

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Amy May to construct a retaining wall and concrete block fence with maximum overall height of 4 to 5 feet in front of setback line, but not higher than 3 feet above sidewalk grade, on Lot 4, Garden Acres, 6175 Brooklyn, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisons of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19 51

By_

Res. No. 5901

Application ReceivedB	y Ruth T. City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 3 - 5^{-1}$ B	y Loudt + Buiton
Appeal filed with only otern, date	Hearing date Date 10 - 3 - 5 - 1 Building Inspector 10 - 5 - 5 - 1 Harling Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

2.1

And the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9845</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William C. and Marjory Black to construct medical clinic building with a 10-foot setback on Lots 27, 28 and 29, El Cerrito Terrace Unit No. 1, Northeast corner of Estelle and College Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, ¹⁹_51 FORM 2145 By_

k

the the	
Application Received 9-14-5-1 I	By Far In & Connepp
ppileation interest -	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $10 - 3 - 57$	By Loudt & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 16 - 3	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10 - 3 -51
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-4$	Building Inspector <u>10-J-J-J</u>
Janning Commission /0-5-57 Petitioner	Date $10 - 3 - 5$ Building Inspector $10 - 5 - 5$ 10 - 4 - 5 Health Department $10 - 5 - 5$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Desision of (01111C11	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

e get in State State and a state of the stat

" Real of the second

1 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 20

.12.

Care and a second second

____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. ____ of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pacific Portal Community Chruch to erect an addition, 40 ft. by 30 ft., to existing Bible School on Lots 3 through 6, Block 27, Montemar Ridge Unit No. 2, 3010 No. Evergreen Street, Zone R-1, according to plans submitted, and addition to have a 10-foot rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated _______, 19_51

By

Res. No. 5903

Application Received 9-17-17 B	
Investigation made $10 - 3 - 57$ B	y Landt V Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-3</u> Decision <i>Lypper</i> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-4</u> Planning Commission <u>10-55</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Hearing date Date /0 - 3 - v ⁻ / Building Inspector <u>10 - v⁻ v⁻/</u> /0 - 4 - v ⁻ / Health Department 2 3 - v ⁻ - v ⁻ / Council Hearing, date <u></u> Date <u></u> Continued to <u></u> Date of action <u></u>

ŝ

1. . .

۰.

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9837</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harlan Torkelson to construct garage in connection with existing 2-car garage, and to construct apartment over entire structure with a 6-foot access court on Lots 43 and 44, Block 11, Resub of Lots 20 to 50, Block N, Teralta, 4180-82 - 38th Street, Z one R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_____ , 19______

FORM 2145

By_

Ir.

Application Received 9-17-17 B	By Button City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 3 - \sqrt{7}$ B	By Landt & Benton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 3-51	Hearing date
Decision aktor	Date 10- 3-3-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 4	Date 10- 3-3-1 Building Inspector 10-1-5-1
Planning Commission /0-V-C/ Petitioner	10 - 4 - 17 Health Department 10 - 5 - Cl
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9795</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Morgan S. Rice to construct residence on a parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, with a 12-1/2 ft. rear yard, Portion of Lot 2, Block 19, Roseville, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Northerly corner of Addison and Clove Streets, Zong R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, and 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ , 1951_____, 1951_____

By_

Application Received $\frac{9 - 18 - 57}{B}$ B	7/ / .
Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 3 - 57$ B	y Loudt + Burton
	City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Appr.	Date $10 - 3 - 51$ Building Inspector $10 - y - y - y - y - y - y - y - y - y - $
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4	Building Inspector 10 - v - v - v - v
Planning Commission 10 -5- Petitioner	10 - 4 - 17 Health Department 10 - 5- 5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

11 0

2

and Charges in

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9905</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Morgan S. Rice to construct a residence with 5-foot setback, on portion of Lot 2, Block 19, Roseville, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Northerly corner of Addison and Clove Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received 10 - 3 - 5-1 H	3v Van Hise
application Received	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $10 - 3 - 57$ I	By Loudt + Barton
	City I laining Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _16 - =	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10 - 3 - 51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4-	Date 10 - 3 - 51 Date 10 - 3 - 51 Dilling Inspector 10 - 5 - 57 Harding Line Harding Inspector
Perificier / Perificier	10 - 4 - J Health Department 10 - J - J
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

11 4

ľ

and at the

• 18- <mark>]</mark>

(N 8) *

41.50 - La 147 1

.

of the support of the

of T protocol in the for the

the first prestate inter all a summer

£

set in the statistic of the fact

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ivan Messenger to maintain second living unit which is an addition to original residence making two units on Lots 9 and 10, Orange Grove Tract, 6624 Detroit Ave., Zone R-1, on condition that the two lots and the two living units be retained in the same ownership and not sold separately, and that an agreement be signed to that effect and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated 0ct. 3 , 1951

By_

	ning Department
Investigation made IO - 3 - 5-1 By By City Plan	Surton
Considered by Zoning Committee $10-3-57$ Hearing date $70-5$ Decision 200 Date $10-3-57$ Date $10-3-57$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-5-57$ Building Inspector Planning Commission $10-8-57$ Petitioner $10-5-57$ Health I	10-8-17 Department 10-8-51
Append filed with City Clerk, date Council freating, date	e
Decision of Council Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to	

-

۱

10 2

100 M 100

A dece filled

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9844</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew J. and Lillian Sponcher to erect duplex and garage with a maximum total coverage of 55%, or 1320 sq.ft. for duplex and garage, on Lot F. Block 12, Mission Beach, 725 San Luis Rey Place, Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions: That the garages are built, and a 3-foot high stucco hand rail be erected across the front and side of the stoop immediately outside of the rear door of the duplex closest to San Luis Rey Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By_

Application Received 9-21-57 B	y Van Hise
	City Flamming Department
nvestigation made <u>10 - 3 - 51</u> B	Saudt + Burton
	only I famming Dopartment
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 = 3	Hearing date
Decision conse. apper.	Date $20 - 3 - \sqrt{-1}$ Building Inspector $20 - 3 - \sqrt{-1}$ $10 - 4 - Health Department 10 - \sqrt{-51}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-4$	Building Inspector 10 - 5 - 57
Planning Commission 10 - J- Petitioner	10 - 4 - Health Department $10 - 1-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

•

2014 - N. 14

1 1 1 1 W

CLOSE PARTY OF STREET

and provide the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9789</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry M. and Etheleen Baugh to erect a residence on a parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, portion of Pueblo Lot 1774, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, North side of La Jolla Rancho Road at East end, Zone R-IA, subject to the following conditions:

1. That an easement be granted to the City for public street purposes along and across the entire Easterly portion of the property, said easement to be 15 feet in width. Granted Res 105513 - 2-19-52

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4715 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ct. 3______, 1951_

FORM 2145

By_



WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Melvin W. and Dorothy V. Chadwick to construct a residence with a 5-foot setback from front property line, on Lot 29, Elock 13, Valencia Park No. 2, Northerly side of San Onofre, 400 feet Westerly of Las Flores Terrace, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____

Oct. 3

By_

, 19 51

Application Received <u>9-24-17</u> H	By FW mc Connecc City Planning Department
nvestigation made $10 - 3 - 57$ H	
Considered by Zoning Committee $10-3$ Decision $4/2$ Period Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-4$ Planning Commission $10-5$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date $10 - 3 - \sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $10 - \sqrt{7}$ $10 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $10 - \sqrt{7}$
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1 .

. .

÷. *

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Malcomb L. Buck to construct duplex over a two-car garage with a minimum 6 ft. 9 inch access court, on Lot 19 and North 12-1/2 ft. of Lot 20, Block 70, University Heights, 4421 Arizona Street, Zone R-4, provided that not less than three garage spaces are maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Secton SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____int Oct. 3

By_

, 1951

Application Received	By_ Van Hise
	City I failing Department
nvestigation made $10 - 3 - 17$	By Landt & Burton
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10 - 3-	-J Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-3-5-1
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4	_ Building Inspector <u>10 - 1717</u>
Planning Commission /0 -5 -57 Petitione	Date $10 - 3 - 3^{-1}$ Building Inspector $10 - 3^{-1}$ r $10 - 4^{-1}$ Health Department $10 - 3^{-1}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Collincia	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
coolution becomes suithdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Sime limit extended to	Date of action

the second of the state of the second second

the start property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9874</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Travelodge Corporation to construct a 15-unit apartment hotel with a 3-foot setback, on Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 14, Bayview Homestead, Northwest corner of Ash and 9th Streets, Zone 8-4, provided the proposed 15-unit apartment is substantially in conformity with the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0et. 3 _____, 19_51

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5912

FORM 2145

V

Application Received	By DE South
opplication Received	City Planning Department
10 - 3 - 5	By By City Planning Department
Panning Commission / 1 - 3 - 4 / Fell Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	$\frac{-4}{-17}$ Building Inspector $10 - \sqrt{-17}$
lesolution becomes effective	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action

and the second second

and the second second

and the second second second second from the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9878</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur M. and Mildred G. Houston to construct approximately 200 lineal feet of corrugated iron fence 8 feet high on Lot 1, Pueblo Lot 256 on Westerly side of Knoxville at Naples Street, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

By_

Application Received 9-25-57	By Van Stere
	City I failing Department
nvestigation made $10 - 3 - J^{-1}$	By Landt & Burton
avestigation mana	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 3	Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date $10 - 3 - 1^{-1}$
iony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 4	Date $10 - 3 - 17$ - Building Inspector $10 - 5 - 57$
I Commission / - J Perificit	er / ~ / rieatth Department / ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
ecision of Counter	
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	
ime limit extended to	Date of action

•

. .

parta da contra da contra da segunda da contra da En la contra da contra

Appendia Auromati V

" share it

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan B. and Grace C. Fickett to erect a duplex in rear of existing single family residence, making total of 3 living units on property, 2 units to be served by 8 ft. access court, Lot 17 and North 122 ft. of Lot 18, Block C, Belmont, 1443 Estrella Ave., Zone R-4, on condition that three off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

By_

Application Received _ 9-24-57	By Van Alise
·pplication Received	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $10 - 3 - 51$	By Landt & Buiton
	City I famming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $10-3-5$ Decision 200 Period Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-4$ Planning Commission $10-5-57$ Petitioner Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 78 - 3 - 57 Delte 78 - 57 Lo - 57 - 57 Council Hearing, date
Perisian of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

4

T THE ADDA NOT STATISTICS

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Travers Carey, purchaser and Marvin Kinney, owner, to construct an apartment building (maximum of 10 units) with a 11 ft. 4 in. setback, Lot F. Elock 232, Horton's Addition, Northeast corner of Second and Fir Streets, Zone R-4, on condition that not less than six off-street parking spaces be provided, each space not less than 8 ft. by 17 ft., on the property as shown on the plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

