

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9491</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Lucile F. Mercer to construct grapestake fence 5 feet high out beyond setback line where 2 foot high fence is permitted, at 320 Rosemont, Lot 41. Block 11, La Jolla Strand, R-2 Zone.

Application for a variance to the Provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ July 25 _____ , 19 51

By.

Secretary

Application Received 7-8-J-1	By D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - 2 3^{-1}$	By <u>Alley Jones Laudt + South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-25 Decision denied	Hearing date Date 7-25 Building Inspector 7-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

And the set of the set

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9557</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to Geo. H. and Rose Solomon, owner, and Donald C. and Pazny, Lessee, to permit tile contractor to store tile entirely within building, with display room in front of building; storage of trucks at rear of building; a maximum of 10 ton of sand at rear of building, on property located at 6114 El Cajon Elvd., Portion of Lot 5, La Mesa Colony, Zone C, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a chain link fance be erected around rear of property;

2. That a bin be built for sand;

- 3. That all materials except sand to be stored within the building;
- 4. That this permit to be for 2 years, expiring June 30, 1953.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

Secretary

121

Application Received 7-6-J-1 By	$J = \mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{M} \subseteq Connect$ City Planning Department
	Allen, Jones, Landt + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-25	Hearing date
Decision Court appr.	Date 7-4
Convert Resolution sent to City Clerk 7 - 27	Building Inspector $2 - 20$
Dia Commission 7 - 3 Petitioner	1-27 Health Department 1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE READ AN AN LACK AN ADDRESS OF A DECK

Sector and age to be a sector as a sector and the s

1 nerst

The state of the second s

The second is the state of a state of a safe t

5

1 4 + 1 + 1 L K

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9565</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas Getz, owner, and Manuel and Harriet Zaragoza, purchaser, to add exterior steps, replace windows, lay new floor and other repairs necessary to make building habitable, building having 10 ft. rear yard where 25 ft. required, Lots 14 and 15, Block A, Montezuma Terrace, on Northwesterly corner of Galveston and Littlefield Streets, Zone R-1, on condition that satisfactory plans are submitted to the City Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 25 , 1951

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Application ReceivedB	y_ Far Mc Connell
Investigation made $7 - 4 \sqrt{7} - \sqrt{7}$ B	y allen Jones Sandt + South
Considered by Zoning Committee $2-25$ Decision Could approximate $2-25$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-27$ Petitioner	Hearing date 7-2-5
Decision Coull appr.	Date 7- 25-
Come of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2-7	Building Inspector 7 - 3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission 7-20 Petitioner	7-27 Health Department 7-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution becomes encourse	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
	* * * * * * * · · · · · · · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will_ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stella W. Abrams to construct 10 ft. by 20 ft. garage with approximately 36 ft. from front property line with a 1 ft. sideyard, at 418 Pearl, Ely 10 ft. of S. 60 ft. Lot 1, and Wly 40 ft. of S. 60 ft. of Lot 2, Block 14, La Jolla Park, Zone R-4, on condition that garage be shingled to match existing residence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 25 Dated_

By

, 19**51**

Application Received 7-12=57 E	By Van Hese City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - 2 \sigma - \sigma - F$	By allen Jones Landt & Sout
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-25-37</u> Decision <u>Conside</u> <u>apper</u> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-26-37</u> Planning Commission <u>7-36-57</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 7 - 2
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9588</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ not ____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District to construct portable classroom school building, at 5816 Alleghany Street, portion of the SE 1/4 of SE 1/4, Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, Paradise Hills, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property descr ibed above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 25 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Application Received	By <u>E. Chaffee</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - 2 5 - 5^{-1}$	By <u>Allen</u> Jones Sandt + Sour City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-25-5	7 Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-26-</u>	Date 7 - 25 - 1-1
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26-	1 Building Inspector 7 - 30 - 57
Planning Commission / SALV FEILIONEL	/ do // Ilealli Departificiti / For . (.)
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
De i i met Council	Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Asolution Decomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
I me limit extended to	

langensing in the second second

and the second second

1. . . W. . . W. D. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9589</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District to construct one portable classroom school building at 4607 Monaco Street, Lot 7 and the Nly 46.5 feet of Lot 2, and all of Lots 16 to 19, inclusive, in Block M, as shown on the Official Map of Azure Vista, File No. 1981, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ July 25 _____, 19_51

By_

Secretary

Application Received By	E. Chaffee City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{7 - 25 - 5 - 5}$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-25-01 H	earing date
Decision approved Da	ilding Inspector 7 - 30 -57
Plan Commission 7 - 50 -57 Petitioner	-26 J Health Devalument 7 - 24 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ntinued to
Fime limit extended to Da	ate of action

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy and Zora Ozick to construct a duplex making a total of 8 units on a lot; 4 units to have a 7 ft. 1-1/2 inch access court, at 3521 Park Blvd., Lots 22 and 23, Block 247, University Heights, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisons of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 25 , 19 51

By.

Application Received $7 - 12 - 57$	By J. W. M & Connel City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - 25 - 57$	By allen Jones Landt & Sout
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-2	Date
Con C Desclistion cent to (ity (lerk	26 Building Inspector <u>Z-Jo</u>
Planning Commission 7-50 Petit	10ner / - 26 Health Department 2- 30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9517</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and A. G. Kerns to erect double face sign in lieu of two normally permitted signs, proposed sign to be approximately 10 ft. x 4-1/2 ft. with total overall height of 14 ft, sign to be mounted on post x near front property line between wings of bldg., Lots 20 through 24, Block 154, in Pacific Beach, at 4740 Mission Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section 30, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 25 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

2.13.1	
Application Received $-7 - 12 - 57$ B	By J.W Ja & Connell. City Planning Department
	by <u>Allen</u> , Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision & proving Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission ~ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date Building Inspector 7-30 Z-24 Health Department 7-30
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Personal and the second s

garante a construction of the construction of the

and a second a second a second

and a for the production of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9427</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. C. and Josephine Connell to construct single family residence on parcel of land divided after zoning, and to reduce the rear yard to 14 ft., at La Jolla Knoll, Lot 3 and portion of Lot 4, La Jolla Knoll, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be Sevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 25 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - 25 - 57$ By	Allen Jones, Landt + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-25	Hearing date
Decision City Clark 7-26	Building Inspector 7:30
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission 7 - 30 Petitioner	7-26 Health Department 7-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Dest tem of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

The second and the second states and states

personal for the second state of the

4. a a

1,024

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9554</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nettie Sedlack, owner, and Wm. N. Geiger, lessee, to operate a custom cabinet shop in existing 16 x 24 ft. portion of building, no employees and with maximum 5 H. P., and hours from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. Lot 5, Block 9, Morrison's Marscene Park, 4239 Market Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 25

By

51

, 19

R

By D. E. South City Planning Department
City I lanning Department
By <u>Cellen, Jone, Loudt & South</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
-v7 Hearing date
Date 7-2J-V-1
6-17 Building Inspector 7-30-57
II II Department C Review
ner 7-26-17 Health Department 7-30-17
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Continued to
Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9597</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Robert T. and Maud H. MacDougall to construct 2 ft. Lattice addition to existing solid board fence 6 ft. high, making a total of 8 ft. high fence, on 2933 B Street, Lots 15 and 16, Block 88, E. W. Morse. Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Application Received 7-16-51 B	y S.E. South City Planning Department
nvestigation made 7-25-57 B	y <u>Allen Jones Landt + South</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>7-23</u> Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-26</u> Planning Commission <u>7-30</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	7-26 Health Department 7-30 Council Hearing, date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

per) L'es

53 5 4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9569</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nicholas Lechien to construct two-unit apartment building, one upper and one lower, making three living units on the lot with 4 ft. access to street, Lots 45 and 46, Block 14, Ocean Beach Park, 4685 Voltaire, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ¹xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ⁸ filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Jated_____July 25

By

15

RM 2145

Application Received 7-16-57	_ By_ Van Nese
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By <u>allen</u> Jones Landt & Jours City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-2	v/ Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2	Date 6-51 Building Inspector 7-30-5-1
Planning Commission 7-30-41 Petiti	oner 7-26-V7 Health Department 7, 30 - V7
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

y

1

.

TOFT

(1. Calla

Port of a Port A.

.

4 · -

Letter dated Aug. 15, 1951,

WHEREAS, Application: has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5708, dated July 25, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and A. G. Kerns to erect double face sign inlieu of two normally permitted signs, proposed sign to be approximately 10 feet by 4-1/2 feet, with total overall height of 19 feet, sign to be mounted on post near front property line between wings of building, on Lots 20 through 24, Block 154, Pacific Beach, at 4740 Mission Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 80, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Der Jajal

Pplication Received	By P. G. Burton City Planning Department
avestigation made	By Loudt Jones Burton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8 - 2.	Hearing date
recision apprin a G	Date $g - 2 = -J^{-}/2$ $\frac{24}{24}$ Building Inspector $\frac{d-27-57}{2-57}$ her $g - 24$ Health Department $g - 27 - J^{-}/2$ Council Hearing date
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>3</u>
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
ecision of Council	
Pucation withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

.

× .

2 1.1

17-1

I P FI L & TRO F.

and the set wat

A STANDE GROUPS TO ANT

WHEREAS, Application No. 9581 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimented to 3. That the granting of the application will_ the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. Louis Allen, owner, and Norman Charleson, purchaser, to divide portion of Pueblo Lot 1297 into three parcels with right to erect single family residence on each parcel, legal description on file in Planning Office, being on Easterly side of La Jolla Shores Dirve, South of Easterly prolongation of El Paseo Grande, Zone R-1, on condition that enough in Planning out in the Easterly prolongation of an end is decured in the provision of the second of the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted the formed of the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted the property of the second terms of the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property of the second terms of the particular stated above, insofar as they relate to the property of the second terms of the second terms of the particular stated above, insofar as they relate to the property of the second terms of the particular stated above, insofar as they relate to the property of the second terms of the second terms of the particular stated above, insofar as they relate to the property of the second terms of terms of the second terms of the second terms of the second terms of the second terms of terms of the second terms of terms of the second terms of terms of

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25 , 1951

By.

Secretary

Res. No. 5714

ORM 2145

Application Received	_ By Van Hise City Planning Department
nvestigation made $-7 - 2J^2 - J^2$	By Jones, allen, lault & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $7 - 25^{-1}$ Decision $7 - 25^{-1}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8 - 16^{-1}$ Planning Commission $8 - 16 - 5^{-1}$ Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Ending Inspector 8-16-5-1 Der 8-16-57 Health Department 8-16-5-1
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1. Carlot

- A 12

2.7

idin'ny ava

La Jalla Shares Dr. (Torrey Pines Pd)

1

Þ

< 427

Lbri J. J.

See. 1

and the part

Res # 5715

RESOLUTION NO. 103504

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dorothy F. Trevor, 7447 Hillside Drive, La Jolla, ox 657, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her applicaion for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 to permit construcion of a residence, making a total of four units on a lot - being on Lots 21 and 22 Block 7 La Jolla Strand, at the southwest corner of Gravilla Street and Electric Avenue, in Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby sustained and said ioning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that permission is hereby granted to Dorothy F. Vrevor to construct a fourth living unit on said property, said residence unit to be 22' x 25', on the following conditions:

- 1. To observe a setback not less than the other buildings on her lot at Gravilla Street;
- 2. That at least two surfaced off-street parking spaces be provided at the rear of said fourth dwelling;
- 3. That the building may be constructed with a 9-foot rear yard where 15-foot rear yard is required in the Ordinance.

