WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Peggy Ann and Mitchell N. Angus to erect a trellis-covered patio with no sideyard on Lot 1195, Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3, 4805 Lila Drive, in Zone R-1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21, , 19_51

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 540I

Application Received By	F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 7 - 51$ 3 - 21 - 51 3 - 7 - 51 By 2 3 - 7 - 51	allen, Jones Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-2i-5i$ H Decision Denied Di Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-22-5i$ Br Planning Commission $3-23-5i$ Petitioner $3-3i$	earing date ate $3 - 2/-5/$ wilding Inspector $3 - 23 - 5/$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co Decision of Council D	ouncil Hearing, dateate
	ontinued toate of action

10.0

,

· · · 20 4

0

that the second of the best provided the second of the second

see RES 5401

plan(2)

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mitchell N. Angus, 4805 Lila Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5401, application for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section 8a, to permit Peggy Ann and Mitchell N. Angus to erect a trellis-covered patio with no sideyard on Lot 1195 Talmadge Park Estates Unit No. 3, at said address, in Zone R-1, is hereby denied and said Zoning Committee's decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby	certify the above to b	e a full, true, and cor	rect copy of Reso	olution No	102051
he Council of the	City of San Diego, as ac	lopted by said Counci	il April	26, 1951	

	FRED W.	SICK	
			City Clerk
D.,	DONALD I	. STEIN	ERT
Ву	•••••••	•••••••	Deput

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul R. and Gladys M. Davison to divide 3 lots into two equal parcels 50 ft. by 80 ft. each, and maintain existing single family residence on corner and build new residence on interior parcel, on Lots 5, 6, and 7, Block 7, La Jolla Beach, on Southeast corner of Marine Street and Monte Vista Avenue, Zone R-1, provided 15-foot setback is maintained on Monte Vista Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, Section 4, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21 , 19 51

By

SOCK REALEY

Application Received 3-5-51	By 7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $3-21-51$	By <u>Allen Jones J Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21	1-51 Hearing date
Decision Condl. appr.	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-	22-51 Building Inspector 3-23-51
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Peti	itioner 3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second second

the state of the second second second second

the parametric attack ine hearth in makery at

store and the second state of a contract and work multiple for the

a management of the second second second second second second second

. .

- 2

,

YEAR FRANK STA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8386</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. L. and C. Bell McMechen to redivide the East 15 ft. of Lot 27, all of Lot 28 and the West 35 ft. of Lot 29, Aurora Heights, into two building sites, each 50 ft. by 100 ft. in size, and to construct a single family residence on each, North side of Mutmeg Street, East of Dale Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21, , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5403

Application Received <u>3-5-51</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 5 = 3$	allen Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-21-51$ Decision $3-22-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-22-51$ Planning Commission $3-23-51$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date $3 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

in the second se

,

LINK CO

9130 WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will _ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon V. and Lou L. Arnold to construct a solid redwood fence 5 ft. in height in front of the setback line at 4119 - 32nd Street on Lots 5 and 6, Resubdivision of Block 159, University Heights, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 21, , 19 51 Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Application Received $3-5-$	51 By E. C. Van Hue City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 5$	1 By allen, Jones & By Town
Considered by Zoning Committee	1-21-51 Hearing date
Decision app	Date $3 - 2(-5)$ 3 - 22 - 51 Building Inspector $3 - 23 - 51$ Petitioner $3 - 22 - 51$ Health Department $3 - 23 - 51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	3-22-51 Building Inspector 3-23-51
Planning Commission 3-23-51	Petitioner 3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the set of a first so wet at the

and the second sec

and have a should be supplied and a straight

AL ALLAN

8941

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Linda Schroeder to redivide Lot 6, Block 14, F. T. Scripps Addition, Northeast corner of Draper and Arenas Streets, into three parcels, as shown on the plat on file in the Planning Department Office, and to construct two units on the Northerly parcel and one single family residence on each of the Southerly parcels in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19₅₁

By_

Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5405

Application Received <u>3-6-51</u>	By D.E. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-21-51	_ By <u>Allen Jones + Burton</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-	51 Hearing date
Decision appr	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2-	Date $3 - 21 - 51$ Building Inspector $3 - 23 - 51$
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitic	oner 3 - 2 2 - 5 / Health Department 3 - 2 3 - 5 /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date/
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

semi-activities and even many state success while the second set and and and and

WHEREAS, Application No. ____9135 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna O'Neil to construct 25 ft. by 22 ft. garage, laundry and storage building with O' sideyard and O' rearyard, said garage to house at least two automobiles, on Lots 43 and 44, Block 166, University Heights, at 4076 Kansas Street, Zone R-4, and on condition existing paved parking area be maintained for parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19_51 Dated March 21

FORM 2145

By_

Sectory

Application Received	By <u>E. C. Van Huse</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jone & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $3 - 21 - 51$ SI Building Inspector $3 - 23 - 51$ ar $3 - 22 - 51$ Health Department $3 - 23 - 51$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

"ONTHER THING TO CH

L AND AND LINES ADDRESS ADDRESS AND A VALUE AND ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS

TIC TIC TO THE FAR FRA TO T

and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9155</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Faust and Herbert Kelly to excavate 10,000 cu. yds. of dirt from property for building sites, on Lots A, B, C, D, and E, Block 22, Las Alturas No. 5, on North side of Market Street beginning at Hast line of 51st Street, Zone R-4, provided that the floor of the excavation at the lot line is left approximately 3 feet above the street grade, that it slope back and up at 1% grade until it meets the cut bank, which shall be at a slope of approximately 1 to 1 to be broken by a 10-foot wide terrace approximately half way up the cut slope, and which cut slope shall end no closer than 5 feet to abutting lot lines, and subject to any conditions which may be imposed by the City Manager on the Excavation Permit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21 , 19_51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3-8-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ By	Allen Jone + Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51	Hearing date
Decision Condle appro- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-51	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-51	Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitioner 3	-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ne an a constant a la facta de la constant a constant en englissem presentent en service de la constant de la En servici de la constant de la const

and the set of the set

American and well dot by martine 12 Law Artenness and

a played out to a set provential the operations for the set of the

the second se

ALL ALE

allow rought is waite to an e

A AN ON A BROAT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8946</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sylvester M. and Frances Nanna to operate a part-time job printing business in the basement of the residence at 1944 Union Street, Lot 10, Block 45, Middletown, in Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Part-time only, not more than 12 hours per week;
- 2. No employees:
- 3. Small hand press or maximum of 2 H.P. motor-driven press;
- 4. No signs on the premises;
- 5. Orders to be handled by mail; no customers to be served on the premises.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21, , 19 51

By_

Application Received <u>3-8-51</u> By	
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 5$ By	allen Jone + Buston
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51 H	Iearing date
Decision appr - Congil. I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22-51</u> H	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-51 H	Building Inspector <u>3 - 23 - 5 1</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitioner 3-	22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Q	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

the second se

. all the way linear

- a the of the second shares of the second shares and the second s

and the second state of the second state of the second state

SAVARANA AND A STREET WATER TO THE STREET

and the second second a second second

YD TO A DO A

three the state

State - 1 th

.

THE FREE AND THE TO AND THE TO AND

A REAL PORT OF A REAL PORT OF A REAL PORT

RESOLUTION NO. 5409, extending Res. No. 5013

Letter dated March 8, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5013, which extended Resolution No. 4594 (amending Resolution No. 4509), be, and is hereby granted to F. N. McBride to construct a single family residence on a portion of Lot 2, Block 497, Old San Diego. AGG = 641

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21, , 1951

By_

Application Received $3 - 9 - 51$ B	y M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ B	y <u>Allen Jones Burton</u> City Planning Department
mittestigation meet	City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $3-21-51$ Building Inspector $3-23-51$ 3-22-51 Health Department $3-23-51Council Hearing, date$
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

-

,

Alex Corne

1

·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9108</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank L. Hurteau to construct a duplex over an existing non-conforming garage which has a 2 ft. rearyard, duplex to have a 7¹/₂ ft. rear yard, Lots 3 and 4, Block 139, Roseville, 3024-26 Poe Street in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By ____

19

Secretary XXXXXXX Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5410

Dated March 21,

Application Received 3 - 9 - 5 1	By E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 2! - 5!$	_ By <u>allen Jones Buton</u>
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-	-5 / Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-2</u>	2-51 Building Inspector 3-23-51
Planning Commission 3-23-5 / Petiti	oner 3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A Contractor

1.4.4

N. 36 0 1

and and the state of the state

the second s

and a second

Barre .

1

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9156 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred. Williams to alter existing apartments above store building with O' sideyard on Lot 40, Block 72, Ocean Beach, at 5041-43 Newport Ave., "C" Zone, on condition owner provide one surfaced off-street parking space in addition to the existing single car garage, and on condition he stucco the exterior of the building where it is closer than 4 feet to the side lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 21 , 19 51 Dated

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ By	<u>Allen Jone & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51	Hearing date
Decision appr - Coull Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-51	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-51	Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitioner 3	-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· .

• •

.

2 + FARD BALLER COMPANY COMPANY AND AND AND AND

the second state of the second of the state of the state of the second state of the se

where and the state of the second still the test of

11. * 11 12 5 1* 1C

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9154</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paula De Lannoy, owner, and Glen A. Vickery, lessee, to alter front of existing building with O' setback, on Lots 1 and 2, Highland Gardens, at 5486 El Cajon Blvd., "C" Zone, on condition that any portion of the building beyond the setback line be removed from the setback area when the City requests it at owner's expense and at no cost to the City, and that agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13055, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

AGG #716

3-27-1951

Dated ______ Narch 21_____, 19__51

FORM 2145

By_

Sucretery: Zoning Engineer

Res. #5412

Application Received	By allen, Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$	By allen Jone + Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-5	- 1 Hearing date
Decision appr- Could. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22	-51 Building Inspector 3-23-51
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petition	ner 3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second of the second of

the second state was not the product of second and

a solution of a second se

· ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9076</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Nedra Cordon to erect 3 living units over a 3-car garage at rear of property, making total of 4 units, of which 3 units will be served by an 3-foot access court, on Lots 34 and 35. Block 65. University Heights, at 1440 - 30th Street, "C" zone, on condition that at least 4 off-street parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ March 21_____, 19_51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received $3 - 12 - 51$	By <u>E.C. Van Hise</u> City Planning Department
	By allen June + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-5	51 Hearing date
Decision appr- Condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22</u>	Date 3 - 21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22	-51 Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-5 / Petition	er $3-22-5$ / Health Department $3-23-5$ /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CAR HER PARTY AND IN LODIES

,

а

and the property of the second and the part of the part of the property of the part of the

CONTRACTOR AND ALL LA CARD AN SHALL THE

a series of a series of the series of the series of the series of the

1 2 M

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9157</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jasper Robbins to construct duplex above 5-car garage crossing lot lines, in rear of property, making 5 units on lots, one unit to have a 4-foot access court, on Lots 44, 45, and 46, Block 40, Ocean Beach, at 4751 Orchard Ave., in Zones R-2 and R-4, on condition that no less than 6 off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4a, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated

March 21 , 19 51

Application ReceivedB	y F. W. mc Connell City Planning Department	
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ B	y allen Jones + Burton Gity Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-21-51$ Hearing date Decision $approx Condl$ Date $3-21-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-22-51$ Building Inspector $3-23-51$ Planning Commission $3-23-51$ Petitioner $3-22-51$ Health Department $3-23-51$		
Planning Commission 3-43-57 Petitioner	3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

20

٠.