0et. 3

, 19_

By_

FORM 2145

Dated_

pplication Received $ \frac{\gamma}{2} - $	Die Connell
	City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>10-3-57</u> By	Landt Buiton
	City Flamming Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-3-17	Hearing date
Decision M_{epsr} opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 4 - 17$	Date 10-3-1-1
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4-17	Building Inspector <u>10-v-v7</u>
lanning Commission 10-57 Petitioner	10 - 4 - 17 Health Department 10 - 1 - 1-1
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
lecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

the same of the second s

a subscription of a strategic part of the st

一部分 化化学学 化化学学 化化学学学 化化学学 化化学学

I a way we have a string a second and the second training to be a second as the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Plifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William Westall to construct a 3-unit apartment and 2 garages in one building, portion of the property not having full frontage on a street, with a 4-foot rear yard for the apartment building and a 10-foot side yard, on the West 50 feet of the North 120 feet and the South 54 feet of the East 50 feet of the North 120 feet of Villa Lot 201, Normal Heights, 3837 Edna Place, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the property described shall be owned by the petitioner and shall be retained in the same ownership with the owner or owners of the northerly 120-feet of Villa Lot 201, Normal Heights, and that an agreement to that effect shall be signed by the owner and petitioner and recorded. 745
- 2. That additional surfaced off-street parking should be provided for a total of 5 parking spaces on the property and that the driveway from the street into these parking spaces should be paved not less than ten feet in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 3

, 19 **51**

F

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5916

V

Application Received B	v D. South
upplication Received	City Planning Department
avestigation made <u>10 - 3 - 51</u> B	y Landt & Burton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 3 - 37$ Decision appropriate Interval in the second seco	Hearing date $10 - 3 - 51$ Date $10 - 3 - 51$
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5	Building Inspector 10-7-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council meaning, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
lesolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

4-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9826</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice M. Doyle to construct single family residence in connection with existing court with a portion of the building having a 10-foot access court, portion of Lots 16 and 17, Block G, Teralta, 3239 Bramson Place, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By

fra B

Application Received	
nvestigation made 10 - 3-51	_ By _ Landt & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision & ppro- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>/o-</u> Planning Commission <u>/o-8-J7</u> Petitic Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	$\frac{7-77}{\text{Date}} \begin{array}{c} 10-3-51 \\ \hline Date \\ 10-3-57 \\ \hline \hline \\ 10-3-57 \\ \hline \\ 10-8-57 \\ \hline 1$
Application withdrawn fime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Sec. 1

1.1.1

S 1 1 1

a a gran a second data a s

10. 200

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9861</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Camino del Rio Properties, Incorporated, a.k.a. Mission Valley Golf Club, to construct a 4,900 sq.ft. building to be used for dressing rooms, showers, etc., in connection with golf club and being a portion of a future larger clubhouse, according to plans submitted, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1120, Mission Valley, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ct. 3 _____, 1951

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5918
Application Received $9 - 26 - 57$ H	By CBRoss City Planning Department
avestigation made $10 - 3 - 57$ H	By Lendt + Burton City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 3$ Pecision $appr.$ Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 4$ lanning Commission $10 - 3$ Petitioner Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Pecision of Council	Date 10-3-17 Building Inspector 10-5-57
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1 1. M. 1 ... 1-2-10-1 1. Jack 27 . A. TUS of the second 1105

ComINO Del Rio

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9881</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. C. DeLashmitt to erect a chain link type fence 5 feet high on top of a 2 inch high foundation curb in front setback area on Lots 17 thru 20, Block 4, Venice Park, 3923 Lamont Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851, New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ctober 3 _____, 19 51

By_

Res. No. 5919

k

pplication Received _ 9-26-5-	By Van Hice
	City Planning Department
10 - 3 - 5	_ By _ Landt HBurton City Planning Department
Batton	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10 -	3 Hearing date
recision alpha .	Date 10 - 3 - 5-1
any of Posolution sent to City Clerk /	-4 Building Inspector 10-5-51
lanning Commission / - J - J Petit	ioner 70 - 4 - 57 Health Department 70
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
oplication becomes erreetive	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action
ime limit extended to	

RESOLUTION NO. 104072

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of F. C. Gillett and Helma Gillett, 3381 Palm Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5920, application 9880, their request for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 (New Series), to permit construction of a restaurant 2800 square feet in area, being approximately 60' x 100' outside dimensions on Lots 25 through 30 Block 5 Stephens Addition, on Pacific Highway between Clendors and Rosewood Streets, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby denied and overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby authorized to construct and operate a restaurant and cocktail lounge - the cocktail lounge to be restricted in area to 1/3 or less of the dining room area; no cabaret or dime and dance licenses to be permitted.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9880</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to F. C. and Helma Gillett to construct restaurant 2800 sq. ft. in area, approximately 60' x 100' outside dimensions on Lots 25 through 30, Block 5, Stephens Addition, Pacific Highway between Glendera and Rosewood, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-^truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the i_{xth} day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By_

pplication ReceivedB	y _ Fw, me Connel City Planning Department
avestigation made $\frac{10 - 3 - 5}{10}$ B	
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-3</u> recision Demet opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-4</u> anning Commission <u>10-5-57</u> Petitioner ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $10 - 3 - 3 - 1$ Building Inspector 10 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

, to be a set of the set of the

14+ 13+ 2520 M

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas Scripps and Katherine F. McKellar, owner, and Henry and Josephine Bluthardt, purchaser, to construct a duplex on a portion of land not of record at time of zoning, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1261, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on Fay Street, 200 ft. north of Genter Street, on east side.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Oct. 3 , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

Dated_

mail Pplication Received <u>6-27-57</u> By - Ruth City Planning Department avestigation made 10- 3-5-1 By Loudt VBenton City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-3-J7</u> Hearing date <u>10-3-57</u> Date <u>10-3-57</u> appar. lecision opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10- J- Building Inspector 10- X - Jlanning Commission 10-8-51 Petitioner 10-5-51 Health Department 10-8 Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date ecision of Council_ Date esolution becomes effective Continued to Pplication withdrawn Date of action me limit extended to P.L. 1261 P.L. 1262 S. FEW and the 'shine' with the 1 34 1 34 C a set the first a strong control 0 そんとした しちしき やく 出たい · I G Promity 2012 194. 4 Andrew heKellar Genter St.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F. Haigler to construct a 3-unit apartment and 5-car garage in the same building, making a total of 12 living units on the property, with 0 ft. side yard on the east for the garage, a 3-foot side yard on the east for the living quarters, and 6 feet between the proposed building and the existing building with approximately 48% coverage, on Lots 4 thru 7. Block 245, University Heights, rear of lot at 1628 Upas Street, Zone R-2; on condition that a total of 10 garage spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 3 , 1951

By_

FORM 2145

pplication Received 9-27-57	By J. W. Mc Comell
opplication Received	City Planning Department
avestigation made	By Landt & Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee	- 57 Hearing date 10 - 3 - 5-1
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-	- Building Inspector
Perifici	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clern, and	Date
ecision of Council	
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	

.

The Colling

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9796</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willie Wright to construct duplex in rear of lot making three living units on lot with S-foot access, on Lot 11, Block 20, Wetmore and Sanborn's Addition, 714 S. 33rd Street, Zone R-4, on condition that not less than three surfaced off-street parking spaces and driveway be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Secton SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated 0ct. 3 , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

pplication Received <u>9-27-17</u> By	Van Nese
	City Planning Department
10 - 3 - 57 By	Landt & Burton
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-3-17 H	earing date
recision Carles, Da	ate
Decision after . Date $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	uilding Inspector 10 - 5 -5-1
Penning Commission 10-J-J-J Petitioner 10	-4-57 Health Department 10-5-5-
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
recision of Council	ate
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	ontinued to
me limit extended to D	ate of action

÷

· · · ·

n mar i a figur

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9860</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd Rodefer, owner, and John W. and Ruth O. Hanning, purchaser to erect a single family residence on portion of Southwest 1/4 of Lot 16, Horton's Purchase in the ExeMission Lends of San Diego, legal description to be filed in City Planning Office, said parcel being split out after zoning, and having no street frontage but lying on an easement 50 feet wide to "A" Street, 250 feet north of "A" Street, east of 49th Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, and 8924, Section 12 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.

Dated_

FORM 2145

October 3

Secretary

1_

Application Received _ 9-27-57 By P. Burton City Planning Department avestigation made ______ By _____ By _____ City Planning Department onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-3-17 Hearing date Decision apps., couse. Date 10-3 Date 10 - 3-11 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5-17 Building Inspector 10-8-5-1 lanning Commission 10 8-67 Petitioner 10-5-37 Health Department 10-8-57 Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date lecision of Council_ lesolution becomes effective Continued to pplication withdrawn Date of action ime limit extended to menter 91 ver 2 h ms 225 41 100 3 buinney 2 0

RESOLUTION NO.

5925 5725

Letter dated Sept. 21, 1951

WHEREAS, **Application No.** _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5433 which extended Resolution N₀.5068, be granted to Lucius S. and Norma S. Rickard to erect a duplex over four garages in the rear, making a total of three living units on the property, with an 8 ft. 9 inch. access court for two units, Lots 31 and 32, Block 3, Resub of Blocks 3, 6, 9 and 12, City Heights Annex No. 1, located at 4030 - 45th Street in Zone R-4, on condition that a portion of the utility buildings be removed to a point not less than three feet away from the proposed duplex and garage if the adjacent wall of the utility building is stucco, or six feet or more away if it is not. This extension is to be the final extension granted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

	2	ZONII	NG COMM	ITTEE	
CITY	OF	SAN	DIEGO.	CALIFORNIA	5725

5925

Dated _______ OCTOBER 3 _____, 19____

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

pplication Received _ 9-23-5-	1 By mare
	City Flamming Department
vestigation made 10 - 3 - 37	By Laudt & Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10 -	$\frac{2-37}{\text{Date } 10^{\circ} - 3^{\circ} - 3^{\circ}}$ Hearing date $\frac{-4}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{10^{\circ} - 4^{\circ} - 3^{\circ}}{3^{\circ} - 4^{\circ} - 4^{\circ}}$ Health Department $\frac{10^{\circ} - 4^{\circ} - 4^{\circ}}{3^{\circ} - 4^{\circ} - 4^{\circ}}$ Council Hearing date
recision appen. final ext.	Date 10 - 3 - 17
Dry of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10	<u>-4</u> Building Inspector <u>10-5-57</u>
lanning Commission 10 - J - 57 Petit	ioner 10 - 4 - 57 Health Department 10 - 5 - 57
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
opline mithdrawn	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	

de a 1.000 a a a

÷

이상 후는 것으로 가지 위험 분들이

4

2

5

at several all the during the

Letter dated Sept. 28, 1951

- WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee F the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):
 - That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5440, dated April 4, 1951, be granted to Paul E. and Eugenia M. Middlested to erect addition to existing non-conforming garage and hobby shop on the first floor and construct living unit on the second floor, making two living units on the property, existing building having no sideyard but the proposed construction to comply with existing regulations in all respects, on Lot 27 and Southerly portion of Lot 28, Block 7, Alhambra Fark, per legal description on file in Planning Office, at 4540 Dawson Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

pplication Received	By Mail
westigation made <u>10 - 3 - 57</u>	- By Landt & Burton
unsidered by Zoning Committee	3 Hearing date
wision (14	Date 10 - 3 - 5-1
any of Desolution cent to City Clerk 10-	4 Building Inspector 10-5-57
anning Commission 10 - J-JI Petition	ner 10 - 4 Health Department 10 - J-J
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
pear med with city citery	Date
cision of Council	
solution becomes effective	Continued to
pplication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	

1 maple

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9778</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{al}ifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED toGactano and Mary Aleto to erect a single family residence on portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, legal description on file in Planning Office, said parcel being split out after zoning, North side of Montezuma Road between La Dorna St. and Catoctin Drive, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{©v}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the **th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal * filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.

