

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9319</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ewarl and Pauline L. Crawford to construct a picket fence 5 ft. high within front setback area on Lot 1 except the Southerly 41.8 ft. and except street and except the Southerly 41.8 ft and except the Northerly 1.7 ft. of the Southerly 43.5 ft. of the Easterly 11 ft., and except street of Lot 2, Block 314, San Diego Land & Town Company's Addition, 2705 Clay Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 2 , 19_51

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received $4-27-51$ By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u> By	allen Jones Lanate Busta
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u> S-3-51 Health Department 5-4-51
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

A set of the set of

TO FRANCE PRANT

and some sign and all

appear and and a feat the

and the second sec

a the sea of the same in any is a subscript

the fail of the part of the second

Set property and any set of

and want makes when are allowed as a first the

1.1 「日本」を対し

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9274</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sheldon and Bonnie Carrington Clark to construct a caretaker's residence containing a kitchen, making 2nd residence on this property, said residence to have a 4-foot sideyard and 10-foot rearyard, on Lots 1 to 7 and Northerly 20 feet of Lot 8, Block 7, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, at 7569 Pepita Way, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That this caretaker's residence will not be rented separately, but will be used only by members of the family, their invited guests, or their hired help;
- 2. That agreement to this effect will be signed and recorded. $\rightarrow AGG727$

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated May 2 , 19 51

Zoning Ingineer

Res. #5502

FORM 2145

Application Received $4-27-51$	By 7. W. mc Comell City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-2-51$	City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	3-51 Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u> over 5-3-51 Health Department <u>5-4-51</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and proof it is a second set of a low of the second s

4

.

an gat through

· # 2000

Filed in Office

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9311</u> has been considered of the Zoying for the design of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): MAY 3 1951

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or condition [6] IADDEALE TO CITY involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to COUNCIL OF TAKEN zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reg Stalmer and Alma J. Stalmer to divide parcel into 4 lots with right to build single family dwelling on each lot on that portion of Lot 4 in East 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1215 lying between Linda Vista Road and Cabrillo Freeway, at approximately 5000 Linda Vista Road, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

That a surveyor's map of the property be submitted;
 That a 25-foot strip across the property be dedicated for the widening of Linda Vista Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofr as they relate to the property described above.

. page 413

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19<u>51</u>

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received $4-27-51$	By <u>E. C. Van Here</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-2-51$	By <u>Allen Jones Lancater Busto</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-2-5</u> Decision <i>Appropriate Conditioned</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-5</u>	$\frac{1}{Date} \frac{1}{5 - 2 - 5 I}$ $\frac{1}{Date} \frac{1}{5 - 3 - 5 I}$ $\frac{1}{5 - 3 - 5 I}$ Health Department 5 - 4 - 5 I
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

7

.

.

192020 Conterfector

after the obove date. MOHE OF ADDEAL TO CITY

1.25

M - 1217

- 1.1.º

moste

opm'

i.

IND OF INNE HARTS a particular a ter se

the the way Constants of

Bulling

RESOLUTION NO. 5504 see 5100

Letter dated April 27, 1951

WHEREAS, Application Non _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

An extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5100 dated November 1, 1950, be granted to Henry E. and Winnie D. Hodges, owners, and Loyd A. Carson, Jr., purchaser, to erect a residence with a 7-foot setback on San Jacinta Drive, and a 15-foot setback on Santa Margarita Street, on the northerly portion of Block 12, Las Alturas No. 4, fronting approximately 96 feet on San Jacinta Drive and approximately 65 feet on Santa Margarita Street, according to legal description on file in the Office of the Planning Department, located at the southwesterly corner of San Jacinto Drive and Santa Margarita Street, in Zone R-4, on condition that a surveyor's plat of the lot be submitted before the building permit is issued.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received $-\frac{4-28-5}{5}$	1 By <u>M. Louchel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u>	By allen Jones Lance to But City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	-3-51 Building Inspector $5-4-51$
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

NO. A STORAGE FOR F AND THE THE TO THE PARTY OF THE AREA OF THE BEAR. The provide and the second second states and states and second second second second second second second second

and the second second

and the second second second

PARAL AND REAL AND A CONT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9297</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jane E. Conner to construct an addition to a residence with 10 ft. rear yard for the addition on Lot 15, except the West 52 ft. thereof, Block 48, La Jolla Park, 1457 Coast Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_51

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received _	<u>5-1-51</u> E	By <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
	5-2-51 E	City I laming Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	Hearing date Date $5-2-5$ / I Building Inspector $5-4-5$ / 5-3-5 / Health Department $5-4-5$ / Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effe Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	ctive	Continued to Date of action

* T . . . 7 . .

part in the second s

The second se

CL. M. A

a wall and a start of

5 24 Phs 1 14 1 4 2

And Barren San

the I way and

Bill of the second states of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9253</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to William and Hazel Mae Wagner to construct a single family residence with 15 ft. rear yard on Lot 43, Fleetridge Unit No. 1, on Emerson Street Southerly of Carleton Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby DEMIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 2 , 1	9	1
-----------------	---	---

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res No. 5506

FORM 2145

		By F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made Considered by Zoning Com Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to Copy	City Clerk <u>5-2-</u>	By <u>Allen Jones Lancator</u> Burto
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of action

a set the set of the set

and the second second second and the second s

Indiana

STATISTICS.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9329</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Boyd V. and Winifred M. Miller to erect covered patio adjoining garage; combined length 40 ft. and combined area, approximately 766 sq. ft. with 14 ft. rear yard and 4 ft. sideyard, Lot 7, Block 8, Furlow Heights Unit No. 2 on the Southwest corner of Winlow Street and Chollas Station Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 2 , 19_51

FORM 2145

By____

Application Received <u>5-1-51</u> B	y 7. W. mc Comell City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen Jonen Loncaster</u> Bust
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-2-51</u> Decision <u>4</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Planning Commission <u>5-4-51</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector $5-4-5($ 5-3-5(Health Department $5-4-5($
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

w. Col

The second decision of the second second

- · · · · ·

· Contraction of the state

m 3. -

RESOLUTION NO. 5508 see 102 396 following

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9327</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Alpheus E. and Marietta Eddy to make interior alterations to convert existing single family residence into a duplex; existing building has 2 ft. sideyard, Lot 4, Block 45, Normal Heights, at 3809 Adams Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

APPEALED MAY 17TH

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res No. 5508

Application Received _	5-1-51	By 7. W. m City Plan	nning Department	
Investigation made	5-2-51	_ By <u>Allen Jon</u> City Plan	Lanca ter Burn	Z
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C Decision of Council	to City Clerk <u>5-3</u> 5-4-51 Petitic Clerk, date	<u>51</u> Hearing date Date <u>5-2-51</u> <u>5-51</u> Building Inspector oner <u>5-3-51</u> Health Council Hearing, dat Date	Department > - x - 5 /	
Resolution becomes effe Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of action		

/ Citic V

/ Pray with the first 💻

k i ser s

+ + 1

5- J.

11 2 · I'd owner of the shy the to all the ward

the second of the second second second

and the second second second second

LIVEN I ME

RESOLUTION NO. 102396 See 5508

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Alpheus E. Eddy and Mariette Eddy, 3809 Adams Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5508, application No. 9327, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section 8a for permission to make interior alterations to existing single-family residence to convert it into a duplex, which building has a 2-foot sideward, on Lot 4, Block 45, Normal Heights, at 3809 Adams Avenue, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

Deputy.

ACORN FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9305</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section to of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James E. and Ruth A. Coad to construct 20 feet of concrete retaining wall 8 feet in height with open type fence on top, 4 feet in height, making total over all height of 12 feet. Lots 21 and 22, Block 20, Middletown Addition, 1661 Linwood Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_5]

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application ReceivedE	By <u>E. C. Van Huse</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-2-51</u> E	By <u>Allen Jones Lanester Burto</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	$\frac{1}{5-3-5}$ Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u> 5-3-5(Health Department 5-4-51
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

AL CLOCK

An a second a second a second a

Service - Carlor

1 A 47 4 6 19

· · · · · ·

Aller Front

TRACE TO A TRACE

and the second state of the second state of the

and and the particle proves the

the second a presentation and only the complete the

RESOLUTION NO. 103395

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Jesse L. Hudson and Minnie M. Hudson,1115 Euclid Avenue from the decision of the Zoning Committee in requiring an easement for the widening of Euclid Avenue, in connection with variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, to permit erection of a 672 square foot lath house addition and 128 square foot storage room addition to the existing lath house to be used in connection with the retail sales of plents and nursery stock on Lots 8 and 9 Block 2 Boverly Subdivision, at 1115 Euclid Avenue, in Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTER RESOLVED, that a signed, notarized and recorded agreement be filed with the City Clerk specifying that any construction permitted hereunder be removed when the original zone variance (ResolutionNo. 2799(expires if said Resolution is not renewed or extended, being January 14, 1953.

RM 1270

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 5510 Rele 102584

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Jesse L. and Minnie M. Hudson to erect 672 sq. ft. lath house addition and 128 sq. ft. storage room addition to existing lath house to be used in connection with retail sales of plants and nursery stock, Lots 8 and 9, Block 2, Beverly Subdivision, 1115 Euclid Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. APPEAA

SIGNED 135

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 2 _____ , 19_51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Res. No. 5510

1.00		
A	Application Received By_	E. C. Van Huse City Planning Department
GI UDU	Provestigation made $\frac{4-18-51}{5-2-51}$ By Onsidered by Zoning Committee $\frac{5-2-51}{5-2-51}$ E Decision Denuel Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{5-3-51}{5-51}$ E	<u>Allen</u> , Jones Lancester Berto Hearing date Date 5-2-5/ Building Inspector <u>5-4-51</u> -3-5/ Health Department <u>5-4-51</u>
P A	Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
R	esolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

1. 1.

and the second the

and the second second

4

170.0

Harris Strates in the

- 19 - 10-5-

TR.

RESOLUTION NO. 102584 Rel 5510

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Jessie L. Hudson and Minnie M. Hudson, 1115 Euclid Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5510, application No. 9214, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series to permit erection of a 672 square foot lath house addition and 128 square foot storage room addition to the existing lath house to be used in connection with retail sales of plants and jursery stock, on Lots 8 and 9 Block 2, Beverly Subdivision, at 1115 Euclid Avenue, in Zone R-2, bs, and it is hereby overruled and denied and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and co	prrect copy of Resolution No. 102584
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Coun	cilJune 7, 1951
	FRED W. SICK
By	City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG
ACORN FORM 1270	Deputy.

Letter dated May 1, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

An extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5123 dated November 15, 1950, be granted to A. J. McCartney to construct a duplex with a 10-foot rear yard, one unit to be over a two-car garage, on the South 70 feet of the West 40 feet of Lot 6 of Block 1 in Marine View, at the Northwest corner of the intersection of Sutter and Ibis Streets, in Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

STORELADYX

Application Received $5-2-51$ B	y <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
	y allen Jones Lancaster, Built
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-2-51</u> Decision <u>app</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> Planning Commission <u>5-4-51</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector $5 - 4 - 51$ 5-3-51 Health Department $5 - 4 - 51$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Fime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a 124

and some first and a

an of Ing. has

. 9 . 4

...

martin hard a bar

and the second of the second shaft in the second

an no wearing the second straight Barting Straight Straig

and the second a set of the set of the set of the set of the inter a contract of the second state of the second state of the

Hop -----

10-100 A 12-153

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9217</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence W. Bishop to erect a 57-unit addition to an existing 24-unit trailer park, in accordance with plans submitted and approved by the Zoning Committee, on South 1/2 of Lot 4, except street, Eureka Lemon Tract, at 6505 Pacific Highway, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- A compact hedge at least 3 feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property, except along the North line, and be maintained in first-class condition at all times;
- 2. The existing 5-foot fence around the property be maintained;
- 3. All required driveways, roadways, or unoccupied space utilized in lieu of a driveway or roadway, to be graded and surfaced full width with dust-resisting material;
- 4. The exterior design of all structures to be approved by the Zoning Committee;
- 5. No portion of this 57-unit addition to be occupied until new construction is approved by City Building Department, Health Department, and the Planning Department;
- 6. That within 18 months of the effective date of this resolution, the entire project will be completed in accordance with the original plans submitted by the former owner, Goldie B. Jones, or according to plans approved by the Zoning Committee;

7. This permission to expire on June 30, 1954. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 2 , 19 51

By____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

5-1-51	By 7. W. mc Connell
Pplication Received	By <u>F-W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
E 2-51	By allen Jones, Lenester Buston
nvestigation made $5-2-51$	//City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee	5-2-51 Hearing date
ecision apper- conde.	Date $5-2-51$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	$\frac{5-4-51}{\text{Petitioner } 5-4-51}$ Health Department $5-4-51$
Damina Commission 5-4-31	C 'I TT ' and date
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action
ime limit extended to	The second real with the original strand second to
a ser and a series of a series of a	A THE REPORT OF THE WAY FILTER AND THE AFTER A
the state of the state	
	all illes borno dell'int totil n'ricomposicilité le
	all the relation of the state of the one of the state of
a start for the second	
	no man in the state we all the second we have been all the
	A PL PIL CITATI ST AND
and work and the first	
	participante of the till GOLD TOTAL
a pression	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The first the first in the state of the	the state of the source of the state of the state of the
	and the second
,	
	A STATE OF A
	the second s
	to the property described above.
etalet veft as they relate	and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated ab
.ed .d nottos2 .seited we	A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, Ne

-

and the content of the second se

e. .

WHEREAS, Application No. 9218 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

South 1/2 of Lot 4, except street

.....

.....