I hereby certify the above to b	a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 103504
the Council of the City of San Diego, as ac	pted by said Council
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk. Donald L. Steinert
CORN FORM 1270	ByDeputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 103337

Pj

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dorothy F. Trevor, 7447 Hillside Drive, La Jolla, Box 657, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying her application for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 to permit construction of a residence, making a total of four units on a lot, being Lots 21 and 22 Block 7 La Jolla Strand, at the southwest corner of Gravilla Street and Electric Avenue, in Zone R-2, be, and the same is hereby referred back to the Planning Commission and Zoning Committee, for re-consideration on revised plan.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dorothy F. Trevor to construct residence making a total of four units on a lot, being Lots 21 and 22, Block 7, of La Jolla Strand, SW corner Gravilla and Electric, R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby <u>DENIED</u> as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

APPEALED AUGIATIT, 03504

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

			ZONING COMMITTEE
			CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
July 25	51		
Dated	, 19	By	Seconary Res. No. 5715
FORM 2145			

8.781.3.	. / /.
Pplication Received _ 7-17-5-1	By Van Nice
pplication Received	City Planning Department
Westingtion made 7-35	By <u>Allew</u> , Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
westigation made	City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee Decision Denied OPy of Resolution sent to City Clerk anning Commission 7-30 Petitioner Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council	
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
Ime limit extended to	Date of action

10-

and the second of gravity second strike a first second structure of a first second structure of the se

the state of a second state of the second stat

the state of the second of the

2

Se MALL

14 222

and april 1

Ne Histori Analogiji Stanj

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9579</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reuben S. Barbachano, owner, and V. Kobylka, purchaser, to remodel and operate a 27-unit trailer park on a Portion of Pueblo Lot 220, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, on the west side of Midway Drive, southerly of west Point Loma Wivd., Zones C and R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- This permit is to be revoked if the terms and conditions of this Resolution and the requirements as outlined in the Trailer Park Report of 7-5-51 and the requirements of the Trailer Park Ordinance are not complied with within 90 days;
- 2. A substantial five-foot fence of new or good used material is constructed along the driveway to the rear of the store along the east, south, and west sides of property and between Lots 25, 26, and 27 and Midway Drive.
- Widen driveways to minimum of 25 feet, and removal of foundations at entrance of road to park.
- 4. Completely correct deficiencies in toilet buildings and laundry rooms, such as improper windows, water-proofing the walls, required drains, necessary painting, and reinforcement of existing stairway on toilet building.
- 5. Installation of permanent corner markers, relocation and reconstruction of the trash area, to be enclosed with permanent fence, and general cleanup of the entire park and all trailer units.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25 , 19 51

ORM 2145

By

Secretary

unlightion Received 7-13-17	By Zwm Connect
ppircation Received	City Planning Department
7-1-	Proposed of Port
vestigation made	By <u>allen for Landt South</u>
onsidered by Zoning Committee	25 Hearing date
••• 10	Data 2521
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2	tioner 2 7 Health Department 7 - 30
anning Commission	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action
and the state of the second	The second state of the se
the state of the state of the	the state of the s
Are an and a second	
	the protection product of parts a state of the state of
	the second s
The second state in the state	state of the Sector of the sector of the Sector of Sector
an the state of the	I't' to a sol o with a set of the
and a state of the state	The state of the original transformer of the port of the second s
	*
	.7. This permit to expire June 30, 1956.
Juemerinper sidt	of some of the trailer units to compare to
noitentaile ent erimer ven sint	on road and a minimum of 600 sq. ft. area.
the tes of the month the o obl	6. That trailer spaces be re-allocated to prove

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

1. That the granting of the application isnotnecessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

"Martine South South State

Dated July 25

194**51**

Subdivision Portion of Fueble Lot 220, per legal description on file in City Planning Office

Reuben S. Barbachano, owner V. Kobylka, purchaser (A.K.A. Koby)

may be used for the erection and operation of existing trailer park, 27 units

subject to the following conditions 1. This permit is to be revoked if the terms and conditions of this Resolution and the requirements as outlined in the Trailer Park Report of 7-5-51 and the requirements of the Trailer Park Ordinance are not complied with within 90 days:

2. A substantial five-foot fence of new or good used material is constructed along the driveway to the rear of the store along the east, south, and west sides of property and between Lots 25, 26, and 27 and Midway Drive;

3. Widen driveways to minimum of 25 feet, and removal of foundations at entrance of road to park;

4. Completely correct deficiencies in toilet buildings and laundry rooms, such as improper windows, water p roofing the walls, required drains, necessary painting, and reinforcement of existing stairway on toilet building.

5. Installation of permanent croner markers, relocation and reconstruction of the trash area, to be enclosed with permanent fence, and general cleanup of the entire park and all trailer units.

6. That trailer spaces be re-allocated to provide a minimum of 20 feet frontage on road and a minimum of 600 sq.ft. area. This may require the elimination of some

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after Such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No.5717

Secretary

Ву
lication Received 7-13-51	By Fa me Connell.
	" City Planning Department
estigation made	By aller Jones doudt + South
aidered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
ision fonde appri	Date
y of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 7-30
nning Commission	7 - 27 Health Department 7 - 30
eal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
in a compatibility of the second s	Date
olution becomes effective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lighting Withdrawn	Continued to
• limit extended to	Date of action
Junit extended to ma soldstaticity in grant and	

1. The state of the second state of the second is a second show of the second state of the

· It a line to control to the state the state of the stat

the duiter is to billing a the fact, and a proved to completions of entry she

sugar brocker a of entering the second a transfer a start with a second of the

L'a 'Tiverer's die verle of the though 114. Die ents, namelisten, work with allenti-

a maillent of the sendletter no togramerize the is maillene it the sendletter

the second s

The state of the s

- at the subtraction of the state of

and the second state of the second se

TO CAP CHE ME ANT THE AT A THE TO STATE THE START

sati pa lui r. :

「「キハムテア」ことでは、1001、

. This permit to expire June 30. 1956.

"你说""说你"说是了。

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9540</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James E. and Bertha Stephenson to erect one single family residence on two combined parcels, 130 ft. frontage, not of record at time of zoning on portion of SW2 of Quarter Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on north side of Alleghany Street approximately 165 feet East of center line of Rachael Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 25

By

_ , 19 **51**

Secretary Res No. 5718

100

FORM 2145

Dated

plication Received <u>6-27-57</u> By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
estigation made $7 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3$	<u>City Planning Department</u>
nsidered by Zoning Committee $7-2-5-7-5-7$ cision $7-2-5-7-5-7$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-26-7-5-7$	Hearing date Date 7 - 25 Building Inspector 7-30
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council solution becomes effective plication withdrawn	DateContinued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

a department a definition of the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gero and Helen Farkas, owner and J. A. Farkas, purchaser, to divide Lot 10, Gardena Home Tract into two building sites, 50 ft. by 140 ft., and permit the construction of one single family residence on each parcel, North side of Gardena Avenue east of Illion Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they melate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 3

By.

51

, 19

K

FORM 2145

pplication Received By By City Planning Department
vestigation made But By Buton City Planning Department
Insidered by Zoning Committee $16-3$ Hearing date ecision $akpr$ Date $10-3-1$ Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-4$ Building Inspector $10-4-4$ Inning Commission $10-3-4$ Petitioner $10-4-4$ Peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date
Pplication withdrawn Continued to Date of action

- -

.

AL THE

· · ·

,

* ~ x

.

RESOLUTION NO. 103313

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows;

That Resolution No. 102393 adopted on May 24, 1951, granting Mrs. Julia Nagem a zone variance for the construction of a 22' x 22' triangular addition to existing store building on Lots 35 and 48 Block 80 Resubdivision of Block 80, Park Villas, at 3595 Arizona Street, in Zone R-2, be amended to permit another addition in the form of a 13' x 13' triangle marked with an "X" on the plan attached to Document No. 436546, thereby squaring out the Arizona Street facing said existing store building.

	FRED W. SIC	K
		City Clerk,
Ву	Donald L. S	teinert
		Deputy

ACORN FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9477</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. T. and Marquette Letsinger to erect approximately 441 sq. ft. addition to existing non-conforming residence, which has 4 ft. rear yard, addition to have 202" side yard, at 3252 Highview Drive, Lot 2, except N. 10 ft., Bancroft Terrace, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25 , 19 51

ORM 2145

5

By_

Secretary

plication Received _	6-20-57	By	F.W. mc Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made	7-25-51	By _	allen Jones Landt & South
providered by Zoning cision denice py of Resolution sen	Committee $\underline{7}$ -	$\frac{2\sqrt{1}}{26}$ H	Hearing date Date 7 - 2 G Building Inspector 7 - 30 7 - 2 G Health Department 7 - 30 Council Hearing, date
cision of Council		Ī	Date
solution becomes eff pplication withdrawn me limit extended to			Continued to Date of action

.

•

· · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9591</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{al}ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. T. and Marquette Letsinger to erect approximately 156 sq. ft. addition (garage and workshop) to existing non-conforming residence which has 4 ft. rear yard; addition to have a 11 ft. setback, Let 2, except the north 10 feet, Bancroft Terrace, 3252 Highview Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be Voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the "th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

5**1**

July 25

ated.

pplication Received $7 - 18 - 57$ E	By D. E. South City Planning Department
vestigation made $7 - 25 - 57$ H	By <u>Allen</u> , Jones, Landt + South City Planning Department
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	E Building Inspector 7-30 7-26 Health Department 7-30 Council Hearing, date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

a star i s

1 .

in the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9604</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District to construct 10 ft. Cyclone fence as shown on plans on file in City Planning Office, on property located at 54th Street, South of Maple, West side, on portion of SWI of Section 34. T. 16 S -R. 2W SBM, R-2 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25

FORM 2145

. 1951

Ву____

plication Received _ Z- 18 - 51	_ By 2 Haeleig
	City I laining Department
Vestigation made 7-25-51	_ By <u>Allen</u> , Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
sugation made	City Planning Department
"Sidered by Zoning Committee 7-2	J Hearing date
Cision (An Ann	Date 7-25-51
Dy of Papalutton cont to City Clerk 8-	Date 7-25-51 Building Inspector 8-3-51
Apping Commission & - 3-1 / Petiti	oner 8 - 3 - 5 / Health Department - P - 3 - 5 /
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Ciai filed with City Clerk, date	Date
cision of Council	Date
Solution becomes effective	Continued to
Plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

\$ 7. 2. 1 .

21 "

T. New

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9603</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. L. Crane to construct a twe-story, one family addition in front of an existing duplex, making three units on lot; the two rear units to be served by 5 ft. access to street on Lot 36 and South 122 feet of Lot 37, Block 13, Swan's Addition, 2546-48 - 44th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25

, 19**51**

By_

ORM 2145

pplication Received _ 7 - 18 - 17	By Van Alise
Photocol Terrorian	City Planning Department
vestigation made $7 - 25 - 57$	By <u>Allen Jones, Landt & South</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee $7 - 2$	Hearing date
ecision appropriate Committee	Date 7-1-V-
CUSION Clark 7-2	- Building Inspector 7-30
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clerch, care	Date
ecision of Councilesolution becomes effective	
esolution becomes erreetive	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action

in and tak

- 5

1

1 2 V

34 L.

20201----

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9599</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ⁹lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Guy V. and Gail F. Everist, owners, and Mrs. Mary M. Everist, power of attorney, to construct twe-story building (4 garages and laudry below, and duplex above) with 13 ft. rear yard and 10% over-covered, (approximately)Lots 41 and 42, Block 75, Ocean Beach, 5065-50692 Cape May Ave., Zone R-4.

hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the "th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 25 , 19 51

By_

0 RM 2145

pplication Received By	Van Hise
prication received	City Planning Department
vestigation made 7 - 25 - 51 By_	allen Jones Lault + South City Planning Department
-stigation made	City Planning Department
Physidered by Zoning Committee $2-5J$ H Cision $4ppr$. Decision $2-26$ Bi Decision $2-26$ Bi Decision $2-26$ Bi Decision $2-26$ Bi Decision $2-26$ Bi	earing date
Due Devolution cont to City Clerk 7-26 Bi	ilding Inspector 7-30
anning Commission 7-30 retitioner	- 26 Realth Department /- 20
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
cision of Council Da	ate
solution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn Co	ontinued to
me limit extended to Da	ate of action

• 4

Cars Carde

OT LAND

SCE.

.

