



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10596</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dayton E. and Yvonne Runnels to erect a second single family residence on portion of Lot 1. Horton's Furchase in Ex-Mission Lands, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, south side of Laurel Street on Buclid Ave., Zone R-1; on condition that an agreement be signed to the effect that whenever a future subdivision/is put through that a street in front of this property will be dedicated to join a proposed subdivision to the East, and that the house will be set back a minimum of 115 feet from the present Euclid Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

agreement # 771 - 9-23-52 0.243.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	May	28	,	19	_52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6501

48971

142

By

By <u>J. W. Jn Connell</u> City Planning Department Application Received ______ MAY 28 1052 By Landt, Jones & South Gity Planning Department nvestigation made _ Considered by Zoning Committee MAY 28 1052 Hearing date M/ Decision Cond'l appr. Date MAY 28 1052 Building Inspector MAY 28 1952 Decision Could appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-29 Planning Commission $6 - 2 - 5^{-1}$ Petitioner $5 - 2 - 5^{-1}$ Petitioner Council Hearing, date ______ Date ______ Date _______ 6-2-52 Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to Por. LOT 1 EUCLIDAVE. 1.1.4

RESOLUTION NO. 107132

Mac

BETTERORDEROLVED, By the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of William M. Scott from the decision of the City Zoning Committee denying his petition for a zone variance be and the same is hereby granted and the Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6502, dated May 28, 1952, be and the same is hereby overruled.

Permission is hereby given William M. Scott to construct an addition (10'8" X 20') to an existing garage located on Lot 19, Block 68, Point Loma Heights, and thereby convert a portion of said garage into living quarters.

The requirements of Section 101.0601, San Diego Municipal Code, of a four-foot side yard and a twenty-five foot rear yard are hereby suspended and permission to maintain a three foot side yard and a twenty-foot rear yard is hereby given subject to the following conditions:

- (a) This variance is valid for a period of two (2)years from and after the date of this resolution.
- (b) The owners of said property shall sign and record in the Office of the County Recorder an agreement stipulating that the subject property, Lot 19% Block 68, Point Loma Heights, is in Zone "R-1" (single family dwelling zone) and that no kitchen will be installed in the building to be constructed pursuant to this resolution.

Presented by	ORDINANCE No. RESOLUTION No. 107132 JUN 17 1952
	ADOPTED FRED W. SPCK, CITY SpERA/ uPAUL, City Attorney onabol 9. Steinert Deputy

By Deputy City Attorney

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

That the appeal of William M. Scott, 4353 Newport Avenue, San Diego, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6502, application No. 10668, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 6924, to erect 10-foot, 8-inch by 20-foot addition to existing garage and convert part of building to sleeping room and bath, with 3-foot side yard and 20-foot rear yard, on Lot 19, Block 68, Point Loma Heights, 4353 Newport Avenue, be, and it is hereby referred to the City Attorney and Planning Director to prepare an agreement that no kitchen be installed in the new apartment, etc; and a Resolution granting the appeal with a two-year time limit.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said hearing, be, and it is hereby continued until the hour of 10:00 olclock, A.M., Tuesday, June 17, 1952.

 Image: Deputy.
 107111

 Image: Deputy.
 Image: Deputy.

 Image: Deputy.
 107111

 Image: Deputy.
 107111

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10668</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to William M. Scott to erect 10-foot, 5-inch by 20-foot addition to existing garage and convert part of building to sleeping room and bath, with 3-foot side yard and 20-foot rear yard, on Lot 19, Block 68, Point Loma Heights, 4353 Newport Ave., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Leo V 12 = 107111

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal § filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

218

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 28 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6502

FORM 2145

Pplication Received	By Q. Baughman
	City z tanning Department
Byestigation made MAY 28 1952	By Landt, Inco + South City Planning Department 15 Hearing date MAY 28 1052 Date MAY 20, 1050
Real Construction	City Planning Department
Top it and by Zoning Committee MAY Z8	1 Hearing date MAY 28 1052
Onsidered by Zonnig Committee	Date MAY 20 1000
ecision Nemun	Date MAY 28 152 29 Building Inspector $6-2-52der 5-29 52 Health Department 6-2-52Council Hearing date$
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	L Dunding Inspector
lanning Commission 6-2-52 Petition	er v 29 v 2 Health Department 6-2 v =
Deal filed with City Citra, date	Counten meaning, date
Decision of Council	Date
Pecision of Council	
A by it with drawn	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action
me limit extended to	

1 - - 1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Margaret Caseman to erect an auto repair shop and yard to extend into the R-2 Zone, approximately 30 feet behind existing service station, on the East 100 feet of the North 295 feet of Lot 36, Horton's Purchase, 4095 Market Street, Zone R-2; on condition that a solid fence be erected on the South and West property lines in the R-2 Zone and that the yard be surfaced.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3823 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

May 28 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6503

pplication Received _ 5-26-52	By P. Q. Burton City Planning Department
MAY 28 1052 Investigation made MAY 28 1052 Ionsidered by Zoning Committee MAY 28 1	
nvestigation made	City Planning Department
MAY 28	1952 Hearing date MAY 20 1057
onsidered by Zoning Committee	Date MAY 2.8 1952
Decision appen.	Date MAY 2.8 1952
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector $6 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$ ler $\sqrt{-29} - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $6 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$ Council Hearing date
lanning Commission 6 - 2 - J-2 Petition	er $J^{-1} I q^{-1} I$ Health Department $6 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Pecision of Council	Date
lesolution becomes effective	
esolution becomes erection	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

the second second second second second

F.....