No. 5513

That the following described property, Lot_______Block ______Block

Subdivision Eureka Lemon Tract

(Clarence W. Bishop)

in accordance with plans submitted and approved by the Zoning Committee

subject to the following conditions 1. A compact hedge at least 3 feet high at the time of planting be installed around the property, except along the North line, and be maintained in first-class condition at all times: 2. The existing 5-foot fence around the property be maintained; 3. All required driveways, roadways, or unoccupied space utilized in lieu of a driveway or roadway, to be graded and surfaced full width with dustresisting material; 4. The exterior design of all structures to be approved by the Zoning Committee: 5. No portion of this 57-unit addition to be occupied until new construction is approved by City Building Department, Health Department, and the Planning Department: 6. That within 18 months of the effective date of this resolution, the entire project will be completed in accordance with the original plans submitted by the former owner, Goldie B. Jones, or according to plans approved by the Zoning Committee; 7. This permission to expire on June 30, 1954.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically; six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. #5513

Dated May 2 198 51

2144

By

Zoning Engineer

and the second	
Application Received 5-1-51	By F. W. Mc Comell City Planning Department
	" City Planning Department
Investigation made 5-2-51	By allen Jane Lanater But
the second s	Gity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-5.	/ Hearing date
Pecision appr coule	Date 5-2-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Hearing date Date $S-2-Si$ Health Department 4-5i Building Inspector $S-4-Siitioner S-4-Si Health Department$
Planning Commission 5-4-51 Pet	itioner <u>S-4-S</u> Health Department <u>S-4-S</u>
Foal filed with City Cicik, date	
fime limit extended to	
the broken with the broken	
an ereo The state of the state	

service and should have the service the his many street under the

1. A convet bolte of least 3 for 1 at 5 5 51 9 4. 11 11 11

The month of the set of the

A ANTIGRIALAN CONTRACTANCE PORTOCION AND PORT OF STREET STREET AT

the same of a serie of the indicated and the state of the second the second

a proof the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state second state and second state

Service and the second way and the second se

The set of a cost ten we with the

and the Elite of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9230</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry H. Kaino, owner, and Jimmy I. Matsuhara, partner, to operate retail and wholesale general merchandising business, all small items, on Lot 32 and East 1/2 of Lot 33, Block 178, Manasse and Schiller's Subdivision, at 1867 Kearney Avenue, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. No signs, no customers here, no employees, no commercial work done here, and no sales on the premises;
- 2. Storage of merchandise or material delivered to this address to be limited to 6 cu. ft. capacity at any time.
- 3. Permit to expire June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Nay 2 ____, 19__51

By_

SUCCEMENT

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received $4-5-51$ By	7. W. mc Connell
Application Received	City Planning Department
11 18-3'	Q10 0 0 -
5-2-51 By_	alles Jones Lancaster Burton
Investigation made H H H	alles Jone Lancaster, Buston City Planning Department
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Iearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51 H	Date 5-2-51
Pecision appr - Condt. 5.3-51 E	Building Inspector 5-4-51
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-2-51</u> F Decision <u>2000</u> Constitution Sent to City Clerk <u>5-3-51</u> F Planning Commission <u>5-4-51</u> Petitioner <u>5</u>	-3-SI Health Department 5-4-51
Planning Commission 5-4-51 Tethoner	Council Hearing, date
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Pecision of Council	
No i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Continued to
A	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

and the start of the

. . .

a manager and a second of the second second

a second part of the second second second

ware encoded and reactions of a state second of the state of the state

worther Birthing

100 · 1 2. T.I.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9334</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lyle L. and Emily K. Carringer to permit eaves to be 30 inches from side lot line on Lots 14 and 15, Block 4, Ironton, on Northeasterly side of intersection of Harbor View Place and Armada Drive, in Zone R-1, on condition that eave adjacent to the Northeasterly lot line shall have a gutter the full length and down spout and drain to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By.

Secreteryx

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5515

Application Received <u>5-2-51</u> By	<u>7.W. My Comell</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>5-2-51</u> By	Alle Jone Lanente Burto
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-2-51$ Decision $3pp - Condit.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-3-51$ Planning Commission $5-4-51$ Petitioner S Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $5-2-5/$ Building Inspector $5-4-5/$ 5-3-5/ Health Department $5-4-5/$
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

The state of the s

a a barri , de ar chaireadard- (CCB), sterio C. beight B. Th

interface i

70 1

ont the give the

, Os. * * .

. . .

HAT MONE ONL CTUIN TO LLO PLANED.

to be a start of the south the south the start of the start of the

and a second sec

the second state of the se

the second second and the result being the

212

Filed in Office

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ s752 ____ has been considered by the Topfing Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): MAY 4 1951

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions apply apply apply of the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew T. La Pointe to divide 3 lots at right angles into 2 approximately equal building sites, being the Northerly and Southerly half of Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 11, First Addition to Pacific Beach Vista Tract, and to erect single family residence on each, on Southeast corner of Archer St. and Cass St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- The residence on the Northerly half of these lots to observe a 7 ft. 6 in. setback on Cass St. and the regular City Ordinance on Archer St.
- 2. The residence on the Southerly half to observe a 10 ft. setback on Cass St.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 4 _____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

-2. j.s.	100	0 0 01 1	
Application Received	4-23-51	By E. C. Van Hiso City Planning Department	
nvestigation made	5-2-51	By <u>Allen Jone Lancester</u> Burt	to
onsidered by Zoning Decision opportunity opy of Resolution ser	Committee $5-2-$ conclusion to City Clerk $5-4$ 5-7-5/ Petitic	$\frac{-51}{\text{Date } 5 - 4 - 51}$ $\frac{4-51}{\text{Building Inspector } 5 - 7 - 51}$ $\frac{5-7-51}{\text{Health Department } 5 - 7 - 51}$	
Ppeal filed with City Pecision of Council resolution becomes ef		Council Hearing, date Date	
Pplication withdrawn	1	Continued to Date of action	

241

THE LAND MET COLUMN AND THE STATES OF CARDA CA

and the second second

CO THE LAND

AND A STATE OF A STATE

Miscape score and

ACHIER OF NODENE TO CERTIFICATION

11
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9356</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John C. and Jean A. Kamps to build single family residence on percel of land split out after soning on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Mast side of La Jolla Shores Drive 100 fest North of Camino del Oro, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretery

Dated May 8, , 19_51

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received	By P.Q. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-8-51$	By <u>G. Rick P. Burton & D. South</u> City Blanning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee Decision Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk S lanning Commission 5-8-51 Pe Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Pecision of Council	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9303</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl G. and Marian F. Langlois to erect 1-foot high free standing wall on top of 4-feet high retaining wall in front of setback line, and 6-feet high free standing wall on top of retaining wall, maximum height of which retaining wall is 4 feet, on Southwesterly side commencing at the rear of the residence and extending to rear lot line and along rear lot line, as shown on sketch on file in the Planning Department Office, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, Corella Tract, at 1377 Frankfort St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 9 ____, 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Sechetasy

Application Received $4-25-51$ H	By <u>7. W. My Comell</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made $5 - 2 - 51$ H	By <u>Allen, Jones Lamaster Burt</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-2-51	Hearing date
lesi	Date 5-9-51
coision appr. City Clerk 5-9-5	Building Inspector 5-10-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Citra Petitioner	5-10-51 Health Department 5-10-51
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 5-9-51 L Building Inspector <u>5-10-51</u> S-10-51 Health Department <u>5-10-51</u> Council Hearing, date
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
ecision of Council	_ Date
lesolution becomes effective	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action
ime limit extended to	_ Date of action

V ACODE A LA REAL AND A CONTRACTOR OF A LA REAL AND A REAL AND A

The second s

Own give that ' should be a sub-star wat ' water good

Q brate ing the

TOWNER DE MINOR

COM REFE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9371</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. H. and Olive Harrington to excavate 15,000 cu. yds. of gravel and clay on Portion of Pueblo Lot 1174, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the Northeasterly corner of 6th Ave. Extension and Friar's Road, in Zone R-1, in accordance with any requirements of an excavation permit which may be issued by the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457. Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

_ , 19**51**

May 16,

SERGER REVIE

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received <u>5-14-51</u> By P.Q. 13 ton City Planning Department nvestigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By <u>Aller</u> <u>Janes</u> <u>Lance</u> City Planning Department nca onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51 Hearing date_ Decision appr-Condil Date 5-16-51 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-17-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u> lanning Commission <u>5-18-51</u> Petitioner <u>5-17-51</u> Health Department <u>5-18-51</u> PPeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date lesolution becomes effective Pplication withdrawn Continued to fime limit extended to Date of action 20-ST SITT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9271</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Maritt John and Wynema S. Rand to construct addition to existing garage with duplex above and garages and washroom below, making 3 living unit on Lots 37 and 38, Block 21, Ocean Beach, 4653 Del Monte Avenue, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

APPEALSI

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5520

Application Received $4 - 23 - 51$ E	By <u>J. W. Mc Comell</u> City Planning Department
5-2-51	
ivestigation made	By allen Jone Langater Busto
in in a in Committee 5-16-51	Hearing date 5-16-51
Pecision Denied Cine Clark 5-17-5	Date 5-16-51 J Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u> 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51 Council Hearing, date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
recision of Council	
Pplication withdrawn	_ Continued to Date of action
Ime limit extended to	

191.00

and the state of the

The second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9293</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Leo W. and Alice C. Dolan to construct a 4-unit apartment building, crossing lot lines, Lots 19 and 20, Block 40, Ocean Beach, on Northerly side of Pescadero St., approx. 100 ft. Westerly of Ebers Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby DENIED AS TO THE PARTICULARS STATED ABOVE, INSOFAR AS THEY RELATE TO THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED ABOVE.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16

FORM 2145

By____

_, ¹⁹___51

	5-51 By D. E. South City Planning Department
nvestigation made $5-2-5-16-5-16$	51 By allen Jone Lancaster Buston 5-2-51 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	5 - 16 - 51 Hearing date $5 - 16 - 51Date 5 - 16 - 51$
Onv of Resolution sent to City C	lerk <u>5-17-5</u> /Building Inspector <u>5-18-5</u> / 5/Petitioner 5-17-5! Health Department 5-18-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
Ime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. .

140

.

12 - 20 · ·

1

ŝ

the states

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9292</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5.of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alta S. Grant to erect and operate cement contractor's storage yard, including the maintenance of existing office building and one storage building, with fence, gas pump, existing concrete slab, and outdoor storage, on portions of Pueblo Lots 1173 and 1174, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Friar's Road, approximately 300 feet East of Murray Canyon Road, in Zones R-LA and R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. Permission granted for period of 5 years from effective date of resolution;
- 2. Industrial-type woven wire fence be erected around the property, fence to be located no closer to Friar's Road than the closest corner of existing concrete slab around the curve in the road, and otherwise as shown on survey map on file in the Planning Department Office;
- 3. Vines, hedge, or other suitable screen be established and maintained between Friar's Road and any outside storage of materials and supplies. page 258

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 145, New Series, and Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 1951

FORM 2145

By___

SRODER 930X

Zoning Engineer

ALL	1. 10 m F		D -
Application Received _	4-26-	51]	By T. & Burton
Application Received _			City Planning Department
	5-2-51		an o o i o
Investigation made	5-16-51]	By <u>allen Jones Rancaster Buston</u> City Planning Department
	the second	5-2-51	
Considered by Zoning	Committee _5	5-16-51	$\frac{1}{1} Hearing date \frac{5}{12} - \frac{12}{5}$
Dii	R	9	Dare 3 - 16 - 3 '
	4 40 1 1917 1 IPP	7	
D:	and the second s	Potteroner	
Appeal filed with City C	Clerk, date		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		1 here the state	Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective		Continued to
Application withdrawn			Continued to
Time limit extended to		- lost	Date of action
		ales las	to the proposition official option ' process on some
Anymon to the los		5/	tot This , as contan' and observation is 1011.
	(m)	1	and the state of the state of the state
	K/		to the sy groupper a can be the set the set
, und wat	giona alte aglassa	and is nowed	result by eater lighted and modeleder index and
	- Incloser a	1	C GITTERS!
COLORADO NEGLIN	1 10 000.20	11 01 0 h.	word' this gravity the of anoth an distol and out
THETER A	WOULD DO I	fr t.	and the close the entropy of exteril a energy and
in the second	and a dama	the series	a ba areas to be the property funde to be
7.51	t. Wood Los 1	Stani Os	S LOAD THE SECTION OF STAR STAR STARTER
. / ×/			
	. on the r.	10 1	
1 1 1 1 m	i p	d. motor	· Colore · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- / / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		02-1512-1	of the oter, and a more, of the particular
1-X		alstin .	L'operation of the second s
1.3/1.1	1411	CUENIC P	A lotter office builds, chi ope stornes
		100 11 /	1 1 1 and and arguing and any and the
5	- /	/	
	a sample to		For ADE CONNECTOR OF The CLTN of Sam Disect.
<		127	
	L	14	
		(C)	that address of attack the matter Plan of the City
		14	
() which have a service			control of intervention in the religiourhood.
1/11	NX.		to and will mak be enterially derrimental to
	- Lot		not a netwinity attact the health or select of
1			the second program of some maker of
and the second s			requirements a conditions applicable to the property

South C. Suthans

and the second

190- 42220

Letters dated 4/26/51 and 5/11/51

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4700 dated May 24, 1950, which resolution was amended by Resolutions Nos. 5114 dated November 15, 1950, and 5339, dated February 21, 1951. is hereby amended as to Item 7 only, which is to read as follows:

7. The present site of San Diego Transit-Mixed Concrete Company shall be cleared of all buildings and debris, and the site left clean, no later than 18 months from the effective date of Resolution No. 4700, except that one storage building, the office building, and the concrete slab (formerly the floor of the garage) may remain and be used in connection with a cement contractor's storage yard, in compliance with Resolution No. 5522, dated May 16, 1951; this exception to be effective only if a cement contractor's storage yard is established.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148, New Series, and Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 261

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

FORM 2145

Secretery

Zoning Engineer

			NUM XUN	And a state of the state
May 16	5-11-51		9	
Application Received _	4-30-51	_ By	Lockel	
	5-2-51		City Planning Departs	nent
	5-16-51	By aller	Jone Lan	canter Burto
Investigation made	5-2-6	51	City Planning Departm	nent
Considered by Zoning	Committee 5-16-	51 Hearing d	ite	
Decision appr.	- Conde.	Date 5 -	5-5	5 /
Decision <i>Approximited</i> Copy of Resolution sen Planning Commission	to City Clerk <u>Set</u>	ner 5-17-5	Health Departmer	nt 5-18-51
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date		earing, date	
Decision of Council		Date	Contraction Contraction	
Resolution becomes eff	ective	Continued	to	THE PARTY OF THE P
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Date of ac	tion	
I me mil extended to	an stovinions of B	- 1. The Color	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The state of the s
A STREAM FOR T		1 PERMIT	and some the short of	the star the second
e e v o pu	gracer as the f		14	
	et a desta desta Na seconda desta	environe more durate Dira:	Cidal a chara ser	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NOT 2 1 TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND	the second of a second	he many the second	A REAL
	persidence advisor	a contraction of the second	e sich (ferund d	
- Is all and the	and class for any	and the second s	THE CLE HITECHAR	
	GTO TO Tak hands .			11710
		a a share	State 1 Conception Col	and the second second second
		A count of a		And the second second
a star years a graph	111 10 10 1 1 10 10 10 1 10 1			material state waters
Mar Lensler Lings Los.	TIL Prod tor 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	a zoutetto an	AL DIVISION
DR +	prove a serie series			A second se
		St P		
		LTO O		
				The banancesson
ALC: NOT ALL THE DR				
2	and the second second	it miling		
	and a state of the search			
		5.00 million		
		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		

WHEREAS, Application Nor. 1951

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary ___ necessary for the preservation hardship, and that the granting of the application is ____ and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

WHEREAS, a complaint has been filed with the Zoning Committee of the City Planning Commission under date of April 7, 1951, which complaint alleged that Resolution No. 5137, dated November 15, 1950, is being violated in that Oather Morper is operating more than 4 hours daily and not just on a part-time basis, that the work is not confined to the 10 ft. by 12 ft. room approved by the Zoning Committee, but that it is being done also in the adjoining garage, and that there are other employees than the applicant and his wife; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Committee, on May 9, 1951, caused notices of a hearing to be sent to property owners in the vicinity, and to Oather Morper, the occupant at 431 Cuyamaca, said notices being to the following effect:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public hearing will be held by the Zoning Committee on Wednesday, May 16, 1951, at 3:15 P.M., Room 358, Council Chamber, Civic Center, San Diego, Calif., on non-compliance with Zoning resolution granted Oather Morper to process and tint photos, approx. 4 hours daily, 5 days a week, in bldg. added to rear of garage; no employees; (in connection with Ship Picture business located at Army TMCA) 431 Cuyamaca Ave., Lot 74, Sierra Vista, Zone R-4.