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9608</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Marion Hansen to construct single family residence in rear of lot making two family residences on property with no access to street where 3-foot access required, at 5626 La Jolla Blvd., Lot 17, Block 16, Bird Rock City-by-the-Sea, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 25_____, 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

plication Received <u>7-18-11</u> By Va	a Hice
	City Planning Department
vestigation made By_alle	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>7-25-57</u> Hearing of cision Denied Date 7	late
cision Denied Date 7	5-1
ny of Perclution sent to City Clerk 7-26 Building	Inspector 7-30
Inning Commission 7-30 Petitioner 7-26	Health Department 7 - 30
neal filed with City Clerk, date Council H	learing, date
cision of Council Date	
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn Continued	to
me limit extended to Date of a	ction

100 100 dist

,

and the second of the standard and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9587</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marshall H. and Myrtle B. Carver to construct a third living unit on property, being Lots 41 through 44, Block 24, Ocean Beach, 4671 Newport Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25

ORM 2145

By_

, 191____

R

Pplication Received By Wan Nise
City Planning Department
westigation made By_allen Loudt Jones + South
unsidered by Zoning Committee 7-21- Hearing date
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-26$ Building Inspector $7-36$
reision appril
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector
Anning Commission 7-30 Petitioner 1-26 Health Department 1-30
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
Pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9486</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry R. Adams to erect a garage with zero side yard adjacent to the west property line which property line is within 0.9 ft. of existing building on westerly portion of Lot 282, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, North side of Ridgeway Drive approximately 200 ft. east of Palisades Road, Zone R-1, garage to be 90 ft. from front property line. In Kensington Heights #3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25 , 19 51

By

Secretary

ORM 2145

plication Received 7-11-57	By F. W. Mc Connell
vestigation made	By <u>Allen</u> Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 7 - 25-
Cision 6404	Date $7 - 2v^{-1}$
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-2	7 Building Inspector 7-30
Inning Commission 7-30 Petition	er 7-27 Health Department 7- 20
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
Plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

•

. .

2

.

Carles .

1217 1 TAL 1

E C

t. 1 f - 1 - 1 - 1

wat by the lot

A LAND TO A LAND TO THE POLICY A

RESOLUTION NO. 5728

Letter dated June 29, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

That an extension of one year from the expiration date of Resolution No. 4558, dated April 5, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 2174, dated April 10, 1947, be granted to H. G. Fenton Material Co. to make a shallow gravel excavation and to operate a small rock crushing plant and a concrete mixing plant, according to the legal description of the property on file in the Planning Department Office, in Chollas Valley, East of 35th St. to Fairmount Ave., Zones R-1, R-2, R-4 and C, on the following conditions:

- 1. The small rock crushing plant and concrete mixing plant and all buildings to be placed on the West 1/2 of Lot 20, Horton's Purchase, Ex-Mission Lands, lying North of Federal Blvd.;
- 2. At the expiration date of this Resolution, all equipment will be removed from the property, the holes will be filled and the area will be left in clean condition, and an adequate channel will be provided;
- 3. As agreed upon by the petitioner, at the City's request, an easement will be granted to the City for the widening or extension of Chollas Valley Parkway to a width of 100 ft., and a right-of-way for Wabash Canyon Rd. on widths as required;
- 4. This permit to expire on June 30, 1952.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 & No. 32, N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 25, 1951 _ , 19___

By_

ated_

Commun. Ication Received	- 5 - 51	By		
			City Planning Dep	
stigation made $-7-$	25-5-	_ By_allen	Laudt)	Jones & South
			City Planning Dep	artment
idered by Zoning Con	mittee 7-25	W/ Hearing date	9	
in an 10 ap	Dr.	Date 7	27 - 21	and the state
of Decolution sent to	City Clerk 7-2	6 V/ Building Ins	pector /	20-5-1
ning Commission 7	- 30-JJ Petition	ner 7-26-VI	Health Departm	nent 7-30-17
al filed with City Clerk	, date	Council Hea	ring, date	
sion of Council		Date	0,	
lution becomes effectiv	e			
ication withdrawn		Continued to)	
limit extended to		Date of action	n	the second s
of Resolution sent to ning Commission 7 al filed with City Clerk sion of Council lution becomes effectiv ication withdrawn limit extended to	City Clerk 7-2 - 31 -17 Petition c, date	ner 7 - 26 - 47 Council Hea Date Continued to	Health Department	20 -5-1 nent 7-30 -17

2 12 1

*

1.11 36

1.000

and the second s

-

and the second second

.

.

4

The second

i.

1152

11 a 11

This

The state of the

BREAL .

G 2 F

20.00

÷ *

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Will D., Jr., and Dorothy S. Rudd, and Wayne O. and Crystal R. Zook, owner, and Grant O. Lee, purchaser, to construct residence with 6 ft. 6 in. setback where the average setback of the block is 11 ft., at S.E. corner of Rhode Island and Golden Gate Drive, Wly 60 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 31, University Heights, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25 , 19 51

By

ORM 2145

plication Received By	Van Hese
	City Flanning Department
vestigation made 7-25-57 By	allew, Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
8	Citý Planning Department
Insidered by Zoning Committee 7-21 H	Hearing date
insidered by Zoning Committee 7-21 H cision Denued	Date 7-25
a C Devolution cent to (Ity (lerk 9 - 26	$\frac{111}{110}$
· Commission > Petitioner	7-26 Health Department / TA
apost filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of CouncilI	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

u n

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9507</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. L. Lyford Yeates to erect 10 ft. by 20 ft. addition to existing garage with 10 ft. setback from El Paseo Grande, where avarage of the block is 26 ft., portions of Lots 1 and 2, per legal description on file in Planning Office, Block 6, La Jolla Shores, 7975 Calle de la Plata, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated July 25 , 19_51

By_

K

FORM 2145

pplication Received 6-20-51 B	By <u>I. W. M & Councll</u> City Planning Department
	by allen, Jones Landt + South
nsidered by Zoning Committee 7-25 cision appr Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26 Inning Commission 7-30 Petitioner	Hearing date Date 7-2- Building Inspector 7-30
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	7- 26 Health Department 7-30 Council Hearing, date
solution becomes effective	_ Date
ne limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 9583 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): 5

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Veva Hughs to operate a repair shop for small remission is nereby granted to min. The angles to operate a repair shop for small household electrical appliances on property located at 3286 "F" Street, Lots 45 and 46, Block 126, Choates Addition, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum 20 hrs. per week;
- 2. Maximum of 5 h.p. motors;
- 3. No signs to be erected on property;
- Permit to expire June 30, 1952. 4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted a variance to the provisited above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 19 51 July 25 ated_

By

Secretary

ORM 2145

plication Received 7-18-17 By	Van Hise City Planning Department
vestigation made By	Allen, Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee $7-35^{-1}$ cision Concel. appri- Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $7-27$ inning Commission $7-30$ Petitioner Peal filed with City Clerk, date cision of Council	Hearing date Date 7-20 Building Inspector 7-30 7-27 Health Department 7-30 Council Hearing, date
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

- - - **-**

.....

÷

and a second second

- Charles - Store

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9600</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Malin Burnham to construct residence with 25 ft. setback on Silvergate Place and Silvergate Avenue where average of block is more than 35 feet, portion Pueblo Lot 129, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on Southeast corner of Silvergate Avenue and Silvergate Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_____July 25_____, 19_51

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received <u>7-19-57</u> By <u>DE South</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>7-25-57</u> By <u>allen Jones Landt + Sou</u> City Planning Department
ansidered by Zoning Committee 7-25 Hearing date
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-26</u> Building Inspector <u>7-30</u>
Pv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-26 Building Inspector 2-30
Inning Commission / 30 Petitioner / 26 Health Department 7 - 50
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ccision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
Plication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

and the second sec

ALTER A

Fight - marks

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9575</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. C. Francisco and Sally Armistad to convert a garage to living quarters with no sideyard, Lot E, Block 148. Mission Beach, 816 El Carmel Place, Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking space for 2 cars be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______, 19_51

ORM 2145

5

By_

Secretary

and the second sec	1.
Pplication Received 7-18-57 B	y Van Alexe
Westigation made 7-25-57 B	y <u>Allen Jones Landt + Source</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made	City Planning Department
unsidered by Zoning Committee 7- 15	Hearing date
insidered by Zoning Company	Date 7-25-
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-26	Building Inspector > - 30
anning Commission 7-30 Petitioner	7-26 Health Department 7-30
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clerk, dute	Date
cision of Council	
solution becomes effective	Continued to
Polication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	

-lo - ta p - b lo - c - cos

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude Chandler, owner, and Harry Epsten, purchaser, to build and operate a 112-unit addition to the El Rey Trailer Plaza, located at 303 - 47th Street, Lots 274-335. 338-356, 365-397, Sunshine Gardens, R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- That a compact evergreen hedge at least 2 ft. high and a 5 ft. fence be constructed 1. around the entire property, said fence and hedge to be completed either prior to occupancy of this additional unit or that the owner present a signed, valid contract occupancy of this additional unit of and hedge within 45 days of the date of final approval;
- That the park be completely in accordance with plans submitted and in compliance 2. with the Trailer Court Ordinance;
- That the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Zoning Committee: 3.
- That no portion of the 112-unit addition be occupied until that addition is completed 4. and written final approval is granted by the City Health Department, Building Department and Planning Department;

(OVER)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ Sept. 19 ____, 19_51

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 5734

NOT A THE ACT STOLES the product at the course the bunner subbed and the con thrace Model = definition = defin 19/10/21 the second of the * 1.12 State Sugar A. Print Bart 11.5 8 That this be granted for a period expiring on June 30, 1956. °9 second feats to betastbeb notitor of shart facates of to stagit second off to Saftners shi or Lo to Servet purposes, and also the granting of the Thedrave shall be granted subject to the dedication of the Wortherly ·G

plication Received 3-28-51 By 7. W. M. Connecl

Insidered by Zoning Committee 9-19-57 Hearing date 9-19-51

Peal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____

Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-20-57 Building Inspector 9-21-51

Date

anning Commission 4 - 21-07 Petitioner 9-20-57 Health Department 9-21-57

vestigation made 4-4-5-1 + 9-19-51 By allen +

vision conde appr.

solution becomes effective

me limit extended to

cision of Council

plication withdrawn

40* 3124

Landt + Burton

City Planning Department

The state of the second s

O City Planning Department

Fones

Date 4-19-5-1

Continued to

Date of action

CARA CALINE THE ALL THE STREET BINS ST TOYNE IN THE STREET CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

The state of an and the state of a state of the state of
RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 9205 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is not necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That the following described property, Lot 274-335, 338-356, 365-397 Block Subdivision Sunshine Gardens Clande Chandler owner Marry Epsten purch subject to the following conditions 1. That a compact evergreen hedge at least 2 ft. high and a 5 ft. fence be constructed around the entire property, said fence and hedge to be completed either prior to occupancy of this additional unit or that the owner present a signed, valid contract for the completion of the fence and hedge within 45 days of the date of final approval; That the park be completely in accordance with plans submitted and in compliance with 2. the Trailer Court Ordinance; That the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Zoning Committee; That no portion of the 112-unit addition be occupied until that addition is completed 3. 4. That no portion of and is granted by the City Health Department, Building Department and Planning Department; That this variance shall be granted subject to the dedication of the Northerly 623 ft. of Lots 385 to 397 for street purposes, and also the granting of the access rights of the of Lots 385 to 397 for street purpeses; (OVER) Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. ZONING COMMITTEE City of San Diego, California

mile

By

Res. No. 5735

dication Passing 3-28-51	By J.W. Mc Connell
action Received	By J.W. Inc Connell City Planning Department
Cation 4-4-51 + 9-19-	51 By allew & Jones - Leudt + Burto
	City Planning Department
"idered by Zoning Committee	-51 Hearing data 9-19-5-1
"Idered by Zoning Committee	heating date
Isi- Cond'l akkes.	Date 9-19-51
- 01	571 Building Inspector 9-21-51
of Resolution sent to City Clerk	inspector
Aning Commission 9-21-51 Petitic	oner 9-20-51 Health Department 9-21-5-
and and a set of all date	
filed with City Clerk, date	A starting facto
ision of Council	Date
elus, s status	
tion becomes effective	Continued to
"Ication Withdrawn	
· links autoridad to	Date of action
aut extended to	

and no potter a but the real to be and the potential of the second state to the second

Free and so and for the fill speak. You will it the starting of the country ine

the contraction of the contracti

the first of the second of the spectrum of the second to the second of the second of the second

LINESSE THE THE STORE STORE

A T TEL CLUP TO BE C

C. S.CA ...

111-1-1-1-1

.3221 .05 saut no gaining a ported for a period expiring on June 30, 1956.

the state of the second state of the second state and the second state of the second s

the second of here a short approach in the second second states of the is part they don't not such the principal and and a set at

RESOLUTION NO. 103472

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Henry H. Hester, 315 South Virgil Avenue, Los Angeles 5, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee on the provisions imposed by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5736, application No. 9576, granting permission to construct a 4-unit apartment with 4 garages, on Lot 4, Block 114, southeasterly of 3030 Macaulay Street, Roseville, in Zone R-4, on condition that the garages be located in back of a line drawn at an angle of 30° from Macaulay Street and commencing at the most southerly corner of Lot 4, Block 114, Roseville, be, and it is hereby overruled and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 103472 If the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council AllG. 2.3. 1951 FRED W. SICK FRED W. SICK Donald L. Steinert By....