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10710</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. Knowles and E. Phippen to erect a neon sign on Lots 27 through 29, Block 117, Pacific Beach, with 2 inch setback, at 4760 Mission Boulevard, zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be ^{©v}oked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or con-^truction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ⁱxth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal [®] filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

^{Dated} May 28, , 19<u>52</u>

By_

Secretary

pplication Received _	5-21-52	Bv	7. 00. 2	n ª Connell
application Received _				City Planning Department
nvestigation made	MAY 28 1052	_ By	Landt.	Jones & South Oiry Planning Department MAY 28 1 52
investigation made	MAY 28	1952		City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning	Committee	H	learing date_	MAY 28 1 52
Decision Jeter Decision Jeter Depy of Resolution sent	-	L	Date MAY 28	1952
oper of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 5-	1 E	Building Inspe	ector 6-2-52
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	(Council Hearing	ng. date
ppeal filed with City -		I	Date	
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effe	ective		S - Marcheller	
resolution becomes en			Continued to	a and a state of the
pplication withdrawn			Date of action	
Ima limit Extended to				the second se

Care - The state of the

and a straight the sale and straight

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10435</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, ^alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6410, dated April 30, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred B. and Elizabeth Thorsen to redivide three Parcels of land approved by Res. No. 5214, into three parcels according to plat on file in City Planning Office, and to build or maintain two living units on each parcel, being Portions of Lots 1, 2, 5 and 12, Elock 9, T. J. Higgins Addn., Northwest corner of intersection of Myrtle and Herbert Street, Zone R-2; the parcels to be as follows: (1) Northerly 10 feet of Lot 1, all of Lot 2 and 12, with right to erect residence with 5-foot <u>Pear yard</u>; (2) Lot 5 and the Northerly 10 feet of Lots 3 and 4; (3) Lot 1 except the Northerly 10 feet; on condition that an agreement be signed to the effect that the Northerly 10 feet of Lot 1, all of Lots 2 and 12 will be held in one ownership and not Sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the Particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the *th day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ated______ Nay 28_____, 19_52

By_

Request Pplication Received _ 6 - 2 - 1'2	By DE. South
	City Planning Department
Avestigation made april 30 - 190	12 By Landt, Jones Padget + Laucaste, (City Planning Department
Collgation many	City Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee 4-30.	-52 Hearing date 4-30-52
De est Parolution sent to City Clerk 6-2	-J2 Building Inspector 6-2-J2
Planning Commission 6-2-12 Petition	$-\sqrt{2}$ Building Inspector $6-2-\sqrt{2}$ ner $6-2-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $6-2-\sqrt{2}$
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with City Clerk, dute	Date
ecision of Council	Date
ecision of Councilesolution becomes effective	Carthread the
Dication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Stational Sectors

. . .

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10665</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo T. Wilson to add one living unit to existing eight units, making a total of nine units on the property, with 6-foot access court, and three off-street parking spaces provided, Lot 1, Block 18, Bay View Homestead, 1561 Ninth St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ June 4 , 19_52

By

ORM 2145

Pplication Received 5+13-52 B	v b. Tasel
	City I lanning Department
Avestigation made $\frac{\pi}{28-52}$ B	y Landt, Jones + South
cstigation	City Planning Department
Opsidered by Zoning Committee 5-20-52	Hearing date 5-28-52
Prising (ppp)	Date 5-28-52
Open of Recolution sent to City Clerk 6-4-5	Building Inspector 6 - 4 - 1-2
Py of Resolution 6 - 4 - J 2 Petitioner	Date $5^{-} - 2^{-} 5^{-}$ Building Inspector <u>$6 - 4 - 5^{-} 2^{-}$</u> $6 - 4 - 5^{-} 2^{-}$ Health Department $6 - 4 - 5^{-} 2^{-}$
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ppeal filed with only of the second	Date
ecision of Council	
esolution becomes effective	Continued to
Pplication withdrawn	Date of action
Ine limit extended to	

RESOLUTION NO. 500 6507

letter dated May 14, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5526, dated May 16, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 4677, which extended Resolution No. 4126, which extended Resolution No. 3420, which extended Resolution No. 2540, be granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch Street, Lot F, Block 295, Arlington Addition. Zone R-4; this variance to expire on June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated May 28 , 19 52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6502

(p.45)

ORM 2145

Pplication Received	By mil
replication received	City Planning Department
"vestigation made MAY 28 1""	By Landt, Jones + South City Planning Department
BRAU O A	City Planning Department
onsidered by Zoning Committee MAY 28 Decision appen	1952 Hearing date MAY 28 1º52
recision apper.	Date MAY 28 1952
Opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-	21 Building Inspector 6-2-52
lanning Commission 6 - 2 - J-2 Petitio	$\frac{24}{\text{oner}} \xrightarrow{5}{24} \frac{24}{\sqrt{24}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} + 1$
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
lecision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
Ime limit extended to	Date of action

Second Providence

Papers for the source of the

The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10693</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Michael Grayson and Aubrey Davis to construct a single family model home on each of three lots, also to be used for tract office, lots to be identified on final subdivision map, being at present portion of Lot 22, New Riverside, on Palm, between Hurlbut and Aero Drive, Zone R-1; also one 4 ft. by g ft. painted sign to be located on the new subdivision; this Resolution to be for a period of one year.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5178, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

(Lee appaule caunta Ble. p. 410)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal in filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____, ¹⁹_52 ORM 2145 By_

Secretary

$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	
nvestigation made <u>5-28-52</u> B	by <u>Lancestes</u> , <u>Landt</u> Jones + South City Planning Department
Decision appendix City Clark (=5)	Date June 4 -5-2
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Pecision of Council	Date
Vesolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn ime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

the second s

the past of protocol and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

- That Resolution No. 6483, dated May 28, 1952, be amended to correct legal description as follows:
- Permission is hereby granted to William R. Scull to erect an addition with a 5-foot side yard to an existing non-conforming structure with a 2-foot side yard, on Lot 3 and portion of Lot 6 lying above lower low water level, and adjacent San Antonio St. closing, Block 155, La Playa, 581 San Antonio Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 5_____, 19_52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6509

FORM 2145

Application Received 5-23-55 By	D. South
	City Flamming Department
avestigation made By	Leut Jons & South Oty Planning Department
Onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>5-28</u> Decision amand approx	Hearing date $J^- > 8 - J^- 2$
lesi i la	Date - 5-J-
lecision amond approved	Duilding Inspector (- 4 - 4 -)
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 3-3-	Building Inspector
Janning Commission 6-6-52 Petitioner	Building Inspector $\underline{4 - 4 - \sqrt{2}}$ $4 - 4 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $4 - 4 - \sqrt{2}$
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ppear filed with out of order of date	Date
	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Polication withdrawn	Continued to
ime limit extended to	Date of action

12 1. F.O. - Gr.

v r storft.