Upon the day and at the time set for said hearing any person interested may appear and be heard.

AND WHEREAS, the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego held such hearing on May 16, 1951, Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Sectory

May 16 , 19<u>51</u> Dated___

FORM 2145

By

Zoning Engineer

at or after the hour of 3:15 P.M., as specified in said notice of hearing, and after he all persons interested in this matter, and receiving and considering affidavit of Sarah did conclude that Resolution No. 5137 had been violated materially and did thereupon par the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego as follows: That Resolution No. 5137 dated November 15, 1950, which granted Oather Morper permission to operate a part-time film and photograph processing and printing business is hereby revoked and shall be null and void 90 days from the date of this resolution, at which time the property at 431 Cuyamaca Ave., described as Lot 74, Sierra Vista, shall conform in all respects to the requirements of the Zone Ordinance effective on the property.

and the second second

A set and a set of the set of the

the second of the second second

and the there fills alober old " . . .

TOBUL ENVIRENCE

10 2 10 . TY 10

the stand has a set of the set of the the stand of the second stand s

	and the second	
	Date of action	ime limit extended to
	Continued to	pplication withdrawn
20.4	of bennitno?	esolution becomes effective
	Date	recision of Council
		ppeal filed with City Clerk, date
		AND OI RESOLUTION SERIE TO AND
	The second subject of Second S	LOISIDE LAND, They Rever
	e S-16-51 Hearing date S-16-61	onsidered by Zoning Commune
	5-2-51 By Alle Scity Planting Department	avestigation made
es.	El Bu delle Onne La	- L +
	City Planning Department	
	P-21 By D. C Jank	pplication Received 4-2-0
	F Y J V	i g an

alarm a man manageral and

WHEREAS, Application No. 9325 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to U. C. Severin to divide 3 lots into 2 building sites, and maintain or build single family residence on each, on Lots 296, 297, sites, and maintain of build singet 4845 Alder Drive, in Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

That surveyor's map is presented; K MAP PRESENTED 5/22/51 1.

That this map of the proposed building sites shall show that the 2. dividing line between the 2 building sites is drawn no less than 5 feet from the existing building on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, Section 4, A variance to the providence as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 16 , 19 51 Dated_

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

	·
pplication Received <u>5-3-51</u> By	<u>E. C. Van Alice</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By	Allen Jone Longer Buto
Insidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51	Hearing date Date $5-16-51$ Building Inspector $5-18-51$ 5-17-51 Health Department $5-18-51$
ecision app - Candle	Date 5-16-51
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17-51	Building Inspector 5-18-51
anning Commission 5-18-51 Petitioner.	5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
EPeal tilled with (ity (lerk date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	
³ ution becomes effective	
Plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

ananana san aring j

;

1 1

a series and a series of the series of the

1

- 3

May in Mary

16-1* DOSA

Letter dated May 2, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 4677, which extended Resolution No. 4126, which extended Resolution No. 3420, which extended Resolution No. 2540, be granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch Street, Lot F, Block 295, Arlington, Zone R-4.

This variance to expire on June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary

Res. No. 5526

Application Received <u>5-3-51</u> B	y <u>M. Loechel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-16-51</u> B	y alle Jone Lance to Busto
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51	Hearing date Date 5-16-51
rusion (Apart	Dilling Incochen E / P E I
lanning Commission 5-18-51 Petitioner	5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51 Council Hearing, date
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
colution becomes effective	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action

1977

ter a ser a se

a stand a standard

a monthly and a second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9272</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. R. and H. L. Christman to operate plastering contractor's storage yard for period of one year in connection with office how located in "C" zone, on Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Lot 18, Horton's Purchase - Ex-Mission Lands, at 4580 Federal Blvd., in Zones R-1 and "C".

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 16 ____, 19 51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5527

FORM 2145

Application Received $5-4-51$	By <u>E. C. Van Nice</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made $5-16-51$	By alle Jones Lancaster Bust
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date Continued to Date of action

The state of the s

· 4

in the second property of the second property of

Receipt in the and and a good as pressing the sport of the store of the store of the store of the store of the

CONTRACTOR CAL

The states and

at ment water our production

TIP

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9345</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elijah C. Cook to convert garage to single family residence with approximate 5-foot rear yard, making 2 family units on the lot, on North 40 feet of Lots 25 to 28, Block 332, Choates Addition, at 127 South 31st Street, Zone R-4, on condition that owner construct and maintain two surfaced off-street parking spaces on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ May 16 ____ , 19 ____

By

SERVERBUC

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

pplication Received <u>5-7-51</u> B	y E. C. Van Nine City Planning Department
avestigation made $5 - 16 - 51$ B	by allen Jan Rama ter Busto
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $5 - 76 - 57$ Building Inspector <u>$5 - (8 - 5)$</u> 5 - (7 - 5) Health Department $5 - (8 - 5)$
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and the second secon Interest of the second second

1 1 2

Were not a set of a C C

Q.,

RESOLUTION NO. 102755

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Joseph W. Johnson, 4068 - 38th Street, from the decision of the Joning Committee in denying application No. 9328 of said Joseph W. Johnson and Helen S. Johnson for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section 8a, for penaission to convert garage into living unit making a total of three of which two would be served by a 3-foot access court, on Lot 41, Block 37, City Heights, at 4068 - 38th Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby denied and overruled and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9328</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Joseph W. and Helen S. Johnson to convert garage into living unit making a total of 3 living units of which 2 will be served by 3 ft. access court, Lot 41, Block 37, City Heights, 4068 - 38th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Appealed Hearing 5-31-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ May 16 _____, 19_51

ORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXX Res. No. 5529

pplication Received <u>5-7-51</u> B	y E. South City Planning Department
avestigation made $5 - 16 - 51$ B	y allen Jone Lancaster Burt
ponsidered by Zoning Committee $5 - 16 - 51$ ecision Derviced opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5 - 17 - 51$ anning Commission $5 - 18 - 51$ Petitioner ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $5-16-51$ Building Inspector $5-18-51$ 5-17-51 Health Department $5-18-51$
ecision of Council esolution becomes effective	Date Continued to Date of action

...

and an available

sr.

A.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9354</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anthony F. Cucinotta to make interior alterations and repairs to existing residence having a 20 inch sideyard, amount of repairs to be limited to total value of \$250.00, on Lots 45 and 46, Block 211, University Heights, at 3984 Bancroft Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $5-8-$	51 By E. C. Van Hine City Planning Department
pvestigation made <u>5-16-5</u>	51 By allen Jones Lancaster Burton City Planning Department
Apping Commission 5-10-31	$\frac{5-16-51}{\text{Date } 5-16-51}$ Hearing date $\frac{5-16-51}{\text{Date } 5-16-51}$ Erk $\frac{5-17-51}{\text{Building Inspector } 5-18-51}$ Petitioner $5-17-51$ Health Department $5-18-51$ Council Hearing, date Define
ecision of Council	Date
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the second second

and the set of the set of the set

the state of the second s

and the constant and a for pressor of the second of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9348</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mitchell Glass and Paint Company to erect a wood, frame and flat metal covered fence 12 feet high at rear of property along railroad right of way on condition that fence be painted, on the Northeasterly 15 ft. of Lots 13 through 16, and all of Lots 33 through 36, Block 83, Mannasse and Schiller's Addition, 1827 Main Street, Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 1951

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16

By ______ Secretary Res. No. 5531

FORM 2145

application Received <u>5-8-51</u>	_ By _ D. E South City Planning Department
prestigation made $5 - 16 - 51$	_ By <u>Allen Jonen Lamanter Buston</u> City Planning Department
ecision approximate $5-16-$ ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-16-$	-51 Hearing date Date 5-16-51 7-51 Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date	oner S - (1-S) Health Department S - (K-S)
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

I TONY - ---

March

MAN A The

22 1 1 2 2 2 2

100 · · · · · ·

the second second second

4 F + F + F + F +

WARE THE AND I ANT CHAN

and the share to be

and the second of allows that a part when

the second second

and provide the second

the man and other month.

in the second in

a read the least a start of the period where a

أماحمدجا بداوان

well seed by the Person Consideration

and a state of the second of the second special state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9266</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John W. Anger to add bay to existing residence with a 3-foot setback, according to plans on file in the Planning Department Office, on Lot 1, Block 1, First Addition to South La Jolla, at 7025 Weptune Place, Zone R-1, provided the existing garage is altered and improved in a workmanlike manner.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 16 _____, 19_51

By_

Secretery

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

pplication Received <u>5-9-51</u> B	y <u>7. W. me Comell</u> City Planning Department
	y allen Jones Lanenter Burt
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u> 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

200 p

annagage taking to minim

12 Bay

1.4. A. A.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9347</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ed. B. Welch to enclose front and rear porches of residence having 18 inch. side yard, Lots 1 and 2, Block 12, Second Fortuna Park, 3991 Haines Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 16

By

____, 19__**51**

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. #5533

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received <u>5-9-51</u> By <u>E C Van Hino</u> City Planning Department	
prestigation made By allen Jones Langeter, L	Suston
onsidered by Zoning Committee $5-16-51$ Hearing date Date $5-16-51$ Date $5-16-51$ Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-17-51$ Building Inspector $5-18-51$ anning Commission $5-18-51$ Petitioner $5-17-51$ Health Department $5-18-5$ Opeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	1
Date	
Pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action	

op st

folk states and the

A the second second

a the state

.

a set a set high setting the

1 W Later

a sea a stand a state -

to the state

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

and a second anget

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>934</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maurice Weinberger to build 10 ft. by 12 ft. addition to duplex, having 3 units on the property, addition to have required side and rear yards, but portion of existing duplex having 3 ft. 4 in. sideyard, on South 80 feet of Lots 13 to 16, Block 236, University Heights, at 3631-33 Albert St., Zone R-2, on condition owner maintain 3 off-street parking spaces on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, Section 4a, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19<u>51</u>

By.

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145
Application Received $5-9-51$	By P.Q. Button City Planning Department
nvestigation made $5-16-51$	By <u>Allen Jonen Lancaster Busto</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>5-16-5</u> lecision <u>5-16-5</u> opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-17-</u> lanning Commission <u>5-18-51</u> Petitione oppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 5-16-51 <u>S</u> /Building Inspector <u>5-18-5</u> er 5-17-5, Health Department 5-18-51 Council Hearing, date
lecision of Council	Date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

- war and a long the second

1

inter a state

1

18 2

Pareng, P., Du

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9295</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to First Church of the Brethren to erect one-story classroom building in connection with existing church, with 10.8 ft. rear yard addition, Lots 24 through 27, Block 5, resub of Lots 7 to 17, Block N, Teralta, on Northwest corner of Polk and Swift Avenues, 4106 Swift Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer Strictfix Res. No. 5535

FORM 2145

A & I M
plication Received <u>5-7-5</u> By <u>D. E. South</u> City Planning Department
estigation made 5-16-51 By <u>Aller Jone Langater Busto</u>
sidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51 Hearing date
Date $5 - 16 - 51$ Date $5 - 16 - 51$ Dy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5 - 17 - 51$ Building Inspector $5 - 18 - 51$ nning Commission $5 - 18 - 51$ Petitioner $5 - 17 - 51$ Health Department $5 - 18 - 51$
by of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17-51 Building Inspector 5-18-51
nning Commission 5-18-51 Petitioner 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

and send to be build of the send of the send send to be the send of the send of the send of the send of the send The send of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9344</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clifford E. and Clara E. Strom to construct approximately 28 lineal feet of retaining wall, which will range in height from 25 feet to 8 feet along side lot line, Lot 305, Talmadge Park Unit No. 2, 4881 East Alder Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

_ , 19 **51**

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Engineer Mesnetres Res No. 5536

FORM 2145

Dated

May 16

k

pplication Received	By P. Q. Burtow City Planning Department
prestigation made5-16-51	By alle Jone Lance tr, Buston City Planning Department
Entra Entra	C / Hearing date
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>5-16-</u>	Date 5-16-51
recision appr.	7-5/ Building Inspector 5-18-51
Dry of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 5-16-51 7-51 Building Inspector 5-18-51 oner 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51 Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	oner 5-17-57 Heatin Department 5-11-51
open filed with City Clerk, date	Council riearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clerk, -	Date
ecision of Council	
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
ime limit extended to	

CHART &

1000

· G. When Sty

102.6.*

1.11 - -

6.0

0 12 m. + +

1.1

. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9343</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jess B. Poor, et al, owners, to construct a three minute auto wash building with no setback from Buell Street, on portion of Lots 1 through 6, Block 1, Bayview Tract, as per legal description on file in City Planning Office. Resecrans Street 125 feet South of Midway Drive, Zone R-4. and portion of Pueblo Lot 238.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	May 16	9	19-51
	2 LOUGH ALL CO		

Zoning Engineer XXXXXXX Res. No. 5537

pplication Received	_ By <u>E. C. Van Aline</u> City Planning Department
	_ By alle Jone Lancater Burton City Planfing Department
unsidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-	<u>S /</u> Hearing date
vecision approximate Committee Line	Date 5-16-51
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17	-37 Building Inspector <u>S-18-51</u>
anning Commission 5-18-57 Felilio	ner 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
ecision of Council	Dail
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

Coccurrie, Juint

Mill and the second

1. 27

the second se

and for the state of the state of the

THE ATT STORE THE AND

The second second second second

.