FORM 1270

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9576</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry H. Hester to construct a 4-unit apartment with 4 garages, on Lot 4, Block 114, SEly of 3030 Macaulay Street, Roseville, Zone R-4, on condition that the garages be located back of a line drawn at an angle of 30° from Macaulay Street and commencing at the most Southerly corner of Lot 4, Block 114, Roseville.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Monthall 23.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ , 19_51

ORM 2145

By

Secretary

pplication Received	7-12-57 B	By Educa C. City Planning Departmen	nt
westigation made	8-8-51 E	By <u>Allen Land</u> City Planning Department	* Burton
ansidered by Zoning Co	ommittee 8-8-	Hearing date Date 8 - 8 Building Inspector 8 - 70 Health Department	
anning Commission	8 70 Petitioner	o - 9 Ileann Department	8-10
Ppeal filed with City Cle	rk, date	Date	
solution becomes effect	ive		
Pplication withdrawn _		Continued to	
me limit extended to		Date of action	and the second

* (<mark>. .</mark>

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9555</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Oda D. Irwin to erect 6-unit apartment building on Lots 5 through 8, Block 14, La Jolla Strand, Southwest corner of Resement Street and Vista Del Mar Avenue, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Nol 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ , 19_5]

FORM 2145

By

28

pplication Received	By P. J. Burton
opplication Received	City Planning Department
uvestigation made 8 - F	By <u>Allen, Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date Date 8-8
PPy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-9	Duilding Inspector 8 - 10
Ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
auning Commission 3 70 returning	
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9512</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to 0. 0. and R. E. Maurer to build and operate three living units in two buildings, with 4-car garage, Northerly side of Niagara, 165 feet Easterly of Ebers Street, on Northwest 1/2 Lot 7, all of Lots 8 and 9, Block 24, Ocean Beach, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 8

, 19<u>51</u>

By_

FORM 2145

pplication Received By	P. J. Burton City Planning Department
westigation made <u>8-8-51</u> By	allen Landt & Burton City Planning Department
Unsidered by Zoning Committee $\frac{8-9}{9}$ ecision $\frac{8-9}{9}$ Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{8-9}{9}$ anning Commission $\frac{8-9}{9}$ Petitioner	Hearing date
ecision appr.	Date 8-8-51
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-9	Building Inspector 8-10
lanning Commission 8-10 Petitioner	8-9 Health Department 8-10.
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

. . . .

이 속 나라 등을 걸려 있는

.

Perick Kes. + 5739

RESOLUTION NO. 103727

U

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Resolution No. 103569, adopted August 30, 1951, be, and it is hereby amended as follows:

The conditions set forth in paragraph marked "2" shall read as follows:

"2. That a one-story building approximately 30 x 100 feet be constructed of concrete blocks adjacent to the rear lot line."

APPROVED as

to form by J. P. DuPAUL, City Attorney

By Deputy City Attorney

RESOLUTION No.103569

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of LLOYD T. PENICK from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5739, application No. 9652 for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 to permit the construction and operation of a contractor's yard in Lots 1 through 5, Block 2, Broderick and West Addition at 34th and Pickwick Streets, in Zone R-4, be, and the same is hereby sustained and that said Resolution No. 5739 of the Zoning Committee be, and the same is hereby overruled; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Appellant, Lloyd T. Penick be and he is hereby permitted to construct and operate a contractor's yard at above-referred to location, upon the following conditions:

- That the entire premises be enclosed with a 6-foot high painted fence with the exception of the fifteen feet of property adjacent to Pickwick Street in the setback area;
- That a one-story building approximately 30x100 feet be constructed of concrete blocks;
- 3. That the building and premises be used for the storage of materials and equipment ordinarily used in a concrete contractor's business;
- 4. That the premises be not used for the manufacturing or building mixing of concrete or other/materials nor for the fabrication of building materials;

A 55 That the frontage adjacent to Wabash Boulevard, Pickwick Street and 34th Street be landscaped with an eugenia hedge and/or other planting.

Deputy City Attorney.

Presented by APPROVED as to form by J. F. DuPaul, City Attorney,

By

1 miles

RESOLUTION NO. 103474

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

filed appearle 8-10-5-1

That the appeal of Lloyd T. Penick, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5739, application No. 9652, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, to permit the construction and operation of a contractor's yard in Lots 1 through 5, Block 2, Broderick and West Addition, at 34th and Pickwick Streets, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby referred to the Planning Director and to the City Attorney to work out the details of a Resolution for a zone variance to permit operation of a contractor's service yard as shown above, and continuing the hearing until August 30, 1951.

I hereby certify the above to be a the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopt	103474 a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. August 23, 1951 ted by said Council
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk. DONALD L. STEINERT By
CON FORM 1270	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. 9652 on which has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are <u>no</u> involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lloyd T. Penick to construct and operate contractor's yard in Lots 1 through 5, Block 2, Broderick and West Addition, 34th and Pickwick Streets, R-4 Zone.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Arman 23 And 23

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted August 8 , 1951

RM 2145

By

Secretary

plication Received	By Van Hise
estimation made S-8-51	By Allen, Landt + Burton City Planning Department
resugation made	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 8-8-51
cision Devied	Date 8-8-J-1
	- (1) Duilding Insector 8-10-1(-1
inning Commission Clerk date 8-9	Council Hearing, date 8-23-51
peal filed with City Clerk, dute	Date
cision of Council	
solution becomes effective	Continued to
plication withdrawn	Date of action
ne limit extended to	Date of action

na rul de la companya Na companya de la comp

and the second the second second

· . ·

2.0 Jack for the second 12. P. 11 . 1

,

stor - Rut Million

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9726</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob and Blanche Weinberger to construct single family residence with 7 foot setback on Lot 14, Randolph Terrace, Northeasterly corner of Circle named Randolph Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described a bove.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

10

FORM 2145

plication Received	_ By Burton City Planning Department
vestigation made $10 - 3 - 51$	
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-3</u> cision <u>10-3</u> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-3</u> unning Commission <u>10-5</u> <u>17</u> Petition peal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> cision of Council <u></u>	$\frac{4}{10} = \frac{10}{10} = \frac{10}$
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and the second states

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9181</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George P. and Gladys L. Pearson to divide out parcel of land not of record at time of zoning and permit construction of single family residence, on portion of Lot 10 (known as Lot A), La Mesa Colony, South side of Rose Street, 150 ft. West of 63rd; on condition that an easement is granted five feet in width across front of entire property fronting on Rose Street, being approximately 222 ft. frontage on Rose Street, and not including the Easterly 150 ft. on which the present Pearson residence is located, shown as Parcel "A" on map, Exhibit I, attached to application on file in City Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

^tted August 8 , 19<u>51</u>

By

0 RM 2145

y_ F. W. Mc Connell_
City Planning Department
y <u>Alley Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 7 - 8 - 51
Date 7-8-51 Building Inspector 7-10-57
8-9 Health Department 8-10
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

Sec. 13

the first state of a line of the second of the second state of the property is the second state of the sec

The second to be second on file at the destroy of the

mid lices is find that for the solution of the solution o

TO UP CONDITION OF the Clear AL 240 Dates

10. 6 M 31/1

The second stress are more than the second the second

and who consists the present of the present the

a source a construction and the product of the second states of the seco

A set best bound to all the Zoning Countil the

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>8864</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John N. Sedlack to divide lots into 2 parcels with permission to build single family residence on each parcel, one parcel being 65 ft. x S6.75 ft., the other parcel being 60 ft. x S6.75 ft. or more, on Lots 119.76,77,78 and portion of 79, Oakmere, northeast corner of intersection of Millar and Rose Streets, Zone R-1, on condition that regular City setback Ordinance is observed on Millar Street and the average setback on the block is observed on Rose Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

plication Received <u>7-16-57</u> By	D. E. South City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>8-8-11</u> By	allen Lendt + South City Planning Department
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-9</u> anning Commission <u>8-10</u> Petitioner Peal filed with City Clerk, date	8 -9 Health Department 8 -10
solution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

Ĩ.

in Sector and the sector

in a state of a state of the state

44 4 4 M 11 11 811. A. E. T.

Barra

.

5.J

Bez Trees

Mar

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9541</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. and Lillian Northcutt to convert existing single family residence on front of lot to duplex with 4 ft. between front and rear residence; rear residence has 6 ft. rear yard; on Lot 9, Block 61, Culverwell and Taggart, 744 - 21st Street, Zone R-4 - on condition that surfaced off-street parking space for one car be provided and maintained on the property back of setback line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 8 _____ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

plication Received	By D. E. South
Petizetion made 8 - 8 - 5-1	By <u>Allen Leadt & Benton</u> City Planning Department
resugation made	City Planning Department
restigation made	Hearing date 8-8-51
ision Coull oppo.	Date 8-8-51
as Devolution cent to City Clerk 7-9	_ Building Inspector 8-10
Pring Commission 8-10 Petitione	r 8-9 Health Department 8-10
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

•

1

2

1

the set of the set of

. . .

see Reso 103568

RESOLUTION NO. 103473

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Robert L. Holladay, Route 1, Box 116, San Diego 10, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5744, application No. 9584, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 (New Series), to erect and maintain a retail store to permit the sale of dairy products, ice cream, poultry, eggs and farm products, and to erect buildings incidental thereto. on portion of Pueblo Lot 1112 at 619 to 631 Camino Del Rio (Mission Valley). in Zone R-1A, be, and it is hereby referred to the Planning Director and the City Attorney for preparation of a Resolution granting the variance. enumerating therein the restrictions to be imposed, and continuing the I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 103473 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council August 23, 1951

	FRED W	S.	ICK
			City Clerk.
P.	DONALD	L.	STEINERT
Dy			Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9584</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mat</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mat</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to R. L., Jim and Stella Holladay to erect and maintain retail store to sell dairy products, icecream, poultry, eggs, and farm products such as fruit, vegetables and flowers, and to erect buildings incidental thereto, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, legal description on file in City Planning Office, 619 - 625 and 631 Camino Del Ric, Zone R-1A.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

N N

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated __August 8_____, 19_51

By_

plication Received 7-19-51 By	Van Alini
plication Received by	City Planning Department
vestigation made $\underbrace{\$-\$-\$-\$1}$ By	allen, Landt & Burton
resugation made	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee $9-8-57$ cision Serviced py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8-9-57$	Hearing date 8-8-5-1
ision Kenning	Date 8-8-51
av of Devolution cont to City Clerk 8-9-57	Building Inspector 8-10-51
by of Resolution sent to only chemister	8-9-57 Health Department 8-10-57
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing date
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

· and part of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9609</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter W. Johnson to construct addition to residence and both to maintain existing 9 ft. rear yard, on West 50 ft. of Lot 1 and 2, Block 106, University Heights, 4391 Hamilton Street, Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced parking space for one automobile be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance S924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING' COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1951_____, 1951_____

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

v 65

plication Received 7-19-51 By D.E. South City Planning Department
Pestigation made <u>8-8-51</u> By <u>Allen</u> , <u>Randt + Burton</u> City Planning Department Date 8-8 Date 8-8 Building Inspector <u>8-10-51</u> Petitioner 8-9 Health Department 8-10 Department 8-10 Health Department 8-10 Council Hearing, date
nsidered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
cision conde. apper. Date 8-8
y of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-9 Building Inspector 8-10-51
inning Commission 8-10 Petitioner 8-9 Health Department 8-10
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

,

A. The set of the set

1

the set and programming

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9607</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. and Esther Goodman to divide three 25 ft. lots into two parcels with the right to build single family residence on each, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 169, Pacific Beach, SE corner Diamond and Noyes Streets, provided the setback on Noyes is not less than that of the garage on Lots 39 and 40 of Block 169, and the setback on Diamond Street is the average of the block, or complies with the City Setback Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 N.S. and No. 8924, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 8

, 19 51

By_

Secretary

ORM 2145

lication Received <u>7-20-5-1</u> B	y Van Arie City Planning Department
Stigation made $3 - 8 - 5 - 5 - 5$ B	y <u>Alley Laudt + Benton</u> City Planning Department Hearing date
sidered by Zoning Committee $8-8$ ision could appr. y of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8-9$ ming Commission $8-10$ Petitioner	Date 7-8 Building Inspector 8-10
al filed with City Clerk, date	8 - 9 Health Department 8 - 10 Council Hearing, date Date
lication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
e limit extended to	

The second s

,

e' = 2

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas and Rose Garber to alter and repair third floor apartment in a 6-unit apartment building with a 5-foot rear yard, on Lot F, Block 220, 126 West Date Street, Horton's Addition, Zone C. on condition that offstreet surfaced parking space for four automobiles be provided and maintained on the property and that the roof and posts on the north side of building within the five-foot rear yard be removed entirely.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 5A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____August 8_____, 19___51

By___

Secretary

Dia

FORM 2145

plication Received 7-23-51 By	City Planning Department
estigation made $8 - 8 - 51$ B	y <u>alley Landt & Berton</u> City Planning Department
ision coule. appr.	Hearing date Date 8 - 5 Building Inspector R = 10
nning Commission 8-10 Petitioner	8 - 9 Health Department 8 - 10 - 1-1 Council Hearing, date
lution becomes effective	Date
clication withdrawn	Continued to
e limit extended to	Date of action

. .

a state state state and a state state state

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1. 211mg

.