11.3

the second and the second and the

the second of the participation meaning of all the participation

The second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jain Wilimovsky, Owner, and Herman and Dorothea Scheneman, Operator, to conduct photographic business in existing building on Westerly 40 feet of South 16 2/3 feet of Lot 33 and West 40 feet of Lot 32, except South 14 1/3 feet, Block 152, University Heights, at 41322 Utah Street, Zone R-4, on condition that no photography takes place on the premises, that there are no signs on the premises and no advertising of the premises, and that business be conducted no more than 3 hours per day.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 21 , 19_51 Dated___

By

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedB	7. W. mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ 3 - 7 - 51 By	allen, Jones Lancaster Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51 Hearing date	
Decision Appr - Candle. Date 3-21-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22-51</u> Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u> Planning Commission <u>3-23-51</u> Petitioner <u>3-22-51</u> Health Department <u>3-23-51</u>	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

•

,

WELL PERSONNEL PROVIDENTS

100 f

The second statement is a solution of the second

the second second second to the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9043</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. A. and Lacy Mae King to construct and operate private parking lot on East 1/2 of Lots 1 and 2 for guests of apartment hotel on Lots 1 through 5, Block 154, Pacific Beach, at 4725-35 Ocean Front, Zone R-4, on condition that the area be surfaced and that a 5-foot high fence be erected along Missouri Street lot line for the length of the parking lot.

Permission is also granted to erect neon single faced sign, approximately 5 ft. by 8 ft., or maximum of 40 sq. ft., on a pole on Missouri Street side of this property.

Permission is hereby DENIED to erect a neon single faced sign on a pole on the Ocean Front Boulevard side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, Section 5, and Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sc. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sc. be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21, , 19 51

By_

Secretaryx

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $3 - 13 - 51$	By P. Q. Butow City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jones Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3 - 2(-5)$	<u>Hearing date</u>
Decision app- Could. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22</u>	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22	-SI Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petition	er 3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

E the state and the

Turning the State of the second for the state of the

and the set of the set

•

1. 8.

when the weight an and "as easily a news

10 1 10

4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9163</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Louis B. and Minnie L. Evert to convert an existing rumpus room which has a 4 ft. rearyard into living quarters at 2834 Howard Street on Lots 20, 21 and 22 (except the East 70 ft. and except the West 32 ft.) and the South 20 ft. of Lot 23 (except the East 70 ft. and except the West 32 ft.), Block 121, University Heights, in Zone R-4, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 21, , 19 51

By_

Application Received <u>3-14-51</u> By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 5 - 5$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51 H	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date $3 - 2(-5)$ Building Inspector $3 - 23 - 5$ 22 - 5 Health Department $3 - 23 - 5$ (
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2-2-51 H	Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitioner3-	22-51 Health Department 3-23-5 (
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Q	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

T IT T MAL PARAME

The same way and the p

,

ALL NA

The state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9161</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman Bussman to construct residence and garage, each to have 5-foot setback on Chatsworth Blvd., on Lot 97, except Southeasterly S1.76 feet, Point Loma Villas, on Easterly corner of Chatsworth Blvd. and Curtis Street, Zone R-1, provided regular City setback ordinance is observed on Curtis St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21 , 19 51

By_

Sacretery

Zoning Engineer
Application Received <u>3-14-51</u> By	P. Q. Buston City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ By	<u>Allen, Jones & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51	Hearing date
Decision appro- Concel. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22-51</u>	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-51	Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitioner 3	-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· · · · · · · · ·

The service and a second on the lot as an and the

Construction and Marketing Provide State

a and the second s

CHECK .

an and a second to a grand and a second to a

All strates and an

110

WHEREAS, Application No. ____9176 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl W. Gray to erect approximately 50 lin. ft. of 5-ft. high concrete block fence on top of retaining wall of maximum height of 10 ft, on the East lot line and 20 lin. ft. of 5-ft. high concrete block fence on the South property line along "B" Street. on West 70 feet of Lot 6, Block 11, Culverwell & Taggart's Subdivision, at 2108 "B" Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 21 , 1951 Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

<u>F. W. M. Compel</u> City Planning Department
<u>Allen Jones Buston</u> City Planning Department
Hearing date Date $3 - 2/-5/$ Building Inspector $3 - 23 - 5/$ 3 - 22 - 5/ Health Department $3 - 23 - 5/$
Council Hearing, date
Continued to

Set Dito

a land there are all and the second and the second areas and the second areas areas and the second areas areas a

an entrance being the final and all the

The s

the second state of the second state of the second state and the second state of the s

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9140</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Clara Louise Merrick to construct four living units on Lot Q, Block 15, Mission Beach, Northeast corner of Strandway and San Luis Rey Place, in Zone R-2, and to construct said building with a 12 ft. rear yard, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243. New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 21, , 1951

By_

Application Received <u>3-15-51</u>	By P. Q Buttow City Planning Department
	By <u>Allen Jones & Burton</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-5	1_Hearing date
Decision Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-5	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-9	I Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-5/ Petitioner	3-22-51 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

want which applies to serve to by the ser

the first state and the state of the state

1.25

Constant of the second s

.

STATESTICK MALE IN DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the petition of Clara Louise Merrick to construct four living units on Lot Q, Block 15, Mission Beach, Northeast corner of Strandway and San Luis Rey Place, with a 12-foot rear yard, be, and the same is hereby modified to permit said petitioner to construct three living units and a minimum of five garages and a maximum of six garages on said property with a setback of 2 feet from the alley line.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 3-A, be, and the same is hereby granted according to the particulars above stated insofar as they relate to said property.

2. C. Res. 5420

ixth 5 5 10

15 al (

Presented by

APPROVED as to form by J. F. Du Paul, City Attorney,

BY

Deputy City Attorney.

ORDINANCE No RESOLUTION No. 10191
ADOPTED APR 17 1951
FRED W. SICK, CITY CLERK
By Helen m Willig

Deputy



RESOLUTION NO. 103838

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That a six-months extension of time, be, and it is hereby granted to Clara Louise Merrick, to construct three living units and a minimum of five garages and a maximum of six garages on Lot Q, Block 15, Missic Beach, Northeast corner of Strandway and San Luis Rey Place, as granted by Resolution No. 101911 adopted on April 17, 1951.

	above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resol	
f the Council of the City of San D	iego, as adopted by said CouncilSEP.	2.7.1951
	FRED W. SICK	
		City Clerk.
-	Donald L. Steinert	
20M - 8/50	Dy	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9150</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl Hochrein to build apartment over 3-car garage with 10-foot rearyard, on Lots 15 and 16, Block 281, Pacific Beach, at 2057-63 Reed Ave., Zone R-4, on condition that four surfaced off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21 , 1951

By_

Secretary

Application Received <u>3-15-51</u>	By P. Q Button City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 2(-5)$	By <u>Allen Jones Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-21-51</u> Decision Could. approx. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22-1</u> Planning Commission <u>3-23-5</u> (Petitioner	Hearing date Date $3 - 2 (-5)$ Use $3 - 2 (-5)$ Health Department $3 - 2 - 5 (-5)$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Part I V

alacer, ---

11 1 1 1

- Martin Martinstrum

and and will all the more faith detrained to be

These These

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9177</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>hot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hansford E. and Yolande Chapman to move in a garage to observe 3-foot setback at the closest corner of the garage, on Lots 3 to 6, inclusive, Block 6, Haffenden & Sannydale Addition, at 3304 F Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21 , 1951

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By D. E. South. City Planning Department
	By Allen Jone & Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-	$13te_{3-2}(-5)$
	-E. Duilding Inspector 3-12 E/
Diamina Commission 3-23-5/ Petitio	ner 3-22-31 Health Department 3-23-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the price analytical by the particle contract contract

14 A A

1.5.

A * 15105

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9176</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>no</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William L. and Dorethy J. Hay to construct a third unit on the property, with a 7-foot access court, on North 15 feet of Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 222, Pacific Beach, at 1454 Everts Street, Zone R-4, on condition that 3 surfaced off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ March 21_____, 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3-16-51</u> By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ By	allen Jones & Buston City Planning Department
	ate $3-21-57$ uilding Inspector $3-23-57$ 22-51 Health Department $3-23-57$
Application withdrawn	ontinued toate of action

Constant and the

.

Section of the sectio

**

a the set and the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9150</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Edwin and Florence B. Armstrong to construct a 16 ft. by 43 ft. recreation and storage room connected to existing garage and storage room approximately 520 sq. ft. in area, making accessory building a total of approximately 1200 sq. ft., with 0° sideyard and 0° rearyard, on Lot 14. Yacht Club Terrace, at 876/Antonio Place, Zone R-1, on condition that this building will not be rented separately and will be used by members of the immediate family of the occupants or their invited guests, and that a written agreement to that effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. AGG 7153-26-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated______March 21____, 19_51

By

floot of or y

Dated _____

Application Received <u>3-20-51</u> B	y <u>P. Q. Burtow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 21 - 51$ B	
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-21-51	Hearing date
Decision appro- Condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-5	Date 3-21-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22-5	Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u>
Planning Commission 3-23-51 Petitioner	3-22-51 Health Department $3-23-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A PALESTA PA

and a second party of providence interview and the second

services and and a support of the service of the second service of the

the forest is the second of the second states of the second states

. . . .

.

There are seen in a

The man ?

application to a What's to the second

,

1-11-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9145</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. M. Taylor to erect two four-ft. by 10-ft. signs on posts, approximately S feet above the ground, for motel, on Lots 21 and 22, Block 2, Stephen's Addition, at Revere and Glendora Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sc, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 21 , 19_51

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3-20-51</u> B	y <u>E. C. Van Here</u> City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen Jones & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-21-51</u> Decision <i>Apper</i> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22-5</u> Planning Commission <u>3-23-51</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date $3 - 21 - 51$ <i>Building Inspector</i> $3 - 23 - 51$ 3 - 22 - 51 Health Department $3 - 23 - 51Council Hearing, date$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A Trailer and the second stands of statisticas and she she statistical states of the second states to

and the set of the set

From the second second second second

steel,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. A. and Charlotte Haimsohn to construct a single family residence on the Westerly 25 ft. of Lot 8, all of Lot 9, and the Easterly 40 ft. of Lot 10, Block 180, Roseville, Westerly side of Whittier Street, between Willow and Plum Streets, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 21, , 19 51

FORM 2145

By___

Secretarys Zoning Magineer

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
	<u>Allen Jone & Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-2i-5i$ Decision $3-2i-5i$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-22-5i$ Planning Commission $3-23-5i$ Petitioner 3 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date $3 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and the first of a

.

