

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10411</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. E. and Ada D. Zigler, owner, and Raymond L. Pursley and Joan Diamond, purchasers, to split the Easterly 145 feet of the North 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4 of Lot 29, except the East 40 feet and the north 30 feet, into two building sites, each 67.93 feet by 105 feet, and erect single family residence on each parcel, Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands, Southeast corner Euclid Ave. and A St., Zone R-1, on condition that no other division is made unless it is filed as a subdivision at that time.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Readed 3-28-52 Unit Will Co

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 19 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6301

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received $3 - 4 - \sqrt{2}$ B	y 2. a. m = Connell
••	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 757 B	y Landt Jones & South City Planning Department
- AVCSLIGATION ME	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 105 Decision Appril Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-11	Hearing date MAR 19 1052
Decision approved Clark Barris	Dalt mini 10 1.00
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2017	Building Inspector 3 21 -0 2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second

1.24

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10406</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard Phillips to construct single family residence with 10 ft. setback on Roswell Street, Lot 20, Block 13, Beverly, between Roswell and Winston Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 19

Dated_

FORM 2145

By

____, 19 **5**2

No.

Application Received $3 - 4 - 52$ B	y T. Ygleecac City Planning Department
	5
Investigation made MAR 19 1952 B	Landt Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1059	Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision appr	Date MAK 19 1932
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20	Building Inspector 3-21-52
Planning Commission 3 - 21 -12Petitioner	3-20-12 Health Department 3-21-J2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the the threat the endershelds

a a second at the part of the second at the

- - · ·

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10443</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lester and Margit Leeser to erect an 8 ft. high new corrugated galvanized metal fence to enclose area being added to existing auto wrecking yard, Lots 6 and 7, Block 180, Mannasse and Schiller Addition, 1600 Legan Avenue, Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19_52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6303

就

FORM 2145

Application Received	3-5-52	Bv	mail
Application Received .			City Planning Department
Investigation made	MAR 1 9 1952	By_	Gaudt, Jones of South
		-	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee MAR 1	9 1.95	learing date MAR 19 1952
Decision appr	-		Pate MAR 19 157 Building Inspector 3 3 1 - 1 2
Copy of Resolution ser	nt to City Clerk 3-20	-JTE	Building Inspector <u>3-91-12</u>
Planing Commission	3-21-56Petitio	ner 5	20 - 2 Liealth Department 3 21. J2
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	(Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		I	Date
Resolution becomes et	fective		
Application withdrawn	1		Continued to
Time limit extended to	0	I	Date of action

and the second second

such a set and the set of the set

the second second second second second

RESOLUTION NO. 106159

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of James Woodard and Hazel M. Woodard, 3829 Hemlock Street, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6304, application No. 10429, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, to convert attached garage into a beauty shop, on Lot D, Block 4, Sierra Park, 3829 Hemlock Street, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true is the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by sa	e, and correct copy of Resolution No. d Council <u>APR 3 - 19</u> FRED W. SICK	06159
ACORH FORM 1270	Donald L. Steinert By	City Clerk. Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10429</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to James and Hazel M. Woodard to convert attached garage into a beauty shop, on Lot D, Block 4, Sierra Park, 3529 Hemlock Street, Zone R-4, as there were no extenuating circumstances.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

appealed april 3rd).

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6304

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y <u>7. w. m & Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952 B	y Landt Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1052 Decision Dericed	Hearing date <u>MAR 19 1952</u> Date <u>MAR 19 1952</u> Building Inspector <u>3-21-122</u>
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

2 Souther shall starts a start -

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

10419 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will mot be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, of Lot 61 N. 240 ft. of Ely 120 ft. Block That the following described property, Lot. Horton's Purchase, Southwest corner 47th and Logan Ave., Zone C & R-4 Subdivision Samuel Baris 7-unit motel and owner's residence at may be used for the erection and operation of rear of Drive-In Cafe _____ subject to the following conditions that the parking area be paved according to plans submitted. profile schemen diteries Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

By

City of San Diego, California

March 19 1952

Alter ON THE MERICAL AND

Dated

Application Received 3-5-52	By D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952	By Loust Jones Houth
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 1.9.1.52 Decision approx Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-20-J²</u>	Hearing date MAR 1.9 1952
Decision appu.	Date MAR 19 1052
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-J2	Building Inspector 3-21-52
Planning Commission 3-2/-52 Petitioner.	3 - 20 -1-2 Health Department 3 - 21 - 5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the ball of the stand of D Ned select in to

The second and a reason the sources information for and the

investor transfer, united to spontation structure to a

THE ARE THE STATE AND CONTRACTION TO A DUCK OF

The name is the state of the

tran-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10444</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Francis L. and Mary H. Dunn to erect a single family living unit above an existing non-conforming garage with zero sideyard, on Lots 37 and 33, Block 43, City Heights, 4056 - 42nd Street, Zone R-4. Jiving quarters to observe 3-foot side yard. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

By_

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6306

Application Received $3 - 6 - 5^{-2}$	_ By _ J . W. m <u>Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952	By Land Flanning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2	City Planning Department Hearing date <u>MAR 19 1952</u> Date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 1952 Department <u>3-21-12</u> Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

1. 10177

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10416</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy E. and Zelma M. Bishop to erect a duplex in rear of single family residence, making a total of three units on the property, two units to be served with a 5-foot access court, Lot 23, Block 2, National Highlands, 712 S. 45th Street, Zone R-4, on condition that two paved parking spaces be provided in the rear of the duplex.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19<u>52</u>

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6307

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-6-52 By	7. w. m - Comell
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952 By	Laudt, Jones + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
C : 1 and by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1052	Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Decision appendix to City Clerk 3-20-J2 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-J2	Date MAR 19 1002
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20-52	Building Inspector <u>3-21-V</u>
Di Commission J-d/-V Perifioner	- 20 - 0 C Health Department S - 1 0 2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. C. C.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10445</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph De Sloge to construct addition with no setback to a residence with a 9 ft. setback on Lot 9, Block 1A, La Jolla Hermosa, 6114 Camino de la Costa, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6308

FORM 2145

Application Received	3-7-52	By J. Yglescas
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	MAR 19 1052	_ By handt, Jones & South
		City/Planning Department
Desision		<u>B 1952</u> Hearing date <u>MAR 19 1052</u> DateMAR 19 1052
Decision	the City Clarks 3 -	30-J2-Building Inspector 3-21-J2
Copy of Resolution set	at to City Clerk	Dunding Inspector
Planning Commission	3-21-12 Petit	tioner 3.20-12 Health Department 3-21- 12
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes ef	fective	
Application withdrawn	n	Continued to
Time limit extended to)	Date of action

The second s

The second second

*

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10920</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Rosella Van Emelen to erect single family residence with attached garage making 3 units on lot, served with 8 foot access court, Lots 26 and 27, Block 4, Resub Lots 7 and 17 Block N, Teralta, 4112 - 34th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Not 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

K

Application Received _ 3 - 7 - J	2 By J. Yglesia
	City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 1 9 1952	By Loudt Jones South City Planning Department
a cougar a	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR	19 1.52 Hearing date _ 11AD 7 9 1057
Decision appr	Date MAR 19 192
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 5-2/-12 Pe	titioner 3 - 2 - V Health Department 3 - 2/- V 2
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.

a ser anna anna an sharan an

The second second and the second the

and a survey of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10389</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

P ermission is hereby granted to D. F. Morrison to build single family dwelling on Westerly 60 feet of Lot 32, Euclid Manor, La Paz Drive, Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

> > Secretary

Dated <u>March 19</u>

FORM 2145

By_

_ , 1952_

R

Application Received	Bv	L. Baughman
		City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952	By	Loudt Jones & South
-		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19	1952 F	Hearing date MAR 19 1052 COMPANY
Decision are pro-	I	Date MAR 19 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-2	10-12 H	Building Inspector 3-21-52
Planning Commission 3-21-52 Petitic	oner 3	Date MAK 19 1952 Building Inspector <u>3-21-52</u> Health Department 3-41-52 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	(Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	1	Date
Resolution becomes effective	144.9	
Application withdrawn	and the second se	Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

and the first of the second second as

the second of the second state of the second state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10393</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

P ermission is hereby granted to Dr. Francis and Beryl Holland, owner, and Thomas C. Burnham, purchaser, to construct single family residence with 2 foot setback on Runnymeade Lane, North 182 ft. of Lot 15, Block 6, Golden Park, North end of Runnymeade Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19<u>52</u> FORM 2145 By

Application Received _ 2-26 - 5-2	By Koester City Planning Department
Investigation madeMAR 19 1252	_ By <u>Loudt</u> pres & South City/Planning Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	1052 Hearing date MAR 19 1952
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

* _____

,

the second of the second second of the second second

the second of the second of the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10392</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Christina Lepel to construct single family residence and attached garage in front of existing duplex, making three units on lot, duplex to have a 10 ft. access court to street, on Lots 25 and 26, Block 7, La Jolla Strand, 6662 Electric Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinandes No. 8924, Section SA, and 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received $2 - 2 - 2$	J-2 By A. Koester
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation madeMAR 19 1952	By Loust Jones + South City Planning Department
investigation meet	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	AR 19 1052Hearing date MAR 19 1952
	Date 110 1 0 1052
Decision approved to City Clerk	Date MAR 19 1952 3-20-J2-Building Inspector 3-21-V-2 Petitioner 3-21-V-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Petitioner 3-20-5 Health Department 3-21-052
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Kesolution becomes encours	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	

and the part man & provide the providence which prove a

Faile and the second the second of any rest portain

and a start of the second start of the second

÷

C+ 1833 9

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isabel Bedford to move or construct single family residence and garage on Lots 23, 24 and 25, with no street frontage, in Block C, Arlington, Acacia Street and 37th, Zone R-4, on condition that a paved or black-topped 20-foot easement be provided across Lot 22.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19<u>52</u>

By_

FORM 2145

V

Application Received 2-28-52	By <u>J. Jalescas</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1752	By Loudt Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 Decision $xppr$, conde. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20 Planning Commission $3 - 21 - 3$ Petition	Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

- W Plan at a second building pa

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10454</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hillcrest Church of Christ to add two Sunday School rooms to existing church with 8 ft. $1^3/4$ inch. rear yard and 3 ft. sideyard, Lot A and North 25 ft. Lot B, Block 382, Horton's Addition, Southeast corner First Ave. and Thorn Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated a bove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

P.S.

Application Received _3-7-52	By T. Yglecico City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1 Decision appen Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-20</u>	952 Hearing date MAR 19 152 Date MAR 19 1 -J ² Building Inspector <u>3-21-552</u> her 3-20-552 Health Department <u>3-21-552</u> Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

. . .

2

÷

6 K. 6 K. 1

.

a same through the opposite sources it are the basis

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10362</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Blanche Booth to operate contractor's office and storage in warehouse, Lot 5, Block 43, Resub. of Blocks 41-48, Fairmount Addn. to City Heights, Fract 1368, 50192 El Cajon Elvd., Zone C, on condition that all material and equipment be stored within a building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19_52

10

By_

Application Received _ 2 - 2 9 - 5 - 2	By 7 W m connell,
	City Flanning Department
Investigation made MAR 19 1952	By Lout Jones South
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1	052 Hearing date 191952
Decision appr	Date MAR 19 1992 Building Inspector <u>3 - 21 - 52</u>
Copy of Perclution sent to City Clerk 3.20	Building Inspector 3 - 21 - 52
Pla Comparing School 2 Perilion	her 5 20 - Chealth Department 5 2 21 21-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
A sub la	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

See See

20 2 2 2

,

i.

. .

- 1- Case

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10488</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. T. McNarney, purchaser, and Mary S. Sawyer, owner, to erect garage with 2 foot setback from Virginia Way, north of Prospect Place, being portion Lots 27 to 30, Block 45, La Jolla Park, legal description on file in Planning Office, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 19 52 Dated_____, 19____

By

FORM 2145

0

Secretary Res. No. 6316

P. 350

Application Received By	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made MAR 19 1052 By By Kouch, Jones City Planning Department	South
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 19 1052 Hearing date MAR 19 1952 Decision AMMA	
Decision appen. Date MAR 19 1932	
City Clark Revision Inconstant	2
Copy of Resolution sent to City ClerkBuilding Inspector Planning Commission 3 // ~ Petitioner 3-20 -/ 2 Health Department 3	-21-52
Planning Commission	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Bate	
Decision of Council Bate Bate	
Application withdrawn Eentinged to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

· .

