shall not restrict the use of the building referred to other than as a single family dwelling, in conformity with City Ordinances.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ February 7___, 19_51

By____

Sepretery

Zoning Ingineer

1. Te . mit . 7 1.	
Application Received	By M. Loechel
-pplication Received	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $2 - 7 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jones & Buton</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-7-5	L Hearing date
lecision appr	Date 2-7-51
Ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-8-5	L Building Inspector 2-9-51
Janning Commission 2-9-51 Petitioner	Date $2 - 7 - 51$ <u>L</u> Building Inspector $2 - 9 - 51$ 2 - 8 - 51 Health Department $2 - 9 - 51Council Hearing date$
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
lesolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

the second and a second

St. 1 . 1.

the superior of the providence of the second s

a serve which manages after a the heatth of servery of

and ages with the product of the first of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5975</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. O. Davis to operate retail nursery, in connection with wholesale nursery now operated under Resolution #2813, business to be conducted at rear of property, no advertising other than one existing sign 12 inches by 30 inches designating use of property, and no employees other than Mr. and Mrs. Davis, on Lots 33 and 34, Block 81, University Heights, at 4436 Cleveland, Zone R-4, this variance to expire June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____February 7___, 19_51

FORM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	51 By D.E. South City Planning Department
nvestigation made $2-7-51$	By Allen Jones Buston
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	$\begin{array}{c}7-5 \\ Date \\ 2-7-5 \\ \hline \\ Date \\ 2-8-5 \\ \hline \\ Petitioner \\ 2-8-5 \\ \hline \\ Council Hearing, date \\ \hline \\ Date \\ \hline \end{array}$
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

And the second second

Notifier and the second s

Martini, i let re e multificare. I still to e rito rito rito argante, in e re e control i supere , in e re e co Mili sholin il province and a stillion e control to the rito in the second control of the control of the control Province and the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of the control of the control of the second control

TRUCT THE THE

and " Mathing)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9016</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Monteiro to construct single family residence with an additional kitchen, including stove, hood, vent, and laundry tubs, in basement, on parcel split out after zoning, on Easterly 100 feet and Southerly 75 feet of Lot 4, Block 126, La Playa, on Northwesterly corner of intersection of San Elijo and Kellog Streets, Zone R-1, provided the lower floor is not rented as living quarters and used only by the owners and their invited guests, and that agreement to that effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 707 Filed with City club

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

19445

Dated _____ February 7____, 19_51

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5321

ORM 2145

Application Received $2 - 1$	-51 By E.C. Van Nue City Planning Department
ⁿ vestigation made $2-7-5$	
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Lerk 2-8-51 Building Inspector 2-9-51 Petitioner 2-8-51 Health Department 2-9-51 Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

- 4-94

intercharting and the second second

C HOT FILM IN THE ALL

out Martin

7 1. 1 10 CO 1 + 1

- T. CO. 10 S.

. .

instant in it is a firstant of a triace on three if in first with or it is a first on lither the it of it is the ' stat', what's that' with or it is a state of the the of it is the ', so it is the ' build is a state of a state of the ', so it's the ', so it's the ', and ', and ', and ', and ', build is a state of a state of the ', so it's the ', a state of the ', and ', and ', and ', and ', and ', ', a state of ', a state of ', so it's ', a state of a state of the ', and ', a

· · · ·

the provide the second s

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9007</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arnold E. Ascherfeld and Eleanor Ascherfeld to divide a parcel split out after zoning into 3 lots with permission to build a single family residence on each, on Portion of Pueblo Lot 196 and 197, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Southeasterly side of Catalina Blvd. at South end of intersection with Chatsworth Blvd., Zone R-1, on condition that he grant to the City easements for street purposes for the widening of Chatsworth Elvd, Catalina Blvd., and the proposed extension of Point Loma Avenue through this property into the proposed subdivision of Fleetridge. EASEMENT has been granted Toring 2-28-51- Parrish

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ February 7 , 1951

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

	the lot of a lot of a lot of the second seco
Pplication Received <u>1-31-51</u> B	y E.C. Van There City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>2-7-51</u> B	y allen Jones Buston City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>2-7-51</u> ecision <u>Condi</u>	Hearing date Date $2 - 7 - 5$ / Building Inspector $2 - 9 - 5$ / 2 - 9 - 5 / Health Department $2 - 9 - 5$ / Council Hearing date
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	2-9-SI Health Department 2-9-51 Council Hearing, date Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action
and the second se	
	the second se
	2 + T
	- 191
A line in the second	Pat
S. P.L. 197	C. I to CONTRACTOR DESIGNATION C
BR CB	
	I ICD
10120 - 221 p 114 2054	BLVD.
- 196 20. 221 TIL 2057	ALINA
- 176) CA	A T
	2
	2
A second	
	137.3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8996</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Plifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Birdie Mae Hensley to construct garage within approximate 2-foot bank on Lots 13 and 14. Block I, Redland Garden Extension, at 4660 Hinson Place, R-O Zone, provided (1) 6-foot setback be maintained on 54th Street; (2) roof of garage to be not more than 7 ft. 6 in. above grade of curb at centerline of garage; (3) exterior design to be approved architecturally.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated February 13 , 1951

By___

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

pplication Received5	1 By E. C. Van The City Planning Department
avestigation made $2 - 7 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jones + Buston</u> City Planning Department
In Commission 4-1,2-5/	$\begin{array}{c} -7-5/ \\ \text{Hearing date} \\ \hline \\ 2 \\ \hline \\ 2-13-5/ \\ \text{Building Inspector} \\ \hline \\ 2-13-5/ \\ \text{Petitioner} \\ 2-13-5/ \\ \text{Health Department} \\ 2-13-5/ \\ \hline \end{array}$
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
ecision of Councilesolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1 . . .

and the good to be

Hadte - Hade I

5324

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5992</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Plifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Oren D. Irwin to convert existing duplex to triplex, making 4 living units on the lot, with 52-foot access to the street for single family unit in the rear on Lots 46 and 47, Block 191, University Heights, at 3990 Centre, Zone R-4, provided surfaced off-street parking area for 3 cars is provided and maintained according to plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ February 15 _____, 19_51

FORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 1-22-51 By E. C. Van Nine	
Application ReceivedCity Planning Department	
Investigation made By_ allen Jones + Burl	In
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-7-51 Hearing date	1
Decision appr- Condl Date 2-15-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-15-51 Building Inspector 2-16-51	
Conv of Devolution sent to City Clerk 2-15-51 Building Inspector 2-16-51	
Planning Commission 2-16-5 / Petitioner 2-15-5/ Health Department 2-16-5	1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	1
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

この 書いた しり みたゆられ

a bill 10% menacesty affect the Maximy Flag all the Cyc.

and a state materially affort the peakin or seferty of

and the proof and all the proof of the proof of the property of the

and the same and the part of the second state for the

10 1 10 2211

And it is in the max to the max . Is is to control other of the interval and it is in the max to the max . Is is to control other of the interval as fit is it. If the is in the max is of the max is in the interval dentities it. If the is interval of the max is interval of the interval dentities interval of the interval of the interval is it. If it is is interval is is control to the interval is it. If it is is interval is is the interval of the interval is it. If it is is interval is is the interval of the interval is it. If it is is interval is is the interval of the interval is it. If it is is interval is is the interval of the interval is it. If is is is interval is is interval of the interval of the interval is it. If is is interval is is interval of the interval of the interval is it. If is is interval is is interval of the interval of the interval is it. If is is interval is is interval of the interval of the interval of the interval of the interval is interval of the interval of

pro charthy 5 to 644 / 4 doubt

1 A SHI A L 10 7 MO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9021</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George H. and Hilda Andrea Cogswell to erect a 22' x 25' garage, 550 sq. ft. in area, with 0' sideyard and 0' rearyard, on East 15 feet of Lot 95 and all of 96, Collwood Park, on North side of Pontiac Street, approximately 135 feet East of Rockford Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5325

Pplication Received	2-1-51	By	7.20.	Me Comell	
avestigation made	2-7-51	By	allen	City Planning Department	tow
Dool filed with City Cl	to City Clerk <u>2-16</u> 16-51 Petitio lerk, date	Date Date Det Buil ner 2-16 Cou	e 2-/ lding Inspe 2-5 H ncil Hearin	6-51 ector 2-16-51 Health Department 2-16-5	,
ecision of Council tesolution becomes effect pplication withdrawn ime limit extended to	ctive	Con	tinued to e of action		

A T L CHAN DI.

in the second second

· IN C. M. I. S. L. L. C.

WHEREAS, Application No. 8986 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. B. Margolis, Owner, and John Holderer, Purchaser, to construct a 4-unit apartment over a 4-car garage, on Lots 22-24, inclusive, Block 4, San Diego Property Union Subdivision, Northwest corner of Cedar and Bancroft Streets, Zone R-2

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, Section 44, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

lated	February	21.	,	19	51
aleu_	12 10 L L L V L L MA. L V	Contain Contraction			

FORM 2145

OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Assistant Planting Director

Res. No. 5326

ZONING COMMITTEE

Ppplication Received By	E. C. Van Mie City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-7-51	<u>Allen Jones Birkel</u> , Buston City Planning Department Hearing date <u>2-21-51</u> Date 2-21-51
Pecision approximation approxi	- LJ-SJ Health Department L- L/- SJ
polication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

المربي في من وقع المربح الله الله المربح الله الم

I THE REPORT OF THE REPORT

the second second in the last part of an applied in the second seco

the state in the second second second second second and the second second

and a rate to go be because of the second part of p operation of the second s

the state of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9018</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. S. Toole, owner, and Norman T. Dennstedt, purchaser, to build 2 four-family dwellings and storage room on Lots 1 and 2, Block S, Alhambra Subdivision, on Dawson Avenue, Monroe Street, and Contour Blvd., Zone R-4, with 10-foot setback on Dawson Ave, 2-foot setback on Contour Blvd. on the Northerly corner of the building, 32-foot setback on Contour Blvd. on the southerly portion of the building, and cut-offs of 2 feet on the Southeasterly corner of the building at Monroe Street and Contour Blvd., in accordance with plan on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ated	February	21	9	19	21
aled	A CO LA MANAGER				

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Res. #53217 Ass't. Planking Director

ORM 2145

pplication Received <u>2-14-51</u> By	P. Q. Buston City Planning Department
avestigation made By	allen Jone, Biskel & Hack
onsidered by Zoning Committee $2-24-57$ H recision $2-27-57$ H sopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-23-57$ H lanning Commission $2-27-57$ Petitioner 2 ppeal filed with City Clerk, date (recision of Council H	Hearing date $2 - 2(-5)$ Date $2 - 2(-5)$ Building Inspector $2 - 27 - 5/$ -23 - 5/ Health Department $2 - 27 - 5/Council Hearing, dateDate$
vesolution becomes effective(Continued to Date of action

i.