,

and an entry of at your and an and a begin and

i

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9088</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John D. Hill to construct 300 sq. ft. storage room addition to 400 sq. ft. garage, making total of 700 sq. ft., with 1-foot rearyard and 1-foot sideyard, according to revised plans submitted, on Lot 13, Block S. College Park, at 5011 College Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 21 _____ , 1951

By_

Secretary Zoning Engineer

pplication Received By By F. W. M. Concell City Planning Department
westigation made <u>3-7-51</u> 3-21-51 By <u>Allen Jour Lanters Bus</u> Gity Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>3-21-51</u> Hearing date
ecision apper. opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-22-5</u> Building Inspector <u>3-23-51</u> lanning Commission <u>3-23-51</u> Petitioner <u>3-22-51</u> Health Department <u>3-23-51</u>
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
polication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

14 4

C G THINK

1 51 6 1 5 1 B 1 B 1 F 1

1009739 (San 1 - 1

6.6

,

· Spech

5428

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9055</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Myron H. and Mabel E. Stilson to redivide property into two parcels and build or maintain single family residence on each, according to plot plan on file in the Planning Department Office, on Portion of Lots 3 and 4, Pfanlers Subdivision of Lot 23, Eureka Lemon Tract, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 3435 Morena Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Note

_, 19 51

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

By

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated_

March 30

Application Received By By South City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-21-51 By <u>Allen Jones Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-2i-5i$ Hearing date Decision Date $3-30-5i$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-30-5i$ Building Inspector $3-30-5i$ Planning Commission $3-30-5i$ Petitioner $3-30-5i$ Health Department $3-30-5i$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Line Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action

a farmer and the second



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9068</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Walter A. Mitchell to convert a garage to living quarters, making a total of 3 living units on the lot with 3 ft. access court to the street, second floor of rear structure to have an 8 ft. rear yard, Lot I, Block 40, Mission Beach, 816 Coronado Court, in Zone R-4, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 4, _____, 1951

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer Res. No.5429

Application Received <u>3-7-51</u> By <u>EC. Van Nice</u> City Planning Department	the second second
Investigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Ullen Jones Lances</u>	ter, Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-5/ Hearing date	
Decision Demied Date 4-4-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-57 Building Inspector 4-6-57	and the second
Planning Commission 4-6-5/ Petitioner 4-5-5/ Health Department 7-6-	.51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

apply a play all for and don't apply a second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9121</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. A. Marchese to construct addition to residence, said addition to maintain 122-foot rear yard, on North 65 feet of Lot 21, Block 16, El Cerrito Heights #2, at 4469 - 60th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $3-1-51$ By $7-W$. Mc Concell City Planning Department 4-4-51 Investigation made $3-21-51$ By <u>Allen</u> <u>Journ Louranter Bur</u> Considered by Zoning Committee $4-4-51$ Hearing date Decision appr. Date $4-4-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-5-51$ Building Inspector $4-6-51$ Planning Commission $4-6-51$ Petitioner $4-5-51$ Health Department $4-6-51$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date	to
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action	

and a second s

Stor in the second of the particulation of the

to a first to relevant the program of strengt of

referent the second second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9142</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ben and Dora Golden to construct a single family residence on the West 30 ft. of Lot 5 and all of Lot 6, Block 16, College Park Unit No. 2, South side of Lindo Paseo, approximately 300 feet west of Campanile Drive, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1314, N. S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 1951

By

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 4 - 51$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51	Hearing date
Desision a later -	Date 4-4-51
Di Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner	Health Department 7-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a settle of a settle of the settle of th

the state when a second state by the president state of the

,

RESOLUTION NO. 5432, amending Res. No. 5353

Letter Dated March 12, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 5353, dated February 21, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Val A. Turner to erect a court of 10 living units and 10 garages on the Westerly 110 ft. of Lots 31 to 36, inclusive, all of Lots 37 and 38, Block 259, University Heights, and 80 ft. of Alabama Street closed adjacent to Lots 37 and 38, which property has only 50 ft. of frontage on a dedicated Street, West side of Alabama Street between Cypress and Myrtle Streets, in Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions:

1. That adequate plans for grading the property, for the appearance of the buildings, and for landscaping and an acceptable lot plan showing the arrangement of the buildings, be presented and approved before construction starts;

2. That the property as covered by this resolution be retained in a single ownership and not sold separately without approval of the Zoning Committee or City Council, and that an agreement to that effect be signed and recorded;

3. That there be provided not less than <u>10 garage spaces</u> for automobiles on the property, construction to commence concurrently with any other buildings covered by this resolution. AGG 718

A variance to the provisions of Ord. No. 12889, and Ord. No. 8924, Sec. 12, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Porm 2145

By_

, 19____

Secretary

XXXXXXX Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5422

Application Received <u>3-22-51</u> By	P. Q. Burlow City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-4-51$ By	alle Jan Langter Bure
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51	Hearing date
Decision appr- condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u>	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51	Building Inspector 4-6-51
Planning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner 4	-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
the second s	

apprendice of destar " " a prover and and the second of the second second

inder 1. 11. in the second second

and the state of the second state and and the second state of the

A A MARK THE AVER AND A MARKE

and the state of the

AND AND A STORE OF

all so light

The second state of the second state of the

.

RESOLUTION NO. 5433, extending Res. No. 5068

Letter dated March 21, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5068 be granted to Lucius S. and Morna S. Rickard to erect a duplex over four garages in the rear, making a total of 3 living units on the property, with an 8 ft. 9 in. access court for two units, Lots 31 and 32, Block 3, Resubdivision of Blocks 3, 6, 9 and 12, City Heights Annex No. 1, located at 4030-45th Street in Zone R-4; on condition that a portion of the utility buildings be removed to a point not less than three feet away from the proposed duplex and garage if the adjacent wall of the utility building is stucco, or six feet or more away if it is not.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 1951

By_

Application Received <u>3-22-51</u> By	M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-4-51$ By	allen Janes Lancaster, Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-5/	Hearing date
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u>	Date 4-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51	Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u>
Di Commission 4-6 9/ Petitioner 4	- S - S / Health Department 4 (- 5 /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second sec

When a smark commence is the start Less of the Decta

and the second and the state of the second state of the

and the second of the second state of the seco a high and a start of the space of the start of the start

the present in a sing of the lot is to be the

the answ president is the second and a second second

1.74.82

,

Contraction of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9103</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Lottie T. Moorman to construct a duplex on the East 53 ft. of the West 156 ft., except the South 310 ft., of Lot J. La Mesa Colony, South side of Amherst Street, East of 68th Street, in Zone R-1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 1951

By_

Application Received By By South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen, Jones Lanenter Bust</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51 Hearing date
Decision Date 4-4-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51
Disarius Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner 4-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Throughter and wat. B to be a high through and with the the through and the transmission was a second or the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9185</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Robert J. and Agnes M. Lee to convert a non-conforming beauty shop into a living unit, making a total of 3 living units on the property. 2 units to be served by a 6 ft. 6 in. access court, Lot 33 and the South 8 ft. of Lot 34, Block 2, City Heights Annex No. 1, 4036 Highland Avenue, in Zone R-4, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____April 4, ____, 19_51

By_
Application Received By	M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-4-51$ By <u>6</u>	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51 H	earing date
Decision Denied D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> B Planning Commission <u>4-6-51</u> Petitioner <u>4</u>	ate 4-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 B	uilding Inspector 4-6-51
Planning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner 4-	-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawnC	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

ENGLE FORTHATION CONF. FLORE CONTRACTOR CONT

37 70049

5 1 1 5 T

, .

the second second

and the second WHERE'S CALL STORE WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9184</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>adversely affect the Masster Plan of the City</u> of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoming Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald G. and Johnnie Bonewits to erect a 5 ft. high solid board fence on top of a concrete block retaining wall, ranging in height from zero to 4 ft., total overall height of 9 ft., to be located in rear portion of the lot, parallel with and about 30 ft. from the rear lot line, 4405 Leon Street, Lot 1, Block 22, Sunset Cliffs, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 19 51

By_

Secretary	Zonine	; En(gineer
	Res.	No.	5436

pplication Received 3-23-51 By By W. M. Connell_ City Planning Department
avestigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Community Burton</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-51</u> Hearing date
ecision Date 4-4-51 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51
lanning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner 4-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
polication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

an ampanement of the month of the state of t

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9195</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elton H. and Vivian M. Larson to construct a single family residence on a portion of Acre Lot 122, Morena (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), West side of Hartford Street, south of Milton Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 21, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they mlate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4, , 1951

By_

Application Received <u>3-27-51</u> By <u>7. W. Mc Concell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lower Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-51</u> Hearing date <u>Date</u> <u>4-4-51</u> Decision <u>Appr</u> . Date <u>4-4-51</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u> Planning Commission <u>4-6-51</u> Petitioner <u>4-5-51</u> Health Department <u>4-6-51</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9194</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Callifornia, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Elton H. and Vivian M. Larson to construct a residence with a 7¹/₂ ft. setback on a portion of Acre Lot 122, Morena (legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), West side of Hartford Street, approximately 250 ft. South of Milton Street, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 4, _____, 1951

By_

Application Received	By F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 4 - 51$	By <u>Allen Jones Lamater</u> , Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-5	/ Hearing date
Decision Deried Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-5	Date 4-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-5	L Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner	r 4-5-57 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

any provide a second she are specify and require manual more and

.

provident as an interview about the second by a second and the stand the second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9191</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Masster Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoming Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert and Florence Hadley to construct a garage addition 22 ft. 6 in. by 21 ft. in size to an existing storage building which is 10 ft. 6 in. by 28 ft. in size, making the total area of the accessory building 766.5 sq. ft., said building to have an 18 ft. rear yard, Lot 29, La Jolla Hills, 2064 Soledad Road in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Dated____

FORM 2145

April 4.

Secretary

Zoning Engineer Res. No.5439

Application Received By By By City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lanates Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51 Hearing date
Develution cont to (ity (lerk T-3-3/ Building Inspector 4-6-5/
Realing Commission 4-6-5/ Petitioner 4-5-5/ Health Department 4-6-5/
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

to fails it. In the state of the line of the state of the

and the state of the

and the second s

3810 3

the providence of the second of the second

a start and the start of the start of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul E. and Eugenia M. Middlested to erect addition to existing non-conforming garage and hobby shop on the first floor and construct living unit on the second floor, making 2 living units on the property, existing building having no sideyard but the proposed construction to comply with existing regulations in all respects, on Lot 27 and Southerly portion of Lot 28, Block 7. Alhambra Park, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 4540 Dawson Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 4 , 19_51 Dated___

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 4 - 51$ By	Allen, Jones Lancater, Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-51</u> Decision <u>Apper-</u> Condit. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> Planning Commission <u>4-6-51</u> Petitioner <u>4</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $4 - 4 - 5$ Building Inspector $4 - 6 - 5$ 4 - 5 - 5 Health Department $4 - 6 - 5$
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Alter to P

All a second STREET, STORE

F-4-5-1 Maria 1 of Day 1

4.2

·

- 10 M

and planter of the alger the state

I a prove a construction work and and the provident of the providence of

the second second second the second second second second second

Stand Stand Stand Stand Stand Stand Stand Stand

WHEREAS, Application No. 9193 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ mot _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ not _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Harold A. & Sema Tarlov to convert an existing playroom to a penthouse apartment on top of an existing 35-unit, 4-story apartment building, which building covers 83% of the property and with no sideyard for a portion of the building, 2309 - 5th Avenue, West 90 ft. of Lot F and the West 90 ft. of the South 1/2 of Lot E. Block 261, Horton's Addition, in Zone C, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated_____April 4, , 1951

By_

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5441

pplication Received By 7. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>allen Jones Langeter Buston</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51 Hearing date
Date 4-4-51 Date 4-6-51 Date 4-6-51
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51
enning Commission 4-6-5/ Petitioner 4-5-5/ Health Department 4-6-5/
opeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

And a second second second dependences

Contraction of the second seco

1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. and Mildred M. Waite to erect a dupler over garages in rear of existing single family residence, two units to be served by 6-foot access court, on Lots 9 and 10, Block 1, Alhanbra Park, at 4451 Dawson Ave., Zone R-4, provided there are at least 3 off-street parking spaces on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated April 4 , 19 51

Application Received By	D. E. South City Planning Department
	allen Jones Langenter Buston City Planhing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-5/</u> He Decision <u>Appr-</u> Condit. Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-5/</u> Bu Planning Commission <u>4-6-5/</u> Petitioner <u>4-</u>	earing date te $4 - 4 - 5 /$ uilding Inspector $4 - 6 - 5 /$ 5 - 5 / Health Department $4 - 6 - 5 /$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Co	ontinued to

al - a set a s

and provide sector and and

((r.d.) + 4 + (-) + d

a contraction of a property in the contract

the second state of the se

the same all is the second

in the second state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9209</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon Dibble to erect a 4 ft. high redwood solid board fence on top of a poured concrete retaining wall which ranges in height from 0 to 4 ft., total overall height ranging from 4 ft. to 8 ft., 3751 Clinton Street, Lots 35 and 36, Block 2, Cunningham's Addition, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> Secretary XXXXXXX Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5443

Dated_____April 4.