 \mathcal{D}_{ated}

FORM 2145

Oct. 3

Secretary

pplication Received	9-7-5-1	_ By	.J-W. M = Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made	10-3-51	Ву	Loudt + Burton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Co ecision Denie opy of Resolution sent to anning Commission /o ppeal filed with City Cler ecision of Council	mmittee $10 - 3$ City Clerk $10 - 4$ City Clerk $10 - 4$ Petition k, date	$ \begin{array}{c} He \\ Da \\ Da \\ Bu \\ er \\ Co Co $	earing date ate uilding Inspector <u>10-5-57</u> 0-4-57 Health Department 10-55-57 puncil Hearing, date ate
plication withdrawn me limit extended to	.ve		ontinued toate of action

the second s

· 3

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9779</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{alifornia.} as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Philip and Josephine Aleto to erect a single family residence on portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, legal description on file in Planning Office, said parcel being split out after zoning, East side of La Dorna Street, approximately 25 feet North of Montezuma Road, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

 A_{ny} permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be $t_{r_uc} t_{ed}$ automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

 $t_{x,th}$ The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the $t_{x,th}$ day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal $f_{i,th}$ day after it days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated FORM 2145 , 19_51

By_

R

pplication Received <u>4-7-J-J</u>	By J.W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>18 - 3</u>	City I failing Department
clsion of Council	3 Hearing date Date 10 - 3 4 Building Inspector 10 - 1 - 37 ner 10 - 4 - 17 Health Department Council Hearing, date
Plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- 67. 77. 5.

1. . .

1 24

Montegune

.

......

La by arrive

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9891</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William M. Brooks to build a 7-foot high glass and concrete wind break with 15-foot setback, on Block B, Bird Rock Villas, Colima, Chelsea at La Jolla Blvd., R-4, on condition that said wind break is to extend across the westerly end of the court yard on this property in a curve, approximately as shown on plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4839 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ct. 3 _____, 1951

FORM 2145

By_

plication Received 9-28-51 By	Barton
Prication Received	City Planning Department
vestigation made $10 - 3 - J^{-1}$ By	Landt + Burton
nsidered by Zoning Committee 10 - 3 - 51	Hearing date 10 - 3 - 1-1
reision appril Committee <u>Jo</u>	Date 10 - 3 - 5-1
asion appril	Building Inspector 10 - 8 - 51
Py of Resolution sent to City Citik Petitioner	10 - 5 - 5-1 Health Department 10 - 8 - 17 Council Hearing date
inning Commission /	Council Hearing date
Peal filed with City Clerk, date	Coulien meaning, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

t

i he was and the second and

an is write that so plants the

· · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9775</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles C. and Jessie T. Mumma, owner, and E. A. and Alice McIntire, purchaser of the north portion, to divide into two parcels and have the right to erect a single family residence on each, the northerly parcel to have frontage on a private easement, portion of Pueblo Lot 1774, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, on the north side of La Jolla Rancho Road, near the east end.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 4715, New Series, and 8924, Sections 3A and 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 3

By_

, 151

FORM 2145

By pplication Received City Planning Department vestigation made 10 - 3 - 51 By Jan t VBur City Planning Department Insidered by Zoning Committee 10-3-57 Hearing date 10-3-51 Date 10- 3-5-1 apps. ecision Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5 Building Inspector 10-8-51 anning Commission 10 - 8-51 Petitioner 10-5-51 Health Department 10-8-51 Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date solution becomes effective Continued to pplication withdrawn Date of action me limit extended to 11770 FUTLE Mumma Martine Baugh Ka. JoLLa Rancho La LA JOLLA Scenic

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9817</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore M. Jacobs to construct an addition to existing dental office with a 6-foot setback, on Lots A and B, Block 388, Horton's Addition, 3355 Fourth Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By_

FORM 2145

pplication Received	51 By Burton
pheation received	City Planning Department
$\frac{1}{10}$ - 3	57 By Landt VButton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee /	0-3-J7 Hearing date
ecision appen.	, Date 10-3-171
Dpy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date $10 - 3 - 7$ Building Inspector $10 - 5 - 5$
Janning Commission 10-5-57	Petitioner 10 - 5-51 Health Department 10 - 5-51
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
colution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

- -----

North TRANS BOAR +

and the second of the second s

the all the start of the

9818

WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore M. Jacobs to construct an addition to an existing dental office with a 4-foot rear yard and 66% coverage, on Lots A and B. Block 388, Horton's Addition, 3355 Fourth Ave., Zone R-4, on condition that the existing two-story building to which this addition will be added is altered and used only as a dental office or lounge or similar accessory use, and shall not be used in any part as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_

FORM 2145

By

51

, 19_

pplication Received By	Buton City Planning Department
Avestigation made $10 - 3 - 57$ By	
onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-3-51	Hearing date
ecision apper. Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-4$	Date 10-3-17
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4	Building Inspector 10-V-V-
Apping Commission 10-5-57 Petitioner	10 - F-SI Health Department 10 - V - VI
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

HAN BITTE FA -

enter en en la la contra de la

and the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Plifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earle B. and M. Etta Team to construct a residence with a 10-foot setback, Lots 18 and 19, Block 13, Beverly, Roswell, east of Winston, in Zone R-2, subject to the acceptance of revised plans, and with the normal rear of the proposed residence to be toward the front of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

_____, 19⁵¹

FORM 2145

Oct. 3

Dated.

plication Received By	City Planning Department
vestigation made By	
nsidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 3$ cision $0 - 5$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 4$ inning Commission $10 - 5$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date $10 - =$ Building Inspector $10 - \sqrt{-1}$ $10 - 4 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $10 - \sqrt{-57}$
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

And a second for a second for the first of the first of the first of the second for the second formation of the And a second for the first of the

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9940</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego for Gladys and Andrew Hom to relocate 2 apartment buildings on same lots with 5-foot rear yard. Lets 1, 2 and 3 (except Easterly 42 ft.), Block 4, Crittenden's Addition, Northeast corner Sixth and Pennsylvania, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated Oct. 17 , 1951

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5934

ORM 2145

pplication Received <u>10-16-1-</u> By	City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>10 - 17 - 57</u> By	<u>Allen</u> , <u>Laudt</u> , <u>Laucasting</u> + Bui City Planning Department
ecision appr.	Hearing date $10 - 17 \cdot 57$ Date $10 - 7 - 57$ Building Inspector $20 - 19 - 57$ 10 - 18 - 57 Health Department $10 - 19 - 57Council Hearing, date$
solution becomes effective	Date
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

the first of the second of the

In the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9805</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Nifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Cornelio, Abraham and Ubaldo Rodriquez to construct 18 ft. by 40 ft. pottery shop to be used in connection with existing La Jolla Canyon Clay Products Company on the Westerly 300 ft. of the Southerly 100 ft. of that portion of Pueble Lot 1280 lying Easterly of Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 17 Dated_____

By_

_____, 19___**51**

Secretary Por

plication Received 9-5-51 B	y DE South
	City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>10-17-51</u> B	By <u>Allen</u> , Landt Lan caster + Burton City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-17-5</u> cision Service	7 Hearing date <u>10-17-51</u>
cision Denich	Date 10-17-51
ov of Devolution sent to City Clerk 10-18	Building Inspector 10 - 19 - 51
anning Commission 10 - 19 - 51 Petitioner	10-18 SI Health Department 10-19-55
peal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

•

140-142

.
RESOLUTION NO. 5936

Letter dated Oct. 5, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of gix months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5545 dated May 16, 1951, be granted to H. O. Colt to enclose two porches and add 39 sq. ft. to and enclose front porch, existing residence having 1 ft. 6 inch. side yard, (two residences existing on the property) on North 10 feet of Lot 11, all of Lots 13, 14 and 15, and Lot 16 (except North 12 feet of Hast 87 feet) Block 10, 1st Addition to South La Jolla, at 7221 Olivetas Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3858, and 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{evoked} automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ^{ixth} day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ^{is} filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Dated October 17

Secretary

A	
Ar.	22 +
pplication Received $10 - 8 - 57$ B	y P. G. Surlow City Planning Department
	y <u>allen</u> <u>Land</u> , <u>Lancestes</u> KBurta City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee 10-17-57	Hearing date 10-17-51
cision (o mo, art, appr.	Date 10-12-17 Building Inspector <u>10-19-27</u> 10-18-27 Health Department 10-19-27 Council Hearing data
Nov of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-18	Building Inspector 10 - 19 77
uning Commission 10-19-51 Petitioner	10-18-17 Health Department 10-19-51
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

٠

- - 1

,

~

I

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9831</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Slifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. and Marioh E. Hoskin to erect a single family residence on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, portion of 1/4 Section 79, Rancho de la Nacion, south side of Division Street at 61st Street, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the parcel contains not less than one acre and provided that the two parcels described in the legal descriptions attached to the petition, on file in City Planning Office, be held in one ownership and not sold separately, and an agreement to that effect be recorded;
- 2. That this resolution not to be valid until the petitioner shall have free title to the property concerned;
- 3. That in the event that the terms and conditions of this variance are not complied with within six months of the effective date of this resolution, then this resolution shall be null and void.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Oct. 17 ___, 19 __51

By_

y <u>2.w.</u> <u>me</u> <u>Connell</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
y <u>Allen Landt Lancaster + Berto</u> City Planning Department
City Flamming Department
Hearing date <u>10-17-51</u>
Date 10-17-5-1
Hearing date $10 - 17 - 51$ Date $10 - 17 - 51$ Building Inspector $10 - 19 - 51$
10-18-11 Health Department 10-19-11
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

6.4

÷ -

1 4

n na ser a ser Ser a ser

4

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Nancy Nesbitt Anderson to erect second living unit where one single family residence exists, on Lots 23 and 24, Block B, Turner and Barr's South Orchard Tract, 1904 Galveston Street, corner of Ashton Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 17 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

IC

Pplication Received 10-1-1-1 By D. E. South	
City Planning Department	
vestigation made _10 - 17 - 51 By allen Sandt Sancaster 4	Burto
unsidered by Zoning Committee 10-17-57 Hearing date 10-17-51	
ecision appr. Date 10-17-51	
PV of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-18 Building Inspector 10-19-51	
Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-18$ Building Inspector $10-19-51$ anning Commission $10-19-57$ Petitioner $10-18-57$ Health Department $10-19$	-51
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Date	
solution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn Continued to	
me limit extended to Date of action	

N. N. - . .

a some some in all all all provide the source of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Mary B. Warnes to construct a single family residence with a 10-foot rear yard on Lot 4, Block 66, Roseville, on Garrison Street between Willow and Plum Streets, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 51

By_

1º

pplication Received <u>10 - 2 - 51</u> B	y P. G. Burton City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>10-17-51</u> B	y allen Landt Laucaster HBer
cision Denied	Hearing date $10 - 17 - 51$ Date $10 - 17 - 51$ Building Inspector $10 - 19 - 57$
cision of Council	Date
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9896</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Plifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. G. Sewell to construct 2 living units (1 bldg.), making 3 units on lot with 9-foot, 5-inch access court, on Lots 25 and 26. Block 42, Fairmount Addition, 4311 Altadena Ave., Zone R-4, on condition that at least three off-street parking spaces be constructed and/or maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]Voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Dated_

[©]RM 2145

Oct. 17

pplication Received 10-3-51 B	y Van Alize City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>10-11-51</u> B	y allen, Lancaster Loudt VBurto. City Planning Department
Insidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-17-5</u> cision Corch'l. appr. Py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-18</u> anning Commission <u>10-19-57</u> Petitioner Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> cision of Council <u></u>	Date $10 - 17 - 57$ Building Inspector $10 - 19 - 57$
solution becomes effective plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Same Brighters

. .