20

--- · .2

a so finde per and here , and

The design of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10436</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Burton A. and Nellie E. Adams to convert first floor of residence into living quarters, making two living units on lot, the Northeasterly 6 ft. of Lot 1 and the Southwesterly 65 ft. of Lot 2, Block 506, Old San Diego, 4225 Arista Street, Zone R-1; on condition that the second unit be used only by members of the immediate family and not to be rented, to be removed after a period of one year from date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 1952

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received $3 - 11 - 5^2$	By T. Iglesias
	/ City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>MAR 19 1952</u>	By Landt, Jones & South
- confation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee MAR 1.9 195	2 Hearing date Date MAR 19 1952 2 Building Inspector <u>3-21-52</u> Council Hearing date
Decision and appr	Date MAR 1.9 1952
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-5	2 Building Inspector <u>3-21-52</u>
Planning Commission 3 - 2 / - 1-2 Petitioner	3 20 - 52 Health Department 3 - 21 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second

a stand to set the start

· · · · Lo Lo L · · · · · ·
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10456</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George R. Oake to erect duplex crossing lot lines where existing residence crosses lot lines on South 15 feet Lot 36, all Lot 37. Block 79, Park Villas, 3648 Arno,d Street, Zone R-4 and R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 19 , 19 52

By_

0

Application Received 3-10-5	2 By J. Yglesias City Planning Department
Investigation made MAR 1 9 19	
estigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	R 19 1°52 Hearing date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 1952
Decision appo.	Date Mrin 1 J 1002
Copy of Paralution sent to City Clerk	3-20-52 Building Inspector 3-21-52
Planning Commission 3-21-1-2	Petitioner 3-20-V-2 Health Department 3-21-V-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

and a second a second a

and the state of the set of the set

. . . .

🗧 🖓 da da 🕹 🖓

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10449</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Barbara L. Dane to split out parcel of land and erect single family residence, on portion of Lot 18, Loma Heights, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, east side of Niagara, 150 feet southeast of Venice, Zone R-1, on condition that a sidewalk is constructed in front of residence within six months from date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received ______ By____ By____ By_____ Baughman City Planning Department MAR 19 1052 _ By Soudt nea Investigation made _ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning CommitteeMAR 19 1052 Hearing date MAR Date MAR 19 1.52 MAR 1 Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-20-52 Building Inspector 31 Planning Commission 3-21-12 Petitioner 3 -20-52 Health Department 3-21 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to _ Vanice Ft. 150 0 E 5 D CaTALINA BLJ.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10415</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Purcell and Edress Webb to erect a duplex with 4-foot access court, making a total of three units on lot, Lot 11, Block 52, Ocean Beach, 4856 Niegara, Zone R-4, on condition that three off-street parking spaces, paved, be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 1952

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received $3 - 12 - 3$	<u></u> By <u>T. Yalesias</u> City Planning Department
	152 By Loudt Jones + South
Considered by Zoning CommitteeMA	<u>R 19 1952</u> Hearing date MAR 19 1952 Date MAR 19 1952
Planning Commission 3-2/3/2	Date MAR 19 1952 <u>x 3-20-5</u> Building Inspector <u>3-21-52</u> Petitioner <u>3-20-57</u> Health Department <u>3-21-572</u> Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. A

r. - A

,

• •

Comment of the property in

where the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10170</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert A. Frost, Jr. to divide North 75 feet of Lot 3, Block 166, La Playa into two parcels and have right to erect single family residence on east parcel, 3015 Rogers Street, Zone R-1, East parcel with 87.34 ft. street frontage and the West parcel with 62.22 ft. street frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 19</u>, 1952

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received	3-10-52	Bv	g. w. m connell
-ppileation Received -	and a second		City Planning Department
Investigation made	MAR 19 1^52	By	Landt, Jones + South
evestigation made		1000	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee MAR 13	1 1994	City Planning Department Iearing date MAR 19 1 52
	to City Clerk 3-	20-52	Building Inspector 3-21-52
Planning Commission	3.21-52 Petitic	oner 3	· Lo - 5 2 Health Department 3 - 2) - 5 2
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Ι	Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective		
Application withdrawn		(Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		the second s	Date of action

1152

te de l'autor terre de la completa de la serie de la s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10364</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6299, dated March 19, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Bart J. and Betty Jean Comer to erect two story 4-unit apartment, two units to be served by a 4-foot access court and two units to be served by a 7-foot access court, with off-street parking for three cars provided, Lot F, Block 60, Mission Beach, San Fernando Place, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 25 , 1952

By_

FORM 2145

0

 \checkmark

Application Received	2 By DE. South City Planning Department
	By Laugh Jones South
Considered by Zoning Committee 3-19 Decision appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk I Planning Commission 7-25-15-Pe	9-52 Hearing date <u>3-19-52</u> Date <u>3-19-52</u> <u>-25-5-</u> Building Inspector <u>3-25-5</u> titioner <u>3-25-52</u> Health Department <u>3-25-12</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

41 1 1 1 1

a sold all a start for some

1

- 5

10459 WHEREAS, Application No.____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin Construction Company to divide portion of Pueblo ot 1297 into three building sites, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, between Camino del Callado and Calle Corta, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 2 , 19 52 Dated

0

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6323

P.352

Application Received 3-10-52 B	y Marl
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1052 B	y Landt Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR Z 105	2Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision appr.	Date AFR Z 1002
Decision approved to City Clerk 4-3-5	Building Inspector <u>4 - 4 - 4 - 2</u>
Planning Commission 4-4-5 Petitioner	4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

£

and the first particular the second of the

RESOLUTION No. 111727

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That an extension of six (6) months from the expiration of permit granted under Resolution No. 106358, adopted April 17, 1952, and extended for six (6) months by Resolution No. 109157, adopted November 13, 1952, is hereby granted to Mrs. LauralMitchell, 3617 Myrtle Avenue for the construction of a single-family residence with 25-foot setback on Brocklyn Avenue on the east 70 feet of the south 150 feet of Block 4, Larchmont, at 5958 Brooklyn Avenue, in Zone R-4, with a 15-foot setback on 60th Street. This extension is to be the final extension, in accordance with the recommendation of the Gity Plenning Commission.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>111727</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>April 23, 1953</u>

	FRED 7	f a	SICCity Clerk
By	HELEN	M.	WILLIG
Бу			Deputy.

A.M.



5 53 53 83 FT 53

RESOLUTION NO. 106358

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Murray F. Skelton and Esther Skelton, owners, and Venus L. Mitchell, purchaser, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6324, application No. 10417, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to erect a single family residence with a 15 foot setback on Brooklyn Avenue, where average of block is 33 fest, on the east 70 feet of the south 150 feet of Block 4, Larchmont, 5958 Brooklyn Avenue, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained on the condition that a 25 foot setback is maintained on Brooklyn Avenue and a 15 foot setback is maintained on 60th Street; and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above t	o be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.	100358
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as	s adopted by said Council	1004
	Donald L. Steinert	City Clerk.
ACOLH FORM 1270	Ву	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10417</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Murray F. and Esther Skelton, owners, and Venus L. Mitchell, purchaser, to erect a single family residence with a 15 ft. setback on Brooklyn Ave., where average of block is 33 ft., East 70 feet of the South 150 feet of Block 4, Larchmont, 5958 Brooklyn Ave., Zone R-4, as there were no extenuating circumstances to warrant the granting of a setback less than the average setback of the block.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed 4-8-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6324

P.164

By

Application Received	_ By J. Yglesias
 The second devices in the Decision is the second second second second second devices product address second se	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 2 1952</u>	_ By <u>Loudt</u> , Padgett +South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2	1952Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision denied	Date APR 2 1952 3-12 Building Inspector 4-4-1-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-	3-12 Building Inspector 4-4-12
Planning Commission 4-4-52Petiti	ioner 4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	second the shear by make and think must attack
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second s

and a state of the second second

crass c

a a lot of the property and the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10457</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur T. Wood to split out portion of Pueblo Lot 1297, per legal description on filer in Planning Office, and have right to erect a single family residence thereon . North side of Calle Corta 100 feet East of La Jolla Shores Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52

By_

P.352

100

FORM 2145

Application Received .	3-17-	52 B	3v d. 13	aughman
I produced received .				City Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 2	1°52 E	3v_ Lands	+, Pedgett + South
				City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee	APR 2 10	57Hearing dat	e APR 2 1052
Decision spor		lief fee felore (177	Date APR	9 1059
Copy of Resolution set	nt to City Cle	rk 4-3-5	² Building Ins	spector 4/4/15-2
Planning Commission	4-4-53	Petitioner	4/2/5-2	2 1952 $4/4/5^2$ Spector $4/4/5^2$ Health Department $4/4/5^2$ uring, date
			_ Council Hea	ring, date
Council			Date	
Collition becomes et	tective			
Application withdrawn	1		Continued to	0
Time limit extended to)		Date of acti	on

the second second

that the second and the

should be a should be all press when he saw (the

1

7

a hand the thet

the state of the state of

the second se

Letter dated March 19, 1952

WHEREAS, Application/No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5888 dated September 19, 1951 be granted to Walter and Margaret Mentze to construct single family residence with portion of residence to have 20 foot rear yard, Lot 73, Collwood Park, Pontiac Street approximately 260 feet East of Rockford Drive. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

P. 20

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 2 _____, 19___52

Secretary Res. No. 6326

加

FORM 2145

Application Received _	3-19	-5-	2	_ By	mail	
-pplication Received _					C	ity Planning Department
Investigation made	APR		1952	By	Landt.	Padyett + South
		ADD	e)	1052	Ċ	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee	MEN	ho .	1306	Hearing date	APR 2 1052
Decision	a . a		12.2	-0-1	Date APR Z	1952
Copy of Resolution sent	to City C 4 - 4 - 3	$erk _$	titio	ner	Building Inspective $4 - 3 - 52$ H	ctor $4 - 4 - 5^{-2}$ lealth Department $4 - 4 - 5^{-2}$
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date				Council Hearin	ig, date
Decision of Council	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Date	and the she was spectal de
Resolution becomes effe	ective					The second second second second
Application withdrawn					Continued to	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to					Date of action	

a mbi 🥌 a - nalbă ne

-

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

the second second to be and the second secon

the by the second of the second second second second second by the backwards of the second se

A provide the second state of the line of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10438</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Salvatore and Maria Crivello to construct a twofamily unit at rear of single family residence, making a total of three units on property, the two-unit apartment having a 6 ft. 4 inch. access court, Let 2, Block 60. Middletown, 2255 State Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

p.3

Application Received _	3-16-52	By _ 7. m & Connell City Planning Department
Ppiloution Received _	- 2080	
Te e e e	APR 2 1952	_ By LanARD+2 AB32 gett + South
Investigation made		
Considered by Zoning	Committee APR 2	City Planning Department Hearing date Date APRZ 3-52-Building Inspector <u>4-4-52</u> oner <u>4-3-52</u> Health Department <u>4-4-52</u>
opy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk <u>4</u> -	3 - 2 Building Inspector
ADDeal filed with City (lerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Collition becomes ett	ective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

. .

Manager A days for the

An tax of a second part of

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

the second s

Start Start In Carl

. and summing the

1 1 1 1 02 1 1 1 1 day

the second second

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

Letter dated March 21, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5931 dated October 3, 1951 and Resolution No. 5932, dated October 3, 1951 be granted to Theodore M. Jacobs to construct addition to existing dental office with 6 ft. setback, and 4 ft. rear yard and 66% coverage on Lots A and B, Block 388, Horton's Addition, 3355 - 4th Avenue, Zone R-4, on conditions that the existing two-story building to which this addition will be added is altered and used only as a dental office or lounge or similar accessory use, and shall not be used in any part as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 and Ordn. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 2

By.

P. F

____, 19⁵²

Ic.