\$ ----

.

the story a trail of a

and a second sec

1 1 × 3ª

· · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8850</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Day & Night Plumbing Company, Gus E. Matchinske, President, to construct 1 living unit above the garage being constructed, making 5 living units on the property, being the North 50 feet of the East 15 feet of Lot 5, all of Lot 6, except the South 100 feet of the West 10 feet thereof, and all of Lots 7. 8 & 9, Block 231, University Heights, on the Northwest corner of Albert Street and Brookes Avenue, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, Section 4-A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Z.C. upheld - 101573

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	ZONING COMMITTEE
	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
February 21, 51	By_ garry lestacting
ed, ==	Secretary
2145 2145	Assistant Planning Director Res. #5328

Application Received <u>1-24-51</u> By <u>7-W. Mc Comell</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made By aller Jones Burbel Burto
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-7-51$ Hearing date $2-21-51$ Decision appropriate Condition Date $2-21-51$ Date $2-21-51$ Date $2-27-51$ Periodic Date $2-27-51$ Periodic Date $2-27-51$ Periodic Date $2-27-51$ Periodic Date $3-15-51$ Periodic Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
Ime limit extended to Continued to Date of action Date

and a second second

I (n) (n)

24 F

U.

The start of the sector of the sector of the sector

the state of the state of the state

a should be an one should be present to the sold show had

p

and a second sec

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Richard J. Bartsch, 14242Brookes Avenue, from the decision of the Johing Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 5328, application No. 8850, variance to Ordinance No. 12988 Section 4-A to parmit Day & Night Plumbing Company, Gus E. Matchinske, president, permission to construct one living unit above the garage being constructed, making five living units on the property, being the north 50 feet of the cast 15 feet of Lot 5, all od Lot 6 except the south 100 feet 56 the west 10 feet thereof, end all of Lots 7,8 and 9, Block 231 University Heights on the north

the Council of the first as adopted by said Council on is not by multiplined. Mar. 15, 15

FRED W . SIUA City Clerk.

By......Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ 8875 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ward Wilson and Patricia Fay Woods to divide portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, approximately 4 acres, into 4 building sites, as shown on plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and to build a single family residence on each, provided the two parcels at the rear are served by a 20-foot easement from Hidden Valley Road terminating in a turn-around with 35-foot radius, said roadway to be recorded as an easement running with the land; however, no easement to be required if this land is divided into two building sites only with full frontage on Hidden Valley Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Mary hogaeley		CITY OF CAN DIFORMATILE	TEODNIA
		CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CAL	FORNIA
		Many hours	1 11
	By	Secretary	A
	Δ	st. Planting Director	hog HET

7 ONTENO COMPLETING

facing Page 351

, 19⁵¹ February 21 Dated



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9047</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Conselho Evangelic Portuguese Full Gospel Tabernacle to erect a parsonage (residence) above a Sunday School building under construction -- the Sunday School building having a 7 ft. rear yard, and the residence to conform to the yard requirements, all according to the plans submitted -- on Lot 1, Block 29, Roseville, 2848 Carlton St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated February 21 , 19 51

By CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Carry Charles Assistant Planning Director

ZONING COMMITTEE

Res. No. 533

pplication Received 2-9-51	By <u>F. W. Mc Concell</u> City Planning Department
$\frac{2 - 2 - 5 }{1 - 5}$	By allen Jone Bickel Harles
onsidered by Zoning Committee $2-21-5$ recision $2-27-5$	5/ Hearing date Date 2-21-51 5/ Building Inspector <u>2-27-51</u> er 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
pplication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

to the star magic become subjects

the strange with a

1.1

, al ann <mark>a chuir an b</mark>hliann an bhliann an bhlian

gale at set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9028</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

V

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. Allaben to construct duplex, making 3 units on the lot with 9-foot access to the street, on Lots 30, 31, and 32, Block 223, Pacific Beach, on North side of Hornblend 200 feet East of Cass St., Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for 3 cars be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Ass'tl Planning Director

Jated February 21 , 1951

Application Received <u>2-9-51</u> By	E. C. Van Hund City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>2-21-51</u> By <u>a</u>	Eity Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51 Heari	ng date
Decision appr- Condi Date opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Build	ing Inspector $2 - 27 - 51$
Janning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2-23	-57 Health Department 2-27-31
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Cound	cil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
tesolution becomes effective	
ipplication withdrawn	nued to
ime limit extended to Date	of action

in the second second

and a second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9042</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u><u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.</u>

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. L. Frazier to construct a three-unit apartment with approximately 5% excess coverage, on the East 70 ft. of Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 52, University Heights, East of 2404 Monroe Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated February 23 , 19 51

ØF SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA By Secretary Assistant/Planning Direc Res. No. 5332

ZONING COMMITTEE

pplication Received <u>2-9-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
prestigation made $2-2(-5)$ By	allen Jones Burkel Haeling
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-21-51$ pecision $2-23-51$ opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-23-51$ lanning Commission $2-27-57$ Petitioner 2 oppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date 2-21-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51 -23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
	Continued to Date of action

entered and a real realized

.

Amended

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9026</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Clifford E. and Clara E. Strom to construct a residence with a 2 ft. eave overhang on Lot 305, Talmadge Park Unit No. 2, on East Alder Dr., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated February 23 , 19 51

FORM 2145

CITY, OF SAN DIEGOR CALIFORNIA A Aril M By Assistant (Planning Director Res. No. 533

ZONING COMMITTEE

L

Application Received 2-9-51 By	E. C. Van Mine City Planning Department
avestigation made By	alle Jone Birkel Haeloig
 onsidered by Zoning Committee $2-21-51$ H becision Decided and Decision of Council	Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 7 - 5 - 5 - 5$
esolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9022</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry L. Hall to construct a 1-car car port adjacent to side lot line 30 feet back from front property line on Lot 68, Point Loma Villas, at 3733 Elliott Street, Zone R-1, on condition that Lot 68 and Northwesterly 27.5 feet of Lot 69 will be held in one ownership and will not be sold separately, and that agreement to this effect will be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 708 Fiel with City Click 2-23-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

					ZONIN	NG COMMITI	CEE	
				CITY	OF SAN	DIEGO, CA	LIFORN	IA
Dated	February 21	. 19 51	By	A	arry	listar	ling	
		the second			1 2	cretary	1	
FORM 2145				Ass't.	Plonning	Director	Vaes.	#5334

application Received	By P. Q. Burtow City Planning Department
avestigation made $2-21-51$	By allen Jones Bishel Hacks
onsidered by Zoning Committee $2-21-5$ recision $appr-Condle$ opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-23-5$ lanning Commission $2-27-51$ Petition oppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $2-27-57$ -51 Building Inspector $2-27-57er 2-23-57 Health Department 2-27-57$
ecision of Council	Date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

a second s

and a first and the station station and its first in the station of the station o

and many of the second s

I THE FLORE SHE OF TO, FR.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9025</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vernon M. Smith to construct a 6 ft. high redwood open fence on top of a proposed retaining wall, making it approximately 8 ft. high, overall, on Lot 109, Jackson and Scott, Inc., Addition No. 2, 3203 - 54th St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated ______ February 23 , 19 51 FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By Res. No. 5335 Asst. Planhing Dir.

Pplication Received 2-13-51 By E.C. Van Theory City Planning Department
westigation made By allen Jone Buchel, Hacking
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51 Hearing date Date 2-21-51
Dpy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51
Date 2-21-51 Dependence of the city Clerk, date Dete 2-27-51 Dependence of the city Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
cision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
ime limit extended to Date of action
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9019</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas S. McKellar to erect a single family residence on a parcel split out after zoning on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1297. as per legal description on file in the Planning Commissioner's Office, on the East side of La Jolla Shores Drive, 60 feet north of Camino del Collado, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

February 21 , 19 51 Dated_

ZONING COMMITTEE OF SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA man sail By Ass't. Planni #5336

Conception of the local division of the loca	pplication Received By E C. Van Nuce City Planning Department
	avestigation made _2-21-51 By allen Jones Burkel Hackary
	onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51 Hearing date
	Date 2-21-51 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51
1	opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51
1	lanning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
	uppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
	Pecision of Council Date
	tesolution becomes effective
1	pplication withdrawn Continued to
	ime limit extended to Date of action

to the second second

1. A. A. A.

÷.

