WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10260</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lyman W. Bruce to build a single family residence and garage with 10 ft. setback on Lot G and South 25 ft. of H and portion of Thorn Street closed and portion of Front Street closed, Block 393, Horton's Addition, Front and Thorn Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated a bove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 20 , 19 52

By_

1P

Application Received	By J. W. M & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1°52	By <u>Allen Landt</u> , Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FFB 20 Decision Approv. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-21</u> Decision 2-21 - 1-2 Petition	Date FEB ZU 1992
A seel tiled with City Cicik, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
- 1. Lion becomes effective	
A dication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10261</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lyman W. Bruce to erect a single family residence and garage with setback of 4 feet on section of house, and 9 feet on section of garage on portions of Lots H. I. and J. Block 393, Horton's Addition, legal description on file in Planning Office, Front and Thorn Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 20 , 1952

FORM 2145

By_

1c

Application Received B	y F.W. MS Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1952 B	y allen Loude Jones & South City Planning Department
men da sons	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB ZU 1 52	Hearing date FFR 20 1052
Decision appr.	DateFEB 20 1952
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-52	Building Inspector 2-25-5-2
Planning Commission 5-50-0 Petitioner	2-21-52 Health Department 2 - 215-152
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Devolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

3.

1 1 1 6 6 6 5 . 1 5 * · · · ·

the states of



W. THorn

RESOLUTION NO. 6203

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10243</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leroy M. Graff to construct single family residence in addition to existing residence and garage with 6-foot rear yard, on East 110 feet of Lot 20, Block 17, Lexington Park, northwest corner Fairmount and Quince Streets, Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for two cars be constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____Feb. 20 , 19 52

By_

Application Received By	I D.w. Jn & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1952 By	Allen Laudt Jones & South City Planning Department Hearing date FFR 20 1052
Decision $\mathcal{A}_{\text{Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk } 2-2/5/2$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-2/5/2	Building Inspector 2-25-55 2 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

Tearfiel terain of a set when states of sales are to the money pressed

route 1 P - - Viet for the company of the state of o

station to set a second open soliticant a set on the the second a

NORT DIG

.

De.

and the second the second state of the se

to the the superior of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____10209 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor and Katherine Lupini to convert existing garage to living quarters, in addition to existing duplex, making three living units on lot, Lots 33 and 34, Block 14, City Heights, west side of Vancouver Street, Zone R-2, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for three (3) cars are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 52 Feb. 20 Dated

By

	J-2 By <u>J. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1	952 By allen Landt Jones & South City Planning Department
Decision Course appar. Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler Planning Commission 2 - 21- 52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	EB 20 1952 Hearing date EFR 20 1052 Date EB 20 1952 rk $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 7^2$ Petitioner $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 7^2$ Health Department $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 7^2$ Council Hearing, date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. Les automations and the second of the second and the second the second and a second

and the state of the second shift of the second himself it is the

and a start of the start but the start of the start of the

. I have a strong of

a sparning of for the state of the second stat

W.

TT:

818

HORI I DES - DES -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10400</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District to erect additions to Paradise Hills Elementary School, two classrooms, cafeteria, and kindergarden, on portion of Southeast 1/4 Section of Rancho de la Nacion, Alleghany and Rancho Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

1 au

Dated _____ Feb. 28 ____, 19_52

By_

Application Received 2-26-52 B	
Investigation made <u>2.27.52</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>2-27-52</u>	Hearing date 2-27-5-2
Decision appr.	D'II' I P P P P
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-20-4	-Building Inspector $2 - 28 - 32$ $2 - 28 - 3^{-2}$ Health Department $2 - 28 - 3^{-2}$
A sel filed with (ity Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
D ison of [OIIIICI]	Date
D solution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	

and the second

100

.

WARD I HAVE A STOLENAND APART

An and the production of the second sec

a second a second of the secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10314</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ned A. and Lynn J. Kimball to divide original lots and build residence on West 1/2 Lot G and South 212 ft. of West 1/2 Lot H. Block 321 Horton's Addition, 70 ft. west of Albatross on North side of Olive Street, Zone R-1, being 50 feet by 90.5 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_February 20 , 19_52

By

k

Application Received $3 - 4 - 5^2 = B_1$	y <u>3.w. In Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1052 By	Hearing date 20 1052
C	Hearing date PED 201 32
Decision a peror.	Date EB 20 1952 Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 3 - 2$ 2 - 2 - 1 - 3 - 2 Health Department $2 - 2 - 3 - 5 - 2Council Hearing date$
Planning Commission $2 - 2J - J^2$ Petitioner	$2 \cdot 21 - 1^{-2}$ Health Department $2 \cdot 21 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the gas he has a dealer and a second to pool he with the beaution and part and the

We stop is at the first stor is stored

man provide and of the face and in the new of second in

The second of the second stand and the second stand

,

· Ble rolling

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10162</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John G. and Rita T. Cline to erect a car port with no sideyard on Lot 8 and Easterly 10 ft. Lot 7, Block 1, Golden Park, 854 Golden Park Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Pobruary 20 _____, 19_52

By_

Application Received $2 - 4 - 5 - 2$ B	y _ J.w. mc Connell City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB 2 0 1°5	Gy <u>allew</u> <u>Lendt</u> Jones + <u>Sout</u> <u>City Planning Department</u> Hearing date Date FEB 20152
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-57 Planning Commission 2-25-57 Petitioner	Building Inspector d-21-52
Di ming Commission 2.25-12 Petitioner	5-21-12 Health Department 2-21-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Resolution withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Waster and the second state of the second stat

that the second a second of the part of the second of the

the structure of the two terms of structures and st

C. AL * *

000.

- " - " - I'' - I''

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10161</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John G. and Rita T. Cline to erect car port with setback in line with existing residence on Lot 8, and Ely 10 ft. Lot 7, Block 1, Golden Park, 854 Golden Park Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 20

By

_ , 19 52

Secretary

Te

Application Received	2-4-12	Bv	J.W.	In con	nell
	and the second		()	City Planning I	Department
Investigation made	FEB 2 0 1052	_ By	allen	Landt	Jones & South
3)	City Planning I	Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee FEB 20	1952	Hearing date	FEB 20	P1052
Decision appr.		1	Date FFR 7	0 1052	rtment 2-2
Copy of Resolution sen	it to City Clerk 2-21	-52]	Building Ins	pector 2 -	25-52
Planning Commission	2.25-52Petition	ner 🗅	-21-52	Health Depa	rtment 2 - 20 - 52
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date		Council Hear	ing, date	
Decision of Council		and a supplication of the second	Date	date. ere a	
Resolution becomes eff	fective		and the second second		
Application withdrawn			Continued to		· ·
Time limit extended to			Date of actic	n	

MUM!

and the second second

1. 16 P. 1 .

.

MALE STREET

The exidence presented by the Zoning Course (-

through and will hope be miterially dets immunity

the second of the state of the second of the second of the

The second purple will the total to the second second to be

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10326</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Jean Campiglia to construct two duplexes with a four car carport under rear unit, Lots 27 and 28, Block E, South La Jolla > 382 Nautilus, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____February 20 , 19___52

By

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6209

Application Received _2-5-	5-2 By	& Baughman
rppment		City/Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 2	0 1 52 By	allen Landt Ones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee	FEB 20 1952F	Hearing date FEB 20 1952
Dision AMPri	I	Date FEB 20 1952 Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - $
Decision Desolution cent to City C	lerk 2-21-12F	Building Inspector
Copy of Resolution sent to City C	Detitionen :	2/ 5/12 Health Department 6 - 2 + 5 - 15
Planning Commission 2.2	12 Fentioner >	121 3 2 Health Department 1 - 13 - 12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date		council maning, date
D ison of [01111C1]	I	Date
Resolution becomes effective		
Kesolution withdrawn	(Continued to
Application withdrawn		Date of action

.

the state of the second the second the second second in the term

get.

20*1 · 4

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10298</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry H. and Ina M. Wells to convert 4-family apartment building into S-family building, 4 units to be served by S foot access court. East 50 feet of Lot B, Block 4, Golden Hill Addition, 2426 Broadway, Zone C, on condition that six (6) surfaced off-street parking spaces are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 6210

Dated___Feb. 20

_____, 19___52

Secretary

Application Received B	y <u>J.w.m & Connell</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $EBZU152$ Decision Concil. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 21 - \sqrt{2}$ Planning Commission $2 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector 2-25-52
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

10000 4 100

and the second second

and the first of the apparticle weeks (provide the state of the second

epo han and the second

1

V ANT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10305</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Mable Berthelet to erect residence with 5-foot setback on Easterly 1/2 of Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18 (except the southwesterly 10 feet of westerly 43 feet thereof), and easterly 20 feet of Lot 19, Block 4, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, northwest corner Miramar and Paarl Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 20 , 1952

By_

Application Received _	2-11- 5-2	Bv	F. W.	mc Connell
				City Planning Department
Investigation made	FEB 2 0 1952	_ By _	alles,	Candt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent	Committee FEB 20 to City Clerk $\frac{2}{2}$	1952 H D -1'2 B	learing date ate FEB 20 uilding Insp	$\frac{FEB 20 1052}{1.52}$ ector $2 - 2 - 3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	C	ouncil Heari	ng, date
Decision of Commell		D	ate	
Devolution becomes erre	ctive			
A lightion withdrawn		C	ontinued to	
Time limit extended to		D	ate of action	

Step : 0. .

Havin Wille

The second second of the second second

(a + b) = (a + b) + (a +

the first and the set of the set of the point of the set of the se

the second second

the second reaction by the Star and Second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10297</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Oscar and Edith Schloss to convert existing 2-car garage into living quarters with a 2 ft. 8 in. side yard, Lot 24, Block D, Belmont, 4417 49th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ Feb. 20 , 1952

By_

Secretary

Application Received _	2-6-5-2	By _	T. Shel City Planning Department
Investigation made	FEB 2 0 1^52	By_	allen, Lander, Jones & South City Planning Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sen Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C	t to City Clerk <u>ネーン</u> スーメノーノン Petitio Clerk, date	$\frac{152H}{D}$	Hearing date FEB 20 1°52 Date FEB 20 1952 Suilding Inspector $2 - 2 \sqrt{-5/2}$ $-2 \sqrt{-5/2}$ Health Department $2 - 2 \sqrt{-5/2}$ Souncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effe	ective		Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			ontinued to

and the state of t

applear a second of the second of the second s A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR

in a second s

the second s the second second with the part of a second by the second s

CALLYN I' WO ----

1

- -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10211</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel B. Grenfell to add sun room and bath to existing residence with 551% coverage, Lot D, Block 23, Mission Beach, 725 Brighton Court, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 20 , 1952

By

Application Received	-19-52	By	D.E.	South		
				City Planning		
Investigation madeF	EB 20 1°52	By	allen	City Planning	Department	South
Considered by Zoning Con	nmitteeFFR 20	1952 H	learing da	te FEBZU	1952	
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to		D	ate FEB	20 1952		
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk 2-2	1-52B	uilding Ir	ispector	- 211-2	-
Planning Commission 2	LJ-JZ Petiti	oner 2	-21-5-2	- Health Depa	artment 5.	25-52
Appeal filed with City Cler	k, date	C	ouncil He	aring, date		
Decision of Council		D	Date			
Resolution becomes effectiv	ve	and the second second			al e de la caracteria de l	
Application withdrawn		C	ontinued	to		Contraction of the second s
Time limit extended to		I	Date of act	tion	and the second se	

and and

.

z:

Distant in the The first in

Barris and the

,

·. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10309</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Henry A. Schmidtke to convert existing garage into store building with single family residence above and maintain 9-foot access court, Lots 39 and 40, Block 1, Fairmount Addition, 4813 University Ave., Zone C, because of the lack of parking space and inadequate passageway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______Feb. 20 , 19 52

By

Application Received By	P. Brughinger
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>FEB 20 1952</u> By <u>Considered by Zoning Committee EB 20 1952</u> Here	allen Lands Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee EB 20 1952 Her	aring date FEB 2 0 1952
Decision a funck Dat	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-52 Bui	Iding Inspector 2-25-5-2
Planning Commission 2-21-52 Petitioner 2-	21 -13_ Health Department 2 - 25 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	incil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cor	itinued to
	te of action

and a state of the contained and a state of the state of the

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

24 1 Y WP. F. 4 - 1

and the states .

