WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10037</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Collwood Construction Co. to erect garage with 5-foot setback, residence over garage with 15-foot setback, Lot 186, Collwood Unit No. 1, Baylor Drive, north of Collier Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By_

Res. No. 6001

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ B	y <u>Allen</u> , Loudt + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $11 - 14 - 57$ Decision $11 - 14$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11 - 157$ Planning Commission $11 - 16 - 57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector <u>11-16-51</u> 11-15-57 Health Department <u>11-16-51</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

reactions NR politica in the second second

Vermi i sin in a du in Aflant i sur a du in a Aflant i sur a du in a d

5 E

- -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10035</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Collwood Construction Co. to erect garage with 5-foot setback, residence over garage with 15-foot setback, Lot 187, Collwood Unit No. 1, Baylor Drive, north of Collier Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By___

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $(1 - 14 - 51)$ By	<u>Allen</u> , Landt +Burton City Planning Department
Decision of Council	Hearing date $11 - 14 - 57$ Date $11 - 14 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 16 - 57$ 11 - 15 - 57 Health Department $11 - 16 - 57Council Hearing, dateDate$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A build of the second of the second of the second of the second of the build of the second of the second of the second of the second of the the build of the second o

Service in the second of the state of the second of the se

1

Part of the en t

West and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10036</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Collwood Construction Co. to erect garage with 5-foot setback, residence over garage with 15-foot setback, Lot 188, Collwood Unit No. 1, Baylor Drive, north of Collier Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 1951

By

FORM 2145

Application Received 6 - 57	By E. Cloon City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By allen, Loudt Burton. City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>//-/4</u> Decision <u>Mappa</u> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>//-</u> Planning Commission <u>//-</u> <u>/6</u> - <u>J</u> Petitic Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \hline$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

A A TO THE PERSON OF A DOLLAR TO ALL A TO ALL AND POLICY AND POLIC

- S

and the start of the start of the

,

GE

Course and

to a second produces of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10010</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Collwood Construction Co. to erect garage with 5-foot setback, residence over garage with 15-foot setback, Lot 189, Collwood Unit No. 1, Baylor Drive, north of Collier Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By____

Application Received By	y <u>E. Gleon</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y <u>allen Landt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision of Council	Building Inspector <u>11-16-57</u> Health Department <u>11-16-57</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and a set of the set o

AND TO MAKE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10001</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

P ermission is hereby DENIED to William and Vella Korstad to install real estate office in same building as a dentist office, Lots 1 and 2, Block 62, Roseville, 1455 Rosecrans Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 N. S. be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 14 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Sec.

Application Received $1/-7-\sqrt{7}$ By	F. W. M Connell_ City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$ By	Allen, Landt + Burton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>//-/y</u> Planning Commission //-/6 -/-/ Petitioner // Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date 11 - 14 - 5-1 Building Inspector <u>11 - 16 - 5-7</u> -15 - 57 Health Department 11 - 16 - 57
	Continued to Date of action

the second and a string there as

L. M. day abor

and the state of the second to

.

and a second second second second and second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9963</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold F. Carley to erect a garage 754 sq. ft. with 6 ft. rear yard on Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 1, La Jolla Beach, Northwest corner Marine Street and Tyrian Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Dated_

FORM 2145

Nov. 15

Res. No. 6006

Application Received $11 - 7 - 57$	By <u>7. W. M & Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$	By <u>Allen Loudt</u> + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-14-5</u> Decision <u>Appen</u> . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-15</u> Planning Commission <u>11-16-57</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Building Inspector <u>11 - 16 - 57</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

•

,

ALL STATES

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Hermann G. and Edna A. Friedmann to erect 14 ft. 9 inch. by 30 ft. addition to existing garage for laundry and garage, addition to have no setback on Lots 1 thru 6, Block 8, Venice Park, 3880 Crown Point Drive, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 14 , 19 51 FORM 2145

Application Received	By F. W. m Connel
the first of the second s	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$	By <u>Allen Landt + Burton</u> City Planning Department
9	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _/1 - 14	Hearing date <u>11-14 - 57</u>
Decision Denied	Date 11 - 1 4 - 5-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-1.	Date $11 - 14 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 16 - 57$
Planning Commission // - /6 -0/ Petition	er 11 - 10-27 Health Department 11 - 16 - 51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the same and all all all and a start of the second se

,

.....

15 · 1 /

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lyle E. and Barbara Linder to erect residence with 14 ft. rear yard on Lot 10, Block 39, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, East side of Bellevue Ave. approximately 125 ft. South of Folsom Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 14

Dated

FORM 2145

, 19 51

Secretary

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 14 - 5 - 1$ By	Landt allen & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $11 - 14 - 57$ Decision 750 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11 - 157$ Planning Commission $11 - 16 - 57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date $1/-14 - \sqrt{-1}$ Date $1/-14 - \sqrt{-1}$ Building Inspector $1/-16 - \sqrt{-1}$ $1/-16 - \sqrt{-1}$ Health Department $1/-16 - \sqrt{-1}$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

St. 1985 - 1984

.

12 To and Show a strate whether the state of the state of the

ph flat in the of

.

· · ·

Style & By Me har the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10008</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert H. and Doloris E. Miller to erect a retaining wall in front of setback line, wall to range in height from 2 feet to 122 feet, Lot 96 Hubner Knolls Annex, 5505 Dwight Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Nov. 14

_____, 19 <mark>51</mark>

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received _// - 7 - 5 -/ By	E. alson
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$ By	- <u>Allen</u> , Landt & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $11-14-17$ Decision $apple$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-14^{-17}$	Hearing date 11 - 14 - 51
Decision approximation cent to City Clerk //-//	Building Inspector 11-16-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	$11 - 10^{-51}$ Health Department $11 - 16 - 51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

the man and the second compart with

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9992</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Mary Mitchell to operate dressmaking shop in home, Lot 23, Block 54, Point Loma Heights, 4265 Orchard Ave., Zone R-1, under the following conditions:

- 1. Service only;
- 2. No signs;
- 3. Approximately 15 hours per week;
- 4. Customer to furnish all materials;
- 5. With one line listing name and address in Classified Section of the telephone book;
- 6. Permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received 8 - 51	By <u>J. m & Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 57$	By <u>Allen Landt + Benton</u> City Planning Department
Decision concle. cp/per. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-16</u> : Planning Commission <u>11-16-57</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $1/-1/4-\sqrt{7}$ $\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1/-1/6-5/$ 1/-1/6-5/ 1/-1/6-5/
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTO OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCR

1.1

1 -- - - 1

,

a plan stranger and the plan sector and the plan sector and the plant

Letter dated Nov. 7, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5598 dated June 13, 1951, be granted to Eugene L. and Thelma L. DeVoid, owners, and Rudolph D. and Laura W. Svoboda, purchaser, to cut out approximately one acre and divide it into two building sites, each approximately 90 feet on the street frontage and 240 feet in depth, and construct single family dwelling on each, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1780, per legal description on file in the Planning Department Office, on West side of Soledad Road in the Southeast portion of this Pueblo Lot. in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 14 , 19 51

By_

Application Received 8 - 17	_ By
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made 11-14-57	By <u>allen</u> Loudt + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-14</u> Decision <u>Japan</u> . ett. 6 mo. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-</u> Planning Commission <u>11-16-JY</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council <u></u>	Date //- / 4 - 57 <u>15</u> Building Inspector <u>//-/6 - 57</u> ner //-/5 - 87 Health Department //-/6 - 57 Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

\$ 14 TOND 1 4

and the second

SITTE 114 -

,

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9841</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED TO Wm. H. Buckingham, owner, and Sanford Jaques, purchaser, to divide portion of Fueblo Lot 1256 (legal description on file in Planning Office) into new building site for single family residence with 30-foot easement to public street, East side of La Jolla Mesa Drive, 350 ft. South of Muirlands Drive, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, and No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____, 19 FORM 2145 By_



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9991</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. and Barbara Linder to erect a residence over a garage, the residence to observe the setback required, the garage permitted to extend 5 feet closer to the front property line than the required setback, on Lot 10, Block 39, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, east side of Bellevue Ave., approximately 125 ft. south of Folsum Drive. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 14 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 8 - 51	By <u>E. Olsow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$	By <u>Allen Landt & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Inteengeren met -	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-14-	J7 Hearing date $1/-14-\sqrt{-1}$
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 11-14-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _//-/J	_ Building Inspector
Planning Commission // /6 V/ Petitionel	r // / / // Health Department // / / / / / / / /
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution becomes criccure	Continued to
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

cmiduciput 2 / restance from the from the from the restance of State #SSC, b restance of the state free from the restance of the state free from the state of the state free from the state of the state free from the state of the state from the state of the stat

and the second second

not the second sec

1011.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

6014

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. T. Penwarden to construct residence and garage with 5% overcoverage, Lot L. Block 12, Mission Beach, Asbury Ct., west of Mission Blvd., Zone R-2, total coverage not to be in excess of 55%.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Dated_

Novy 14

. 1951

Secretary Res. No. 5983 6014

Application Received6 - 57	By Mail R. T. City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 14 - 51$	By allen Landt + Burton City Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $1/-14-5$ Building Inspector $1/-16-55$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A Francisco de la construcción d

but the second second

1

-- 5

Armerri

.....

Then !

,

RESOLUTION NO. 104701

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Xenia R. Regan, guardian of the estate of Maude E. Lewis, 1725 Monroe Avenue, San Diego, California, and the East San Diego Kiwanis Club, purchaser, in denying by its Resolution No. 6015, application No. 6015, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, to permit construction of a Boy Scout Clubhouse and play area on Lots 1 and 2, Block 79, City Heights, southeast Corner of 41st and Landis Streets, in Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9984</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Mrs. Maude Lewis, owner, and East San Diego Kiwanis by J. F. Valleau, purchaser, to construct a Boy Scout Clubhouse and play area on Lots 1 and 2, Block 79, City Heights, Southeast corner of 41st and Landis Streets, O Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

pealed - De 13-

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated November 28 , 19 51

By_

1

Application Received	By E. alson
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 28 - 51	By _Landt Jones VBenton City Planning Department
	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-20</u> Decision Denied	8-57 Hearing date 11 - 28 - 51
Decision Denied	Date 11-28-51
a (Development to 1 ty 1 lety // e	FI J BIIIINING INSPECTOR
Di Commission // - Ja - (-/ Petiti	oner //- 29 - V/ Health Department //- 50- 1-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Cantinued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	Yrn
	Cally many
	1 mg . 7'
	The Providence of the Providen
	ng in
	1 13

the second second second

War and we it

· ·

1

.

CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT.

the second s

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9971</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Fernanda Le Blanc to construct four units crossing property line of Lots 27 and 28, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, On Muir Street 50 feet Northwesterly of Froude Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____November 28____, 19_51

FORM 2145

By____

Application Received By	E. alson
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$ By	Landt, Jones & Burton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28	Hearing date 11 - 28 - 51
Decision appr	Date $11 - 28 - 57 - 77$
C f Devolution cent to (ity (lerk 11 - 29	Building Inspector 11-30-51
Planning Commission 11 - 30 - Petitioner	11-29-51 Health Department 11-30-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

· Carola art Cal

per la contra

and the first second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas R. and Kathlinea R. Lowerison to construct third living unit on Lots 9 and 10, Block 107, University Heights, east side of Oregon, 340 feet north of El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4, provided the existing metal garage, which obstructs the required access court, be removed before final inspection is approved on this third living unit and on condition that two additional off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

Ð

FORM 2145

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received By	. J. W. M & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - \sqrt{7}$ By	Landt Jones Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1/-28-57$ Decision could approximate $1/-28-57$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-29-57$ Planning Commission $1/-30-57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date $1/-28-\sqrt{7}$ Date $1/-38-\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1/-38-\sqrt{7}$ $1/-29-\sqrt{7}$ Health Department $1/-38-\sqrt{7}$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

30¢

. . . .