The state of the same

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10704</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gady and Virginia Marie Braker to tear down portion of existing dwelling and reconstruct with 4 feet, 6 inches between two buildings, Lots 41 and 42, Block 79, City Heights, 3670 Marlborough, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

90

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 11 , 19_52

Secretary

Res. No. 6510

FORM 2145

Application Received	By d. Baughman
IUN 11 1052	By <u>Palgett</u> Are v South City Planning Department
vestigation made	City Planning Department
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 6-13-5- her 6-12-5- Health Department 6-13-5-
esolution becomes effective	
Pplication withdrawn	Continued to
Ima limit extended to	Date of action

. .

12.2.2

The second second

1.5.5

1. 177

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

6511

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh W. and Dorothy E. Proctor to construct a 3-car garage with apartment over, and apartment at rear of garage with 6-foot access court, on Lot 3, Block 17, La Jolla Park, 545 Coast Blvd., La Jolla, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofaras they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______ June 11 _____, 19____

ORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 0511

348

Plication Received	By J. Baughman
plication Received	City Planning Department
vestigation made	By <u>Padgett</u> Jones & Jouth City Planning Department 52 Hearing date <u>JUN 11 1952</u> Date JUN 11 152 Participant Department
	City Planning Department
Deidered by Zoning Committee 11 19	Hearing date JUN 11 1952
Cinical and the second	Date INN 1115Z
Diston Approximation sent to City Clerk 6-1	2 Building Inspector 6 -13 - J-1
anning Commission 6-13-52 Petition	2 Building Inspector <u>6-13-5</u> her 6-12 -5 Health Department 6-13-52
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
peal filed with City Cierk, date	Date
cision of Council	Dait
Solution becomes effective	Continued to
Plication withdrawn	Continued to
The limit extended to	Date of action

5. 7 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10715</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William G. and Edris Doonan to erect a residence with attached garage, garage to have a 3-foot side yard, on Lots 21 and 22, Block 3, Weeks Addition, 5020 Savannah, Zone E-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

By

268

FORM 2145

Application Received	_ By _ J Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 11 1°52</u>	_ By Palgett Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 1	1 1º54 Hearing date JUN 11 1 52
Decision appl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1	Date JUN 11 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1	$\frac{2}{2}$ Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u>
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petitic	oner 6-12 Health Department 6-13-5 -
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 1

. . .

1. 7

,

.

Her Let Para

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10728</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Catherine Knepp to erect 27 feet of 5-foot high retaining wall along a portion of the northwest side of Lot 257, Westwood Hills Unit No. 4, 2013 Sultana Street, Z one R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4351, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By___

143

FORM 2145

Application Received	5-29-52	By D. Baughner City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 11 1952	By Palgett, Jones + South
Anvestigation made	PILIN 77	7 1057 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Decision	Committee	By Party force + South 1 1952 Hearing date JUN 11 1952 Date UN 11 1952 -12 Building Inspector 6-13-52
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk _6_	-12 Building Inspector 6-13-52
Planning Commission	6 -13 - J2 Petit	itioner 6-12-12 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City (lerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

Server Sealing ?

и . .

ja (#

· ·

.

RESOLUTION NO.

6514

Letter dated June 1, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5731, dated July 25, 1951, be granted to Mrs. Veva Hughs to operate a repair shop for small household electrical appliances on property located at 3286 F Street, Lots 45 and 46, Block 126, Choates Addition, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum of 20 hours per week;
- 2. Maximum of 5 h.p. motors;
- 3. No signs to be erected on property;
- 4. This permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ June 11 , 19 52

By____

Secretary Res. No. 6514

FORM 2145

Application Received	- By Mail
-pphoton Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 11 1952	By <u>Padgett</u> , Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN	11 1 ⁵² Hearing date JUN 11 1 ⁵²
Decision appr.	Date JUN 11 1952
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6	-12 Building Inspector 6-13-14
Planning Commission 6-13-52Pet	titioner 6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Part Part Part

,

A 12 - 1 - 1 - 1

the second second to the second s

the state of the second s

the second s

6515 RESOLUTION NO.

Letter dated May 31, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Callifornia, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will _ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5611, dated June 13, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 4734, dated June 14, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 3947. dated June 15, 1949. which extended Resolution 3354, dated August 8, 1948, be granted to H. E. Maconnell to operate a commercial photographic dark room in existing hobby dark room in the residence at the easterly corner of Seaside and Green Streets, northwesterly 90 feet of Lot 23, Block 1, DePuy's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Part-time only, not to exceed 25 hours per week;
- 2. No signs to be displayed on the premises and no customers to be served;
- 3. No employees:
- 4. This permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordiannee No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 52 June 11 Dated

6515 Secretary Res. No.

223

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>6-2-5-2</u>	By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Pedgett</u> fonce + South 1952 City Planning Department Hearing date <u>UN 11 1°52</u> Date JUN II 1952 Building Inspector <u>6-13-55</u> er 6-12-55 Health Department 6-13-55 Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

. .

.

37

.

it is an an

,

:

1.1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10745</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted Challenge Gream and Butter Association to alter interior of a non-conforming building on portion of Pueblo Lots 1105 and 1118, 4600 Sixth Ave., Zones R-C and R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4857 N.S. and 1947 N.S., be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 11____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

pplication Received <u>6-5-52</u> By <u>d. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
avestigation madeIUN 11 1952 By By By Jones & Jouth
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>N 11 1952</u> Hearing date <u>100511 1952</u> Date
ony of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12 Building Inspector 6-13-12
lanning Commission 6-13-52 Petitioner 6-12-52 Health Department 6-15-52
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

- 5

1

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Burgener-Tavares to maintain the following:- on Lot 975, Clairemont No. 6, Clairemont Drive, approximately 450 feet northeast from intersection of Rappahannock, Zone R-1:- two - 40 ft. by 100 ft. quonset huts for storage; two - 20 ft. by 50 ft. airport buildings for office; one - 20 ft. by 50 ft. airport building for cafe; on the following conditions:

- 1. That all buildings will be used by the Construction Company and their employees only:
- 2. That this permit to be for one year, to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted, as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6517
Application Received <u>6-6-52</u> B	y DESouth City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 11 1°52</u> B Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11 1°52 Decision Course appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u> Planning Commission <u>6-13-51</u> Petitioner	y <u>Padgett</u> fored + South City Planning Department Hearing date JUN 11 1.52 Date JUN 11152 Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u> 6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

.

i.