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received	3-24-	52	By mail
ppileation Received			City Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 2	1052	By Landt, Padgett South City Planning Department
svestigation made			City Planning Department
Considered 1 Zaming Co	mmittee	4-2-5	Hearing date APR 2 1059
Decision 1 h hr.	J		Date APR 2 1052
COpy of Desilving cont to	o City Cler	k 4-3 V	Building Inspector 4-4-12
Planning Commission 4	-4-52	Petitioner	Date APR 2 1952 Building Inspector <u>4-4-52</u> 4-3-52 Health Department <u>4-4-52</u> Council Hearing date
· IDool fil. 1 · 1 Court 10	rk date		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	, ====		Date Date de la comparte de la compa
Resolution becomes effect	ive		a series and the series and the series
Application with drawn			Continued to
Application withdrawn _ Time limit extended to			Date of action

5.0

JALLS SEE BLIDS

1 al main march 1975

a strate start

Pasis

WHEREAS, Application of March 24, 1952, has been has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6043, dated November 28, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James and Isobel Wilson to construct a single family residence with 8-foot rear yard and 8-foot side yard on the East, Lot 140, Collwood Park No. 2, Southeast corner of Mary Lane and Rockford Drive, Zone R-1, according to plans approved by the Zoning Committee and filed in the Building Inspection Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 2 , 19 52 Dated_

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received $3 - 24 - 52$	By Mail
I Production I Coccet on any and	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1052	By Landt, Padgett & South City Planning Department
- 314-500	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2	195 Hearing date APR 2 1952
CODY of Recolution sent to City Clerk 4-3	-V2 Building Inspector 4-4-52
Planning Commission 4 - 4 - 12 Petitio	Date AFR 2 192 $-\sqrt{2}$ Building Inspector $-\frac{14-4-5}{2}$ mer $4-3$ $\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $4-4-5$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

International states are sense as a state of the state of

has been able to be readily of the lowing Consistent

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9722</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. and Ella Mae Brown to construct three duplexes in R-1 portion of property at rear of C Zone area of lot, portion of Lot 4, Alvarado Heights, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, on condition that a 20-foot easement along El Cajon Blvd. be deeded to the City for future street widening and made of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 2 , 19_52

Secretary

FORM 2145

		and and there is a will
	5	Di bac la se
Application Received	8-20-J/ By	J. W. Jos Connell City Planning Department
T	PR 2 1052 B.	Loudt Padaet & Smith
Investigation made	by	Loudt, Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C	ommittee ADD 9 TOE	Hearing date Date APR 2 1952 Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 5^2$ $4 - 3 - 5^2$ Health Department $4 - 4 - 5^2$ Council Hearing date
Decision Orac d'	ale kes.	Date ADD 2 1057
Copy of Resolution sent t	o City Clerk 4-3-52	Building Inspector 4 - 4 - 1'2
Planning Commission 4	+- y - J'2 Petitioner	4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-52
Appeal filed with City Cle	erk, date	Council freating, date
Decision of Council		Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effec	tive	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		
		R-1 Jone
/		R
/	A A	
been see	1 2	and .
a start of	1 3	6. 30
	CD /	
1	and the last	
E / / .	S	· Vincense & Company of theme parties
	S AND	
	1	the first a new of a loss of the second second second
	· V	1
		S/11
1		Al and a second second
	3 40	····
	4	
	3/10.	
	h h	i k.
8	2/	1 ye
1		i texo dz.
/		
1		
		the second
		the second stranger and spectrum. It is a second of the
. /		3
		Contraction of the state of the state
		X

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10527</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Stanley Munick to maintain duplex, making a total of three living units on property, now under construction, which has one unit served by 8 foot access court, Lots 20 and 21, Block 28, Fairmount Addition, at 4109-41092-4111 Winona Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

			CITY	ZONING COM OF SAN DIEGO	
April 2	52				
Dated	, 19	By_			
FORM 2145	P	5 1		Secretary	Res. No. 6331
	P.10	1			

i.

Application Received $3 - 26 - \sqrt{2}$ By	D. South
-ppileation Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 By	Loudt, Padgett & South City Planning Department
ADD 9 1059	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AFR 2 1932	Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision $apprices Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \frac{4-3-\sqrt{2}}{2}$	Date APR 2 1952
CODY of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-5-12	Building Inspector <u>4-4-52</u>
Planning Commission 4-4-52 Petitioner 4	4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
PPIN allott Willing Was	Date of action

1.7. 6 1

WHEREAS, Application of 3-27-52, has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6124, dated January 23, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl J. Hansen, owner of Tepee Trailer Park, to construct and operate three (3) additional trailer spaces to the eleven spaces permitted on Resolution No. 6124, without new toilet building, on North 1/2 of Lot 4 of Eureka Lemon Tract, at the East side of Pacific Highway north of Bunker Hill, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- That it be approved by the Health Dept. and the State Helath Inspector 1. of Trailer Camps:
- 2. That the hedge within the area of the proposed new State highway on the East end of the property be not required to be planted until such time as the State has acquired the land necessary for said highway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 2 _____ , 19 52

By_

FORM 2145

PA	
Application Received	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{4}{2} - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{5}{2}$	By Landt, Padgett & South City Planning Department
Section model	City Planning Department
Considered 1. Zoning Committee 4-2	Hearing date 4-2-1-2
Decision Abby.	Date $4 - 2 - 5^{-} 2$
CODY of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4	4-12 Building Inspector 4 - 4 - 5 - 2
Planning Commission 4-4-1-2 Petit	Date $4 - 2 - 5^{-2}$ $4 - 5^{-2}$ Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 5^{-2}$ tioner $4 - 4 - 5^{-2}$ Health Department $4 - 4 - 5^{-2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· ·

a state of the second of the state parts

A CONTRACT OF A

WHEREAS, Application No. 10431 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo Magone, owner, and William J. Evers, business partner, to operate a commercial cabinet shop in existing hobby shop, Lots 3 and 4, Block I, Tract 2 of Alta Vista Suburb, 305 So. 47th Street, Zone C, on the following conditions:

- That there will be no employees except the two partners; 1.
- That the motors used will be a maximum of 5 h.p.; 2.
- That the working hours will be from S A.M. to 4:30 P.M. with a maximum 3.
- of 40 hours per week;
- That this permit to expire June 30, 1954. 4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	April	2	10	52	
Dated	dial and an and	Ca+	. 19	120	

FORM 2145

Secretary

P. 177

Application Received _	3-10-5	By Yglesia City Plan) ning Department
Investigation made	APR 2 1052	By Landt, Pap 1952 Hearing date APR	gett South
Considered by Zoning Decision Coude	Committee	Data AUU 7 The	7
Copy of Resolution ser	$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{I}^2 \mathbf{Peti}$	oner 4 - 3 - 12 Health]	$\frac{4 - 4 - 5^2}{\text{Department} 4 - 4 - 5^2}$
Appeal filed with City Decision of Council	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	e
Resolution becomes eff Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Continued to Date of action	

A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

• • :

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10515</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willard H. and Mary C. Fink to split out portion of Fueblo Lot 1785, approx. 120 feet by 365 feet, legal description on file in Planning Office, North side of Alta Vista Way approximately 238 feet West of Soledad Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

P. 322

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6334

k


WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10423</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lotus A. Russel, Harriet F. McCain and Arnold W. Casebere, owners, and Andrew T. La Pointe, Jr. purchaser, to divide into two parcels 58'6" wide by 105' deep and have right to erect a single family residence on each parcel, Lot 2, C. M. Doty's Addition, North side Malden Street, 230 feet West of Lamont Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By_

P. 317

Secretary Res. No. 6335

is:

Application Received $3 - 17 - 5^2$	By d. Baughman
	City Planning Department
APR 2 1952	By Landt Padgett & South
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision	
Decision Appril Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-3</u> Planning Commission <u>4-4-7</u> Petition	J2 Building Inspector 4-4-12
Plannin Control sent to City Clerk Petition	er 4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Coulicit ficating, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

as

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10480</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Shirley M. Worobec to construct 4-unit apartment with zero setback on Guy Street and a 12-foot setback on Wellborn Street, on Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 48, Middletown Addition, Guy and Wellborn Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	Anril	2	,	19	52	
Dated	Contractor of the local division of the loca	and the second s	- C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	-		

By_

Application Received <u>3-17-52</u> B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 2 1052</u> B	y Landt, Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee R 2 1952	Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision A hhst	Date APR 2 1952
Construction of the City Clark 4-3-1	-Building Inspector 4-4-1-2
Decision $appr.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-3-5$. Planning Commission $4-4-52$ Petitioner	$4 - 3 - 5^2$ Health Department $4 - 4 - 5^2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the

and the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10430</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. Weerts to construct an addition to Florist Shop on Northeasterly 250 feet of Southeasterly 255 feet of Pueblo Lot 239, Rosecrans and Midway, 3005 Midway Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52

By

P.247

E.

				2	0
Application Received _	2-17	- 12	By _	J. W. MS	Connell
				City	Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 2	1952	_ By_	Sandt 1	Planning Department
				City	Planning Department
Considered by Zanima	Consisters	AFR	1Uh/T	Iconima data AP	D 2 1052
Decision appr.			Г	ate APR Z I	57
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Cl	erk 4- =	3-52E	Building Inspector	th Department $4 - 4 - 12$ date
Planning Commission	4-4-5	2 Petitio	ner 4	-3-52 Heal	th Department 4-4-12
Appeal filed with City (Clerk, date		C	Council Hearing,	date
Decision of Council	,		Γ	Date	
Subulinon become off	ective				
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			0	continued to	
ime limit extended to		·		Date of action	

,

.

-al a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10475</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adrian L. and Rena St. Clair to construct duplex in rear with a 6 foot access court, making a total of three units on the property, Lot 6, Block 1, Florence Heights, 4063 Front St., Zone R-4, on condition that two paved off-street parking spaces be provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 84, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

P. 14

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52

Secretary

Res. No. 6338

Application Received 3-18-52	By_DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeAPR 2 1052	By South Patgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2	1952 Hearing date APR Z 1952
Decision (1 in i	Date ADD 2 1052
CODV of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-3	-JZ Building Inspector <u>4-4-1-2</u>
Flanning Commission 4-4-52 Petition	her 4-5-52 Health Department 4-4-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Collution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

.

ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10486</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John J. and Joyce R. Sedlak to erect 3 units (2 below and 1 above) with attached double garage, 1 unit to face access court of 41 feet, 1 off street parking space provided, Lots 3 and 4, Block 29, Ocean Beach Park Annex, Northeast side West Point Loma Blvd. between Bacon and Cable Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

p. 222

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	Avril	2			52
Dated_	2. m. 2. m.		,	19	and the second s

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6339

数

Application Received _ 3-18-52	By J. Baughman
	Crty Planning Department
Section made	By Landt, Palgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 19	Hearing date APR 2 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3 J	Date APR 2 1952 2 Building Inspector $4 - 4 - \sqrt{2}$ $4 - 3 \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $4 - 4 - \sqrt{2}$ Council Hearing data
Planning Commission 4 - 4 - 5 2 Petitioner	4 - 3 - 5 - 4 Health Department $4 - 4 - 5 - 5$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10453</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Florence Martineau to convert garage into residence with 26 inches side yard, portion of Lot 4 except the West 68 ft, and all of North 1/2 Lot 5 except the West 68 feet, Block D, Culverwell and Taggart, 1030 - 24th St., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

P.27

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6340

Sec.