A REAL DOG

WHEREAS, Application No. 10308 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pelma Way to operate an interior decorating business in existing residence on property, Lot 6, Black 47, Roseville, 1360 Rosecrans St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. A maximum 3 square foot sign;
- No storage of stock or goods to be sold on premises; 2.
- 3. No employees:
- 4. Permit to expire June 30, 1954;
- No storage of material.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 20 , 19 52

By_

Application Received $2 - 1 - j^2 - 1$	3y 2.ω. μ 5
Pproducer accented	City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1 52 I	By <u>Alley Landt</u> Arves & South City Planning Department Hearing date 20 1052
Considered by Zoning Committee EB 20 1952	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 20 192
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-1	² Building Inspector 2 - 2 5 - 13
Planning Commission 2 - 2 - 5-52 Petitioner	2-21-52 Health Department 2-25-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

19

.

2

and the second state of th

12 6 6 1 1

1 1 1

· · · · · · · · ·

.

· ·

1.13.5. 5

14.

:

1. 7. 1. 1. 1.

. .

 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10323</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leland 0. and Eileene Bacon to erect a bathroom addition and to make other minor alterations, converting existing building used for store and single living unit to a duplex; existing building has 2¹/₂ ft. side yard on South 70 feet Lot 48, Block 2, Logan Avenue Addition, 3602 National Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ February 20 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By____

Secretary

The

Application Received _	2-11-52	By F. W. M & Connect City Planning Department	
Application Received .		City Planning Department	
Investigation made	FEB 20 1952	By allen Landt Anes & South City Planning Department	
		City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning	Committee FEB 20	0 1952 Hearing date FEB 2 0 1052	
		Data La VII IUNV	
Decision \mathcal{A}_{pp} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 2 - 1 - 5$ Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$ Planning Commission $2 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5$ Petitioner $2 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - $			
Planning Commission	2-25-12 Petiti	ioner 2-21-1's Health Department 2 - 21-1-2	
Appeal filed with City	lerk, date	Council mearing, date	
Decision of Council		Date	
Recolution becomes ett	ective		
Application withdrawn		Continued to	
Time limit extended to		Date of action	

an electric state and the second state of the

615 - T

Part land the second

Op Survey .

Burn

1

and a set and a first of particular in the president of the

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Neil H. Long to operate real estate and insurance office on Lots 23 and 24, Block 103, Central Park Addition, 138 - 31St Street. Zone R-4, on condition that one sign with a maximum size of one (1) square foot be used in the window.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 20 , 1952

By_

Secretary

Application Received 2-13-52	By d. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeFEB 2 0 1°52	By <u>Landt</u> <u>Allen</u> Jones & South <u>City Planning Department</u> <u>52</u> Hearing date <u>FEB 20152</u>
==== 0 0 11	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB ZU 1	Hearing date FEB ZV 1052
Decision Coull atop	Date PED ZV 1532
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 2/-5$	2 Building Inspector 2-2-5-52
Planning Commission 2 - 15-12 Petitione	$r \rightarrow -2 - 1 - 1^2$ Health Department $\rightarrow -2 - 1 - 1^2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

press to a state of process to a process of the state of the

.

Y 2. 2.1

ap. Frank

April 1

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5827, dated September 5, 1951, be granted to Frank and Nelly Dore, Jr., to construct a single family residence with a 20-foot setback on Vista Del Mar and no setback on Marine Street, on the south 50 feet of Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, northeast corner of Vista Del Mar and Marine Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 20 , 19 52

By_

Application Received _2-14-51 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>FEB 20 1952</u> By	
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB 2 0 1952 Decision $\alpha_{p,pv}$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-\alpha_1-\sigma_2$	Building Inspector
Planning Commission & -25-55 Petitioner	2 - 2 - 7 - 2 Health Department $2 - 2 - 7 - 2$
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

*

.

. .

.

Bener and the state of the stat

Theyne

.

.

HINK I CHANNED
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10334</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gilford Whitney to raise height of existing wall to 6 feet along Miller Ave., Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 8, Inspiration Heights, 4146 Miller Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 20 , 1952

By_

Application Received _2-13-52	By J. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation madeFFB 2 0 1052	By alley Soudt Jones + South
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB 20 10	52 Hearing date FEB 2 0 1952
Decision \mathcal{A}_{pper} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-2/-}{2}$ Petitioner	5-2Building Inspector 2-25-55 2-21-52 Health Department $2-25-52Council Hearing date$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

2

epolie en la Vidaletra

12 1 .

ADDR. N. C. S. S. S. S.

3910 gt

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10315</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>net</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Timothy Harkins to erect a duplex with 4-foot access court on Lots 31 and 32, Block 69, Ocean Beach, 5019 Del Monte, Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for three (3) cars are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received I	By F.W. m. Connell
Tippication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 2 0 1952	By <u>Allen Landt</u> Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee EB 20 1952	Hearing date FEB 20 1052
Decision appr.	Date FEB ZU 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-0	¹² Building Inspector <u>2-25-55</u>
Planning Commission 2 - 2 5 - 5-2 Petitioner	$2-21 - \sqrt{-2}$ Health Department $2-2\sqrt{-\sqrt{-2}}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

,

and the second second second second

the second s

RESOLUTION NO. 105949

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Claude Chandler, Clara Chandler and Harry Epsten, by Morey S. Levenson, attorney, Bank of America Building, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6221, application No. 10112, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance Nos. 8924 and 78(New Series), to erect a double face neon sign on pole in connection with existing El Rey Trailer Plaza and trailer sales lot, size 9 feet 3 inches by 4 feet 3 inches, overall height 18 feet 3 inches on Lots 314 through 318, Sunshine Gardens, 303 - 47th Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled

By

......

City Clerk.

Deputy.

Donald L. Steinert

ACOLH FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10112</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Claude and Clara Chandler, cwner, and Harry Mosten, lessee, to erect a double face neon sign on pole in connection with existing EL Rey Trailer Plaza and trailer sales lot, size 9 ft. 3 in. by 4 ft. 3 in., overall height 18 ft. 3in., Lots 314 through 318, Sunshine Gardens, 303 = 47th Street, Zone R-4; because the sign does not comply with the Sign Ordinance in an R-4 Zone which permits one sign designating the principle use of the property. The proposed sign advertises the trailer sales, which is not the principle use of this property.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, 50, and 78 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Feb. 20 , 1952

By_

Application Received $12 - 4 - J^{-1}$ By	J. W. Mc Connell
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1052 By Considered by Zoning Committee FEB 20 1952	allen Saudt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB Z U 1952 I	Hearing date FFB 20 1952
Decision Denich 1	Date FEB 2 0 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-52	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 2.20-12 Petitioner 2	Date FEB 20 1952 Building Inspector <u>2.25-55</u> Health Department <u>2.25-52</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
A solication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 . . . T + 1

HADDER STAT

.

The CI St is in a second

111 S 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 21 22

Max Shirth Market

. .

× 1 ×

in a start of the

Letter dated Feb. 18, 1952

WHEREAS, AppTreation No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

6222

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6175, dated February 6, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh T. and Elma V. Buchanan to erect 3-unit apartment building with 7-foot access court to street for two units, the lower rear unit to have 7-foot access to alley and 3-foot, 6 inch access to street, Lot S. Block 97. Mission Beach, north side of Ventura Blvd., Zone, C. on condition that three off-street surfaced parking spaces are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Feb. 20 , 19_52

By_

Application Received By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
FFB 20 1°52	Pi Pi
Investigation made FEB 20 1052 FFB 20 1052	allen, Landt Jones vouth
EED 20 105	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	<u>Allen</u> , Loudt Jones vout <u>City Planning Department</u> Hearing date <u>FEB 20 1952</u> Date FEB 20 1952
Decision appr. amended	Date FEB 20 1952 Building Inspector $\underbrace{-1}_{2}$ Health Department $\underbrace{-2}_{2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-52	Building Inspector <u><u>x</u>·<u>x</u>·<u>x</u>·<u>x</u>·<u>x</u>·</u>
Planning Commission 2 - 2 J - J' Petitioner	$z - \lambda 1 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $z - 2 J - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

te gevit:

V AG OF

Stand Land Party

Party Charles and a second

Period Sol 2 11 ..

of a optimized to the the second of the termine region

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10336</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. and A. K. Tucker to add to and convert garage into living unit with 3-foot side yard, Mast 60 feet of Lot 1, Block 3, Encanto Heights, 6219 Brooklyn, Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for two (2) cars are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted is to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Feb. 20____, 19_52

By_

Secretary Res. N

Application Received $2 - 11 - 1^2$ By	7. W. mc Connell
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 2 0 1^52 By	allen Landt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB 20 1952 Decision Could repr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-12	Hearing date FEB 20 1^52
Decision Control City Clerk 2-21-12	Building Inspector 2-25-52
Di ing Commission 2 - 20 - 2 - Fennoner -	L' - Health Department -
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

r analysis of a strong of sign for a good of the second of strong of a strong of the second of the

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

1 .

valities :

Perni co = territoria Una estate

1

Delta"

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10300</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. R. McMaster to build a 14-foot by 21-foot hobby room on a non-conforming building with 2 foot, 6 inch side yard, the addition to maintain the required side yard of 4 feet, Lots 31 and 32, Block C, Montezuma Terrace, 1744 Knoxville, Zone R-1, on condition the plans are approved architecturally by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Feb. 20 , 19_52

By_

Application Received _2 - 11 - J - 2	_ By <u>J. Yglesias</u> City Planning Department
Investigation madeFEB 2 0 1^52	By <u>Alley Landt Jone & South</u> <u>City Planning Department</u> <u>1952</u> Hearing date FEB 201.52
Considered by Zoning Committee	100 Hearing date FLD 20 2000
Decision count appr	Date The Internation and the
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of COUNCIL	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

and Martin Contraction and a second state of the pro-

discipe - rate is a series of the

V ALL .

ATTENA CAN M

Sec. Pat.