The second s

2

201 py record count over provident for

and a second second

22 North Martin

RESOLUTION NO. 5538

Communication, dated May 7, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of two years to Resolution No. 4417 be granted to Harriet Ford and Margaret Ruhaak to continue to give piano lessons to dildren, Lots 32 and 33. Block 6, Ironton Addition, 930 Rosecrans Street, Zone R-1.

This variance to expire on June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No.5538

Application Received	By <u>M. Laechel</u> City Planning Department
avestigation made5-16-51	By <u>Alle Jones Lancator</u> , Burt
- :400 5-16-5	7 / Hearing date
onsidered by Zoning Committee _5-16-5	Date 5-16-51
recision apper. a 15-17-	57 Building Inspector 5-18-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	57 Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u> r 5-17-51 Health Department <u>5-18-51</u> Council Hearing, date
lanning Commission	Council Hearing, date
Inning Commission 5-18-51 Petitione	Date
lecision of Council	
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
ime limit extended to	

• . . .

in the sector info

· · · · · ·

"I would be add i could the set fully . " At al

a the second second second second second second second

the state 1 1 and 194 for a property

a second second of

- 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9351</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mazel and Winifred V. McFarlin to alter existing garage into living quarters with 4-foot rearyard, on North 40 feet of Lots 6 to 10, Block 5. Reed's Central Addition, at 119 North 29th Street, "C" Zone, on the fellowing conditions:

- 1. That the entire building is stuccoed and according to plans submitted;
- 2. That no portion of the building is closer than 3 feet to the rear lot line:
- 3. That surfaced off-street parking spaces for 3 cars be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Nay 16 ____ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Inginser

pplication Received5-10-5	1 By E. C. Ven Nine City Planning Department
	By allen Jone Langeter Bur City Planning Department
anning Commission 5-18-51 P	$\begin{array}{c} -16-5 \\ & \text{Hearing date} \\ & \text{Date } 5-16-5 \\ \hline 5-17-5 \\ \text{Building Inspector} \\ \hline 5-18-5 \\ \hline \\ \text{etitioner} \\ \hline 5-17-5 \\ & \text{Health Department } 5-18-5 \\ \hline \\ & \text{Council Hearing, date} \\ & \text{Date} \\ \end{array}$
esolution becomes effective pplication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the distribution of the second second

i en unan au excense aug grant arbantan (CE 990) 10 p. 10 p.

WINE SHAT SUT

\$ 5'3 PT 1. 2

.

+ FAT + A BEAD A AND AN AND AN THE A

Ways & To .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9360</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward P. Silva to build a playroom addition to an existing residence which has a 3-foot sideyard and no sideyard for the garage, the addition to have no sideyard and 4-foot rearyard, on the Northerly half of Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, Block 8, Roseville Heights, at the Southwest corner of Trumbull and Bangor Streets, in Zone R-1, on condition that no plumbing be installed in the playroom and subject to Agreement No. 689 filed in the City Clerk's Office October 26, 1950.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

By_

TSOCKALLY

Zoning Engineer

upplication Received By	<u>E. C. Van Mise</u> City Planning Department
westigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By	Allen Jones Lancaster Burto
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
pplication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

in des 18 la in

,

1

2.5

· · · · ·

 Γ

· · · · · · ·

NT TO THE

They be the top the

s and a property and

20 219 10 2 64

115-1

Diana Paneno di Unerano Diana Diana 2010 - 200

. . . .

.

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9367</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. G. Merrill to build a 14 ft. by 22 ft. garage with no setback, Lot 267, Talmadge Park No. 2, 4304 Aldine Drive, Zone H-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1030 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19_51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5541

Application Received 5-11-51 By P. Q. Buton City Planning Department	
avestigation made 5-16-51 By Alle Jones Lance T	-Burt
City Planning Department	-
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51 Hearing date	
Date 5-16-51	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17-51 Building Inspector 5-18-51	
Date $5 - 16 - 51$ Decision Date $5 - 16 - 51$ Decision Date $5 - 16 - 51$ Depy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5 - 17 - 51$ Building Inspector $5 - 18 - 51$ lanning Commission $5 - 18 - 51$ Petitioner $5 - 17 - 51$ Health Department $5 - 18$	-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Date Date	
resolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn Continued to	
me limit extended to Date of action	

spectral subtraction production of the states

No state and the second state of the second st

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9368</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. G. Merrill to build a 14 ft. by 22 ft. garage with no sideyard, on Lot 267, Tamladge Park No. 2, 4304 Aldine Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19_51

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>5-11-51</u> By	P. Q. Bustow City Planning Department
avestigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By	<u>Allen Jones Lanco ter Buston</u> City Planning Department
Colsion along	Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

a we want the second of the second second and the second s

Contractive on the second material waterial with solid so

and the second second second second

AL-OPASETYL.

and the second

Seven in the

Testania.

the second second

see 102571 following RESOLUTION NO. 5543

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9359 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John O. Knight, owner, and H. Bruce Weston, purchaser, to divide Lot 1, Block 4, F. T. Scripps Addition, into 2 approximately equal parcels, and erect two living units on each parcel, on Southeast corner of Genter Street and La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-2, on the following conditions:

- 1. That they grant an easement for widening of La Jolla Blvd. according to plans of the City Engineer;
- That they observe a 10-foot setback from existing East line of La 2. Jolla Blvd. and the regular City Ordinance setback on Genter St.

APPEAL SUNETS A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245, New Series, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 16 , 19 51 Dated___

FORM 2145

By_

Seurerary Zoning Ingineer

1.2.7. 1			
Application Received	5-10-51	Bv	D. E. South City Planning Department
	None (Free concerns of the con		City Planning Department
			allen Jone Lancesty Buston City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning	Committee 5-16	-51 H	Hearing date Date $5-16-51$ Building Inspector $5-18-51$
Decision appr-	Condil.	D	Date 5-16-51
opy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 5-1	7-51 B	Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
lanning Commission	5-18-51 Petiti	oner 5	-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
Appeal filed with City (Tlerk date	C	Council Hearing, date
Sion of Council		D	Date
ution becomes eff	ective		
Pplication withdrawn		A LIAND DO NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	Continued to
ime limit extended to	No. of Concession, Name	E	Date of action

(eq., _____)

wat how to be added

THE REPORT AND A REPORT OF A R

100 0

110

120

the second of the second second

Service and a

astron the former of

UT A THE A

and the stand of the second of

and a specific product

A REAL PROPERTY AND LAND

the attended the state and the states and

and the second of second of the second of the second

the state of the state of the state of the

" de cryute

II. TA . CLASS

RESOLUTION NO. 102571 See 5543

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of H. Bruce Weston, 603 Genter Street, Le Jolla, California, from the condition imposed by the Zoning Committee for the granting of an easement for widening of La Jolla Boulevard according to plans of the City Engineer in its Resolution No. 5543, be and it is hereby sustained. Said Resolution granted variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245 New Series, Section 4A to permit John O. Knight, owner, and H. Bruce Weston, purchaser, to divide Lot 1, Block 4, F. T. Scripps Addition into two approximately equal parcels and erect two living units on each parcel, on the southeast corner of Genter Street and La Jolla Boulevard, in Zone R-2. A 10foot setback shell be mainteined from the existing east line of La Jolla Boulevard. Said Boning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied in respect to the condition for an easement.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No......102571

	FRED 1	Non	SICK	
				Clerk.
By	HELEN	м.	WILLIG	
,				Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9365</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John O. Knight, owner, and Russell F. and Frances D. Kimball, purchasers, to divide Lot S. Block 4, F. T. Scripps Addition, into two parcels, each 70 ft. by 100 ft., and erect two living units on each parcel, on Northeast corner of La Jolla Blvd. and Arenas St., Zone R-2, on the following conditions:

- 1. That they grant an easement for widening of La Jella Blvd. according to plans of the City Engineer:
- 2. That they observe a 10-foot setback from existing East line of La Jolia Boulevard, and the regular City Ordinance setback on Arenas Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245, New Series, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Trust deid en SE 70' 6-12-51 puflet on SE 70. deed a ant NW 70'-11-28-52 2 living units a. an above legal derror OS 10-29-54

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 16 _____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

tion becomes effectiveContinued to Date of action	i med with City	Clerk date	Date $5-16-51$ Building Inspector $5-18-51$ 5-17-51 Health Department $5-18-51Council Hearing, date$
Date of action	ion of Council	and the second design of the	_ Date
Limit extended to Date of when or			
121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121			Date of action
121 121 121 121 121 122 123 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124	the Town is a set	alar B. a	
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		and the second process of the second
ESE FILE F			
ESE FILE F			and the second
			the same and the state of the second state of
Solution of the second se	marked in the same of		a preside a second s
in for a first fo	No in the	The stand of the state	atmanya was anone approvide the lat. Cooperin
			Chi an align the stry at the faither at .
	and the second		AT MY AND BORNER THE LOUD OF THE READER AND AT AT A
E.16	the second second		
	Terra .	jaho ka kata shi y	
	Cherry .		
			and and an and an
		· · · ·	
		- 4	

Notest States of

art filte

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Russell F. Kinball and Frances D. Kimball, 540 Agate Street, from the desision of the Zoning Committee in requiring under its Resolution No. 5544 their application No. 9366, the granting of an essement for widening of La Jolla Soulevard according to plans of the City Engineer, be, and it is hereby sustained. Said Resolution granted variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 245 New Series Section 4a, to permit John C. Knight, owner, and Russell F. Kimball and Frances D. Kimball, purchasers, permission to divide Lot S Block 4 F. T. Scripps Addition into two percels, each 70 ft. by 100 ft. and creat two living units on each parcel, on the northeast corner of La Jolla Foulevard and Arenas Street, in Zone R-2. A 10-foot setback shall be maintained from the existing cast line of La Jolla Eculeverd. Said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied in respect to the condition for an easement.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No....102747

City Clerk.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9340</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. O. Colt to enclose two porches and add 39 sq. ft. to and enclose front porch, existing residence having 1 ft. 6 in. sideyard, (2 residences existing on the property) on North 10 feet of Lot 11, all of Lots 13, 14, and 15, and Lot 16 (except North 12 feet of East 87 feet) Block 10, First Addition to South La Jolla, at 7221 Olivetas Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 16 _____, 19 51

[▶]ORM 2145

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5545

pplication Received <u>5-11-51</u> By	P. Gl. Burton City Planning Department
westigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By	allen Jonen Lanenter Bust
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51	Hearing date
ecision appr. Ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-17-51</u>	Dale 3 76-57 Building Inspector 5 18-51
unning Commission Cally Clerk <u>Safet</u>	5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
ecision of Council	
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

- and all - discrete all - I JUM - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

121, p

1 - 18-6-8

0.0

1. 1. 1.

2702 1-

 $\frac{1}{2}$

. J

WHEREAS, Application No. 9288 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Christina M. Bryan to divide East 79 feet of Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, Block 6, Frary Heights, into 2 parcels, with permission to build a one-story duplex on the Westerly parcel of the herein described property, at 3114 Redwood Street, Zone R-2, on condition that the average setback in the block be observed on Redwood Street, and that 1 surfaced off-street parking space be constructed and maintained on the property, for each living unit.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ FORM 2145 _ , 19____

Secretary

Dication Received <u>5-11-51</u> By E. C. Van Three City Planning Department
estigation made By <u>Allen Jones Laneatre Burton</u>
isidered by Zoning Committee <u>5-16-51</u> Hearing date ision approx Condit. Date 5-16-51 by of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-17-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
ision appr. Conde. Date 5-16-51
by of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17-51 Building Inspector 5-18-51
nning Commission 5-18-51 Petitioner 5-(7-5) Health Department 5-18-51
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Date
olution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

4

and details in

11-1

- 5.1 (2) (2) (3)

and he

A set of the set of th

the second and the second

a anglanda

et et z v bur.

9375 WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Zenos to construct 16-unit apartments with 9 ft. access court in two places only where stairs occur, Lot 4, Block 52, La Jolla Park, on F rospect Street (1200 block), Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal 's filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 16 , 19_ Dated_

51

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5547

pplication Received <u>5-11-51</u> By <u>Glenn A. Rick</u> City Planning Department
Ivestigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By <u>Aller Jones Lancaster Bust</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51 Hearing date
Date 5-16-51 Depy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-17-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
upy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17-51 Building Inspector 5-18-51
anning Commission 5-18-51 Petitioner 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
recision of Council Date
lesolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

a ser a constra marca and a constra de la constra de la

a state to the second

where we have to be

tions in the product

ي وجد محمد م 2

- Alter Millere To Have

10

<u>,</u> . .

(

OLCHT, U

No. 12 1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9370</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth R. Melie to erect four living units with 8 ft. 52 inch access court, Lot 27, Block E, Las Lemas, on the Northwest side of Ghatsworth Blvd. between Zola and Voltaire Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

By_

and the second second	4				
pplication Received	5-11-5,	By	P.Q. City J	Butow Planning Department	
avestigation made	5-16-51	Ву	allen Jon City I	Planning Department	- Burton
onsidered by Zoning (Committee 5-1	16-51	learing date		and the second second
recision appr.]	Date 5-16-	51	
opy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 5	-17-51	Building Inspector	5-18-51	
lanning Commission	5-18-51 Peti	tioner 5	-17-51 Heal	th Department 5-18.	-51
ppeal filed with City C	lerk, date		Council Hearing, o	late	
recision of Council		and the second sec	Date		a strength and a stre
esolution becomes effe	ctive				
pplication withdrawn			Continued to		
ime limit extended to			Date of action		

and the spectrum and the

and have not made the

and the second second second second

S I had she to program a do

And the state of t

4-12-14-14-14

S top igen a

Vien in

 $h_{i}(X_{i},\tau_{i})$

A PARTY AND A PART

warmen of the first of the

which the party in get a

station of the second second second second

ale made and the second

A Company

manufactor of external

en an

A.C.