Application Received <u>3-18-52</u> E	By F.W. mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 E	By Landt, Padrett + South
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 195. Decision Nepr	2 Hearing date APR 2 1052
Decision Appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-3-</u> Planning Commission <u>4-4-4</u> Petitioner	Date APR Z 1952
CODY of Resolution cent to City Clerk 4-35	2 Building Inspector 4 - y - y - 2
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
accoulding becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

The second state of the second state

A second seco

and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10439</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Ethel Hamilton to convert existing laundry and storage rooms to living quarters by removing laundry tray, putting in vent, stove and shower; existing rooms having 3 foot side yard and 3 foot rear yard, on Southerly 1/2 of Lots 1 and 2, Block 135, Central Park Addition, 115 So. 32nd Street, Zone R-4; as the 3-foot rear yard would be a violation of the State Housing Act.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 2 _____, 19_52

Secretary Res. No. 6341

P. 12

Application Received $3 - 18 - 52$ E	By SEcouth City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 2 1952</u> E	By Loudr, Padgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 19	52Hearing date APR 2 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee	Data Data
Decision Denied	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-5	Building Inspector 4-4-52
Planning Commission 4 - 4 - 1'2 Petitioner	Date APR 2 1952 2-Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 5 - 2$ 4 - 3 - 5 - 5 Health Department $24 - 4 - 5 - 2Council Hearing date$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision & C. iii	Date
Decision of Council	
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

P. 42

-

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10324</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. M. Ohre, owner, and Gene James, lessee, to operate tile shop, office and display room in front 20 feet of building, the rear 30 feet to be used as storage for tile, lath and cement, Lot 3. Block A, Kensington Park Annex, 4202 Adams Ave., Zone R-c, on condition that all storage be entirely within the building and all unloading and loading to be done at the rear of building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 2 , 19___52

By_

P.93

Secretary Res. No. 6342

Application Received _	3-18-	52	By 7. W. M Connell City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee	APR 2	By <u>Lauat</u> , <u>Padgett</u> + South <u>City Planning Department</u> <u>1954</u> <u>Date</u> Date <u>1952</u>
Copy of Resolution sen Planning Commission	t to City Cle 4 - 4 - 5	$\sim Petition$	er $4-3-52$ Health Department $4-4-52$
Appeal filed with City (Decision of Council	Clerk, date		Council Hearing, date Date
Application withdrawn	ective		Continued to
Time limit extended to			Date of action

Maria .

Ser. Ser.

÷

1

.

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10194</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John T. and Lillian M. Darwin, owners, and Rene Nichols, purchaser, to split Lots 21 thru 24, Block 36, Ocean Beach, one lot 60 ft. frontage on Ebers, the other 80 ft. frontage on Ebers and 100 ft. frontage on Santa Cruz Ave., with right to erect and maintain a maximum of two units on each parcel, 1704 Ebers Street, Zone R-2; on condition that all setbacks will conform with the existing house.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_52 FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6343

p. 212

By

Application Received	9-52	By <u>J. Baughness</u> City Planning Department
Investigation madeAPR		By Sandt, Padgett Houte
Considered by Zoning Commit	tee <u>APR 2 1</u>	952 Hearing date APR 2 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Planning Commission 4 - 4	Clerk <u>4-3-</u> -J ⁻ Petitione	Date APR 2 1952 <u>12</u> Building Inspector <u>4-4-5-5</u> r 4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-52 Council Hearing date
Decision of Council	te	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective		Continued to Date of action

ы. "1 ж

•

.

19.2

×.

244 · 16.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10441</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph Medina, owner, and E. C. Ybarrondo and A. Mascarenhas, purchasers, to split the South 1/2 of Lots 7 and 8 into two parcels and erect a single family residence on each, Block 136, Roseville, Westerly corner of Quinby and Willow Streets, Zone R-1, on condition that the required setback on Quimby Street and a 15-foot setback on Willow Street are observed on the corner parcel and a setback of 15 feet on Willow Street is observed on the second parcel.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ Apirl 2 ______, 19 52

By

P. 216

	$\int $
Application Received 3-20-52 By	L'Baughman
pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 2 1952</u> By	Laurt, Pakgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 1952	Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision Rhow.	Date APR 2 1952
Copy of Resolution cent to City Clerk 4-3-52	Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 52$
Planning Commission 4 - 4 - 5 2 Petitioner	Date APR 2 1952 Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 5 = 2$ 4 - 3 - 5 = 2 Health Department $4 - 4 - 5 = 2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Ť

× .

terr a why it is a

.

1

.

· . .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>Where</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. S. Campbell to split out parcel and erect a single family residence thereon, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1110, legal description on file in Planning Office, on South side of Camino Del Rio first property West of 2763 Camino Del Rio, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

p.74

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

Secretary

R.

By J. Bauahma Application Received 3-20-52 Planning Department APR 2 1952 Investigation made _____ City Planning Department V Sont Radi By_ Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 1952 Hearing date_ 1452 Ark L Decision \mathcal{A}_{ppv} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \mathcal{H}_{-3} . Planning Commission \mathcal{H}_{-4} . \mathcal{H}_{-3} Date \mathcal{D}_{2} Building Inspector \mathcal{H}_{-4} . \mathcal{H}_{-3} \mathcal{H}_{-3} Health Department Council Hearing, date 4-4-52 4. -4 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Theceway Open Camino del Rio 112 ct. 110 RIVERVIEW St. V.L. 48

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10505</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clement and Marilyn Macevicz to erect a two family dwelling and attached double garage with 54% coverage, Lot G, Block 8, Mission Beach, on the Southwesterly side of Strandway between Asbury and Anacapa Courts, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 2</u>, 19₅₂ FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6346

Jr.

P. 302

By

the state of the	- 2	
Application Received	3-21-52 B	y <u>City Planning Department</u>
	And the state of the second	City Planning Department
Investigation made	4-2-52 E	y Jands, Padgett & South City Planning Department
		City Planning/Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee $4-2\sqrt{2}$	Hearing date <u>4-2-5-2</u>
Decision appr		Date
Copy of Resolution se	nt to City Clerk 4- 4-v	Date Date Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 5^2$ $4 - 4 - 5^2$ Health Department $4 - 5^2$ Council Hearing, date
Planning Commission	4-4-52 Petitioner	4-4-5- Health Department 4- 4-52
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
		Date
ACSUITION book	cc	
Application withdraw Time limit extended t	'n	Continued to
ime limit extended t	.0	Date of action

· · · · ·

. .

a second a second a second a second as a

the state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9398</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Edward and Grayce Richardson to construct a single family residence, making two living units on lot, being Lot 2, Block A, Kensington Park Annex, 4718 Biona Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52 FORM 2145 Ву____

Ir.

P.93

And in The second second	J. Bayahman
Application Received $3 - 2 - 7 - 7 = B$	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 2 - 3^2 = B$	By Landt, Predgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee H-1 -12	- Unaring data 26 - 3 -11-2
Decision appe	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-4-5	2 Building Inspector 4-4-52
Planning Commission 4-4-52 Petitioner	Date Date Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 52$ 4 - 4 - 52 Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Acsolution becomes offerting	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The start of the start

- 1

* P and in terms of the last stand structure is a set of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10517</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jacob Weinberger to construct a 4-foot high concrete block wall out beyond the setback on Lot 14, Randolph Terrace, 4342 Randolph Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 1952

FORM 2145

By

R.

P.12

Application Received _ 3-24	- J'2 By DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 2 1952</u>	By Soudt Palgett South City Planning Department
-	City Planning Department
Considered by Zonium Committee UD	1 Inco Hogering data APP / Whi
Decision appr.	Date APR 2 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	4-3-52 Building Inspector 4-4-52
Planning Commission 4-4-12	$\frac{2}{4-3-5^{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{Hearing date}} \frac{4}{1952}$ $\frac{4-3-5^{2}}{4-3-5^{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{Building Inspector}} \frac{4}{4-5^{2}} \xrightarrow{4-5^{2}} \frac{4}{5-5^{2}} \xrightarrow{4-5^{2}} \frac{4}{5^{2}} \xrightarrow{5-5^{2}}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
resolution becomes offerting	
* Pulled lon with 1	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

e • • •

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10508</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Gertie Black to erect bed-room addition to existing non-conforming unit; unit has 2 foot sideyard; addition to have 3 foot sideyard; one unit to have 92 foot access court, Lots 15 and 16, Block 102, University Heights, 4331-4333-4335 Mississippi Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

P.73

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 2 5

e.

Application Received	3-24-52	_ By	DESou	ith
			- Ci	ty Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 2 1059	By	Loudt,	Padgett + South ity Planning Department APR 2 1952
	8588 G		C	ty Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee APR Z	1 11 11 11		
Decision		I	Date ADD 2	1952
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 4-3	3-527	Building Inspec	$\frac{1952}{4-4-\sqrt{2}}$
CUITINISSION	H-U-I - Peririor	TPT 4	0 00	
Appeal filed with Cinc C	lerk date		Council Hearin	g. date
			Date	8,
resolution becomes offe	ctive			
			Continued to	
Time limit extended to		-	Date of action	

e ser se 🖡 e 💡

.

.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10446</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray E. and Edith J. Oliver to erect duplex with 3-foot access to street, on Lots 39 and 40, Block 210, University Heights, 3960 - 32nd Street, Zone R-4; on condition that two off-street surfaced parking spaces are provided, and that the existing single family residence at rear be removed, according to plans submitted & on file in City Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Apr11 2 ____ , 19 52

Secretary

Res. No. 6350

p. 77

By

Application Received 3-25-52 By 7.W. Mc Connell
City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 By Laudt, Padgett South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AFR 2 1352 Hearing date AFR 2 1352
Decision apper. Date APR 2 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-52 Building Inspector 4-4-4-52
Decision $Mp/p\sigma$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-3-52</u> Building Inspector <u>4-4-52</u> Planning Commission <u>4-4-52</u> Petitioner <u>4-3-52</u> Health Department <u>4-4-52</u> Planning Commission <u>4-4-52</u> Petitioner <u>4-3-52</u> Health Department <u>4-4-52</u> Petitioner <u>4-3-52</u> Petitioner <u>4-3-552</u> Petitioner <u></u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council
resolution becomes effective
ADDItCation with drawn
Time limit extended to Date of action

· · · ·

*

••

26 g th \$1 c)**feast** a**n a**

 Comparison of the second s 16 2

the strength of the part of the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10521</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Harriett Wimmer to split out the Southwest 100 feet of Northeast 1/4 of Block 150, being a portion of Lot 3, La Playa, and erect single family residence thereon, Owen and San Fernando Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE • CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 2 Dated

FORM 2145

By_

P. 201

龍

WHEPLAS, Application No. 2.23 has been considered by the Surling Committee

- and minimum of adjustmicial property lights of the positioner, possessed by other property. 2. That around application of the segulations would
- That is craiting of the operature will 1.2. materially affect for health or solver of

							Z ONE OF			зу 		Filed	Jocu
	Port			10			VAR I ZON			-5 ⁻²	1 22		ment No
11	armiesich is hereig on reas of morth. Ingle Seasir nachte seit.	er en - Grot			FOR	TQ	ANCE RES		100 J			-	
	of Son Diske- THERENORE, BE IT RESOLV fornis, as follows:	0, B _j	5 144	20	sing Ca	am i <u>5 6 19</u> 6	OLU: ITTI	Deputy	CITY CIEIN	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	an n	GRO	
				-	(HAR		NO			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	the the	GIE	

Application Received <u>3-26-12</u> By	- J.W. Jos & Connell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 2 1932</u> By	Loudt, South & Pedgett City Planning Department Hearing date APR 2 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date APR 2 1952 Date APR 2 1952
CODY Of Resolution cent to City Clerk 4 -th -54	Building Inspector 4-4-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	$4-3-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $4-4-\sqrt{2}$ Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes affective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
the main extended to	Date of action

11 1

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u>adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

1952

P. 128

That the following described pr	operty, Lot 16 and 17	Block14
Subdivision La Mesa Colo	ny	
Carl Warner	7166 El Cajon Blvd.	

may be used for the erection and operation of four additional units to existing 10-unit

court

LENG TEMPT ON CONTRACT

TRAFTER LOU

subject to the following conditions that all plans be approved by the Planning Dept.

THE LA DI WOLDTON

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 6352

Secretary

Dated April 2

Application Received	T. Yalesiac "City Planning Department
application Received	
Investigation made $\frac{4-2-5^2}{2}$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{4-2-5^2}{2}$ He	Landt, Padgett +South:
COMPLETENCE PRODUCTION OF ANY ADDRESS OF ANY ADDRESS.	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee apply 4-2-52 He	aring date 4-2-R
De la la de	+= 4-2-12
Decision Da Decision Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk / Bu Planning Commission / Petitioner	ilding Inspector 4/4/52
Planning Commission 4/4/52 Petitioner 4-	4-52 Health Department 4/4/52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application WithdrawnCo	
Time limit extended to	

0 1007

. .