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10002</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond H. and Cora Mae Tank to have the right to sell, wholesale only, surplus parakeets, finches, or other small birds, maximum number of birds at any one time 100, maximum size of aviary 20 ft. by 12 ft., Lots 19 and 20, Block 84, City Heights, 3619 36th Street, Zone R-2; this permit to () expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_
Application Received $11 - 14 - 57$ By	Fur mª Connece	
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$ By	Loudt, Jones & Barton City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-5-1		
Considered by Zonnig Committee 77-20157	Treating date //	
Decision consil appenobal Date 11-28-51		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-29-57 Building Inspector 11 - 30 - 57		
Planning Commission 11-30-57 Petitioner 11-29-57 Health Department 11-30-57		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

10.

. .

and the second second

a manufactor of the the state of the state o

and the set of the bar is an

1.00

and the second second

the second of the second states of the second states of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9996</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold D. and Gladys L. Jewell to erect 8 units and 7 garages with 59% coverage and with 11 ft. access court on Lots 52, 53 and 54, Block 41, Tract 1368 of Fairmount Addition on West side of 52nd Street 175 (Feet South of El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Nol 8924, Section Sa be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_November 28

FORM 2145

, 19 51

Secretary

k

Application Received <u>11-14-51</u> By	J. W. M & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-28-57</u> By	Laudt Jones + Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-28-51$ Decision $1-28-51$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-29-57$ Planning Commission $11-30-57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date $1/-58-\sqrt{7}$ Date $1/-38-\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1/-30-\sqrt{7}$ $1/-29-\sqrt{7}$ Health Department $1/-30-\sqrt{7}$
	Continued to Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold D. and Gladys L. Jewell to erect eight units and seven garages with no setback, living quarters to observe the required setback, Lots 52, 53 and 54, Block 41, Tract 1368 of Fairmount Addition, on West side of 52nd Street, 175 feet South of El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 28 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

k

Application Received By	D. W. M & Connell City Planning Department	
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 51$ By	Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee $1/-58-\sqrt{2}$ Hearing date $1/-58-\sqrt{2}$ Decision $2/2$ Date $1/-58-\sqrt{2}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-29$ Building Inspector $1/-30-\sqrt{2}$ Planning Commission $1/-30-\sqrt{2}$ Petitioner $1/-29-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $1/-30-\sqrt{2}$		
Planning Commission // - 30 - 5/ Petitioner // - 29 - 5/ Health Department // - 30 - 5/ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date Date		
Resolution becomes effective		
	lication withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Da	te of action	

,

• - ,

And the state

A Participation

and the second sec

a manage part is to share

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9993</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Neil O. and Lenore Warner to erect a second single family residence on Lots 35 and 36, Block 2, Ocean Beach, 4545 Cape May Ave., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ November 28 _____, 19_51

By_

Te:

Application Received By	City Planning Department	
Investigation made $11 - 28 - \sqrt{7}$ By	Landt Jones & Buston City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-28-57</u> Hearing date <u>11-28-57</u> Decision Denied Date <u>11-28-57</u> Common of Percent to City Clerk <u>11-29-</u> Building Inspector <u>11-30-57</u>		
Planning Commission 11 - 30 - 17 Petitioner 11 - 29 - 17 Health Department 11 - 30 - 17 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

2, - 13

ī

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9849</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam A. Marcell to convert existing garage and laundry room into living unit with 2 ft. 6 in. side yard, Lots 21 through 24, Block 3-1/2, City Heights Annex No. 1, rear of 4520 University Ave., Zone C; on condition that the wood exterior be stuccoed and that the existing stucco be painted or color-coat added for a uniform colored stucco for the entire building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received _// - / 4 - 5/ By	7. W. mc Connell	
	City Planning Department	
Investigation made $11 - 38 - 57$ By	Landt, Jones & Burton	
Inform generation	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 11 = 28-5	/Hearing date 11 - 28 - 5-1	
Decision conde. appr. Date 11-28-1-1		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-22 - Building Inspector 11- 30- V-1		
Planning Commission 11 - 30 JI Petitioner 11 - 29 JJ Health Department 11 - 30 JJ		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

A to the second se

and a second state of the second state of the

the second second

· ·

38 100.0 Vibba a

,

Perio

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10061</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hubner Building Company and Roger B. and Patricia A. Robbins, owner, to excavate 300 yards of dirt and leave an embankment on a slope of 1 unit horizontal to 1 unit verticle on Lot 882, only, Redwood Village Unit No. 7.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4733, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Permission is hereby DENIED to Hubner Building Company and the owners of Lots 875 to 881, inclusive, to excavate on or in lots 875 to 881, inclusive, Redwood Village Unit No. 7.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4733. New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 30 , 1952

By_

Application Received _/1-16-51 By	y FW. M Concell City Planning Department	
Investigation made $ 2 \overline{3} - \sqrt{2}$ By	allen, Landt Lancaster +	
	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-23-52	Hearing date 1. 2 3 - 52	
Decision could appr Date 1-23-52		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-30-52 Building Inspector 1-31-52		
Planning Commission 1-31-52 Petitioner 1-30-52 Health Department 1-31-52		
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council		
Resolution becomes effective	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE	
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

and the system into the

The second se

17.16 10.11.21

1 T TO # 7 -

.

Motor I CAT

and the second of the second state a same of

and the subscription of the second

The set of going's the pro- approach of the state

WHEREAS, Application Nov. 20, 1951 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of one year, to January 1, 1953, from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5172, dated December 13, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4260, dated November 2, 1949, which extended Resolution No. 3390, dated August 25, 1948, be granted to Jesse T. and Enma R. Davis to remove existing single family dwelling on the front of property at 3977 Falcon Street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, except the north 50 ft. thereof, Arnold & Choate's Addition, Resub. of Block 68, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the tixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

____, ¹⁹51 Dated. Nov. 28 FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received B	y City Planning Department	
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 51$ B	y Loudt Jones & Burton City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{11-28-37}{97}$ Hearing date $\frac{11-28-37}{97-28-37}$ Decision $\frac{11-28-37}{97}$ Date $\frac{11-28-37}{97-38-37}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{11-28-37}{97-37}$ Building Inspector $\frac{11-38-37}{11-38-37}$ Planning Commission $11-38-37$ Petitioner $11-24-37$ Health Department $\frac{11-38-37}{11-38-37}$		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action	

ž v

. .

State - States of

,

Toma a second a secon

promising the second second second

CA STAL AND

. 2

The Content of Street and and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10027</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pio G. and Maclobea Cortez to erect 6 ft. by 8 ft. bathroom addition to non-conforming residence, which has no sideyard, but the addition will have 3 ft. sideyard, Lot 13, Block 7, Culverwell's Addition, 1522 "F" Street, Zone M-1.

0

FORM 2145

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _______ , 19_51

How the first states

Application Received4 - 57	By A.W. M Connect City Planning Department
	By Landt, Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>//- 20</u> Planning Commission <u>//- 20-67</u> Petition Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $11 - 28 - 57$ 2 - 57Building Inspector $11 - 30 - 57er 11 - 29 - 57 Health Department 11 - 30 - 57$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the set of the set

and the set of the set of the set of the set

1976 - N. (M. 1987)

Maria Para

·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10013</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles De Joseph to erect 2-story duplex with twocar garage attached, in addition to existing single family residence, making a total of three living units on the property, Lots 5 and 6, Block 38, Ocean Beach, 4774 Del Mar Avenue, Zone R-2, on condition that the proposed stairway be improved as to oppearance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received $11 - 14 - 51$ E	By J. W. m Conneck City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$ E	By Landt Jones + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-28</u> Decision appr consel.	Hearing date 11-28-51
Decision appr conde.	Date 11 - 28 - 51
C of Desolution cont to (Ity (Jerk //	Billing Inspector // a Q - V/
Planning Commission 11-30-51 Petitioner	11-29-51 Health Department 11-30-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5.9.0

L'F

. .

1 5 3 . . . ·

,

ŝ,

the start of the

3. 10. 10 G

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10040</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel M. McNaul to construct 715 sq. ft. garage with 7 ft. rear yard on Lot 18, Block 67, Middletown Addition, and Lot 10 and Lot 9, except the Easterly 6 feet, Block 5, Marine View, 1437 Torrance Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

pplication Received <u>11-16-51</u> By F.W. M. Connect City Planning Department		
vestigation made For the By Landt, Jones & Burton City Planning Department		
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>11-28-07</u> Hearing date <u>11-28-07</u>		
Decision Abble Date 11- 28-01		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2957 Building Inspector		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2951</u> Building Inspector Planning Commission 11-30-57 Petitioner 11-29-57 Health Department 11-30-57		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date		
esolution becomes effective		
polication withdrawn Continued to		
ime limit extended to Date of action		

A state of the second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>973</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harvey T. and Mina W. Burch to erect 12 ft. by 13 ft. concrete block addition to rear of existing 12 ft. by 18 ft. stucce garage; addition to have no sideyard and no rear yard on West 70 feet of Lots 42 and 43, except the West 7 ft. 6 inch., Block 51, University Heights, 4581 (P Shirley Ann Place, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924p Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received E	By <u>E. Claon</u> City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 47$	By Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-	7 Hearing date 11-28-07
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-24	Date 11-28-5-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-24	Building Inspector <u>11-30-V1</u>
Planning Commission 11 - 30 - V7 Petitioner	11-29-51 Health Department $11-30-51$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a say to a set of these with the st

.

1.1

and he as

,

and the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10049</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924. as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd T. Penick to construct a six-foot high painted fence with a two-foot setback on Pickwick Street, Lots 1 through 5 and portion of 6 through 8, Block 2, Broderick & West Addition, SEly of Wabash Freeway on Pickwick Street at 34th Street, Zone R-4, provided this resolution is ratified by the City Council.