1 - T T - 4 ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9035</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Bertha Kucher to divide 4 lots at right angles into 4 building sites, as per sketch on file in the Planning Department Office, on Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, in Block 1, of Walker's Addition, Boundary and F Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 35, New Series, Section 4-a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated February 21, , 19<u>51</u>

FORM 2145

By	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, COMMING	
	Ass't. Planning Director	Res. #5337

ZONTNO COMMETTEE

K

pplication ReceivedB	<u>E. C. Van Alue</u> City Planning Department
avestigation made $2 - 2 - 5 $ B	
westigation made	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	Hearing date
onsidered by Zoning Committee	Date 2-21-51
recision appr. Cin Clark 2-23-51	Building Inspector 2-27-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Detitioner	-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
anning Commission 2-27-57 Petitioner	Date 2-21-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51 23-51 Health Department 2-27-51 Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Recision of Council	
tesolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	

5

\$0.1.L (* 14.20 4 1

14 24

RESOLUTION NO. 5338 Dec 4878

Letter dated February 6, 1951, from Jane Jessop Lynch

WHEREAS, Approverence No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4878 dated August 9, 1950, be granted to Nora Woodward to divide a portion of the Northerly 2/3 of Pueblo Lot 169, and erect a single family residence on the Southerly 110 feet of the Easterly 140 feet thereof, and on that portion of Lot 1, Block 168, La Playa, formerly known as San Gorgonio Street, from the Northerly termination of the present street to the Northerly line of Lot 1, with access on San Gorgonio Street and street frontage of 29.72 feet, in addition to the existing residence on this property, which is located on Gage Drive, North of DuPont Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA ry listae By Ass't. Plannin Res. #5338

Dated February 21, , 1951

pplication Received 2-7-51 B	y M. Loechel
	City Planning Department
westigation made $2 - 2 - 5 = B$	y allen Jones Brichel Hacker
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	
ecision a later	Date $2 - 21 - 51$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-5	Building Inspector 2-27-51
anning Commission 2-27-5, Petitioner	2-23-51 Health Department $2-27-51$
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	_ Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

The set of the set of

the property of the proof ages been and the set of the set

1 - y - 2 - 4

The r

LITER CONTRACT OF A STATE OF

4

1. S. M. S. S. S. S.

V P I

191.1

1 2

and the second

RESOLUTION NO. 5339 - 4700 - 5114

Letter dated February 8, 1951

WHEREAS, Application Not has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

- That an extension of 3 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5114, dated November 15, 1950, which amended Resolution No. 4700, dated May 24, 1950, as to Item 7 only, which read as follows: \$\$ \$ 51(4\$
 - 7. The present site of San Diego Transit-Mixed Concrete Company shall be cleared of all buildings and debris, and the site left clean within 9 months from the date of Resolution No. 4700.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148, New Series and 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Ass't. Planning Director 36 By____ Pes. #5339

Dated February 21, , 19 51

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9056</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Monteiro to construct a residence with a setback of approximately 24 ft., in line with the residence adjoining on the North, on the Easterly 100 ft. of the Southerly 75 ft. of Lot 4, Block 126, La Playa, on the North-west corner of Kellogg St. and San Elijo St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated ______ February 23 , 19 51 FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA By_ Res. No. 5340 Asst. Planning Dir

Application Received	_ By _ 7. W. mc Concell City Planning Department
nvestigation made2-2-1-51	By alle Jone Burkel Hacks
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-	-51 Hearing date
recision appr.	Date 2-21-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23	-SI Building Inspector 2-27-51
Janning Commission 2-27-51 Petitio	oner 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Pecision of Council	Date Si
tesolution becomes effective	
Ipplication withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

17 A 18

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

Ct : http://

1 1 ST 1 1 10 1

· · · ·

11 1 M

A STREET, ALCOHOL &

24 ·

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. I. Markley to excavate approximately 5,000 cu. yds. dirt from Lots 31 and 32, Catalina Villas, on Catalina Blvd. approximately 500 feet North of Niagara Avenue, Zone R-1, provided the lots are approximately level with the sidewalk for a distance of 100 feet back from Catalina Blvd. and thence continue on a 1 to 1 slope to the natural grade to the rear of the abovementioned lots, but that no excavation be closer than 5 feet to the Northerly line of Lot 31 or the Southerly line of Lot 32 and that the cut banks adjacent to these exterior lot lines be on a slope of 1 to 1, and that said excavation be subject to any conditions which may be imposed by the City Manager if an excavation permit is issued.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated Pebruary 21	, 19_	24
-------------------	-------	----

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Secretary Ass't. Plenning Director Res. #5341

pplication Received 2-13-51 B	By D. E. South City Planning Department
avestigation made $2 - 2 - 3 - 1 - 3 - 3$	By allen, Jones, Biskel Haeling
onsidered by Zoning Committee $2-21-51$ ecision $2pp_2$ Could opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-23-5$ lanning Commission $2-27-51$ Petitioner oppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council	Date $2-2/-5/$ <i>I</i> Building Inspector $2-27-5/$ 2-23-5/ Health Department $2-27-5/$
esolution becomes effective pplication withdrawn ime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

2

F 1 1

HEADT WATER THE HEAD IN SOUTH FRITE AND

faile and weddening a concert fills

A Discourse of the second state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9017</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold G. and Ada L. Horrie to erect a single family dwelling on a portion of Lot 4, Rosemont Addition, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Northerly side of Scimitar Dr. at the intersection of Broadway, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated_February 23 , 1951

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Cal By Secretary hing Dir. Asst. Plar Res/. No. 5342

page 154

pplication Received ______ Z-13-5' By _____ H. M. Com City Planning Department 2-21-51 By allen Jone Buch vestigation made onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51 Hearing date____ Date 2-21-51 Date 2-21-51 Date 2-27-51 Date 2-27-51 Date 2-27-51 Date 2-27-51 Health Department 2-27-51 Date 2-21-51 _ Council Hearing, date uppeal filed with City Clerk, date Date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective Continued to pplication withdrawn Date of action ime limit extended to So Call MA LZ Co Richt of LAN RI JOR BYDADWAY 1Etclusion 3 -9

Division .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9057</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego, owner, and Alfred Bobrof and Marvin Bobrof and Thomas Trye, lessees, to erect approximately 1000 lin. ft. of S-foot high corrugated metal fence on top of existing retaining wall and fence ranging in height from 0' to 15", or total overall height of 9'3", surrounding auto wrecking yard, on Lots A to L, Block 107, Horton's Addition, between 11th and 12th and Island and J Streets, Zone M-2, provided that new galvanized steel or galvanized steel in good condition is used in the construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated	February	21	•	195	1
valeu	In the rest of the second of the	And a state	9		

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Secrerary Ass't. Planing Director Res. #5343

ZONING COMMITTEE

pplication Received <u>2-13-51</u> B	y _ Thelen Kouster City Planning Department
Westigation made 2-21-51 B	y alle Jones Brikel Hacks
onsidered by Zoning Committee $2-21-51$ ecision $approx Condit.$ opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-23-51$ lanning Commission $2-27-51$ Petitioner ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council	Hearing date Date 2-21-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51 Council Hearing, date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1. -....

and the second state parts

ž

an in the state

1. 1. 1. 1. 107. 101.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9059</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. Kroboth to construct duplex in the rear of the property, making 3 units on the lot, with 8-foot access to the street, on Lot 30, Block 41, Normal Heights, at 4644 Wilson Ave., Zone R-4, providing garage is removed from the required court for access to the new units before the new building is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	ZONING COMMITTEE
	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
, 19 51	By Sarry la facting
	Secretary
	Ass't. Planning Director Res. #5344

Dated ______ February 21 _____, 19_5

pplication Received <u>2-13-5</u> , By <u>7. W. Mc Coull</u> City Planning Department Westigation made <u>2-21-51</u> By <u>Aller</u> <u>power Brick Hack</u> (City Planning Department) msidered by Zoning Committee <u>2-21-51</u> Hearing date cision <u>Could</u> <u>appr.</u> Date <u>2-21-51</u> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-23-51</u> Building Inspector <u>2-27-51</u> Imped filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
Insidered by Zoning Committee <u>2-21-51</u> Hearing date cision Candie Appr. Date <u>2-21-51</u> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-23-51</u> Building Inspector <u>2-27-51</u> Inning Commission <u>2-27-51</u> Petitioner <u>2-23-51</u> Health Department <u>2-27-51</u> peal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
Insidered by Zoning Committee <u>2-21-51</u> Hearing date cision Candie Appr. Date <u>2-21-51</u> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-23-51</u> Building Inspector <u>2-27-51</u> Inning Commission <u>2-27-51</u> Petitioner <u>2-23-51</u> Health Department <u>2-27-51</u> peal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51 anning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51 ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council flearing, date
Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council rearing, date
Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Flearing, date
ecision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

the transfer the state of the state

.

A second se

RESOLUTION NO. 5345

dated February 19, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9027& letteras</u> been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kalbfell Laboratories, Inc., to construct 60 ft. by 80 ft. building for manufacture of Electronic equipment, and 52 ft. by 18 ft. temporary shed to be removed within six months, on Lots 4 through 9, Block 1, Week's Addition, Northerly from 1076 Morena Blvd., "C" Zone, provided there are no more than 30 employees and a maximum of 15 Horsepower electrically operated equipment.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated February 2	21 ,	19 51
------------------	------	-------

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA Bv Secretary_ Ass't. Planning Director Res. #5345

Application Received	By <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
avestigation made $2-21-51$	By allen Jone Biskel Hacker
Pecision of Council	51 Building Inspector 2-27-51
content of countent	Continued to Date of action

•

C. C.

An and the second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9060</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Richard J. and Helen C. Kuhn to construct a single family residence on the South 315 ft. of Partition of Lot 5, Pueblo Lot 1209 (except the South 160 ft. and the West 170 ft. thereof), located on the South side of a recorded right-of-way, approximately 150 ft. East of Paul Jones Ave. and 150 ft. North of Brandywine St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated <u>February 23</u>, 19<u>51</u> FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA vrus lux By Secretary Asst. Planning Dir. Res. No. 5346

Application Received <u>2-14-51</u> By	E. C. Van Nine City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>2-21-51</u> By	allen Jones Brokert Hacking
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	Hearing date
Decision Denied Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51	Date 2-21-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51	Building Inspector 2-27-51
Papping Commission 2 = 5 / - 5 / Petitioner 2	-43-37 Health Denartment 2-27 5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 2-27-51	Council Hearing, date <u>3-15-51</u>
Pecision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
lime limit extended to	Date of action

. ...