.

1

,

. .

The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10737</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. and Joe Martinez to alter existing tool shed and construct addition for living unit on portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Bancho, according to plat on file in Planning Office, 6910 Skyline Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By___

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 11 1059</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11 1052	
Lecision harris	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6-12$ Planning Commission $6-13-12$ Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u> 6-12 - 52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

24. 0. 1.



-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10729</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ivar and Hilja Hakala to remove old garage and construct cement block garage with second floor living unit with 7-foot, 6-inch access court, on Lots 7 and 9, Block 59, E. W. Morse's Sbd., 2965 A Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By.

Application Received E	y b. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made IUN 11 1952 E	by Padgett, Ane & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 1 1952 Decision appr.	Hearing date JUN 11 1952
Decision appr.	Date JUN 11 1 52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u> Planning Commission <u>6-12-12</u> Petitioner	6-12-1-2 Health Department 6-13-1-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

à

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10717</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Dore, Jr. and Nelly Dore to erect a garage with zero rear yard and one-foot side yard, on South 50 feet of Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, northeast corner of Vista del Mar and Marine Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6520

347

Application Received	By b. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN 11 1952	By Palgett Jones I South City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 11 19	Hearing date JUN 11 152
Decision appr	Date JUN 11
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	- Building Inspector 6-13-5-
Planning Commission 6-13-12 Petition	er 6-12 J2 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10731</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack and Pearl Herd to make interior alterations to existing non-conforming residence with 1-foot rear yard, on portion of Lots 16 and 17, Block 41, Normal Heights, 4611 - 35th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

MENS JO' OF SEY 100' MEAS PARRALEL NOTAN ALLY LINE

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1952

FORM 2145

By____

Application Received $6 - 4 - 5^2$ By	J. Tasch City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUN 11 1952 Date JUN 11 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12-	6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

•

z

SG 1 1 1

.

1 2

· · ·

1

-

Provide and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10726</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maurice J. and Mary Love Brown to erect three living units, one unit: to be served by a 3-foot access court, on Lot C, Block 106, Mission Beach, Bayside Walk, between Santa Barbara and Jamaica Courts, Zone R-H; on condition that three paved off-street parking spaces are provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 1952

Secretary Res. No. 6522

303

FORM 2145

Application Received $6 - 4 - 1^{-1}$	- By DE South City Planning Department
Decision appr.	2 By <u>Padgett Jones & South</u> 11 1952 Hearing date <u>JUN 11 1952</u> Date <u>JUN 11 1952</u> <u>-/></u> Building Inspector <u>6 - / 3 - √ 2</u> itioner $6 - / 2 - 5^2$ Health Department $6 - / 3 - \sqrt{2}$ Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

na r a contrational and a contration of the second of the

1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10738</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u><u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.</u>

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. G. Snyder to construct a single family residence on each parcel permitted on Resolution No. 6438 with a 6-foot side yard, Lot 15, Windsor Place, end of Litchfield Road, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Le Re # 6438

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

75

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Application Received $6 - 4 - 5^2 = 2$	By	DESouth
PP		City Planning Department
Investigation madeIIN 11 1952	By	Padgett Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN	1 14h2He	aring date WIW 11 1/1
Decision appr.	Daf	te JUN 1 I 1952 ilding Inspector <u>6-13-52</u> 12 Health Department 6-13-52 uncil Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _6-	12 Bui	ilding Inspector 6-13-52
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petiti	ioner 6-	12 J'2 Health Department 6-13-52
- PPCGI IIICI WITH LITV LIPTK CATE	Con	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Da	
Accountion becomes effective	A CONTRACT OF	
Application withdrawn	Coi	ntinued to
Time limit extended to	Da	te of action

·

1

NAPE - ALSO

the second second

the second state and share the second state and the second state

the substantia bulker the linear plant of the City

Lie I an is a start of the star

and a set of the set o

WHEREAS, Application No. 10741 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

6524

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will_ of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elton and Angle Johnson to construct a 4-unit court with a 17-foot setback, Lot 18, Block 5. El Cerrito Heights, approximately 110 feet north of El Cajon Blvd., west side of 60th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 11 , 19 52 Dated

FORM 2145

Res. No. 6524 Secretary

116

By

Application Received B	b. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 11 1952 B	y <u>Padgett</u> , Jones + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee HUN 11 105	Hearing date JUN 11 1:52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _6-12_	Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u>
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petitioner	6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second of the second the second of the s

aura a la sur a la sur la s Rund de la sur la sur

E is a first of the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10746</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George S. and Margaret E. Iverson, purchasers, and Ray and Betty Doyle, owners, to split portion of Lot 7. Block 5, and a portion of Block S. Larchmont, into two lots, according to plot plan on file in Planning Office, and erect a duplex on each parcel, being the West 1/2 of Lot 7. Block 5, and all of Block S except the south 100 feet measured along the east line, 800 block, Merlin Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 11 52

Ву_ 164

Secretary Res. No. 6525

FORM 2145

Application Received _6-2-52	By B. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN 11 1^52	By <u>Padgett</u> , Jones + Sonth City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11	1952 Hearing date JUN 11 1952
Decision app. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Date JON 11 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u>
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petition	er 6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and of the shell have made and the second model about the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second sec

I THE REAL PROPERTY COMPLETES AT THE CITY OF THE DESC.

a to set and the set of the set of the set of a president

the state of the

and will be a subscription of the provide the provide the second of

the second second second

Inter Mart Martin

Construction and the second second

1 /19- ---- I subtraced by the Forner fore Septim

1 / lb

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10750</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Steelcrete Ind. Bldgs., Inc. to redivide lots 19 and 20 of Block 5. Bay Park Vista Unit No. 3. Chicago and Baker Street, Zone R-1. one parcel to be 65 feet by 100 feet, the other parcel 58 feet by 100 feet, according to plat submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6526

FORM 2145

Application Received _	6-5-52	By <u>b.</u> Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 11 123	By Padgett, Jones + South
Considered by Zoning	Committee JUN 11	
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector 6-13-12
Planning Commission	6-13-5 - Fetition	ner 6-12-02 meanin Department 6-13 02
Appeal filed with City (Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes eff	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Date of action