The way with a second second beaution of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9280</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. V. and Audrey A. Bernardini, owners, and J. C. Coker, lessor, to conduct a contractor's storage yard and warehouse, temporary, for a period of one year, on portion of Lot 26, East Redlands, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 5837 El Cajon Blvd., in "C" Zone, on the following conditions:

That the warehouse under construction is stucceed or painted on 3 sides;
That this warehouse building be entirely removed from the property within one year from the effective date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19_51

FORM 2145

Ву____

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Das put in put the Sugar 19 Application Received 5-11-51 By P.Q. Burton City Planning Department avestigation made ______ 5-16-51 By <u>Allen Jone Lance</u> City Planning Department Burto Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-16-51</u> Hearing date Decision <u>approfile</u> <u>5-16-51</u> Date <u>5-16-51</u> Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-17-51</u> Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u> Nanning Commission <u>5-18-51</u> Petitioner <u>5-17-51</u> Health Department <u>5-18-55</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date _____ Decision of Council lesolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action fime limit extended to 12 4 V 1 11 11 ESTELLE

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9363 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frederick W. and Dorothy F. Grund to conduct plumbing office and sales display room with space in rear of office for storage of small fittings, on Northerly 70 feet of Southerly 120 feet of Lot 7. Block 14, La Jolla Park, at 7520 La Jolla Blvd., in Zone R-C, provided there is no other plumbing storage, open or otherwise, on the property described in this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 5a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

March March			
ation Received <u>5</u>	11-51 By 6	City Planning Depa	timent
tigation made $5-6$	16-51 By <u>al</u>	City Planning Depa	reaction Dre
lered by Zoning Comm	nittee 5-16-51 Hearin	g date	
ion appr- Co	ty Clerk <u>5-17-5</u> Buildir	5-16-51	
of Resolution sent to Ci	ty Clerk <u>5-17-5</u> Buildir	Inspector <u>5-18-3</u>	mt STREEL
al filed with City Clerk	ate Counci	1 Hearing, date	
sion of Council	Date		
lution becomes effective		ued to	
e limit extended to	and the second se	f action	
	×		
יון מדוא אוא שאש יי גוון			
C.T. Net STEET THE TTOTT		- 100 A - 10- 2 - 8"	n di tan istindi
· · ··································	The states and a	the log of the and parts in	· · · · · · · ·
		4 A.B.	
And address of the second of t		and the second second second	
MAR MY ST AM DOLD IN LUI DI	state and the state of the stat	the second of gran	the force up have
RO. RETACH HA MA TO TES	a set a s	The second	and the second sec
We warmen on an ats :		The state of the s	Prop 14 Fare T
AB BERBAS DN TO II S		The second secon	retup to return to
AG REAGE DA TO IS 3		The second se	the bound by the second
Wermen of CO Its 3		and a set of a	tinge i dorger bie Kulge i dorger bie Filge i dorger bie
AB MERBER DA COIIS S		and a set of a	tinge i stor sign
HO REIGO DI CO II C		and a set of a	ing k do su k æ Σign stret z α
AG REFRACIN TO IL'S		and a set of an array	rige a prot p μ Lige a prot p μ
, Werman on to it, a		and a set of an array	Light i traic 1 Light i troi traic
HO MERMON DN TO IL 3			tin bi dorger bie Zuign a 2003 r bie Zuign a 2003 r bie
FO RELADE DA CO IES 2		and a set of an array	Ligge a pros p a
AG MERMON DN TO IS 3			i i bi un i i i i i i Zuign i i i i i i i Zuign i i i i i i i i
AG MERMAN DV CU ILI 3			Liga - Stor 2 a
FO RELADO DA CO ILS 3			i do i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
FO RELADE DA CO IES 2			Liga Pros 2 a
RO RELADE DA CO ISI S			
FO RELADE DA CO IES S			i do sera ba
RO RELAGE DA CO IS 3			
RO RELAGE DA CO IS 3			i do realizadore do secondo de se Secondo de secondo de se Secondo de secondo de s
FO RELADE DA CO IES S			
FO RELADE DA CO IES 2			
RO RELAGE DA CO ILS 3			
RO RELAGE DA CO ILS S			
RO RELAGE DA CO ISI S			
RO RELAGE DA CO IS 3			

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9351</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas and Fidelia Hanna to build a single family residence on parcel of land 100 ft. by 140 ft. split out after zoning; with access to street by 20 ft. easement, on portion of Pueblo Lot 177, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, being at the South end of San Antonio Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, Section 4 of Ord. No. 8924, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nay 16 , 19 51

By_

Sectorary Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5551
Application Received <u>5-11-5</u>	By P.Q. Butan City Planning Department
$prestigation made _ 5-16-51$	By <u>Allen Jone Lanco ter Kunto</u>
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-	-16-51 Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $5 - 16 - 51$ 5 - 17 - 51 Building Inspector $5 - 18 - 51etitioner 5 - 17 - 51 Health Department 5 - 18 - 51$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	5-17-51 Building Inspector 5-18-51
Manning Commission 5-18-51 Pe	etitioner 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

.

and a state providence and a state of the second state of the seco

The state of the second second

Chel Strand in

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9352</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas and Fidelia Hanna to build a garage with 17 ft. setback from center line of private easement, being on a portion of Pueblo Lot 177 as per legal description on file in City Planning Office, South end of San Antionic Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_____ Zoning Engineer

1	244		
Application Received	5-11-51	By P. C	Buton
opplication Received		_ Dy	City Planning Department
avection tion and 5	5-16-51	By all	On hours to Bu the
avestigation made5	-16-0	. By <u></u>	James Lancaster Burton
onsidered by Zoning Co	ommittee <u>5-16-</u>	51 Hearing date	e
Decision apper		Date 5-1	6-51
opy of Resolution sent to	o City Clerk <u>5-17</u>	<u>-5</u> /Building Ins	pector <u>S-18-Si</u>
Appeal filed with City Cle	-18-51 Petition	er 3-17-57	Health Department 5-18-51
Decision of Council	IK, Uate	Date	ing, dute
Resolution becomes effect			
Application withdrawn		Continued to	
fime limit extended to		Date of actio)n
		- St. A. Y. S. a.	
a share the share the share	and the second states		
the strate to select the	A Private and American	A STALL ROOM	Charles and the state of the second state
A President of the President		terrande de	
Electron and a second second			and and a support
Sound Standy out a stand of the set of the	AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND	and the second statement	and the standing of the bar will
and the second		a	and a state of the state of the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9309</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Anthony and Josephine Calabese to construct a storage room and lounge room, adjoining a living unit on second floor above restaurant, with no sideyard, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 288, Middletown, 1533 Pacific Highway, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Not. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	Dated	May	16	_ , 19_51
--	-------	-----	----	-----------

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 5553

School, The Local	
ation Received $5 - 10 - 5$	51 By P.Q. Button City Planning Department
$gation made \underline{5-16-51}$	By alle Jone Lancaster, Be
lered by Zoning Committee S	-16-5 / Hearing date
on Denied	Date $5 - 16 - 51$ <u>$5 - 17 - 51$</u> Building Inspector <u>$5 - 18 - 51$</u>
ing Commission 5-18-51	Petitioner 5-17-51 Health Department 5-18-51
on of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
ition becomes effective	
ation withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
The second se	
	the second s
AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	
THE LAND THE TALL STREET, S	a sea and a
	and the second of the second o
and the second of the second second	and the second s

RESOLUTION NO. 102587 Rel 5553

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Anthony Calabrese, 1533 Pacific Highway from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5553, application No. 9309, permission to construct a storage room and lounge room adjoining a living unit on the second floor above a restaurant, with no sideward, on Lots 3 and 4 Block 288, Middletown, at 1533 Pacific Highway in Zone C, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

RESOLUTION NO. 5554 see 102586

letter dated May 15, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9198 and</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anthony and Josephine Calabrese to construct an addition to existing cafe and bar, to be maintained with a 5-foot setback, on Lot 3, Block 285, Middletown, at 1533 Pacific Highway in "C" Zone, and to permit a flower box to project 27 inches into the setback area and top projection of a pylon to project 32 inches into the setback area, on the following conditions:

That any construction beyond the setback line to be removed at owner's expense and at no cost to the City, if and when the City demands it, for future widening of Pacific Highway;
That agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By___

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

Dated _____

May 16 , 19_51

ter need. 5-15-15	
tion Received	1 By P. Q Button City Planning Department
5-2-51	- all o y - B
gation made $5 - 16.5$	5-2-51
ered by Zoning Committee _5	
on appr- conde.	S-17-SI Building Inspector 5-18-SI
ing Commission 5-18-51	Petitioner S = / = 3/ Health Department S = 1
I filed with City Clerk, date	Date
ution becomes effective	Continued to
limit extended to	Date of action
Carl And State	
	Production of the product of the second seco
an i the later of	
an i the later of	
an i the later of	
	At a state of the
	<pre>A to go to to</pre>
an i the later of	At a state of the
	At a state of the
	At a state of the
	At a state of the
an i the later of	At a state of the
an i the later of	At a state of the
	At a state of the
	At a state of the
	At a state of the
	At a state of the

RESOLUTION NO. 102586 see 5554

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Anthony Calabrese, 1533 Pacific Highway from the decision of the Loning Committee in requiring in its Resolution No. 5554 the signing and recording of an agreement to remove at the owner's expanse any construction beyond the setback line, in connection with variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401 (New Series), which said Resolution permitted Anthony Calabrese and Josephine Calabrese to construct an addition to the existing cafe and bar, and a flower box to project 27 inches into the setback area and a top projection of a pylon to project 32 inches into said setback area, be, and it is hereby denied and said Zoning Committee's action to require the signing and recording of such an agreement, be and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, to	o be a full, true, and correct copy of Re		102586
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by s			51
		FRED W. SIC	K
	•••••		City Clerk.
	By	HELEN M. WI	LLIG
ACONH FORM 1270	-,	• •	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 5555 see 5486

Letter dated May 12, 1951

WHEREAS, Application Nonof the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5486 be [AMENDED to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gimber Evans, owner, and O. A. Haydon, purchaser, to erect four living units on this combined parcel, being Lots C and D, Block 9, Mission Beach, on the Southeasterly corner of Asbury Court and Strandway(with 10 ft. rear yard) Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243 New Series, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated May 16 , 19_51

By___

ORM 2145

Lingt and the most of the second state of the

8

estatistical and

EXERTISED WITH THE THE PARTY

to the second second

Party Managers B. Annual States and an and tollegt an in the second

propriette start - surer -

and the second s

M. Million and

- much and applicing a surger and the state of the

a say on a second of the boling is a fact that

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9136</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack N. Seedorf, Sr. and Jr., to divide portions of Lots 33 and 34, Lemon Villa, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, into 5 parcels according to tentative map approved by the City Planning Commission and City Council, and to construct single family residence on each, on South side of Ogden Street at Shiloh Street, Zone R-1.

This resolution to be effective after a Record of Survey Map, as approved by the City Council, is filed in the County Recorder's Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

51

, 19

SACENTER

FORM 2145

Dated.

May 16

pplication Received	By <u>E. C. Van Huse</u> City Planning Department
westigation made $\frac{3-21-51}{4-4-51}$ onsidered by Zoning Committee $\frac{3-21-51}{5-16-51}$ ecision appr. Condl. $5-16-51$	By <u>Allen Jone Loncaster Busto</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-4-51	Hearing date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-	S Building Inspector <u>3 - 78 - 5 7</u>
	r S-18-5' Health Department S-18-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
lesolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

F1* ... *.

Marine and

Many Darrer or Income

Some represent

1 C 1

11.27

. .

Letter dated April 24, 1951

WHEREAS, Application:Not ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5249 dated January 18, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack N. Seedorf, Sr. and Jr., to divide portion of Lot 34 into 4 building sites, as shown on sketch on file in the Planning Department Office with the exception that the Easterly lot is to be 75 feet rather than 100 feet, and to build one single family residence on each, on Portion of Lot 34, Lemon Villa, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Ogden Street near Shiloh Street, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 154, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{evoked} automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 16 , 19 51

By_

Socretery.

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-24-51</u> By	M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-16-51</u> By	Allen Jone Lance ter Buton
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-16-51	Hearing date
Decision appr-	Date $S-16-S'$ Building Inspector $S-18-5'$ S-18-5' Health Department $S-18-5'$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18-51	Building Inspector <u>5-18-51</u>
Planning Commission 5-18-51 Petitioner	5-18-51 Health Department 5-18-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

19 21 27 40

Ξ.

20.53

17 5 1

pro Y = 1 + 6

ATTAC JEANS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9342</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nancy Mae Gould to divide 4 lots into 2 parcels and to build one single family residence on Westerly 50 feet and 4 living units on Easterly 90 feet with garage for each unit, on Lots 1 through 4, Block 128, City Heights, on Southeast corner of 42nd and Thorn Streets, in Zone R-2, provided 10-foot setback observed on Thorn Street according to plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

1 . 1	10.00	37.1	-		44
1177	19.1	100	4	-75	1

		C CALLAR OU	u Tulina	J. *
			and the second second	
KING / I	1			
Application Received	7-51 By_	E. C.	Van Nice	
Investigation made $5-16$	-51 By (allen, J.	City Planning Department	Lundy Lands Bur
Considered by Zoning Commit	tee 5-31-51 H	learing date	5-31-51	
Decision appr- Cond	'e D	Date 5-31	-51	
copy of Resolution sent to City	Clerk 6-1-5/ B	Building Ins	pector 6-4-51	
Planning Commission 6-4-5	7/ Petitioner 6-	-1-51	Health Department 6-	4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, da				
Decision of Council		Date		
Resolution becomes effective		-		
Application withdrawn		Continued to		
Time limit extended to	Ι	Date of actio	on	

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9331</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph G. and Marguerite Monsees to construct a one-story duplex in front and a duplex over 4-car garage at the rear of the property on the East side of Villa Terrace 100 feet South of Dwight Street, being Lots 5 and 6, Block 70, Park Villas, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > SECTOREX

Dated May 31 , 19 51

By_

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

pplication Received <u>5-9-51</u>	By <u>7. W. Mc Concell</u> City Planning Department
5-16-51	
westigation made $5-31-51$	By <u>allen Jones Lange to Lundy</u> Lan City Planning Department
5-16-5	City Planning Department / 2 Pur
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51	Hearing date <u>5-31-5 1</u>
recision appr.	Date 5-31-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-5	L Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Manning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner	6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

ai le

1.25

19 Over the state of the state of the

P Barris and South

Lind I to an a

1.24 - 1.