A varaince to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

, 19 51

FORM 2145

Nov. 28

Dated

Application Received By By C	ity Planning Department	
Investigation made 8 - 51 By Landt	Jones + Burton ity Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>//-28-17</u> Hearing date <u>//-28-57</u> Decision appr. Date <u>//-28-57</u> Dete <u>//-28-57</u>		
Planning Commission // -30-5/ Petitioner //-30-5/ Health Department //-30-5/ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council Date		
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn Continued to		
Time limit extended to Date of action		

Contract Cont

and the set of the set of the

Sale T. Mr. Water Manufal Samphy

The state of the s

Charles all I - The

,

S Carton

RESOLUTION NO. 104615

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

V

That Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6029 adopted November 28, 1951, which granted permission to Lloyd T. Penick to construct a sixfoot high painted fence with a two-foot setback on Pickwick Street, Lots 1 through 5 and portion of Lots 6 through 8, Block 2, Broderick and West Addition, southeasterly of Wabash Freeway on Pickwick Street at 34th Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby ratified, and variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and it is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10050</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd T. Penick to extend contractor's storage yard using lots 1 through 5, to include portion of lots 6 through 5, Block 2, Broderick & West Addition, southeasterly of Wabash Freeway on Pickwick Street at 34th Street, Zone R-4, under the same conditions as imposed under Council

No. 103569, dated August 30, 1951. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By

Application Received By E. Olson
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11-28-51</u> By <u>Laudt</u> , <u>goves</u> <u>Benton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-57 Hearing date 11-28-51
Date 11-28-V-1
C Desolution cent to City Clerk 11- 29-57 Building Inspector 11-50-57
Planning Commission 11-30-57 Petitioner 11-29-57 Health Department 11-30-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

1 - 4 - 16 -

and the

A B. REL STREET New The for THE CAR

14 14 / 10 · 10-0

the set with a bright of a date is an arrive to be

control of the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9950</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gero and Helen Farkas to operate a poultry slaughtering house in connection with a non-conforming poultry ranch at 4934 Gardena Avenue, Lots 9 and 10, Gardena Home Tract, easterly 1/2 of Morena Acre Lot 130, Zone R-1, this per-9 mission to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received By	y <u>J. M. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - \sqrt{-1}$ By	y Loudt Jones +Berton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>11-28-51</u> Decision Conde. appr.	Hearing date $1/-28-5/1$ Date $1/-28-5/1$ Building Inspector $1/-30-8/1$ 1/-29-5/1 Health Department $1/-30-5/1$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a Berlin .

1

fer .

.

1. 1. C. M. 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10020</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sara L. Taylor to erect an addition on the front of residence at 3677 Kettner Blvd., where the rear of this residence is now only 5 feet from the next residence in the rear, Lot 3, Block 167, W. H. Foster's Subdivision, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received	By E. alson
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 28 - 5-1	By Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28	-57 Hearing date 11-28-57
Decision Approved Dy Zonnig Committee Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 11 - 28 - 1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2	<u>1-1</u> Building Inspector <u>11-30-11</u>
Planning Commission // - 30-0/ Petition	er 11-29-07 Health Department 11-30-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the second states and second

STRUCTURE OF STR

1906 A. * *

1

REAL PROPERTY AND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10062</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. P. and Kathryn May Schrick to construct four living units and four garages, making a total of six units on the lot, with approximately 900 sq. ft. overcovered, or 56% coverage, Lots 36 and 37, Block 197, University Heights, 3946 Mississippi, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - \sqrt{7}$ By	Loude, Jones Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-51	Hearing date <u>11 - 2 8 - 51</u>
Decision abbr.	Date $11 - 28 - 57$
Convert Perclution sent to City Clerk 11-24	Building Inspector <u>11 - 30 - 17</u>
Planning Commission 11 - 30 - 51 Petitioner	$11 - 24 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $11 - 30 - \sqrt{7}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2

VERT 1 1 1 1 1 1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10048</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rose and Louis Mattar to construct duplex in addition to existing residence with 7 ft. 9 in. access court, on Lots 41 and 42, Block 5, Alhambra Park, west side of 51st Street, between Monroe and Madision Avenue, Zone R-4, on condition that one additional parking space be provided at the rear of property O and that the dupler be not less than 10 feet from the rear lot line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

pplication Received By By City Planning Department
westigation made By Landt, Jones - Burton City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee 11-28-v7 Hearing date 11-28-v7
ecision concil. appr. Date 11-28-5-1 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-29-5</u> 7Building Inspector <u>11-36-5-1</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-29-17</u> Building Inspector <u>11-30-17</u>
lanning Commission 11-30-57 Petitioner 11-29-57 Health Department 11-30-57
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

entroducting the spectrum the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10069</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section is of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glarz A. Collins to build one-room addition to residence on west 40 ft. of Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 64, Seaman & Choates Addition, northeast corner 29th and Ivy Streets, Zone R-2; the addition to have a 12-foot rear yard, provided it conforms to architecture of existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

Application Received	_ By _ P. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$	By Landt, Jones & Buston City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	$\frac{8-\sqrt{7}}{\text{Hearing date}} \frac{1}{1-2} = \frac{8-\sqrt{7}}{2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2</u> Planning Commission <u>11-30-57</u> Petition	$2 - \sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1 - 30 - \sqrt{7}$ ner $11 - 39 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $11 - 30 - \sqrt{7}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Se Parily

ont an of the state

20 P

and the second second

11 61 00 12 ft

. .

AND THE AREA AND AND A SHE FOR A PARTY AND A THE AND

ANT STREET
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10056</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arvid and Beulah Fahlen to construct one single family residence with 15 foot setback on Roswell, Lot 16, Block 5, Bewerly, northeast corner of 51st and Roswell Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received By	DE South City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$ By	Landt Jones + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-51	Hearing date 11- 18-51
Decision a hand	Date
C C Develution cont to (ity (lerk //-24-1)	Building Inspector $1 - 3\sigma - J - 1$
Planning Commission 11-30-17 Petitioner	11 - 29 - 57 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second stand of the second stand of the second stand stands and the second stands and the second stands

the second se

The first is an in the first of the state of

a stand of a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10071</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack H. Bone to construct 6-unit court with rear yard ranging from 8.83 feet to 10 feet, on South 95 feet of the North 200 feet of Lot 7, J. P. Christensen's Addition, West side of Fern Street 125 feet South of Him Street (1728-30-32 Fern) Zone G.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 28 , 19 51

By.

FORM 2145

Application Received B	By <u>P. Q. Burtow</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 51$ B	By Landt Jones + Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11 - 28-5	7 Hearing date $1/-28-\sqrt{7}$ Date $1/-28-\sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $1/-30-\sqrt{7}$ $1/-39-\sqrt{7}$ Health Department $1/-30-\sqrt{7}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

TO TOS

Plan (2)

RESOLUTION NO. 105276

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6038 is hereby interpreted to tean as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. Campbell and Paula A. Campbell to construct a four-unit apartment building including a four-car garage on Lots 16 and 15, Elock 79, Pacific Beach, said building may be constructed 10 feet, 6 inches from the center line of alley where 20 feet is required and may cover a maximum of 49% of the lot area where 40% is permitted.

Said building is to be constructed in the same design as shown on the original perspective drawing submitted to the Zoning Committee of the Planning Commission but it is not intended that any other requirements of the City Zone Ordinance be suspended, and it is clearly understood that the access court requirements are to be complied with, and that the fence ordinance and setback ordinance are to be complied with also, although it appears from the above perspective drawing that there may be violations of these requirements.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10014</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. and Paula A. Campbell to erect a four unit apartment containing also a 4-car garage, according to plans submitted, on Lots 15 and 16. Block 79. Pacific Beach, on South side of Law between Mission Blvd. and Ocean Front at intersecti n of Dixie Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated November 28 , 19 51

By_

le

Application Received By	Gity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11 - 28 -77</u> By	- Loudt, Jones + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-57	Hearing date_ 11 - 28 - 17
Decision appril	Date $11 - 28 - \sqrt{7}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-2-9	Building Inspector 11 - 30 - 1-1
Planning Commission 11-30-07 Petitioner	11- 29-17 Health Department 11-30-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	Contractor
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
ATETNO.	The second s

and the second second

.

to pair

10 2037

State .

.

Plan (2)

RESOLUTION NO. 105277

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6039 is hereby interpreted to mean 4 follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. Campbell and Paula A. Campbell o construct a four-unit apartment building including a four-car garage on ots 17 and 18, Block 79, Pacific Beach, said building may be constructed th a maximum coverage of 44% where 40% is permitted.

Said building is to be constructed in the same design as shown on the riginal perspective drawing submitted to the Zoning Committee of the Planning ommission but it is not intended that any other requirements of the City Zone dinance be suspended, and it is clearly understood that the access court equirements are to be complied with, and that the fence ordinance and etback ordinance are to be complied with also, although it appears from the bove perspective drawing that there may be violations of these requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593 (New Series) and ection 8a of Ordinance No. 8924 be, and is hereby granted as to the erticulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 105277 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FORM 1270 20M - 8/50 FRED W. SICK

Donald L. Steinert City Clerk.

By.....Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10016</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John A. and Paula A. Campbell to erect a 4-unit apartment containing also a 4-car garage, according to plans submitted, on Lots 17 and 18, Block 79, Pacific Beach, on South said of Law Street between Mission Blvd. and Ocean Front at intersection of Dixie Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 28 , 191

By_

FORM 2145

(9)

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11 - 2 8 - 57</u> By	- Loudt Jones + Burton City Planning Department
	Date $11 - 28 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 30 - 57$ 11 - 29 - 57 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Manual . The sub- of the second se

Photostal and the second second

i.

. .

The second se

A DONE HUNDER

WHEREAS, Application No. 10076 _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William H. and Ruth F. Black to construct servants' quarters (gatekeeper) on lot in addition to existing owner's residence, Lot E. southeast corner of Pueblo Lot 1313, north of La Jolla Shores Drive, Zone R-1, on condition that the building be used only as servants' quarters or bona fide employees Ø or invited guests.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 1951

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>11 - 2 3 - 57</u> By	P. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>11 - 28 - 47</u> By	Landt Jones & Barton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $11-2877$ Decision conde. appr- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-29$ Planning Commission $11-30-57$ Petitioner 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $11 - 28 - 57$ Building Inspector <u>$11 - 30 - 57$</u> 1 - 29 - 57 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Decision of Council	Date
	Continued to Date of action

randaran ta karan sa an int int int int a saint a saintin a maran an ilanan an ilanan an ilanan an interna an University a saint int int international and international sainting and international sainting and internation

11

,

and you is

The state of the s

TTO THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE READ A DES

the second of the second of the second of the second second

19:20110

The set of the set of the set of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10086</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leonard and Doris Pimentel to erect 4 ft. by 8 ft. addition to non-conforming garage, to be connected to the house, the addition to be used for storage space, portion of Lot 1, Block 20, Beach Lots of San Diego, per legal description on file in Gity Planning Office, 351 Reservant Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby grantedas to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received B	
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$ B	y Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1/-283$ Decision $1/-283$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-29-57$	7Hearing date $11 - 28 - 57$ Date $11 - 28 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 30 - 57$ 11 - 29 - 57 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

han can old

BOLL -

Lawrence 70210 Bucchary 20 A.n integra pa angela NGN. Rimentet

MILLY

ozajú ozaje

Kellogg

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10083</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

mission is hereby granted to Mrs. Goldie B. Jones, owner, and K. D. Saliba, lessee. reopen store building and operate grocery business, Lot 7, Block 60, Gulverwell & wart's Add'n, southwest corner 20th and "F" Streets, Zone R-4.

triance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

hated ______, 1951

TORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received $1/-26-\sqrt{7}$ B	y P. J. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 51$ B	y Laudt, Jones Buton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1/-28 - 5/2$ Decision a factor. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12-10-5$ Planning Commission $12-10-5$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date $1/-28-57$ Date $12-7-57$ Building Inspector $12-10-57$
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The state of the second s

.

a server provide the server of the server standard the server and

a star in Allong the particular in the later

a he com a state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10089</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>net</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Fermission is hereby granted to James and Isobel Wilson to construct residence with a minimum 10-foot rear yard and not less than 8-foot side yard on the east, Lot 140, Collwood Park No. 2, southeast corner Mary Lane Drive and Rockford Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal i filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated_____, 19_51