,

May brow I of P. S. Charles These and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10321</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John H. Johnson to build an addition to existing garage and maintain the 6-foot rear yard, Lots 27 and 28, Block 7, La Jolla Strand, Electric Avenue between Gravilla and Rosemont, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 20 , 19 52

By_

Application Received _2-8-52	By T. Mill City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1052	By <u>allen Landt</u> Jones & South City Planning Department <u>2</u> Hearing date FEB 20 1952 Date FEB 20 1952
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FEB ZU 195	4 Hearing date FEB 20 1952
Decision Metro	Date FFB 20 1952
Decision Mejow Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2.2/ J	Building Inspector 2 - 2 J - J - J - J
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second and

2 S. DOLLAR BALL STREAM

11111

,

Letter dated 2-11-52

WHEREAS, Application Nor has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5771 dated August 22, 1951, be granted to Amos Cendali, Sr., owner, and Calvary Lutheran Church, Robert Plummer, President of Corporation, purchaser, to construct building to be used for church activities, on that portion lying westerly of 54th Street, of Northwest 1/4 of Section 34, T 165., R2W. S. B. M., per legal description on file in Planning Office, 100 feet south of Redwood on west side of prolongation of 53rd Street; subject to the following conditions:

- That the street be improved to the same standards as 53rd Street in 1. Furlow Heights, Unit #1, and according to plans approved by the City Engineer; That the street must be accepted by the City and dedicated before the
- 2. church building is occupied;
- That off-street parking be provided on the property in compliance with the 3. proposed and/or any effective off-street parking ordinance prior to the occupancy or use of the church building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_____, 19_ Dated _____ Pebruary 20 FORM 2145

By_

lic

Application Received 2-13-52	By mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeFFR 20 1052	By <u>Allen Lands</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee EB Z U 195	2 Hearing date FFR 2 0 1952
Decision akp.	Date FEB 20 1052 Date FEB 20052 Date FEB 2
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21-4	² Building Inspector <u>3-25-52</u>
Planning Commission 2 25 - 52 Petitioner	$2 - 21 - 3^2$ Health Department $2 - 23^2 - 32$
Appeal filed with (ity Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1000 A 218 K Y AND

8-7+ 1 · · · · · ·

,

the second se

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10378</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence Scripps Kellogg, owner, and James G. and Virginia B. Wilson, purchaser, to split out parcel of land and erect single family residence and garage, garage to have zero side yard, according to plans submitted, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1281, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, east side of El Paseo Grande, approximately 225 feet south of Paseo del Ocaso, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4022 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 79b. 20 , 19 52

By_

FORM 2145

V

Application Received $2 - 19 - 52$ I	By City Planning Department
Investigation made FEB 20 1952 Considered by Zoning Committee FEB 20 105	By <u>allen Landt</u> Jone T Jours
Decision appr.	Date FEB 20 1952
Planning Commission 2 23 12 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Trance

17.1



.,

the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10275</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. C. and V. H. Swift, SBill Lodge to construct an addition to a residence with 10 ft. 3 inch setback where adjacent residences have 14 ft. 6 inch. setback, Lot 12, Mission Cliff Manor, 4672 Harvey Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated February 20 , 19 52

By_

Application Received _	1-31-52	Bv	J. 74	el	
Application Received _				City Planning I	Department
Investigation made	FEB 2 0 1952	_ By _	allen,	Landt	Jones & South
		1052		City Planning I	ADA
Considered by Zoning	Committee FEB ZU	1334	icaring date	the the state of the	
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 5-3	13B	uilding Insp	ector	20 -02
Planning Commission	2-2J J Petition	ner 🔺	-21-52	Health Depar	20 v = 2 tment 2 - 2 1 v = 2
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	C	ouncil Heari	ing, date	
Desigion of COUNCIL		D)ate		
D hecomes elle	ective			Contended Securit	
Application withdrawn		С	ontinued to		
Time limit extended to		D	late of action	1	

and the second second second second second

A CARLEN ARTICLE A WE FAIL WORKER AND A CARLEN ARTICLE AND A CARLEN ARTICLE AND A CARLEN ARTICLE AND A CARLENA

V ASI

CODULT.

LOE THE .

100.3.5 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9933</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Claire Hoover Carrell to operate part-time shop for manufacturing of ceramics and miniature plastic-shell cactus gardens, on Lots 10 and 11, Block 2, Second Fortuna Park, 4045 Haines St., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That there will be no signs;
- 2. That there will be a maximum of 4 working hours per day;
- 3. That there will be no advertising;
- 4. That there will be no employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Feb. 20 , 19 52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6229

Application Received $1 - 30 - 52$	By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation madeFEB 2 0 1052	By allen Louds Jones a Source City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee FFR 20 1	052Hearing date FEB 20 1952
Decision Concil appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-21- Planning Commission 2-21-52 Petitione	$\frac{J^{2}}{2} \text{ Building Inspector} \underbrace{2 - 2 J^{2} - J^{2}}_{\text{r} 2 - 2J^{2}} \text{ Health Department} \underbrace{2 - 2 J^{2} - J^{2}}_{\text{r} 2 - 2J^{2}}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WE SUI IN THE

Alba Mario Mario

The super-Dichi I

LTY CAUSTON TO

,

BATELY .

V 21-

and the second of the second states and the

and the second second second

the second of the second secon

A contract of the second second

to par a figure ; to all a plan - Pi

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10234</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William O. Fleetwood, owner, and James G. and Virginia B. Wilson, purchaser, to split out a parcel 55 feet wide not of record at time of zoning and erect single family residence, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1281, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, on condition that the following described portion of the property above referred to be dedicated to the City of San Diego for park purposes property above referred to be dedicated to the City of San Diego for park purposes property above referred to a line drawn parallel to and distant 50 feet westerly of a line that portion lying west of a line drawn parallel to effect existing sea wall as shown on Map which is the northerly extension of the center line of existing sea wall as shown on Map the north of Said Compton Terrace, said point being 151.52 feet westerly from the northeast corner of Lot 3, Compton Terrace.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4022, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 6 _____ , 19___52

By_

Application Received 1- 21-52 By Mail City Planning Department 1952 Anes & South MAR 5 __ By_ allen, Landt. Investigation made City Planning Department MAR 5 1952 Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 135 Hearing date Decision Cona'e appr MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-12</u> Building Inspector <u>3-9-52</u> Planning Commission <u>3-7-12</u> Petitioner <u>3-6-12</u> Health Department <u>3-7-12</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council _____ Date _____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action CAMINO DEL COLLADO 2381 To H DTON Te RRAJCE w for 42 0 SRANDI CASI K 2. 0 2 Passo A AC, 20 W ~ m Ã EO S Ø P D

1.0039

WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- not 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank B. James to construct 4-unit apartment with 4-car garage, each two units to be served by a 6 ft. 9 in. access court, on Lot 9, Block 116, Middletown, West side of Columbia, Zone R-4, on condition that the garage observes the established setback of the block.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 52 March 5

By

Secretary Res. No. 6231

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received $2 - 4 - 5^2$ By	T. Hel
1000	City Flamming Department
MAR 5 1952	Landt Jones & South
Duestigetion made	Land Ames & South
ARAD F 100	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1052 Decision $cppr$: conditions and to City Clerk $3-b-1/2$	Hearing date 105 MAR 5 1952
Decision appr. condi	DateMAR 5
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-12	Building Inspector 3-7-12
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner	3-6-J'2 Health Department 3-7-V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second state of the second s

5'

3.

Apres 22 ...

OT STATE

dollen't 's

Persi

and martines

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. 10120 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David and Jean Schreibman to operate salvage business of collection and baling newspapers and magazines, the collecting of scrap metal and batteries to be incidental to the business, on Lots 21 and 22, to be in conjunction with the business and buildings on Lots 23 and 24, Block 180, Mannasse & Schiller's, 1684 Logan Ave., Zone M-1, on the following conditions:

- That there will be no loading, unloading or parking of trucks on street; 1.
- Said trucks to be loaded and unloaded within the yard; 2.
- That trucks owned by the petitioner and/or trucks operating from the premises or sell-3. ing to the petitioner, shall not be parked overnight on the street in front of the property in question nor on any of the adjoining streets, nor on Saturdays or Sundays. nor for a period of more than four consecutive hours at any one time;
- That all storing and baling of paper and rags to be conducted entirely within the 4. building:
- That scrap metal be stored in orderly fashion in rear shed and batteries stored within 5. a building:
- That yard at rear of existing building be paved or blacktopped and kept in clean and 6. orderly fashion;
- That the front of existing building be stucceed to match the new building to be erected 7.

on the Southwesterly 65 feet of Lots 23 and 24, Block 180, Mannasse & Schiller's, permitted on Resolution No. 6233; e said the expire

- That existing 6-foot wall on Westerly line be extended to front property line 8. 6 feet high, and a new gate be erected to conform to front of building;
- 9. The above conditions to be subject to the approval of the Fire Marshal and Building Department:
- 10. That all improvements be commenced within 6 months from the date of this Resolution, and this permit to be revocable for failure to comply with the above conditions; That all plans be approved architecturally by the Planning Dept. 11.

121 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	
Application Received 12 - 31 - 54	By DESouth City Planning Department
	City Flanning Department
Investigation made <u>3-5-52</u>	By Jones Loudt & South
	City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee S-5-	$\frac{1}{12} \text{ Hearing date} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{-\sqrt{2}}}{2}$ Date $3 - \sqrt{-\sqrt{2}}$
Decision Could approved	J2-Building Inspector
Planning Commission 3-12-52 Petitio	ner 3-11-52 Health Department 3-12-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	E Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
and	a the second of the second produced in the second
and the second se	We will der and a strate of the strate strate and and
	the state of the condition of the state state the
and the second sec	
· THE PERCENT	the second state of the second share of the second straight
· Entry environment	A share of state to be the state
Partie at the second se	
ations of the second se	an an ann a sa one ta an
	the second states the Market Market State of the second states and
	the second a state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 10317 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are_ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David and Jean Schreibman to build and operate a building on the southwesterly 65 feet of Lots 23 and 24, to be used in conjunction with a salvage usiness on Lots 21 and 22, permitted by Resolution No. 6232. Block 180. Mannasse & Schiller's, brtherly corner of Logan Ave. and Sigsbee St., Zone M-1, on the following conditions:

1. That there will be no loading, unloading or parking of trucks on street;

- 2. Said trucks to be loaded and unloaded within the yard;
- That trucks owned by the petitioner and/or trucks operating from the premises or sell-3. ing to the petitioner, shall not be parked overnight on the street in front of the property in question nor on any of the adjoining streets, nor on Saturdays or Sundays, nor for a period of more than four consecutive hours at any one time; 4.
 - That all storing and baling of paper and rags to be conducted entirely within the
 - building: all weighing of material to be done within the building: That entrance be from Logan Ave. and exit by way of Sigsbee Street;
- 5. That building be commenced within 6 months from the date of this Resolution, and this permit to be revocable for failure to comply with the above conditions;

That all plans be approved architecturally by the Planning Dept. 7.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 11 , 19 52

By_

у
City Planning Department
y Jones Landt Bouth City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date 3-5-52
Date 3-5-52
-Building Inspector <u>3-12-52</u>
-Building Inspector <u>3-12-52</u> 3-11-52 Health Department <u>3-12-52</u>
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

The second second and

the second s

the first a state of first fi

the second secon

plant and a second state of the base food the second

1.1.1.1.1.1

17. fr. C ...

The faith and the

El autor

1.00 .00 -10 00 10 0 1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10218</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Grace M. Woodhull and Anna M. Carroll to erect single family residence in addition to existing two-family residence, making three units with 6 -foot access court, on South 1/2 Lot 14 and all 15, Block 257, University Heights, 3796 Florida, Zone R-4; because the alley in the rear of the residence was found, upon investigation, to be practically inaccessible for passenger cars.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby HENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 6 , 19 52

By_

Res. No. 6234

Application Received _	1-16-52	By	E. alson	
			City Planning Department	
*	AL CARD			
Investigation made	MAR 5 1952	By.	allen pres - South City Planning Department	
	50 E0 E0 E0 E0		City/Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning	Committee MAR 5	1052 H	Hearing date MAR 5 1952	
Decision Danis	0	I	Date MAR 5 1952	
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 3-	6-52 I	Building Inspector <u>3-7-52</u>	
Planning Commission	3-7-52 Petit	ioner 5	Building Inspector <u>3-7-5-2</u> -6-5-2 Health Department <u>3-7-52</u>	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date		(Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council			Date	
Resolution becomes eff				
Application withdrawn		(Continued to	
Time limit extended to]	Date of action	

971) 6. *

E.R. OF 1. The and the set of the

10.5

and the second state of the person of the second state of the ALL THE REAL PRICE ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND THE REAL OF THE

Set Og G FIS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10310</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Livio and Mamie Favero to construct a bedroom addition to an existing residence; bedroom to observe 7 foot rear yard on East 42 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block 107, University Heights, Southeast corner Oregon and Meade Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 5</u>, 19_52

By_

12

pplication Received _2-11-52 By _ Q.C.B.
City Planning Department
nvestigation made MAR 5 1952 By Landt, Jones & South
City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 3 1.52
Date MAR 5 7057
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-12 Building Inspector 3-7-1-2
Sopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-32$ Building Inspector $3-7-52$ lanning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner $3-6-52$ Health Department $3-7-52$
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

The forse in the second second second was the

STATE TO BE

1. 20 To 1 V 12

stip .