1

-

*

910 1 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10765</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph McKinley to erect one 4-unit and one 5-unit building with a 10-foot access court, on Lot 12, Illinois Manor, 4501 Illinois St., Zone R-4. wfere (2' is required

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, ¹⁹___52

Secretary

Res. No. 6527

Application Received	By D. South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>IUN 11 1052</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>IUN 11</u>	By Padgett Ones + South
. MN 11	1.52 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUN 11 1.JL
Decision Ajepr.	Date 111 1 1952
Decision a peper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1	- Building Inspector 6-13-52
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petition	er 6-12 Ju Health Department 6-13-Ju
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 8

Fin

• •

-- •

i

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10752</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bowle Pie Company to make additions and alterations to a non-conforming bakery on Lots 5 thru 10, Powers Subd., 2961 K Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted, as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

	- 11
Application Received By	b. Rich City Planning Department
	Padgett Anes + South City Planning Department
IIIN 1 1 1952	City/Planning Department
Ed by Doming Commettee	learing date <u>JUN 11 1°52</u> ate JUN II 1°52
	uilding Inspector <u>6-13-52</u>
	-12 - 52 Health Department 6 - 13 - 52
	ouncil Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn C	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

péq. . tel

.

* 1⁰ *

= -1

+

•

+

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 6529 gave permission to A. R. Melander. owner, and D. N. Charleson, purchaser, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288 into four smaller parcels on the express condition "that a 40-foot easement be granted to the City along Ardath Road for future street widening"; and

WHEREAS, said condition has not been complied with; and

WHEREAS, D. N. Charleson was notified on August 1, 1952, that the Planning Commission on August 13, 1952, would reconsider this matter in view of certain developments which have recently taken place and that Mr. Charleson would be given the opportunity to show why this resolution should not be rescinded; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Charleson appeared at said meeting of the City planning Commission on August 27, 1952, and was represented by his attorney, and was heard by the City Planning Commission as to why the condition above referred to had not been complied with; and

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission did then and there con-clude that said condition had not been complied with, and thereupon passed the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Zoning Committee and the Planning Commission, by a vote of 4 - 0, do hereby rescind and revoke Resolution No. 6529, which granted D. N. Charleson the right to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288 into four parcels, the rear two parcels to be served by a 20-foot easement from Ardath Road, 449 feet east of the intersection of Hidden Valley Road, south side of Ardath Road, zone R-1, on the condition that a 40-foot easement be granted to the City along Ardath Road for future street widening.

Post mall

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

ZONING COMMITTEE

By: P. Q. Burton, Sr. Planning Tech.

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 6529 gave permission to A. R. Melander, owner, and D. N. Charleson, purchaser, to divide a portion of Fueblo Lot 1288 into four smaller parcels on the express condition "that a 40-foot easement be granted to the City along Ardath Road for future street widening"; and

WHERFAS, said condition has not been complied with; and

WHEREAS, . N. Charleson was notified on August 1, 1952, that the Plannin Commission on August 13, 1952 would reconsider this matter in view of certain developments which have recently taken place and that Mr. Charleson would be given the opportunity to show why this resolution should not be rescinded; and

WHEREAS, . N. Charleson did not appear on August 13, 1952, and the matter was continued until August 27, 1952; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Charleson appeared at said meeting of the City Planning Commission on August 27, 1952, and was represented by his . attorney, and was heard by the City Planning Commission as to why the condition above referred to had not been complied with; and

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission did then and there conclude that said condition had not been complied with, and thereupon passed the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Zoning Committee and the Planning Commission, by a vote of $\mu = 0$, do hereby rescind and revoke Resolution No. 6529, which granted D. N. Charleson the right to divide a portion of Pueble Lat 2000 of Pueblo Lot 1288 into four parcels, the rear two parcels to be served by a 20-foot easement from Ardath Road, 449 feet east of the intersection of Hidden Valley Road, south side of Ardath Road, zone R-1, on the condition that a 40-foot easement be granted to the City along Ardath Road for future street widening.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

ET Portone Portone U. e.c. 128489, 806-U. e.c. 128489 J. port J. port CITY PLANNING COMMISSION & ZONING COMMITTEE

P. Q. Burton, Sr. Planning Tech.

Van /

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of D. N. CHARLESON (by William F. Reed, Attorney in Fact, 524 San Diego Trust and Savings Building, San Diego), from the decision of the Zoning Committee of The City of San Diego, denying the petition of D. N. Charleson for a zone variance be, and the same is hereby granted, and the Zoning Committee resolution No. 6788 (Application No. 10630, dated April 28, 1952, filed May 21, 1952) be, and the same is hereby overruled, subject to the following conditions:

- That D. N. Charleson sell to The City of San Diego, the land required for Ardath Road, as shown on City Engineer's Drawing 8560-L, at the appraised price, as set by Hotchkiss and Anewalt, appraisers; and further provided,
- 2. That said D. N. Charleson enter into a water main extension contract with the City, providing for the payment by him of his share of the cost of the installation of an 8" water main on Ardath Road.

	ORDINANCE No. RESOLUTION NJ. 08703
Presented by	ADOPTED OF 9 1952 FRETW. SICKACITY GUERA
APPROVED as to form by, J.F. Du	Depute

Deputy City Attorney.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of D. N. Charleson, by William F. Reed, Attorney in Fact, 524 S.D. Trust & Svg. Bldg., for equitable arrangements for the acquisition of property for street purposes in Pueblo Lot 1288 on Ardath Road, be, and it is hereby referred to the City Manager and City Attorney.