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 6043

Application Received By	P. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - 57$ By	Loudt, Jones + Burton
,	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-51	Hearing date <u>11 - 28 - 51</u>
Decision 1 to their	Date $11 = 2$ $x = 1 = 1$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-29-17	Building Inspector <u>11 - 30 - 57</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1/-2-9-37$ Planning Commission $1/-30-57$ Petitioner	11 - 29 -57 Health Department 11 - 30 -57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10077</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Zweck to construct one duplex with at least two off-street parking spaces on Lots 1 and 2, one duplex with at least two offstreet parking spaces on Lots 3 and 4, and one duplex with at least two off-street parking spaces on Lots 5 and 6, buildings crossing lot lines, Block 4, Park Addition, northwest corner of 30th and Maple Court, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted_Nov. 28

RM 2145

()

, 19<u>51</u>

By_

Application Received _//-27-57 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 28 - \sqrt{-1}$ By	Landt Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-17	Hearing date $11 - 28 - 571$
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-29</u>	Date $11 - 28 - \sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $11 - 30 - \sqrt{7}$
Planning Commission // - 30- 1/ Petitioner	11 - 29 - 57 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

not not not set of set

1

RESOLUTION NO. 6045

Letter dated Nov. 27, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5987, dated November 14, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Virgil J. and Alta M. Park to erect a duplex with accompanying garage and laundry room with 47% coverage, or 266 square feet excess, on Let 16, Block 30, Ocean Beach, Zone R-2, on condition that the coverage limitation as specified in City Ordinance No. 8924 shall be reduced by 266 square feet on Lets 14 and 15, the same as the amount of excess coverage permitted on Let 16.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated Nov. 28 , 1951

By

TORM 2145

0	B. Rick
Application Received By	Let Down & Runton
	Osity I failing Department
Investigation made <u>11- 28-57</u> By	Loudt, Jones PRenton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-57	Hearing date <u>11 - 28 - 17</u>
Decision H-28-5 amended	Date $11 - 28 - 57$ Building Inspector $11 - 30 - 57$ 11 - 39 - 57 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-29	Building Inspector <u>11 - 30 - 51</u>
Planning Commission /1-30-51 Petitioner	11 - 29 - JT Health Department 11 - 30 - J-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

and the state of t

ettal . . .

I have that ' This' to there at this is torn a

- * · 62' 11' T

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9612</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan and Berenice E. Soule to divide a portion of land into two parcels with right to erect single family residence on each, portion of Pueblo Lot 104, per legal description on file in Gity Planning Office, south side of Resecroft Lane, approximately 300 feet west of Silvergate Avenue, Zene R-1, providing the dividing line between these two parcels is more than 5 feet from any @ existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Nov. 28

By

____ , 19 <mark>51</mark>

TORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received <u>11 - 14 - 51</u> By	E. alem
Application Received	City Planning Department
	0 0 0
Investigation made 11 - 28 -1-1 By	Landt Jones & Bartons
Investigation made $11 - 18 - 17$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-28-51	Hearing date > 8 - 57
Decision conde. app.	Date 11 - 2 8 - 5-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-29-51	Building Inspector 11 - 30 - 51
Planning Commission 11 - 30 - 57 Petitioner	Date $11 - 28 - 51$ Building Inspector $11 - 30 - 51$ 11 - 27 - 51 Health Department $11 - 30 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
D. Belle area.	
as to the second s	
and the second sec	Presente in the contract of the
RosecroFT	Lane
Vel Vel	and the second sec
20	0
S	
A	
N	and the second sec
and and the set of the set of the set of the	I strange and a fer a low of the second of the
R Sout	

P

CATALIN

,

L'es list à constitues SiL Verga.

4 100

İ

A service and the service of the ser

44

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section for Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Idaleen D. Tilden to erect one single family dwelling on the Easterly 1/4 of the Southeasterly 402.67 ft. of the Northwesterly 518.05 ft. of the Southwesterly 1/2 of Lot 5, Lloyd's Subdivision of Pueblo Lot 197. Dixon Place and Warrington St., Zone R-1; on condition that a strip of land 30 feet in width for the full depth of the property be dedicated to the City for street purposes, and provided that the new building constructed pursuant to this Resolution shall maintain a setback of a minimum of 45 feet from the Southwesterly boundary of the subject property. die ed Signed grave grave grave (Prop. Werff. C. neiff.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 12 , 1951

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received By By	F. W. Mc Connece
Investigation made $\frac{12-12-51}{2}$ By	Loucaster + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12+12 -17 H	Iearing date 12-12-57
Decision could appr D	Date 12 - 12 - 5-1
Decision could appropriate D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-17 B	Building Inspector 12-14-51
Planning Commission 12 - 14-17 Petitioner 12	-13-JT Health Department 12-14-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to I	Date of action

, then .

have been the and a

- 12 - Ž

CI. 0 5 p. 15.9

.

and a star we have

100 100 100

Queround) 200 16

T. . . .

WHEREAS, Application No. 10074 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert J. Hauk to construct additions to residence and alter garage to den on lot without street frontage, on 10 foot easement, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, description on file in Planning Office, East end of Avenida de la Playa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be, evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated December 12 , 19 51

By_

致

ORM 2145

Application Received 11-23-51 By E. alson City Planning Department Investigation made 12 - 12 - 57 By Laucaster & Burton City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 12-51 Hearing date 12-12-51 Date 12-12-51 Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 - 13 - 57 Building Inspector 12 - 14 - 57 Planning Commission 12 - 14 - 57 Petitioner 12 - 13 - 57 Health Department 12 - 14 -Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Avenida de La Playa (18) (19) WHEN IT COL The set will be set and the set and T. MAGE 20 it out in percept. ACTIVITY 5 31.349 Stolog the story A PARTY CANADA THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND A d parts of THE A LOSS CONSTRUCT OF COURSE Marrie Marrie C. T. THE THE OUT OF THE STORE STORE TO SHE FOR Hauk 4 Tall In. TA TELOCE LOW 24) KS) treased by other property 26 and a loss the riber and

16 · 18 19

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10025</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Earl and Anita Slagle to erect single family residence on Easterly 65 ft. of Lots 18 and 19, Block 13, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, Southeasterly side of San Mateo Drive approximately 100 ft. Northeasterly from Los Angeles Blace, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>December 12</u>, 19_51

By.

Application Received By	. J.W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>/ 2 - / 2 - //</u> By	City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-17	Hearing date 12-12-51
Decision appr.	Date 12-12-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 - 13 - 57$	Building Inspector 12-14-17
Planning Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second se

878 500

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10026</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

permission is hereby granted to Robert Earl and Anita Slagle to construct a residence on Easterly 65 feet of Lots 18 and 19, Block 13, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, Southeasterly side of San Mateo Drive, approximately 100 feet Northeasterly from Los Angeles Place, Zone R-1; said residence to be back of a setback line drawn between a point 5 feet back from the front property line along the northeasterly lot line and 10 feet back from the front property line on the southwesterly property line.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

lated _____ . 19 51

By_

TORM 2145

Application Received By	7. W. In & Connell.
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $/ \pm - / \pm $	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-VI	Hearing date 12-12 - 51
Decision couse appe	Date 12 - 12 - 57
Decision conte ppc Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-17	Building Inspector 12-14-51
Planning Commission 12-14-57 Petitioner	12-13-51 Health Department 12-14-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a set was and the set of the

and the second products

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10060</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James E. and Mary C. Klaproth to construct a 7-foot high fence on one side of Lot 800, Redwood Village No. 7, South side of Streamview Drive between Hasty and Sparling Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

TORM 2145

By_

I.

Application Received <u>11-27-57</u> By	J. W. Ja Connece City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - 31$ By	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $/ - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / $	Hearing date $12 - 12 - 57$ Date $12 - 12 - 57$ Building Inspector $12 - 57$ 2 - 73 - 57 Health Department $12 - 57 - 57$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

. . . .

and the second s

10 4 4 C T AREAT

,

A State of the second second

Ball to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10030</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

permission is hereby granted to Margaret M. Baer, owner, and Fon and Audrie Johnson, purchasers, to complete a 20ft. by 20 ft. addition (now under construction) to an existing building for housing dogs in connection with a commercial kennel at 7007 Pacific Highway, on the northerly 4 acres of Pueblo Lot 1785, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That Resolution No. 4271, dated November 2, 1949, is hereby amended to exclude the westerly 250 feet of the subject property;
- 2. That this permit and Resolution No. 4271 to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3061 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated Dec. 12 , 19 51

10 RM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{2}{2}$ By	Laura to Man to
Investigation made Dy	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 12 - 57	Hearing date <u>12 - 12 - 51</u>
Decision Could appen	Date $1 - 1 - \sqrt{1}$
C Desolution cent to City Clerk 11-13-51	Building Inspector 12-14-51
Planning Commission 12 - 14-57 Petitioner	12-13-11 Health Department 12-14-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

Charles and the Carl Street of

and the second second second
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10054</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Regina K. McCarley, owner, and Leonard and Barbara Burns, purchaser to split out a parcel which will have approximately 14 foot frontage on Kenmore Terrace, being portion of Lot 32, resub of Villa Lots 1 to 11, Block A, Normal Heights, legal description on file in Planning Office, 4802 Kenmore Terrace, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated December 12 , 19 51

ORM 2145

By_

Secretary

	i in he P.
Application Received $11 - 27 - 51$ B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - 57$ B	y <u>Laucaster + Buston</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12-12-51</u> Decision Denied	Date $12 - 12 - \sqrt{1}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-5	Building Inspector $12 - 14 - \sqrt{1}$
Planning Commission /2 - 14 -57 Petitioner	12-13-51 Health Department 12-14-51 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	
	11
	1/6/1
V MULT	10 m
	rom not
1 1 0	
Refer	
POPA to an in the second se	×
A STATE OF THE OWNER	
E.Z	
	5 W 13
hall	0
	-22
tE.	
	82
	12
*	TTOPHOW
-1101	7/ /

.

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10079</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

ermission is hereby granted to Eula Dowd (Mildred Eula Palmiter) to erect a duplex n addition to existing single family residence with 5-foot, 6-inch access court, on ots 15 and 16, Block 41, Tract 1368, Resub. of Blks 41-48, Fairmount Addn of City leights, east side of 51st St. between Trojan and El Cajon, Zone R-4; on condition that not less than three off-street parking spaces are maintained on the property and that the shed roof over the required access court be entirely removed.