ī

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows: RES. 101910

That Richard J. and Helen C. Kuhn be permitted to construct a single family residence on approximately one-acre parcel, being the South 315 feet of Parcel 5, except the South 160 feet, Pueblo Lot No. 1209, located on Paul Jones Avenue 160 feet North of Brandywine Street, upon the following conditions:

- That a retaining wall be constructed on this property along 114 feet of the private driveway abutting Paul Jones Avenue so that said driveway will be supported without encroachment on Paul Jones Avenue.
- 2. That this one-acre parcel be retained in single ownership until such time as the Zoning Committee or the City Council approves the division; and that an agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 (New Series) be, and the same is hereby granted according to the particulars above stated insofar as they relate to said property.

AGG

Presented by	en state in andre som andere ander	want had no dan se a serie and some man second some second some second some second some second some second some
APPROVED as		and the second
to form by	J. F. Du Paul,	City Attorney,

By	Deputy City Attorney
greement # 719	ORDINANCE No. APR 17 1951 ADOPTED ERED W/SICK, CUTY CLERK
lestis office	ADOPTED FRED W/BICK, CUTY CLERK Jaunen Ce Maldon ado By Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9065</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur L. Glore to divide Lot 1, Block G, Plumosa Park, and Portion of Pueblo Lot 210 per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, into two parcels, according to plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and to construct garage with O' sideyard where 5-foot sideyard required, provided floor level of this garage be approximately at the level of the sidewalk.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19 51

By_

pplication Received By	M. Laechel City Planning Department
vestigation made 2-21-51 By	allen Jones Bulack Hackse
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	Hearing date Date $2-2i-5i$ Building Inspector $2-27-5i$ 2-23-5i Health Department $2-27-5i$
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

Avel, Summer wir abread

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9045</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles P. and Susan English to erect four living units on lot, with part of units being served by 6-foot access court, on Lot H. Block 59. Mission Beach, on South side of San Fernando Place 60 feet East of Mission Blvd., "C" Zone, provided surfaced off-street parking spaces for 3 cars be provided on the property and adequate plans be submitted for architectural approval.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	CITY	ØF SAN	DIEGO,	CALIFOR	NIA
By	Harry & Hacking				
		V S	g Directo		Je zho
	ASST	Plannin	2 DILLECTO	T ACSe	13340

ZONING COMMITTEE

Dated _______ , 19_51 FORM 2145

upplication Received <u>2-14-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
prestigation made <u>2-21-51</u> By	allen Jones Birkel Harling
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	Hearing date
pecision appr Cond'l opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51	Date 2-21-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51	Building Inspector 2-27-51
lanning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2	-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
uppeal filed with City Clerk, date	
recision of Council	Date
(esolution becomes effective	
Ipplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

a makes and a set

1.87

filed 2/23/51 valid 3/1/5,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9011</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Utschig to construct a single family residence on parcel split out after soning on portion of Pueblo Lot 1774, as per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on La Jolla Scenic Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> filed 2-23-51 Valid 3-1-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	CITY OF SAN DIEGO,	
By_	Harry lit	ailing.
	Ass't. Planning Director	Red #5349

Dated _____ February 21 , 19 51 FORM 2145

and a start a start a start a start a start a start	
cation Received	_ By _ T. Q. Bullow City Planning Department
	By allen, Jones Birkel, Haela
tigation made <u>2-21-51</u>	City Planning Department
idered by Zoning Committee $2-21$	$\frac{-57}{\text{Date}} \xrightarrow{-27-57}$
of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2	Date 2-21-51 -3-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51 oner 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51 Council Hearing, date
al filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ion of Council	
ication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
limit extended to	
and the second sec	in mentra in the second se
Performance and the second second	
JULLA RANCHO	TOAD
and a second sec	
	$1 \sqrt{2}$
,	La service and the second second second second
	1 Serie
	· / :e
	151
	1 Cont
John	20 1
50-	- T
LAJ	G

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9064</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Seventh Church of Christ, Scientist, San Diego, Mrs. Daisy F. Heard, Chairman, to construct addition of Sunday School Room and Mursery room to existing church with an 11 foot rear yard, where 15 feet required, and 460 square feet (over-coverage of approximately 7%) on Lots 21 and 22, of Block 187, Pacific Beach, at 4602 Fanuel Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Dated	1			.,	19
		February	21.		51
FORM	2145		and a state of the		

CITY OF SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA Secretary XXXXXXX Ass't. Planning Director Res. #5350

ZONING COMMITTEE

Application Received By	City Planning Department
prestigation made $2 - 2 - 51$ By	Allen Janes Bickel Hacher
onsidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	Hearing date
Pecision appr. opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Janning Commission 2-227-51 Petitioner 2	Date 2-21-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51	Building Inspector 2-27-51
lanning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2	-23-51 Health Department 2-27-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
time limit extended to	Date of action

Telena in

11.

10 10

to be between the new first and the party in-

Stand of the Date of Lands II.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9036</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Donald Giddings to construct 8 living units and 8 garages with coverage not to exceed 60% on the Southwesterly 80 feet of Lots 45, 46, 47 and 48, Block 63, Ocean Beach, on the Southwesterly corner of Bacon and Marragansett Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By Marry hotalling	RNTA			TY, ØF SAN	CI
		lai	Polle		
Secretary		147	Secretary	sarry s	By

Dated February 21, , 1951

application Received 2-16-51 B	y P. Q. Bustow City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen, Jones Biskel</u> Harlang
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>2-27-5/</u> 2-23-5/ Health Department 2-27-5/
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

a 3

, B. *

and the second second and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are __ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. Pettus to construct a single family residence on an "L" shaped lot split out after soning on portion of the Quarter Section 52, Rancho de la Nacion, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on South side of Roanoke Street at South end of Calle Aguadulce, Zone R-1, on condition that: (1) owner join in the subdivision of this area; (2) that the dimension of the property be amended to permit the continuation of Calle Aguadulce and with the understanding that the owner will participate in the improvement of the adjacent streets when the area is subdivided; (3) that lot be kept in one parcel until extension of Calle Aguadulce is dedicated.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	
By	Harry b Hacking	
	Ase't. Planing Director Res. 457	1

February 21 , 19<u>51</u> Dated

8 D. pplication Received _____ By City Planning Department -7-51 Suston By allen City Planning Department shel westigation made _ 2-21-51 2-7-51 insidered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51 Hearing date Date 2-21-51 reision appr- Condil. ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-23-51 Building Inspector 2-27-51anning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2-23-51 Health Department 2-27- 5 opeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ ecision of Council Date esolution becomes effective Continued to pplication withdrawn ime limit extended to Date of action ONA

÷.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8937</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Val A. Turner to erect a court of 10 living units and 10 garages on property with only 50 feet frontage on dedicated street on Westerly 110 feet of Lots 32 to 36, inclusive, and all of Lots 37 and 38, Block 259, University Heights, and 80 feet of Alabama Street closed adjacent to Lots 37 and 38, on West side of Alabama Street between Cypress and Myrtle Aves., Zone R-2, on the following conditions: (1) that adequate plans for grading the property, for the appearance of the buildings, and for Landscaping, and acceptable lot plan showing arrangement of the buildings, be presented and approved before construction starts; (2) that the property as covered by this resolution be retained in a single ownership and not sold separately without approval of the Zoning Committee or the City Council, and that agreement to that effect be signed and recorded; (3) that there be provided no less than 10 garage spaces for automobiles on the property, construction to commence concurrently with any other buildings covered by this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, Section 4a, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ February 21 , 19_51

FORM 2145

Secretary X

Zoning Engineer
and the second of the second	51 By M. Laechel	
cation Received	City Planning Department	E
2-7-	51 By aller Jones Buck	el Hacla
igation made <u>2-21-</u>	2-7-5/ II city Planning Departmen	t
dered by Zoning Committe	$e_{2-2l-5l}$ Hearing date $2-2l-5l$	
		-1
ing Commission 2 = 277	SI Petitioner 2-26-51 Health Department Council Hearing, date	2-27-51
al filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date	
ion of Council		
ation becomes effective	Continued to	
limit extended to	Date of action	
a		
	and a state of the second state of the test second state of the se	
the second and the second seco	and an destruction of a second s	0
the state of the second		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and any and a streng of the Carlot and a strength of the state data data a	
1.1		and states
and a second		- * 1 × 1
12.2		
10 m		
AL.		12-5- 228
,		
	the second s	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8918</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{California}, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George A. McWilliams, Jr., and Katherine McC. McWilliams to convert existing car port to living quarters now attached to hotel which portion of building is in R-1 Zone and which building has 4-foot rear yard where 25 feet required, on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Forrey Pines Road and Ardath Road, in Zones R-1 and R-4, on condition that this car port converted to living quarters will be used only by the resident manager, his family, and his personal guests, and will not be rented or used as part of the hotel. and that agreement to this affect be signed and recorded, and that plans be presented for architectural approval.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Sections 4 and 5, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

agreement # 709 Filed with aty clerk 2-23-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 21 , 1951

FORM 2145

Ву___

Secretary.

	and the second	endere la
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	A.E. L. HR	
pplication Received $2 - 13 - 51$ B	City Planning Department	
nvestigation made $2-21-51$ B		Lacking
perision appr- condition -23	Hearing date Date $2 - 2/-5/$	
pecision $2 - 2 - 2 - 5$ pecision $2 - 2 - 5$ pecision $2 - 2 - 5 - 5$ pecision pecision $2 - 2 - 7 - 5$ pecision pecis	Duntuing Inspector	7-51
ecision of Council		
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action	
5	-	

		796
		· 11, 17
A and the second second	I will find and my way of	
		S. S. S.
6.5		
T I		
Trx odx		
5		
	NES ROAD	
TORREY PI	NES	
TORE		