1

1. 7:4:5

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10265</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gilbert E. and Anne M. Love to divide 100 ft. by 105 ft. parcel into two building sites, each with 50-foot frontage, being the West 100 feet of Lot 3, C. M. Doty's Addition, North side of Malden Street, 130 feet west of Lamont Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5

By_

, 19_52

Secretary Res. No. 6236

32
Application Received $2 - 4 - 5 - 2$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3-5-52$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $3-5-52$ I Decision Mpr	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-5-52</u>	Hearing date 3-5-52
Decision Lafer. Date 3-5-5- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-52</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7-52</u> Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52	
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3	Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 0	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

a second for the second

•

a restantion to allow the particulation of

WWW TO BE WALL T

100

. . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10289</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. S. Wright to build a two-story accessory building with 63.5% coverage, with zero side yard and zero rear yard, in connection with an existing 16-unit apartment building, on the South 34 feet of Lot E and all of Lot F, Block 264, Horton's, northeast corner Juniper and Second, Zone R-4, on condition that an agreement be signed and notarized, agreeing that no part of the proposed building will be used for living quarters, but will be used as a general workshop in connection with the apartment house, with laundry below and storage above.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement # 757

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 11 , 19 52

By_

Application Received $-30 - 72$ By	
Investigation made <u>3-5-52</u> By	Landt Jones & Louth
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-5-2	Hearing date <u>3 - r= r</u> =
Decision coude appar	Date 3-5-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-11-52	Building Inspector 3 - 12 02
Decision courde appende Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-52</u> Planning Commission 3-12-52 Petitioner 3	- 11-J-2 Health Department 3 - 12 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

3 3

and the second second states and the second second second

to the Cooperater of the City of South

the second sector and the second sector was been as a

The second of the second second second second

AL REAL PROPERTY AND

10 P P P

- State -

ALC: N

St 27 . . *

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10293</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack C. Lane to erect duplexes and garage with setback to line up with the residence north of this property, being Lots 14 through 18, Block 5, City Heights, East side of Boundary St. between Redwood and Quince Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received _ 2 - 8 - 52	By F. W. m & Connell
- FF	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952	By Landt Jones + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5 1952	Hearing date MAR 5 1052
lecición a le la	Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Date MAR 5 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-5</u>	2 Building Inspector 3-7-V2
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner	3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and and an an an and a second to part out out the second second

a figura (je j

·

and the state of the State of the state of the

we of the benerication that extension when he to be

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DEWIED to State of Calif. Dept. of Vet. Affairs, owner, and Joseph W. Haskett, purchaser, to move garage and relocate on same lot with one ft. setback, on Lot V, Mountain View Manor, 5323 Wilshire Drive, Zone R-1, because there were no extenuating circumstances to warrant the placing of the garage out beyond others in the neighborhood.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 19⁵² March 5 Dated

By

Application Received <u>2-11-12</u> By <u>3.cv. m e Comme el</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>MAR 5 1952</u> By <u>Cellen</u> <u>Sover</u> <u>Sover</u> City Planning Department City Planning Department Decision <u>Sover</u> Date MAR 5 1952 Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-5-</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7-5-</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1052 Hearing date MAR 5 1052
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52 Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

an is in the second second second

id distant p Elfisterie on Bit Teactly orb bit 2 2 control tagent cars and the second bit 2 2 control tagent

service a contraction to one service interaction and old.

10: -15 - 11 - 1

TP You Market 1

Concern.

and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10254</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Daniel C. and Sara R. Huffnagle, Sidney A. Raemers and Leslie W. Bashline to divide an unsubdivided parcel of land into four building sites, being the southerly 200 feet of the west 232.5 feet of Lot 59, Subd. No. 5 of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho, northeast corner Pigeon Street and Jamacha Road, Zone R-1; on condition that the south 5 feet of the above described property be the granted to the City for the widening of Jamacha Road.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ Narch 5_____, 1952

By_

Application Received By	L. Hel City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - </u>	Landt Jones Pouth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-5-	Hearing date <u>3-5-52</u>
Decision $2pp$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 7 - 5^{2}$ Planning Commission $3 - 7 - 5^{2}$ Petitioner 3	Date 3 - 5 - 5 - 2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-7-52	Building Inspector <u>3 - 7 - 5 - 2</u>
Planning Commission 3 7 - S Petitioner 3	3-7-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A Start St

JAN SAMOCHIN

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10316</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

6241

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. Giberson to construct additions to existing duplex according to plans on file in Gity Planning Office, the existing building as well as addition and stairway to have 2 ft. 6-1/2 in. side yard, on Lot D, Block 287, Horton's, 2525 First Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ March 5 _____, 19_52

By_

Res. No. 6241

Application Received $3 - 1 - 1 - 2$ By	
Investigation made <u>3-5-5-5</u> By	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-1 52	Hearing date 3-1:5-2
Decision a performent to City Clerk 3-6-12	Date J-JJ-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-12	Building Inspector <u>3-2-5-2</u>
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner	3-6-12 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

a set a set of the set of a set of a set of so

.....

State of the second

1 17 of any one of the second second second

DIG N'S

WHEREAS, Application No. 10343 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. D. and Ethel Arnold to erect a double face neon sign on tower approximately 26 sq. ft. on each side, advertising hardware and electric appliance business, on portion Lot 17, Rancho Mission, 1968 - 54th Street, Zone R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4445 New Series, Section 80, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_March 5 , 1952

By

Application Received By Mail
City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By Loudt Jones & South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AR 5 1992 Hearing date MAR 5 1992
Decision Date MAK 5 1957
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Building Inspector $3-7-52$ Planning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner $3-6-52$ Health Department $3-7-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Martin .

the bill FLUT I want

. . .

and the second second

the section and the state of the printer and the

An Timbrick of Chistopic A propriotic state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10327</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas McKellar to construct single family residence on portion of Block 2, Amalfi, legal description on file in Planning Office, South side of Torrey Pines Road at Chorlotte Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5

FORM 2145

, 1952

By

R.

Application Received _ 2-14-52 By Mail City Planning Department + South 1952 MAR 5 By Land 1952 Hearing date 1952 Date MAR 5 1952 Investigation made ____ Considered by Zoning Committee AR 5 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Application withdrawn ______ Time limit extended to ______ Date Continued to Date of action 5 E 5 PRINCESS STROUT 5 16 3:001.00 S 0 Ne LELLAF They are 6 3 9 C PE よろろ 31 PINES D CHARLOTTE TORREY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10288</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John S. and Margaret R. Hamilton to construct a single family residence and g arage on each/two parcels on portion of Pueblo Lot 1281, 1285 and 1286, shown as Lots 25 and 26 of Assessor's Map 33 and 33A, on Northwest side of Avenida Alamar, approximately 110 feet north of Little Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated March 5

, 152____

Application Received $3 - 14 - 52$ By	7. Yglasiao City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952	Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision $appr.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Planning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner	Date MAK 5 1952 Building Inspector <u>3-7-5-</u>
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner	3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

LO M

. 37113

Contra Con

A PUT REAL FOR

A State of the sta

Maller of the stars of the anti-

2 doctor

12 unlact

6245

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10248</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert J. Stirnkorb to divide into three parcels unnumbered tract north of Beach Way, closed, and westerly of Neptune Place and at the west end of Fern Glen, First Addition to South La Jolla, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, on condition that a portion, as shown on plat and according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, be deeded to the City for city purposes, and that the northeasterly paved area, known as Fern Glen, to be used for ingress and egress of City vehicles only, and to be closed to the general public except for pedestrians going to and from the beach area.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 11 , 19 52

By

Junanya Ti	
Application Received _2	-14-52 By I. Baughman City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	- 5- 5-2 By Landt Jone South City Planning Department
C 11 11 7 C.	mittee S. C. O. Hearing date Salis 13
Decision Coul a	City Clark S-1- Providing Inspector December 2
Planning Commission 3-	City Clerk $3 - 12 - 12^{-12}$ Building Inspector $3 - 2 - 12^{-2}$ $12 - 12^{-12}$ Petitioner $3 - 12 - 12^{-12}$ Health Department $3 - 12 - 5^{-2}$
Appeal filed with (ity (letk	Council riearing, date
Resolution becomes effectiv	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
This mint extended to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
~5-51-5 P	
.S.A	
~ . 40	100
and the second sec	en les
1000	24
See .	35.84'
	53.07 53.
	X / // _
	Lo.
	2
3201	
	JT /

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10351</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. G. Frick and Don D. Trekell to convert upper story of existing garage to one apartment with no sideyard on north side of the Southerly 50 feet of Northerly 100 feet of Block 295, Pacific Beach, 4130 Strandway, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary

Application Received <u>2-15-52</u> By	
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 5 - 5^2$ By	allen, Jones & South
	Gity Planning Department
Considered by Loning Committee 5-5-52	Hearing date 3-V V Z
Decision alpha	Date 3-5-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52	Building Inspector <u>3-7-52</u>
Decision Mehr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-52</u> Planning Commission <u>3-7-52</u> Petitioner <u>3</u>	P-6-52 Health Department 3- 7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

S Million P.

a Matta

8

1 .

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Angelina Mazzara to erect a 6-foot fence, not to exceed 6 feet high from the ground level of the property on Lot 9, Bridges Estate, 2540 Chatsworth Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 19 52

By_

Application Received $2 - 15 - 52$	By 7. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952	- By Landt Jones + South
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5	1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Decision Course apper Date MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-5-2</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7-5-2</u>	
Planning Commission $= -7 - \sqrt{2}$ Petitioner $= -6 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $= -7 - \sqrt{2}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

I a the start of the first first the start of the start of

the states of the part and parts and

Application for the second sec

Spipe de

Decis Another

Autom The

a the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10329</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jerry John Schwartz to erect three units with 3 ft. access court to street, Lot R. Block 99, Mission Beach, Island Court, Zone R-4; three paved off-street parking spaces to be provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, incofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______ March 5_____, 1952

By_

Secretary

Application Received _ 2 - 15 - 5 - By_	Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-5-5-2</u> By	alla Jones & South
	- City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-5- H	earing date <u>3-5-52</u>
Decision (ppr: Da	ate 3-5-52
Decision appr. Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Bi	ilding Inspector 3-7-52
Planning Commission 3-7-J 2 Petitioner 3-	6-12 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of CouncilD	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

a strate of the second states the second second

and the second second second second second

and the second prove the second provide the second providents pro-

protection and the

1 A 47 199. P 1

Sel soil 1

THERE .

apple Castroll

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10328</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California. as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene and Nellie Burton to build a new duplex in rear of existing duplex and maintain a 42-foot access court on Lots 7, 8, and 9, Block 121, Middletown, 1302 W. Redwood Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6249

sy mail
City Planning Department
By Loudt Jones & South City Planning Department 52 Hearing date
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date MAR 5 1952
Date MAR 5 1952 - Building Inspector $3 - 7 - \sqrt{2}$ $3 - 6 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $3 - 7 - \sqrt{2}$
3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
_ Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

.