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby ranted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property escribed above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ath day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted______ Dec. 12 , 19_51

By_

RM 2145

Application Received _12 - 4 - 57 By	Van Mice
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\sqrt{2 - 12 - 51}$ By	Laucaster + Burton
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-17	Hearing date 12-12-v-1
Decision Crute app I Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-51	Date 12 - 12 - 571
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-5/ 1	Building Inspector 12-14-17
Planning Commission 12-14-17 Petitioner 12	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 1 W

1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9742</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. S. and Jane Wilkinson to erect approximately 70 linear feet of concrete block retaining wall which ranges in height from 3 feet to 5 feet across front of property and along side lot line in front of setback line, Lot 107, Woodland Terrane No. 2, 562 Torento Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

k

Application Received B	v 2. W. mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $/ \Delta - / \Delta - \sqrt{7}$ B	y Laucaster & Buiton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 12 - 5-	Hearing date 12-12-57
Decision appr.	Date $12 - 12 - 17$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-51	Date $12 - 12 - 57$ Building Inspector $12 - 14 - \sqrt{7}$ $12 - 13 - 57$ Health Department $12 - 14 - \sqrt{7}$
Planning Commission /2 -14-51 Petitioner	12 - 13 - 17 Health Department $12 - 14 - 17$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- ' e - V

. •

T AITLY

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10067</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clemens H. and Helen W. Freres to divide parcel of land not of record at time of zoning into 3 parcels and erect one single family residence on each parcel, portion of Lot 18, Loma Heights, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Niagara Ave. between Catalina Blvd. and Venice St., Zone R-1; on condition that a public sidewalk be constructed in front of the two lots on Niagara Ave., in conformity with the City Engineer's requirements; said sidewalk to be constructed within 6 months from the effective date of this resolution and prior to final approval by the Building Inspector of any dwelling constructed pursuant to this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated Dec. 12 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

ORM 2145

	-J] By P. Burton City Planning Department
vestigation made 12 - 12 -	5-1 By <u>Sencestes</u> + Benton City Planning Department
physidered by Zoning Committee cision could appropriate appropriat	City Planning Department 2 - 1 - 5 Hearing date $2 - 1 - 5Date 1 - 1 - 5Date 1 - 1 - 52 - 1 - 5Date 1 - 1 - 5Date 1 - 1 - 55 - 1 - 5 - 5Petitioner 1 - 1 - 57$ Petitioner $1 - 1 - 5Council Hearing, dateDateContinued toDate of action$
	88
2	6
2.2.	4
, Th	m
5	2
	X)
	Dane
0	

Letter dated Dec. 7, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, difornia, as follows:

hat Resolution No. 6016, dated November 28, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

emission is hereby granted to George and Fernanda Le Blanc to construct four nits crossing property line of Lots 27 and 28, Block 7, Ocean Beach Park, on Muir treet, 50 feet northwesterly of Froude Street, Zone R-2, with 45% coverage, exclutive of balcony and stairway, as shown on plans submitted.

variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar a s they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contuction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ath day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 12

, 19 51

By

RM 2145

ted.

Application Received	By E. alson
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{12 - 12 - 57}{2}$	By Loucaster + Burton
,	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12-12-5</u> Decision amended - appr.	1 Hearing date 12-12-57
Decision amended - appr.	Date 12-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-	J Building Inspector 12 - 14 - J
Planning Commission 12 -14 -57 Petitioner	r $12 - 13 - 57$ Health Department $12 - 14 - 5^{-1}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Copies -1 -

,

N#5

1

2.

.

and the state of the state of the

the second se

Letter dated Dec. 7, 1951

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

hat Resolution No. 5896, dated October 3, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

ermission is hereby granted to Amos J. and Emma L. Fuson to erect 4 living units on two lots, with 50% coverage, Lots 8 and 9, Block 243, University Heights, north side of trooks Ave. between Fark Blvd. and Herbert St., Zone R-2, subject to the following tonditions:

- That the appearnce of the structure be substantially as shown on plans 1. submitted:
- That a garage be constructed at the rear of the property to house 4 cars and similar in appearnce to the 4-unit apartment building; 2.

3. That a concrete block wall 6 ft. in height with red brick cap be constructed on the west line of property, commencing at the front of the building and extending northerly along the lot line beyond the most of the building and extending northerly along the lot line beyond the most northerly door opening on the west side of the building, said wall to be finished with a colorcoat; That a solid gate be installed across the opening between the front of the building and the above-mentioned fence.

variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the larticulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 12 , 1951 ted____

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6058

P. A	
Application Received By_	2
Application Received Dec. 7, J By	Mail
	City Flamming Department
	0
Investigation made $\frac{12 - 12 - \sqrt{-1}}{2}$ By	Laucaster + Buston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-17 H	Iearing date 12 - 12 - 57
Decision could apper [Date 12 -12 -57
Copy of Pacolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-17 P	Building Inspector 12 - 14 - 57
Planning Commission 12-14-57 Petitioner 12	-13-57 Health Department $12-14-57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council [Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second
and the second second

forthe to

,

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

That the expiration date of Resolution No. 5644, dated June 27, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 5171, December 13, 1950, amended by Resolution No. 5565, May 31, 1951, be extended for six months after the Federal Government (now through the Department of Commerce National Production Authority) ceases to exercise control over critical building materials, as subject Resolution applies to Russell S. Stowell, et al, owners, and Safeway Stores, Inc., purchaser, to build on portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony, Zone C and R-4, per legal description on file in Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3525, Section 5, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ Dec. 17 _____, 1951____

ORM 2145

By

Application Received]	By Mail
reprication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - 17$	By <u>Loucester</u> Buitor City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12 3	7 Hearing date 12 - 12 - 17
Decision Copper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-18-	Date 12-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-18-	17 Building Inspector 12-18-17
Planning Commission 12-18-51 Petitioner	12-18-57 Health Department 12-18-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 140 . .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9820</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. William and Lucille J. Wagner to divide into two parcels and erect a duplex on each, Lots 2 and 4, Elock 2, De Puy Subd., westerly corner of Soto and Castelar Streets, Zone R-2, on condition that a setback of 15 feet is maintained on Castelar Street and the regular City Ordinance is observed on Soto.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 44, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ath day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated______, 1951

By_

IRM 2145

Application Received 30 - 57	By F. W. M Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Laucaster Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12	-51 Hearing date 12-12-51
Decision coude appe	Date 12 - 12 - 57
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /2 -/3	Building Inspector $12 - 14 - 51$ her $12 - 13 - 51$ Health Department $12 - 14 - 57$
Planning Commission 12 - 14-51 Petition	ner 12-13-51 Health Department 12-14-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10080</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ not ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Douglas S. and Katherine F. McKellar to erect residences on each lot with 10 foot setback where 15 feet is established by subdivision map, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lone Pine, Northeast corner Genter Street and Fay Ave., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the exth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated December 12 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

ORM 2145

Application Received 12-3-51	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-12-17	By Laucaster Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 -12	-17 Hearing date 12 - 12 - 51
Decision Denied	Date 12-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12+13	Building Inspector 12 - 14 - 51
Planning Commission 12-14-51 Petitione	$2-\sqrt{3}$ Building Inspector $2-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ er $2-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ Health Department $12-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a set of the second set of the second set

and the second of the second second

NAME IN COST OF THE OWNER O

a the state with V ... 5

,

74 Jan 2 1 1 1 1

Mary Sector 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10099</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Downie to construct a 9 ft. by 18 ft. service porch and bath addition to residence on a lot without frontage on a dedicated street, being the Northerly 100 ft. of South 200 ft. of the East 330 ft. of the 5-acre Lot 12 of Reiner's Sub of Pueblo Lot 1103, 4625 Harney Street, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated December 12 , 1951

By_

SRM 2145

P. Burton City Planning Department
<u>City Planning Department</u>
ring date $12 - 12 - 51$
ling Inspector $12 - 14 - 57$ 3 - 6 Health Department $12 - 14 - 57$
icil Hearing, date
of action

.

.

,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of North San Diego Development Company, Incorporated, 4205 Pacific Highway, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6063, application No. 10003, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 (New Series), to erect a commercial warehouse of approximately 48,000 square feet in area, to be located on the west center section of the property, being approximately the East one-half of Lot 5, Eureka Lemon Tract, lying East of line approximately 570 feet East of Pacific Highway and West of Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway, and approximately 540 feet South of Balboa Avenue, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above ne Council of the City of San Diego	ve to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution	IN9 7 952106
•	FRED W. SICK	
	Donald L. Steinert	City Clerk.
FORM 1270 20M - 8/50	Dy	Deputy.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the hearing on the appeal of North San Diego Development Company, Incorporated, 4205 Pacific Highway, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6063, application No. 10003, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 (New Series), to erect a commercial warehouse of approximately 48,000 square feet in area, to be located on the west center section of the property, being approximately the East one-half of Lot 5, Eureka Lemon Tract, lying East of line approximately 570 feet East of Pacific Highway and West of Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway, and approximately 540 feet South of Balboa Avenue, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby closed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that final action on said appeal, be, and it is hereby continued until 10:00 o'clock A.M., January 17, 1952.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 105025 JAN 10 1952

FRED W. SICK

Dagueld L. Steiner

City Clerk.

Deputy.

FORM 1270

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of North San Diego Development Company, Incorporated, 4205 Pacific Highway, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6063, application No. 10003, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 (New Series), to erect a commercial warehouse of approximately 48,000 square feet, in area, to be located on the west center section of the property, being approximately the East half of Lot 5, Eureka Lemon Tract, lying East of line approximately 570 feet East of Pacific Highway and West of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway, and approximately 540 feet South of Balboa Avenue, Zone R-4, be and it is hereby continued until 10:00 o'clock A.M., I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 1.11910 The Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council JAN 2 1952 FRED. W. SICK

City Clerk.

Deputy.

Bynald L. Steinert

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10003</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> TO North San Diego Development Company, Incorporated, to erect a commercial warehouse of approximately 48,000 sq. ft. in area, to be located on the west center section of the property, being approximately the East half of Lot 5, Eureka Lemon Tract, lying East of line approximately 570 feet East of Pacific Highway and West of A. T. and Santa Fe Railway, and approx. 540 feet South of Balboa Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series. be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

APP DEC 27

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the xth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ORM 2145 , 19 5

By___

Secretary

R

Application Received 12-3-57 By	Mail - R. Tibbens
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 12 - 57$ By	Laucaster & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 17	
Decision Denied	Date 12-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 - 13$	Building Inspector $12 - 14 - 51$
Planning Commission 12-14-17 Petitioner	12-13-51 Health Department 12-14-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

 \mathcal{D}^{c}

s the life the stand

BIT

200 N 1

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

tha B.

That the appeal of Clinton P. Richards and Stachia V. Richards, 6362 University Avenue, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in conditionally granting by its Resolution No. 6064, variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, permission to construct a multiple dwelling building with a 3-foot setback on College Avenue, on portion of Lot 65 (except the Southerly 10 feet), and that portion of Lot 66 lying Westerly of College Avenue, Gilcher Tract, corner of College Avenue and College Way, Zone R-4, on the condition that not less than one off-street parking space for each living unit be maintained on the property, but not within any required open space on the lot; not more than three parking spaces shall be required for four living units, and subject to architectural approval as to appearance, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the at	bove to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution	No. 104911
	go, as adopted by said Council	
	Donald L. Steinert	City Clerk.
TH FORM 1270	Ву	Deputy,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10073</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glinton P. and Stachia V. Richards to construct a multiple dwelling building with a 3-foot setback on Gollege Avenue, on portion of Lot 65. (except the Southerly 10 ft.) and that portion of Lot 66 lying Westerly of College Ave., Gilcher Tract, corner College Ave. and College Way, Zone R-4; on condition that not less than one off-street parking space for each living unit be maintained on the property, but not within any required open space on the lot; not more than 3 parking spaces shall be required for 4 living units; and subject to architectural approval as to appearance.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

approled Dec 27

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 12 , 19 51

By_

FORM 2145

the trade of the state The work of the sale sale

The part of the second of the

(每6%太平方(1))

CLOND & ENT V AULELL'S

20 Mer 1 ...

O'LLE BALL

al de la companya de

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10092</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wiley S. and Esther M. McSpadden to erect duplex in rear of existing single family residence, making a total of three living units, two of which will be served by 4-foot access court, on Lots 43 and 44, Elock 52, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, 4180 - 46th Street, Zone R-4; on condition that two off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property, each space to be not less than 8 ft. by 18 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ Dec. 12

, 1951

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received By	vm = Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - \sqrt{7}$ By 2	measter + Surtan
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-7 Hearin	g date 12-12-57
Decision cruck apper Date	12-12-5-1
Decision could appen Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-37 Buildin	g Inspector $12 - 14 - 57$
Planning Commission 12-14-11 Petitioner 12-13	-57 Health Department 12 -14-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continu	ued to
	faction

1. 3. 84

and the second second provided approximately as a second

an address and Confidence I and the 175 he gal

THE PERSON OF A PROPERTY OF A PARTY

- mil material per a la di la la la la la

LETS VALL

9000 ·

1. 1.