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9049</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David G. Fleet to construct single family residence with 15-foot rear yard at nearest point of the building and 32 feet at farthest point of the building, where 25-foot rear yard required, on Lot 16, Purpus Park, on Northerly side of Milan Street and Westerly end of same, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{ev}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 21 , 19 51

Ву___

VV

	ZII m. C. II
Application Received By	City Planning Department
avestigation made 2-2/-5/ Br	aller On Bile Hacker
nvestigation made $2 - 2(-5)$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51	Hearing date Date 2-21-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26-51	Building Inspector 2-27-51
Pauling Commission 2-21-31 Petitioner 2	-26-51 Health Department 2-27-51 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action
and the second s	the state of the second s
The press of the contract of the second second second	na ana ang manang managan na pang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang
IS. C. T	
	the man and the the second and the second
Rief General in the part of the	
Charlen and the second second second second	 The experimental sector of the sector sector.
,	
	A CARACTER AND A CARACTER
	ŝ
	المي من العلمين المي المي المي المي الم

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8929</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K. M. Fredericks, purchaser, and Dean G. Dailey, attorney for owner's estate, to redivide lots into two parcels and build one single family residence on each according to lot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, on Lots 37 to 40, inclusive, Block 53, Subdivision of Lot 20 in Pacific Beach, on Northeasterly corner of intersection of Lamont Street and Wilbur Ave., Zone R-1, on condition that the dwelling is constructed on the corner parcel before the residence is commenced on the interior parcel, and that 15-foot setback is maintained on Lamont Street and the regular City Ordinance on Wilbur Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 26 , 19_51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

The second se	D. E. South.
upplication Received By	City Planning Department
1-24-51	Alle Jone Bickel Buton City Planning Department
2-7-51 By (allen, Jones Biskel Buston
westigation made $2-21-51$ By 2	City Planning Department
unsidered by Zoning Committee 2-7-51 He	earing date
providered by Zoning Committee <u>2-21-51</u> Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date	ate 2-26-51
DV of Resolution cont to City Clerk 2-26-51 Bi	lilding Inspector <u>2-27-51</u>
anning Commission 2-27-51 Petitioner 2-2	-6-51 Health Department 2-27-57
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
	ate
esolution becomes effective	ontinued to
prication withdrawn	ate of action
me limit extended to	

•

.

1

. .

× 1

.

4

- E

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9075</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified Schools District to construct a public school building on North 1/3 of South 1/2 of West 1/2 of Southwest 1/4, except West 396 feet, of Section 34, T. 16 S., R. 2 W., S. B. M. at 54th and Blacton Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 28, , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received _2-16-51 By	E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 21 - 51$ By <u>Alle</u> 2 - 28 - 51	Sity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-21-51 Hearing d	
Decision apper. Date 2	-28-51
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-1-5/ Building 1	nspector 3-6-51
Planning Commission 3-6-51 Petitioner 3-1-51	Health Department 3-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council H	earing, date
Decision of Council Date	-
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued	
Time limit extended to Date of ac	etion

and the for the days

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9050</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isabel Bedford, owner, and Leo M. Cranford, purchaser, to erect residence with 4-foot setback on Dorcas Street, where average of the block is 21.4 feet, and 15-foot setback on Gertrude Street, where average of the block is 24 feet, on Lots 24 and 25, Block D, Boulevard Heights, on Northerly corner of Gertrude and Dorcas Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ February 28 , 19 51

By__

Secret ary

Zoning Engineer

plication Received <u>2-13-51</u> By <u>7-W. Mc Concell</u> City Planning Department
estigation made <u>2-26-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Biskel Hacking</u> 2-21-51
nsidered by Zoning Committee 2-28-51 Hearing date Date 2-28-51
cision appr
cision appr. by of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-1-51$ Building Inspector $3-6-51$ nning Commission $3-6-51$ Petitioner $3-1-51$ Health Department $3-6-51$ Council Hearing, date
nning Commission 3-6-57 Petitioner Council Hearing, date
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
cision of Council
solution becomes effective Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

.

.

• - - - -

ł.

.

· . .

\$

Letter dated February 25, 1951

WHEREAS, **Indication No**. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5343 dated February 21, 1951, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego, owner, and Alfred Bobrof and Marvin Bobrof and Thomas Frye, lessees, to erect approximately 1000 lin. ft. of S-foot high corrugated metal fence on top of existing retaining wall and fence ranging in height from O' to 15", or total overall height of 9'3", surrounding auto wrecking yard, on Lots A to L, Block 107, Horton's Addition, between 11th and 12th and Island and J Streets, Zone M-2, provided that new corrugated aluminum or new galvanized steel be used in the construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____February 28 , 1951

FORM 2145

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	25-51 By	<u>P.Q.</u> Ci	Butow ty Planning Department
nvestigation made	28-51 By	allen g	ty Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Com	nittee 2-28-51	Hearing date	
Decision appr Co	nd'l	Date 2-28-5	5/
Copy of Resolution sent to C	ity Clerk 3-1-51_	Building Inspect	tor 3-6-51
Planning Commission 3-6	-51 Petitioner 3	-1-5" He	tor $3-6-51$ ealth Department $3-6-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk,	date	Council Hearing	z, date
Decision of Council		Date	
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of action	an a
the second s	weeks dates the second s		

2

10

.....

1. 1. 1. 1.

1

.

and a state of

4 g.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9032</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Amos Cendali, Sr., owner, and First Friends Church, Frank Green, Clerk, Purchaser, to construct a church, Sunday School rooms, and parsonage on the Easterly 300 feet of that portion of the South 200 feet of the Northwest quarter of Section 34, T. 16 S., R. 2 W., S. B. M., lying Westerly of 54th Street, in Zone R-1, provided they dedicate a strip of land 40 feet in depth entirely across the front of their property for the widening of 54th Street, and provided surfaced or graveled parking area for not less than 60 cars be maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 7</u>, 19<u>51</u>

FORM 2145

SCERGGERXX

Zoning Engineer

7. W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
allen Jones, Birkel Harler City Planning Department
Hearing date <u>3-7-51</u>
Date 3-7-51 Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Building Inspector <u>3-9-5/</u>
-P-5/ Health Department 3-7-51
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and pa

-rie

1040

2.5

 \mathbf{r}

warpen a ressort

er da la

. 1

.

1

.

1.1

3

e e clang

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9051-Sup.</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Morris Steinbaum, Albert Steinbaum, M. Bert Fisher, and Herman Miller to operate tract office for the sale of property in Collwood Terrace only for period of one year, on Lot S, Campanile Manor, on Southwest corner of Campanile and Montezuma Road, Zone R-4; this resolution not to become effective until the above-mentioned Application is signed by all owners.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4567, New Series, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Signed by all OWNERS 2-15-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 7 _____ , 19_51

By_

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Application Received H	By <u>7. W. Mc Comele</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made $3 - 7 - 5 1$ H	By <u>Allen Jones Lancaster</u> Bur City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-5/	Hearing date
Decision approver Conde Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-5</u>	Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-5	Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner	3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2

.

.

A CONTRACT OF A PERSON

End amademia anonable and a

A REAL FRANCE AND A REAL FOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9061</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph L. and Merle A. Diven, owners, and Carl J. Gordon, purchaser, to construct a residence and garage with a 132 ft. rear yard, on Lot 5, Block 1, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 1, Calle de la Plata, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Ba, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated_March 7

By_

, 19⁵¹

Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5362

Application Received By	P. Q. Bustow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By	allen Jones Lancaster Burto City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ I Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector $3 - 9 - 5 /$ -8 - 5 / Health Department $3 - 9 - 5 /Council Hearing, date$
Decision of Council	Date
	Continued to Date of action

and the start, then a start of the start of

and the second second second

the president for the president of the second of the second of the

The same would be should be

The second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9083</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles and Bertha Cavesina to erect a concrete block wall 5 ft. in height on top of a retaining wall 3 ft. in height, total overall height 8 ft., on the side and rear lot lines, back of the setback line, on Lot 51, Collwood Park, 6102 Mesita Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be and is hereby granted, as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7, , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

X Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5363

plication Received _ 2-19-51 By 7.W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
City Planning Department
vestigation made 3-7-51 By allen Jones Lancaster But
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u> Hearing date
cision appr. Date 3-7-51 py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
anning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

.

0.1121.0114

1977 X.7377 72

. . *

and the provident of

a start to the BEBERRAR and show a conservation of the start of

a contract of the second second second

the second second

the thread intervention and the solution and the first second

8. N

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9085</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>hot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edgar J. and Mildred Ponsford to construct dupler, making 3 units on lot, with 9-foot access court, on Lot 22, Block 65, Resubdivision of Lots 39-56 of Normal Heights, at 4562 - 34th Street, Zone R-4, provided surfaced off-street parking areas for not less than 3 cars be provided on the property and shown on the plans.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 7 ____, 19 51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5364

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
	allen, Jour Lancater Burto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51	Hearing date Date 3-7-51
Decision appr- conde	Building Inspector 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council meaning, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
	Date of action

1 .

AT IN COTTON

网络中国网络

APER ADDE & NOTE & CO ADDE

20

SUNDANIE LILL

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9086</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter R. and Hazel M. Scott to construct a duplex on Lots 5 and 6, Block 253, University Heights, making a total of three units on the property, the existing unit to have an 8 ft. access court to Georgia Street, 3429 Georgia Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5365

Application Received 2-21-51 By D. E. South City Planning Department	- 20
nvestigation made 3-7-51 By allen Jones Longenter Br	ento
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 Hearing date	1.00
Decision appr Date 3-7-51	
Decision appr. Date 3-7-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>	_
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
time limit extended to Date of action	

a to the agent what was

1

and the state of the later of

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9096</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel A. Nix to construct two living units on the North 50 ft. of Lots 25, 26, 27 and 28, Block 38, Ocean Beach, Southwesterly corner of Eber Avenue and Coronado Street, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 7 _____, 19_51

By_

Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5366

Application Received	2-23-51 By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made	<u>3-7-51</u> By	allen, Jones Lamaster Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C	Committee <u>3-7-51</u>	Hearing date
Decision appr.		Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 3-8-51	Date $3 - 7 - 51$ Building Inspector $3 - 9 - 51$
Planning Commission 3	3 - 9 - 51 Petitioner 3	B-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Cl	erk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		
Resolution becomes effect	ctive	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

هم معمد المدر الدينين التين المتخليل والرواد والتواك

.....