٠

control c

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9824</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to William Pucher, owner, and Harry L. and Dorothy S. Bates, lessees, to operate storage yard for police impounding motor vehicles; also the wrecking of cars (maximum of 50 cars per year) no storage of scrap metal, storage of usable parts in a building in connection with existing service station and garage; storage area will be enclosed with 6 foot fence, Lots 22-23-24, Block 41, H. P. Whitney's Add. 3005 National Ave., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 17

_____, 19___51

By_

批

FORM 2145

Dated

plication Received By	Marl - Ruth City Planning Department
restigation made <u>10-17-51</u> By	<u>allen Landt Laucaster HBurton</u> City Planning Départment
nsidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 17 - 57$ cision Denied PY of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 18$ anning Commission $10 - 19 - 57$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date $10 - 17 - 57$ Date $10 - 17 - 57$ Building Inspector $10 - 19 - 57$
solution becomes effective plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9235</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy S. and Albert A. Frost, Jr. to split out parcel not of record at time of zoning, being the Southerly 1/2 of Lot 4, Block 166, La Playa, on Northwest corner of Qualtrough and San Dionicie Streets, and have right to erect a single family residence thereon, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Application Received $10 - 5 - 51$ By	. P. J. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-17-51</u> By	allen, Laudt Laucaster & Berton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $10 - 17 - 51$ Decision $20 - 19 - 57$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 28$ Planning Commission $10 - 19 - 57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date $10-17-57$ Date $10-77-57$ Building Inspector $10-79-57$ 10-78-57 Health Department $10-79-57$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second a second as a second for the second second and second and second

en erze je va ver it på viv som en af Conjik andro aver blærser, erz stopperser selverit.

PETERNA 1 & UEGU AN IMPROVA

and the product of the second s

ce 🦷 L

The second press press of the second part of the local term

a real stand in a second the second has a spectrum

The second second

and the second part of the share

5943

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. F. and Gertrude L. Regenhardt to construct a duplex with a 15-foot setback but not less than that of the first existing building to the west, on Lots 36 and 37, Block 8, La Jolla Strand, north side of Kelmar, approximately 100 feet west of La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 17 , 19 51

By_

By Fred Mc Conneck City Planning Department
City Planning Department
ρ ρ
By <u>Allen</u> Landt Lancester HBurton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
incarine date i b i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Date 10 - 17 - 51
Date 10 -17-51 8 Building Inspector 10-19-51
her 10-18-51 Health Department 10-19-51
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

-

* ² 7 5

COLD THIS OF

.

1000

Ten 71.

,

* *

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9909</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Nannie Peck to erect a business building with two store rooms, sizes 12' x 30' and 12' x 18' respectively; one to be occupied by medical doctor and the other by a cleaning and pressing (retail) pickup store, on portion of Lot 72 lying south of Peck Place and North 10 fest of Lot 71, Gilcher Tract, Southeast corner of College Ave. and Peck Place, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{©v}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 17 , 1951

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5944

A REAL AND A	
plication Received 10 - 8 - 51	By FW M & Connect City Planning Department
estigation made $10 - 17 - 5$	1_ By allen, Landt Laucaster Bento City Planning Department
ision Denied oy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	$\frac{-17-\sqrt{7}}{\text{Date}} \text{ Hearing date} \frac{10-17-\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{7}}$ $\frac{10-18-\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{7}} \text{Building Inspector} \frac{10-19-\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{7}}$ $\frac{10-19-\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{7}} \text{ Health Department } \frac{10-19-\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{7}}$
real filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	
olution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

. . .

....

and the fact

1. - **1**1. -

n pho 1 min

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9900</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{alifornia}, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Henry R. Rigoli to erect a 10-unit court with 11 foot rear yard on Lots 38-39-40, Block 251, Pacific Beach, Northeast corner of Thomas and Ingraham Streets, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

 r_{e_v} Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be r_{v} and r_{v} and

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the is th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 17 , 19 51

By_

plication Received By	P. J. Burton City Planning Department
vestigation made <u>10 - 17 - 51</u> By	City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-17-51</u> cision <u>Dericed</u> py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-18</u> nning Commission <u>10 - 18 - 57</u> Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date <u>10 - 1.7 - 51</u> Date <u>10 - 12 - 51</u> Building Inspector <u>10 - 19 - 51</u> 10 - 18 - 51 Health Department <u>10 - 15 - 51</u>
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

. . .

. . . .

,

apenaus - n

1000000

AN LONG ROLLING

the start of the start of branch-

in the second here the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9888</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank F. Faust, owner, and Rose M. Weisenbach, purchaser, to erect a single family residence with a 12-foot setback on the southerly 75 feet of Lot 48, Block 55, Park Villas, Northwest corner of Landis and Boundary Streets, Zone R-2, on condition that one surfaced off-street parking space be constructed and maintained at rear of property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal as filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 17 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

plication Received By	<u>Jw M & Commell</u> City Planning Department
restigation made $10 - 17 - 57$ By	<u>Allen, Loudt, Loucoster Berto</u> City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee $10-17$ cision Conside. appropriate $10-17$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-18$ nning Commission $10-19-57$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date $10 - 17 - \sqrt{7}$ Date $10 - 17 - \sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $10 - 19 - \sqrt{7}$ $10 - 18 \cdot \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $10 - 19 - \sqrt{7}$ Council Hearing, date
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

the Tay

() H

LT THUT

÷. ;

and a set of many contraction that and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9894</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Howard L. and Melva G. Chernoff to construct single family residence on portion of Lot 4, said parcel divided after zoning, Mission Hills N₀. 2, according to legal description to be supplied by the petitioner and filed in office of Planning Office, on Hortensia Street, approximately 200 feet northeast of Pine Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>October 17</u>, 19<u>51</u>

By_

pplication Received 16 - 8 - 5-1 By	, DE South
	City I failing Department
vestigation made $10 - 17 - 51$ By	<u>Allen</u> , <u>Landt</u> <u>Lancaster</u> + Burt City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee 10-11-11	Hearing date
cision appr.	Date 10-17-51
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-18	Building Inspector 10 - 19 - 51
unning Commission 10 - 19 - 57 Petitioner	10-18-51 Health Department 10-19-51
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
ne limit extended to	Date of action

L +

.

no legal as yet.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9912</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Austin L. and Isabel P. Gray to erect a residence with 15 ft. 6 in. setback as now laid out on Lot 8, Block C, Oak Park, 4053 Oak Frest Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ⁱxth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated _____ 0ct. 17 . 19 51

By_

pplication Received 10-9-51 By	<u>J. W. M. Connecc</u> City Planning Department
westigation made $20 - 17 - 57$ By	y allen, Landt Laucaster + Burton City Planning Department
Asidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 17 - 51$ cision approximation sent to City Clerk $10 - 18$ anning Commission $10 - 19 - 57$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date $10 - 17 - 57$ Date $10 - 17 - 57$ Building Inspector $10 - 19 - 57$ 10 - 18 - 57 Health Department $10 - 19 - 57$
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

The property of the second of

1

~

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9913</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to La Valencia Hotel to construct a glass-walled covered porch and open garden shelter with a 7-foot setback, on Lot 12, Block 59, La Jolla Park, N.E. corner of Girard and Coast Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ct. 17 _____, 19_51

By_

plication Received By	- J. W. M & Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made $10 - 17 - 57$ By	allen, Landt Lau carter Houton City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee 10-17-57	$\begin{array}{c c} Hearing date \\ \hline 10 - 17 - 51 \\ \hline Date \\ 10 - 17 - 51 \\ \hline Building Inspector \\ \hline 10 - 18 57 \\ \hline Health Department \\ 10 - 18 - 57 \\ \hline \end{array}$
solution becomes effective plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- G

r

Parks .

A GALLER

, ,

1 . 24

111

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9885</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gretchen Cullen to construct single family residence on portion of lot, making two units on parcel split out after zoning, West 1/2 of Lot 5, Block 5, F. T. Scripps Addition, 620 Westbourne, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

plication Received _10-9-51 By	Vac Mesa City Planning Department
restigation made <u>10-12-51</u> By	<u>Cellen</u> , <u>Landt</u> Lancaster & Berton City Planning Department
ision appril	Hearing date $10-17-51$ Date $10-7-57$ Building Inspector $10-19-57$ 10-18-57 Health Department $10-19-57$
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1. je 1.

le de la companya de

the state of the second state of the state of the second state of

116.

r +

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9922</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Point Loma Holding Corp., owner, and Morley H. Golden, purchaser, to build a single family residence on an unsubdivided portion of land, on on portion of Pueblo Lot 197 (to become Lot 37 Fleetridge Unit No. 2), per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Carleton Street extension, Zone R-1, on the following conditions;

- 1. That this parcel of land shall be included within the subdivision of any of the remainder of Fueblo Lot 197 adjacent to this property;
- That Carleton Street in front of this property shall be improved according to the plans for said improvement substantially as shown on plans filed in the Planning Office and marked "Exhibit A";
- 3. That said street shall be improved according to the plans and accepted by the City before the residence approved herein is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51.

By

plication Received B	y City Planning Department
restigation made $10 - 17 - 57$ B	y <u>Allen Landt</u> , <u>Lancaster</u> +Burton City Planning Department
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector $10 - 19 - 51$ 10 - 18 - 57 Health Department $10 - 19 - 55$
plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

on the second second

n and the second of the second s

and the second strend of the

7

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9934</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. R. McCulloch to build a single family residence on a portion of Acre Lot 122 Morena, split out after zoning, being on the west side of Hartford Street, 150 ft. south of Milton St., 115 east of Galveston St., legal description on file in Planning Office, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 17

FORM 2145

By

_____, 19____51

le:

pplication Received <u>10 - 16 - 51</u> B	y P. J. Burton City Planning Department	
	y <u>Allen</u> , Landt Lancaster + Berton City Planning Department	
nsidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-17-5</u> Hearing date <u>10-17-5</u> Cision Apple. Date py of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector		
cision appen.	Date	
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector	
anning Commission Petitioner	Health Department	
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
cision of Council	Date	
solution becomes effective		
plication withdrawn	Continued to	
me limit extended to	Date of action	

Milton St. ŕ , Mecullich 40 1.1 (03 . 2C. 01 LLION LL 7alves 2 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9939</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

P ermission is hereby granted to John J. Thomas to erect solid concrete block freestanding wall 5 ft. in height, measured above the existing concrete patio slab, in front of setback line, on Lot 28, Block 22, Sunset Cliffs, 1001 Devonshire Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

plication Received <u>10-16-57</u> By	
vestigation made <u>10 - 17 - 5-1</u> By	<u>Allen</u> , Landt, Lancaste, Houton City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee $10-17$ cision appropriate $10-17$ py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-18$ nning Commission $10-19$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 10-17-51 Building Inspector 10-19-51
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

and and an and a set of a set

10 The second second

· and the property of the prop
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9946</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego for Mrs. Clark to move 3-unit apartment and have S-foot access court for third unit, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 5. Crittenden's Addition, 38472, 3849 and 3847 Sixth Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 34, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [©]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-^truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 17 , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

plication Received By	I DE South City Planning Department
vestigation made $16 - 7 - \sqrt{-7}$ By	<u>Cellen Landt Lancaster Benton</u> City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee $10-17-57$ cision $0 p p r$. py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-18$ uning Commission $10-19-57$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date cision of Council	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hearing date} & 10 - 17 - 57 \\ \text{Date} & 10 - 17 - 57 \\ \text{Building Inspector} & 10 - 19 - 57 \\ 10 - 18 - 57 & \text{Health Department} & 10 - 19 - 57 \\ \end{array}$
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

A loss of the second of the

the second se

2

the set of a set of the set of the set of the the set of the set o

1-1-1

: 6

- 12:1 m 1

.