,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution 6066, application No. 10093 of Ben Solomon and Bertha Solomon, 4315 Ttle Avenue, San Diego, California, for variance to the provisions of dinance No. 12321, to construct a duplex with a 7 foot setback on the st 75 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 102, City Heights, Southeast corner Myrtle Avenue and 43rd Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appeal of Ben Solomon and Bertha Solomon om said Zoning Committee decision, be, and it is hereby sustained to the tent that permission is granted to construct said duplex with a 10 foot tback. A further condition is hereby imposed in that a 9 foot opening areaway shall be maintained between the old and new buildings; it is so provided that no rear doors are to face on 43rd Street.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 105333 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

City Clerk.

Deputy.

ha,

City Clerk.

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 105105

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the hearing on the appeal of Ben Solomon and Berthe Solomon from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying permission to construct a duplex with a 7-foot setback on the west 75 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 102, City Heights, southeast corner of Myrtle Avenue and 43rd Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby continued until the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M., Thursday, January 24, 1952.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 105105 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

Donald L. Steinert

FRED W. SICK

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Ben Solomon and Bertha Solomon, 4315 Myrtle Avenue, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6066, application No. 10093, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to construct a duplex with a 7 foot setback on the West 75 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 102, City Heights, southeast corner of Myrtle Avenue and 43rd Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby continued until Thursday, January 17, 1952.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the said appeal, be, and it is here I have be not in be about to be an full structure of the council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council and report. FRED W. SICK

20M - 8/50

Cit	Clark
LIN	Clerk.
	C

By DONALD L. STEINERT

Deputy.

V

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10093</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Ben and Bertha Solomon to construct a duplex with a 7 foot setback on West 75 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 102, City Heights, Southeast corner of Myrtle and 43rd Streets, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

APP DEC 2Tth

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pted _____ December 12 , 19 51

By_

流

Application Received 12-4-51 By	, Van Hise
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 12 - r/$ By	
Investigation made $2 - 12 - r/$ By	Lancaster + Buston
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-51 Decision Denied	Hearing date 12 - 12 - 5-1
Decision Denied	Date 12-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12. 13	Building Inspector 12-14-51
Planning Commission 12 -14-17 Petitioner	12-13-JT Health Department 12-14-JT
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

in the fail was

· · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10106</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Brooklyn Heights Presbyterian Church to erect approximately 85 linear feet of 15 ft. high chicken wire fence as per plans submitted, on Lots 1-3, Block 42, Seaman & Choates and North 75 feet Lot 6, and South 85 ft. of North 160 ft. of Lot 6, J. P. Christennen's Addition, and 10 ft. of Fir Street closed adjacent, on 30th, Fir and Fern Streets, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 12 ted_____, 19

By___
Application Received $12 - 4 - 57$ By	F. W. M E Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{12 - 12 - \sqrt{1}}{2}$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-51	Hearing date $12 - 12 - 51$
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-51 Planning Commission 12-514 Str. Petitioner	Building Inspector 12-14-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second

a the set

1

.

ere diagonal ridge

a strange at the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10109</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter M. McKellar to split out parcel, being the South half of Lot 19, Catalina Villas, and have right to erect one single family residence thereon, Northwesterly side of Catalina Blvd. facing alley between Alicia Drive and Tennyson Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 12

FORM 2145

, 19_**51**

Secretary

pplication Received 12 = 4-51 By 3. W. M & Connecc City Planning Department
vestigation made 12-12-51 By Lancester, Lunky & Landt +Burle City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee 12-12-57 Hearing date 12 - 12-57
Provision $(p_{1}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Date $(p_{1}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Date $(p_{1}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Building Inspector $(p_{2}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Building Inspector $(p_{2}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Building Inspector $(p_{2}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Health Department $(p_{2}p_{2}, \dots, p_{n})$ Depend filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 - 13$ Building Inspector $12 - 14 - 17$
anning Commission 12 - 14-51 Petitioner 12 - 13-51 Health Department 12 - 14 -51
opeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

1. 1.

Part Carlo Bar Star and a

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10101</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold M. and Barbara L. Dresbach to construct a duplex with 10 ft. rear yard, according to plans submitted, Lot 10, Block 5, Nettleship Tye Tract No. 1, on Ocean Boulevard adjoining 4853 Ocean Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the with day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 12 , 19 51

By____

Application Received	By F. W. m. Connell
while and the second se	City Planning Department
Investigation made _/ 2 - / 2 - 5/	
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12	-171 Hearing date 12-12-1-1
Decision appr.	Date 12-12-51
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-1	7-17 Building Inspector 12 - 17 - 17
Planning Commission 12 -17-51 Petitio	oner 12-17-1 Health Department 12-17-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

P. S. S.

. . .

...

Mr. C.W

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10107</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald Burnham and I. M. Laddon to construct a 15-unit apartment with 25 feet of access court covered by deck and pergola, Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 75, Roseville, northwesterly corner Scott and Hugo Streets, Zone R-4, on condition that the walls and ceiling of the access court are to be onehour fire resistant in conformity with the Building Code.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 20 , 1951

FORM 2145

By___

Secretary

Application Received 12-5-51	By K. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - 51$	By <u>Saucasta</u> + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-5	Hearing date 12-12-51
Decision appr.	Date 12-12-57 Date 12-12-57 Date 12-21-57 UP Building Inspector 12-21-57 Variable Variable Variabl
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-20-	J Building Inspector 12-21-51
Planning Commission 12 -21-57 Petitioner	· 12-20-51 Health Department 12 -21 -51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

mark and a

,

Part of the second seco

Staff - and a fight with the middle should be

the supervised of the second product of the theory of

e la carte ava millante avante presente de la

bit is a start of the coercy on bits by an at a bit is a start of the coercy on bits by at a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10045</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Antoinette and Fred Neyenesch to construct 3 living units and 4 garages with 3-foot sideyard on Lot D, Block 142, Mission Beach, north side El Carmel between Ocean Front and Strandway, Zone R-4, on condition that a concrete block wall be constructed 6 feet high, and a minimum of 10 feet in length along the northerly property line, centered on the rear door and longer if necessary to extend beyond the rear stoop and steps.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal g filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 12 , 19 51

By___

FORM 2145

P. Burton
City Planning Department
Hearing date $12 - 12 - \sqrt{-1}$ Date $12 - 12 - \sqrt{-1}$ Building Inspector $12 - 14 - \sqrt{-1}$ $2 - 13 - \sqrt{-1}$ Health Department $12 - 14 - \sqrt{-1}$
Council Hearing, date
Continued to Date of action

1 14 1

the second of the second s

Part of the second s

000A67

Anatano

DOBPHRYN.

Lange roden.

,

A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPERTY OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9975</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victory Bible Institute of San Diego, Ross A. Bartlett, President, to divide portion of Tract 3, Wadwsorth Olive Grove, 460 feet south of Maple Street on the west side of 52nd Street, and to locate thereon a single family dwelling, on condition that an easement be granted for street purposes to the City over the easterly 10.2 feet, approximately, of the property for the widening of 52nd Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

repeat from Zoning To Engr. . information record 1-14-52 Grant deeck for street R&g W - dated 1-16-52 Eusement granted 1-23-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received // - 2 3 - J / By	P. Q. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $/2 - /2 - J^{-}/$ By	Laucaster Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-07	Hearing date 12 - 12 - 51
Decision cond'l. appr.	Date 12-12-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-15	Building Inspector 12-14-VI
Planning Commission 12-14-51 Petitioner	12-13-47 Health Department 12-14-51
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ene herees and a construction of the second se

Parnišnoli u je sa produčenja sa produčenja u jeju traja najednja produčenja od seletili u Janšti, traja sa produčenja sa produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja i sa produčenja i Gardena na bejstava sa produčenja sa produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja Lišnam Bejstava sa produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produčenja produ

a set a set and the set of the set of the set

July .

121.20

The first interest of party and the set of the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10121</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

ermitsion is hereby granted to R. B. Whitcomb to conduct auto parking let, monthly ental, on Lot K. Eleck 2, L. L. Lockling's Subd., 1254 - 13th Street, Zone R-4, on condition that bumpers adequate to stop the wheels of cars short of the property lines be constructed on all portions of the lot where cars are parked.

I variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 12 ____ , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received $12 - 6 - \sqrt{-1}$ B	y P. Button
Investigation made $2 - 1 - 51 = B$	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-J-1	Hearing date $12 - 12 - 57$
Decision Conde app	Date $12 - 12 - 51$
Conv of Recolution cent to City Clerk /2-13-57	Building Inspector $1 \ge -1 \neq -5$
Planning Commission 12 -14-57 Petitioner,	12 - 13 - 57 Health Department $12 - 14 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

and the second of the second sec

ACT STREET

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10118</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Point Loma Holding Corporation, owner, and Frank F. Rose, purchaser, to build residence with 10 ft. setback on Emerson Street, Lot 48, Fleetridge Unit No. 1, Northwest corner Emerson and Clove Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated December 12

By_

Application Received 12-6-57 B	y Van Nese City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - 57$ B	
Investigation made 12-12-57 B	y Laucaster & Renton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-57	Hearing date 12 - 12 - 17
Decision akbri	Date $12 - 12 - 17$ Building Inspector $12 - 14 - 17$ 12 - 13 - 57 Health Department $12 - 14 - 17$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-57	Building Inspector 12-14-17
Planning Commission 12-14-57 Petitioner	12-13-51 Health Department 12-14-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 104975

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of William M. Brooks, Colonial Hotel, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6075, application No. 10119, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, to construct a free standing sign approximately 6 feet by 12 feet in Block B, Bird Rock Villas, 5390 La Jolla Bouleverd, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 104975 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

City Clerk.