10.00

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9029</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam Nix to construct two single family residences with 12-foot setback on Coronado Street, on North 50 feet of Lots 25, 26, 27, and 25, Block 35, Ocean Beach, on Southwesterly corner of Ebers and Coronado Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated March 7 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By E. C. Van Mine City Planning Department				
Investigation made 3-7-51 By allen, Jones Lancaster Burt				
Considered by Zening Committee 3=7-51 Hearing date				
Decision appr. Date 3-7-51				
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>				
Decision $2-9-5$ Date $3-7-5$ Date $3-9-5$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-9-5$ Building Inspector $3-9-5$ Petitioner $3-8-5$ Health Department $3-9-5$ I				
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date				
Decision of Council Date				
Resolution becomes effective				
Application withdrawn Continued to				
Time limit extended to Date of action				

.

and the second second second and a second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9072</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur D. Raff to build single family residence and garage, where 1 residence exists, existing residence to be vacated before new residence is occupied, on Lot 3. Block 79, Point Lona Heights, at 4476 Bermuda, Zone R-1, on condition that all kitchen equipment, including sink, cupboards, stove, hood, and vent, and other equipment peculiar to a kitchen, be removed and/or sealed off before the new residence is occupied, and provided that the existing residence be vacated within two years of the effective date of this resolution, and that an agreement be signed and recorded to that effect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 711 Filed with city club 3-12-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > XXXXXXXX

March 7 , 19 51

Ву____

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5368

Dated _____

pplication Received <u>2-23-51</u> By <u>E.C. Van Nuce</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By <u>allen, Jones Lancaster, Burton</u>
insidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-5/</u> Hearing date
Date $3 - 7 - 51$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Dev of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
anning Commission 3 - 9 - 5 Petitioner 3 - 1 - 5 Health Department 3 - 1 - 5
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

1

3

· · · ·

1949.

÷

1. 1.1

and second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9071</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur D. Raff to construct a residence and garage on Lot 3, Block 79, Point Loma Heights, the front stoop of said residence to observe a 15 ft. setback, 4476 Bermuda Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 7</u>, 19_51

By_

Manager an er L B	and the second sec
March 7,	
Application Received3	<u>-51</u> By <u>E.C. Van Hund</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
investigation made 3 - 7 - 5	-1 By <u>Allen, Jones Lancaster Bur</u> City Planning Department
arcsugation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	3-7-5 (Hearing date
Decision appr.	$\frac{1}{2 - 8 - 51}$ Date $3 - 7 - 51$ erk $3 - 8 - 51$ Building Inspector $3 - 9 - 51$
opy of Resolution sent to City Cl	erk 3-8-51 Building Inspector 3-9-51
Planning Commission 3-9-5	/ Petitioner 3 - 8 - 5 / Health Department 3 - 9 - 5 /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second

Harris and

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9038</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sue Carmichael to alter storage room into bedroom and bath with 72-foot rear yard. Lots 39 and 40, Block 4, Carmel Heights, at 2810 Felton Street, Zone R-2, on condition that the existing windows in the South wall of the building be removed and the space stuccoed to match the rest of the building, and that a continuous unbroken wall be installed 3 feet from the South lot line and that this 3-foot space be used for storage room and not part of the living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 7 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>2-23-51</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ By	allen Jones Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u> Decision <u>Appr Condl</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u>	Hearing date
Decision appr- conde	Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51	Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3	-8-51 Health Department $3-9-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· 121

,

20

17

5

(a) (b) (b)

14

*

an dat (g) soont

1 Cod.

a warma

good and the second

that a balance

A. 6. 1212

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9102</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hubert E. and Agnes Cyrus to erect a garage with a 15-foot setback minimum and not less than the setback of the garage adjoining on the East, on Lot 111, Congress Heights, at 1624 Malden Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 7 _____, 19 __51

Ву____

Secretery

Zoning Engineer
Application Received <u>2-26-51</u> By <u>7. W. M. Connect</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-7-51 By allen Jones Lancaster Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 Hearing date
Decision apper. Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51 Building Inspector 3-9-51
Decision $appen$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u> Planning Commission <u>3-9-51</u> Petitioner <u>3-8-51</u> Health Department <u>3-9-51</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Charles 1 1 Prote

the install

ł

4 ·

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9053 ____has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caesar F. and Helen Pastore to construct automobile parking lot as extension of parking lot approved by Council Resolution #99047, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 98, Roseville, on Easterly corner of Locust and Keats, in Zone R-4, on the following condition 1. A 3 ft. planting strip to be maintained along Keats St. and along the Northeasterly

- line of Lots 1 and 2; and along the Northwesterly line of Lot 1;
- 2. A 6 ft. hedge to be planted and maintained in said planting strip at all times;
- Adequate bumpers to stop cars short of the hedge, to be erected and maintained; 3.
- The parking lot to be adequately surfaced and maintained to prevent mud and/or
- Entrances and exits to the parking lot to be subject to the approval of the 5.
- That Lots 1 and 2 will be used for the parking of passenger automobiles, only, 6. and that the owners sign an Agreement to that effect;
- That Lots 1 and 2 will be placed in an Automobile Parking Zone, when such type of zone is adopted, and that the owners sign an Agreement to that effect. 7.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretery Zoning Engineer

Res. #5372

Dated____ FORM 2145

March 7 , 1951

Application Received	By <u>7. W. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$	By allen Jane Lamater Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-5	/ Hearing date
Decision appr - Condl.	Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-	51 Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petition	Date $3 - 7 - 51$ <u>51</u> Building Inspector <u>3 - 9 - 51</u> er 3 - 8 - 51 Health Department <u>3 - 9 - 51</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the state

relevent a sex

mar fair 1023

,

5

29.961

and a second second

en este de la companya estado en este de la companya de la companya estado

·____

The second with the second to property of the second s

and that

the second large state in second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8998</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John H. Peaslee, owner, and Kiwanis Club of Ocean Beach, purchaser, John T. Wright, Representative, to move in the Red Cross building at Camp Callan and use for Scouting Club House for boys and girls, on Lots 45 through 46, Block 25, Ocean Beach, on Southerly corner of intersection of Ebers and Santa Honica, Zone R-2, provided 5-foot or 6-foot high fence be constructed along East lot line out to the setback line and subject to compliance with the building code and other conditions in any moving permit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Serection Zoning Engineer

Dated_____

March 7 , 1951

Application Received B	By 7.W. mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ E	By <u>Allen Jones Lancoster Burto</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51	Hearing date
Decision appro- Condle. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u>	Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51	Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3 -9-51 Petitioner	3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

• •

1.00.72

,

With the state of the

100 - 11

A Date of the second

2

weeks and a second distance and a second distance

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9093</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. L. and Mary E. Eckholdt and L. E. and Esther E. Goodman to divide 3 lots into two building sites and construct single family dwelling on each, on Lots 27, 28, and 29, Block 131, Pacific Beach, on North side of Missouri Street, 150 feet West of Olney St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 7 , 19 51

By___

Sectedary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 2-28-51 By	<u>E.C. Van Alune</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ By	allen, Jone Lancaster Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date $3-7-51$ Building Inspector $3-9-51$ 3-8-51 Health Department $3-9-51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51	Building Inspector <u>3-9-5</u> /
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner -	3-8-51 Health Department $3-9-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

< 1 1 1

· f · · · · · ·

r -

arts :

,

SHALL HALLS

1 & S. M. marker 18 1

, i i i i

8928

has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. _ of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will _ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy Cook to divide two lots at right angles into two parcels, and build single family residence on each, each house to contain not less than 650 square feet, and each parcel to be provided with one surfaced offstreet parking space, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Corella Tract, on Southerly corner of Lehigh and Tonopah. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 7 , 19 51 Dated___

By_

Sechetaryc Zoning Engineer

	s. 1					
Application Received _	2-28-5	By	7.W. m. City Plan	ning Department	ell	
					ter Burt	6
Considered by Zoning Decision Apps Opy of Resolution ser	Committee <u>3</u> -	<u>7-51</u> Hea Date	ring date 3 - 7 - 5 1			
opy of Resolution ser	nt to City Clerk	<u>8-8-51</u> Buil	ding Inspector	3-9-51	and the second design of the	
alining Commission	3-9-51 P	etitioner 3 -8	- 51 Health	Department 3	-9-51	
"PPcal filed with (ity	Clerk, date	Cou	ncil Hearing, date	2		
101011 OF L OIIDCI		Date				
usolution becomes ef	fective					
Prucation withdraws	7	Con	tinued to			
Time limit extended to)	Date	e of action			

and the second second

5-1-1.

Wise pe.

,

and the second s

127 228 77 HEL 35

" I HIT' WITH Enclosed 11-07 .

- Che # 313 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9104</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maurice J. and Mary L. Brown to erect residence with 122-foot setback on Guy Street, where 15 feet required, on Lots 17 to 20, inclusive, Block A, Van Buren and Rehling's Subdivision, on Southwest side of Guy Street between Witherby and Hortensia Streets, Zone R-1, provided owner submits a petition of all property owners in this block on same side of the street for a new Setback Ordinance on the property, said petition to show not more than 122-foot setback on this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

X194191992

Zoning Engineer

t Lur t	
Application Received 2 -2-8-51	By 7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-7-51	By allen Jones Lancaster Purch
8	By allen, Jones Lancater Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-5</u> Decision <u>App</u> Condit Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-</u>	/ Hearing date
Decision appr- condi	Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-	51 Building Inspector 3-9-51
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petition	er $3-8-5$ Health Department $3-7-5$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

There and the values of any one and orestanding of oregination has bee been the

. .