Res 6529

FRE	₩.	SICK	
			City Clerk.
By	N.M.	WILLIG	
			Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. 10630 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. R. Melander, owner, and D. N. Charleson, purchaser, to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, into four parcels, the rear two parcels to be served by a 20-foot easement from Ardath Road, 449 feet east of intersection of Hidden Valley Road, south side of Ardath, Zone R-1; on the condition that a 40-foot easement be granted to the City along Ardath Road for future street widening, granted and Doc 2458650

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

this Resolution Revolud 7-14-52 Appeal to c.c. By P.E.+ Z.C. 8-27,52 Appeal to c.c.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 11 , 1952 Dated___

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>5 - 21 - 52</u> I	By S. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation madeIIN 11 1952 I	By <u>Padgett</u> Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11 19 Decision consile appr	752 Hearing date JUN 11 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector 6-13-0 P
Planning Commission 6 -13-12 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
1.1	
Sel	(01.28)
5 Standard Ridson	100.4
RIT ARDATH. pa	
R L HI OPENING	inter interest in the second i
en e way	l'étation de l'étation de la company
	54 The internet
	and the second s
	212.42
+. dd.enzalley	5
1 the second sec	n > >
4	1.3/2
7	RJ.
	N.
	·

*

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10609</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard J. and Helen C. Kuhn to divide the South 315 feet (except the South 160 feet and the West 170 feet) of Partition of Lot 5. Pueblo Lot 1209, into two building sites and erect a second residence on the half of the property adjacent to Paul Jones Street, Zone R-1; on condition that an agreement be signed and recorded to the effect that no further construction of residences will be requested or approved unless and until a subdivision map has been approved by the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section 12, and 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

agricult # 763

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 16 , 19 52 FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6530

Application Received 5-5-52 B	y D. E. South
	City Flanning Department
Investigation made $\frac{6-11-\sqrt{2}}{6-11-\sqrt{2}}$ B	y Padgett Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-11-52	Hearing date 6-11 -1-2
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-11-52</u> Decision Appr condle.	Date 6-11-1-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16-5	-Building Inspector <u>6-17-J-2</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 17 - V-2 Petitioner	6-16-52 Health Department 6-17-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Literor man 1 1 Secondary 2 14

00 - 618

0.10

,

and the state of the state

all's fart to a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10652</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Betty Stockman (aka Minnie D. Moffatt) to construct a single family residence on rear of lot, making a total of two units on lot, Lot 11 and south 1/2 of Lot 10, Block 5, City Heights Annex No. 2, 3555 - 45th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated___

June 11 , 19 52

By

96

FORM 2145

Application Received 5-26-52 By b. Tasch
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 11 1⁵²</u> By <u>Padgett</u> , fones + South Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUN 11 1⁹⁵²</u> Hearing date JUN 11 1 ⁵²
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN II TO Hearing date JUN II 1552
Decision (haby:
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12 Building Inspector 6-13-12
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petitioner 6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the second second

The second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10732</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. F. and Betty A. Valin to construct single family residence on 35-foot by 100-foot parcel, portion of lots 25 thru 28, Block 5, Swan's Addition, 4600 block on Olive Street, Zone R-2, on condition that a 15-foot setback be observed on Olive St. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 4A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. (MESTERED 35' REF. LOTS 25 THRM 28 -- 4/17/52 2.7. B. AME EDWA)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No.

6532

139

Bv

FORM 2145

Application Received	By d. Baughman
Investigation made JUN 1 1 1952	By <u>City Planning Department</u> <u>Gity Planning Department</u> <u>Date JUN 11 1952</u> Date JUN 11 1052
period at a	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 111	957 Hearing date JUN 11 1952
Decision appr.	Date JUN 1 1 1659
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	-J2 Building Inspector 6-13-52
Planning Commission 6-13-54 Petition	er 6-12-12 Health Department 6-17-J2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the shear he calls and and and the set
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

76.

The second second

and the second s

the second strates and second and the back

if p

a set of the set of th

•

VAL 1

NGLY C.

L 0.74 T . .

ľ

1 1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10714</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray M. and Elizabeth Schierloh to operate a part-time silverware polish business on Lot 17, Fairhaven Acres, 4787 Dwight Street, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. Maximum of 24 hours per week;
- 2. No employees:
- 3. No advertising of address;
- 4. No use of machinery;
- 5. This permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted, as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6533
	red 6-2-52	By B. Tasch
Application Receiv		City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 11 1952	_ By _ Palgett, Jones + South
Decision con	ning Committee <u>JUN 11</u>	By <u>City Planning Department</u> 1052 Hearing date JUN 11152 Date JUN 111952 Date JUN 111952
Planning Commis Appeal filed with (n sent to City Clerk <u>6</u> - sion <u>6-13-5</u> -Petitic City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Counc Resolution become		Date
	rawn	Continued to Date of action
Time mint extend		
There a realized		
7 1. 3. T. F		
- · · ·		
• •		
	100 m	
1.0. 2 - 1 - 1	·	
(±1)		- 010
Market 1		0 - 0 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -
		te tr
	1 -	

*

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10730</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alma L. and Harold Stomberg to extend auto repair shop from C Zone into the adjoining R-4 Zone, 30 feet to the northeast. Lots 11, 12, 13 and 14, Block 6, Loma Alta No. 1, 4104 Voltaire, Zones C and R-4; on the following conditions:

- 1. That all open areas within the boundaries of the C Zone and the 30 feet of the R-4 Zone be paved:
- 2. That a 6-foot solid board fence be constructed from the easterly end of the building in R-4 portion to the property line on San-Clemente Street;
- 3. That only R-4 uses be permitted in the R-4 section behind building, and not to be used for storage of any tires, parts or any material or equipment used in connection with the auto repair shop.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6534

Application Received $6 - 4 - 52$ By	J. Baughman
Application Received by	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 11 1052 By	Padgett Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 11 1952	Hearing date Jun 11 1 102
Decision condit. appr.	Date John Introductor
Decision crudit. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u> Planning Commission <u>6-13-12</u> Petitioner	6 -16 -12 Health Department 6-13-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Ngua -	
19 F	

.

. .