,

1711. mi Tilorn

.6 * "Bara

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9411</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sherman G. Schultz to divide Lot 6, Block 3, Cullens Westland Terrace, into 4 parcels, as shown on sketch submitted, with permission to build duplex on the Northerly 75 feet of Lot 6 and a single family dwelling on each of the 3 remaining parcels, property located on the Northeast corner of Commonwealth and Ivy Streets, Zone R-2, provided a licensed surveyor's map is submitted showing each of the 4 parcels prior to commencement of the Construction.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Res. #5560

Dated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By.

Sucretary

	5-23-57 By E. C. Van Nice City Planning Department
vestigation made	5-31-51 By allen Lundy Landt & Buston City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning	Committee 5-31-5 / Hearing date
ecision appr-	Cond'l. Date 5-31-51 nt to City Clerk <u>6-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
opy of Resolution set	at to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
lanning Commission	6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
ppeal filed with City	Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes ef	fective
pplication withdraws	
ime limit extended to	

a second a second and a second as

• ; • ₀

and the second second

S. S. P.

and Building and and a second a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9337</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mot</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kenneth R. and Betty Oliver and Kenzie K. and Annie Baird to divide Lots 25 to 27, inclusive, Block 6, Pt. Loma Heights, into two building sites, and permit the construction of a single family residence on the Southerly parcel, and to maintain existing residence and construct garage on the Northerly parcel, property located at 3525 Quimby Street. Zone R-1, on condition that each parcel consisting of a lot and one-half, be retained in a single ownership and not sold separately, and that owners sign agreements to this effect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. $BAIRD \quad AGG. 729 \quad OR$ $OLIVER \quad AGG \quad 730 \quad or.$

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the [§]ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^{is} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Application Received	-14-51	By <u>ξ.</u> <u></u>	. Van Ness City Planning Department	
Investigation made5	-31-51	By allen	Lundy Landt & City Planning Department	Bur
Considered by Zoning Com	mittee 5-31	-51 Hearing dat	e	
Decision appr _ C Copy of Resolution sent to	andil.	Date 5-3	31-51	
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk 6-1	-51 Building Ins	spector $6 - 4 - 51$	_
Planning Commission 6-	4-51 Petiti	oner 6-1-51	Health Department 6-4-5	1
Appeal filed with City Clerk				
Decision of Council		Date		
Resolution becomes effectiv	e			
Application withdrawn		Continued to	0	
Time limit extended to		Date of action		

1.20

The rest was another the second product and

a to design the present of the state of the

Patient at 11

2.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9291</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Elmer F. Ohm to operate an insurance office with a Neon sign approximately 12" x 36", located inside of a large window, on West 40 ft. of Lots 25 through 27, and South 20 ft. of the West 40 ft. of Lot 28, Block 64, University Heights, 3030 Meade Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-16-51</u> By <u>E.C. Van Nice</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By <u>Allen Lundy Landt & Burl</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-51</u> Hearing date Decision Decision Decision City Clerk <u>6-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Decision Denied Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

•

1

The part of the second

1 m . .

10.15.

the second second

i and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9374</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin W. Light to build a garage with no setback on Bayside Lane, Lot A, Block 130, Mission Beach, SEly corner of Liverpool Court and Bayside Lane, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By____

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer

Res. No. 5563

Te

FORM 2145

Application Received By	P.Q. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By <u>G</u>	Ellen Lundy, Landt & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 He	aring date
Decision $apprice Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Dat$	te 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Bu	ilding Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-	1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co.	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

and the second second second and from

L. ...

The se

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9373</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin W. Light to build a garage with no side yard on Lot A, Block 130, Mission Beach, Bayside Lane and Liverpool Court, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars state above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

application Received <u>5-16-51</u> By	Y City Planning Department
nvestigation made $5-31-51$ By	y allen Lunley Langt + Burton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51	Hearing date
recision appr	Hearing date Date $5-31-51$ Building Inspector $6-4-51$ 3-1-51 Health Department $6-4-51$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51	Building Inspector 6-4-51
lanning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6	G-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

Free Parts of the second

10 . S . . .

the second weath contraction and

terta de la compañía de la compañía

RESOLUTION NO.

Letter dated May 16, 1951

WHEREAS, Approximation in the second second

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Resolution No. 5171 dated December 13, 1950, is hereby amended as to Item 7 only, to read as follows:

7. That the areas shown on sketch submitted as auto parking in both E-4 and C zone shall be used for such purpose only and not to be used for the construction of any building or structure which would prevent the land from being used for the parking of automobiles.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3525, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described in the above-stated Resolution.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By

STREPTOEVY

and the second	
Application Received <u>5-17-51</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By	allen Lundy Landt + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-51</u>	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51	Building Inspector <u>6 - 4 - 5 /</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner	6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Seal Sections

•

and distances with action we descent

٠

....

The Inter of

person wigh

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9383</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is <u>hereby DENIED</u> to Bernard and Vera Kuhn to construct a 12 ft. by 12 ft. addition with a 4 ft. sideyard to a storage building converted to a bedroom, with 18 inch sideyard, Lot 5. Block A. Kendricks Addition, 1639 Chalcedony St.. Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31

, 19<u>5</u>1

FORM 2145

37

Application Received <u>5-17-51</u> B	y <u>E.C. Van Ause</u> City Planning Department
	y <u>Allen, Lunly Landt + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51	Hearing date
Decision Dervied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-51</u>	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51	Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 6. 4-51 Petitioner	6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

28 8

6 6 ...

Not the second of

a har a sec

· ·

.

House II

- ---- pro-

WITH THE

the state of the state of the

Charles and the

1 per a company

Letter dated 5-17-51

WHEREAS, Application No. __ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of one year from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4705, which extended Resolution No. 4165, be granted to Robert C. Panner to conduct a Commercial Dog Racing Kennel, with maximum of 15 dogs and one litter of pups on the premises at any one time, and with no board of dogs, on Lot 1, Block 9. Sunny Slope Addition, 1027 Evelyn Street, Zone R-2. This permit to expire on June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

_ , 19_<u>51</u> Dated May 31

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

pplication Received 5-18-51 By Received By City Planning Department
vestigation made 5-31-51 By allen Lunly Landt & Buto
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-51</u> Hearing date
Date 3 = 37 = 37
Date $5-31-51$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>$6-1-51$</u> Building Inspector <u>$6-4-51$</u> anning Commission $6-4-51$ Petitioner $6-1-51$ Health Department $6-4-51$
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

.

Track is the second second

the star that stress the little second and

and a series of the second of the

and and area

1.67 1.1 ... ·

IT ADDED AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND A THE ALL AND A A

.

.

and thang way and a f

Letter dated May 15, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of three years from April 2, 1951 be granted to Mildred Evans. Lessor, and Los Angeles Chemical Co., Lessee, on Resolution No. 3416, which extended Resolution Nol 880, to operate a sales office and chemical warehouse for the storage of packaged chemicals at 3709 Imperial Ave. on Lots 1. 2 and 3 Block 1, Cunningham's Addition, provided the provisions stated in letter on file in Planning Office be complied with. Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

May 31 FORM 2145

Dated_
Application Received <u>5-18-51</u>	By Koechel City Planning Department
provestigation made $5-31-51$	By <u>Allen Lunky Landt, & Bust</u> City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-3	1-5 Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	-1-51 Building Inspector (-4-51
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Peti	Date $5 \cdot 31 - 51$ -1 - 51 Building Inspector $(-4 - 51)tioner 6 - (-51) Health Department 6 - 4 - 51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

101 × 2.

,

.

Letter dated May 15, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of two years from the expiration date of Resolution No.4716 be granted to Mary A. Headington to operate a beauty parlor, full-time, on the North 30 ft. of the South 99.01 ft. of the South 1/2 of Block 2265, University Heights, 3809 Richmond Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer

Application Received	By M. Loechel City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-31-51$	By <u>Allen Lundy Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3	1-5/ Hearing date
Decision appar.	Date $5-31-51$ -1-51 Building Inspector <u>$6-4-51$</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-	<u>-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Peti	tioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second and an entropy of the second second

.

,

P. 4. 14

RESOLUTION NO.

5570

letter dated May 16, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

not

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materials affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

not

 That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a FINAL extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5191, which extended Resolution No. 5118 amending Resolution No. 4857, which extended Resolution No. 4416, be granted to Jack and Kay Searles to construct four living units on Lots 1 and 2, Block A, South La Jolla, at corner of Nautilus St. and Neptune Place, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

51

, 19

Dated_

FORM 2145

May 31

By Zoning Engineer Secretary Res. No. 5570

Application Received $5-21-51$	By M Lachel City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-31-51$	By <u>Allen, Kundy Lander Burl</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-	31-51 Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $5-3/-5/$ - (-5) Building Inspector $6.4-5/$ itioner $(-1-5)$ Health Department $6-4-5/$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6	-1-51 Building Inspector 6.4-51
Planning Commission 6 - 4-51 Peti	itioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 3 3 4 x 3

t

.

and and an and an and a set of the set of th

CALLER AND AND AND AND

4. 12 4. 11 - 1. 1.

21.14

.

*

+

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of two years from the expiration date of Resolution No. 3919. which extended Resolution No. 2289, which extended Resolution No. 1004, be granted to Edith M. Long to conduct a Dressmaking and Furrier Shop, part-time, at 3969 33rd Street on the West 90 ft. of the Sly 20 ft. of Lot 1 and all of the Wly 90 ft. (except the Southerly 29.84 ft.) of Lot 2, Block 196, City Heights, provided there are no employees, and no signs on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_, 19_51 Dated May 31

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received	By M. Lachel City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-31-51$	By allen, Lundy Langt & Bre City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	31-5_/Hearing date
Decision appro-	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Peti-	Date $5-31-51$ 1-51 Building Inspector $6-4-51tioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
The minit extended to	

.

.

.

1

N

pe the last

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9396</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William D. Baker to construct a duplem with a 24-foot setback on Lots 35 and 36. Block 24. Fairmount Addition, at 4046 Winema Street, Zone R-4, on condition that 3 surfaced off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 1951

By____

Secretary.

Zoning Engineer

	and there is a specific the second		
Application Received $5 - 2(-3)$	51 By E. C. Van Hine City Planning Department		
Investigation made $5-31-51$	City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee	5-31-5 (Hearing date		
Decision appr- Conde.	Date 5-31-5 1		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date $5-31-51$ k <u>$6-1-51$</u> Building Inspector <u>$6-4-51$</u>		
Planning Commission 6-4-51	Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51		
	Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date		
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn	Continued to		
Time limit extended to	Date of action		

and a second a second

5.6.47.5 4

,

States and a set of the

COLAR COLERCY

The second s

1 · · · · · ·

a.

64 - 1 C 1.

1 4

1 de la

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9390</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to 0. D. Barnes to convert existing work area in lower floor of residence to living quarters, making two units on property, being the Southwesterly 40 feet of Lots 45 to 45, inclusive, Block 24, Ocean Beach, at 1877 Ebers Street, Zone R-2, provided the owner maintain the one existing garage and construct and maintain one surfaced off-street parking space on the property.

Resolution No. 2420 dated July 30, 1947, is hereby amended accordingly.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ May 31 _____, 19 _51

FORM 2145

Socretery

Zoning Ingineer

and the second		
Application Received	5-21-51	By E. C. Van Hise City Planning Department
nvestigation made	5-31-51	By <u>Allen, Lundy Langt + But</u>
Considered by Zoning	Committee 5-3	1-5 1 Hearing date Date 5-31-51
Decision appr-	Condi.	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution se	nt to City Clerk 6 -	<u>1-S1</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Planning Commission	6-4-51 Peti	tioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
resolution becomes e	ffective	
Application withdraw	'n	Continued to
Time limit extended t	:0	Date of action

5.09

the second second

,

LITTL' - SUTTICE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9409</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas A. and Wanda M. Hancey to make interior and exterior alterations to a 4-unit apartment having 46-inch sideyard on the South side and 32-inch sideyard on the North side, on Lot 11, Block 11, Padrick's Subdivision of Culverwell's Addition, at 753 - 18th Street, in "C" Zone, on condition that existing garage is removed from the property, and 3 surfaced offstreet parking spaces provided and maintained on the rear of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By__

SARCEROVAX

Zoning Ingineer

Res. 155

pplication Received <u>5-22-51</u> By <u>P. Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By <u>Allen Lunly Langt & Burto</u>
ecision app Cond. Date 5-31-51 Date 5-31-51 Date 5-31-51 Dy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
cision appr- Condl. Date 5-31-51
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
anning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

And and a serie of the second of the second

1111

مديو ديده مخ معند جديد فرويه فرميد جديد فرويه

. 2

letter dated May 20, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> That a FINAL extension of six months from expiration date of Resolution No. 5143, which extended Resolution No. 4760 be granted to Joseph E. and Esther V. Elliott to construct a single family residence with a 5 ft. setback on the North 80 ft. of Villa Lot 47, Normal Heights, at the North end of Cliff Place, on the West side of the street, Zone R-1, the regular City setbacks to be observed on the two 60 ft. lots adjoining on the South.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar a s they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_

FORM 2145

____, 19 <mark>51</mark>

May 31

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-22-51</u> By <u>M. Laechel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By <u>Allen, hundy, handt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date
Decision Opp Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-5' Building Inspector 6-4-5'
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 5 / Petitioner 6 - 1 - 5 / Health Department 6 - 4 - 5 /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

win Property

20 1.1

.

is a marker and that

je se se <mark>je</mark> te

and open and

- and the second of the second s

O Milita Baron va

,

anti - attentidente.

and the spin and

- male I

· · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9261</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bertha and Celia Schiller and Hattie Schiller Landis, owners, and Morty Zlotoff and Perry Leener, lessors, to operate furniture and mathress manufacturing and upholstering shop, wholesale and retail, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 5, Middletown, at 1265-70 Columbia Street, in "C" Zone, on the following conditions:

1. Maximum of 5 H. P. equipment;

2. Hours of operation limited to 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13008, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

By__

SCEREDERKK

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5576

Application Received <u>5-22-51</u> B	
investigation made $5-31-51$ B	y <u>Allen, Lundy Langt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5.31-51</u> Decision Appr _ Conde. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-51</u>	Hearing date
Decision appr- conde	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51	Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner	6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 1

and a second and a second and a second and

8 e 4

and story training

any of

WHEREAS, Application No. 9392 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Bertha Kucher to construct residence and garage with 15 ft. setback on Boundary St., Lots 1 through 4, except Ely 165 ft. thereof, Block 1, Walkers Addition, SE corner of F Street and Boundary, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

May 31

, 1951

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received _ 5-22-5	1 By P. Q. Buto City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-31-51$	By <u>Allen, Lundy Landt, Burt</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-3	1-51 Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-	-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petit	Date $5-31-51$ -1-51 Building Inspector $6-4-51tioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ditto .