Application ReceivedB_	y <u>F. W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $-4-4-51$ B	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51	Hearing date
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u>	Date 4-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51	Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner	4-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

biologi, i construction and a second of the second second and an entry of the two two two

Abile a province of the second state of the se

THENE OF A CALMER OF A CALMER OF THE CALM OF SAN DERICO.

ware the part of the set of the s

and will will write the set of a destributed in

a river as not the set of the set of the set of the set of the particular

50% H - 8

the C

an all support and a

statut to and

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the action of the Zoning Committee in granting variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, to Lester Loeser, being an extension of two years to its Resolution No. 5444 dated April 4, 1951, for use of Lots 26 through 36 Block 1, Leebrick's Addition, for storage of used, automobiles provided that no seles or wrecking is conducted, at 39th and "Z" Streets, in Zone R-4, which would have made the expiration date June 30, 1953, be, and it is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that an extension of time is hereby granted to June 30, 1952 for continued use of the property, provided that Mr. Loeser, within one week, submits to the City Clerk and to the City Attorney, a written agreement to terminate use of said property for other than R-4 use, as of June 30, 1952.

RESOLUTION NO. 5442, artanding Mas. No. 5182

MEREAS, Approved Mo. has been considered by the Zoning Committee to City of San Disconcelling Committee ordinance No. 8 RESOLUTION NO. 103611

That there are BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the action of the Zoning Committee in granting variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, to Lester Loeser, being an extension of two years to its Resolution No. 5444 dated April 4, 1951, for use of Lots 26 through 36 Block 1, Leebrick's Addition, for storage of used automobiles provided that no sales or wrecking is conducted, at 39th and "Z" Streets, in Zone R-4, which would have made the expiration date June 30, 1953, be, and it is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that an extension of time is hereby granted to June 30, 1952 for continued use of the property, provided that Mr. Loeser, within one week, submits to the City Clerk and to the City Attorney, a written agreement to terminate use of said property for other than R-4 use, as of June 30, 1952.

That an extension of 90 days from the expiration date of Reselution No. 5182, dated December 77, 1130, be, granted to Lester Lesser to use 15ts 26 through 36, Block 1, Lewertew's Addition, for the storage of used automobility, sol036

A variance to the provisions of Ordiannes Fo. 13216 FRED.W. SICK. granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to City Clerk. the property described above.

By DONALD L. STEINERT

Deputy.

FORM 1270 20M - 8/50

of 15

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____

____, 19 _____51

April 4,

By_

Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5444

RESOLUTION NO. 5444, extending Res. No. 5182

Letter dated March 28, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 90 days from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5182, dated December 27, 1950, be, granted to Lester Loeser to use Lots 26 through 36, Block 1, Leebrick's Addition, for the storage of used automobiles, some of which are not in running condition, provided there are no sales nor wrecking of automobiles, 39th and "Z" Streets, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 4,

Dated___

FORM 2145

19 51

By.

Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Res. No. Subb

oplication Received <u>3-29-51</u> By <u>K. Munkay</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Longe ter Burto</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51 Hearing date
ecision appr. Date 4-4-51
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u>
cision a_{pp} and a_{pp} between the city Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u> Building Commission <u>4-6-51</u> Petitioner <u>4-5-51</u> Health Department <u>4-6-51</u>
opeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ccision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
oplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

advert of the gal and the set for a log way that the set of the log of the set of the set of the set

a participation.

State Strate - State - Anna

and a second a second second

the second s

a. .

Here and the second second second

ar the Arte

of them in a construction of

8 4 9

Letter dated March 28, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5067 dated October 18, 1950, be granted, to Stephen T. and Jeanne T. Bailey and Gilbert N. and Doris P. Bailey.

Also, that this resolution be amended to permit 3 residences to be built fronting on a 40-foot private easement rather than on a dedicated street, this property being located at approximately the center of Pueblo Lot 104, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 4 , 1951

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By	<u>M. Loughel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-4-51$ By	
Constant of Council	Date $4-4-51$ Building Inspector $4-6-51$ (-S-S) Health Department $4-6-51$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second se

Aler, bei bei mentella. Andre en to remain 3 musica in branche and a station of the second station of the seco

Anthe sets with a set . We shall a set the shall of the first of and Ferders'''' PALLO FIRST STATE

THEAT AND THE REAL AND THE PARTY POWER COMPLETE OF THE CITY OF SAN BIRDE

a a start and the second start where by re-wind the bar burns

a superior of the second of the second of the matubbothoody

and a state of the subscriptly affect the Musture Plan of the City

be and the second of a second of the second of the second of the second of the

work conversion

Plaint in 1995 to prister second respect address according to the

a when a second we want the

Souther Brokense

· Manham

5446

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9213</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. R. Essery to erect an addition on the rear of an existing garage, said garage having a 2-foot sideyard, on Lot 3, Block I, Redland Gardens Extension, at 5469 Adams Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 4 ____, 19___51

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>3-30-51</u> By <u>D. E. South</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen, Jones Lamenter, Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date 4-4-51
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-57 Building Inspector 4-6-51
lanning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner 4-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
lesolution becomes effective
application withdrawn Continued to
'ime limit extended to Date of action

is part of a second sec

- -----

U. T. M. States

Att State Comment

and the first of the left of the first state of

anaran minanta ar a

white the state of

. 3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9172</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucille Carleson to maintain existing concrete block wall on lot line, maximum height of 8 ft. 6 in. above the lowest ground level, on Lot 1. Compton Terrace. at 5456 El Paseo Grande. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 9 , 19 51

By.

Secretary

Application Received	3/13/51	By	Q Buston	J
			City Dianning Department	*
	414/51	C		
Investigation made	3/21/51	By allen	Jones Lan	to ter & Bust
	3-2/-	~ 1	City Planning Departmen	t
Considered by Zoning	Committee <u>4-4-</u>	-i Hearing da	te	and the second
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent	- to an all the second	Date 4-	9-51	
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 4-9-	-51 Building In	spector $4-9-51$	
Planning Commission	4-9-51 Petition	her $4 - 9 - 51$	Health Department	4-9-51
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Council He	aring, date	the second s
Decision of Council		Date		
Resolution becomes effe	ective			
Application withdrawn		Continued t	to	
Time limit extended to		Date of act	ion	

1 1 V 1 5 1 1 1

and was an in the property of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9212</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee i the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section i of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Rauch to erect an addition to bedroom and bath, said addition to have a 12 ft. rear yard for one portion; existing residence has a 4 ft. sideyard on the west side, 347 Vista de la Playa, Lot 13, Fern Glen Colony, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conltruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1951_

Application Received <u>3-30-51</u> By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By	alle Jour Loncuster Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51	Hearing date
Decision <i>Appen</i> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> Planning Commission <u>4-6-51</u> Petitioner <u>4</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u> -S-51 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
	Date of action

مناويات باللاج الأج الالاليسي

1

and an a state of the second and second

and a set it is at a stand

a many way that the set of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. 9189 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter G. Albrecht to rearrange the lot lines of Lots 4 and 16. La Playa Highlands (according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office), making two new parcels, and to construct a single family residence on each, La Grescentia Drive, according to the plat on file in the Planning Department Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, N. S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5449

FORM 2145 April 4,

nated

By_

Application Received By By Button City Planning Department	
Investigation made 4 - 4 - 51 By aller Jonis Langeter Bust	Tu
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-4-5$ Hearing date Decision a_{ppr} . Date $4-4-5$ Date $4-5$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-5-5$ Building Inspector $4-6-5$ Planning Commission $4-6-5$ Petitioner $4-5-5$ Health Department $4-6-5$ Petitioner $4-5-5$ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	

a suggest to the state of the second part of the second second second second second second second second second

The second se

and which the state of the

and the second of the second second second

they a physical a gallet

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9160</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Patrick J. Enright to construct a 6 ft. by 20 ft. addition to an existing garage with interior door to residence, with a 6 ft. rear yard, 1041 West Walnut Street, West 50 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 406, Resubdivision of Pueblo Lot 1122, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated______Apr11 4, , 19_51.

FORM 2145

By_

plication Received H-2-51 By F. W. M. Comull City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen, Jones Longenter, Buten</u> City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-51</u> Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u>
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51 Building Inspector 4-6-51
anning Commission 4-6-51 Petitioner 4-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
oplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

the second from the second second

and the second s

AL AL ALLER A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9201</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul H. & Jane Wright Dunn to construct a single family residence on the Southeast 64 ft. of the Northwest 67 ft. of Lot 3, Gardena Home Tract, North side of Gardena Avenue, Westerly of Illion Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____April 4,

By_

, 151

Application Received <u>4-2-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{4-4-5}{}$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-4-51$ Decision $4-6-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-5-51$ Planning Commission $4-6-51$ Petitioner 4 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $4-4-5$ Building Inspector $4-6-5$ 4-5-5 Health Department $4-6-5$
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and the second second

1

all all the second s

1177-1

H. ******

Mar I

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9225</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jose V. and Olga Goulart to divide two lots at right angles into two building sites, according to plat on file in the Planning Department Office, being lots 5 and 6, Block 39, Roseville, at corner of Willow and Dickens Streets, Zone R-1, provided 15-foot setback maintained on Willow St. and regular City Ordinance on Dickens St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

speit of sw 50 6 50 6 deted 11-6-52 - Unin Tille Co split. 6-4-51 Lee file

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated _____ April 4 , 19 51

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer
Application Received <u>4-2-51</u> H	By D. E. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 4 - 51$ E	By allen Jones Lancaster But
stores with a pin share that a star becker the	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-4-5	Hearing date
Decision appro- Condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-5</u>	Date 4-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-5	1 Building Inspector 4-651
Planning Commission 4-6-5/ Petitioner	4-5-51 Health Department 4-6-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- Ander and a set of the second of the second second and the second second second second second second second s

The first is a second of the factor of the first of

and the second second

AS I that is D'ring I.

Trail Charge patient of

press that has been a straight a second

TOT SELECTION / IT IN IN LAW DO

0. 2.35

Sua - Ise & C.