The states of and the second

BOTH T.

.

TL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9563</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Alan C. and Ethel M. Kamplain to erect single family residence on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, lying NWLy of Curlew Street, easterly of Dove Street closed, and northerly of Upas Street, in Portion of Pueblo Lot 1122 and portion of Pueblo Lot 1123, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Zones R-2 and R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12985, and No. 8924, Secton 4A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

B3

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 8 , 19_51

By_

Secretary

ORM 2145

0
D. E. South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
<u>Allen, Landt + Benton</u> City Planning Department
Hearing date
Building Inspector 7 -10
7-9 Health Department 7-10
Date 8-8 Building Inspector <u>8-10</u> 8-9 Health Department 8-10 Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

Kamp

CC+ LEN

5.4

UPAS

un a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9624</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. A. Jerauld to construct sun porch to existing residence with 26 ft. setback where average of block is 28 feet, Lot 1, Block 17, Nordica Heights No. 2, 2105 South 41st Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_51

By

Secretary Res

FORM 2145

Tost, A. C.	
plication Received 7-25-57	By New Hice
	City Planning Department
estigation made 8 - 8 - 51	By allens Landt & Benton City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
sidered by Zoning Committee 8-8	Hearing date 8-8-5-1
Lision & a start	Date 8 - 8 e r /
w of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-9-	-57 Building Inspector 8 -10
ming Commission 7 -10 Petition	er 8 - 9 Health Department 8 - 10
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
vision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

State .

200

WEST ALL THE

TRACHT THE STATE

9613 WHEREAS, Application No. ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- not That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to 3. That the granting of the application will_ the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ _adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Margaret M. Clark to build for residential use on property without street frontage on Portion of Lots 5, 6 and 7. the Southerly 50 ft. of the Northerly 175 ft. of Block 294 except the Easterly 91 ft., on the East side of State Park, approximately 90 ft. North of Oliver Street, in Pacific Beach, Zone C, provided an easement for street purposes be granted as shown on Map, under Document No. 431577 filed in the City Clerk's Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal I filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated August 8

ORM 2145

By_

_ , 19<mark>51</mark>
plication Received 7-2-6-5-1 By	
restigation made <u>8 - 8 - 5 - 5 - 1</u> By	<u>Allen Landt Burton</u> City Planning Department
Asidered by Zoning Committee $8 - 8$ dision condition committee $8 - 8$ Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8 - 10$	Hearing date
usion conde appr.	Date 8-8
" of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector X-10
mning Commission 7 7 6 Petitioner	y - 10 Health Department y -, p
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
^{sol} ution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

.

CAP TO

has.

RESOLUTION NO. 103471

FORM 1270

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dr. Frank J. Dingeman and Helen N. Dingeman, 3125 Dickens Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution Nos. 5751, 5752 and 5753, application Nos. 9400, 9401 and 9402, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to construct three single family residences with no setback on the westerly side of Coronado Street, between Froude Street and Guizot Street, one on Lot 40 and 10 feet street closed; one on Lot 41 and 10 feet street closed; and one on Lot 42 and 10 feet street closed, Block 10, Ocean Beach, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained. I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 103471 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council AUG 2 3 1951 FRED W. SICK Filed oppeal 9-13-51 City Clerk. Donald I. Steinert By..... Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9400</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct a single family residence with no setback on Westerly side of Coronado Street between Froude and Guizot Streets on Lot 40 and 10 ft. street closed adjacent, Block 10, Ocean Beach, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Orpha Dug 23.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 8 , 1951

By_

30

Nisial

With Sugar	and the second and the second of the second
application Received 7-26-5-1	By Stalouth
	City Planning Department
avestigation made 8-8-17	By allen, Landt & Benton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8 - 1	Hearing date
recision Denied	Date 7-8
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-9	Building Inspector 8-10
lanning Commission 9-10 Petition	ner 8 -9 Health Department 8 - 10 - 5-1
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

and the second states of

a share a state of the state of

1.1 1 2

July and

,

WT. C.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9401</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct single family residence with no setback on Westerly side of Coronado Street between Froude and Guizot Streets on Lot 41 and 10 ft. street closed adjacent, Block 10, Ocean Beach. Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

ame and 23

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 8</u>, 19 51 FORM 2145

Secretary

0 ju

0
By D. E. South
City Planning Department
By <u>Allen, Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date 8-8-51
Date 8-8-5-1
Building Inspector 8-10
er 8 - 9 Health Department 8 - 10
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

510 -

11 F La Anna

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9402</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct single family residence with no setback on Westerly side of Coronado Street between Froude and Guizot Streets on Lot 42 and 10 ft. street closed adjacent, Block 10, Ocean Beach, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

appender 3.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

By_

Secretary

5753

reier

pplication Received7	- 26 - J7 By	D.E. South City Planning Department
		City Planning Department
vestigation made 8 -	8 -57 By	<u>Allen Landt Benton</u> City Planning Department
		City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Comm	ittee 8-8	Hearing date
Decision Dominal		Date 8-8 Building Inspector 8-18
Opy of Resolution sent to Cit	ty Clerk 9 7	Building Inspector 8-18
lanning Commission 7-	10 Petitioner	8 -9 Health Department 8 -10
Appeal filed with City Clerk, d	ate	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
esolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn		Continued to
fime limit extended to		Date of action

. .

" The I want to "

and the state what it is the second

Tro story to a company of

,

LINE IN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9622</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is here by granted to Mrs. Laura B. Reed to add 12 ft. x 14 ft. screened porch addition to residence with no sideyard, on Lot 36, Block 139, Mannasse and Schiller, 1749 Logan Ave., Zone M-1, on condition the proposed addition has the required side yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 8

, 19 51

By

FORM 2145

Dated.

Application Received 7-27-51	By D.E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-8-5-1}{1}$	By <u>Allen, Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-8</u> Decision Conde. appr.	Hearing date
Decision Coude, appr.	Date 8-8
LODY of Resolution sent to City Clerk	_ Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8 70 Petitioner	8-9 Health Department 8-10
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9623</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Mary Orozco to construct 100 feet of concrete retaining wall 11 feet high on Lots 25 and 26 and North 40 feet of Lots 27 and 28, Block 57, H. M. Higgins Addition, Northeast corner of Broadway and 27th Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19___51

By

Secretary

k

C 29

pplication Received 7-27-5-1 By Van Hese City Planning Department	
westigation made 8-8-51 By allen, And Lendt & Burto	n
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-8</u> Hearing date Date 9-7 Decision Copper. Date 9-7 Decision Sent to City Clerk <u>8-9</u> Building Inspector <u>8-10</u>	
Decision appr. Date 9-7	
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-9</u> Building Inspector <u>7-10</u>	
anning Commission 8 -10 Petitioner 8 - 9 Health Department 8 - 10	
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
ime limit extended to Date of action	

÷ ..

Sec.

,

· W. A. A. A. C. Mark.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Josephine A. Ramsay to construct single family residence on portion of Pueblo Lot 1785, per legal description on fike in Planning Office, North side of Alta Vista Street approximately 159 feet West of Soledad Road. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 8

By_

k

225

FORM 2145

Dated

pplication Received 7-27-51 B	Ruth T.
	City Planning Department
avestigation made $\frac{7-8-57}{1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	y allen, Landt Burton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 8 - 8	Hearing date
becision becision bopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-9</u> lanning Commission 8-10 Petitioner Uppeal filed with City Clerk date	Date 8 - 8
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-9	Building Inspector 8 70
Janning Commission 8 -10 Petitioner	8 -9 Health Department 8 - 10
sppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
tesolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action



WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- not 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. Rex Jones to convert storage room with attached garage to living unit with 3 ft. between buildings, no side and no rear yards, making two living units on lot, approximately 38 sq. ft. over-covered, on West 65 feet of Lot 1, Block 193, Pacific Beach, 711 Felspar Street, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa be, and is herey DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

19____51 Dated

ZONING COMMITTEE ITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 5757

Tr.

and the second se	
Pplication Received 7- 27 - 57	By Van Heze
	City Planning Department
avestigation made 8-8-51	By Landt aller & Buston City Planning Department
Sidered by Loning Committee Ar Ary	7 Hearing date
ecision Denied	Date 8-8-5-1
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18-	Building Inspector 9-19-57
lanning Commission 9-19-S7Petitioner	9-18-57 Health Department 9-19-51
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
Ime limit extended to	Date of action

1 6 4

.

Mar .

196 4

Cocili Siz

Staty i .

1.1.1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6939</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John E. Birdsall to convert 2nd floor of existing building to living unit with 5 ft. rear yard, and having access through a 5 ft. court, on Lot 3, Block 14, South Park, 1527 - 30th Street, Zone C, on condition that the fence and gates across 10-foot access court are removed before the apartment is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Upplication Received 7-30-	51 By Van Mese City Planning Department
-Fracation Received	City Planning Department
avestigation made _8 - 8 - 5	By <u>allen Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee _	8-8-5-57 Hearing date F-8-51
recision conde. appr.	Date 8-8-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Cler	bate 8-8-51 k 8-9-51 Building Inspector 8-10-5-1
Janning Commission 8-10-51	Petitioner 8-9-51 Health Department 8-10-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action
This is the second seco	

particular is a second receiver a start of a second

Street + + Harris Parking

1. p

THE WE ST

1671

.

Table at the

13 5

1 7 1

. ,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9646</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Ferdinand and Regina Pechar to construct twostory single family residence with 4 ft. 6 inch. between living units on Lots 43-44, Block 7, Imperial Heights, 3821 Florence Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and and hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Dated_

August 8

By_

51

, 19

Ic

Application ReceivedB	v D. South
	City Planning Department
avestigation made $3 - 8 - 51$ B	y <u>Allen Landt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
0.0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-8-5-1	Hearing date 8-8-51
Decision & and	Date 8-8-51
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-9-17	Building Inspector 8-10-5-1
Planning Commission 8 - 10 - 57 Petitioner	Building Inspector 8-10-5-1 8-9-57 Health Department 8-10-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
lime limit extended to	Date of action

and a star starting that had a start

and the Barris Barris - Rolling -

A 14

,

1.18 4.