* 78 + . E .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9897</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Angle Nepi to erect residence with attached garage with 15-foot setback on Lot 38, Eleck 11, Valencia Park Unit No. 1, corner of Trinidad Way and San Mateo Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 51 FORM 2145 By_

蒙

plication Received <u>10 - 5 - 5 </u> By	<u>Jw. m. Conneck</u> City Planning Department
restigation made <u>10 - 31 - 51</u> By	<u>Celev</u> Jones + Burton City Planning Department
isidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 31 - 57$ cision appendent to City Clerk $11 - 1 - 57$	Hearing date $(10 - 31 - 57)$ Date $10 - 37 - 57$ Building Inspector $(11 - 2 - 57)$ (1 - 7 - 57) Health Department $(11 - 2 - 57)$
peal filed with City Clerk, date	Council riearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	Continued to
	Date of action

10.00

Sector . 1

1. S. 1.

.

-

1.

12

.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

That the offer contained in petition bearing Document No. 441017, filed in the office of the City Clerk on November 14, 1951, of a 10-foot parcel of land for the widening of 63rd Street, adjacent to portion of Lot 12 La Mesa Colony as shown on plat accompanying said petition, which offer is in connection with the appeal of Elmer H. Valle and Mary R. Valle from the Zoning Committee denial of their application for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, to permit division of a portion of Lot 12 La Mesa Colony into 4 lots and construct a single family residence on each parcel at 5115 - 63rd Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby accepted on conditions set forth in communication from the City Manager filed in the office of the City Clerk on December 12, 1951, under Document No. 442152.

104705

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That the Property Supervisor, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to secure deeds thereto.

I hereby certify the above to	be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No
The Council of the City of Call Digo,	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk. DONALD L. STEINERT
FORM 1270	ByDeputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 104700

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Elmer H. Valle and Mary R. Valle, 5115 - 63rd Street, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5956, application No. 9144, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, to permit division of a portion of Lot 12 La Mesa Colony into 4 lots and construct a single family residence on each parcel at 5115 - 63rd Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby referred back to the Planning Commission, with the declared intention of the Council that it will be granted when brought back before the Council.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 104700 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council December 13, 1951

FORM 1270

FRED W. SICK City Clerk. DONALD L. STEINERT Bv... Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 105787

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Van

That the appeal of Elmer Valle and Mary Valle, 5115 - 63rd Street, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5956, application No. 9144, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, to divide a portion of Lot 12, La Mesa Colony, into 4 lots and construct a single family residence on each parcel, Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, according to the Record of Survey, on the following conditions:

- 1. The acceptance and dedication of a 10-foot strip fronting the property on 63rd Street;
- 2. The acceptance of improvements by the City Engineer:
- 3. Subject to the filing of the Record of Survey of these four lots.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

	La a full true and correct conv of Perchation N	105787
I hereby certify the above to be a ful of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted	s adopted by said Council	1952
	Donald L. Steinert	City Clerk.
ACORH FORM 1270	Ву	Deputy.

59.76)

RESOLUTION NO. 106390

Pully

Loman a

w/ 12

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the request of Roger A. Stead, 4767 College Avenue, San Diego 15, California, for repealing condition No. 2 of Resolution No. 105787, adopted by the Council on March 11, 1952, in connection with Zoning Committee Resolution No. 5956, be, and it is hereby granted.

	Donald L. Steinert	City Clerk.
1270 - 8/50	By	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9144</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to ic welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Elmer and Mary Valle to divide a portion of Lot 12 La Mesa Colony, legal description on file in Planning Office, into 4 lots and construct a single family residence on each parcel, 5115 - 63rd Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

appealed Nov15

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

October 31 , 19 51

By_

ated_

the.

	plication Received 10-11-J-1 By Van Aise City Planning Department
and and a series	estigation made <u>10-31-J-1</u> By <u>allen</u> <u>pres</u> + <u>Burton</u> City Planning Department
6	nsidered by Zoning Committee 10-31-17 Hearing date 10-31-51 cision Dervied
*	py of Resolution sent to City Clerk //-/-3/ Building Inspector //
-	cision of Council Date
•	solution becomes effective Continued to plication withdrawn Date of action

· a diff a sector of the sign

•

. A. .

and al

E

of of

- i y: F .

· · · · · ·

,

1400151715 . A

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9915</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gien H. Munkelt to divide six lots into four parcels according to the legal description on file in Gity Planning Office, and erect four single family residences on the four parcels, Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 35 feet of Hugo Street closed adjoining, Block 71, Roseville, Willow and Ingelow Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 0ctober 31_____, 1951___

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

the sector is a se	-/ /·
polication Received 10 - 15	-J7 By Van Nice City Planning Department
and allow received	City Planning Department
	- 5-1 By alley Jones + Burton City Planning Department
Insidered by Zoning Committee	10-31-57 Hearing date 10-31-51
vision a de de	Date $10 \cdot 31 - 57$ k $11 - 1 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 5 - 57$ Detitioner $11 - 5 - 57$
why of Resolution sent to City Cler	k $1/-1-\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1/-2-\sqrt{7}$
Inning Commission 11-2-47	Petitioner $1/-1 - \sqrt{-1}$ Health Department $1/-2 - \sqrt{-1}$
meal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the solution we have been as a solution of the state of the solution of the state of the solution of the solu

The second is fully and the second second

1. 1. 1

ALC: NO.

- -

0

,

the first of the state of the state of the

COD SCIENCE STREET

L'A MARY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9914</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John J. Maguire, Jr., to construct a single family residence on parcel of land split out after roning, on Southarly 50 ft. of the Northerly 93.50 ft. of the Westerly 160 ft. of Lot 13, Cave and McHatten Subdivision, east side of So. 58th Street, approximately 200 ft. northerly of Detroit Avenue. Zone R-1, provided that an easement, 20 ft. in depth, be granted to the City, across the whole width of the property for street widening.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _______ , 1951______, 1951_____

By_

Res. No. 5958

FORM 2145

pplication Received _10 - 15 - 51	By Van Nese
	City Planning Department
avestigation made $10 - 31 - 51$	By allen, Jones' + Burton
onsidered by Zoning Committee	17 Hearing date 10-31-5-1
Acision and a other	Date $10 - 31 - 51$
Upy of Papalution cent to City Clerk 11-1-J	7 Building Inspector $1/-a - \sqrt{7}$
Inning Commission 11. 2-51 Petitioner	11-1-1 Health Department 11-2-51
PPeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

61.77 The State of the

Me

17 F 18 7 1

The figure and the second state of the

1 GALTINGA

2 - Carling Pr

a charge a guille i philosophie philos

The property of the state of the state of

and and the set of contracts a start is that if the

4

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9923</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Esperanza C. Miller to continue operation of a beauty parlor, full time, on Lot 3, Block 21, Cleveland Heights, 3420 First Avenue, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the premises comply with the sign regulations in this zone within 30 days;
- 2. That one sign advertising the beauty shop be permitted in compliance with the R-4 Zone:
- 3. That if the above conditions are not complied with within 30 days, then this resolution is automatically null and void;
- 4. That if the sign regulations are complied with, this resolution shall be for a period ending June 30, 1953, unless extended by the Zoning Committee or City Council.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By_

plication Received By	7. W. mc Connell
	City Planning Department
vestigation made $10 - 31 - 57$ By	allen Jones & Burton City Planning Department
asidered by Zoning Committee 10-31-57 Her	aring date 10-31-57
Ision Com i'l appr. Dat	e 10 - 31 -57
w of Decelution cont to City Clerk 1/5/-5/ Bui	Iding Inspector 11-2-VI
Inning Commission 11 - 2 - 57 Petitioner	Health Department 11 - 2 - 17
peal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	incil Hearing, date
cision of Council Dat	
solution becomes effective	
plication withdrawn Cor	ntinued to
ne limit extended to Da	te of action

.

The Theat B

,

.

· . .

ł

.

104469

Ara B

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

That the appeal of John Wahl and Rachel Wahl, 1065 Felspar Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 5960, application No. 9919, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924 Section 8a, to convert rear of an existing store building to living quarters with no sideyard and 2108 square feet overcovered, being on Lots 5 and 6, Block 53, Ocean Beach, located at 4878 Newport Avenue, in Zone C, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the said premises are to be occupied for only three years from the date of this Resolution or only as long as John Wahl and Rachel Wahl own the property within that three-year period.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Attorney, be, and he is hereby directed to prepare a collateral agreement, whereby the said John Wahl and Rachel Wahl will restore the property to its present C zone use at the end of the said three-year period, which agreement shall come before the Council for approval on Thursday, November 29, .951.

I hereby certify the above to	be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. adopted by said Council
f the Council of the City of San Diego, as	adopted by said Council
	City Clerk. DONALD L. STEINERT
CONT FORM 1270	ByDeputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9919</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to convert rear of an existing store building to living quarters with no sideyards and 2108 sq. ft. overcovered to John and Rachel Wahl, being Lots 5 and 6, Block 53, Ocean Beach, 4878 Newport, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

appealed - NOV 15

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19_51

By_

FORM 2145

pplication Received B	By Mw. Rick City Planning Department
vestigation made $10 - 31 - \sqrt{7}$ B	By <u>Allen</u> Jones Burton City Planning Department
isidered by Zoning Committee <u>10-31-57</u> cision & enied	Hearing date $10-31-\sqrt{7}$ Date $10-31-\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $11-2-\sqrt{7}$ $11-1-\sqrt{7}$ Health Department $11-2-\sqrt{7}$
plication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

•

at a start of the start of the

. . .

.