Carl The

1

and a local section.

and the second second second second

and other appears and a standard and a standard and

The could be the same the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10338</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Reid to build a duplex with front setback to be in line with other houses in the block on the north, on Lot 9 and northerly 30 feet of Lot 8, Block 20, Lexington Park, west side of Fairmount Ave., between Sumac and Columbine Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 5 _____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received <u>5-15-5-2</u> By <u>CiBaceshuan</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By allen Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Decision M_{pr} Date MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\overline{z-4-z^2}$ Building Inspector $\overline{z-7-z^2}$ Planning Commission $\overline{z-7-z^2}$ Petitioner $\overline{z-6-z^2}$ Health Department $\overline{z-7-z^2}$
Decision appr. Date MAR 5 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52
Planning Commission 3-7-5- Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and provide the fit is and done of the boundary of the second strategy of the second second

TENS 2 C.

12 6 1 7 7

Martin De Tal

. . .

The state of the seal

11.5

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10337</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Reid to build a duplex with front setback to be in line with other houses in the block on the north, on Lot 7 and southerly 10 feet of Lot 5, Block 20, Lexington Park, west side of Fairmount Ave., between Sumac and Columbine Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6251

Application Received $2 - 13 - 32$ By	d. Baughman Kity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 5 1952</u> By	
Investigation made <u>WAN J 1304</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning CommitteeMAR 5 1952	Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision $Cppr$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{Z} = 6 - 3$ Planning Commission $3 - 7 - 5 - 7$ Petitioner	DateMAR 5 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2}{2}$	Building Inspector 3-7-72
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner	3-6-52 Health Department $3-7-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

173301

- Then and matter was received

- de la competence

,

the reason of the second of the second of the second of the

and a second of the particular second of the second of the

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10361</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California. as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gladys Willingham to erect a retaining wall 6 feet high with free-standing wall 4 feet high on top on Lot 12, Block 11, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition, 2140 "B" Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 5

Dated

FORM 2145

52

, 19

By.

Secretary Res. No. 6252

1

Application Received _2 - 18 - 1-2 By_	D. Gglescas City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3 - J - J - J - By</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3 - J - J - J - H</u>	allen Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-52 H	earing date 3-5-52
Decision app. Da	ate 3-5-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-5- Bi	uilding Inspector 3-7-52
Decision a_{pp} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-5-B$ Planning Commission $3-7-5-2$ Petitioner $3-6-5-5-2$	6 - J'2 Health Department 3 - 7 - 53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective	NUT AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

The second second second

1 . · · ·

THE PART CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION CONTRACTORS

The appropriate of the

Charles Born in

Sec. 1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. J. and Eloise B. Francis to convert portion of building, including garage, into a living unit, making a total of 3 living units on property, one unit to have 7-1/2 ft. rear yard and one unit to have S ft. rear yard, Lots 1 and 2, Block 7. Fortuna Park Addition, 1761 Chico St., Zone R-4, on condition that surfaced off-street parking for two cars be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 19 52

By_

Application Received By_	(/ City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-5-52</u> By	Raudt Jones Abuth City Planning Department
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-52</u> B Planning Commission <u>3-7-52</u> Petitioner <u>3</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date $3 - \sqrt{-\sqrt{2}}$ Building Inspector $3 - 7 - \sqrt{-2}$ $3 - \sqrt{-\sqrt{-2}}$ Health Department $3 - 7 - \sqrt{-2}$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

. .

241

a lat a set of

110 XY .

10. 1.

CONTRACT, AND

WHEREAS, Application No. 10355 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie Buck, owner, and C. J. Hearst, purchaser, to split out a parcel 50 ft. by 1422 ft. and erect single family residence thereon, being a portion of Lot 29, La Mesa Colony, legal description on file in Planning Office, on Catoctin Drive north of Monteguma Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5

By_

, 19 52
	0 0
Application Received _ 2 - 19 - 52 By	y d. Baughinon
	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1059 By	alust Anes & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Decision app	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Planning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner	DateMAR 5 1952 <u>3-7-52</u>
Planning Commission 3-7-J-2-Petitioner	3-6-V- Health Department 3-7-V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
	Date of action
	Doc Filed Zo
	Z OI
	ocum of of
a service of the serv	ON I
the second se	
and soldings and the second street in state	CON
and and and the second of the second second second	City City RESOLUT OMMITTE
al constat and constants	City City
THEREFORE IN 12 ST. IN MILE AND AND A	De CI
of the Dist.	Clerk. Deputy E
a dest the state of the second s	The manual and a grant with program of an and a set
indivision and the second program in	
	appropriate Manager and the second and a standard and the
0	CDE moterial is affaur the consist of section of
- Him	2750
white establish is the over highers with	DOREEN EKSICK2000
the second states of the second of the second	Maszin
20	andor ar
	1 2 - 10 1 dt
. N	and a server and and and
Les and L	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	34, 43, 43
	NILJOLON .
d//	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10376</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Company to maintain existing residence with 4.7 foot sideyard on north side of Lot 251. Clairemont Unit #2, East side of Burgener Blvd., south of Lister Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 5</u>, 19<u>52</u> FORM 2145 By

No.

Application Received By By Baughunan City Planning Department
City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>3-5-52</u> By <u>Allen</u> <u>mes vouth</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Ansidered by Adming Comminine of A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Decision appr. Date $3-\sqrt{-\sqrt{2}}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-\sqrt{-\sqrt{2}}$ Building Inspector $3-7-\sqrt{2}$ Planning Commission $3-7-\sqrt{2}$ Petitioner $3-6-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $3-7-\sqrt{2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the first series

1

and a second a second as a second as

and the write contacted and classical as the format

. See the period of the street of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10374</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California. as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Company to maintain existing residence with 4.7 foot sideyard on North side of Lot 271, Clairemont Unit No. 2, West side of Deerpark Street South of Lister Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____

By

52

, 19

No.

Application Received Z - 19 - JZ By Baughean City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1057 By Saudt Jones & South
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1954 earing date (MAR 5 1952
Decision appr Date MAR 5 1952
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6 J2 Building Inspector 3-2 32
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6</u> <u>7</u> Building Inspector <u>3-2</u> <u>3-2</u> Planning Commission <u>3-7-1</u> Petitioner <u>3-6</u> <u>7-1</u> Health Department <u>3-7-1</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a service of the state of the service of the servic

and a second state of the second state of the

ALL TOPHY .

.

THE STOCK STOCK

 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10373</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Company to maintain existing residence with 4.8 foot sideyard on South and 4.9 foot on north, Lot 280, Clairemont Unit #2, East side of Deerpark Street, between Jellett and Lister Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 19 52 FORM 2145 By_

30

Application Received $2 - 19 - 1^{-2}$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By	La pt la velanti
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 By Decision appr.	City Planning Department Hearing date
Decision $appe.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{3-6-1^{2}}$	Date MAK 5 1932 Building Inspector $3 - 7 - 1^{-2}$
Planning Commission 3 - 7 - 1 - 2 Petitioner	3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
	Date of action

provide the second s

.

and the second of the second second

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10407</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Company to maintain existing residence with 4.8-foot sideyard, Lot 284, Clairemont Unit No. 2, Deerpark Street Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 5 Dated_

FORM 2145

. 19

k

Application Received $2 - 29 - 5$	2 By Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1052	By Landt pres + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MA	R 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision appo.	Date MAR D 1902
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	3-6-12 Building Inspector 3-7-12
Planning Commission 3-7-52-P	Date MAR 5 1952 $3-6\sqrt{2}$ Building Inspector $3-7-\sqrt{2}$ etitioner $3-6-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $3-7-\sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

start through the start make the start the same

and the second particular to the second s

and the through other states as a second of the

Cherry .

Y ASS.

ATES

For the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10375</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Land Company to maintain existing residence with 4.7 feet on north sideyard on Lot 291 Clairemont Unit #2, east side of Deerpark Street, south of Lister Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

1

Application Received	ByCity Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952	2 By <u>Acudt</u> Drace & South City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5.	-52 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision ipps.	Date MAR 5 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 376	<u><u><u>3</u></u> Building Inspector <u><u>3</u>-7-<u>7</u></u></u>
Planning Commission 3-7-12Petitic	Date MAR 5 1952 3-32 Building Inspector $3-7-52ioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an algorithm of the second second

an bha an 1 ma chuir sa bhliann an thairt a a bha ann ann an tar ball na stàirt an 11 mainteachta. Thabha bha an tar an tar tha ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an tarthachtar ann an 11 Allan ann 11 Allan ann 11

A. 4. 266 2 1 1

, . .

2 1 H L K

The second second as a second state of the second second state with the

the short start the start which at the start

A PARTICIPAL AND A FEET AND AND A PARTICIPAL AND A PARTICIPAL OF A

the second second second should be a second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10372</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted Clairemont Land Company to maintain existing residence with 42 foot side yard on north lot line, Lot 292, Clairemont Unit #2, on Mast side of Deerpark Street south of Lister Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 1952

By.

le.

oplication Received By By Baughman
City Planning Department
vestigation made MAR 5 105? By Kouth Jones & South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
insidered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
ecision approximate MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Date MAR 5 1952
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-12 Building Inspector 3-7-62
ppy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Building Inspector $3-7-52$ anning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner $3-6-52$ Health Department $3-7-52$
opeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
oplication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

135

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10273</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert F. Tuxbury to remove existing front porch and erect a new living room addition to the front of an existing 4-family apartment building; the addition to have a setback of 12 ft. 9 inch., Lot 9 and North 1/2 Lot 10, Block 2, Nutts Addition, 3829 - 3rd Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 5

Dated_

FORM 2145

52

, 19

By

Secretary

Application Received 2-20-52 By 7. W. Mc Convell City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1052 By Landt South Jones
Investigation made City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date MAR 5 1059
Decision appr. Date MAR 5 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52
Decision appr. Date MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-52</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7-52</u> Planning Commission <u>3-7-52</u> Petitioner <u>3-6-52</u> Health Department <u>3-7-52</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the best hand to a train takes of the other

A STATE HELL PLAN STATE - ----

1 1 1 1 1 1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 10388 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert F. Tuxbury to remove existing front porch and erect a new living room addition to the front of an existing 4-family apartment building; existing building has 2-foot sideyard; new construction to observe 3-foot sideyard, Lot 9 and North 1/2 Lot 10, Block 2, Nutts Addition, 3829 - 3rd Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby Granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ March 5

FORM 2145

By

, 19 52

10

Application Received By_	7. w. mc Connel
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-5-53</u> By	Lands, Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	learing date 3 - V - C 2
Decision appr. D	Date 3-5-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 B	Building Inspector <u>3-7-52</u>
Decision $Approx^{-1}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-5-2$ B Planning Commission $3-7-5-7$ Petitioner 3	-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council I	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the constraint of the constraint of the

Station Constraint

Barrow . 1 1 1 2 A

1

interne se

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10353</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter H. and Dora E. Gehrman to erect 3 units and garage with 6 ft. 6 inch access court for one unit; and 3 ft. access court for two units, Lot E, Block 59, Mission Beach, San Fernando Place, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

1ª

$\int \mathcal{D}$
pplication Received <u>2-21-52</u> By <u>L. Baughman</u>
City Planning Department
P II I
westigation made 3-5-52 By fourth ones - fourth
City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
pecision appriller Date MAR 5 1952 3-7-52 opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52-Building Inspector 3-7-52 lanning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52-Building Inspector 3-7-52
lanning Commission 3-7-5-2 Petitioner 3-6-5- Health Department 3-7-5-2
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

and the second

Sec. 1

MEAN

,

the set of the set of

10322

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

6264

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Oda D. Irwin to build a garden wall 5 feet high with an arch-way 8 feet high, with 3-foot setback, on Lots 5 thru 8, Block 14, La Jolla Strand, 6525-31 Neptune Place, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 5

_____, <u>5</u>2

By

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received <u>2-21-5-2</u> By <u>D.E.</u> South City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By <u>Cellen</u> <u>Journe</u> <u>Journe</u> Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 3 1932 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision appril Date MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{3-6-5-5}$ Building Inspector $\underline{3-7-5-5-5}$ Planning Commission $\underline{3-7-5-5-5}$ Petitioner $\underline{3-6-5-5-5}$ Health Department $\underline{3-7-5-5-5-5-5}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-5-</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7 72</u>
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

V Aproprietary and the set of the

HEDER HITS HERE

The second s

ADG DOLL

a children a

CON HONE THE PARTY . Sarah Charles and Sarah

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10380</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Benbough Investment Company to erect a retaining wall on side property line, 10 feet high, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 8, Bay View Homestead, 711 Date Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851, be, and is hereby granted as to the parcitulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received <u>2-25-52</u> By <u>2. W. In Connell</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
nvestigation made MAR 5 1°52 By Landt Anes & South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision approximation Decision Date AR 5 1052 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-52</u> Building Inspector <u>3-7-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52
Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

W AND ALL AND AND A

ningen 13.