TANK FRANK ALASHA TRAFT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9632</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Shirley L. Thomas and Leon Leopold to excavate approximately 6,000 cu. yards of dirt to be removed to Lots 29, 31 and 32, Block 13, Valencia Park No. 2, on Lots 6 and 7, Block 14, Valencia Park No. 2, South side of San Onofre between Miraflores and Las Flores, to have a maximum 10-ft. bank, subject to any conditions that may be attached to any excavation permit issued by the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August 8 _____, 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

4 175,

Application Received 7-31-51	By J.W. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
avestigation made 8-8-5-1	By <u>Allen Landt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 8-8	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-8 Decision could. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-9	Date 8 - 8
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	_ Building Inspector 8-10
Planning Commission & - 10 Petitioner	8-9 Health Department 8-10-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

というき あいいち ちょうと あいしいみ しつかい たん あいち したばいのためい かいちかるい

and a set of the set o

S Dear

1

*

A set the set of a set of a set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9653</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Shirley L. Thomas to excavate approximately 3.000 cu. yd. of dirt, major portion to be moved to front of same lot, and some to be used for fill on Lot 12, Lot 16, Block 23, Valencia Park No. 2, South side of San Onofre Terrace approximately 30 ft. West of Olvera Ave., Zone R-1, subject to any conditions that may be attached to any excavation permit issued by the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 8 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

- 175

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ſ ſ
Application Received 8-2-51	By Van Alexe
	City Planning Department
nvestigation made 8-8-5-	By_ <u>Alley_Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Decision condic. appr. Period Decision Condic. appr. Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-	-8 Hearing date
recision conde. appr.	Date 8-8
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	9 Building Inspector 8 - 10
lanning Commission 8-10 Petiti	ioner 8 - 9 Health Department 8 - 10 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
lesolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

10.0

The second s

a second second second second second second second population and a second s

10 a # 63. 7

,

STLAT P. -

ARBIT

100+ 10+ 11. T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9535</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to General Petroleum Corporation, Geo. L. Alton, Const. Sup't (lessee) to construct a sign 5 ft. out beyond property line on post to be erected 6 ft. out beyond setback line, on property located on the Easterly side of Pacific Highway between Maple and Nutmeg Streets, Tidelands, per legal description on file in the City Planning Office, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the sign will be moved back if requested by the City for street widening purposes at no expense to the City;
- 2. That an agreement to this effect will be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ^sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^{is} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 16 , 19 51

By_

Application Received 7- 31-51 By	
avestigation made 8 - 8 - 5-1 By	allen, Landt & Barton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	o realth Department o re-s
resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

This sign to be located on Pacific Aghory mitrory between nutureg + maple: EK The Provide and the state of the state of the state of the . CALLARD DURING AND THE AND ADDRESS OF THE TOP OF THE a statistic contact grout rate has your month ,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9648</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ not _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to George F. and Della L. Phythian to erect a single family residence with 15 ft. 5 inch. rear yard on Northeasterly 70 feet of Point Loma Villa Lot 100, on the Southerly corner of Chatsworth Blvd. and Browning Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 8 , 1951

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Res No. 5763

· delist

pplication Received 7-31-51 By	2. W. M. Connell
	City Planning Department
vestigation made 8 - 8 - 57 By	<u>Allen Laudt + Berton</u> City Planning Department
-gution made	City Planning Department
pecision Service 7-8	Hearing date
ecision Semich	Date 8 - 8 - 5-1
nov of Decolution cent to (Ity (Jerk / 7 V/	Building Inspector
anning Commission 8-10 Petitioner	8-9 Health Department 8-10
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

20.30

4 1 f

~

the f

1.01 4 1

,

the formation and the and the second product of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9530</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. L. Haniman and Ann M. Haniman to divide parcel of land into four building sites, with a single family dwelling on each parcel, according to plat submitted, on Lots 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, Block 73, Villa Tract, La Jolla Park, Zone R-1, provided each lot contains not less than 7,000 sq. ft. and on condition that the regular City Setback Ordinance is observed on Olivet Lane and a setback not less than the adjoining garage on Prospect Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 8 , 19 51

By

Secretary

Res. No. 5764

Sa B.

Application Received 7-21-57	
Investigation made $8 - 8 - 5^{-1}$	By <u>Allen</u> Sandt + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $S - F - J'$ Decision Coudl. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $S - 20$	Date 8-8-57 Building Inspector 8-21
Planning Commission 8 - 21 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

A La release

, site

(a) A set of the se

climation of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9647</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Wallace D. Eddy to operate a television service business in existing garage, part time (approximately 20 hours per week) and use street address on business cards, Lot 27, Block 36, Normal Heights, at 4652 - 32nd Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 8 , 19 51

By_

Ir.

Desprise source

Application Received 7- 31- 5-1	By DE South
officiation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8 - 8 - 51	By <u>Alley, Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 - 8 -	1 Hearing date 8-8-51
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-8-	Date 8-8
Const CD 1. 1. 1	Rinding Inspector X - 10
Planning Commission 8 -10 Petitione	r \$ -9 Health Department \$ -16 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

OTHER DRIVER AND ADDRESS

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9645</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. L. Ruis to convert single family residence to duplex, making a total of three living units of which one is to be served by 5 ft. access court. Lots 17 and 18, Block B, McFadden and Buxton's North Park, 3727 Grim Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ^sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^{is} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_AUGUST 8

By_

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	
avestigation made 8-8-57 By	<u>Allen</u> Landt + Surton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-8</u> Decision approx. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-9</u>	Hearing date
Petitioner Sources Sent to City Clerk <u>A-9</u> Planning Commission 8 70 Petitioner Petitioner	8 -9 Health Department 8 -10 Council Hearing, date
recision of Council	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

they are

The second s

-

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9655</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Uel M. and Lillian M. Fisk to construct 11 ft. by 19 ft. addition to existing residence which has 1 ft. 9 in. sideyard, and roof eaves overhang approximately 1 ft. 2 in. from property line, on Lots 41 and 42, Block 45, Park Villas, at 3732 Bancroft, Zone R-4, provided the addition has required side yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ August g _____ , 1951_

By__

Secretary

Res. No. 5767

Application Received 8-3-51 By	N. Haeleig
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-8-5-</u> By	alley Landt & Burton
<u> </u>	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-8-17	Hearing date 8-8-51
D. : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $I-9$	Building Inspector <u><u>P-10</u></u>
Planning Commission 8 -10 Petitioner	r - 9 Health Department 8-10
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

at a set of the next to fill be a get

MULTIN DEP

the second se

No. 15 1521

CAR WILL'S

- 1 · · · ·

R. 1 11 11 11 11 11 11

10.1 2.9 1, 1

10.000

.

and the second second

and the state of the state of the

monau top such a company to the 21 -

the second s
COPY

RESOLUTION NO. 5768

WHEREAS, a letter has been received from the office of Stanford & McDoneugh, attorneys for the estate of Gussie Both, also known as Mrs. Charles W. Both, informing the Committee that the said estate had sold and had the Superior Court confirm the sale of the Westerly 90 feet of Lot 2, Block 5, Loma Grande Addition, and is now attempting to provide a clear titile to the purchasers, to wit: GUARD D. GUNN and CAROLINE B. GUNN, husband and wife,

WHEREAS, on or about the 5th day of November, 1945, Mrs. Charles W. Both, also known as Gussie Both, the owner of Lots 2 and 15, of Block 5, of Loma Grande Addition, in the City and County of San Diego, State of California, in consideration of the Planning Commission of the City of San Diego, granting her certain approval to build an addition on the existing building on Lot 15, in said addition, agreed to maintain the Easterly 25 feet of Lot 2, for the use and benefit of Lot 15, so as to comply with existing zoning ordinances relative to percentage of coverage and backyard space, and

WHEREAS, it appears that the said addition contemplated was never made but the said agreement still remains of record and remains a charge on Lot 2, and

WHEREAS, in light of the circumstances now existing, no more than the Easterly 10 feet, of Lot 2, is required to be maintained with Lot 15 so that the existing structure on Lot 15 will conform to zone regulations relative to coverage and backyard space, thus leaving the Westerly 90 feet of Lot 2 free and clear and uneffected by said agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Zoning Committee, of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is granted to exempt the Westerly 15 feet, of the Easterly 25 feet, of Lot 2, Block 5, of Loma Grande Addition, and said land is hereby exempted from the provisions of that certain Agreement, dated the 5th day of November, 1945, and signed by Mrs. Charles W. Both, and recorded in Book 1989, at Page 249, of the Records of the County Recorder of San Diego County, provided that the Easterly 10 feet, of Lot 2, is to be maintained with and for the use of Lot 15, in accordance with the said Agreement of November 3, 1945.

DATED: August 16, 1951

ZONING COMMITTEE

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

BY - (signed) P. Q. Burton, Sec'y.

w B

Filed with the City Clerk August 16, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9626</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Chas. Lennard to construct single family residence on parcel of land split out after zoning, East 1/2 of Southwest 1/4 of Northwest 1/4 of Northeast 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 1774, North side of La Jolla Rancho Road, approximately 500 ft. East of La Jolla Mesa Drive, Zone R-1A, on condition that an easement be offered for street purposes to the City on the West line of the property and running entire depth of the property and 25 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 5 _____, 19 51

By_

Application Received 7-25-57 By	City Planning Department
investigation made By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-8	Hearing date
Decision Conde City Clerk 8-10	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-10	Building Inspector <u><u>X</u>-10</u>
Planning Commission 8-10 Petitioner	8 - 10 Health Department 8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
lime limit extended to	Date of action

A 21 GE TO THE PERSON OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

and prove a read where the set processes in the second of the second of

10

.

1. 1

t . to a second to can be et an lo forthe section of

The second second second

FRI LEN

" - lain .

mal 12 aline

Co. 1 1 - 1

305

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9445</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego Hospital Association, a California Corporation, to build and operate a hospital on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1202 as per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on the following conditions:

- 1. That an S' x 10' site sign be approved for immediate construction, said sign to be removed upon occupancy of the hospital;
- 2. That an easement, or easements, be granted by the owners for a service road and/or grade separation structures upon request by the City; said easement to be approximate 20' wide at the Southerly line of this property and not more than 200' wide at the Northerly line. Said easements to be approved by the City Engineer and the State Highway Department:
- 3. The form of this resolution and said easements to be approved by the City Attorney.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 8 , 1951

By_

THE ROLE	
Application Received 6 - 5 J	-1 By Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-8-5	1 By allen Loudt + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	7 - 8 Hearing date
Decision Con 20 aktor	Date Y - Y
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	<u>Y-10</u> Building Inspector <u>Y-10</u> etitioner 8-10 Health Department 8-10
Planning Commission 7 70 Pe Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
THE STREET IN TRACE	the second state of the state of the second st
	and the set I have a being the state of the set of
1. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the first and a print of the same and the spin of the second sold
the state of the s	
and the set of the set	a for a for a subject of a set
the second s	and the set of the set
	at the part of the property of the part of the targe of the special of
And the second s	
	set toy a set 1 a di to con irictio 1 - 1 a a
"Elline and a	
Law of the second s	a the second of the second and the second se
and the second sec	the second second of the second of the second
	the provide state of the state
	a second second second property in the second s
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9611</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Amos Cendali, Sr., owner and Calvary Lutheran Church, Robert Plummer, Pres. of Corp., purchaser, to construct building to be used for church activities, on that portion lying Westerly of 54th Street, of Northwest 1/4 of Section 34, T 16 S., R 2 W., S.B.M., per legal description on file in City Planning Office, 100 feet South of Redwood on West side of prolongation of 53rd Street; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the street to be improved to the same standards as 53rd Street in Furlow Heights, Unit No. 1, and according to plans approved by the City Engineer;
- 2. That the street must be accepted by the City and dedicated before the church building is occupied;
- 3. That off-street parking be provided on the property in compliance with the proposed and/or any effective off-street parking ordinance prior to the occupancy or use of the church building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ⁸ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^{is} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

see Legal

Application Received	By D. E. South
Illucation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 2 - 57$	By <u>Landt</u> , Jones Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-22</u> Decision consil appr.	Hearing date
Decision Conde appr.	Date 8 - 2 - 5-1
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector <u>8-27-5</u>
Planning Commission 8 - 27-57 Petitioner	8-23-51 Health Department 8-27-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

NAL

. .

COLUMN,

A CARLEN AND AND AND A CARLEND AND A CARLEND AT AN A CARLEND AT A CARL

The state of the s

15

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

By

5772

Dated August 22 51

The state mental and

2144

New .