•

÷

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9917</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{Cali}fornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marguerite and Edward B. Rowan to erect residence with 14 foot-setback on Northerly 10 feet of Lot 5 and Southerly 15 feet of Lot 6, Block 389, Pacific Beach, west side of Strandway 120 feet south of Pacific Beach Drive, Zone R-4 and Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance N. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be stand and shall be strucked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the is the day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 31 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

plication Received <u>10-17-51</u> B	J.W. M = Connect City Planning Department
restigation made $10 - 31 - 47$ B	y <u>allen</u> Jones & Berton City Planning Department
isidered by Zoning Committee $10-31-5$ cision 2000 city Clerk $11-1-57$ anning Commission $11-2-57$ Petitioner peal filed with City Clerk, date cision of Council	Hearing date 10-31-57 Date 10-31-57 Building Inspector 11-2-57 11-1-57 Health Department 11-1-
solution becomes effective plication withdrawn me limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- - 5

47 1

,

the second second

ALLES, SHE CARDIN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9947</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee M. and Lola Wiley to erect 5-foot high free standing concrete block wall along Dove Street property line, with zero setback, Lot 18, Reynard Hills, 604 West Maple Street, Zone R-4., Fence is not to be closer to Maple St. then existing rear stoon.

Maple St. than existing rear stoop. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1129 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be [®]voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 31 , 19_51

By

Secretary

RM 2145

pplication Received / 0 / 8 - J-/	By 7. Mc Conneck
	By <u>allen</u> , <u>when</u> Buton City Planning Department
posidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 31 - 51$ recision $10 - 31 - 51$ upy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11 - 1 - 1$ unning Commission $11 - 2 - 57$ Petitione peal filed with City Clerk, date	J7 Hearing date 10-31-57 Date 10-31-57 J Building Inspector 11-2-57 T 11-1-57 Health Department 11-2-57 Council Hearing, date
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

S

a 17

,

1 6 6

and the second of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9395</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Rose V. Vollmer to erect a single family residence om a 12-Acre site cut out of portion of Pueblo Lots 65, 103, 144 and 145, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Point Loma, part of eld Theosophical Grounds, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{ev}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 6 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

aplication Pagaiwod	- By	
pplication Received		City Planning Department
vestigation made		allen, Jones Buton
unsidered by Zoning Commit	tee 10-31-57]	Hearing date 16 - 31 - 51
ecision appen.	a 1 1 1 1 - c1	Date $10-31-51$ Building Inspector $11-7-51$ 11-7-57 Health Department $11-7-57$
ppy of Resolution sent to City	Clerk <u>1-4-51</u>	Building Inspector <u>11-1-5</u>
anning Commission 11-	7-51 Petitioner	Health Department 11-7-57
ppeal filed with City Clerk, da	te	Council meaning, date
version of Council		Date
-solution becomes effective		
pplication withdrawn		Continued to
me limit extended to		Date of action

Ener .

All the la

- 65. - 6 Tur - 1 - 1 - 5 Tr - 1 - - 1 1.

Charles and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9949</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Permission is hereby granted to Allen A. and Helen Dorothy Jervis to erect second living unit with a 10-foot rear yard on the Southeasterly 45 feet of Lots 2 and 4, Block 1, De Puy's Addition, 2384 Etiwanda Street, westerly corner Etiwanda and Castelar Streets, Zone R-2, on condition that a 5-foot setback is maintained on Castelar Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{ev}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By_

Secretary

plication Received	10-22-1-1 By_	F. W. Mc Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made	10-31-51 By_	allen Jones & Burton City Planning Department
py of Resolution ser nning Commission peal filed with City	Committee $10 - 31 - 57$ H D nt to City Clerk $11 - 57$ B 11 - 2 - 57 Petitioner 1 Clerk, date C	Hearing date $10 - 31 - 57$ Date $10 - 31 - 57$ Duilding Inspector $11 - 5 - 57$ $1 - 5 - 57$ Health Department $1 - 5 - 57$ Council Hearing, date
cision of Council	fective	Continued to
plication withdrawn me limit extended to		Date of action

S. Martin

3

· C ·

.....

1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9956</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Fermission is hereby granted to Lillian and Kenneth Collins to construct a 4-foot high masonry wall along the westerly lot line in front of the setback line, Lot 15. Kensington Heights Unit No. 2, south side of Bedford Drive, 120 feet west of Marlborough, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

and the second se		
upplication Received	—— B	v
appreation received		City Planning Department
vestigation made 10 -	31- J-1 B	y <u>Allen Jones & Burton</u> City Planning Department
		City Planning Department
unsidered by Zoning Comm	nittee 10-31-5	7 Hearing date $10 - 31 - 51$ Date $10 - 31 - 57$
reision appr.		Date $10 - 31 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 2 - 57$
nov of Resolution sent to Ci	ity Clerk 11-1-5	Building Inspector <u>11-2-51</u>
Janning Commission 11-	2 - 5 / Petitioner	//-/-J-J/ Health Department //- 2 5/
opeal filed with City Clerk, o	late	Council Hearing, date
veision of Council		Date
solution becomes effective		
pplication withdrawn		Continued to
me limit extended to		Date of action

na Mar Arr a star and an interaction

,

A SCHOOL PERSON AND A SCHOOL AND A SCHOOL AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9927</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be</u> materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Joseph S. Strachan to maintain existing redwood fence, 4 feet high in front of setback line, on Lets 31-32-33. Block 282, Pacific Beach, 1936 Oliver Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Nixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 31 , 19 51

By.

Secretary

FORM 2145

Dated

oplication Received By By P. G. Burtow City Planning Department
vestigation made 1 - 31 - 51 By allen Jones Benton City Planning Department
asidered by Zoning Committee 10-31-57 Hearing date 10-31-57
ision $akpr.$ Date $10 - 31 - 51$
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-1-17</u> Building Inspector <u>11-2-57</u>
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{11-1-\sqrt{7}}{1-1-\sqrt{7}}$ Building Inspector $\frac{11-2-\sqrt{7}}{1-2-\sqrt{7}}$ mning Commission $\frac{11-2-\sqrt{7}}{1-2-\sqrt{7}}$ Petitioner $\frac{11-1-\sqrt{7}}{1-2-\sqrt{7}}$ Health Department $\frac{11-2-\sqrt{7}}{1-2-\sqrt{7}}$
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
ne limit extended to Date of action

.

in the second

,

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9948</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Challenge Gream and Butter Association, Monty Coole, manager, to erect a 65 ft. by 50 ft. office building addition to be tied to and added to the existing office building, on portion of Pueble Lot 1118, 4600 Sixth Street Extension, Zones R-1A and R-C, subject to some revision in the plans from an architectural standpoint.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 31 , 19 51

By_

ha B

Application Received 10 - 22 - 51 By	J.W. m & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - \sqrt{7}$ By	<u>Allen</u> Jones Buton Cirf Planning Department Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $16 - 31 - J7$
anning Commission 11-6-27 Petitioner	Hearing date $10 - 3/-\sqrt{7}$ Date $16 - 3/-\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1/-6 - \sqrt{7}$ $1/-\sqrt{7}$ Health Department $1/-6 - \sqrt{7}$ Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
resolution becomes effective	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

•

ĉ

.

.

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9666</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. J. and Estella W. Sutherland, owners, and H. Robert Kaplan, purchaser, to divide into two parcels and build single family dwelling on each, one parcel - the southwesterly 115 feet of Lot 1 and the northeasterly 1/2 of Fort Stockton Drive closed, the other parcel - the northeasterly 35 feet of Lot 1 and the southwesterly 50 feet of Lot 2; Block 497, Old San Diego, Conde southeasterly of Pine Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 51

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

pplication Received 10 - 2 3 - 51	By DE South City Planning Department
westigation made $10 - 31 - 51$	_ By <u>allen Jones + Burton</u> City Planning Department
ecision Appr.	BI-J7 Hearing date $10 - 31 - 51$ Date $10 - 31 - 51$ 1 - 51 Building Inspector $11 - 5 - 51oner 11 - 1 - 51 Health Department 11 - 5 - 57Council Hearing date$
ecision of Council	Date
solution becomes effective	
oplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

•

4.5

Aler I'

100 202 - 5

, 11

.

· · .

- 67.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9955</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. and G. B. Heitzman to construct a 5-foot fence on a concrete retaining wall on the westerly end of the lot, facing Brockbank Place, with no setback, as shown in accompanying plans, Lot 11, Cosgrove Mesa, 5138 Tipton, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated 0ct. 31 , 1951

By__

Secretary Res. No. 5969

FORM 2145
pplication Received $10 - 23 - 57$ By westigation made $10 - 31 - 57$ By	D .
Unsidered by Zoning Committee $10 - 31 - 57$	Hearing date $10 - 31 - 57$
ecision $32 - 57$	Date $10 - 37 - 57$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11 - 1 - 57$	Building Inspector $17 - 57$
anning Commission $11 - 2 - 57$ / Petitioner	17 - 77
oppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department $17 - 37$
pplication withdrawn	Continued to
me limit extended to	Date of action

,

ż

· f

.

" BAN MARKEN

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9951</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anthony M. and Eunice L. Salmon to construct 4 units on two 25-foot lots, 30 and 31, Block 22, Ocean Beach Park, south side of Greene Street, Zone R-2, provided four garage spaces are constructed as shown on the accompanying plans.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 31 , 19 51

By_

Application Received _10-23-J-1	By P. g. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 10 - 31 - 57	By <u>Allen</u> Jones Burton City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-31	-17 Hearing date 10- 31-17
Decision Card & apply.	Date $10 - 31 - 1^{-1}$
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk //-/	$=\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $11 - 2 - \sqrt{7}$
Planning Commission 11 - 2 - JT Petition	ner //-/-J-/ Health Department //-2-J-/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

the second of a second with the second second

is an intervent a sub- street in the property is a sub- of a sub- (a

the full share in a

,

and the state of the state

WHEREAS, Appricipation Letter dated 10-23-51

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5836 dated September 5, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is granted to Joe Aleto to add a commercial building to the front of existing single family dwelling on Lot 7, Block 288, Middletown, at \$20 W. Beech Street, Zone M-1, permitting the existing lean-to to remain provided it is stuccoed and acceptable from an architectural standpoint.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 31 , 1951

Application Received 10-23-51 B	y City Planning Department
	y allow Jone Butow CitizPlanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-31-5 Decision appr	Hearing date $10 - 31 - 51$ Date $10 - 31 - 51$ Building Inspector $11 - 2 - 51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council mag, date
Pecision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Date Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

.

٠

4

.

.

è

1

8.51

,

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter of / has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- not That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to 3. That the granting of the application will. the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will_ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5851, dated Sept. 5, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is granted to John G. and Juliette V. Real to erect guest room and bath above existing garage and to permit the use of guest room as a rumpus room and to install a bar sink in said room, on Lot 5, except north 22.5 feet thereof, and Lots 9 and 10, Block 2, Morrison's Marscene Park, 711 - 41st St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19⁵¹ Oct. 31 Dated

By_

Secretary Res. No. 5972

Application Received 10-26-57 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 51$ By	allen Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $10-31-57$ pecision approximation of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-1-57$	Building Inspector 11-2-5-1
Planning Commission // Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Health Department //
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

• 2

,

1

· • · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. _______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. Biernacki to construct a garage, laundry room, half bath and hobby room with no side yard and 5-foot rear yard, Lot 35. Block 2, Crown Point, 3634 Jewell Street, Zone R-1, provided an agreement be signed and recorded stating that the proposed building will be used only by the members of the immediate family, their invited guests and servants.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG 746

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 31 , 1951

By_

Secretary

Application Received _10 - 2 4 - J-1	By mª Connecl
	City Planning Department
prestigation made $10 - 31 - 57$	By Jones, allen Buton City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 10-3	$\frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{2}$ Hearing date $10 - 31 - \sqrt{2}$ Date $10 - 31 - \sqrt{2}$
recision condic. apper.	Date $10 - 31 - 57$
inv of Paralition cent to City Clerk //-	-/-J'Building Inspector //-2-J
lanning Commission 11-2-57 Petition	ner //-/-J/ Health Department //- 2/-/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

. .

in a le souther tone L'étaige d'étaige a le

÷

.