I Their with attact the paster Finn of the City of

SAR Diego

substrated a general second the textures provided by nothing presently owners in the same vicinity; and

The the a sector of the sector set, Dob by muturisity derivants to the public wolfare

the restriction of the production of a marginery for the suppression and pressivation of
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10512</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. B. Daniel to add 3 units to existing 10-unit court, one wing to have a 15-foot rear yard, and one unit to have the required 5-foot side yard to be added to units which have only 3-foot side yard; on portion of Lot 9. La Mesa Colony, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, 6293 El Cajon Blvd., Zones C and R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 and 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_52

By

P.119

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-26-J² By 3. M& Connect City Planning Department Investigation made 4-2-52 By Land adgett Houth City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-2-52 Hearing date 4-2-52 Date 4-2-12 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council _____ Date _____ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action EL. CAJON BLYD. Inst The st. open ST. OPEN 111.34

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 10554 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

......

That the following described property, Lot Portion of Lot 9 Block -

Subdivision La Mesa Colony

B. B. Daniel (Prentiss Shafer - Agent) 6293 El Cajon Blvd.

Zones C and R-1

may be used for the erection and operation of three additional units to existing 10-unit motel.

subject to the following conditions ... that plans are approved by the City Planning Dept.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced

No realized and a second se

sant to East that proved ad many mere and the one stream as a second The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after

P. 119

such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

Basish Departure -----

City of San Diego, California

Dated April 2 19452

4 2144

before said time expires.

Secretary

pplication Received 4-2-52 By FW on Connell City Planning Department
pplication Received
nvestigation made 4-2-5-2 By Land Padatt Houth City Planning Department
orty Training popul choire
onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-2-52 Hearing date 4-2-52
Building inspector 4 - 7 V
lanning Commission 4-4-52 Petitioner 4-4-52 Health Department 4-4-52
lanning Commission 7-9
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date
ecision of Council
esolution becomes effective
Continued to
ime limit extended to

the and the strategy aligned as a superprise to making

Black

adversely silect the Auster Fion of the Caty by

to a they purpose to contract in the state withing, and

TOTOTAL TOM OF TROUBLELS PRE-

-

" St Block & Exception and The

2. That the pressing at the source they will be

LIRBELOW RE IN MISORAUS BE LIKE CONTRACTOR AND IN

BREELLS, Specific Complete of the City of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10524</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thorsten and Etna Hermanson to construct 6-unit apartment with 6-foot rear yard, on South 70 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 59, H. M. Higgins Addition, 1232 - 28th Street, Zone C, on condition that four surfaced off-street parking spaces are provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6355

P.29

By

Application Received 3-26-52 B	y DE South City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 E	y Laudt, Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 1	City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-J	Date Ark 2 1952 2 Building Inspector $4 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - $
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1

.

.

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10435</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. Bailey and Kathrym J. Warren to divide the Westerly 100 feet of Lots 14 thru 18 into two building sites, one 55 ft. by 125 ft., the other 45 ft. by 125 ft., of Block 32, University Heights, 932 Madison Ave., Zone R-1, on condition that a 15-foot setback is observed on Madison Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the Sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_52

Secretary Res. No. 6356

p. 68

FORM 2145

Application Received .	3-27-	<u>52</u> By	J. Rick City Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 2 19	52 By	Landt, Padgett South City Planning Department Hearing date 1952
Considered by Zoning	Committee	MIN & LU	Hearing date APR Z 1932
Decision appr			Date Ark 2 1552 Building Inspector <u>4-4-52</u> 4-3-52 Health Department 4-4-52 Council Hearing, date
Copy of Resolution set	nt to City Clea	rk <u>4-3-52</u>	Building Inspector 4-4-52
Planning Commission	4-4-52	Petitioner	4-3-12 Health Department 4-4-52
Appeal filed with City	Clerk, date		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	C.c,		Date
Resolution becomes ef	fective		
Application withdraws	n		Continued to
Time limit extended to	0		Date of action

.

A THE REPORT

)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10468</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. L. and Mildred P. Bartley to erect duplex and double garage with zero setback on West side of Dove Street, approximately 100 feet North of Pennsylvania Ave., Lot 5, Crestline, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_52

FORM 2145

P.16

Application Received By_	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 1952 H	Hearing date APR 2 1952
Decision appr.	Date and a snea
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-52 I	Building Inspector 4-4-52
Decision \mathcal{A}_{ppr} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\mathcal{H}_{-3-\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}$ H Planning Commission $\mathcal{H}_{-\mathcal{I}} - \mathcal{I}_{-\mathcal{I}}$ Petitioner	4-3-12 Health Department 4-4-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

·

. . .

15

.

.

Letter dated March 29, 1952

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5719 dated October 3, 1951 be granted to Gero and Helen Farkas, owner, and Joseph A. Farkas, purchaser, to divide Lot 10, Gardena Home Tract into two building sites 50 ft. by 140 ft., and permit construction of single family residence on each parcel, North side of Gardena Ave., East of Illion Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 2

By

52

19

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received $3 - 31 - \sqrt{2}$	By mil
-ppheation Received	City Planning Department
Investigation madeAPR 2 1952	By Land, Pedgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered 1 7 in Committee APR Z	Hearing date APR 2 1992
Decision Water	Date APR 2 1952 Building Inspector 4-4-5- 4-3-5- Health Department 4-4-5- Council Hearing date
Course Development to City Clerk 4-3-J	2 Building Inspector 4-4-1-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Citik Detitioner	Harth Health Department 16 11 15
Planning Commission 4-4-52 retitioner	$q = 3$ ψ included behavior $p = q = 0$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

÷.

•

. * a tour

1. A

1207

,

.

r* i e = .

WHEREAS, Application dated 3-31-52 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6204, dated February 20, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Victor and Katherine Lupini to convert existing garage into living quarters, and add a 12 ft. by 20 ft. addition, making three living units on the property, Lots 33 and 34, Block 14, City Heights, west side of Vancuouver Street, Some R-2, on condition that surfaced off-street parking spaces for 3 cars are constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

April 2

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6359

Application Received _ 3- 31- 5-	2 By Mail
-ipplication Received	City Planning Department
APR 2 1952	_ By Sandt, Palzett & South City Planning Department
Investigation made ATA Z 1552	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2	1952 Hearing date APR 2 1952
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4	3-J2 Building Inspector 4-4-5-2
Planning Commission 4-4-52 Petitic	Date FIN 2 1332 3-52 Building Inspector $4 - 4 - 52oner 4 - 3 - 52 Health Department 4 - 4 - 52Council Hearing date$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

the in this of second

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10523</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson to excavate 5000 cubic yards of decomposed granite and fill dirt on that portion of Pueblo Lot 256 lying Easterly of Morena Boulevard and Northwesterly of Southeasterly line of Lieta Street extended Southwesterly, Morena Blvd. and Tonopah Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_52

p. 273

3

予定

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-28-52 I	By P. J. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 I	By Loud Palgett + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 195	2 Hearing date APR 2 1952 Date APR 2 1952
Decision appr.	Date APR Z 1952
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-5	2 Building Inspector 4-4-52
Planning Commission 4 - 4-52 Petitioner	Date APR 2 1952 2-Building Inspector <u>4-4-5-2</u> 4-3-52 Health Department <u>4-4-52</u> Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. . . .

the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10270</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Wilson A. and Dorris J. Edwards to construct duplex in addition to existing single family dwelling, making three living units on property, with 4 foot rear yard and 8 foot, 6 inch access court, on Northeasterly 45 feet of Southwesterly 90 feet of Lots 25, 26 and 27, Block 76, Ocean Beach, Northwest side of Bacon Street, 50 feet Southwest of Brighton Street, 2086 Bacon Street, Zone R-4; as this proposed duplex would cause overcrowding of this property.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

p.27

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 2 _____, 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received <u>2-13-152</u> By_	Eladenheimer
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee BYR Z 1952H	learing date APR 2 1952
Decision Denied D	ate ADD 9 1052
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-12 B	uilding Inspector
Decision Dervied D Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-3-52</u> B Planning Commission <u>4-4-52</u> Petitioner <u>4</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>C</u>	-3-52 Health Department $4-4-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	Pate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

N.

¥.

*

Charles In the second

- 1 14

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10458</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret F. Kiel to add to and convert bedroom above garage into apartment with kitchen, to have 2-foot rear yard, on Lot 6, Block 9, South Park Addition, 1605 28th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 2 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6362

P. 57

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-19-52 By J. Baughman Gity Planning Department
Investigation made APR 2 1952 By Loudt, Padgett + South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 2 1952 Decision appr. City Planning Department Date APR 2 1952 Date APR 2 1952
Decision appr. Date APR 2 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-3-52 Building Inspector 4-4-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-3-52}{9}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-4-52}{9}$ Planning Commission $4-4-52$ Petitioner $4-3-52$ Health Department $4-4-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a la

10.00

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10523</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6360, dated April 2, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. H. Peterson to excavate 5,000 cubic yards of decomposed granite and fill dirt on that portion of Pueblo Lot 256 lying Easterly of Morena Blvd. and Northwesterly of Southeasterly line of Lieta Street extended Southwesterly, Norena Blvd. and Tonopah St., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That any cut deeper than 4 feet to be at least 4 feet from surrounding property lines and streets:
- 2. That floor of cut to drain toward Morena Blvd.;
- 3. Subject to any further conditions which may be imposed by the City Manager's Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 55, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 7 _____ , 19 ___52

By.

Application Received	By P. g. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Jand, Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4-2-2	Hearing date 4-2-52
Decision couse appr.	Date 4-2-52 <u>12</u> Building Inspector <u>4-7-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-7-	<u><u><u></u> Building Inspector <u>4 - 7 5</u> -</u></u>
Planning Commission 4-7-1- Petition	er 4-7-5- Health Department 4-7-5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

nales provide a construction of the second bird of a construction of the second bird of the second bird of the The second sec

1 P

,

he we had t

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10516</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frances W. Milbrandt to construct a 4-unit apartment and three garages with a 4-foot access court for two units, on Lot F. Block 100, Mission Beach, Isthmus Court, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 9 _____, 1952

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>3-26-52</u> By	7. W. me Comme
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 2 - 5^2$ By	Laash Padgett + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-2-54	Hearing date 4-2 -5-2
Decision append	Date 4-9-5-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-9-52	Building Inspector 4-9-52
Planning Commission 4 - 9 - 5 2 Petitioner	Date $4 - 9 - 5^{-} =$ Building Inspector $4 - 9 - 5^{-} =$ $4 - 9 - 5^{-} =$ Health Department $4 - 9 - 5^{-} =$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

and correcorrecorrecorrecorre-

WERE CO.

.

1

.

. .

RESOLUTION NO. 106637

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Southern California District Assembly of God, owner, and Arrowhead Food Products Company, Inc., purchaser, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6365, application No. 10506, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78 (New Series), to repair and remodel buildings on lot and operate wholesale bakery, with 25 employees, and approximately 30 h.p. motor, on portion of Lots 14, 15, 16 and 17, Carruthers Addition, East side of Boundary, approximately 300 feet south of Ocean View Boulevard, Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

 WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10506</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Southern California District Assembly of God, owner, and Arrowhead Food Products Co., Inc., purchaser, to repair and remodel buildings on lot and operate wholesale bakery, with 25 employees, and approximately 30 h.p. motor, on portion of Lots 14, 15, 16 and 17, Carruthers Addition, East side Boundary, approximately 300 feet south of Ocean View Blvd., Zone R-4. The Zoning Committee did not have the power to grant this petition as the property is in the center of an R-4 Zone and is not adjacent to any less restricted zone.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 16 _____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

179-180

Secretary Res. No. 6365

Application Received $4-7$	- J' By DE South City Planning Department
inplaced in Received	City Planning Department
Investigation madeAPR 16	1952 By Landt, Laucaster, Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committ	ee APR 16 1 ⁵ 2Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision Denich	Datendo 16 1059
Copy of Resolution sent to City	Clerk <u>4-17</u> Date APR 16 1952 <u>4-18-5-</u> Building Inspector <u>4-18-5-</u>
Planning Commission 4 -18-	V Petitioner 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, dat	e Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

•

. .