1) [" meaning of allend are realized by endership !

second a construction opposite the construction of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9170</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section is of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh H. Bridgeford, owner, and Harry E. Adams, purchaser, to construct a residence on a portion of a lot divided after zoning, on Easterly 66 feet of Lot 282, Kensington Heights No. 3, on North side of Ridgeway Drive, approximately 200 feet East of Palisades Road, Zone R-1, provided a surveyor's plat is submitted showing that the West line of the parcel in question is at least 4-feet from the existing dwelling on the Westerly portion of Lot 282.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 4 , 1951

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By_	M. Zoechel City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>6</u>	Allen Jones Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
	Date $4 - 4 - 5$ Building Inspector <u>$4 - 6 - 51$</u> - 5 - 51 Health Department $4 - 6 - 51$
	Continued to Date of action

A month received to the test of the test of the test of the second state of the test of test of the test of te

Tere a construction of the second of the sec

Portification 1 and a second for a short with a port out prime to the state of

and the second of the second sec

A MET PE DE LERE LE

,

的一直接 计公司 网络马马马

44 · M (1993)

101 1 1 10 101 1. 162 1 T. 1

TARANT CONTRICTOR OF CHARTLY OF SHOP DIREC.

to I a sprach the struct in many films and it is the

The second state and the second second state of the second state o

the second second second and the second of the second of the second of the

TY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8306</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Hyde Barnett to construct single family dwelling on the front of the lot, making 3 units on the property, and maintain 5-foot access court for 2 of the units, on Lot B, Block 155, Mission Beach, at 3612-14 Ocean Front, Zone R-4, provided that the lower floor of the existing non-forming building at the rear is altered and maintained as 2 garage spaces before the single family dwelling approved herein is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

16,00 140 alosts

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 4 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received By	<u> </u>
2-7-51	and a start when the second second
Investigation made By	alle Jones Langenter, Busto City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{2-7-51}{4-4-51}$	Hearing date
Decision appr- Cond'l. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5-51</u>	Date 4-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-51	Building Inspector <u>4-6-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-6-5/ Petitioner 4	4-5-5/ Health Department 4-6-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the

notes a second de la seconda de la second

Salar Sala

and the part of

and the second second second in the second s

a tage the sense alternal discussion of the second statement of the second statement of the second statement of

and the second second second in the second s

and the second se

A NOR THE FEED AND THE AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE ADDRESS OF THE ADD

The state of the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9206</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard and Margaret Thomason to construct a single family residence to maintain a setback no closer than that of the adjoining residence on the South, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 4, Clifton Addition, at 3114 Chamoune Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated _____ April 4 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received By	Y City Planning Department
	Allen Jones Lancaster, But
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $4-4-57$ Building Inspector $4-6-57$ 4-5-57 Health Department $4-6-57$
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

in the state of the second sec

1 11

3. 11. 1 5. 5

1 184

14 Is a bear of the Charles of the Sector

,

PUTTIE AUTORIA

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

To a miner when a server the protect of the circle

of an interest of the risk is large with the matter setting detrived and

WHEREAS, Application No. 9245 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caudell & Johnson, owner, and San Diego Transit-Mixed Concrete Co., lessee, to construct a new office building according to plans submitted, on Portion of Pueblo Lots 1108 and 1173, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Friars Road and Texas Street Extension, in Zones R-1 and R-1A, on the following conditions:

- The new office building, to be of stucco or concrete block construction 1. with color coat, set not less than 75 ft. from the East line of this property:
- The private road now existing from the North line of Pueble Lot 1108 2. to Mission Valley Road on the South, shall be surfaced with black top or concrete before the newly located plant shall operate;
- This permit shall terminate on June 30, 1955. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148, New Series, and Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

p. 261

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 19_51

Secretary_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

pated.

April 9

Letter Received 4-2-51
Application Received By By By Concell
Investigation made <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Rence ter</u> Buto
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-51</u> Hearing date
Decision appr Could Date 4-9-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-9-51 Building Inspector 4-10-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-9-51 Building Inspector 4-10-51
Planning Commission 4-10-51 Petitioner 4-9-51 Health Department 4-10-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

1

• होस

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9219</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ellen Dean Child Nichols, owner, and Mary T. Romadka and May Lee, purchasers, to redivide property into two parcels, each 45 feet in width, and construct single family residence on each, on all of Lot 4, and Lot 5, except the Southwesterly 10 feet, Block 74, La Jolla Park Villa Tract, on Southeast side of Virginia Way, between Exchange Place and Prospect Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated April 10 , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineor

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-2-5/</u> By	E. C. Van Hise City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-4-5/$ By 4	allen Jonen Langenter Buse
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-4-5/$ Heat Decision $4/2-5/$ Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-10-5/$ Bui Planning Commission $4-10-5/$ Petitioner $4-6$	e $4-10-51$ lding Inspector $4-10-51$ 10-51 Health Department $4-10-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou Decision of Council Dat	e
Allfille all a stress	tinued to

the start of the short is a second to a second to a second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Minta Van Meter to add to existing sewing room on West side of residence at 1848 E Street, which residence has 2-foot rearyard, on Lot 7, Block J. Culverwell's Addition, "C" Zone, on condition that they provide surfaced off-street parking spaces for 4 cars and that a driveway be installed to provide access thereto.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18 , 19 51 Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received <u>3-12-51</u> By	<u>E. C. Van Huno</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{3-21-51}{4-18-51}$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{3-21-51}{4-18-51}$	Allen Jones Lancaster Burton City Planning Department
Decision appr - Condly	Date 4-18-51
Decision appr - Conde Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u> 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

-1. P.S.

3

that the to contract to get the Spectrum Course

CARL THEN BY

14 1 1 1

۱.

1

4 4 1 1 1

The Later States

RESOLUTION NO. 102157 - 200 5458

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Minta VanMeter, 1843 E Street, from the requirement of the Coning Committee for the establishment of surfaced off-street parking spaces for four cars and a driveway to serve property at said address, in connection with the variance to provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section 8a granted by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5458, application No. 9146, to permit addition to existing sewing room on the west side of residence which has 2-foot rear-yard, on Lot 7, Block I, Culverwell's Addition in "C" Zone, be, end it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby SystemWedgruled: [] hereby rertify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 102157

City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG	FRED W. SICK

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9052</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ not _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Masser Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoming Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

The petition of Mrs. Bertha Crowell to operate a nursery school in the residence at 5250 La Jolla Boulevard, Lot 10, Block 3, Pacific Riviera Villas Unit No. 1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, N. S., be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ April 18, _____, 19_51

By_

Secretary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5459

Application Received <u>4-4-51</u> By <u>EC. Van</u> City Planning I	Department
Investigation made 4-18-51 By allen Jone Lo	an <u>ater Burton</u> Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-51</u> Hearing date Decision Derived Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-2</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20-51</u> Petitioner <u>4-19-51</u> Health Depa Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Council Hearing, date</u>	TUILEIN TADOSSI
Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action	

.

1878 44 State

.

2112.00

1120

· · · ·

Sec. 2

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Bertha F. Crowell, 5250 La Jolla Boulevard, from the decision of the Homing Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5459, application No. 9052 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series to parait operation of a nursery school in residence at 5250 La Jolla Boulevard, on Lot 10, Brock 3, Pacific Riviera Villas Unit No. 1, in Home R-1, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9233</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Hugh and Gladys M. McConnell to construct two apartment units over garage in rear of lot adjacent to 25-foot easement, with no rear yard, and maintain existing S-foot access court to the two new units, on Easterly 45 feet of Lots 26 to 30, inclusive, Block 2, S. W. Gurwell Heights, at 3018 Olive St., in Zone R-4, provided that no less than 3 off-street parking spaces are maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 18 ____, 1951

By_

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5460

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-5-51</u> By <u>E.C. Van Hure</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By <u>allen Jone Lancaster</u> , Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51 Hearing date
Decision appr- Condl. Date 4-18-51
Decision app - Condil. Date 4-18-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 Building Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission 4-20-5/Petitioner 4-19-5/ Health Department 4-20-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

A state of the second se

The anticourse of the state of the second stat

× +

charges for more than the second s

Charter 1

CIT I I I I I I

and the second sec

hint:

The Gran of the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9231</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert M. and Betty Jane Cox to construct a residence on a parcel of land split out after zoning on Southerly 117 feet of Northerly 433.66 feet of Westerly 165 feet of the Northwest Quarter of Quarter Section Sl of Rancho de la Nacion, on East side of Sea Breeze Drive and Southeast corner of Potomac Ave, in Zone R-1, on condition that they grant easements for the extension of Potomac St. Easterly the full depth of their property according to the tentative subdivision maps on file in the Planning Department Office, and that they grant easements across the front of their property to widen Sea Breeze Drive according to the City Engineer's requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 115, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

120ge 193.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 18 _____, 19_51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-5-51</u> By E. C. Van Hise City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By <u>Allen Jone Lancas</u> City Planning Department	tic, Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51 Hearing date	and the second of the second se
Decision appr. Condl. Date 4-18-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 Building Inspector 4-20-51	
Planning Commission 4-20-5/ Petitioner 4-19-5/ Health Department 7-	20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

1. 1. 1 C .. 1 .

St Ward ast's

LETT THE TAKE

The side of

このため しいたみ たいしい デースティア かどうあい わかす

The second of the second s The second second

L LEAN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9223</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

The petition of Maurice P. and Bernice Hoese to erect one additional living unit. making total of 4 units on the property, one unit to have 7 ft. access court, one unit to have 9 ft. 4 in. access court, garage to have no sideyard and no rear yard, steel column and beam to have no sideyard at one point, and with a total of 52% coverage, 1434-36-38 Van Buren, on the West 41 5/6 ft. of the East 2/3 of Lots 25 to 27. Block 96, University Heights, Zone R-4, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 18,

By__

51

Secretary XXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>4-6-51</u> By <u>F. W. M</u>	City Planning Department
Investigation made By <u>Allen</u>	ity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51 Hearing date	
Decision Sterned Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspe	-51
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 Building Inspe	ctor <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-37 Petitioner 4-17-57 E	lealth Department $7-20-5/$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing	ng, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

South and particular

the second s

,

RESOLUTION NO. 5463 see 102145 following

WHEREAS, Appliication No. <u>9234</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to for fordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

The petition of Francis A. Marion to construct a residence with a 10 ft. rear yard at the Southwest corner of Upas and Goldfinch Streets on Lot L. Block 400. Horton's Addition and the South 15 ft. of Upas Street closed adjacent, in Zone R-1, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19_

51

Secretary	Zoning		Engineer	
	Res.	No.	5463	

TORM 2145 April 18,

nated_

Application Received H-9-51 By F. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By <u>allen Jones Lancaster Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-51</u> Hearing date
Decision Stimes d Date 4-18-57
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 Building Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

mana a ale as presented in the

the second strange and white protection in the second

and the second and

18 6. 8. 8 19 191. 8 19

DLC -

1. 1. 7.4 4

Sec. 11.1.

1.1.1.1

RESOLUTION NO. 102145 see 5463

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Frencis A. Marion, 2211 Sweetwater Ayenue, Spring Valley, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying his application No. 9234, Resolution No. 5463, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section Sa, to permit construction of a residence with a 10-foot rear yard at the southwest corner of upas Street and Goldfinch Street on Lot L Block 400 Horton's Addition and the south 15 feet of Upas Street closed adjacent thereto, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

FORM 1270 20M - 8/50

	FRED		City Clerk.	
By	HEL EN	м.	WILLIG	
Dy			Deputy.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9244</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Day and Night Plumbing and Heating Company, owners, and the Shell Oil Company, lessee, to erect two flood light poles and one sign (5 ft. in diameter) with no setback on Lots 6 to 9, inclusive, Block 287, Middletown, Pacific Highway and Cedar Street in Zone C, providing an agreement is signed by the owner and filed of record to the effect that when and if Pacific Highway is widened, said poles and sign will be removed at the owner's expense and at no cost to the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, N. S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

agrimment # 720 Filed with City club 4/19/51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18, 19_

By_

51

Secretary XXXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Res. No.5464

Application Received $4-9-51$ By	y <u>E. C. Van Nue</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-18-51$ B	y allen Jones Lancater Buston City Planhing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51	Hearing date
Decision appr- Condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u>	Date 4-18-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51	Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner	4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second a second of the second second states and a second second as a second second second second second

and the second second

1000

the second second second with the second part

Concernent of Street of a lot

and a real of the second s

. . .