A la .

1

.

the strate of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenroy and Jean MacLachlan to erect a single family residence on a parcel split out after zoning on Portion of Lot 20, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on East side of Seminole Drive, South of Acorn Street extended to the East, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

 That an easement 10 feet in width across the front of said Convert Signed, building site be provided for the widening of Seminole Drive;
That a 25-foot setback be observed from the present front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 1951

Secretary

FORM 2145

Zoning Ingineer

Application Received <u>5-22-51</u> By P.Q. Buto City Planning Department
Investigation made B-31-51 By allen, Lunly handt, & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date
Decision Opper. Date 5-31-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-37 Building Inspector 6-7-37
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Jesisten.

- 1 10 - ---

.

The party and a second half all and the second

the second se

Colores & Marine Strends

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenroy and Jean MacLachlan to erect a single family residence on a parcel split out after zoning on Portion of Lot 20. La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on East side of Seminole Drive, South of Acorn Street extended to the East, Zone R-1, on the following conditions: Dept 6/14/51

- That an easement 10 feet in width across the front of said Tax 1. building site be provided for the widening of Seminole Drive;
- That a 25-foot setback be observed from the present front property 2. line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 1951 Dated____May 31

By

Secretary

Zoning Ingineer

	10 T	1960 - Ca
the pear of		a fragment and a start of the
Application Received	22-51 By E. C. Van K City Planning Depar	tment
Investigation made5	31-51 By allen Lundy City Planning Depár	tment dent the starte
Considered by Zoning Comm	tittee $5-3/-5/$ Hearing date Date $5-3/-5/$ ty Clerk $6-1-5/$ Building Inspector $6-4-$ -5/ Petitioner $6-1-5/$ Health Departme	
Copy of Resolution sent to Ci	ty Clerk <u>6-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-</u>	51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, of	late Council Hearing, date	ent 6-4-51
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date	
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	
All March		* *
	and the second	and the second sec
et op Acorin ST.		
	and a contract of the second s	an cana an
	12 - Constant Children of States of	a
and the set of the	2 To a sol the solution of the first	
Pouritie the diverse of	1 - White Part in the second second second	ndt a strute
	D McLachten	
	20	
18		
	E Brance in the second	
	10	
	19	
	· · · · ·	
	a t	*

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9410</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles M. and Angela Karner to construct duplex with 5 ft. setback on West side of Bancroft Street, 50 ft. North of Ash Street, Lots 21 and 22, Block 2, San Diego Property Union, Zone Re2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res. No. 5579

Application Received <u>5-23-51</u> By <u>7. W. M. Comell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made B-31-51 By allen, Kundy Kandt, & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

•

.

•

left.

,

.

٠

Service a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9399</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugene L. and Johana S. Kendall to erect a 4-family two-story apartment house, one unit to be served by a 7-foot access court, and a 4-car garage, in accordance with plans submitted, on Lots 25 and 26, Block 189, Pacific Beach, on North side of Felspar Street 100 feet West of Dawes St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Mey 31 _____, 19_51

By_

RECERSORY T

Zoning Engineer

Application Received $5 - 23 - 51$ By \mathcal{E} .	C. Van Nice City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By <u>All</u>	
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing	g date
Decision appr. Date.	5-31-51
Decision Appr. Date . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Buildin	g Inspector $6 - 4 - 51$
Planning Commission 6 4 - 51 Petitioner 6 - 1 - 5	Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continu	ied to
	faction

tenning of the second s

. . . .

Well States

A SAMPLET N. L.

i po la resta come comparadore d

a . + minol

The second a long was a second

.

3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9419</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harmon D. Lentz to construct 12 ft. by 22 ft. garage addition to existing garage, and to construct an apartment above enlarged building, making 3 living units on the property, with 6 ft. 4 in. access court to street, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 29, Fairmount Addition, at 4179 - 49th Street, Zone R-4, on condition that the existing gate across the access court be increased in width to approximately twice the axisting width or a total of 5 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

FORM 2145

Dated_

May 31 . 19 51

pplication Received <u>5-23-51</u> By <u>E.C. Van Hind</u> City Planning Department	
westigation made By <u>allen, Lundy Landts Burt</u>	to
onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date	
ecision appr- condition Date 5-31-51 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51	
lanning Commission 6 - 4-51 Petitioner 6 - 1-51 Health Department 6 - 4-51	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
ime limit extended to Date of action	

The harden and the the

101

29.313

3 Ga :-

TUDET OF

) 1.2. *

1 N. P.X.

14

1.0

1 1 1

er a property and the

RESOLUTION NO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Harold G. Lewis, % R.J.Klitgeard, 407 Southern Title Building, in denying by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5582, their application No. 9425, for variance to permit construction of a sign on the roof of a building located on Lots 11 and 12 except the Southwesterly 25 feet thereof, in Block 46, Roseville, at Rosecrans and Fenelon Streets, in Zone N-4, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No..... 102748 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council June 21, 1951 FRED W. SIERY Clerk. By.....

RESOLUTION NO. 102988

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Resolution No. 102748, adopted June 21, 1951, sustaining the appeal of HAROLD G. LEWIS (Care R. J. Klitgaard, 407 Southern Title Building), from a Zoning Committee resolution affecting a sign on a roof of a building located on Lots 11 and 12 (except the southwesterly 25 feet thereof) in Block 46, Roseville at Rosecrans and Fenelon Street, be, and it is hereby amended to permit the sign to be increased in size from 2-1/2 feet high and 12 feet long, to 26 inches in height and 16 feet long.

Presented by APPROVED as to form by J. F. DuPAUL, City Attorney,

By

Deputy City Attorney.

102988

City Clerk.

Deputy.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9425</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mr. and Mrs. H. G. Lewis to erect a tubing sign 12 inch. by 12 ft. long on side of building, not the street frontage, on Lots 11 and 12, except the SW1y 25 ft. thereof, Block 46, Roseville, Rosecrans and Fenelon Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 80, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

APPEALED LIAISI

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 31 , 19 _____

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer

Application Received _ 5-23-51 By M. Laechel City Planning Department	
City Flamming Department	
Investigation made 5-31-51 By Allen Lunky Ka	It, Bus
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-51</u> Hearing date	
Decision appr. Date $5-3/-5/$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>$6-1-5/$</u> Building Inspector <u>$6-4-5/$</u>	
Planning Commission 6-4-5/ Petitioner 6-1-5/ Health Department 6	5-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

مارستوم مسلس اليون منهو السند المكان مان والي من المناه المراسم المراسم المن المناسب المراسم المراسم المراسم ا المسلس الأراض المراسم المراسم المراسم المراسم المراسم المراسم المناسب المراسم المراسم المراسم المراسم المراسم ا مراسم المراسم ا

and the

. –

the oppring to use presiding of researches of

MASS - and friday

AND P

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9416</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mark J. and Janet Stark, owner, and Carl A. and Muriel E. Sjobring, purchaser, to construct 8-unit apartment house with 5-foot setback, and garages with no setback, on portion of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 35, La Jolla Park, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at Cuvier and Prospect Streets, Zone R-4, provided at least 5 garage spaces are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Sectores

Zoning Engineer

Application Received5-23-51	By D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $5-31-51$	_ By allen Lundy Landt & Busto City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31	-5 / Hearing date
Decision app a could	Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-	Date $5-31-51$ -51 Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 51 Petitic	oner 6 - 1 - 51 Health Department 6 - 4 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

1.1.2

Not Gar Det at a Mart

,

11864

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9431</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dan D. and Marian A. McLeod to build 4-unit court on parcel of land without street frontage on alley at rear of apartments at 4000 block Mission Blvd., being the Northerly 62.5 feet of Lots 24 to 27, inclusive, Block 389, Pacific Beach, Zone R-4, on condition that a strip of land adjacent and parallel with the alley 20 feet in depth and 95 feet in width be surfaced and maintained for off-street parking, in accordance with plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

· , 19 51

Tecretervx

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5584

FORM 2145

Dated

May 31
Application Received 5-24-51 By Rechel City Planning Department
Investigation made By allen Kindy Landt's Burta
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-5</u> / Hearing date Decision <u>Appro-</u> Condition Date <u>5-31-5</u> / Date <u>5-31-5</u> / Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u> Planning Commission 6-4-51 Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Decision appr- Coull Date 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 51 Petitioner 6 - 1 - 51 Health Department 6 - 4 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

more an extended the

En of Margarian and

• •

Gold and an addition

the second s

1 8

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9256</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herman E. Dans and Elsie Clara Egan to erect a duplex on portions of Lots 25 to 29, inclusive, Elock 195, University Heights, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, at 3908-10 Florida Street, "C" Zone, said duplex to be located not less than 6 feet from the Westerly lot line and not less than 6 feet from the adjoining duplex on the property, where the existing duplex has a 2-foot rear yard, and on condition that the 3 existing garage spaces are maintained for off-street parking.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated

, 19___

Secretary

Application Received 5-2-51 By D. E. South
5-16-51 DALO L 14 1
nvestigation made <u>5-31-51</u> By <u>allengoue Leventer Lunky Lase</u> 5-16-51 City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date
Decision app Candl Date 5-31-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-5
lanning Commission 6 - 4 - 5' Petitioner 6 - 1 - 5' Health Department 6 - 4 - 51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Allo is a first state of the second state of t

regard of a low of the second of the second se

1

14. B

P G ...

0200

d a p

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9387</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn Mitchell to erect second living unit on approximately the Northerly 1/2 of a portion of Pueble Lot 1255, according to legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, which does not have frontage on a dedicated street and is to be served by a private easement 50 feet in width, at the North end of Beaumont and Waverly Aves., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

By_

Secrebery

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5586

The first San 2- 9	-51 By E. C. Van Huse
plication Received <u>5-24</u>	City Planning Department
vestigation made $5-31-$.	51 By allen, Lunly Landt + B. City Planning Department
ecision appr.	$\frac{5-57-57}{\text{Date} 5-37-57}$
opy of Resolution sent to City Cle	rk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
anning Commission 6 - 4 - 5 1	rk <u>6-1-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u> Petitioner 6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council esolution becomes effective	Date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action
had .	
	The fact the industry of the second
	sere and appear an appendix a serie of the series of the s
	A A Landore al Berry, a model Relevant - art all the
server was and and an and and and	a for a formation the the speed outrage of a formation
The second s	
point in a second for	TI A BOARD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
Coloring and a second of any	a for the second of the to be the second of the
a state of the second of the	/ / / / TRIVET PART - UN TITITIT
	WA.
,	
Sector 1	
	HI ITT I
	Bea
	A

Cart Will

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9426</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy Cook to divide lots into two parcels at right angles and build single family residence on each, footage on each not less than 685 sq. ft., Lots 38 and 37, Block 2, Corella Tract, corner of Lehigh and Tonopah Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 31 , 19 51

By__

Zoning Engineer

oplication Received <u>5-25-51</u> By D. E. South City Planning Department
vestigation made 5-31-51 By aller, Lundy Landts Burton
maidered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date
ecision appendix to City Clerk 6-1-51 Building Inspector 6-4-51
Date $5 - 31 - 51$ Decision Decision Date $5 - 31 - 51$ Decision Decision Decisio
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council ficating, date
ecision of Council Date esolution becomes effective Continued to
polication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

mercine reconsist on an original assessment of a second of an and a second of an and any of

and the state there was a constant and the state the

and the clear resonanter speaker

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9421</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Y. Quinn to build residence with 14 ft. rear yard, Lot 13, Block 46, La Jolla Park, West side of Torrey Pines Road 150 ft. North of Prospect St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_51

FORM 2145

Zoning Engineer Secretary Res No. 5588

By P. Q. Butow City Planning Department
By <u>Allen hundy Langts Buston</u> City Planning Department
_ Hearing date
Date $5-3/-5/$ <u>I</u> Building Inspector <u>$6-4-5/$</u>
<u>1</u> Building Inspector <u>6-4-51</u>
6-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Council Hearing, date
Date
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Continued to
Date of action

the stands appropriate produces

March 18.7

4

같은 것 –

:

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9424</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harbor Motors Corporation, Ray Hughes, President, to erect Neon sign, the pole to be approximately 6 inches from front property line within the setback area, on Lots 3 to 6, inclusive, Block 279, Middletown, at 2235 Pacific Highway, "C" Zone, on the following conditions:

 That any structure out beyond the setback line will be removed at owner's expense and at no cost to the City, when the City requests it for street widening purposes;
That agreement to this effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 728 Electrith City club 6-4-51

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19<u>51</u>

FORM 2145

By_

Zoning Engineer

Res. 75589

Application Received <u>5-25-51</u> By	7. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
	allen Kundy hangt & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 H	learing date
Decision appr - Condl. D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 B	ate 5-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1-51 B	uilding Inspector <u>6-4-5/</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 5/ Petitioner 6 -	-1-51 Health Department 6-4-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Desision of Council D	late
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Pate of action

di p

120 p

a t clost Carry tite to the total total total.

top

and the first inter the parameter and a first the states at

- The Add

ieu. Sita

RESOLUTION NO. 102901

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of W. F. Regenhardt, 7237 Country Club Drive, La Jolla, California, and others, from the decision of the Zöning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 5590, application No. 9326 of E. A. Mc Intire, Dougles Swalm, H. G. Archibald, owners, and Gabriel Berg, purchaser, variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3061 (New Series), Section 7 on the easterly 500 feet of the southerly 850 feet of Pueblo Lot 1788 and portion of Lot 29 Eureka Lemon Tract, for permission to construct and operate a salvage yard on a maximum of ten acres adjacent to the Railroad, on the various conditions set for th therein, be, and it is hareby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

FORM 1270 20M - 8/50 FRED-W. SICK City Clerk.

HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, Application No. 9326 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Control of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section) of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

APPEALISI

- 1. That there are_ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property That the interval of the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same
- 2. That strict application of the regulations' would_ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

ermission is hereby granted to E. A. McIntire, Douglas Swalm, and H. G. Archibald, owners, d Gabriel Berg, purchaser, to construct and operate a salvage yard on a maximum of ten res adjacent to the Railroad, on the Easterly 500 feet of the Southerly 850 feet of ablo Lot 1788 and portion of Lot 29, Bureka Lemon Tract, as described in legal description file in the Planning Department Office, on the following conditions:

1. All plumbing to be connected to a public sewer as soon as evailable: 2. Record an easement 50 feet in width for street purposes adjacent to and parallel with the A.T.& S.F. Right-of-Way, extending from the South Line of the property in question to its Northerly line:

A solid continuous fence at least 8 feet in height shall be constructed entirely around the property on which the salvage yard operates. The required fences shall be located far enough inside the property lines of the proposed salvage yard to permit the planting and landscaping, hereinafter mentioned, to be accomplished. The first section of fence shall be constructed on the south and west lines of the property, commencing at a point in the south line not more than 60 feet westerly from the A.T.& S.F. Railroad Right-of-Way, and shall continue along the southerly and westerly lines to a point 300 feet northerly from Homeland Villas; thence along the southerly and westerly lines of the property to be used by the salvage yard to a point at which the top of the fence would be level with the 65-foot contour as viewed from Pacific Highway. The above described fence along the westerly and southerly lines of the property shall be constructed before any grading is done on this property and before any salvage or junk is placed on the property. The fence on the easterly line of this salvage yard shall be constructed before any salvage material is placed on the property. The fence on that portion of the westerly line of this property, not previously mentioned, shall be constructed not later than 90 days after any soil is removed below elevation 65 as shown on plans in the Planning Department Office, which is visible from Pacific Highway on the northwest and west and the southwesterly directions. (The intent here is that a mound of earth shall be retained between the salvage yard and Pacific Highway until the E-foot fence is constructed.) An E-foot fence shall be constructed along the northerly line of this property under the same restrictions outlined immediately above. All fences shall be painted.

Said 8-foot fence and/or fences shall be constructed on ground that is on the same plane as the ground on which salvage material is stored.

Landscaping shall be required along the westerly side of the westerly fence commencing at a point 300 feet northerly from the northerly line of Homeland Villas and shall continue to a point to obscure all fencing from Pacific Highway and to a point approximately 250 feet easterly from a line commencing 500 feet west of the railroad right-of-way. Said landscaping shall include low growing types of planting as well as trees, which trees shall be encouraged to grow to a height of 25 feet or more. The types of plants, the spacing, method of planting, minimum heights at time of planting and maximum heights at time of planting by the and maximum slope on which planting may be done shall be approved in writing by the Superintendent of Parks before said landscaping shall commence. All such landscaping shall commence within 30 days after a particular section of fence is constructed and shall be diligently prosecuted to completion according to the plans approved by the City Park Superintendent. The intent of this section on landscaping is to provide a screen for the required fencing and for the salvage yard itself. It is not intended that a core of the required fencing and for the salvage yard itself. that a solid, impervious mass of vegetation be maintained.

Permission to grade the property in accordance with plans approved by the Zoning Committee An excavation Committee and the City Manager shall be a part of this variance. An excavation permit shall be obtained from the City Manager before any grading of this land is started.

The owners and purchasers shall recognize that if the Division of Highways of State of Calibration of Highways of State of State of Calibration of the subject of the subje California shall acquire a Right-of-Way for public street purposes through the subject properties and purchasers shall recognize that if the Division of Argument the subject property that no compensation shall be sought or awarded to them as a result of any action that no compensation shall be found in and that any compensation shall action of the Zoning Committee or the City Council, and that any compensation shall be based solely on the value of the land acquired and on no other basis, and that agreement to this effect shall be signed and recorded.



WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>nos</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Winifred M. Smith, owner, and Elmer D. and Elizabeth A. Porter, purchasers, to divide Lots 7 and 8, Block 98, Pt. Loma Heights, into two building sites according to plat on file in the Planning Department Office, on Westerly corner of Bermuda Ave. and Guizot Street, Zone R-1, provided 15-foot setback is maintained on Guizot Street and the regular City Ordinance on Bermuda Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

)	NOI
Asta -	
Dated	June 5 , 19 51
FORM 2145	

1-1-1-

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secnet any:

Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>4-27-51</u> By	<u>C. Blake Ross</u> City Planning Department
	<u>Allen Jour Koncenter Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-4-51</u> Decision <u>appr-</u> Concil Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-5-51</u> Planning Commission <u>6-7-51</u> Petitioner 6	Hearing date Date 6-5-51 Building Inspector 6-7-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Flearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Date Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second state of the second s

to the state of th

the and the state of the state of

. .

a light and she was she was

and the second second

The Constraint Report for any provide the second

The second second second the second second second second second

The second constrained by the Jonith Low second the

:11 . I

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9077</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gerald G. and Ruth B. Ryder to build residence on parcel of land divided after zoning and not having full frontage on a dedicated street, on portion of Lot 25, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on property adjacent Westerly of 6436 Montezuma Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Bidg Parint (Res added to efint garage) OX. (1.B) At. 10-11-54

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 19 51

STOLEY SOX

Zoning Engineer

Dated _____

June 7

Application Received ______ 5-15-51 By E. C. Van Hu City Planning Department Investigation made 5-31-51 By allen Lundy Landers 15 Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 Hearing date Decision appr. Date 6-7-51 Date 6-7-51Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-7-51 Building Inspector 6-7-51Planning Commission 6-7-51 Petitioner 6-7-51 Health Department 6-7-51Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action HOZZE ZUZ HARVEY 00 44 44 Y

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9372</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Duncan and Catherine E. Nicol, owner, and Clyde Stuart, Lessee, to use existing building for cabinet shop, all activities to be conducted within a building at 7616 Herschel Ave., being the Southerly 15 feet of Lot 22 and all of Lot 23, Block 23, La Jolla Park, Zone "C", on the following conditions:

1. Maximum of 2 employees;

2. Maximum of 10 Horsepower equipment;

3. Hours of operation of any mechanical equipment to be from S:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 13_____, 19_51

Secretary

Zoning Engineer

Application Received By_	E. C. Van Nice City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{5-31-51}{6-13-51}$ By $\frac{6}{2}$	allen, Lundy Langt, & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-31-51 H	learing date <u>6-1.3-51</u>
Decision appr Condk D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-14-5</u> B	ate 6-13-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-5/B	uilding Inspector <u>6-15-51</u>
Planning Commission 6-15-51 Petitioner 6-	14-51 Health Department 6-15-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
	late
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to []	Date of action

and an iter which the start

With man a strained

.

to b

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9408</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924. as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego, owner, and Humane Society, lessee, to operate a dog and small animal shelter, with right to construct buildings and runs incidental thereto, on portions of Lots 11 to 17 and pertions of Lots 22 to 29, Block 1, Vernon Park, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on Southwesterly side of Custer Street between Sherman and Greenwood Streets, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, Section 7, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 13 , 19 51

By___

FORM 2145

Segreteran Zoning Engineer

Application Received <u>5-21-51</u> B	City Flanning Department
Investigation made $\frac{5-31-51}{6-13-51}$ B	y <u>Alle Lundy Langt + Buston</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-51</u> Decision <u>Approx.</u> Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-5	Hearing date $6 - 13 - 51$ Date $6 - 13 - 51$ Building Inspector $6 - 15 - 51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	6-14-51 Health Department 6-15-51 Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.1.4

Carline of the sector of

saturation of the party of

a l'Allen Anne

at the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9349</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Hubert K. and Ora Lee Eaton to erect 84 lineal feet of 8 ft. high solid redwood fence, at rear and along side lot line at 4020 Sycamore Street, Lots 15 and 16 and West 36 ft. of Southerly 75 ft. of Lot 17, Block 31, Lexington Park, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 13 , 19 51

Zoning Engineer

Secretary

Application Received <u>5-9-5</u> By <u>E. C. Van Alue</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made 5-16-51 By aller Kung Landt & Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-31-51</u> Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-14-51</u> Building Inspector <u>6-15-51</u> Planning Commission <u>6-15-51</u> Petitioner <u>6-14-51</u> Health Department <u>6-15-51</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Date
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action

TO P & DALL P.

To put in a start of the start

14002

Seal and the second

the loss of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to George A. and Alberta J. Richter to erect 8 ft. high solid Redwood board fence to be placed on the stringers of existing 5 ft. high picket fence, across the rear lot line of North 40 ft. of Lot 18, Block 23, Normal Heights, 4736 - 36th Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 13

51

Zoning Engineer XXXXXX Res #5596

Dated _____

Application Received $5 - 23 - 51$ By	F. W. Mc Concell City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{6-13-51}{5-31-51}$ By	allen, Lundy Lander & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-13-51</u> Decision Decision I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-14-51</u> Planning Commission <u>6-15-51</u> Petitioner 6-	Hearing date Date 6-13-51 Building Inspector 6-15-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 0 Decision of Council 1	Council Hearing, date
- philodelion in the second seco	Continued to Date of action

THE LA CALLATION P.

.

the second se

· · · · ·

and a lot of the state of the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9358</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Cleto Paularena to build a third living unit on lot with 8 ft. 8 inch. access court on North 8 1/3 ft. of Lot 59 and all of Lot 60, Block 5, Chester Park, 4282-84 - 47th Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

, 19 51

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By	and the second second second	and the second se			
Zoning	Ingineer	Secretary	Res.	#5597	

Dated June 13

Application Received <u>5-14-51</u> By <u>E. C. Van Nice</u> City Planning Department		
5-31-51 010 8 10 1		
Investigation made <u>6-13-51</u> By allen Lunly Langt & Buston		
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-13-51 Hearing date		
Decision Denied Date 6-13-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-51 Building Inspector 6-15-51		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-51 Building Inspector 6-15-51		
Planning Commission 6-15-51 Petitioner 6-14-51 Health Department 6-15-51		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Continued to		
Time limit extended to Date of action		

petron to ga ate

Qu' Then, it can

10 3x 1 4 4 1 1

Tet + the model, etc

Louis - find to be the second of a second of a second of the

....

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9395</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugene L. and Thelma L. DeVoid, owners, and Rudolph D. and Laura W. Svoboda, purchaser, to cut out approximately one acre and divide it into two building sites, each approximately 90 feet on the street frontage and 240 feet in depth, and construct single family dwelling on each, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1780, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on West side of Soledad Road in the Southeast portion of this Pueblo Lot, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

page 328

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 13 , 19 51

By_

Secretary.

Zoning Ingineer

	and a set of a set of a set of the set of th
Application ReceivedE	E C Han This
Application Received	City Planning Department
$\frac{5-31-51}{1-51}$	all for the the startes
Investigation made <u>6 - 13 - 51</u> H 5-31-51	
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-13-51	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-5	Date $\begin{pmatrix} -/3-5/\\ -/5-5/\\ -/4-5/ \end{pmatrix}$ Health Department $\begin{pmatrix} -/5-5/\\ -/5-5/\\ -/5-5/\\ \end{pmatrix}$
Planning Commission 6 - 15 - 51 Petitioner	6-14-51 Health Department 6-15-51 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	se corner
1 3	of P T 1180
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
	read in a sur to sur a direction of
617 July 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The state of the second state of the second states and the
	n hanning a second a second seco
the second s	
7. /	and the second
-0 L F	
SOLE DAD	
P	
U I	0 4 0
*	
	; city
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	A Reservoir
)	
	180'

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9433</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Darwin S. and Elizabeth Haley to split out parcel not of record at time of zining and erect single family residence thereon, on portion of Acre Lot 11, Pacific Beach, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office on Easterly side of Windsor Drive approximately 170 feet Northerly of Loring Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By___

Zoning Engineer

Res. #5599

p 323

Application Received <u>5-24-51</u> By <u>7.20</u> . Mc Concell City Planning Department		
Investigation made <u>6-13-51</u> By <u>Allen, Lundy Landt + Buston</u> City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-13-51</u> Hearing date		
Decision appr. Date 6-13-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-51 Building Inspector 6-15-51 Planning Commission 6-15-51 Petitioner 6-14-51 Health Department 6-15-51		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-14-5</u> Building Inspector <u>6-15-51</u>		
Planning Commission 6-15-51 Petitioner 6-14-51 Health Department 6-15-51		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Continued to		
Time limit extended to Date of action		

BATATONALE MERINA
BATATONALE MERINA

•

. ST,

Le 1 V Bart J. Gun

.

3

12.20502

PD

LORING

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9422</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Arthur T. Wilson to erect 4 ft. by 10 ft. addition to, and convert existing garage to storage room, sleeping room and bath; building has approximately 6 inch sideyard, Villa Lot 297, except the Northeasterly 22 ft. thereof, University Heights, 4306 Proctor Place, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

_ , 19 **51**

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By					
		Secretary	Construction of the second		
Zoning	Engineer	XXXXXX	Res.	#5600	

Dated ______

Application Received <u>5-24-51</u> By <u>7. W. M. Comell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-13-51</u> By <u>Allen, Lunly Landt & Buton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by 7 :- Committee (= (3-5) Hearing date
Decision Date 6-13-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-57 Building Inspector 6-15.51 Planning Commission 6-15-51 Petitioner 6-14-51 Health Department 6-15-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-14-57 Building Inspector 6-15.51
Planning Commission 6 -15 - 51 Petitioner 6 - 14 - 51 Health Department 6 - 15 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

TO A CALL AND A CALL A

the same and the start will be a subscription of the same of

and the second provide the second second

and the state of the state

The server and the server and the server

Colorent, Strengther and the second strengther

Patrick we be preserved and the

210 × 10

The second second second

2 . TA 2.