Application Received 8 - 8 - 51	By
---------------------------------	----

.....

ation made 8-32-J7 By Law Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-2-57 Hearing date condo a Pata 8 - 2-2

secision	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24-51	Building Inspector & - 27 - 51
Planning Commission & - > 7 - 17 Petitioner	8 - 2 4-57 Health Department. 8-27-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	
Sesolution becomes effective	<u> </u>
Application Withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and an are set as straight and the real of an er and the set of th

T-rut in based

The set of the se and some in the to the

requirements of above comparison and an and the contract the

See a second property of the second second second

and by other property and a the the state of the

- Dien and and the of the Constant Cabout the of the Lits of

The set of a start of the set of

and all the state of the state of the state of

the set one of a state the set of the set of

and the strange sately south a

Contraction of the State of Arry States

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

9783 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and 3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE. That the following described property, Lot. 8 21 thru 24 Block Stephen's Addition, Pacific Highway and Rosewood Street Subdivision ********** (W. E. Lee, Amelia Mae Lee, and Wm. Ora & Susie A. Green) 16-unit motel may be used for the erection and operation of lots, but all ingress and egress shall be via Rosewood Street; 2. That no less than 12 off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property; That no parking space shall be constructed or used within fifteen (15) feet of Facific Highway. Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires,

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

5773

No.

Sept. 19 194⁵¹

Dated

4 2144

By

Application Received 8-29-51	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-19-5-1</u>	By Las dforBurton City Planning Department
realizing and & applex.	Hearing date Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-21-5	9-21-57 Health Department 9-25-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing. date
AUDITCATION WITHCTOWN	CONTINUED TO ANTAL
Time limit extended to	Date of action

I A THE A THE WAY A RETY OF AN ADDRESS A PARTY AT ADDRESS AND AT A THE ADDRESS AT A THE ADD

This is they a surface which the best pressed on the analytick that in the sur

the state of the s

12.0 %

Then a second the state of the state of the second state of the state of the second st

· toulation

and the and the approximate and an an an approximate a part and a set

CALLON THE MOLET

102 CTH.

a autor a contract to a

14 (1976) B

Letter dated July 20, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to continue operation of a sand and gravel plant to Alta S. Grant (F. F. Grant) on property located on Lot 3 of Pueble Lot 1173 and the south 3/4 of the south 1/2 of Pueblo Lot 1183, for aperiod of five years, to expire December 29, 1956; as originally granted by Resolution No. 65335, 74068 and Res. No. 1526 dated May 9, 1946.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 1951

By__

Secretary

46

	By P. G. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-22-51	By Landt, Jones 7 Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-2</u> Planning Commission <u>1-2</u> Planning Commission	(Date 1->2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-2	4 Building Inspector 1-21-57
Planning Commission 8.27-37 Petitic	oner 8-24-17 Health Department 7-27-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Deter Building Inspector
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the part of the second of

a service a service of the service service services

a start of the start of the second start of the

a set the state of the test to be the state of the

and with the second of a second second with the

e czych weren a

47 JE 11 .

,

5 - <u>6</u> - 5

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9592</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Mrs. Anna Jedlick, owner, and R. K. Brown, lessee, to operate a used car lot on Lots 47 and 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Addition, 1032 Thirtieth Street. Zone R-C.

A pplication for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3548 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 22 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

28

31 Jr 2	11 2 3 4
Application Received 7-20-5-1 By	D. E. South
The second s	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Loult, Jones & Berton
	Gity I lanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 - 2 2	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date 8 - 2 2 - 51
Copy of Papalution cent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector & . > 7 - 15/
Planning Commission 8 - 2-7 Petitioner	8 - 2 3 - 11 Health Department 8 - 27 - 1-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
People in a floating	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 4

,

Northern Plant of the South

.

and the second of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9667</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennstedt Investment Company to construct residence with 5-foot setback on Lot 104, Lenore Drive, Dennstedt Point, Unit No. 2, in R-1 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary

Application Received 8-6-51 E	By P. g. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-22-51</u> E	By <u>Laudt</u> Jones & Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	Hearing date
Decision $\mathcal{C}_{\text{opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk} \underbrace{8-24}_{\text{Planning Commission}} \underbrace{8-27}_{\text{Petitioner}}$	Date 8-22
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-27 Petitioner	8-14 Health Department 8-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Deiling of Compail	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	Canting day
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

forte i louis de la construct par la polo de la construct

the spectrum of the second second of the top " " second start" is introduct of the

along the service of

1 1 1 1 1 1 1

12 T

TROPAL

21 Way SUP II

1500

,

Lug

Nop 1 when he is the second

Letter dated Aug. 6, 1951,

WHEREAS, Application Nr. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to/5432, dated April 4, 1951. which amended Res. 5353, dated Feb. 21, 1941, be granted for six (6) months to V. A. Turner to erect a court of 10 living units and 10 garages on the Westerly 110 feet of Lots 31 to 36, inclusive, all of Lots 37 and 36, Black 259. University Heights, and 80 feet of Alabama Street closed adjacent to Lots 37 and 38, which property has only 50 feet frontage on a dedicated street. West side of Alabama Street between Cypress and Myrtle Streets, in Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That adequate plans for grading the property, for the appearance of the bldgs., and for landscaping and an acceptable lot plan showing the arrangement of the buildings be presented and approved before construction starts;
- 2. That the property as covered by this resolution be retained in a single ownership and not sold separately without approval of the Zoning Committee or City Council, and that an agreement to that effect be signed and recorded;
- 3. That there be provided not less than 10 garage spaces for automobiles on the property, construction to commence concurrently with any other buildings covered by this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, Section 4a, and Ordinance No. 5924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they

relate to the property described above. Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 5778

See Legal

Oplication Received <u>8-8-57</u> By ER. City Planning Department
vestigation made B-22-5-1 By But Jones & Burton
nsidered by Zoning Committee $\underbrace{\${5-22}}_{\text{dision}}$ Hearing date py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underbrace{\${5-24}}_{\text{dising}}$ Building Inspector $\underbrace{\${5-27}}_{\text{dising}}$ Health Department $\vcenter{\${5-27}}_{\text{dising}}$
cision appr. 6 mo. Date 8-22
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-24 Building Inspector 8-27
anning Commission 8-27 Petitioner 8-24 Health Department 8:27 -37
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

CED. (SX3) T Stern

LUBER I TTALLE as Barris S.T. Scole 1 Participation .

anat in the

,

The second second of the second s

and the second sec

Letter dated Aug. 6, 1951,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5691, dated July 11, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. Haniman to erect a sign 16 feet long by 2-1/2 feet wide on the roof and not above the edge of the roof on building located at 351 Midway, Lots R to W, Block A, Bird Rock Villas, Zone R-4, the sign to be facing rear of lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SC, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ August 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

350

Application Received 8-8-57	By P. G. Benton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8.22</u>	By <u>Loudt Jones Buta</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 - 2 2	Hearing date
Decision approx	Date 8.22
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-24	Building Inspector 8 - 27 - 51
Planning Commission & 27 Petitioner	8-24 Health Department 8-27-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $\forall \cdot z \rightarrow$ Building Inspector $\underline{\beta - 27 - 57}$ $\forall \cdot z \neq$ Health Department $\vartheta - 27 - 57$ Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	_
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

store and the same in the second state in the second state of the

and when the meet of the ball of the state of the state of the state of sull is the state of the

1-22

Basic Contract

,

\$

· The share of point of the revenue at

10.0 10 0

THE REAL CONTRACTOR STOLEN AND A STOLEN.

Superior OF

Mar

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9658</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cottardo and Phyllis Ghio to construct residence with 15 foot setback, at 1611 Monmouth Drive, Lot 155. Congress Heights Addition, with portion of the roof, as shown on plans, extending out not closer than 5 feet from front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 22

By_

51

, 19

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received _ 7 - 6 - 5 -1	By P. J. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-22-3-1}{2}$	By Landt, Jones & Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Z	- > >_ Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 8-22 8-23 Building Inspector 8-27-V-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	8.23 Building Inspector 8-27-V-1
Planning Commission 8-27-57 Pe	etitioner 8-23-5-1 Health Department 8-27-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Restriction of the second second

1.1.1

V Barry

,

Warter in the

California in the second

W - Ster

in an a to go proto provide a set of

50 f

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9641</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. A. Bots to maintain existing covered patic with no sideyard, at 4727 - 60th Street, Lot 6, Cosgrove Terrace, on condition that all Sections of the Building Code are strictly complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By

Secretary

116

Application Received 8-3-50 By D. E. South	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>8 - 2 + - 5 - 1</u> By <u>Landt</u> Jones + Burton City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22 Hearing date	-
Decision appr. Date 8-22-51	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23 Building Inspector	_
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ Planning Commission $\underline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ Date $\underline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ Building Inspector $\underline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ Health Department $\underline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{F}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}}$	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	-
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	-

- for faile and and

o p

1- 17 113 - 2-9 A &

,

a set of a general set of a

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

> Permission is hereby granted to Frederick K. and Virginia T. Pierson to divide the Southerly 50 ft. of Let 15 and Easterly110.95 ft. of Let 14 G. M. Doty's Sub. into three parcels with right to construct single family residence on each parcel on Emeline Street. Some B-1. according to plat submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

N. C. C. A.

1100

317 0

Dated _____ August 22 ____, 19 ___ 51

By_

Secretary

Gity Planning Department
Landt, Jones + Berton City Planning Department
Hearing date Date ¥-22-51 Building Inspector <u>8-27-57</u>
8 - 23 - 57 Health Department $8 - 27 - 57$
Council Hearing, date Date
Continued to Date of action

a Desire and second second second second

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bill Evans, owner, and Mrs. Buth J. McDaniel, purchaser, to construct residence on portion of Lot 55, Las Alturas Villa sites. (legal description on file in Flanning Office) said parcel split out after zoning, on South side of Bonita Drive across from Encine Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 22 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

ne.

176

A CARLER AND A CARLE	1 1.
Application Received <u>8-7-51</u> By	Van Hese
-ppacation Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underbrace{\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{I}}$ By	- Jaudt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-22$ pecision opposed Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-23$ Planning Commission $7-27$ Petitioner	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 8-22
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector 8-27
Planning Commission 8-27 Petitioner	8-23 Health Department 8-27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

M. T. Mark & S. L. S. M. College Market

•

The state of the

And the set of the state of the set

get to an I' append the provide the second

CLAS

V 22

01000

They is

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9694</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lenore and Lorraine Deans (Barker) to build garage and storage room with 10-foot rear yard, at 3553 Bayonne Drive, Lot 210, Block 10, Grown Point, in R-1 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

- 5-1 By Van Hice
City Planning Department
2 By Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
8-22 Hearing date
Date 8-22
erk 8-24 Building Inspector 8-27-17
Petitioner 8 - 24 - 57 Health Department 8 - 2 7 - 17
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

Angeleen en pro- and gran al a proper " Mar " septembre a angeleen and angeleen and angeleen and angeleen and a

a indian i than a that is the second of the second s

P

- Chines and

Martin Parts

.

1. 1. 20

85

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9696</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are __________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Eugene J. Ruiz to erect garage with 1 foot side yard and located approximately 43 feet from front property line, Lot 187, Block 9, Crown Point, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the [§]ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal [§] filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , ¹⁹51

Secretary

ferze

41 4/ S	
Application Received 8 - 8 - J-1 I	3v Van Hise
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 22 - 57$	By Landt, Jones Burton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ Z - > 2	
Decision Dennel	Date 8 - 2 2 - 37
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector <u>8-27-5-1</u>
Planning Commission 8 - 27 Petitioner	8-23-57 Health Department 8-27-5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a grander and

,

A THE THE STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9615</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Heimple to construct bedroom and bath addition and extend present library with 15 foot rear yard, according to plans submitted, on Portion of Pueblo Lot, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, 1 E. Roseland Drive, R-1 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 5786

Application Received _ Z - Z - 4-1	By J. W. In & Connell City Planning Department
. I free to a fr	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Landt, Jones Burton City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 8 - 2 2	Hearing date
Decision appro.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8.23	Building Inspector 8-27-51
Planning Commission 8 - 27 - 5-1 Petitione	r 8-23-57 Health Department 8-27-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a to the fact of the second of

÷

40

- JVi

par German and

0

1 - 1

10

4.2

12.7c

20

and all a first and

R. M. S.

The state

1.175.1.

102705111

0.63. ml.