"All of a self sector of a line to

WANTER RELEVANCE FOR STREET AND G

14

The set of Some 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9240</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles R. and Virginia C. Hammond to divide out parcel of land and construct a single family residence thereon, on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1119, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on South side of Camino del Rio, 1/4 mile West of Anthony Home, Zone R-LA, on condition that they grant a 25-foot easement for road purposes and their access rights into the property. Easement Granted, 510/51

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, Section 3a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 12

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 18 _____, 19_51

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received ______ H_10 - 51 By _____ By ____ N. C. Maclace City Planning Department Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lance</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-51</u> Hearing date Decision appr- condl. Date 4-18 Date 4-18-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 Building Inspector 4-20-51Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action ante have a store lands to be A LOUGH AND THE & participate ships, support or second the lot of the and a second sec SW. COR. PL. 1105 1 1 and the best of the ball of the second se LU ANINO PL. 1119 PL. 1118

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9149</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry H. Weddle, Jr. and Nancy S. Weddle to move and add bath to existing building to be converted to bedroom and bath, with a 12 ft. rear yard, 625 Bon Air Street, Northerly 100 ft. of Lot 4, Block E, Starkey's Prospect Park, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18, 55

By_

Secretary xxxxxxx Zoning Engineer Res. No. 5466

Application Received $-4-$	10-51 By M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made $4-1$	8-57 By allen Jones Lancaste Burto
Considered by Zoning Commi	ittee 4-18-5/ Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $4-18-51$ ty Clerk $4-19-51$ Building Inspector $4-20-51$
Copy of Resolution sent to Cit	v Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-76	D-51 Petitioner 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, d	ate Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

weeker and a starting

.

.

and share works

.

the states in the second second second second a second

* 4 Sec. 4 *

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9246</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Tonmasa Manescalchi to build three single family residences on portions of 3 lots and closed street adjoining according to the Survey plat on file in the Planning Department Office, on Lots 15, 16, and 17, Elock 18, La Mesa Colony, and 10 feet of Amherst Street closed adjoining, at 4905-12-25 Harbison Ave., Zone R-2, on condition that 15-foot setbacks be maintained on both streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 18 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5467

Application Received $4 - 10 - 51$	By P. Q. Buton City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 18 - 51$	By Allen Jones Lancaster Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-1	51 Hearing date
Decision approved Cand's	Date 4-18-51
Decision approved Condended Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-	51 Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-5' Petition	ner 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Do I's buddened the partitude Conserved of the Cliff of the

and half and the restrict benchman

and another sectors of the sector of the sectors and

WHEREAS, Application No. 9247 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are __ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul A. Harsch to construct 6-foot addition to existing building, enlarging the existing rooms on the second floor, said building having no rearyard and 52 feet between this living unit and the single family dwelling on the property, on Portion of Playa de las Arenas, being Lot 4 of Assessor's Map #20, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 7264 Monte Vista Ave., in Zone R-1, on condition that the servant's quarters will not be rented separately but will be used by members of the family, their servants, and their invited guests, and that an agreement to this effect be signed and recorded. AGG #724

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, New Series, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18 , 19 51 ated_

By_

Secretery Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

			instanting and	
tion Decoived	4-11-51	By <u>E.</u> C.	City Planning Department	
Application Received _			City Planning Department	
i di mada	4-18-51	By all	Jone Lang	ter Burto
Investigation made	<u></u>		City Planning Department	the state of the s
Considered by Zoning	Committee <u>4-18-</u>	5 Hearing date	$\frac{2}{-5/}$ pector $\frac{4-20-5}{}$ Health Department $4-$ ring, date	
Decision appr-	t to City Clerk 4-19-	51 Building Ins	pector 4-20-51	Distances and the
Copy of Recommission	4-20-51 Petition	er 4-19-57	Health Department 4-	20-51
Appeal filed with City (Appeal of Council Decision of Council Resolution becomes eff Resolution withdrawn	Clerk, date	Council Heat	ring, date	
Decision bacomer off	- atima			
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn		Continued to Date of action		the state of the s
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Date of action		
	1/11/11/1			
D.	1		· · · · · ·	
	a and riday		WEER TRANSFE	
The motor a	analas sanan dinnalas anistas con necesarias nena esterencementarias	interior new delateral long-to	An and the second secon	and and Constant of the second
1. 1.				the state
5 /	A ALL STREET	Sent Projat -		
é /	$\langle \cdot \rangle$			
x /	· /	a send y		1 15 11 ×
	\backslash .		and the second second	
$\langle \rangle$		-		Q
× *				1 2 .
X			steel or the little of	3
All			Photo (C.S.)	
		+ S. BK.	Republication of the second second	
	T.	4 3 6 6		
	V .it			0
	X	mana a la	No remer in preserved all y	5
		time to many and the	theory of the state	
	13		main the in some country	
- 1/1/	11		THE PARTY AND THE PARTY OF	
			AVE	
\wedge	1 ONTE	/ISTA	the second that your descents	
		048 p.600 a	And the second s	the second second
		2		
WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hector O. and Edna L. Cordova to convert existing two-story house into 3 living units and to construct 1 additional garage as an addition to an existing garage, making a total of 4 garage spaces on the property, existing garage having an S-foot rearyard, on Lots 11, 12, and 13, Block 3, South Gurwell Heights, at 3047 Quince St., Zone R-2, on the following conditions: AG6722

- That a porch be constructed in the 4 ft. 4 in. space between the houses and that the space be kept open for free passage through this area.
- 2. That Lots 11, 12, and 13 be retained in a single ownership and not sold separately, and that an agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, Section 4a, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or connuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18 , 19 51 ted_

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

RM 2145

Application Received <u>4-11-5</u> , By <u>E.C. Van</u> <u>Huse</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lamater Burton</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-51</u> Hearing date Decision appr-Condle. Date <u>4-18-51</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20-51</u> Petitioner <u>4-19-51</u> Health Department <u>4-20-51</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective

economy any provide appointent to the providence of the providence of the spread and the of the set

a fait of a second s

with some conversion of the

produce our product.

- - high ---

sold and sold the second THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP

to but an addition of the second of the buyer of the share the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9255 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Noy Allen to construct a 14 ft. by 162 ft. living room addition to an existing residence at 255 South Bancroft Street, said residence having a 1-foot sideyard, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, J. H. Orcutt's Addition, Zone R-4, provided the addition maintains a 4-foot sideyard and the entire building conforms to the requirements of the building code.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 18 , 19 51

By

Secretary. Zoning Ingineer

FORM 2145

Application Received	By <u>E. C. Van Ane</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 18 - 51$	By allen, Jones Lancester, Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-5</u> Decision <u>Approved</u> Conv of Paralities cont to City Clerk 4-19-5	Hearing date Date $4 - 18 - 51$ Building Inspector $4 - 20 - 51$ 4 - 19 - 51 Health Department $4 - 20 - 51$
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second and the second second descent and there are second and the second second second and the

The 1. 1 ...

Configurate and the second and the second works

Contraction of the second of the second of

TO PARTY

WHEREAS, Application No. ______8891 _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- no ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

The petition of Norman W. and Norma S. DesChamps and Vivian F. and Martin Kane to construct a residence on Lot 17 and the Southerly 25 ft. of Lot 18. Hyman's Addition, making the third residence on the original lots, proposed residence to have a 4 ft. rear yard, Southeasterly corner of Rosemont and Tyrian Streets, Zone R-2, is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 & 8924, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be Any permitted is commenced before said time expires. truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the The permission is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.

April 18, ORM 2145

lated_

Application Received <u>4-13-5</u> , By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 18 - 51$ By	Allen Jones Lancaster Buston City Planhing Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-51</u> Decision Dervice	Hearing date Date $4 - 18 - 51$ Building Inspector $4 - 20 - 51$ -19 - 51 Health Department $4 - 20 - 51$
Planning Commission $4 - 2^{\circ} - 5$ / Petitioner 4 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

water water and the second state of the second

The fillen of the

*].**

· · · · · ·

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9239</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance with adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles R. Haas to move or build a residence on Lot B, El Paso Tfact, and maintain a 2 ft. setback, West side of Curlew Street, between Torrence and West Pennsylvania Streets, zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_____, 19_51

By_

ORM 2145

April 18,

ated_

Application Received <u>4-13-51</u>	By D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 18 - 51$	By allen Jones Lancaster, Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-5	/ Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $4 - 18 - 51$ L Building Inspector $4 - 20 - 51$ 4 - 19 - 51 Health Department $4 - 20 - 51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-5.	Building Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission 4 - 20 - 5 / Petitioner	4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

" I all a server I an interfactor una anti preserver appet an anti presente a server presente a server a

and the second sec

the training of a literation and and and and and and a second and a se

RESOLUTION NO. 5473 Rec 102242 following

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

The application of Frederick W. and Dorothy F. Grund to operate a liquor store at 7520 La Jolla Boulevard, Northerly 70 ft. of the Southerly 120 ft. of Lot 7, Block 14, La Jolla Park in Zone R-c is hereby DENIED.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4526, New Series, be, and is hereby denied as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

APPEAL (MAYO)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated April 18, , 19 51

By_

Secreteryz Zoning Engineer Res. No.5473

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-13-51</u> By	K. Munday City Planning Department
	llen Jones Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-18-51</u> He Decision Dervice Date	aring date
Decision Denied Dat	te 4-18-51
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 Bu	ilding Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4-1	9-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Con	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

" which is a state of a state of the state o

and the second second

COM. * * 2015

RESOLUTION NO. 102242 see 5473

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Wrederick W, and Dorothy F. Grund, 7514 La Jolla Boulevard, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5473, application No. 9258, for variance to the provisions of Zone R-C, to permit operation of a lieuor store at 7520 La Jolla Boulevard, on the northerly 70 feet of the southerly 120 feet of Lot 7, Block 14, La Jolla Park, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9257</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Unified School District to erect kindergarden and classroom addition to the existing Loma Portal Elementary School at Browning, Willow and Clove Streets on Lots 1 through 12, Block 228 and all of Block 213, except Lots 3 through 6, Roseville, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary XXXXXXX Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5474

By

RM 2145

April 18,

ted_

Application Received <u>4-13-51</u> B	y <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen Jones</u> Kancaster Burto
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51	Hearing date
Decision a bar.	Date 4-18-51
Copy of Resolution cont to City Clerk 4-19-51	Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner	4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second sec

the second second second second second the second the second second second second second second second second s

the state of the state of the state of the

and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9269 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jean Ryan and Lehmann and Marcia Cock to redivide Lots 17 and 18, Tract 1380, into 2 parcels as follows:

 Parcel 81 feet wide (Lot 17 and Westerly 4 feet of Lot 15)
Parcel 73 feet wide (Lot 15, except Westerly 4 feet thereof) this property being located at 6429 Edmonds Street, in Zone R-1, on condition that Lot 17 and Westerly 4 feet of Lot 18 be retained in a single ownership and not sold separately, and that agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, Section 4, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. AGG 723

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18 , 19 51 ed_ M 2145

By_

Secretary_

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $-\frac{4-16-51}{By}$ By	7. W. Mc Connell. City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By	allen Jone Lancaster Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51	Hearing date
Decision appr- cond'l. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u>	Date 4 - 18-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51	Building Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission $4 - 20 - 51$ Petitioner	4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 5 5 m 1 12 2

5 1.3

20

Sector a the operation

- 66 N

to be a proposition of the state of the stat

the state of the second st

and the secondard, shows the headed as waters

and the first of a prior that the second state of the second state

should be will also be esterially between all the

and the second of the subsystem in the structure of the boots of

a set and and a set of the set of

start to a from the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy E. and Zelma M. Bishop to erect a duplex in rear of existing single family residence, making total of 3 units, of which 2 units will be served by 5-foot access court, on Lot 23, Block 2, National Highlands, at 712 South 45th Street, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That a total of 3 off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property.
- 2. That a cement sidewalk be laid from the new unit at the rear through the access court to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 18 , 19 51

By_

Sectorary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5476

Pated____

Application Received <u>4-16-51</u>	By <u>7. W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u>	By <u>Allen June Loncoster Buto</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-5	/ Hearing date
Decision appro- Conde Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-</u>	Date 4-18-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-	51 Building Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitione	r 4 - 19 - 51 Health Department $4 - 20 - 51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

and the second of the second with any the addition with the second of

I was not show we are shown a share the shown

and and press around the second and reasons a contract the support reason a new second and

in it is it i

Plan and a second product of the second states of t

and a set of the

. 637. g 2. 60

0.# % WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred C. and Amy Z. Wright to construct second living unit on parcel split out after soning on Lot 16 and South 1/2 of Lot 15. Block 5. La Jolla Park, at 7419 Draper St., Zone R-2, on the following conditions:

1. That the entire building be stucceed in acceptable architectural manner.

2. That 2 off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

ated _____ April 18 , 1951

By_

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>4-16-51</u> By	F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By	allen Jone Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51	Hearing date
Decision approved Considered I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u>	Date 4-18-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51	Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4	-19-51 Health Department $4-20-5$,
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

As all of the second second

the second second

773.770.