RESOLUTION NO. 104908

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of William M. Brooks, Colonial Hotel, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6075, application No. 10119, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, to construct a free standing sign approximately 6' by 12' in Block B, Bird Rock Villas, 5390 La Jolla Boulevard, Zone R-4, be and it is hereby continued until 10:00 o'clock A.M., Tuesday, January 8, 1952.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10119</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to W. M. Brooks to construct a free standing sign approximately 6' by 12', Block B, Bird Rock Villas, 5390 La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8c be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____ December 12____, 19_51

By ____

k

Application Received <u>12-6-57</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 12 - 17	Hearing date 12 - 12 - 51
Decision Denied	Date $12 - 12 - \sqrt{-1}$
Convert Develution cent to City Clerk 12-13-51	Building Inspector 12 - 14 - 17
Planning Commission 12 -14 -17 Petitioner	12 - 13 - 17 Health Department $12 - 14 - 17$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

RESOLUTION NO. 104909

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That permission, be, and it is hereby granted to Walter Levandowski and Jean M. Levandowski, 7513 Linda Vista Road, San Diego 11, California, to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 120 feet, approximately, (measured on the westerly lot line) of Lot 3, Rosemont Addition to Encanto Heights, except the Easterly 20 feet thereof, according to legal description on file in the office of the Planning Commission with Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6076, on condition that this entire parcel, approximately 85 feet by 120 feet in dimension, be held and used as a single parcel of land, and that an agreement to that effect be signed by the said Walter Levandowski and Jean M. Levandowski and filed of record.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6076, be, and it is hereby overruled.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9983</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Walter and Jean M. Levandowski to construct a single family residence on portion of Lot 3, Rosemont Addition to Encanto Heights, legal description on file in Planning Office, Scimitar Drive approximately 200 feet Westerly of Broadway Avenue on Northerly side, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

APP. DEC 271++

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the i*th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Becember 12 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

THE REPORT OF TH	
Application Received 12-6-51 E	By City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 12 - 57$ E	By <u>Lancester</u> + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12-	only I ranning I open mount
Decision Denied	Date $12 - 12 \cdot 51$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-1	2 Building Inspector 12-14-57
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	12-13-51 Health Department 12-19-51 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
TDJA8*	
(1)相 (春秋) (1))	
Tar D	C. I S. M. I. M. C. M.
Scimi Tar D	
11 M	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The second se
	- we and a property line of the second of the second
E Va	
The second se	
Rugad May .	
Producent	the second s
· ·	

t

...

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10102</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eda M. Woleben, owner, and F. Paul Woodruff, purchaser, to construct a residence on parcel without dedicated street frontage, access to be by way of former private easement, portion of Lot 24, Ex-Mission Rancho, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, northeast corner at end of extension of Vista St, None R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

, 19 51

FORM 2145

Dated_

Dec. 12

	By F. W. ME Connell City Planning Department
nvestigation made $/2 - /2 - 5/$	By <u>Laucaster + Burton</u> City Planning Department
Decision appe.	$\frac{12-51}{\text{Date}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Hearing date} \\ 12-12-51 \\ \text{Date} \\ 12-12-51 \\ \end{array}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk />	-13-51 Building Inspector 12-14-51
Planning Commission 12-14-57 Pet	itioner 12-13-51 Health Department 12-14-51
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
CA DO	
00 \$0 \$10	
A. TOLICON	
baoinaput r	TILE THE TRUCK TO THE PARTY OF CALLERS
Restor 1	TATES NO. BOD
ORNER C. C.	and the second
ATSO AL	
West de	Print Cel 27 - Tel 2 - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -
The state of the s	at the contract of and the
	() · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(u) ·	11 6 1
D	
21	
	more pen (2)
(r)	



.

. .

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10123</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald J. and Velma S. Walker to cut out unsubdivided portion of lot, split into two parcels, and build single family residence on each, portion of Pueblo Lot 183, per legal description on file in Gity Planning Office, west portion of Albion Street, approximately 150 feet south of Talbot Street, Zone R-1, on side of Albion that the west half of Albion Street beginning at the south line of the condition that the west half of Albion Street beginning at the south line of the Steiger property to Talbot Street, including the Walker property and the Stallard Steiger property, shall be dedicated for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 12 , 19 51

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 6078

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received 12-6-51	By P. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-12-51	By Laucaster +Burton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-12~	57 Hearing date 12-12-17
Decision could appr	Date $12 - 12 - 57$ 57 Building Inspector $12 - 14 - 57r 12 - 13 - 57 Health Department 12 - 14 - 57$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-13-	J Building Inspector 12 - 14 - 51
Planning Commission 12 - 14 - 51 Petitione	r $12 - 13 - 57$ Health Department $12 - 14 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. .

. .

Spin Line a

APPresent of

,



.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10141</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

F.

Permission is hereby granted to Eda M. Woleben, owner, and Paul Woodruff, purchaser, to erect residence with setback to be not less than the setback of the three houses on the south side of Vista Street extension, portion of Lot 24, Ex-Mission Rancho Partition, northeast corner at end of extension of Vista Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ , 19_51

FORM 2145

By_

Res. No. 6079

Application ReceivedB	y <u>Mail</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $(2 - 12 - 5^{-1})$ B	
Considered by Zoning Committee $12 - 12 - 57$ Decision $12 - 12 - 57$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 + 18$. Planning Commission $12 - 18 - 57$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date $12 - 12 = 7$ Building Inspector $12 - 18 - 57$ 12 - 18 - 57 Health Department $12 - 18 - 57$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

propriet and the second state of the second propriet and the second state of the second s

and the second sec

and a gradie is the second in the

continue (continue; contraction of contraction of contraction of contraction)

a shirt of the start of the start is a start in the start of the

e # 6017

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10115</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to William F. and Alice K. Williams to construct single family apartment above existing garage, using 40-inch side yard and 14-foot rear yard, Lots 43 and 44, Block 4, Blair's Highland, 3026 Granada Ave., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 8924, Sections SA and 4, and No. 13175, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 26 _____, 19 51

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received 12 - 11 - 17 By	E. Celson
- pproduction recourses	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 26 - 17$ B	y allen Landt & Benton
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 . 26-17	
Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27	Date 12 - 26 - 5-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27	Building Inspector 12-28-5-1
Planning Commission 12-28-57 Petitioner	$12 \cdot 27 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $12 \cdot 28 - \sqrt{7}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second state of the second s

1

with the second s

Availante 1 - Provide 1 - D - BLLPP - Ad - Broo - BLDE and b end protect to Most - Provide - D - Provide - D - Provide -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10113</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ________ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Augustino G. and Maria E. Britto to erect duplex over 2-car garage in rear of two existing single family dwellings, making a total of 4 living units on the lot; two units to be served by S-foot, 6-inch access court, Lot 9. Block 56, Middletown, 2126 and 2136 State Street, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 26 ____, 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received 12-11-51 By	E. alson
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $(2 - 26 - 5)$ By	allen Landt MBenton
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 26-57 1	Hearing date 12-26-51
Decision Denied I	Date $12 \cdot 56 - \sqrt{1}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27 I	Building Inspector 12.28.57
Planning Commission /2 - 28 -57 Petitioner /2	27 - 51 Health Department 12 - 28 - 5-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I	
Resolution becomes effective	and the second sec
	Continued to
	Date of action

odrám zmili s su i m i lite a milita boji s coursi by leftoli, elociú coss court, imb Cruitech gu, i lite i lite a literati po tre broti acade, elociú coss court, imb

and a second s

and the second
124 1.14

. .

Application here and a second se

- 61 · 45 0 · · · ·

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Louise Thompson to erect residence and garage with coverage of 60%, garage portion to have no sideyard, garage to have entrance direct from living quarters, on northerly 25 feet of Lot 19, Block 1, West Teralta, west side of Iowa Street, 100 feet north of Meade Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, Be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Dated _____ Dec. 26 , 19 51

Secretary

Res. No. 6082

. There is a straight of the second of the second straight of the second s

server and here a contraction with a contraction for

all a line but to the post of the second of the states

1 19 E. 7

Telephone in the

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10134</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee i the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert James Graham to build 20 ft. by 20 ft. garage 60 feet from front line with 3-foot sideyard, on Lot 94, Talmadge Park Manor No. 2, 4709 - 51st Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 26 , 19 51

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received 12-12-17 By	E. alaon
Investigation made $2 - 26 - \sqrt{7}$ By	allen, Loudt Burton
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12.26-57	Hearing date 12-26-v7
Decision $approx$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-27$. Planning Commission (2) > 24517 Petitioner (Date 12 - 26 - 51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27	Building Inspector 12-28-51
Planning Commission 12 - 28-57 Petitioner /	シーシアーシア Health Department ノン - 28 - シー
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

semple of the second se

ter all store and the store of a store of the rest of the for the fraction of the following the following the store of the

Algebran in the second second is the second is here and is here and

· wetre ·

LIGRE DOTADT LE LE LE LE

J. MENT,

Alles + 2000 and the set

0 0 2

". ". " servertally affect the health or telety of
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10117</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cecil W. and Nellie M. Hamilton to construct wood picket fence (1x4x4) 4 feet high in front mf setback area, portion of Lots 13, 14, 15 and street closed, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, Block 241, University Heights, 1656 Pennsylvania Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Application Received 12-14-51 By E. alson City Planning Department City Planning Department Investigation made 12-26-57 By allen, Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 26 - 5- Hearing date 12 - 26 - 5-1 12-26-51 Decision Date appe. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27 Building Inspector 12-28-57 Planning Commission 12 - 28 - 17 Petitioner 12 - 27 - 17 Health Department 12 - 28 - 57 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action Emanagere of the eff pay many " to long a lot good ban field of the of model is hear of a construction of the monos of Short As put my pu 10 1 25 6 -55 6 18 À Beckman 16 D Hamilton 14 Kemps Pennsylvania

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10064</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, lifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Gladys Troicke to divide Lots 21 to 24, inclusive, into two parcels as follows: the Northerly parcel 65 feet by 100 feet, and the Southerly parcel 60 feet by 100 feet, and erect single family residence on each, Block 90, Pacific Beach, northwest corner of Law and Lamont Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 26 _____, 1951

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6085

Application Received	By	E. Olson City Planning Department
Investigation made 12 - 26 - 5-1	By	allen Landt Henton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26	-J7Hea	ring date 12-26-51
Decision appr.	Date	12-26-0-1
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /2-	+7 Buil	ding Inspector 12-28-57
Planning Commission 12 - 28 - J/Petitio	ner /2 - 2	トフーリン Health Department ノユ・ユ 8ーリン
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Con	tinued to
Time limit extended to	Date	e of action

e de la companya de

and the second
a berg to a to a to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10153</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Archie and Dawn Persall to erect approximately 14 linear feet of retaining wall 12 feet high on side lot line near rear, on Lot 21, Hubmer Knolls Annex, southeast corner Winlow and Dwight Streets, Zone H-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ted______ Dec. 26 , 19 51

By

Secretary

RM 2145

rates in the second sec	
Application Received 12 - 18 - 17	By E. aleon
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made 12-26-VT	By <u>Allen</u> , Landt & Burton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26.	17 Hearing date 12- 26 - 51
Decision appe.	Date 12 - 26 - 17
Decision μppc . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12^{-1}	27 Building Inspector 12 - 28 - SI
Planning Commission 12-28-17 Petition	ier /2-27-J/Health Department /2-28-J/
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10 10

the second states the second of the second states and the second

April 199 - 1 Martin I and I wanted

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10137</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Philip P. Martin and Nicholas J. Martin, trustees for Patrick Martin, deceased, to construct 7-foot high chain link fence with 3 strands Darbwire in on private property on 45° angle; total 8 feet high, on Lot I, Block J, Darbwire & Addition, and portion of Lot 4, Block 11, Middletown, 1234 Front Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Dec. 26 , 1951

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6087

Application Received $2 - 18 - 51$ B	v X. Harling
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 - 5 - 5 = B$	
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-57	Hearing date 12 + 26 - 51
Decision appr.	Date 12-26-1-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27	Building Inspector 12 - 28 - 17
Planning Commission /1 -2 8-17Petitioner	12-27-17 Health Department 12-28-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

and the present of the present of the

and the second
Deside .

start i se ti t and tant

The grand of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10129</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gregory Trompas to build residence and garage with 43% coverage, on Lots 5 and 6, Block 1. O'Neall's Terrace, east side of 28th Street, 100 feet south of Thorn Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordiance 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated _____ Dec. 26 _____, 19_51

Ву ____

Secretary

Res. No. 6088

Application Received 12-18-17 By_	E. alson
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 2 - 6 - \sqrt{7}$ By	allen Landt & Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-57 He	earing date 12 - 26 - V-1
Decision appr. Da	$te 12 - 26 - \sqrt{1}$
Decision appr. Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27 Bu	ilding Inspector 12 - 28 - 57
Planning Commission /2 · 20-17 Petitioner /2	-27-V7 Health Department 12-28-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
	ate
Resolution becomes effective	The second s
	ontinued to
	ate of action

- A A A FER I THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY WAS AND A THE POLY

110

a state The set and the soles a state of the set of

agenty manual by builty populations and a rise with

and the second second of the second s

and the second second

na aquita an

LULEI,

NUMBER OF A DECK

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10149</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to P. K. Hall, owner, and Robert L. Haniman, purchaser, to construct single family residence and garage with 6-foot setback on Parcels 1 & 2, portion of Pueblo Lot 1262, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, west side of W. Muirlands Drive at east end of Westbourne Street extended, Zone R-1.

application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 26 , 19 51

By.