÷.

.

. .

. . . .

n de la companya de l La companya de la comp

2

A distance of the second s

the transformer and the press press of the transformer to the second state of the seco

They be the state of the state

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9097</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Leo Wilson, owner, and L. A. Lindskoog, purchaser, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 104 on the Southerly side of Rosecroft Lane, 130 ft. West of Silvergate Avenue, into two parcels and to construct a single family residence on each, in Zone R-1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7, , 19 51

By_

Application Received By	P. Q. Buto City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ By _(allen Jones Lancaster Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u> Ho Decision Deniel Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Bu	earing date
Decision Decused Da	ate 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51 Bu	ilding Inspector <u>3-9-5/</u>
Flanning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-	F-S/ Health Department 3-7-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to Da	ate of action

the provide the reaction of the second and the second second second second second to be a second second to be a

· - ----

and a farmer of the second second and and and the

1 10 - - - -

1.4

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9132</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fredrick E. and Alice M. Parker to erect a single family residence on the Easterly 82s ft. of the Southerly 230 ft. of the Easterly 1/2 of the Westerly 1/2 of the Easterly 1/2 of the Southwesterly 1/4 of 1/4 Sec. 103, Rancho de la Nacion, North side of Alleghany Street, approximately 200 ft. East of Flintridge Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_March 7, , 19_51

By_

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Application Received $2-2-8-51$ By $\underbrace{\mathcal{E}}$ $\underbrace{\mathcal{C}}$ $\underbrace{\mathcal{Van}}_{\text{City Planning Department}}$ Investigation made $\underbrace{3-7-51}_{\text{Decision}}$ By $\underbrace{\mathcal{Allen}}_{\text{Outer}} \underbrace{\mathcal{Van}}_{\text{City Planning Department}}$ Considered by Zoning Committee $\underbrace{3-7-51}_{\text{Decision}}$ Hearing date $\underbrace{\text{Date } 3-7-51}_{\text{Date } 3-7-51}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{3-8-51}_{\text{S-S-51}}$ Building Inspector $\underbrace{3-9-51}_{\text{S-9-51}}$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner $3-8-51$ Health Department $3-9-51$
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u> Hearing date Decision <u>appr</u> . Date <u>3-7-51</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Decision appr. Date 3-7-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Decision appr. Date 3-7-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-8-5/ Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

,

the subart managing of some because

· · · · ·

the states by the ships "

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9122</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and Elizabeth O. Cardwell to construct an addition to the residence at 2292 Juan Street, said addition to have a 5 ft. rear yard, Lot 1, Longview Manor, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 7, ____, 1951

By_

Secretary: Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5379

Application Received 2-28-51 By	P. Q. Bustow City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By	allen, Jone Lancaster Burto
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 H	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3	Date $3 - 7 - 51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51 H	Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3	-8-51 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

.

the part is a second of the second of the

ALC: NO THE REAL

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 9034 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of LeRoy and Ruthlene Hochgraber to divide a portion of Lot 37, La Mesa Colony (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office) into two parcels, Northwest corner of Saranac and 69th Streets, Zone R-1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 7, , 19 51

By___

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5380

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received _____8-51 By E. C. Va City Planning Department caster Burton Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lancas</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-5 / Hearing date Date 3-7-51 Decision Deniel Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51 Building Inspector 3-9-51Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-8-51 Health Department 3-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action COLONY MESA HOCHGRABER SARANAC

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9120</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE/ IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan R. Essery to convert building to duplex, making 3 units on lot, with 6' access to street for 2 units, on Lot 29 and South 5's feet of Lot 30, Block 22, University Heights, at 4620 Alabama Street, Zone R-4, on condition that he remove the existing garages, and provide surfaced off-street parking for three cars before the third living unit now under construction is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 7</u>, 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

.

à

1

eren a seres

, .

1

ž

gene wirney

÷

4

4

1 400

arrived and and defining any orthogon for and a subsequences

3

and ar war and a second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9109</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dolores A. Keays to erect a building in the rear of an existing building for the care of six handicapped children, including mentally retarded, spastics, mongols, all of whom are crib cases, on East 111.15 feet of Lot 11, Block 34, Normal Heights, at 4634 - 31st Street, Zone R-4, on condition that any building used for the above-mentioned handicapped children comply with all laws and regulations pertinent thereto.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7 , 19 51

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Application Received8-51_	By E. C. Van Africe City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$	By allen, Jones Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-5	
Decision appr- conde.	Date $3 - 7 - 51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3 -8-5	E/ Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petition	er 3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

* *

and any and the part of the second second second the second the

service the second second second second Statestate to Renned your Suffrage's sur-

and in the second s

601 5- -----

3

1944 - Ann - Ann - Ann - A

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9125</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dionicio Gonzales to construct a 12 ft. by 15 ft. addition to an existing residence at 1661 Logan Avenue, which residence has a 2 ft. sideyard, addition will observe yard requirements, Lo t 33, Block 138, Mannasse & Schiller's Addition, in Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_____ March 7, 51 By_

Secretary xxxxxx Zoning Engineer Res. No.5383

pplication Received Z-2P-51 By E. C. Van Ause City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Loneanter</u> , Buton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
anning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

· Jahren Barris

, - A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9106</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Clairemont Lands Compnay, Carlos Tavares, partner, to reconstruct a residence with a 16 ft. rear yard, Lot 19, Clairemont Unit No. 1, Easterly side of Deerpark Street, approximately 60 ft. Southerly of Huxley Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7, , 1951

By_

Application Received <u>2-28-51</u> By <u>EC. Van Africa</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-7-51 By allen Jones Lancaster Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-7-51$ Hearing date Decision $2ppr$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ Building Inspector $3-9-51$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner $3-8-51$ Health Department $3-9-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

.

•

and start to be

a track

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

*

E . Participation

alter and a

T. I.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gust Pearson to construct 2 duplexes over garages making 8 units on the lots, with 7-foot access court for 2 units, on Lots 7, 8, 9, and 10, Block 1, Pacific Beach Vista Tract, at 725-736 Agate Ave., Pacific Beach, Zone R-4, on condition that 6 garage spaces be provided for the 8 living units.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7 , 19 51

Ву_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5385

Application Received8-51	By <u>E. C. Van</u> Three City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Allen, Jones Lancaster Bust</u> e
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-5	$ \begin{array}{c} & \text{Hearing date} \\ & \text{Date } 3 - 7 - 5 \\ & \text{L Building Inspector} \underline{3 - 9 - 5 \\ & 3 - 8 - 5 \\ & \text{Health Department } 3 - 9 - 5 \\ & \text{Gravit Hearing date} \end{array} $
Decision appr Cond'l	Date 3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-5	L Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner	3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

,

1817.53

1. P. -

20.1

and the second second

No5386
RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE
WHEREAS, Application No. 9129 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City on Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:
1. That the granting of the application isnecessary for the enjoyment and preservation o substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; an
2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfar or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application willadversely affect the Master Plan of the City o San Diego.
REFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE.
That the following described property, Lot
Subdivision Reed & Hubbell's Addition
(John Petronovich)
2 auto court units in addition to
may be used for the erection and operation of
subject to the following conditions
it is sull and void, and shall be revoked sutamatic

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated March 7 194 51

144

de

Application Received 2-28-51	By P. O. Brandstand City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u>	By allen Jones Lancater Bur
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51	Building Inspector
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application Withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to

The second statement of the second statement

12 APR CARDON COMPLETE DE CAPA

the general chories courses provide and altered the

12211

to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9131</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ no _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mid-City Heights, Carlos Tavares, President, to construct a residence and attached garage with a 6 ft. setback on Milton Street, Lot 85, Clairemont Heights Unit No. 1, Southeasterly corner of the intersection of Milton Street and Cecilia Terrace in Zone R-1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary xxxxxxx Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5387

Application Received 2-2-8-51 By	E. C. Van Nese City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By	allen Jones Lancaster Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-7-51$ Decision Decision Sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $3 - 7 - 51$ Building Inspector $3 - 9 - 51$ -8 - 51 Health Department $3 - 7 - 51$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9074</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John C. Hooper to construct residence on Lot 3 and North 1/2 of Lot 2, Block 6, Overlook Heights, residence to cross common lot line, on East side of Dorcas Street, North of Everview Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7 , 19 51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedBy	E. C. Van Hue City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ By	<u>Allen Jones Lancester Busto</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-7-51$ Decision $3-8-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector $3 - 9 - 5$ / B - 8 - 5 / Health Department $3 - 9 - 5$ /
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. .

.

.

.

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

. ×

1 10

1. C. 1. 1. 1.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9119</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. G. Klein to construct 5-foot high grape stake fence along lot line within setback area and not less than 23 feet from the curb on La Jolla Boulevard on Lot 4, Block 3, Pacific Riviera Villas, at 5171 Crystal Drive. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer
Application ReceivedB	Y C. Van Alese City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ By	y <u>Allen Jones, Lancaster, Buto</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u>	Hearing date
Decision Q 125 -	Date $3 - 7 - 5 /$ Building Inspector $3 - 9 - 5 /$ 3 - 8 - 5 / Health Department $3 - 9 - 5 /Council Hearing, date$
Copy of Pacelution cont to City Clerk 3-8-51	Building Inspector <u>3-7-51</u>
Planning Commission 2-9-51 Petitioner	3-8-51 Health Department 3-7-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Control Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	the standard and the standard and the

10 g

101.2 4

1272 4

,

The the in the second of the point

the same is a straight which is a

Letter dated February 28, 1951 from Wm. B. Harkless

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Resolution No. 5134 dated November 15, 1950, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James S. Eugler, to divide Lot 24 of Block 3 of La Mesa Townsite and 10 feet of Amherst Street closed adjacent on the south and a portion of 67th Street closed adjacent on the west (per legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department), into two parcels, each parcel to be approximately 7500 square feet in area, and to erect not more than two living units on each parcel, located at the northeast corner of 67th and Amherst Streets, in Zone R-2, subject to the approval of plans by the Planning Department, with special consideration as to the appearance and use of the property adjacent to the side lot line of Lot 23, and on condition that more than 10 foot setback on 67th Street be observed, and that the setback on Amherst Street be observed in compliance with the City Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13555, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7 , 19 51

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

application Received 2-28-5	By P. Q. Burtow City Planning Department
avestigation made 3-7-51	_ By <u>Allen Jones Lancaster</u> , Burt
Recision of Council	<u>Y-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u> ioner 3-8-51 Health Department 3-9-51
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1921

State 1 1 .