.

a sector and the

. 17

and the second second second

contract of the second se

the the sector busers in 1996, april 1, a real, bout

WHEREAS, Application No. 10391 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Peace Lutheran Church, W. C. Hwert, Chairman of Building Committee, to construct an educational building in conjunction with existing church with a 4-foot rear yard, on portion of Pueble Lot 1180, according to Record of Survey Map 2615, 6749 Tait Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Res. No. 6266

Te

Application Received $2 - 2J - J^2 B$	y _ J. W. M. Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 5 1952</u> B	y Landt, Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AR 5 1952	Hearing date MAR 5 1952
Decision appc.	Date MAR 5 1252
Decision appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-52</u>	-Building Inspector 3-7-52
Planning Commission 3-7-12 Petitioner	3-6-J-2 Health Department 3-2-J2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 6267

Letter dated March 4, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and willnot be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

at Resolution No. 4410, dated January 25, 1950, which amended Resolution No. 3829, dated ril 20, 1949, which amended Resolution No. 2290, dated May 22, 1947, be amended and exded as follows:

mission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, owner, to lease the existing nonforming cold storage building (Building No. 128) for cold storage purposes, and to permit operation of a retail grocery business within the same structure, on portions of Pueblo ts 1311 and 1314, located 200 feet easterly of the common corner of Pueblo Lots 1311, 1312, 13 and 1314; on the following conditions:

- That the maintenance of the parking area be maintained in a satisfactory condition;
- That all surrounding premises be kept clean at all times; 1.
- That all advertising signs be approved by the Planning Commission; 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- That it be subject to approval by the City Manager; That it be approved for five years, to expire June 30, 1957.

variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455, be, and is hereby granted as to the rticulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 10 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6267

Application Received _ 2 - 28 - 52 B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 5 - 5 2$ B	y Leudt Anes & South City/Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	J-10- Litealth Department J-1 L J 2
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

a. _____

2

*

1000

Sprite L. S. M. S.

,

3

. . .

a prove a strate and the

The second states were

.

-

the area weather and the maps fill the fills

a second a second s

a the contraction and ingrame of shares

a the second of man and

WHEREAS, ApprincetrouxNg. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5803, dated August 22, 1951, be granted to Robert J. and Hazel Wagner to erect residence with 12 ft. setback on Lot 16, Ludington Heights, south side of Valdez Drive at La Jolla Golf Club Park, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisionsof Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 5 , 19<u>52</u>

Dated_

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received $2 - 21 - 52$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-5-5-5</u> By	Landt Jones & South City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-10-5</u>	Date 3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

all and the state of the state

The State of State of

,

The Att Street

a straight the tips through a straight

and a second for the second second

a for the second state of the second state of the

Hand the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Res. No. 6186, dated Feb. 6, 1952, which amended Res. No. 6151, dated Jan. 23, 1952, which amended Res. No. 5694, dated July 25, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wallace A. Walter & Leon R. Hubbard to build 7 trailer 1. space units in addition to the 74 spaces permitted on Res. No. 5694, on Lots C.D.E.F & G of Block S, Las Alturas No. 3. However, no deadend roadway will be permitted; original plan is acceptable with regard to roadway but proposed new trailer unit which would cause deadend roadway is not permissible; according to plans submitted;

- To add to the trailer park area the west 180 ft. of Block S, adjacent to said trailer 2. park on the east, to be used for clothes-drying yard and children's playground and to be entirely enclosed by a 5-ft. fence;
- That hedgerow space be reduced from five feet to three feet;
- That proposed office building be approved according to plans and photographs submitted 4. on condition that the grounds around the building be landscaped;
- That an additional time of 30 days be granted to complete the planting of the hedge; 5.
- That an 8 ft. by 3 ft., 6 in. neon sign be approved, to read "MOBILE LODGE, 325 54th 6. St., San Diego."
- That the existing 14 ft. by 14 ft. storeroom and toolhouse on the rear of the Mobile Lodge Trailer Court be approved as shown in photograph on file in City Planning Office, on condition that the grounds around the building be landscaped; (OVER) 7.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 10 , 19 52

By_

I The	
Application Received $2 - 26 - 52$ By	7 W m connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3 - 5 - 5 2</u> B	, Aller Jones Soudt & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3- 5-52	Hearing date 3-5-5-
Decision Cond'e appr	Date 3 - 5 - 5 - 2
Decision Cond'l appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-52	Building Inspector <u>3-12-52</u>
Planning Commission 3 -12 - 52 Petitioner	3-10-12 Health Department 3-12-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

the state of the state of the state of the

A construction of the second secon second sec

a state of the set of a state of the state of the

. . .

100 C 11 111 STORE GALL

- marily for f

Contraction .

Med of .

,

. .

> That this permit shall expire June 30, 1956. •6 That the 14 ft. by 14 ft. storeroom be placed on a solid foundation; °8

as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. E. King to construct S-unit apartment building with 6-foot setback, on Lots 5, 6 and 7 and Southeasterly 1/2 of Lot 4, Block 35, Western Addition, on condition 7 paved off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 5 , 19 52

By_

Application Received <u>11-26-52</u> By	$\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{M} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \stackrel{\mathcal{Cornnel}}{\operatorname{City Planning Department}}$
Investigation made <u>MAR 5 1952</u> By	Cellen Ano + South City Planning Départment
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Decision appr. Date MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-5-Building Inspector 3-7-52 Planning Commission 3-2-5- Petitioner 3-6-5- Health Department 3-7-52	
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A THE PARTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY

.

r venne konge houar er der se hande i e di dans die als dis dis dan dat in some namer i summarier er einer venne en

Allen in 1

Contraction of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ira C. Engle, owner, and Clayton E. Wall, purchaser, to erect a 60 ft. by 40 ft. building to be used for storage only, in connection with existing machineshop in C Zone, portion of Lot 29, Lemon Villa, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, 5590 Chollas Road, Zone R-1, provided the building sets back 40 ft. from Chollas Road, is placed on a solid foundation and is painted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 154 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____March 5 , 1952

By_

Res. No. 6271

VAN

Application Received By Yalescal
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By Celler Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952
onv of Resolution sent to Lity Clerk 3 - Dunding Inspector
Planning Commission $\exists - 7 - \sqrt{2}$ Petitioner $\exists - 6 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $\exists - 7 - \sqrt{2}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

.

ř.

1

.

77.62'

. UNIVERSITY. AVE.

×.

Rp.

CHOLLAS

THE FLY

335-7 Sr

519. ··· 5.

1

5

handle and a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10345</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^{California}, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Point Loma Holding Corporation to divide a pertion of Pueblo Lot 197 into three parcels, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, and erect single family residence on each, all three parcels without dedicated street frontage, two parcels to be served by a 20-foot easement from Lowell Street, the third parcel to be served from a 30-foot alley, per plans on file in City Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted aso to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated_____March 5 , 19 52

By_
Application Received 2-18-52 By DEsouth City Planning Department _ By <u>allen</u> Ane T fout Investigation made _____MAR 5 1952 1952 Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 Decision G_{ppr} Date MAR 5 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-52 Building Inspector 3-7-52Planning Commission 3-7-52 Petitioner 3-6-52 Health Department 5-7-52Date MAR 5 Planning Commission 3 - 7 - 52 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Continued to Date of action 194 POINT LOMA VISTA LOINELL STREET (3500 BI 20 & ALLE 851 651 157' M 20 POR PL 168' R 29/51 DANA JUNIOR HIGH 911 SCHOOL GROUNDS pp/15 JT

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jonathan W. Latimer to divide into two parcels and have right to erect single family residence on each parcel, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, legal description on file in Planning Office, Easterly side of Hidden Valley Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, a nd is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____March 5

, 19 52

	> Jula
Application Received $3 - 27 - \sqrt{2}$ E	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{3 - 5 - 5^2}$ E	v allen mes & South
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-J-J</u> Decision <i>Appu</i> .	Date 3 - v - v 2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{3-6-4}$	Building Inspector $3 - 7 - \sqrt{2}$ $3 - 6 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $3 - 7 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Flearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
RM	
N. N.	The second second
to the state of th	
R 40	
$(, \land \land \land \land \land$	Woods
	Rahmer
in di	
X R X	5
VV.	A.
Col Col	A Rd.
(e)	len Valley
Hida	len
	of a mark of the second terms are the side of the second of the second
	the representative provide and special sector of
Q.	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10349</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter Melander, owner, and W. L. Canning, purchaser, to erect a residence on portion split out of Pueblo Lot 1288, legal description on file in Planning Office, Hidden Valley Road, about 1200 ft. south of Ardath Road and Torrey Pine junction, Z one R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 5

By.

_, 19 52

Te:

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received $2 - 27 - 52$ By	
Investigation made $3 - 3 3^2 = B_2$	<u>Allen</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-5-5-2$ Decision 2 Jeff Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Planning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner	Hearing date 5-5-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Cor

the state of the state of

ALL THE STORE OF THE

THE LARSE THE REAL CREW WE THIN DEPARTO

- I wat he was frink particular

4

mary delivery and

2189 June 1

. .

2 X

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10404</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carlos J. Tavares and Louis C. Burgener to divide the SWLy 140 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block J, Tecolote Heights into two building sites as follows:

(1) SWly 140 ft. of Lot 1, except the SWly 5 ft.

(2) SW1y 140 ft. of Lot 2, and SEly 5 ft. of SW1y 140 ft. of Lot 1

and erect single family residence on each parcel, Southeasterly corner of Cowley Way and July Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5

, 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6275

Be

Application Received $2 - 27 - \sqrt{2}$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3 - J - J - By	allen Jones South
Considered by Zoning Committee $3-5-52$ Decision $4ppu$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Planning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector $3 - 7 - 5^2$ $3 - 6 - 5^2$ Health Department $3 - 7 - 5^2$
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

15 1. St. 13 * 1 * 1

1251

1

121-128-1200

and the second second in the

and a set of the set of the set

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Antonia Noble to operate a beauty parlor in garage in rear of property with suitable sign indicating the name of shop, maximum size 4 ft. in area, on Lots 41 and 42, Block 332, Choates Addition, 3166 Webster Street, Zone R-4, this permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 5</u>, 1952

By_

Application Received $2 - 2J - J^2 B$	y <u>T. Yglesias</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 B	y allen Jour + South
Investigation made <u>MAR 5 1952</u> B Considered by Zoning Committee <u>MAR 5 1952</u> Decision <i>Cpp</i>	Hearing date MAP 5 1052
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3+6-5	Date Ar 5 1952 -Building Inspector <u>3-7-5-</u> 3-6-5-2 Health Department <u>3-7-5-</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

a set of the set of the part of the set of t

Minere .