1

41.00

and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10666</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam and Grace Frontiero to construct a 29-unit apartment with a 10-foot setback on Third Ave., Lots A thru D and north 25 feet of E. Block 246, Horton's Addition, southeast corner Ivy and Third Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received By	2 Baughman
	City Planning Department
JUN 11 1°52 Investigation made By Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11 1°52 Decision Cited of the second	
Investigation made By	Product Anlo I douth
111N 9 7 7053	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUN 11152
Decision appr.	Date JUN 11 1952 Building Inspector 6-13-52
Convert Recolution cont to 1111 LETK / -/-	Billaing Inspector 6 / 3
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petitioner	6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	of the state has well and stated as the shear h
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

press press production of the structure of a state that a press of the state of the

La Partir and the set of the set

a - conservation of the state o

1

• •

· .

and the second and the second of the second s

a set of the set of th

and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10747</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Phyllis Lutes, owners, and Charles Lindsay, purchaser, to erect two dwellings with a 3-foot setback on Chalcedony and a 15-foot setback on Noyes, Easterly 85 feet of the Northerly 1/2 of Acre Lot 51, except the southerly 240 feet, Pacific Beach, southwest corner Chalcedony and Noyes, Zone R-4; on the following condition - that the dwellings are not to project beyond the building now existing on the west of this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted, as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 11 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 0530

FORM 2145

Application Received By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 11 1052</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUN 11 1052</u> Decision appr.	Padgett, Jones & South
111A1 7 7 2052	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date IIIN 11 1052
Decision appr.	Date JUN 11 1:52
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector <u>6-13-5-2</u>
Planning Commission 6-13-54 Petitioner	Building Inspector $6 - 13 - 52$ 6 - 12 - 52 Health Department $6 - 13 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1.141

and d and a

7. 6

. . .

191

2

The state of the s

IN P IN A THIS SEE I WAL I THIN THE TOP

and a second second

RESOLUTION NO. 107426

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Greta F. Matthews, 2816 Pachell Street, San Francisco, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee, in denying by its Resolution No. 6537, application No. 10733, for permission to construct a 4-unit apartment house and two garages with a 5-foot setback on Reed Avenue, on portion of Acre Lot 63, Pacific Beach, Southeast corner Reed and Ingraham Streets, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby denied and overruded, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

	FRED W.	S	ICK	
			City	Clerk.
By	HELEN	М.	WILLIG	
<i>Gy</i>				Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10733</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Greta F. Matthews to construct a 4-unit apartment house and two garages with a 5-foot setback on Reed Ave., portion of Acre Lot 63, Pacific Beach, Southeast corner Reed and Ingraham, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal 9-3-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 52

By___

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>6-5-52</u> By <u>l. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation madeIUN 11 1952 ByBy Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11 1952 Hearing dateIUN 11 1952
Decision denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u> Building Inspector <u>6-13-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12 Building Inspector 6-13-12
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petitioner 6-12 32 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Reed And a state the transfer and the state of the and the second sec INCRAHAM price of illust north modifiers at 61. 185 latthews 1 5 an water that the second secon 1 , CLIVER

the second se

RESOLUTION No. 119137

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The recommendation of the Board of Coning Adjustment to grant an extension of time of two years to John McCormick, 235 Playa del Sur, La Jolla, California, originally granted by Council Resolution No. 107425, dated July 3, 1952 - Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6538, application No. 10642, be, and it is hereby adopted.

Said extension of time is granted upon the following conditions:

1. That no photographs be taken on the premises;

- 2. That there be no employees;
- 3. That the business be operated a maximum of ten (10) hours per week;
- 4. That there be no signs on the premises;
- 5. That this permit expire June 30, 1956.

				By	Deputy.
					HELEN M. WILLIG
					FRED W. SICK City Clerk
of	I the	HEREBY CERTI Council of t	FY the above to be he City of San Dieg	a full, true, and correct copy of a sadopted by said Council	of Resolution No. <u>119137</u> <u>July 15, 1954</u>

RESOLUTION NO. 107425

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of John McCormick, 235 Playa del Sur, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee under Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6538, application No. 10642, be, and it is hereby gustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Clayton Wm. and Virginia Calloway, owners, and John McCormick, renter, to operate a photographic dark room, part-time; no photos taken on premises; no employees; maximum of 10 hours per week; no signs on Lots 7 and 8, Block 5, La Jolla Strand, 235 Playa del Sur, in Zone R-4; said permission to expire two years from date of this Resolution.

Variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

	FRED W		
			City Clerk
By			WILLIG
	******	*******	Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10642</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

6538

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Clayton Wm. & Virginia Calloway, owners, and John McCormick, renter, to operate photographic dark room, part-time, no photos taken here, no employees, maximum of 10 hours per week, no signs, on Lots 7 and 8, Block 5. La Jolla Strand, 235 Playa del Sur, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeul 7-3-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received <u>6-5-52</u> B	y b. Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 11 1052</u> B Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUN 11 152</u>	y Padjett Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date IIIN 11 1952
LIPCISION ADDALED	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector <u>6-13-v2</u>
Planning Commission 6-13-5- Petitioner	6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

-

2

hardship, and that the granting of the upper and enjoyment of substanting property, this compression in the same none and variation.

,

whether the state of the state

- -

......

.

1. 14

. . . .

à

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10770</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Balboa University to move in chapel building to be used in connection with University, on portion of Pueblo Lot 146, 3902 Lomaland Drive, Zone R-1, on condition that a 30-foot rear yard from the Easterly lot line be observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Ву_____

FORM 2145

V

Application Received	_ By _ P. J. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	_ By Palgett Jones & South City Planning Department
inteologueion made	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 11	1 ^{co} Hearing date IIIN 11 1 ^{co}
Decision appen.	Date JUN 11 1952 Building Inspector 6-13-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _6-	12 Building Inspector 6-13-02
Planning Commission 6-13-52 Petitic	oner 6-12-52 Health Department 6-13-52.
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

412

Autor was at

The second se

and the state

the second of the second secon

of a physical variable of the second se

Contraction of the second second by the Source Contraction

a tea sector a sector and a sector a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10739</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard E. Roberts to construct a duplex, making a total of four units on lot, one existing unit crossing over property line, on Lots 41 and 42, Block 12, City Heights Annex Unit No. 1, 3670 - 45th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Bar

95

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>6-11-52</u> By <u>P. Benton</u>	
City Planning Departmen	it
Investigation made JUN 25 1952 By By _	uth
City Planning Departmen	nt
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 1954 Hearing date JUN 25 1952	
Decision appear. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26 Date JUN 25 1952 Building Inspector 6-27-	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26 Building Inspector 6-27-	52
Planning Commission 6-27-5'2 Petitioner 6-26-5'2 Health Department	6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the second second second second second

And will an and the second the function of the second of the

1 m m

.