WARD & C. LANDER THE LAND

* 1 S .

8

a second the state of the second of the second of

and the state of the state of the state of the state of the

the management of the strategy and the second strate and the second

.

a tora a tora a tora a tora a generaliza a tora and

Mar A Martin

WHEREAS, Application No. ____9252 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of Persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rugene N. Bivens to redivide Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Block 11, Ocean Beach, into 3 parcels as follows: 1. Lots 5 and 6 and the Southeast 10 feet of Lot 4;

- - 2. Southwest 80 feet of Lots 1 to 3 and the Southwest 80 feet of Northwest 15 feet of Lot 4;
 - Northeast 60 feet of Lots 1 to 3 and Northeast 60 feet of 3. Northwest 15 feet of Lot 4.

and to build or maintain one single family residence on each parcel, this property being located at Froude and Orchard Streets, Zone R-1, on condition that 15-foot setback be maintained on Froude Street and the regular City Ordinance on Orchard Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Dated____ FORM 2145

April 18 , 19-51

Application Received <u>4-16-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 18 - 51$ By	allen Jone Lancaster Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51	Hearing date
Decision a_{ppr-} Condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20-51</u> Petitioner 4	Date 4-18-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51	Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4	4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Sector States

and the second of the second water to set up

Manager & 10 18 19 19 19 19 19 19

the second stands the second states a second

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9262</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego to erect a library building with no sideyard on Lots 21, 22 and (except the West 5 ft.) 23. Block 184, Pacific Beach, Northwest corner of Ingraham and Felspar Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 18, _____, 1951

By.

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-17-51</u>	By City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u>	By <u>Allen Jones Lancester Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18	
Decision appr.	Date 4-18-51
Decision a port. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4</u> -	19-51 Building Inspector 4-20-51
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petiti	ioner 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A set of a set o set of a set of a

an ba

the state of the second s

1 42 Low Cat phase

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9200</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Evodie and Virginia Arias to erect a 6 ft. by 12 ft. bathroom and laundry addition to existing building, said building having 6-foot rear yard, on Lot 9, Block 58, Sherman's Addition, at 32 - 18th Street, Zone M-2, on condition the existing garage be maintained for off-street parking.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 18 ____, 19 __51

FORM 2145

By___

Secretes

Zoning Engineer

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Application Received 3-	-27-51 By D. E. South
	City Planning Department
4-	4-51
Investigation made4 -	-18-51 By allen Jones Lancaster Buil
8	4-4-51 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Comm	mittee <u>4-4-5/</u> Hearing date
Decision appr - Co	City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to C	City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-2	-0-51 Petitioner 4-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk,	date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Standard and the stand from a standard to see in 1966, see and she was the sould be been

The set of The to the to be a set of the set of the start of the table of the test of test of

a.r €

at the second second a second second

The fire the sta

and a state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9249</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Irma During to erect a lath-windbreak type of fence ranging in height from 6 ft to 7 ft. 9 in., according to plans submitted, on Northeast 59.65 feet of Lots 45 to 48, inclusive, Block 63, Ocean Beach, at 4989 Narragansett Street, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, Section 1511, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated April 18 , 19 51

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>4-11-5 1</u> By	E. C. Van Nune City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-18-51</u> By	allen Jones Lancaster, Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-18-51 H	Hearing date
Decision appro- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-19-51</u> Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4	Date 4-18-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-19-51 H	Building Inspector <u>4-20-51</u>
Planning Commission 4-20-51 Petitioner 4	-19-51 Health Department 4-20-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Q	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

and the second sec

n t

· 1.

. ACF 10

A P Lan at

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9278</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Hazel A. Aker, lowner, and Dr. A. P. Cascinai, lessee, to establish and maintain a veterinary hospital for treatment and care of animals, including over night care on Lot 24, Block 21, La Jolla Park, 833 Kline Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> APPEALED MAY 17-1951

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, ₁₉ **51**

Zoning Engineer

Res No. 5482

May 2

ORM 2145

lated_

Application Received $-4-19$ -	-51 By E. C. Van Nuce City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-2$	51 By allen Jone Langenter, Buton City Plaming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision Account	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	k 5-3-51 Building Inspector 5-4-51
Planning Commission 5-4-51	Petitioner 5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A good and a second as

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 102395

That the appeal of Hazel A. Aker, owner, 7660 Fay Avenue, La Jolla, California, and Dr. A. P. Gaseinai, lessee, 831 Kline Street, La Jolla; California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5482, application No. 9278, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 to permit establishment and maintenance of a veterinary hospital for preatment and care of animals, including over-night care, on Lot 24, Block 21, La Jolla Park, at 333 Kline Street, in Zone C, bs, and it is hereby denied and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

see 5482

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9265</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl S. Grinnell, Jr. and Marilyn S. Grinnell to construct second residence on parcel of land divided after zoning, on portion of Lot 29, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 5154 Catoctin Drive, Zone R-1, on condition that this parcel be held in a single ownership and not sold separately, and that agreement to this effect be signed and recorded. AGG 726

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ May 2, _____ , 19_51

By

Secretai

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5483

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-24-51</u> B	By <u>7. W. Me Concell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-2-51$ B	y allen Jones Lancaster Busto
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
alanning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
A opeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

OFTICE B



HT3

Letter dated April 18, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section i of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

An extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5087, dated November 1, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4624, dated April 19, 1950, is hereby granted to Garrettson Estate, owner, and T. R. Tanner, purchaser, to erect and operate a Drive-In Theatre, 1,000 car capacity, to be partially in the M-1 Zone and R-4 Zone, on Lots 21 through 28, Block 265, and on Lots 25 through 48, Block 264, Bergin's Addition, between Main St. and Cottonwood St., and between Thor St. and Rigel St., on the following condition:

That the drainage situation on the property be solved to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, and that plans for any drainage structures involved be approved by the City Engineer before commencement of any construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

THIS IS THE FINAL EXTENSION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 2 , 19 51

By_

Stepsep Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

Application Received $4-19-51$ By	M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u> By	Allen Jones Langenter, Bur City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-3-51	Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Planning Commission <u>5-4-51</u> Petitioner 3	5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	and the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action
to the second	
and the second sec	1 () () () () () () () () () (

I TO TO ALL 'I T

13. 4

7 3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9298</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>201</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>201</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>MOt</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. M. and Tommie J. McLellan to erect a single family residence on parcel split out after zoning on Southerly 1/2 of Lots 13 to 16, inclusive, Block 13, Roseville Heights, on Easterly side of Albion St. between Inez and John Streets, Zone R-1, provided setback on Albion St. is maintained in accordance with City Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ Nay 2 , 19_51

TORM 2145

By_

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $4-24-51$ By	7. m. Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 2 - 51$ By	allen Jones, Kancaster
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51	Hearing date
Decision Could. apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u>	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-3-51	Building Inspector 5-4-51
Planning Commission 5-4-51 Petitioner 5	-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

1210 p

- 11 -
RESOLUTION NO. 5486 Rec 5555

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9290</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gimber Evans, owner, and O. A. Haydon, purchaser, to erect four living units on this combined parcel, being Lots C and D, Block 9. Mission Beach, on the Southeasterly corneryof Asbury Court and Strandway, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 2 , 19_51

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary XXXXXXX

Res No. 5486

Application Received $-\frac{4-2}{}$	5-51 By E. C. Van Hune City Planning Department
Investigation made	51 By allen, Jones Lancaster Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee	5-2-5/ Hearing date
Decision appr	Date $5.2-51$ lerk $5-3-51$ Building Inspector $5-4-51$ / Petitioner $5-3-51$ Health Department $5-4-51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cl	lerk <u>5-3-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 5-4-5	1 Petitioner 5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

is "pare a w

and the state of the second of the second of the second and the second of the second of the second of the second

and the ten all a post of

1

and the second second

CONTRACT TO CALL AND A REAL OF A

1 Mar Hall Trade and a second

REPAY HERE'S SUBAL

ente e destando de 195 -

with a los

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9323</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, 1 ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to V. E. Handley to maintain a fence on the West lot line 35 feet from front property line to a maximum height of 5 ft. 8 inch above the rataining wall, on Lot 29, La Jolla Gables, at 544 Palomar Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 2

ORM 2145

By___

______, 19_____ 51

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $-\frac{4-30-5}{5}$	<u>I By M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made5-2-51	By <u>Allen Jones Lance ter</u> But
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2	
Decision appr.	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5	Date 5-2-51 -3-51 Building Inspector 5-4-51
Planning Commission 5-8-51 Peti	itioner 5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second second

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 9307 _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. N. and Thelma Schweizer to maintain a building with 10 ft. rear yard, and 2 ft. 9 inch. between building and porch, and 55% coverage on Lots 40 and 41. Block 65, Ocean Beach, 4961 Santa Cruz, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Ву___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 2 , 19_51

ORM 2145

Secretary Zoning Engineer XXXXXXXX Res. No. 5488

Application Received <u>4-25-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u> By	allen Jones Lancator, Burto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51	Hearing date
Decision Apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Planning Commission 5-4-51 Petitioner S	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-3-51	Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 5-4-51 Petitioner 5	5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to adding a part of the appendix of the start of the star

a trade the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Consistered by the Zoning Consistered has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): MAY 3 1951

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or condit Right applicable AL TO APPER TO APPEND TO APPEND. TO APPEND TO APPEND TO APPEND TO APPEND. TO APPEND TO APPEND TO APPEND. TO APPEND TO APPEND TO APPEND. TO APPEND. TO AP
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John R. and Gloria Koontz to construct single family residence on parcel split out after zoning on West 95 feet of South 160 feet of Lot 5, Pueblo Lot 1209, on Northeast corner of Paul Jones Ave. and Brandywine Street, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the owner dedicate that portion of his land to widen Brandywine St. the same width as it is dedicated West of Paul Jones Ave.
- 2. That he observe a 40-foot setback from the present East line of Paul Jones Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Filed in Office

May 2 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5489

9heg

Application Received	By D. E. Sauth City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u>	By <u>Allen Jones Lancaster Bust</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-2-5</u> Decision appr. Condl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-3</u>	1 Hearing date
Decision appr. Conde.	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-3-3	1 Building Inspector 5-4-51
Planning Commission 5 - 4-51 Petitioner	5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

they go i charges when the period and and and the of the or a share the second s for a new a series of the se