,

- - 18 % J * 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10432</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ward Wilson and Patricia Fay Woods, owner, and Frederick A. S. and Margaret F. Waldron, purchasers, to divide a two acre tract into two building sites with 20 ft. easement to rear parcel, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, legal description on file in Planning Office, on Hidden Valley Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 19 52

By_

T

Application Received <u>3-3-5-</u> By	City Planning Department
7-5-15 P	Gen Qu - i -
Investigation made $3 - 5 - 5 - 2$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>3-5-52</u> Decision apper	Hearing date <u>3-5-5-2</u>
Decision appi	Date 3 -5 - 5 - 2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-5-	Building Inspector <u>3-7-1'</u>
Decision $appu$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-6-52$ Planning Commission $3-7-52$ Petitioner 3	- 6 - J- 2 Health Department 3 - 7 - J 2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second a feature of the second beauty of the second second second second second second second second second

See Res. # 6275

Gural the y of .

. . . .

· · · ·

de .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10397</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. and Ella Sage, owners, and Harry W. Beum, purchaser, to erect two 4-unit apartment buildings, six units to be served by a 7-foot access court, on Lots F and G, Block 59, Mission Beach, Zone C, on the following conditions; that seven paved off-street parking spaces be provided on the property.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated ______ , 19<u>52</u>

By.

Application Received $3 - 4 - 52$ B	y DE South City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - $	y allen Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-52	Hearing date 3-5-5-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-8-12	Building Inspector 3 - 7 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	3-6-52 Health Department 3-7-52 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second se

The second s

rain autori a

MARTINE STREET

,

.

the second s

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10214</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Bert G. Edis to convert garage into living quarters, making 5 units on property, rear two units to be served by 8 ft. access court and one unit to have 13 ft. rear yard, on Lots 17 and 18, Block 27, Teralta, 4225 Central Ave., Zone R-4, because no off-street parking would be provided.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 5 , 1952

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 6279

Application Received <u>1-21-52</u> By <u>F.W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952 By allen fres - fouth
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 5 1 Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Decision Decision Decision Sent to City Clerk $3-6-5-6$ Building Inspector $3-7-5-2$ Planning Commission $3-7-5-5-2$ Petitioner $3-6-5-2$ Health Department $7-7-5-2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Strate (Strate) alarment

an annual of p. Provide the states with the

and a manufacture and the state of the share of the state of the state

the a second real second and

1104 80

- F - 5 - ----

The second second second provide

all Harney .

. .

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10318</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam and Evelyn Stocks to split out portion of Lot 63, Las Alturas Villa Sites, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, and erect single family residence thereon, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated <u>March 5</u>, 19<u>52</u>

By_

Secretary

Application ReceivedI	By 7 W m & Connell
ripplication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 5 1952	By <u>Lendt</u> Jones & South City Planning Department Hearing date MAR 5 1952
READ 5 195	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AR 5 1957 Decision approximation Sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-5</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-6-5</u>	Hearing date MAR 5 1952 Date MAR 5 1252
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-6-5	2-Building Inspector 3115 Z
Planning Commission 5 7-1 / I Chilone	C C IICUILI - C -
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

144 - 1997 - 78.917

N 10 1 10 2 4 6 19

102



and a second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10332</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruby Faye Jewett to split out portion of Lot 63, Las Alturas Villa Sites, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, and erect a single family residence thereon, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 5 _____, 1952

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 6281



RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Apprication No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot C. D. E. F. G. W.180 ft of Block S

Las Alturas No. 3 Subdivision

Wallace A. Walter and Leon R. Hubbard - Mobile Lodge Trailer Court, 325 - 54th St.

Resolution No. 6187, dated Feb. 6, 1952, to be amended to read as follows:

may be used for the erection and operation of . 7 additional units to be added to the 74 units permitted on Resolution No. 5695

subject to the following conditions 1. That no deadend roadway will be permitted; units to be placed according to plans submitted;

2. The West 180 ft. of Lot 1 to be used for clothes-drying yard & children's play ground, lot to be entirely enclosed by 5-ft. fence;

3. That hedgerow space be reduced from five feet to three feet;

That the proposed office building be approved according to plans and photographs 4. gubmitted, and that the grounds around the building be landscaped; That an additional 30 days from the date of Resolution No. 6187, dated Feb. 6,

5. 2. 1952, be granted to complete the planting of the hedge;

That an S ft. by 3 ft., 6 in. neon sign be approved, to read "MOBILE LODGE. 6. 325 - 54th St., San Diego."

That the existing 14 ft. by 14 ft. storeroom and toolhouse on the rear of the 10 Mobile Lodge Trailer Court be approved as shown in photograph on file in City Planning Office, on condition that it is placed on a solid foundation and the grounds around the building be landscaped; S. That this permit shall expire June 30, 1956.

......

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

6282

Lot I

No.

Dated March 11

44

By

Application Received 2-26-52	By J. W. Mc Connell
Apprication Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made 3-5-52	By Landt Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3-5-52$ Considered by Zoning Committee $3-5-52$	"Hearing date 3-1-12
Decision,	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-10-52	Building Inspector 3-12-52
Planning Commission 3-12 12 Petitioner	2-10-12 Health Department 3-12 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application Withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A RETURNED TO THE RETURNED TO THE RETURNED TO THE PLANE OF THE PLANE O

the second se

and the second second

not set and the set of the

A CARLES CONTRACTOR OF A CARLES OF

THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE

234

a constance, and a lot a successing the bar at the second second at

a lee coordin to include a bound to be a state of the sta

and server of the server and the server of t And that we have a compare to have an an an an an and the second and the second they be reader to a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10381</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Malcolm and E. Beatrice Hurd to split out parcel of land without full street frontage and erect single family residence, being the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Pueblo Lot 1774, excepting therefrom the Northerly 52 feet and the Westerly 25 feet, La Jolla Rancho Road and La Jolla Scenic Drive, Zone R-1A, on condition that the Westerly 15 feet of this parcel be granted to the City for public street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 11 _____ , 1952_

By_

Secretary

Application Received _ 2-25-52 By J. Baughman City Planning Department Jones & South Investigation made <u>3-5- 5-2</u> By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 3-5-52 Hearing date 3-5-52 Decision conde appl Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-11-52</u> Building Inspector <u>3-12-52</u> Planning Commission <u>3-12-52</u> Petitioner <u>3-11-52</u> Health Department <u>3-12-52</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Date Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Hurd 11.4 The Third April and a state of the 1. 1.2. THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP 1 212 5 1 34 LOUISPAN OF LAND DAY N. J. Rancho RJ. L: 2. J. Scenic

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10264</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

ermission is hereby granted to John Kirkman, owner, and San Diego Pipe and Supply Co., Murchaser, to erect two one-story buildings, maximum size, 100 feet by 120 feet, on Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block E, Oak Park, 51st, 52nd and University Ave., Zones R-4 and C; on the following conditions:

- 1. That the following setbacks be observed 50 ft. from University Ave.; 15 ft. on 51st St.; 15 ft. on 52nd St.; 10 ft. from the south line of Lot 2;
- 2. That the property be fenced with solid wall with landscaping or a chain link fence with screening 6 ft. hedge;
- 3. That all setback areas be landscaped; except the 50 ft. setback on University Ave;
- 4. That all useable areas be blacktopped;
- 5. That all plans be approved architecturally by the Zoning Committee of the City Planning Commission.

I variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 154, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conitruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

Dated _______ March 12 _____, 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6284

Application Received _ 2-8-52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-5-52</u> By	-Joult Jones & South -J'2 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-5-14	Hearing date 3 - 1 - 1-2
Decision coule appr	Date 3-12-52 -Building Inspector 3-12-52 3-12-52 Health Department 3-12-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-12-52	-Building Inspector <u>3-12-52</u>
Planning Commission 3 -12 -12 Petitioner	3 - 12 - 12 Health Department 3 - 12 - 12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	•
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

potte para di anti di a Nglavari di anti di anti

the second s

served an over address of the source and the source and

the same in the second has present on 1 and the second part of A set of a second se

the statement of an T 2007 man to set to set an an and the ball

a contract a state of a state

Start, the site for the ory as the start and the start as the start has been

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10247</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to St. Paul's Lutheran Church to erect approximately 170 linear feet of 6-foot high chain link fence on front and side lot lines in front of setback line for the purpose of enclosing parochial shoool playground, on Lots 26 through 30, Block 186, Pacific Beach, west of parsonage at 1372 Felspar Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated March 18 , 19 52

By_

Application Received By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $3 - \sqrt{-\sqrt{2}}$ By	At Landt, Jones South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-V-JL	Hearing date 3-5-5-
Decision could appr	Date 3 - 5 - 5 - 2
Decision could appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-19-J²</u>	Building Inspector <u>3-21-5-2</u>
Planning Commission 3-21-5-2 Petitioner	3-19-52 Health Department 3-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A ME AN A CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION AND A DESCRIPTION AND A DESCRIPTION AND A

in the second of the second of

a second s

and the bar with the second states in the second states and the second states and the

the set of the present strange and a set a set of the set of the

1747

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10306</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. W. Kettenburg, Sr., owner, and Ector and Julia K. De Duc, purchasers, to erect one single family residence and garage on Westerly 75 feet of Lot 2, Block 118, La Playa, Southeast corner of Kellogg and San Gorgonio Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated March 19

By

, 19 52

Application Received 2-27-52 By F.W. mc Connect
City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1052 By Ellen Jones & South
Investigation made MAR 19 1057 By tillen, Thes K South
READ 10 1057 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1952 Hearing date MAR 19 1052
Data MARE 1 A 1000
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-12 Building Inspector 3-31-52
Planning Commission 5 - 1/ - Petitioner 3 - 00 - 5 2 Health Department 5 - 21 - 5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the second state and a second second

18 ar 11

.

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10474</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Floyd and Annabel Cox to split out parcel of land being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1785 and erect single family residence thereon, 5295 Alta Vista Street, Zone R-1: legal description on file in Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated March 19 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received <u>3-12-52</u> B	y <u>J. Yglesias</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 19 1°52</u> B	y <u>Louet</u> Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1952 Decision Apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-20-52</u> Building Inspector <u>3-21-52</u> Planning Commission <u>3-21-52</u> Petitioner <u>3-20-52</u> Health Department <u>3-21-52</u>	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 1 1 1 1

1.

- - -

1

191.86 67 . 1 1 2 N a far the state of the second second second 5 . Stort States and an and the set of the states and the set of the s 1 and any report of the property of the second second 2 A V TA L 15 TA 57. à 1 V 4 100

Service and a service and

Letter dated 3-6-52

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5865, dated 9-7-51, be granted to John M. and Marjorie E. Skaling to divide the West 100 feet of the North 147 feet of Lot 11, into two parcels, each to be 50 ft. by 147 ft., with right to erect single family residence on each parcel. Block 12, Encanto Heights, South side of Broadway, 100 feet West of 63rd Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated March 19 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received 3-6-52 B	y_ER
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeMAR 19 1952 B	y Laudt, Jones - South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee R 19 1052	Hearing date MAR 19 1052
Desigion at the	Date MAR 10 1059
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-5	2 Building Inspector 3 - 21-52
Planning Commission 3-21-12 Petitioner	3-20-52 Health Department 3-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and and the second states we in addition of the second states and the second states and the

where the statement is a safe the end of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the

the second s

Repair 1

,

and the second of the second second second second

and the state of the

Letter dated 2-19-52

WHEREAS, Application No. 2020 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5806, dated August 22, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 5360, Dated March 7, 1951, be granted to Amos Cendali, Sr., owner, and First Friends Church, Frank Green, Clerk, purchaser, to construct a church, Sunday School rooms, and parsonage on the Easterly 300 feet of that portion of the South 200 feet of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 34, T 16S, R 2W, S.B.M., lying Westerly of 54th Street, Zone R-1, provided they dedicate a strip of land 40 feet in depth entirely across the front of their property for the widening of 54th Street, and provided surfaced or graveled parking area for not less than 60 cars be maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184, New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated March 19

FORM 2145

By_

1c

1

Application Received _ 2 - 2 0 - 1 - 2	By Mail
Tippineaettin	City Planning Department
Investigation madeMAR 19 1952	By Landt, Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19	1952 Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision appr	DateMAR 19 1952 J^2 Building Inspector $3-21-5-2$ ner $3-20-5^2$ Health Department $3-21-5-2$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	2-52 Building Inspector 3-21-5-2
Planning Commission 3-21-52 Petition	ner 3 - 20 - J ² Health Department 3 - 21 - J ²
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second second

the second s

.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1

And the second second second

1 2 2 0

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10384</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Whitney and Dela H. Waterman to split Lot 13 into two parcels, one with 40 ft. frontage, the second with 54.47 ft. frontage on Dellcrest Lane, Dellcrest, according to plat on file in City Planning Office, and erect single family residence on each parcel, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ March 19 , ¹⁹ 52

By.