2 1) Y

and a some provide the first provide a same a first

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9127</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alexander M. and Pauline A. Silva to erect a residence with a 4 ft. setback on Lot 10, Block 133, Roseville, Southwesterly side of Poe Street, between Willow and Plum Streets, Zone R-1. 3327 POE

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 7, ____, 19_51

By_

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No.5391

Application Received <u>2-28-51</u> By <u>E. C. Van Hiss</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-7-51 By allen Jones Laurater, Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 Hearing date
1210 - 7 - 51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51. Building Inspector 3-9-51
Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ Building Inspector $3-9-51$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner $3-8-51$ Health Department $3-9-51$
Append filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the second s

0.6.71 x. 3*

,

to see we a second on the forther and the second

5751 01

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9134</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. P. Ervin and Frances V. Ervin to construct a residence with a 25 ft. setback from Linda Paseo on the North 65.97 ft. of Lot 5, Block 15, College Park Unit No. 2, Southeast corner of Linda Paseo and Campanile Drive in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

Secretary xxxxxxxx Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5392

Application Received $3 - 2 - 5 1$ By	P. Q. Buton City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ By Q	Oity Planning Department, Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51 Hea	ring date
Decision a_{PP} Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-8-51</u> Buil Planning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner 3-8	3-7-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-51 Buil	ding Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 9 - 51 Petitioner 3 - 8	-SI Health Department 3-9-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	e
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Con	tinued to
Application withdrawn Con Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

•

*

and the second s

SP42 T

,

A state for the second and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell L. and Almeda G. Ormsby to construct a stairway to the 2nd floor of an existing building at 3181 Thorn Street, said stairway to have no sideyard, West 41.5 ft. of the East 85 ft. of Lots 47 and 48, Block 5, Frary Heights in Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 7, _____, 19 51

By_

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By <u>All</u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-5</u> Hearing Date	g date
Considered by Zoning Committee Date	3-7-51
Decision appr. a. Clark 3-8-51 Buildin	σ Inspector $3 - 9 - 51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Detitioner 3-8-5	Health Department 3-9-51
Decision $3-8-51$ Buildin Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ Buildin Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner $3-8-5$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Date	Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date	Titaring, auto
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Continu	red to
	faction
Time limit extended to Date of	

-

,

11 27

ter of the contraction was

St. A.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9062</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna H. Stahlfeld to construct duplex with 9-foot access court, making total of 5 units on the lot, on Lots 39 and 40, Block 73, Park Villas, at 3738 Villa Terrace, R-4 Zone, on condition that not less than four surfaced off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property in accordance with plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 7 , 19 51

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $2-14-51$ B	7. W. me Connell
	City Planning Department
2-21-51	
Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> B	y <u>Allen Jones Burkel</u> , Haller City Planning Department
2-21-5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-7-51	
Decision appr- could.	Date 3-7-51
Ony of Perclution cent to City Clerk 3 -8-51	Building Inspector <u>3-9-51</u>
Panning Commission 3-9-51 Petitioner	3-8-37 Health Department 3-7-37
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	-
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
- AC IIIIII CATCHUCU TO	

TOUT TO AND THE FOR

· Mar Black

5 DEMONSTRATE STATE BUT DE LINE BEREISE

Lot a state the state of the state
a state of the state of the state

· I there for conserve to the product for any of the

and a manufacture attack and multiply of any other

a sub short to be apply to apply brokensh it' the first

3

a non-my thomat, and at the City of She Division

and and and see the second

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>5965</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Benbough Investment Company to build and operate private parking lot, no charge for parking, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 8, Bayview Homestead, at 7th and Date Streets, Zone R-4, on condition that a 5-foot fence be constructed around the property, that a concrete retaining wall be built within 6 months to retain any dirt placed on the lot, that the area be surfaced, and that bumpers be installed to stop all cars short of the fences.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 7 ____, 19_51

By____

Seenstery

Application Received By	<u>E. C. Van Huse</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3-7-51$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $3-7-51$ Decision appropriate Constant. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-8-51$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Allen Jane Lancaster Burto City Planning Department Hearing date Date 3-7-51 Building Inspector 3-9-51 Health Department 3-7-51
Decision of Council	Continued to Date of action

The same of your and the for the second of

30.21.21. 2.11.2. .

Bought which had be

,

and a strange of the second of

the the state of a state of a state of a

a subscription of the second second second second

and the second of the second s

the second states a presentary presented the score

1" (16) +

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9117</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Newton, owner, and Obe Rickerson, lessee, to construct a 30 ft. by 40 ft. addition to an existing store building at 3111-30th Street, Lots 21, 22, 23 and 24, Block 8, Frary Heights, in Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Construction to be in accordance with the plans submitted;
- 2. That the existing wood portion of the building be removed;
- 3. That a fence 5 ft. in height be installed along the North property line back to and connecting with the existing bank on the North property line;
- 4. That an adequate screening hedge or shrubs be planted and maintained to obscure the existing cut banks on the North and East property lines;
- 5. That the existing driveway on Redwood Street be closed up and a new driveway installed further East, according to the requirements of the City Traffic Engineer.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Res. No.5396

FORM 2145

Dated

March 7, , 1951

Application Received 2-27-51 By	D. E. South City Planning Department
	<u>Allen Jone Lancaster Burd</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-7-51$ Decision appendix Constitution Constitution Sent to City Clerk $3-9-51$ Planning Commission $3-9-51$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector $3-9-5/$ -9-5/ Health Department $3-9-5/$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

,

The same set of the set of the set of the

-

in the product of the stand

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ mot_ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Resolution No. 5223 dated January 10, 1951, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herchel L. and Gertrude M. Bates to erect triplex and garages maintaining 10.6-foot setback on Wabaska Drive and 9-foot setback on Tennyson Street, on Northerly portion of Block H, Point Loma Heights, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, Zone R-4, provided 3 offstreet parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoktion permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Note

, 19 51

March 12

6

red-

RM 2145

th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal 1^{44} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Bv

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received 2-28-51 By	M. Loechel City Planning Department
	allen Jone Lancester Busto
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u> H Decision <u>Jeps</u> Condit. D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-12-51</u> B	learing date
Decision appr- Conde. D	ate 3-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-12-51 B	uilding Inspector <u>3-12-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-12-51 Petitioner 3-	-12-5/ Health Department 3-12-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Pate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to L	Date of action

A REAL PARTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.

The state of a structure of the structure for the

the second second by the second second in the second second

* . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9095</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter and Frances M. Gaska to erect a single family residence on parcel split out after soning on Portion of Quarter Section 82, Rancho de la Nacion, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Southerly end of Galle Serena, Zone R-1, provided the residence is constructed within the Easterly 105 feet of this parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

NOTE

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19 51

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5398

FORM 2145

Dated

March 14

AND A THE AND A PARTY The second second Application Received _2-26-51 By_ Y. 4 City Planning Department Investigation made <u>3-7-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lan</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-7-51</u> Hearing date Decision <u>Japane</u> <u>Constitu</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-14-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-14-51</u> Planning Commission 3-14-51 Petitioner 3-14-51 Health Department 3-1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action 0 11 ALT COM 1 2 110 3 DU SUNT 1,36,0 U WINCHE S 1 TOTIT !--2 1. 6.14 20 0 5 W 1 7 LL 100 CALL CH 250 A 4 1) 205 ISX 200 NWIT 82 NACION of 14Sec LA NACION RANCHO De LA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9082</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Security Trust & Savings Bank, owner, holding in trust for John W. Anderson; and Warsaw Lindsay, lessee, to stucco and plaster West wall of existing residence, repair and plaster bath rooms and make general West which residence has a 7-foot rear yard, where 10 feet required, on repairs, which residence has a 7-foot rear yard, where 10 feet required, on Northerly 65 feet of Lot 3, except the Westerly 30 feet, and Northerly 65 feet of Lot 4, Block 36, Sherman's Addition, on Southwest corner of 15th and J Streets, Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Note

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretaryx

Dated ______ Narch 15 _____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5399

Application Received 2-28-51 By 7	W. Mc. Comell City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>3-14-51</u> By <u>Al</u>	len Jones Lancaster Busto City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-14-51 Hearing date		
Decision appr Date 3-14-51		
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-1551</u> Building Inspector <u>3-1551</u>		
Planning Commission 3-15-51 Petitioner 3-15-51 Health Department 3-15-51		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date	and the second	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Continu	aed to	
Time limit extended to Date of	f action	

1

3

3

or shorth homewall for many homewall's the home same

. .

1 61

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9126</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not 4. That the granting of the variance will ____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert J. and Elizabeth M. Stirnkorb to construct a four-unit apartment building, crossing lot lines, on Lots 8 and 9, Block D, South La Jolla, on Nautilus Street, Easterly of Neptune Place, in Zone R-2. according to the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 21, , 19_51 Dated

By

Application Received $2 - 28 - 51$ By $3 - 7 - 51$	P- Tor
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 3 - 7 - 5 - 3 - 7 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51 H	learing date <u>3-21-51</u>
D'' A man	ate 1-2-1-51
C ID 1 I wat to 1 Ity AFR O FE 31 B	111101100 ITSDECTOR $3 = 4.5 = 5.7$
Planing Commission 3-23-5 Petitioner 3-	LL-SI Health Department 3-2751
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to L	Date of action

the part of the application

۰.

and the second second

Sec. State Sec.

,