The second second second to be a second s

Filer Lott , And 15 at 120 , on other story of all 1,00 a ve, of

The second reader of the second s

The second se

a series of the series for the series

TOT BE LO I WE LA COMPLETE DE LA COMPLETE

and the set

WHEREAS, Application No. _9301 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ NO _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Richard Hurd to construct a triplex (with off struct parking provided) two units to be served by a 3 ft. access court to the street, on Lot 4, Block 158, Pacific Beach, on South side of Diamond Street, 75 feet East of Cass Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 2

, 19<u>51</u>

Secretary Zoning Engineer

ORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-25-51</u> B	y <u>E. C. Van Huse</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 2 - 51$ B	y <u>Allen Jones Lancaster, Bust</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51	Hearing date
Decision Deried Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5.3-5/</u>	Date 5.2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5.3-51	Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Diaman Commission 2 - 7-5/ Petitioner	5-3-37 Fleatin Department 5 - 4-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Na standard and a standard and an and an analysis of the standard standard and a standard and a standard standard and a standard standar

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9273</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. P. Pearson to maintain a 4-foot concretebrick fence on top of a concrete retaining wall raiging from 5 ft. to 7 ft. 2 inch. over-all height, on the Northerly 1/2 of Lots 18 thru 21, Block 15, Frary Heights, 2740 Nutmeg Place, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Zoning Engineer Secretary

ORM 2145

ated <u>May 2</u>, 19_51

Application Received4	-25-51 By E. C. Van Hice City Planning Department
Investigation made5	2-51 By allen Jones Lancaster Burto
Considered by Zoning Comn	nittee 5-2-5/ Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $5-2-51$ ity Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u> 4-51 Petitioner 5-3-51 Health Department <u>5-4-51</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to Ci	ity Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 5-5	1-51 Petitioner 5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk,	date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TA A D C TO DE CHATEL OF DE COMMENDATION STOLEND ENDERING ENDERING DE SEL

A PERSONAL THE PRODUCT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A PRODUCT OF THE PRODUC

Grands and the second THEFT OF STATE AND THE STATE All an and the Hade

the state of the state which a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9229</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Homer C. and Helen P. Watson to erect a residence with 5 ft. rear yard on Lot 6, Block 5, Pacific Riviera Villas, on Easterly side of Chelsea Street between Crystal Drive and Wrelton Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automathically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 2

By_

______, <u>19___51</u>

ORM 2145

Regelation of the second se	
Application Received 4-25-	51 By 7. W. mc Comell
	City Planning Department
	By allen, Jones Lancater Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _5	-2-51 Hearing date
Decision Dennid	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _	Date 5-2-51 5-3-51 Building Inspector 5-4-51
Planning Commission 5-4-51 Pd	etitioner 5-3-5/ Health Department 5-4-5/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second s I second s

and the second second

14 - 20 + 1 - 8 - 2M tot po flash determ

which is here which and an an

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9300</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lenore Panunzio to convert and build addition to existing residence, making it a duplex; existing residence has a 3 ft. 6 inch. sideyard and a 10 ft. rear yard, Lots 25 thru 29, Block B, Wallace Heights, on Southwest corner of Dale and Thorn Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 and 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appealed Hearing 5-24-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

Zoning Engineer

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res. No. 5493

ated May 2

, 19 51

FORM 2145

pplication Received <u>4-25-51</u> By <u>7.W.</u> <u>Me Connell</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>5-2-51</u> By <u>Allen Jones Lancater Burt</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51 Hearing date
late 5-2-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-5</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4-5</u> lanning Commission <u>5-4-5</u> Petitioner <u>5-3-5</u> Health Department <u>5-4-5</u>
anning Commission 5-4-51 Petitioner 5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

the product of the second states and

Lo Brandst, Cerryle - Deres,

The maximum state in the second state of the s

the second se

and the set of all the set of the provide the set of th

and the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 102394 see 5493

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Lenore Panunzio, 2931 Thorn Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her application No.9300, Resolution No. 5493 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 and Ordinance No. 8924 Section Sa, for permission to convert and build an addition to the existin g residence, making it a duplex, on Lots 25 through 29, Block B, Wallace Heights, on the southwest corner of Dale and Thorn Streets, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the above permission is granted for such improvement under revised plans submitted to the Zoning Committee which alteration would result in a duplex having the appearance of a single family dwelling.

By HELEN M. WILLIG

9270

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Cordelia Hoffert to construct a bedroom addition to an existing residence, addition to have a 10 ft. rear yard on the Northerly 50 ft. of Lot 14, measured on the Easterly line parallel with the North line of Lot 14, Block 27, Lexington Park, 4103 Pepper Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 2 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer Summer Res. No. 5494

FORM 2145

Application Received $-\frac{4-26}{2}$	-51 By C. Blacke Ross City Planning Department
Investigation made5-2-5	51 By allen Janes Lanater Bus City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	5-2-5/ Hearing date
Decision app.	Date $5-2-51$ lerk $5-3-51$ Building Inspector $5-4-51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cl	erk <u>5-3-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Dlanning Commission 5-4-5	Petitioner 5-3-5/ Health Department 5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

C

The notest from the participant of the second secon

The Burrens and the state of the

all provide a second a survey of the

whit to apply share and

and the state which we share the state

14 J. W

WHEREAS, Application No.9228 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to H. H. Ferris, Jr. to construct 19' x 30'9" addition on top of existing garage to be used as maid's room, with bath, and storage and play room, with approximately 10 ft. rear yard; architectural approval, on Lot 5, Block 3. Warner Villa Tract. 3705 Warner Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated May 2 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5495

FORM 2145

Application Received H	
Investigation made $\frac{4-18-51}{5-2-51}$ H 4-18-51	By allen Jones Lancaster Bust City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5.2-51 Decision Denied	Hearing date Date $5 - 2 - 5 /$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-3-5$ Planning Commission $5-4-51$ Petitioner	Date $5 - 2 - 5 /$ 2 Building Inspector $5 - 4 - 5 /$ 5 - 3 - 5 / Health Department $5 - 4 - 5 /$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the set of the set of

Marth C. S. Marke

Construction of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. 9241 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): 5

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Alma J. Brown and Alice L. Brown to construct two living units in addition to the existing single family dwelling, making 3 units on the property, with a minimum of 42-foot access court to the street, on all that land East of a line drawn from a point on South line 11 feet West of Southeast corner to a point on North line 9 feet West of Northeast corner of Lot 15 and all of Lot 16, Block 225, University Heights, at 1260 Robinson Ave., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That surfaced off-street parking for 3 cars be provided and maintained on the property;
- That existing garage and building between the garage and the residence 2. in front be removed from the property;
- That the porch on the West side of the building be entirely removed;
- 3. 4. That these conditions be complied with before the duplex is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 51 May 2 Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Secondary

Zoning Ingineer

·	17		
Application Received	4-11-5	1 By 7. W. My Connell City Planning Department	
••		City Planning Department	_
	1 18-51		
Investigation made	5-2-51	By allen Jones Lancaster 18 18-51 City Planning Department	ut
5	4-	18-51 City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning	Committee 5-	-2-51 Hearing date	-1
Decision app	Conde.	Date 5-2-51	
Copy of Resolution set	nt to City Clerk 5	Date $5-2-51$ $\overline{5-3-51}$ Building Inspector $5-4-51$	
Planning Commission	5-4-51 Pet	titioner 5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51	
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council		Date	
Resolution becomes ef	fective		
Application withdrawn	n .	Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of action	

20月1日1日 月日 11日 11日

¥.

control to the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state control to the second state of the control to the second state of the sec

en real d'anne des la deserva d'a des en d'art de serve esté encret d'arte en serve en la real de se

12 - F

Letterent entreptiete star die Lider entrette 100 gint 10 geningen 201 geningen die 1999 geningen ander 1999 ge

1

. .

Solitandes d'an Miller :

and the table of the

1. 14

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9296</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William A. and Dorothyann Griggs to maintain existing residence with a 14-foot setback on Lot 3. Block 12. La Jella Shores, at 5117 Gamino del Sol. Zone R-4.

A variance as to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 2, , 19 51

By_

Secretory

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-26-51</u> By <u>P. Q. Bunch</u> City Planning I	tow Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u> By <u>Allen</u> Jones	Lancaster Burt
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51 Hearing date	
Decision appr. Date 5-2-51	
Decision $appr.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4</u>	1-51
Planning Commission 5-4-57 Petitioner 5-3-57 Health Depart	rtment $2 - 4 - 5$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

and a second second

n in the second water water and the second of the second water in the second second water in the second secon

Star Pr

AND AND AND AND AND

and the destroy of the second

0.10 - 11.106 1

and the second second second

n'e la

and the last of the figures and the figures the

Tost * Waltyre

1.85

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9250</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. Lee Rauch and Kathryne Booth Rauch to construct bedroom and bath addition to existing covered patic, said addition to be used as servant's quarters and to have an S-foot rear yard, on Lot 13. Fern Glen Colony, at 374 Vista de la Playa, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That this addition will be used only by members of the family, their invited guests, and their servants, and will not be rented separately.
- 2. That agreement to this effect will be signed and recorded. AGG 725

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated May 2 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretery T

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5498

y <u>K. D. Munday</u> City Planning Department	
y <u>Allen Jones Lanca ter</u> Bur City Planning Department	
Hearing date	
Date $5 - 2 - 51$ Building Inspector $5 - 4 - 51$	
Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>	
5-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51	
Council Hearing, date	
Date	
Continued to	
Date of action	

ODIPI SI SIST

A deploy of the B is a state of the Sta

In a general second

The second of the second part of the second se

and write a second s

hereing and when a hereing a the second

REAL

1

and the second sec

a de la companya de la comp

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee If the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence H. and Florence Sherman to erect 2 single family residences in addition to 1 existing single family residence, with an S-foot access court, on Lots 31 and 32, Block 23, University Heights, at 4628 Florida Street, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- That owner provide 2 additional off-street parking spaces in 1. addition to 1 existing space;
- That these 3 off-street parking spaces be completed before 2. residences are occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SECRECATYY

May 2 , 19_51 Dated_

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5499

Application Received By	F. W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-2-51$ By	allen Jones Lancaster Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-2-51$ Decision approximate Construction Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-3-51$	Hearing date Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-3-51	Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 5-4-5/ Petitioner 5	Health Department 5-4-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second

that the second of the second second

tor

where the state of the state of the

at r

Lo IV has been Going my"

to the the stand was a for a

and the part of the second

see 5500

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

102393

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Thet the appeal of Mrs. Julia Nagem, 3595 Arizona Street, from the decision of the Zening Semmittee in denying by Resolution No. 5500, application No. 9310, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 for permission to construct a 22' x 22' triangular addition to and include the existing porch for an enlargement of the existing store building on Lots 25 and 48, Block 80, Resub. of Block 80, Park Villas, 3595 Arizona Street, Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

RESOLUTION NO. 5500 See 102 393 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9310</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIHD to Mrs. Julia Nagem to construct a 22' x 22' triangular addition to and include the existing porch for an enlargement of the existing store building on Lots 35 and 48, Block 80, Resub. of Block 80, Park Villas, 3595 Arizona Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Appealed Heaving 5-24-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

struction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the gixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated <u>May 2</u>, 19_51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5500

Application Received	51 By E. C. Van Nuce City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-2-51$	By allen Jana Lanater Burt City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _5	$\frac{-2-51}{\text{Date } 5-2-51}$ Hearing date $\frac{5-3-51}{\text{Building Inspector } 5-4-51}$ Detection of the Health Department $5-4-51$
Decision Denied	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk_	5-3-51 Building Inspector 5-4-51
A proof filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Perclution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a projection angene at and count a setting of the respect to the setting of the setting of the setting of the set

nite of the second of the seco

anne in the second second

10000 10 15-1

1

distiply where the

. .

10 10 10 CO

196.