Res. No. 6290
pplication Received <u>3-10-52</u> westigation made <u>MAR 19 1952</u> onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>MAR 19</u> ecision opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-2</u> anning Commission <u>3-21</u> <u>52</u> Petitic ppeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>ecision of Council</u> esolution becomes effective pplication withdrawn ime limit extended to	Date Date Date Counci Date Contin Date o	City Ing date MAR 19 19 Ing Inspector Ing Inspector Heal I Hearing, I nued to of action	Jore Planning D MAR 19 52 r <u>3-2</u> th Depar date	31	
ponsidered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 ecision opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 2$ anning Commission $3 - 2/2$ Petitic ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective polication withdrawn	1952 Hearin Date Date Date Counci Date Contin Date o	City ng date MAR 19 19 ng Inspector ->> Heal il Hearing, ued to of action	Jore Planning D MAR 19 52 r <u>3-2</u> th Depar date	repartment 1952	
ponsidered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 ecision opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 2$ anning Commission $3 - 2/2$ Petitic ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective polication withdrawn	1952 Hearin Date Date Date Counci Date Contin Date o	City ng date MAR 19 19 ng Inspector -> > Heal il Hearing, nued to of action	Planning D MAR 19 52 r th Depar date	repartment 1952	
ecision opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 \sim 2$ anning Commission $3 - 2/2$ Petitic ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective polication withdrawn	Date Date Date Counci Date Contin Date o	MAR 19 19 mg Inspector il Hearing, ued to of action	52 r <u>3 - 2</u> th Depar date	1 - 1 - 2 tment 3	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective polication withdrawn	Counci Date Contin Date o	il Hearing,	h 6 .0 8	31	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective polication withdrawn	Counci Date Contin Date o	il Hearing,	h 6 .0 8	31	
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date ecision of Council esolution becomes effective polication withdrawn	Counci Date Contin Date o	il Hearing,	h b .08	21	
esolution becomes effective	Contin Date o	of action		, drap	
polication withdrawn	Date o	of action		, drap	
The mint extended to		est.		, drap	
e time te the				, drap	
e transformer				, drap	4994
E Line Line A				, drap	4
in the second second				, drap	981191
to the second second				, drap	General I
in the second seco					661.71
100-28					
				the end	
					5
upper all and which is a countration	2 P L L	and the c	2.112.1	Two. Por	· m
in the the the second		4 2 2 2 2			
	Sales na	124	£ 1.20 1.2	for marine	1 1 20
free and an appendix				60	1
A MORE AND A MARKED AND A MARKED AND A	1	-10'20	2	je	
5				4	
	11			19-	1999 P
in the second se				1	
	1. p		t		minare la
	16.69-				
and the second sec	e/				
	/) [
×		\			
	Serie	1			
	S. C. C. C. C. C.	7/15			
			CL.	. 55.01	oT -
		-			
	VIII -	fin et e			
	/				

may a set ward

WHEREAS, Apprention No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6130, dated January 23, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Helen K. Hull, owner, and Fred B. and Elizabeth W. Waters and Charles E. and Barbara D. Hart, purchasers, to divide the portion of Pueblo Lot 175 as shown by legal description on file in City Planning Office, into two parcels and erect a single family residence on each parcel, one parcel to be served by a 15-foot easement to a dedicated street, first parcel south of SO4 Rosecrans, Zone R-1; and to permit excavation of approximately 1,000 cu. yd. of sandstone and loam on the west 100 feet of the north 116.5 feet of Pueblo Lot 175, to be hauled away or used as fill, on condition that no cut deeper than 2 feet below the adjoining lot or lots be permitted closer than 4 feet from the adjoining property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 19 , 19<u>52</u> Dated_

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received	By
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 19 1952</u>	By allen, Ames & South
BRAD TA A	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	DZ Hearing date MAK 19 1 52
Decision approx. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-21-</u>	Date MAR 1 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-21-	DeBuilding Inspector 3-21-12
Planning Commission 3-21-12 Petitioner	r 3-21-V-2 Health Department 3-21-V-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

at the second of a state of the

.

. . .

8

.

and the second

4

6, ...

4

· Vie (+. -) () ve

2

S. D. L. L. H. Z. C. L. L.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10401</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard Steinwinter to divide Lot 11, Beverly Heights Addition into three building sites, each having over 100 ft. frontage and each having over 15,000 sq. ft. on Rhoda Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____March 19

By_

, 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y <u>T. Ygleckes</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 1 9 1952</u> B	y Landt, Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 10 Decision Appro- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-52	Hearing date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 152 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 3-21-12 Petitioner	3-20-12 Health Department 3-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The state of

the second second

interview in the property of the property

the second second and second second

the second state and the second of the state of the Second Second

LAND A MARKED AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10399</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. D. Wier to operate a barbershop off the lobby in Hotel Marlin Inn, Block 59, Roseville, 2930 Fenelon, Zone R-4, on condition there will be no exterior signs.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated <u>March 19</u>, 19<u>52</u>

By_

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6293

2

Application Received 2 - 2 - 6 - 5	2 By T. Aglesiae
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Soudt, Jones South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR	19 1 52 Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision abov.	Date MAR 19 1.52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3	-20-52-Building Inspector 3-21-12
Planning Commission 3-21-12 Pet	itioner 3-20-12 Health Department 3-21-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second of the second o

and the second of the second price of the seco

. or owned along when

The second second to an approximation of the second

-

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10466</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul R. and Gladys E. Lovett to construct single family residence on 50 ft. by 105 ft. parcel, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 186, legal description on file in Planning Office, 925 Rosecrans, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

1e

Page 13 19 (1996) (1996) (1996)	
Application Received 3-10	- 52 By 2. Ygleeine City Planning Department
ERAD TA TAP	
Investigation made MAR 19 195	By Full Jones + South
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	AR 19 1952 Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision apper.	DateMAR 1 9 1052
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	rk <u>3-20</u> Building Inspector <u>3-21-5-2</u> Petitioner <u>3-20-52</u> Health Department <u>3-21-52</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 3/- 1 2	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action
	Bessemer
	3
2	
and the second second second	X
	0
Turner and the second s	7 3 3
K	
	- 12
2	
	and the second second to be the the first second second second
C	
V	
N	
	and the second
	The second

1.

TANGLE AND THE PART OF A LONG TO

1 Link to

٠

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10402</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Otto Jander to convert front residence to threefamily apartment, making a total of four units on property, two units to be served by a 9-foot access court, Lot 3, Block 6, Florence Heights, 3971 Albatross, Zone C, subject to plans being approved by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19<u>5</u>2

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received By	D. South City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952 By	
Considered by Zoning Committee AR 19 1952	Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision course appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-52 Planning Commission 3-21-52 Petitioner 3	Date MAR 19 1952 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 3 - 21- 52 Petitioner 3	B-20 - 12 Health Department 3-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and and a second sec Second second

and a second The party is a second second

The same is a first weight and proper care reproduced by a solar of the second and particular particular

the second se

stoppers be the price state of the state of the state

Magazan and a second

· All of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10377</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That permission is hereby DENIED to George C. and Ruth H. Allison, and Pete T. and Mary Pierotti to split lot into two parcels, each 40 ft. by 135 ft., and erect two living units on each parcel, being Lot 14, Block C, Garden Grove, southwest corner 47th and C Streets, Zone R-2, as this division would create lots smaller than others in this vicinity.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19<u>52</u>

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Application Received _2 - 2 8 - J - 2	By <u>T.</u> <u>Yglescas</u> City Planning Department
Investigation madeMAR 19 1052	By doudt Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 Decision Denied	1052Hearing date MAR 19 1052
Decision	Date min 15 1004
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	Building Inspector <u>3-21-5</u>
Planning Commission 3-21-5 2 Petition	Building Inspector $3 - 2 $
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Taxa a to a to a to a to a

- - 4 God - 18 TH

person where the state of the second s

and a second second

a water a star

The second second

12 67 1

01pt

Ask -

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10382</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to I. E. Bramlett to erect single family residence on North 50 ft. of Lots 1, 2, 3, and fractional Lot 4, Block 10, 1st Addition to Pacific Beach Vista Tract and North 50 ft. of fractional Lot 4, Block 10, Monte Villa Tract at Southeast corner of Van Nuys and Cass Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6297

FORM 2145

1. 3

Application Received 2-28-52	By 7 in. mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 19 1052</u>	By Landt, Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1	5?Hearing dateMAK 19 1052
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	Date MAR 7 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	_ Building Inspector _ 3 - 21 - 5 2
Planning Commission 3-21-52 Petitioner	3-20-52 Health Department 3-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

La state prove contract of a state and the

5 4 4 1. 15 1. 14

THE L TET IL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10352</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Monroe and Odessa Hardaway to establish beauty shop in building in rear of home, with one sign and one person employed, on Lot 1, Block B, El Nido, 705 So. 33rd Street, Zone R-4, as there would be no hardship involved.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

appealed april 3rd

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19<u>52</u>

By_

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received _ 2 - 29 - 52	By Fu mª Connel
rr	City Planning Department
Investigation madeMAR 1 9 1952	By <u>Loudt</u> Jouce South City Planning Department
	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 195 Decision Denies	2 Hearing date MAR 19 1052
Decision Denied	Date MAR 19 1.02
Coor of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-1	2 Building Inspector 3-21-12
Planning Commission 3 - 21-52 Petitioner	3-20-52 Health Department 3- 21- 5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the a start of the literation of the

·

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10364</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Bart J. and Betty Jean Comer to erect two story 4-unit apartment, 3 units to be served by 4 ft. access court and 1 unit served by 7 ft. access court, with off-street parking for 3 cars provided, Lot F, Block 60, Mission Beach, San Fernando Place, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance N . 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, "insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19_52 FORM 2145 By.

Secretary Res. No. 6299

RI 308

Application Received _ 2 - 2 9 - 1 2	By City Planning Department
	0 0 City I lanning Department
Investigation madeMAR 1 9 1952	By Louah Jones + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning CommitteeMAR 19 19	04 Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision appr.	Date MAR 19 192
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	Building Inspector 3-21-52
Planning Commission 3-21-42 Petitione	r 3-20-12 Health Department 3-21-12
Append filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

har she was a part of the second s

AND REAL PROPERTY.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10403</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J. I. Oldfield to split the South 245.5 feet of the southwest 1/4 of Pueblo Lot 104 into four parcels, two parcels to be served by a 20-foot easement from Catalina Blvd., according to plans on file in City Planning Office, 367 Catalina Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, and 8924, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 1952

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received $2 - 29 - 5^{-2}$	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1 52	By Allen, Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19	1952 Hearing date
Decision appending of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	Date MAR 19 1952 Building Inspector 3-21-5-2
Diamping (Ommission - 1/-12 Petitio	ner 5-20-52 Health Department 5-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Decolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

101-11-11-11