• •

. .

Quella T. C. S. S.

0403.0-1

,

OBATION

AND THE AT LCC. I WANT IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF

and the second state of the second second

.

Act was yet

1 4 4 4

the start the

A second seco

and the second second

•

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10427</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Daniel N. and Edith T. Curtis to erect a single family residence and attached garage on Lot A, Block 36, Fortuna Park Addition, Northwesterly side of Grown Point Drive, approximately 120 feet Northeast of Moorland Drive, Zone R-4, on condition that a 15-foot setback is observed at the north end of the property and continue the house at right angles to the north property line to a point 9 feet from the front property line, observing the 9-foot setback on the remaining portion of the residence and garage, per plans on file in Gity Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated	d	April	16	
FORM	2145			

By_

Application Received By	F. W. m Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 16 1352</u> By	Hearing date APR 16 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 1.5	Hearing date APR 16° 1952
Decision could appr.	Date APR 16 1952 Building Inspector <u>4-18-5-</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-17$	Building Inspector 4-18-12
Planning Commission 4-18-5- Petitioner	4-17- 12 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Constant of the second

,

.

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10510</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to William E. and Virginia P. Thayer to operate a parttime real estate business, with the minimum sign required by Real Estate Commission, and no advertising of address, on Lots 11 thru 14, Block 2, Eastgate, 4259 Highland Ave., Zone R-4, as no precedent had been established in this neighborhood.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 16 _____ , 19_52

FORM 2145

By

P.94

Application Received I	By DESouth
approximation account of	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1952 1	By Landt, Lancaster, Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 195	2 Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision Denied	Date APR 16 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-18-	Date APR 16 1952 Building Inspector <u>4-18-5-</u>
Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitioner	4-18-52 Health Department 4-18-V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

•

and the set of

S. . . .

a state a state of the back

1044.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10581</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold W. and Donna Nadell Lutes to divide into two portions the Westerly SS feet of the Easterly 288 feet of Lot 63, of Las Alturas Villa Estates, South side Olvera Street, approximately 450 feet East of Euclid Ave., Zone R-1, on condition that a 40-foot easement for the widening of Logan Ave. be deeded to the City. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5085 New Series, be, and is hereby

granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	April	16	19	52	
Dated	which had non who are	Allia Con	19	- Gase	

FORM 2145

P. 182

. . .

0 2 m 2 0

and the second second

betaging

1

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10501</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles A. and Claradora M. Isham to erect second and third living units on portion of Pueblo Lot 267, according to legal description to be submitted to the Planning Dept., East end of Gardena Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 16 _____, 19_52

P. 267

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-28-52 By 2. Yglesian City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1.52 By Laudt, Laucaster Pakyett & South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APK 10 1.32 Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision Appr. Date APR 16 1952 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u> Building Inspector <u>4-18</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u> Building Inspector <u>4-18</u>
Planning Commission 4-18 Petitioner 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

×

а. "I

4

•

. . .

L

1

10

A. C. M. H. A.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10533</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russel and Mary K. Edgington to construct duplex on portion of lot, making total of three units on property on North 70 feet of Lot 13, Wiemeyer Tract on 47th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3495 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 16 _____ , 19 52

FORM 2145

Ву____

Ir.

P. 161
Application Received	3-27-52	By_	DESou	t/
	·			City Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 16 1352	By_	Saugt,	<u>Saucester</u> , Palgett & South City Planning Department APR 16 1952
	100 10	1059		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee APK 15	1952 H	learing date	APR 16 1952
Decision appr.		D	ateAPR 16	1352 ector <u>4 - 18 - 1⁻²</u>
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk <u>4-17</u>	B	uilding Inspe	ector 4-18-12
Planning Commission	4-18-52 Petition	er 4-	-17-52 H	Iealth Department 4 - 18 - 5-2
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	C	ouncil Hearin	ng, date
Decision of Council			ate	and the the the set of the states
Resolution becomes effe	ective			
Application withdrawn		C	ontinued to	
Time limit extended to		D	late of action	

100 pr

24 ···

n neger i ann ann i an ann ann ann an Saintean Saintean an Saintean Sa

* 15 JE

the print the protect of the period connection

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10282</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Morty and Millie Zlotoff to construct two duplexes and 20 ft. by 50 ft. garage on two lots, tied together as one parcel, being Lots 47 and 48, Block 15, City Heights, Southwest corner Thorn and Vancouver Streets Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 19 52

FORM 2145

1

Secretary Res. No. 6371

K

P. 60

Application Received 3-28-52 B	y_DESouth
- pproved a contract of a contract of the cont	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 15 1^52 B	y Landt, Lucaster Polgett South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 1952	Hearing date APR 16 1 52
Decision appr.	Date APR 16 1052
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u>	Building Inspector
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u> Planning Commission <u>4-18</u> Ju Petitioner	4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a thread was a series of the Property of the Series and the series of the

and the second introduction in a second real second

Mark Pro 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10469</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter L. and Lillian A. Horning to split Lots 1 and 2, Elock 4, La Huerta, at the Southeast corner of Calle Gaviota and Winchester St., into two lots, the corner lot having 70-foot frontage on Winchester and 100-foot frontage on Calle Gaviota, the other, having a 65-foot frontage on Winchester St., Zone R-1; on condition that the required 15-foot setback on both Calle Gaviota and Winchester St. be observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_April 16 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 3-31-52	By yglesiad
replaced received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4 - 16 - J - 2</u>	By Sendt, Sancaster, Padgett + Sone City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _4 -	- 16-53 Hearing date 4-16-52
Decision Grade, appr.	Date $24 - 16 - 5^{-2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	4-17 Building Inspector 4-18-52
Planning Commission 4-18-52 Pe	titioner 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

upar.

The states

1, 110

. .

make the solution

and the second the set of the part of the same of the particulation of

the reaction is a thread to be the the off of the control of the

- a sin above that is a good we thank to a since the she was set. a second the second state on the post and the second state the

the second second second state of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10483</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leonore A. Whittier to erect one single family residence on portion of Lots 8 and 9, being all west of East 10 feet, Ludington Heights, on Kearsarge Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16

By

p193

, 19 52

R

FORM 2145

Application Received _	3-27-52	_ By	mail	C'to Diana Departm	
Investigation made	APR 16 1952	B., Z	ou At.	City Planning Departm	Padgett & South
				City Planning Departm	ent South
Considered by Zoning	Committee APR 16	1957Hea	ring date	e APK 16 1952	CTD-R
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission		Date	APROLE) 1952	
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City Clerk 4-18.	-J'~ Buil	ding Ins	pector 4-12-52	
Planning Commission	4-18 -12 Petition	ner 4-1.	8-52	Health Department	t 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City C	Clerk, date	Cour	ncil Hea	ring, date	
Decision of Council	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Date			C VIEW BURNON Reals
Resolution becomes effe	ective	A CONTRACTOR	1. 2601	1 PR PROF TRACTOR	THE SECTION AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCR
Application withdrawn		Con	tinued to)	
Time limit extended to		Date	e of actio	on	

the second states and the

,

and the second second in the second sec

EA.C. 10.33

a state and will was the parecially detrimental to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10482</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leonore A. Whittier to erect single family residence with 3 foot setback on portion of Lots 8 and 9, (being all west of East 10 feet) Ludington Heights on Kearsarge Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____

FORM 2145

April 16

Secretary Res. No. 6374

____, 19 <mark>52</mark>

Application Received _	3-27:-52	By Mail City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution on	to City Clerk $\frac{4-12}{4-18}$	By <u>Faudt</u> , <u>Laucester</u> , <u>Padgett</u> vouts <u>City Planning Department</u> <u>1052</u> Hearing date <u>APR 16 1052</u> Date APR 16 1952 Building Inspector <u>4 - 8 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5</u>
Resolution becomes ef Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	1	Continued to Date of action

.

(a) T (a) A (a) A (b) A (b)

.

RESOLUTION NO. 106569

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dr. William E. Seiler and Mary F. Seiler, 911 Pacific Beach Drive, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6375, application No. 10541, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to construct an addition to existing garage with a 1-foot setback on Braemar Lane, Lot 2, Block 8, Braemar Extension, 911 Pacific Beach Drive, Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10541</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Dr. Wm. and Mary F. Seiler to construct an addition to existing garage with a 1-foot setback on Braemar Lane, Lot 2, Block S, Braemar Extension, 911 Pacific Beach Drive, Zone R-1, as there was no hardship involved.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

appealert 1352 Concil Res. Mar 1352 Concil 106569

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

*:	1	ZONII	NG COMM	ITTEE
CITY	OF	SAN	DIEGO,	CALIFORNIA

Dated	April 1	16	,	19_	52
1770385076502400 800400					

FORM 2145

: antikes

Secretary Res. No. 6375

P. 314

Application Received	4-1-52	By T. Yglesias
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 16 1052	By Laudt, Laucester Padgett & South City Planning Department
a life and the second second	ADD 1C	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Co	ommittee APA 10	1.5Aearing date APR 16 1.52
Decision denied		Date APR 16 1952
Copy of Resolution sent t	o City Clerk <u>4-1</u>	7 Building Inspector 4-18-52
Planning Commission	Petition	er 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Cle		
Decision of Council	and the second	Date
Resolution becomes effect	tive	ALL OF THE STREET BERN SHITLE WITH AND
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

Lineting a strike with the second second strike of the second strike and the second se

Relacing in the sign of the set of the group of the point for the state of the stat

pologenian in a line a line and an an an an an an a line work country of the addition for

at the year

Without and

1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10518</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to I. J. Epstein to erect a bedroom and bath addition to residence with approximately 2 ft. 6 in. side yard, Lot 6, Block 155, University Heights, 4175 - 30th Street, Zone C, on condition that the proposed addition observe the required side yard of 3 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 16 _____, 19 52

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 4-1-52 By	T. yalescar
- pproducti account of an	// City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 15 1752 By	Loudt, Loucaster Padgett, South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 13 1 Decision Appr.	Plearing date APR 16 1 52
Decision appr.	Date APR 10 1 52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-18-52</u>
Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitioner	+-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

.

. . . .

- 1 - 1 - 1 - ·

A STITE :

on the Conton Constitute of the City of She Dion

the second s

the second second

the second s

10. 101 m

the second secon

Address of the second s

any second term any a second provide the second sec

· The second second south the second by the Zuring Course the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10531</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gaetano and Mary Aleto, owners, and Milton F. and Shirley L. Weber, purchasers, to split cut a portion of Lot 25, La Mesa Colony, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, and erect a single family residence, Northeast corner Montezuma Road and La Dorna Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 23 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6377

P. 118

Application Received $4 - 1 - 5^{-2}$ By	.7. W. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 16 - 52$ By	Landt Laucaster Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-16-52	Hearing date $4 - 16 \cdot \sqrt{2}$
Decision Appl	Date 4-16-52
Decision 1000 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-23	Building Inspector 4-23-12
Planning Commission 4-23-52 Petitioner 4	$4 - 23 - 52$ Health Department $4 - 23 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

LA DORNA DR

1 10 La *

Canific of Feneral (* August (*

1

MONTEZUMA

At

60.04

APT 115 6234

CRLORLIN

A DIMA BREDICE

1720.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Marjorie Allen to erect a second duplex on two 25-foot lots, being Lots 13 and 14, Block 181, University Heights, 1069 Lincoln Avenue, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145 April 16

By

P.67

7 Jan ...

X

Application Received	By_d. Baughnean
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1052	By Landt, Lancester Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16	1 ⁵ Dearing date APK 16 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 Decision apps.	Date APR 16 1952
Decision $appo$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-17$	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitione	r $4 - 17 - 52$ Health Department $4 - 18 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	TO THE AND THE ALL OF THE PARTY AND THE ALL OF
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second se

.

, 15 ¹ 1.

1.11.11.1

a set a

A second second second second second as here is the second s

and in the set, have the statements of these the set

and a substantly aftert the Master Plan of the City

. . South South Committee of the City of San Diego,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10509</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Jr.