.

•

TT WE AND THE STATE OF A

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9976</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Trenfel to erect 5 units, two of which are above the garage and will have 11-foot rear yard, Lots 39 and 40, Block 157, Pacific Beach, Northeast corner of Emerald and Bayard Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_ October 31 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received By	P. Buton City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 51$ By	alley Jones & Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-31-51</u> H	earing date $10 - 31 - 51 - 51$
in alution wat to City Clerk //-/-5/B	uilding Inspector 11-2-5-1
Planning Commission 11-2-51 Petitioner	Health Department 1/ - 2 - J-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to
time limit extended to D	ate of action

.

.

÷

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9961</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Clinton P. and Stachia V. Richards to erect a 4-unit apartment with 10-foot setback on College Way, portion of Lot 65, except the Southerly 10 feet, and that portion of Lot 66 that lies Westerly of College Avenue, 4566 College Way, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Oct. 31 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Application Received $10 - 34 - 57$	By F. W. M & Connecc City Planning Department
Anvestigation made $10 - 31 - 51$	By <u>Allen</u> Jones + Burton City Planning Department
in 1 1' and to City Clerk //	-1-J7 Building Inspector //
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Date of action

. .

the water

ras larter "

1

•

and for the second

in set in the set of

·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9792</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. D. Evans, owner, and Thomas E. McClanahan, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on portion of lot divided after zoning, southwester 80 feet of Lot 73, Las Alturas Villa Sites, Bonita Drive, Zone R-1, provided the owner grant an easement 15 feet in depth across the full width of the lot for the widening of Arroyo Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Oct. 31 ____, 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

Secretary Res

Application Received <u>10-25-57</u> By	P. g. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 57$ By	<u>Allen</u> Jones + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10 - 31-17</u> Decision Condel. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-1-51</u>	Building Inspector 11 - 2 - J-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Treatth Department //-1-1/
Pecision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

64:44 1977 - 74 1

•

C ROWLER AND

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9967</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Harry J. Conner to erect single family residence with a 10-foot setback on 4500 block of Santa Cruz Ave., Let 1 and Northwesterly 20 feet of Let 2, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ , 1951_____ , 1951_____

By____

Application Received $10 - 26 - 5^{-1}$ By	J. W. M. Conneck City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 51$ By	<u>Allen</u> Jones + Burton City Planning Department Hearing date 10-21-51
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-31-57 Decision Denied	Date $10 - 31 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 4 - 57$
Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{11 - 1 - 57}{1 - 2 - 57}$ Petitioner	11-1-47 Health Department 11-2-47
Planning Commission //- 2-5/ Fettioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· · ·

•

.

there are you have

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9980</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell A. Kabler to divide one lot into 3 parcels and build one single family residence on each, Lot 67, Las Alturas Villa Sites, Bonita Drive, 500 feet south-west of Encina Drive, Zone R-1, provided an essement 15 feet in depth be granted to widen Arroyo Avenue.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1951_____, 1951_____

By_

Application Received <u>10-26-57</u> By	P. J. Burtow City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 57$ By	<u>Allen</u> Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $10-31-57$ Decision conce appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-1-57$ Planning Commission $11-5-57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	meanin Department // 2 4/
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

te sait *

282828 282828

applies and the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9936</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Louise Pederson to build two 9 ft. by 12 ft. additions to existing garage which has approximately 1 foot sideyard; total length of building 34 feet, area 408 sq. ft. on West 70 feet of Lots 1 and 2, and West 70 feet of North 16-2/3 feet of Lot 3, Block 99, City Hieghts, at 3587 - 42nd Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1951_____, 1951_____

By_

Application Received By	City I lanning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 51$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-31-57 He	aring date 10-31- 57
Decision 1 1 1 1 - 1	$10^{-3} - 3^{-5}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-1-57 Bu	ilding Inspector <u>11 - +</u>
Planning Commission // - 2 - 3-/ Petitioner //-	Health Department 11 - 2 - 57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Co	ntinued to
Time limit extended to Da	te of action

ł.

7. 2 1,8*

.

5980

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen H. Munkelt to construct a residence on portions of Lots 7 and 8 with a 5-foot setback, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Block 71, Roseville, 3319 Ingelow Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 31 , 19 51

By_

15	
Application Received B	v Van Hese
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 31 - 57$ B	y allen Jones + Burton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-31-5	Hearing date <u>10-31-57</u>
Decision appen.	Date 10-31-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk //-/- J/	Building Inspector <u>11 - 2 - 57</u>
Planning Commission 11 - 2 - 57 Petitioner	11 - 1 - JT Health Department 11 - 2 - JT
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

· · · ·

.

.

setter, an inclusive

a set i ma

4

- × - ⁴,

Pris Surre s. - - -

2 Tar Xin P

The TANK

hereiter

. . . .

5 1

· •

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9925</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. Wahl to excavate approximately 2,000 cu. yds. of dirt to be removed from the property, south 30 feet of Lot F and abutting portions of Spruce Street and Falcon Street closed adjacent, Blk 375, Horton's Addition, west side of Reynard Way, Zone R-4, provided all advertising structures are removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 31 , 19 51

By_

Application Received 10-16-51 By DE South	
City Planning I	Department
Investigation made 10 - 31 - 1 By _ allen Jones	Buton
City Planning I	Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-31-17 Hearing date 10-31	- 17
Decision Change 10 - St-SI	
Develution and to City Clerk 1/-1-5/ Building Inspector	1-5-5-1
Planning Commission 11-2-57 Petitioner 11-1-57 Health Depa	rtment $11 - 2 - x^{-1}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

nen den ten de la servicie de la complete de processiones propries de la complete de la propries de la complete La complete de la comp

r

- F

1. P

Berg Street

BERROW

The second

1

.

Section School Contra

letter, dated 11-5-51,

WHEREAS, Application Noz has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 4810, dated July 5, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose Vollmer, Mrs. George W. Wood and the Estate of George W. Wood, owners, and Balboa University, purchaser, to use portions of Pueblo Lots 65, 144, 145, 146, 182 and 193, as shown on legal description and map on file in Planning Dept. Office, both marked, "Balboa University property description, 11-5-51," to erect necessary buildings for educational and housing purposes, and to make necessary repairs and alterations to existing buildings in any amount, for education and housing purposes, the following conditions to be observed:

- New construction, including additions to existing buildings, shall be limited to buildings for bona fide University purposes, and for the residence of bone fide students, faculty members and employees of the University;
- 2. All new construction to be approved by the City Planning Commission or the Zoning Committee for exterior design;
- 3. This Resolution shall not affect any land lying within 470 ft. of Gatalina Blvd., within 350 ft. of Hill St., nor within 300 ft. of Azure Vista Subdivision;

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated Nov. 7 , 19 51

By__

- 4. Before any building is used for educational or any other purposes by the Universit it shall be made to comply with all the requirements of the City Building Inspect Department, the Fire Department, and the Health Department;
- 5. Existing housing may be used for rental to the present occupants for a period of three years from this date, but shall not be re-rented nor used after a present tenant moves out, nor after three years from this date, whichever occurs first, other than for educational purposes by the University, for residence of bone fid students, faculty members and employees of the University.
- 6. The owners of the property included in this Resolution shall provide reasonable access to the public during daylight hours, from Ladera St. over and across presestablished or future roads, paths and walkways on said property, to the ocean frontage or M.H.T.line of the Pacific Ocean.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby grant as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described

2.1 3

. . . .

30.

oligies to read the

.

lution becomes effective Continued to Date of action
lution becomes errective
sion of Council
al filed with City Clerk, date Council Fleating, date
of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-7-31 Building Inspector 11-7-51
1-1-15-01 JJ16
idered by Zoning Committee 10-31-51 Hearing date 10-31-51
stigation made 16-31-51 By aller, Jorus Department
4-31 By (200- By 10-31- 12-31 - 12-31
City Planning Department
ication Received 11- 5- 51 By P. 9. 9. 15 Me

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9937</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
 - 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Rith Radford to construct two duplexes in front portion of lots 16, 17 and east 1/2 of 18, Block 3, 5. Gurwell Heights, 3033 Quince St., Zone R-2, on condition that not less than four off-street parking spaces be provided and made available on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ Nov. 14 _____ , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

3 Emin

130-

5

L' Marine Tr	
Application Received $10 - 19 - J^{-1}$ By	J. Sherman
	City Planning Department
prestigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ By	allen Loudt HBurton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-14</u>	Hearing date <u>11 - 1 4 - 5 - 1</u>
Decision conde appr.	Date 11-14-5-1
Decision conde appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-15	Building Inspector <u>11 - 16 - 5-1</u>
Planning Commission 11-16-57 Petitioner /	1-15-51 Health Department 11-16-5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
fime limit extended to	Date of action

4

no.

. .

. .

164+ 2.04

A I T BO I T A C GUIDE TO CONTRACT TO

an part of the product of the second

The second se

.

5984

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9941 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. W. T. Mooney to construct 22-bed hospital, Class A construction, on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block C, Culverwell and Teggart's Addition, northeast corner 23rd and "O" Streets, Zone R-4, provided not less than 13 parking spaces be provided on the property and the appearance of the building to be subject to the approval of the City Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1951

By

Secretary

Application Received _/ p - 2 2 - 57 E	By J. Sherman
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ E	0
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-14-5	Hearing date <u>11-14-51</u>
Decision Conde appen.	Duilding Incochen 1/- 1/ C
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

.

and the second second G00577. 611

,

The plan is a set of the carpoon index .