Bet:

A CALL OF A CALL

WHEREAS, Application No. 9324 ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond E. and Mary E. Clarke to teach a class in ceramics at 4875 Brighton Avenue, Lots 43 and 44, Block 56, Ocean Beach, on the following conditions:

- That there will be a maximum of 5 students;
- 2. That the classes will be held not more than 3 hours a day during daylight hours:
- Not more than 2 days per week; 3.
- Using an existing kiln in the garage; 4.
- Including the right to sell ceramic supplies to bonefide students. 5.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Aug. 22 , 19 51 Dated

By_

Segal
Application Received	By <u>C.B.</u> Rose City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{7 - 22 - 57}{2}$	By Landt, Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8 ->2	Hearing date
Decision Conde apps.	Date $8 - 12 - 5^{-1}$ Building Inspector $8 - 27 - 5^{-1}$ r $8 - 23 - 5^{-1}$ Health Department $8 - 27 - 5^{-1}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8- 5-	Building Inspector 8-27-5-1
Planning Commission 8-27 Petitione	r 8-2 3-17 Health Department 8-67-5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. 2

5

*

. . .

. .

4.2

1 1

1

RESOLUTION NO. 103612

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That W. H. Fleisohmann, manager of Yesterlaid Eggs, 3892 "Z" Street, San Diego, California, be, and he is hereby requested to cease operations at the end of the 5-year extension granted him by Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5788 adopted August 22, 1951.

Il porphy cortify the ab	we to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 103612
f the Council of the City of San Die	o, as adopted by said Council
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk.
	By DONALD L. STEINERT
FORM 1270 20M - 8/50	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 5788

Letter dated April 3, 1951,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mot</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 1720, dated August 29, 1946, which extended Resolution No. 706, dated August 17, 1944, be granted to W. H. Fleischmann to continue the operation of wholesale egg dealer's business for a period of five (5) years from the date of this Resolution, at 3892 "2" Street on Lots 43 to 48, inclusive, Block 421, Duncan's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By

t approx	
Application Received $4 - 4 - 57$ H	City Flamming Department
Investigation made I	By Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	Hearing date
Decision 5^{-} y_{-2} y_{-2} y_{-2} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $8-24$ Planning Commission $8-27$ Petitioner	Building Inspector 8-27
Planning Commission 8->7 Petitioner	8-24 Health Department 8-27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	_ Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	~
Application withdrawn	_ Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

1.2.5

4-1 3-1

91.

1 Le du La pe

,

10

¥.

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of two years to Resolution No. 5444, dated April 4, 1951, be granted to Lester Loeser to use Lots 26 thru 36, Block 1, Leebrick's Addition, for the storage of used automobiles, some of which are not in running condition, provided there are no sales nor wrecking of automobiles, 39th and "Z" Streets, in Zone R-4, expiration date to be June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 51 Aug. 22 Dated___

By

Secretary

and the second se	
Application Received 7-27-51	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-22-51	By Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
	City-Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-2-	2 Hearing date
Decision 2 m. Gepr.	Date $7 \cdot 22$ Date $7 \cdot 22$ Building Inspector $8 - 27$ her $7 - 27$ Health Department $8 - 27$ Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	29 Building Inspector 0-21
Planning Commission 8 - 27 Petition	ner 8-24 -57 Health Department 8-27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5 Not 198

2 * I CARNER AND THE ANT OF A PARTICULAR CONTRACTOR OF CARE

-

間につい

TANA ALCOPTOTE TO T

÷

the man and a set Bell B but the

TRANK SIT

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9627</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Haniman, owner, and Ann Chio, lessee, to operate a restaurant with permission to serve beer and wine with meals and no other liquor, in a building a small portion of which is in C Zone and the remainder in R-C Zone, property located at 5462 La Jolla Blvd., on Lots South 1/2 of C and North 4 feet of D. Block A. Bird Rock Villas.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4447 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 22 , 19 51

Ву_

Secretary

Res. No. 5790

Application Received 7-2	6 - 571 E	By_ & & South
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	2 - 57 H	By Langt Jognes Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	ee 8-22	Hearing date
Decision cond' apper.		Date 8-22
Copy of Resolution sent to City	Clerk 8-23	Date 8-22 Building Inspector 8-27-5-1
Planning Commission 8 - 2-7	Petitioner	P - 4 3 - 57 Health Department $P - 27 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	е	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	the second second	Date
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

The second second

. . .

Ferritaria i strate e sus series trataria erretaria de la martitation de la serie de la serie de la serie de la Ferritaria de la serie de l Ferritaria de la serie de la

Y rear of the second second

1000

,

Long to a start for the light

.

1.5-1

20 00

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Norman Lowe to construct a duplex over garage at rear, where duplex exists on lot, at 3560 Villa Terrace, Lots 33 and 34, Block 75. Park Villas, R-2 Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

Constraint of the

Application Received 8-10-5-1 By	Van Mise
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Landt, Jones & Burton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	Hearing date 8-22
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Date 8-22
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>x-z/-v/</u>
Planning Commission V - 27 Petitioner	9-13 Health Department 8-27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Entrance to the most bear of the top of the set of the product of the set of the

Posterio de la secondo de la secondo de la seconda de secondo de la seconda de la seconda de la seconda de la s

2

-F

galder .

· · · ·

Prob whill I am -

12 .

- - 1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Abe Rubin to erect duplex in rear of existing single family residence, 2 units to be served by 6 foot 3 inch access, at 4334 - 34th Street, Lot 17, Block B, Sterling Worth.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated Aug. 22 , 1951

Res. No. 5792

Application Received 8-9-5-1	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 7-22-57	By Laudt, Jones & Burton City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	2 Hearing date 8 -2 -
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2?	3 Building Inspector 8-27
Planning Commission 8-27 Petitione	er 8.13 Health Department 8-27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Decision approver Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-2-</u> Planning Commission 8-27 Petitione Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Planning</u> Decision of Council <u>Planning</u> Resolution becomes effective <u>Planning</u> Application withdrawn	Date Building Inspector 8-27 er 8.23 Health Department 8-27 Council Hearing, date Date Continued to

The set of the set of

100

Periation is the second of the second second

.

enangines is a contral and an a conserver which measured and

LADDI MEN

420 4

i ~ p

dou -----

0.108

.

V-soliton

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9642</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Travelodge Corporation to erect a 25-unit hotel apartment with a 10-foot setback on Lot 10, property located on Hugo Street near Resecrans, Lots 7 through 10, Block 62, Reseville; on condition that curb and sidewalk are constructed for the full length of the property on Hugo Street in compliance with the City Ordinance, to be approved by the City Engineer.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By

Son Logel

Application Received 8-13-51 B	gland plipes
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Landt Jones + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-22</u> Decision concle. appr.	Date 7 - 2-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector 8=27-51
Planning Commission $\mathcal{E} - 27$ Petitioner	8-23 Health Department 8-27-07
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

S 🔥 👌

2 · · · ;

rich-per.

, 120

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9686</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John W. and Betty F. Wallace to construct a single family residence on the Easterly 90 feet of Lots 45 and 46, Block 137, City Heights, West side of Central Ave., approximately 50 feet South of Redwood.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

See Recal

A CANADA CANA		6	1.
Application Received	8-16-51	By Van	Hese
			City Flamming Department
Investigation made	8-22-51	By Landt	City Planning Department
3			City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning (Committee 8-22	- Hearing date	
Decision akhr.		Date 8-2	2
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 8-23	Building Ins	pector 8-27-51
Planning Commission	F - 27 - 51 Petition	er 8-23-17	Health Department 8-27-51
Appeal filed with City C			ring, date
Decision of Council		Date	
Resolution becomes effe	ctive		
Application withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of actio	n

Property and the set of a set

a standard and the second second

,

the part of the provide providence of the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9692</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Henry F. and Kathleen Hopkins to operate radio and television repair shop part time, approximately 20 hours per week, using existing garage in rear of property, Lots 27 and 28, Block 82, University Heights, 4412 Maryland Street, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_August 22 , 1951

Application ReceivedE	by J. W. In & Connell_ City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{g}{2} - 22 - 5^{-1}$ E	y Landt Jones Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-22</u> Decision Denich Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-23</u> Planning Commission <u>8-27</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $8 - 22$ Building Inspector $8 - 27 - 57$ 8 - 23 Health Department $8 - 27 - 57$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

For Contraction Int

,

Meridian Contraction

.

a side in the second brook and provide

a second to be seen to a second build a second to the terms of a

the state of the particulation of the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9714</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is here by granted to Clarence J. and Helen M. Shepard to erect approximately 16 lineal feet of 15-foot high free-standing wall on the front property line, property located at 404 Third Ave., Lot G. Block 116, Horton's.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 5794

see Legal

Application Received 8-15-51	By J. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-22-5-1	By Landt, Jones + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	_ Hearing date
Decision appro. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Date 8-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector 8-27-51
Planning Commission 8 7 Petitioner	8- IJ- J-1 Health Department 8- 27 - 5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Performant of the state of the

- 4 · .

and the second second

an ab

T phase and the second second second

States -

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to I. E. Bramlett to construct a single family residence on portions of Lots 35, 36, 37 and 38, except the Easterly 55 feet. Block 1, Corella Tract, on Tonopah, 55 feet Westerly of Knoxville; on condition that not less than the average setback of the block is observed on Tonopah.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 151

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 5797

Application Received By	Van Arie City Planning Department
Investigation made By	- Fondt, Jones Burton City Blanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22]	Hearing date 8-22
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7.23 Planning Commission 8-21 Petitioner	Date 8-2-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7.23	Building Inspector $\underline{X - 2}$
Planning Commission 8-21 Petitioner	7 - 2 3 Health Department 8 - 27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date <u>R</u>
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

110 Folon An eller a construction of the state of the theory of the following the fold of the fold of the state of the sta

1. 1. 1. 1. S. S.

Bezn.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9695</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold J. and Phyllis Silva to erect approximately 110 lineal feet of retaining wall, 100 feet along Willow Street and 10 feet along Dickens Street, height of wall to range from minimum of 10.8 feet to maximum of 15.4 feet, on Southwest corner Dickens and Willow Streets, Lot 7. Block 34, Roseville.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

se Legat

F.W. Jn & Connell City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Pault, Jones + Binton City Planning Department
aring date
e 8.22-V-1
the $8 - 2 - \sqrt{7}$ Iding Inspector $8 - 27 - \sqrt{7}$ $23 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $8 - 27 - \sqrt{7}$
incil Hearing, date
te
ntinued to
te of action

1. 17

think . .

,

210

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Miss Olive Campbell to construct 121 x 181 addition to, and interior alterations in an existing residence on a rear portion (75 ft.) of Lots 31 and 32. Block 197. Pacific Beach, having a 4 ft. easement to the street, but without full street frontage, 1134 Garnet Street, Zone G. according to plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

By

FORM 2145

August 22

Dated_

Application Received 8-14-57 H	By Van Nice
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-22 H	By Landt, Jones & Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-22	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Date 8-2 2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-23	Building Inspector 8-47-51
Planning Commission 8-27 Petitioner	8-23-57 Health Department 8. 27-5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

d.

.

the second se

1.1.1.1

\$5.J

The second

REAL PROPERTY Appendix

GOMLING 200 and the second second Smerry and . .

,

Repart area

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9665</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nicholas and Ann Schaefer to erect duplex in rear of existing duplex, making total of 4 units of which 3 will be served by 4 ft. 8 in. access court, property located at 3977 Normal Street, Lots 5 and 6, Block 191, University Heights; on condition that the proposed duplex is built not less than 10 feet from the rear property line, and the 10 feet at the extreme rear to be surfaced for offstreet parking.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 22 , 19 51

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5800

Application Received <u>8-14-57</u> B	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{8-22-57}{B}$	y Landt, Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $8 - 5 \ge -5^{-1}$ Building Inspector $5 - 27 - 5^{-1}$ $8 - 2 - 5^{-1}$ Health Department $8 - 27 - 5^{-1}$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

1.1

No. 7 Contract of the

at all a second

The Participant

,

1.

Addition 1 Additi

1

a supprise the nor are the set of the

the section of the set of the section of the set

17/26/1

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Joe M. Riggs and Allen J. Riggs, Jr., 4085 Winona Avenue, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution <u>No. 5801</u>, application No. 9704, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78 (New Series), for permission to use portion of Lot 70 Rancho Ex-Mission Lands, Horton's Purchase, as a place for temporary storage of buildings in the process of being moved to a permanent location, being on the north side of Fisher Street, 691.68 feet west of 47th Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

......

FRED	W.	S	IC	K

City Clerk.

DONALD L. STEINERT

Deputy.