Permission is hereby granted to J. Edwin/and Dorothy Dulin to operate a part-time surveying business in existing home; advertising in classified section of telephone book; use of business cards with residence address; no signs on premises, Lots 43-44, Block 38, Resub of Blocks H and I, Teralta, 4278 Swift Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 1952

Secretary

P. 78

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-4+52</u> B	v Mail
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made APR 16 1052 B	y Sandt, Saucaster Palgett & South City Planning Department
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 10	52Hearing date APR 16 1.52
Decision appr.	Date APR 16 1052
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17	Building Inspector 4-18-12
Planning Commission Petitioner	Date APR 16 1052 Building Inspector $4 - 18 - 52$ 4 - 17 - 52 Health Department $4 - 18 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The state of a n.-....

,

1 1000

a set a set a set a state state state a set of a set the set of the set of a set of

and the second of the second sec

A AREA .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10544</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. L. Weston to convert existing single family residence to duplex with no side yard, Lots 33. 34, and 35, Block 193, University Heights, 3942 Park Boulevard, Zone C., alterations to conform to Bldg. Dept. requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16	,	19_52	
----------------	---	-------	--

手

P. 71

Ву____

R.

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-7-5-2</u> B	by <u>J. Yglesias</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1'52 B	by Lawdt Queen to Palgett + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 101 Decision appr	57Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision akto	Date APR 16 1952
Decision $approx Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk -\frac{4}{12}$	Building Inspector 4-18. 5.2
Planning Commission 4 - 18 - 12 Petitioner	4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second second way and the second second second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5. Second all allocations and include the second second

to the start of the set of the second

A STATE OF COMPANY

a product and any set of the formation of the product of the produ

it was an an a second to a second the second of the second s

" and the set of the set of the shore (see Set for

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10476</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bert D. and Ruth Carrell, owners, and David G. Fleet, Robert F. Duncan and Alfred P. Colonelli, purchasers, to accept 15 foot setback on Palermo Drive and no setback on Xenophon and Yonge Streets, Zone R-4, Lots 8 to 11 inclusive and Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, Block 36, Western Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Permitt of for 91, 50 g but 8-11 mid BK 36 became g aftsharing been built on the Nigso g soid lats observing 15 S.B. Palermo. W. 9-29-14

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16

FORM 2145

By

_ , 19<u>52</u>

P. 224

1

Application Received <u>4-7-52</u>	By T. Official City Planning Department
	By Landt, Saucaster, Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Pri F

. · · ·

127 1 8 .

The Marshall

and the second with and a second

Tanking to the property and a second

10 mar 1 mar 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10559</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Vivian McCown to construct a triplex with 7 foot 6 inch access court, Lots 7 and 8, Block 154, University Heights, 4167 Kansas Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance N. 8924, Section 8A be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6382

K

P. 77

Application Received	4-8-52	By	T. Yglesias City Planning Department
Investigation made	APR 16 1.52	By	Landt, Lancaster Pedyett + South City Planning Department
	ADD 20		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C	Committee APR 16	1~52F	Jearing date APR 16 1°52
Decision appr.	a the s	I	Date APR 16 1°52
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 4-1	7- I	Building Inspector 4-18-52
Planning Commission -	4-18-52 Petitic	oner 4	Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Cl	erk, date		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Ι	Date
Resolution becomes effect	tive	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET	
Application withdrawn		(Continued to
Time limit extended to		I	Date of action

100

,

2 most "

a new property to perturb the state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10545</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon G. Touchstone to construct a single family residence without full street frontage, with 15 foot easement to street on Let 75, except the North 200 feet, and East 1/2 of Let 74, except the North 200 feet, Broadway Acres, 4211 A Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance Not 8924, Section 12 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16	52					
Dated	, 19	By				
			Secretary			
FORM 2145		DICC		Res. No.	6383	X
		P. 159				

Χ.

3. 4 .

,

the provident distances by the Southy Charles Section

A State of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10540</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. A. Betourne, owner and E. B. Kitchen, purchaser to construct single family residence with 5 foot setback on Macaulay and 5 foot on Wabaska Drive, Lot 6, Block 117, Roseville, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16

FORM 2145

By_

, 19 52

P. 216

Application Received	By F.W. mc Connel
Investigation made APR 16 1°52	By <u>Soudt</u> , <u>Souces tes</u> <u>Palgett s</u> South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee ALA 10	JUAHearing date APK 10 1.JL
Decision appr.	Date APR 16 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-1/	Building Inspector $4 - 18 - 52$
Planning Commission 4-18-5- Petitione	r 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10119 5

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10536</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Artie F. and Eva White to erect 3 ft. by 18 ft. addition to existing garage, which addition will have a 6 inch. sideyard, Lots 46 and 47, except the East 102.5 feet, Gilcher Tract, 6037 Carol Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 19

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 6385

P. 116

Application Received	By T. Yalexia
ipplication received	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1952	By Landt, Lancaster Pedgett + South City Planning Department 1957 dearing date
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16	- water mg date
Decision appl.	Date APR 16 1957
Copy of Resolution cent to City Clerk 4-17	-S ² Building Inspector <u>4-18-52</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 18 - 52 Petition	er 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

1

a superior of the superior

the stand of the state of the s

which which is a provide which which which we have

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10472</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Orrin Klapp to divide the Northwesterly portion of Lot 27, La Mesa Colony, into three building sites, according to legal description and plot on file in Planning Office, 6430 Montezuma Road, Zone R-1, on condition that a 5-foot easement along the Westerly lot line be made of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13555, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 16 , 19_52

By.

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-8-52</u> B	J. Yglesias
Investigation made APR 16 1952 B	y Loudt Laucastes Padyett + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 195 Decision Course appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-12</u> Planning Commission <u>4-18-52</u> Petitioner	Date APR 16 1957 $4 - 18 - 5^{-2}$ Building Inspector $4 - 18 - 5^{-2}$ $4 - 17 - 5^{-2}$ Health Department $4 - 18 - 5^{-2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	1
To a for a f	
	A TATATAN STATES AND
	27
56 64	and a made the late of the second black of the Children of
~~ X +	strain and with the second party dear because the
MONTRZUM	A Pd.
	DESERTION OF THE PROPERTY OF
	concernent of constraints additionals in the presents
	The burn currentered by the Cruint County test on

110

17

2

ż,

.

RESOLUTION NO. 106570

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of James F. Wabeck and Virginia Lee Wabeck, 4491 Saratoga Avenue, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6387, application No. 10520, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, to erect garage in front of existing residence, garage to have a 7-foot setback, Lot 24, Block 89, Point Loma Heights, 4491 Saratoga Avenue, Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

Deputy.



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10520</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to James and Virginia Lee Wabeck to erect garage in front of existing residence, garage to have a 7-foot setback, Lot 24, Block 39, Point Loma Heights, 4491 Saratoga Ave., Zone R-1, as the garage could be constructed along Guizot Street up to the side property line.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

P.218

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	Annil	16	······································	19_52
FORM 2145	to a for de non etc			-
FURM 2143	>			

0

Secretary
Application ReceivedB	y l. Baughman City Planning Department
- hhur received	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1052 B	y Loudt, Sancaster, Pedgett + Sonts City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 19	52 Earing date APR 16 1.52
Decision Denied	Date APR 16 1957
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-11-52</u>
Planning Commission 4 -18 V2 Petitioner	$4 - 17$ 52 Health Department $4 - 18 \cdot 12$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 1. 1

or end

110 1

A state of the second se

for part of the set of the set that the set the set of the set

the company of the particulation of the second of the forest

a province of the according to the relighter tone.

maintenance and all the second of the second of the second of the

Not The Carl

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10358</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell Forester to split out parcel of land not of record at time of zoning and have right to erect single family residente thereon, being a portion of Lot B, Resub of La Jolla Hills No. 2, per legal description on file in Planning Office, East side of Hillside Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6388

P. 351

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received 4-8-52 By d. Baugh City Planning Department APR 16 1:52 By Landt, Jancaster, + South Investigation made Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 1.52 Hearing date APR 16 1.52 Date APR 16 1.52 City Planning Department APARK11611382 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17 Building Inspector 4-18-12 Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitioner 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Date Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to P.L. 1287 ForesTer Lsid . 63 (. O.). and the shall dealer a second 2-1 23 -16 -COLONI LINE . A LAL STOP OF THE CARE 1 angeorgane IN GATE COMMENTS I CO A I I GALLER INC. a hitche

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10190</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is here by granted to Jim, Robert L. and Stella Holladay to erect one twofaced sign, 26 in. by 10 ft. maximum, on end of building, and one neon tubing sign on front of building, per plans submitted, in connection with retail sales store now under construction, portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, per legal description on file in City Planning Office, 619-631 Camino del Rio. Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-9-52</u> B	y T. Yachesi City Planning Department
Investigation made $-\frac{4-16-52}{B}$	y Laudt, Loucaster Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-16-52	Hearing date 4-16-52
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-21</u>	Building Inspector 4-51-5-
Planning Commission 4-21-52 Petitioner	4-21-12 Health Department 4-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 1. . .

. . .

and the second of the second the second second to the the second s

the set along a start of a set of the set of a loss of the second

the second s

The second secon

THE TAXABLE A THE SHITT NOT TO THE PARTY PROPERTY

a the second of a second second

the second part point for the line of the start of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10575</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Douglas S. and Katherine F. McKellar to maintain 3-foot, 6-inch side yard on existing garage, on portion of Lot 1, Block 1, Muirlands Terrace Unit No. 1, according to legal description on file in City Planning Office, 1396 West Muirlands, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 16 _____, 19_52

Secretary Res. No. 6390

FORM 2145

P. 339

Application Received	4-9-52 B	y <u>T. Yglesias</u> City Planning Department
		y Landt, Laucacter, City Planning Department
	Committee APR 16 101	52Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk <u>4-17</u>	Date AFR 10 1952 Building Inspector <u>4-18-52</u>
Planning Commission 4 Appeal filed with City Cl	$4 - 1 \theta$ Petitioner	4-11-52 Health Department 4-18-52 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	and the second	Date
Resolution becomes effect Application withdrawn	.tive	Continued to
Time limit extended to	4	Date of action
100 100 100	1	mic Kellar
Anapere 2	F	
NOO PLAN PLAN		Participation and super super s
Sayonyad : Se	s i jer s	A start the start of the start
The second second		
		The state of the life of the first
58'-1"		
1.1.1		the second second way and the second s
21	× • • • •	
		the second
Sa come		
		the second descent of a second problem in the back of the second

RESOLUTION NO. 106568

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Martin A. Myhre and Eleanor J. Myhre, 401 Montana Avenue, Santa Monica, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6391, application No. 30-31, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593 (New Series), to construct a 20-unit motel with manager's quarters, on Lots 2 and 3, Block 2, Nettleship Tye Tract No. 1, Loring and Mission Boulevard, Zone R-2, be, and it is hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above of the Council of the City of San Diego	ve to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 106568 b, as adopted by said Council
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk.
	By Donald L. Steinert
FORM 1270	Deputy.

20M - 8/50

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10478</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Eleanor J. and Martin A. Myhre, purchaser, and E. J. Dawson, owner of Lot 2, and Nabomel Co., owner of Lot 3, to construct 20-unit motel with manager's quarters, on Lots 2 and 3, Block 2, Nettleship Tye Tract No. 1, Loring and Mission Blvd., Zone R-2, as this would be an illegal use in an R-2 Zone.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2593 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 19 52

By.

P. 315A

Secretary Res. No. 6391

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-9-52</u> By	City Planning Department
	City Flanning Department
Investigation made ZSUI GI &dV By	Landt, Laucaster Padaett +South
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Investigation made By Considered by Zoning Committee PR 13 1.52 Decision Deniel	Hearing date APR 13 1.52
Decision Daniel	Date APR 16 1.52
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-17-52	Building Inspector <u>3-18-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-17-52$ Planning Commission $3-18-52$ Petitioner	3-17-52 Health Department 3-28-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	of the the the multiplication and associate of
Application withdrawn	Continued to
AUDILALION WICHAGE GUILE	Date of action

. .