ALC P. MARKET MARKET The second realize the particular transferrer of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9965</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur J. Sprague to build 4-unit apartment with 8 ft. 8 in. access court for one unit on the east 37 ft. of the west 67 ft. of Lots 46, 47 and 48. Block 146. University Heights, 2229-31 Howard St., Zone R-4, on condition that two additional off-street parking spaces be provided adjacent to Howard Street on the easterly 110 ft. of Lots 46 to 48, inclusive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received / 0 - 24-57	_ By _ P. J. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By <u>allen fault + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	ner 11-15-57 Health Department 11-16-57
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Concerning C

and allower operations and a

Deal Their South

a and a second second

- Steelsteen and the second se

and the second second for the part of the second seco

A PARTY AND

WHEREAS, Application No. _____9987 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. M. Greene to build and operate private sute and truck garage and parking lot on Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 161, Middletown, southwest corner Columbia and Chalmers, Zone R-4, on condition that a legal driveway to the parking lot is put in across the sidewalk on Chalmers Street or that the curb be replaced according to the City Ordinance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received 10-30-51 By P. Q. Burton
City Planning Department
Investigation made By_allen, Landt & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-14.57</u> Hearing date <u>11-14.57</u>
Decision condil. appr. Date 11-14-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-15 Building Inspector 11-16-VI
Decision conde appr. Date 11-14-51 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-15</u> Building Inspector <u>11-16-51</u> Planning Commission 11-16-57 Petitioner 11-15-57 Health Department 11-16-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

s sin in a start with the start a start of start

and the second s

and the second second when any other and a second second second second second second second second second second

· Mart

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9989</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Virgil J. and Alta M. Park to erect a duplex with accompanying garage and laundry room with 47% coverage on Lot 16. Elock 30, Ocean Beach, east of 4740 Saratoga Ave., Zone R-2, on condition that this lot be held in the same ownership with the adjoining lots, 14 and 15, and that the total coverage on the above three lots is not in excess of 40% of the lot area, and provided that an agreement is signed and recorded to the effect that the three lots mentioned will be held in the same ownership and not sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 5a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res. No. 5987

Nov. 14

, 19⁵¹

By_

FORM 2145

Dated
Application ReceivedB	y _ J. W. M & Connell. City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$ B	y <u>Allen</u> , Landt Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision could approx Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission // -/6 - 57 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date <u>11-14-57</u> Date <u>11-14-57</u> Building Inspector <u>11-16-57</u> <u>11-16-57</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

10000

the start of the start is

5 \$

,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9979</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry E. and Hazel J. Wing to build a one-unit apartment over garage on northwest 40 feet of Lot 3, and all of Lot 2 except the northwest 45 feet of Lot 2, Block 2, Corcoran's Subdivision, west side of Eads 95 feet south of Genter St., Zone R-2, provided it is located 75 feet or more back from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Nov. 14

, 19⁵¹

By_

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received By	Gity Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 1 + -5^{-1}$ By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
	Hearing date 11-14-51 Date 11-14-51 Building Inspector 11-16-51 11-AC-51 Health Department Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

to to the first of the second se

IN AD FILL CORP. 1 CORP. CO CORP. CO CORP. CO CORP. CO CORP. CORP.

My21.

11-17-19 1 - 2 . 1-14 4 . .

,

all and the second second

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9767</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul 0. and Goldie Mae Smith to maintain home work shop adjacent to the garage with a total area of 792 square feet, with no side yard and a 5-foot rear yard, on Lot 17 and westerly 15 feet of Lot 18, Ludington Heights, 1633 Valdez Drive, La Jolla, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By_

Secretary

Application Received	By J. W. M & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$	_ By <u>Allen</u> <u>Landt</u> <u>Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $11 - 12$ Decision $2ppr$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 Planning Commission $12 - 16 - 57$ Petiti Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	- 15 Building Inspector $11 - 16 - 51$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

free of free of the state of th

reat is a first of the state of the second s

1,0167.1.1 .

,

1 Sart - Martine

1 1 1 2 3 3 T 1 2 1

AND THE PARTY

WHEREAS, Application No. ____9916 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ __ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Patrick Raymond and Annabelle Tracey, purchaser, and Howard D. and Guadalupe Q. Fackrell, owner, to split out two parcels of land not of record at time of zoning, each parcel to have 50 ft. frontage and 110 ft. depth, and erect duplex on each, also to have a 16-foot rear yard, on portion of Lot L, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, south side of Amherst St., approximately 150 ft. west of 70th St., Zone R-2; on condition that the westerly 50 feet of the northerly 110 feet of the Fackrell property is not built on and is set aside for ingress and egress to the Fackrell property to the south and for future public street purposes, and that an agreement Aqr. # 774 - 11-23-51. 14. to that effect be signed and recorded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3681 New Series and 8924, Sections 4a and 5a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Nov. 14 , 151

By_

Application Received By	
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$ By	Landt, allew + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Conde, app. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission //-/6-5/ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector <u>11-16-51</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

NA TAN

· mentioned and a solution of the solution of the

an gar hinth

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9972</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Nome Lee Gulick to operate a part-time beauty shop under certain conditions, Lots 8, 9, and 10, Block 40, City Heights, 4057 - 40th Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated.above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 14 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By___

Ire,B

Application Received	By <u>E</u> . <u>Cleon</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$	_ By <u>Allen Laudt Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-10</u> Decision Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-</u> Planning Commission <u>11-16-57</u> Petitic Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	15 Building Inspector <u>11-16-51</u> oner <u>11-15-51</u> Health Department <u>11-16-51</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- Sec. 4.

. .

The second states and the second states and

1 7 10 1 T

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10015</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. S. Kirchmaier to erect a 4-unit apartment with no street frontage on portion of Lots 5, 7 and 5, per legal description on file in Gity Planning Office, Block 294, Pacific Beach, Strandway between Oliver and Reed Sts., Zone C. on condition that an easement be granted to the City of San Diego for street purposes over and across those portions of his property shown as "private property to be dedicated for street purposes" on map accompanying letter to City Council dated March 20, 1951, signed by Glenn A. Rick, City Planning Director, and filed in the Office of the City Clerk under Document No. 431577.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

ha B

Application Received 11-7-57 By J. Rick City Planning Department City Planning Department Investigation made _____ H___ By __ Cleu Considered by Zoning Committee 11-14 Hearing date 11-14-51 Date 11 - 14 - 47Building Inspector 11 - 16 - 5111 - 16 - 57 Health Department 11 - 16Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-16</u> Planning Commission // - /6-J⁻/ Petitioner Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action · LAN por 01 PARTS THE RUDLINGS corta i las avi la nava z 6 P 4 10 . Containty 8 r 0 101 115 0 410 reh P.10 (0) P. 24.5 Line Lawrence The source states in in mal 3 L 12.7 au training and the 0 9 1.40 5 Eb L1 '7'd 11 300 2 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9985</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maurice S. and Edith McGehee to split into three parcels and erect single family residence on each, according to legal description and plot plan on file in City Planning Office, on portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, east side of La Dorna Drive, approximately 210 feet north of Montezuma Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13555 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E OP-
Application Received B	Y City Planning Department
	ERC + V But
Investigation made $// - /4 = \sqrt{/}$ E	By <u>allen Landt & Benton</u> City Planning Department
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Building Inspector <u>// - / 6 - 57</u> // - / 5 - 57 Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	
\$	"
000	
boil	
N .	and a manual the state of the s
~	
	0 2
	2 A
N ····································	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5
	10 march 1. 19 1
	Le mese colony L. K.
	en Br Tol
	Payso w
	29925-W 5
	5
	2-1

WHEREAS, Application No. 10005 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairmont Land Co. to maintain existing residence with 4.7 ft. sideyard, Lot 182, Clairemont Unit No. 2, west side of Grandview Street, 120 feet South of Jellett Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Nov. 14 , 19_51 Dated_

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received6 - 51	By	
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	By	allen Landt + Burton
		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _11-1.	4-57]	Hearing date 11 - 1 4 - 51
Decision a kpc. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-1</u> Planning Commission	I	Date 11 - 14 - 57
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk //-/	5-51]	Building Inspector <u>11-16-51</u>
	JICI /	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Appeal filed with City Clerk date		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council]	Date
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

abarra en su d'artitud de la sul sul sul sul sul constato de la sullita brandar

The second second

and the start and the

Call int

the till the second second

,

* Sectory

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10006</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Co. to maintain existing residence, with 4.7 ft. sideyard, Lot 200, Clairemont Unit No. 2, cast side of Grandview St., approximately 320 feet south of Jellett Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By_

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ By	Hearing date 11-14-51
Considered by Zoning Committee $11 - 14 - 57$ Decision $1 - 16$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 - 15$ Planning Commission $11 - 16 - 5$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 6 // - / J _ J Health Department / - / 6 - J Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date Continued to Date of action

Ren in fraction of a state of the lighter that the state in the section of the se

Total and the second second second second

· · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10007</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Co. to maintain existing residence with 4.5 ft. side yard. Lot 202, Clairemont Unit No. 2, east side of Grandview St., approximately 140 feet south of Jellett St., Sone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By_

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ By	<u>Allen</u> Landt + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>//-/4</u> Decision <u>Jepu</u> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>//-/J</u> Planning Commission //-/6 -J7 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector <u>11-16-57</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Name and a second of a second

And the second sec

,

an in the case of the contract and the public der

THE REPORT OF A REAL PROPERTY OF A

A PARTY AND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9957</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph B. and Alberta L. Silva, owners, and Byron N. and Andrea R. Cartwright, purchasers, to erect a single family residence on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, the existing residence to be demoliched before new construction begins, easterly 50 feet of Lot 2, except the southerly 50 feet thereof. Elock 156. La Playa, 2937 Perry Street, Zone R-L. provided the average setback of the Block is maintained.

A varaiance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 19 51

By_

Application Received B	y <u>J. W. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ B	y <u>Allen Landt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision of Council	Building Inspector $1/-16-5/$ 1/-15-5/ Health Department $1/-16-5/$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A CONTRACTOR A

A service of the particular of the service of the s the set of

Recting the second

,

2 Sector Control Market of Annual Market Sector Co.

HOF with a converse of a stream way and set

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9959</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Saunders and Knowlta Schneider to maintain existing carport and covered patic between the garage and residence, total area of existing garage, carport and patic 904 sq.ft., with no side yard and no rear yard and 1% overcovered, on Lot 110, Talmadge Park, 4668 Talmadge Drive, Zone R-1, provided the plans for the building are approved by the City as to the appearance of the carport.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924. Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 5998

By_

, 19**51**

FORM 2145

Dated

Nov. 14

Application Received H	By <u>P. Q. Burton</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ H	By <u>Allen Landt Burton</u> City Planning Department
Planning Commission //-/6-5/ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Date} & 11 - 14 - 51 \\ \text{Building Inspector} & 11 - 16 - 57 \\ 11 - 15 - 57 & \text{Health Department} & 11 - 16 - 57 \\ \end{array} $
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

7. 14 .

. . . .

A MATERIA PARA

the second second 4204 -

The Forthersen Call & June 1 and the state of the line of the

the state for any set of the set of the of the out, est-

Participation of the state of the state of the

STREAM TO ART OF THE LOSS SOLD AND A THE STREAM

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9926</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Louis G. and Grayce M. Schwartz to operate a real estate office in existing home, under certain conditions, on South 40 ft. of North 200 ft. Lot 20, Block J, Teralta, 4220 - 40th Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and ia hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 14

FORM 2145

By

____, 19__**51**

Res. 5999

Application Received B	y <u>E. Cleon</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$ B	y <u>allen</u> , <u>Landt</u> +Berton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-14</u> Decision Denicd Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-15</u> Planning Commission <u>11-16</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector <u>11 - 16 - 57</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and search the second of the last state

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10038</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Collwood Construction Co. to erect garage with 5-ft. setback, residence over garage with 15-ft. setback, Lot 185, Collwood Unit No. 1, Baylor Drive, north of Collier Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 19 51

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6000

Application Received	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$	_ By <u>Allen Landt & Berton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-1-	Hearing date 11-14-51
Decision appr	Date $11 - 14 - 5^{-1}$ 15 Building Inspector $11 - 16 - 5^{-1}$ ner $11 - 15^{-1}$ Health Department $11 - 16 - 5^{-1}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _//-	J Building Inspector <u>11-16-57</u>
Planning Commission 11-16 - Petition	ner $11 - 13 - 31$ Health Department $11 - 16 - 51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

STALL A STALL