Application Received 12-18-17 By Fut Mc Conn ell City Planning Department Investigation made 15-26-17 By allen Landt VBui City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-57/Hearing date 12-26-57 Denied Date 12-26-51 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 - 27 Building Inspector 12 - 28 - 4Planning Commission 12 - 28 - 57 Petitioner 12 - 27 - 57 Health Department 12 - 28 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Central Products Lock Rose -J .* bourne CRAPCET. S Y 114. PO GODIET DE MA 4 - 14 L

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10150</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to P. K. Hall, owner, and Robert L. Haniman, purchaser, to construct a single family residence and garage with 5 foot rear yard, on Parcels 1 and 2, portion of Fueblo Lot 1262, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, on west side of W. Muirlands Drive, at east end of Westbourne Street extended, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 26 , 1951

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received 12-18-11 By From Sn & Connell City Planning Department Landt + Burton City Planning Department Investigation made 12-26-51 By allen Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-57 Hearing date 12-26-51 Date 12-26-51 appr. Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12.27-17 Building Inspector 12-28-51 Planning Commission $/2 \cdot 28 \cdot 57$ Petitioner $/2 - 27 \cdot 57$ Health Department /2 - 28 - 57Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action WWWWWWWWWW An bolton to the object of the bol born, and the second of the bolton to the rest 4.14 11 1 1010-1 1. 2. 11-31 ON 11-10 7.1-12 1 200 Det. SULING Rose 81 ma rook Li n, bomby spuly SKerlock 2

RESOLUTION NO. 6091

Letter dated December 13, 1951

WHEREAS, Application Not _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 5937, dated October 17, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. E. and Marion E. Hoskin to erect a single family residence on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, on portion of 1/4 Section 79. Rancho de la Nacion, south side of Division Street at 61st Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 115 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Legal description of above-mentioned portion of 1/4 Section 79, Rancho de la Nacion, on file in City Planning Office.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

____, 19⁵¹

FORM 2145

nated_

Dec. 26

Application Received 12-13-J-1	By P. Burton
IIIIII	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 26 - \sqrt{7}$	_ By _ Landt, allen + Burton City Planning Department
B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12.20	$\frac{6 \sqrt{7} \text{ Hearing date}}{\text{Date}} \frac{1 + 26 - \sqrt{7}}{1 + 26 - \sqrt{7}}$ $\frac{27 \sqrt{7} \text{ Building Inspector}}{1 + 28 - \sqrt{7}}$ oner $1 + 27 - \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $1 + 28 - \sqrt{7}$
Decision Res. J. 7 37 and	Date 12-26-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2	27-57 Building Inspector 12-28-57
Planning Commission 12 - 28-17 Petitic	oner 12-27-17 Health Department 12-28-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

geeaking a starte and his of a start of the start of the start of the start of the

14 million (1997)

. 1

.

·

34:50

1 2 40

Contraction of

the second of the second of the

the second second and a second s

and the second
WHEREAS, Application Nor has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5635 dated June 27, 1951 be granted to R. C. Walker to erect approximately 75 ft. of concrete block wall 4 feet high, in front setback line, on south half of Lots 18 through 24, Block 15, Frary Heights, 2730 Nutmeg Place, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 26

By.

____, 19**51**

Secretary

Dated.

Res. No. 6092

1c

Application Received	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 26 - 57$	By <u>Allen Landt & Benton</u> City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-	57 Hearing date 12 - 26 - 1-1
Decision 6 Sma ett.	Date 12.26-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-27	VBuilding Inspector 12-28-51
Planning Commission 12 . 28 -57 Petitione	r 12.27-J Health Department 12-28, 17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

state of the second of the second of the second by the second of the sec

208

The first of the second s

a tere a state of the

the short of the product of the state of the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10138</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. E. and Fern L. Beck to erect duplex in rear of existing duplex, making total of four units on property of which two units will be served by 8 ft. access court, and coverage of 51.5%; said duplex to be erected over a 4-car garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Lots 31 and 32, Blk 3, Second Fortuna Park, W side Ingraham St. bet Fortuna St. and Pacific Ech Dr, Zone R-4.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated December 26 , 19 51

By_

K

Application Received 12-18-51 B	y A. W. M & Connell City Planning Department
	y allen, Landt & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-51	Hearing date 12 - 26 - 17
Convert Desolution sent to City Clerk 12-27	Date $12 - 26 - \sqrt{7}$ Building Inspector $12 - 28 - \sqrt{7}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

HERODATION LOOKE.

THERMAN

Maniference in marking constant to the second se

30 g

. mandry "partones to ref. I allow he provided

WHEREAS, Application No. 10164 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Patrick N. and Doris Fogarty to build one single family residence on South 150 ft. of East 50 ft. of the West 390.4 ft. measured on South line of Lot 14, Block 17, Encanto Heights Tract No. 2, 6634 Broadway, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19_**51** Dated December 26 FORM 2145

Secretary

Yr:

Application Received _/2-20-51	By P. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12.26-51	By <u>Allen Landt HSecton</u> City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-26-	J Hearing date 12 - 26 - 51
Decision appr.	Date 12-26-1-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2	2 Building Inspector 12 -28 - 51
Planning Commission 12.28-J1 Petitione	r 13-27-J7 Health Department 12-28-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second of the second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10107</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald Burnham and I. M. Laddon to construct 15-unit apartment on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 75, Roseville, Northwesterly corner of Scott and Hugo Streets, Zone R-4, with a setback of not less than 7 feet from Hugo Street along that portion of the lots which are now less than 100 feet in depth, provided a 15-foot setback is maintained on Hugo Street for all other portions of the building; that a 15-foot setback be maintained on Scott Street, and that not less than 10 offstreet parking spaces be provided on the lot, as shown on drawings on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. A752

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 26 _____, 19_51

By_

Application Received 12-5-51	By P. Burton
- ppneasi	City Planning Department
Investigation made _/ = - 26 - 5-1	By <u>Allen</u> , Landt & Buton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>12-26-</u> Decision Coude appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12-2</u>	17 Hearing date 12-26-57
Decision Coude. appr.	Date 12-26-51
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-2	7 Building Inspector 12-28-57
Planning Commission 12 - 18-51 Petitione	er $12 - 27 \sqrt{7}$ Health Department $12 - 28 - 57$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the day return of the second field the letters the month rite and the la

- West - free to read a production of the first of the fi

I I HARD THE TE ATT THE PARTY THE PARTY THE TO THE THE THE PARTY AND THE

st ont stated at a subject of total term the first transmission of the

I THINK IN TO BERG 7 (FILL C C. DIREL, ARTHOUSED J. S. DOLOD BAR WELL.

- 11012010- 1. TC C .

,

not

A MITTING A MARTING

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10124</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna Zentz to construct a 12 foot by 16 foot addition to front of existing dwelling maintaining .85 ft. side yard and .25 ft. rear yard, the addition to be not less than 4 ft. from the side let line, East 30 ft. of West 70 ft. of Lot 7, Block 3, Bartlett Estates Co.'s Subd., Northeast corner of Glendale and F Sts., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1951

FORM 2145

By.

Application Received 12-14-57 B	v mail
rp	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 26 - 5^{-1}$ B	y aller Landt + Burton
ç	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 26 - 4"	Hearing date 12-26-5-1
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12.28	Date 12-28-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12.98	Building Inspector 12-28-51
Planning Commission / 28-17 Petitioner	12 - 28 - 17 Health Department $12 - 28 - 17$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A second bags

a service the service of the service

- Frank and the second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10166</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson to excavate approximately 15,000 cu. yd. of earth to be used for fill on same property; maximum cut bank from 25 feet to 35 feet. Lots 1 thru 13, Block 6, Valencia Park Unit No. 1, block bounded by Mansanares Way, San Jacinto Drive and Las Animas Way, Zone R-1, subject to any conditions that may be attached to any excavation permit issued by the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Jan. 11 , 1952

By_

Secretary

	E m D
Application Received B	y Z. alson
-pprodución recorrect	City Planning Department
	y allen, Landt, Lancaster + Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-23-52$ Decision condie. appr.	Hearing date 1-23-52
Decision cond'é appr.	Date 1-23-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-21-12	Building Inspector 1-29-52
Planning Commission 1 - 29- F2 Petitioner	1-25-12 Health Department 1-29-1-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2.2

and the second states and second states and

And the Real

and a second the second for the providence of the second sec

See Section

PLATE AN EXCLUSION AND THE REPORT OF

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10158</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Burton J. Callstrom to erect residence with 21 ft. 6 in. setback from Bermuda Ave., maintaining 15 ft. setback from Guizot St., mortheasterly 65 ft. of Lots 7 and 8, Block 98, Point Loma Heights, westerly corner of Bermuda Ave. and Guizot St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _____ Jan. 11 , 1952

Res. No. 6099

Application Received <u>12-20- u-1</u> By	E. Clam
rippination Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-23-52</u> By	allen, Lancaster Landt + Benton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-23-52	Hearing date 1-23-52
Decision appr.	Date 1 - 2 3 - 52
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-24-52$	Building Inspector 1 - 29 - 52
Planning Commission / - 29 - 52 Petitioner /	-24-52 Health Department 1-29-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A sea that a set of a second sec

condition # for a first state of the st

10 fr

12 1 1 1 1

man est

at By - +

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10175</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frederick R. Insinger, II & Muirlands, Inc., owners, and Park D. and Helen H. Hess, purchasers, to split out parcel not of record at time of zoning and erect single family residence, portion of Pueblo Lot 1258, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, north end of Waverly Ave., Zone R-1. without street frontage but served by 50 ft. easement from Waverly Ave. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Jan. 23 , 19 52

By_

Application Received 12-31-51 By E. aleon City Planning Department Lancester + Burton Investigation made / - 2 3 - 5-2 By allen andt City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-23-52 Hearing date 1-23-52 Date 1-23-52 Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-25 52 Building Inspector _____9 -52 Planning Commission 1-29 -52 Petitioner 1-25 -54 Health Department 1-29 -5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ______ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to groller, 11 185 2.05 Maverly 26KISU (01) 12 Jour ·LL1.72 0