1.11.11

the second start here the the second parts

the states Consisten of the Cirp of San Bieges

the second state of the second state of the second

stratents attend on Massar Plan of the Dary

10479

WHEREAS, Application Nohas been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

not 1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will_____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

s 2 and 3 That the following described property, Lot 2 Block Nettleship Tye Tract No. 1 Subdivision -ge same not the r

A second s

Martin A. & Eleanor L. Myhre, purchasers and E. J. Dawson and Nabomel Co., owners

Permission is hereby DENTED

TTHE GALDUGAD TH

Resolution becomes officerave and on

April 16

20-unit motel with manager's quarters may be used for the erection and operation of

as this will be an illegal use in an R-2 Zone

52

subject to the following conditions

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. LEFT LYONAL " MONYCH THERE COUNTY

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

ORM 2144

Dated ...

P.315A

Res. No. Secretary 6392

Application Received 4-10-52	By D. Baughman City Planning Department
APR 16 1952	By Sends Lancoster Palgitt Hours
Considered by Zoning Committee	City Planning Department APR 16 1052
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision	Date 4-10-22
Petitioner	4-1.7. 3.2. Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Člerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application Withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RESOURTION OF PROPERTY DEE

mays, California and the avidence presented has anower . . has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the Giry of

substantial property that at the relitioner, possessed by which property emote in the same vacinaty, and 1. That the a setting of the application is mecanany for the anjoyment and preservation of

2. That ine printing of the application will he materially detrimental to the public willing

ar injusious to the improvements of property in the neighborhood, and

AWN Diego.

Bianaje "WYLOWE' BE II EDNOTAED BL LAS VALKO COMPLETES"

That the fullowing descended property. for

PETRE MICHINE

Bottlewith Tys Traft In. 1

Hartin L. 6 21 month 1. Moder, principality and H. J. Deruce and Mahamak Do. , conterto

any me need for the election and operation of the Permission is heroby desire?

subject to the following conditions - -----

as buis will be an illegel use is an E-2 Same

世際に日本市場に沿り

SHE, MAN ON

Ph-auth sotal with musically derivant

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10584</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Pearl E. McAfee to construct a 5 foot wall out beyond the setback line with no setback, Lot 23, Block 7, Furlow Heights Unit No. 1, 3104 - 54th Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

P. 112

ic.

Application Received By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1'52 By	Hearing date APR 1: 152
ADD 1 C 10FO	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AFR 16 1.52	Hearing date AFR 1 1 52
Decision apps.	Date APR 16 1252
Decision $apps.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-12$	Building Inspector 4-18-5-
Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitioner	4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	INCIDE CHAIL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.1.1.4

ANE PIN

The survey and the

recommitterious, and will mot_ by materially detricentel to

and a strong to affect the Matter Plan of the CTAY

The second s the second s

STORAGE AND A CONTRACT OF THE AND A CONTRACT HERE DE the Souther with a cost of the state to drive the the prover

in the tracking

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10545</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John H. and Edna M. Moore to erect a 10 foot high retaining wall on rear of lot, being North 1/2 Lot F, Block 201, Horton's Addition 1421 - 4th Avenue, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6394

R.

p. 21.

Application Received 4 - 2 -	52 By J. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 15	1.52 By Landt Lancaster Palgett Y Sou City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	APR 16 152Hearing date APK 10 152
Decision appr.	rk <u>4-17</u> Date APB 16 1°52 <u>4-18-52</u> Building Inspector <u>4-18-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cler	rk <u>4-17</u> Building Inspector <u>4-18-52</u>
Planning Commission 4-18-52	Petitioner 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ALLON NO. LOUP

and the evidence presented has abdue free Section

the conclusion out wholy administrate addition to the property of the conceptuation of the co

work measured

proversy rights of the paritions, possessed by prior property

where the second of the second

the of a protect of subsequences in the net appearing.

we will not whereasty affort the Monton Plan of the first

West of the stars

AND AND SOUTHER COMPANY OF ANY CASE OF A

a set the set with a set of the part . and the set of the set of the set

a the second particulation of the second be for the the product of the second

the spectrum of the sector state of the spectrum be strugt to be thank

To be a set of the set

1

6395

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10567</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Trinity Lutheran Church to construct 12 ft. by 12 ft. addition to parsonage with 8-ft. rear yard, and to construct a 16 ft. by 48 ft. interior balcony in church with 3-fot. side yard, Lot 6 and 20 ft. of Lot 5, Blk 48, Culverwell and Taggart, 20th and F Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16 , 19 52

By

Secretary

Res. No. 6395

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received $4 - 10 - \sqrt{2}$ B	y <u>T. Yalesca</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 16 - \sqrt{2}$ B	y Landt, Lancaster, Padgett South City Planning Department
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-16-72	Hearing date 4-16-52
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-21</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-21-5-</u>
Planning Commission 4-21-52 Petitioner	4-21-12 Health Department 4-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	141100 MINTER DO DATE STORE STORE STORE
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

" I Think P ." . DED State

10

.

the application is the second second to be applied to the processing to the second sec

second will had selected and select the bench at agreer of

the sparts' consistence of specialization as provident

The second second

- TO PER LONG TO AND TO THE OF A PARTY OF A PARTY OF

the start of and street to do at the start of the start of

19	RESOLUT	ION	OF	PROPERTY	USE
----	---------	-----	----	----------	-----

No

6396

10580 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown: 1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and 2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and 3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That the following described property, Lot ______ Block ______ Hartnoll J. and Marie W. Withers Subdivision In Old San Diego, beg at int of W li of Pacific Hwy with SEly li of Lot 1 th Mly alg sd W li of Pacific Hwy 100 ft. th at R/A Wly 200 ft. th at R/A Sly 100 ft. th at R/A Ely 200 ft. to beg in Lot 4, Elk 404 and in (exc Hwy widening) Lots 1 & 2 4474 Pacific Highway 4474 Pacific Highway BIR 430 may be used for the erection and operation of to convert 10 garages to 10 units subject to the following conditions that off-street parking be provided for entire motel. times excended to THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY PARTY PARTY Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. The Marken Health Dapartment to CEEN CLEER DURSTIC AND NAMED IN THE OTHER DESCENDED. The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after

such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

OACA STREET Deber seens

City of San Diego, California

Dated April 16 194 52

APIE ARE FART BACKERED

6396

APPERTUNITY OF	100 0 0 000		22 cm 30	ALC: NO. OF THE OWNER.	weighter with
1213-131-11		1.611 2		TSA &	

an Diego, Callinguage and the evidence presented has shown has been considered by the Sohing Committee of the City of

substantial products cophis of the petitionar, possessed by other property camera in the name vicinity, and 1. That has polyand of the application is metagaged for the polognous and preservation of

2. That we control of the application will much he entertally detrimented to the public welfare

or injurious to the reprovements of property in the matgingerhood; and

3. That for a mouth of the application will adversaly affect the Master Place of the City of

Ban Diego

Herenold S. and Marks W. Withorn That the following leseribed property, Lot.

SEPREME. BE II REPORTED AT THE ZORING COMMITIZE

COTTATETOU

may be used for the success and operation of the convert 10 mereines in 10 puller the Stoff, to beg in bes 4, six will and in (ere Hay widening) here i

MAR ON NIL OF PROLING MAY LOO FE. SN AS WAS MAN TOO FE. SN AS MAY SAY TOO FE. SN

in all how over a beg at int of statist sty with Baly it of lot I th

that off-street porting be provided for embire mobes.

subject to the following conditions

lt, Laucaster, Pad City Planning Department By dau Investigation made APR 16 1.52 Considered by Zoning Committee APR 1.5.1.52 Hearing date Decision appr. Date APR 16 1.52 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17-52 Building Inspector 4-18-52 Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitioner 4-17-52 Health Department 4-18-52 Resolution becomes effective

APR 16 1152

VALUT TR

agen"

Application Received 4-10-52 By P.J. Button City Planning Department

Letter dated April 9, 1952

WHEREAS, Application/No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5949, dated October 17, 1951, be granted to La Valencia Hotel to construct glass-walled covered porch and open garden shelter with 7 foot setback, on Lot 12, Block 59, La Jolla Park, Northeast corner of Girard and Coast Boulevard, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16

52

19

Dated.

Application Received B	v Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>APR 16 1052</u> B	y Landt, Laucaster Padgett & Sout City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 195	2Hearing date <u>APP 16 1952</u> Date APR 16 152 Building Inspector <u>$4 - 18 - 3^{-2}$</u> $4 - 17 - 3^{-2}$ Health Department $4 - 18 - 3^{-2}$
Decision appo.	Date APR 16 152 10 1352
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17	Building Inspector <u>4 - 18 - 12</u>
Planning Commission 4-18-52 Petitioner	4-17 - 52 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	ALL ST STUTT OF MALL AND ALL AND A
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

- The CVC GOUNDARY MARKED MODEL - THE PARTY OF

Distant.

,

LLON KO' LCOM

20

Sector a sector in

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10549</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the County of San Diego to maintain addition now under construction to existing building, addition having a 5-foot setback, on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 293, Middletown, Southeast corner Pacific Highway and Ash Street, Zone C, on condition that an agreement is signed and recorded to the effect that this addition will be moved back at the expense of the County if and when it is requested by the City for the widening of Pacific Highway.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

AGG. # 767

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

P. 2

Application Received	By Mail
sphildren recorded	City Planning Department
Investigation madeAPR 61 1952	By Landt, Lancaster, Predgitt & South City Planning Department
16	DD C 1 1050
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 61	59learing date AFR 05 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 61	Date APR 59 1952 6
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-17-1	- Building Inspector 3-18-12
Planning Commission 3 - 18 - 52 Petitioner	- Building Inspector <u>3-18-52</u> 3-17-52-Health Department <u>3-18-52</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	al neigh where we can be a start and start and start and
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- C. S. ALLANDA OF THE PLAN ALL MORE

No. 1. Cart Start

stated a ora, longer as beer saids to the property

SP CALINITYA HA. W. DET DET CATLED, DA, AND BE DARRY

and the example of the foundy 10 and about 11 in

surprise is mained and reconsider to the estimate their The same your lover contract front the attend of the the states,

with their adversely affect the Master Flow of the Plan

really only botherd, and will make be materially destimated to

stron will . makes makes willy affect the bealth or safety of

1

1

12 11 1 11 11

State States 1979/0*

1 S.W. .

Level and

PERSONAL PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10477</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glenn and Lucille Lindstrom to construct a single family residence with 5-foot setback on Lot J. Block 314, Horton's Addition, on Brant Street, 150 feet north of Nutmeg, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 16

P. 19

, 19

M.

FORM 2145

Application Received I	By
Investigation made APR 16 1952	By Landt, Lancaster Pakyett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16 1	95Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision appo.	Date 6 R 16 1059
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17	Date AFR 16 105? Building Inspector $4 - 18 - 52$ 4 - 17 - 52 Health Department $4 - 18 - 52$
Planning Commission 4 - 18 - 12 Petitioner	4-17-Ju Health Department 4-18-Ju
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	A T I TOU AND I AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Render Ander and an and a second and an an an and a second and a second

Service for the second for the secon Personal all a second and the second and the second states and the states of the second states and the second states and

T I BETTON ALL .

ARES D.

ENT

No po tin di la

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10383</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. Ralph Burbidge to erect a 5-foot fence from the level of the patio on the property located on the Southwest corner of Beaumont Ave. and Via Del Norte, being Lot 1, Block 25, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, and portion of Pueblo Lot 1773 adjacent on the West. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 16 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received	By T. yalesiao
application received	(// City Planning Department
Investigation made APR 16 1°52	By Sandt, Sencenter Padgett & South City Planning Department
the second se	City Planning Départment
Considered by Zoning Committee APR 16	15Hearing date APR 16 1952
Decision course appr.	Date APR 16 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17	Building Inspector 4-18-52
Planning Commission 4 - 18 - 5 - Petitione	Date APR 16 1952 Building Inspector 4-18-52 r 4-17-54 Health Department 4-18-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

100 h 2 100 1 10 1

the cost of the set they all the the states the

the provide the state of the set of the set of the set of the state of the state of the state of the set of th

ATT DO LONG .

1

10 × 10