,

1/ 1 h h h h

the state of the second st

the second se

a presented for should fuse the first

a second state in the second state of the seco

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10748</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hal Construction and Development Corp. to divide portion of Lot 12, La Mesa Colony, into four (4) parcels and erect a single family residence on each, northeast corner 63rd Street and Montezuma Road, Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a Record of Survey be filed for these four lots;

2. Subject to acceptance of improvements on 63rd Street by the City Engineer per Engineering Drawing No. 9354-L.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Received by Engrithics

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 25____, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6541

118

0 0100	
And the second s	
Application Received _	By City Planning Department
2 m. Ver	
Investigation made	JUN 25 1052 By Palatt Jones & South
and the second sec	City Planning Department
	Committee JUN 25 1952 Hearing date IIIN 25 1952
Decision Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 6-26 Building Inspector 6-27-52
Planning Commission	6-27-52 Petitioner 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City C	Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes eff	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
INK .	1
102	
43.7	the state is a state of the sta
DAL .	
Thing a store of the	and the second at the first she she have and determined the
X 263. 100 1	the second se
	the second state of the second state of the second state the
	e a si sina da la la la la presenta parafa
GUI	
	a a fin an a the first burns to it was negt any house of the togrammer
	A LA STATE OF THE STATE AND
,	the source committee of the City of Son Brahav
	The second
	The second of the property of the second of the
1. 1.	a straight to be a straight the monitor of addings of
	and the second flower bearding of prosection in the second
10.0	
Sec.	the second second second and the second
100	2. 1
and a	

WHEREAS, Application Nor 12, 1952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

at Resolution No. 5982, dated Nov. 7, 1951, which amended Resolution No. 4810, dated July 5, 950, be amended as to Item No. 6, to read as follows:

rmission is hereby granted to Rose Vollmer, Mrs. George W. Wood and the Estate of George W. od, owners, and Balboa University, purchaser, to use portions of Pueblo Lots 65, 144, 145, 16, 182 and 193, as shown on legal description and map on file in Planning Dept. Office, both orked, "Balboa University property description, 11-5-51," to erect necessary buildings for ducational and housing purposes, and to make necessary repairs and alterations to existing uildings in any amount, for education and housing purposes, the following conditions to be baerved:

- New construction, including additions to existing buildings, shall be limited to buildings for bona fide University purposes, and for the residence of bone fide students, faculty members and employees of the University;
 - All new construction to be approved by the City Planning Commission or the Zoning Committee for exterior design;
 - This Resolution shall not affect any land lying within 470 feet of Catalina Blvd., within 350 feet of Hill Street, nor within 300 feet of Azure Vista Subdivision;
 - Before any building is used for education or any other purposes by the University, it shall be made to comply with all the requirements of the City Building Inspection Dept., the Fire

months after its effective date, unloss the use

Dept. and the Health Dept.;

- 5. Existing housing may be used for rental to the present occupants for a period of three pears from this date, but shall hot be re-rented nor used after a present tenant moved out, nor after three years from this date, whichever occurs first, other than for educational purposes by the University, for residence of bone fide students, faculty members and employees of the University.
- 6. That Balboa University and/or California Western grant to the City an easement for ingress and egress along and across their property adjacent to the Mean High Tide Line of Pacific Ocean, 50 feet in depth inland, for use by the public; per Eng. Dwg. #4712-L.

I variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

lat.		
	- 13-52 By	mail.
		City Planning Department
		Right Providente
Investigation made6	-2J-J2 By	Padgett, Jones & South City Planning Department
Decision and appr	nittee $\underline{}$	Hearing date $6 - 1 \sqrt{5} - \sqrt{5}$ Date $6 - 1 \sqrt{5} - \sqrt{5}$
Copy of Resolution cent to C		Building Inspector 7-2-52
Planning Commission 7-	2 -1-2 Petitioner 6	-30-32 Health Department 7-2-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk,	date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	I	Date
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action
28 10 A 1	1	STATESTICAL THE SECTOR PROPERTY INTO A
the particular of the		
	a and the half of	the second secon
X p)		AT ANY STATE OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE AT
all both St. M.T.		
		The second s
and a start	1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	pristing and a surpressed going space at ac-
		as and all the one of the present to carrier and
	T - C -	and the second statements being the second second
Wederstand D. J. Land.	1 th 1 th 1	To not part ours of Plats Lots By, 101, 100,
and the state of t		. Decorror
po uni		
		a partie the second as the second state a
		the mental the entry was to change, even other of
		alor Committee of the City of San Dieko,
		the answer all all and the theater Plan, of the CLU
		on 24 ht fide committe fo the net Repetrings
		and protected and a property of the protected for
		with a restricted to allow the bratte of safety at
		a contraction of the second of some property
		the preservelies in preservelies
		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
		a company to prove the state of the state
		we are the set have and the temperature and
		and the set of the sphere of the set of
	1.0	buildered by the Zoning Committee

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10720</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. E. Leu, owner, and H. E. McCullough and W. J. Flanagan, lessees, to operate a cabinet shop for manufacture of kitchen cabinets and other items, on portion of Southwest Quarter of Lot 20 of Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, lying Southeasterly of Federal Blvd. and North of A Street, 4149 Federal Blvd., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Limited to 5 h.p. electrically operated motor;
- 2. No employees other than the two lessees;
- 3. Working hours from S:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M.;
- 4. This permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3075 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 0543

159

By

Application Dessived (-(-() R	v mail
Application Received <u>6-6-52</u> B	City Planning Department
JUN 2.5 1952	
Investigation made B	y Padgett Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 195	City Planning Department
Decision apper.	Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-21	Building Inspector <u>6-27-12</u>
Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitioner	6-21-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	ALCO IN FULL REPORT OF THE LAND REPORT OF
PATE PERMIT	property and and a set of the set of the party of the party of
ren al	
the second manage to spell pro-	pha places 1
The states to a second a second	Showing pay support in the second subsystem in the
poortie z gran to trating t	
	Service , bitte federal part, . ann at entitles
All the off and the second sec	AT MALE AND A DE ATOMA AND A COMPANY AT A TAKEN
Manary La Carta Para de La Carta de La Carta	AN AL GENER OF STREAM ON ON THE STREAM OF STREAM OF STREAM
Parpolael I herefor (1	Contr. and I conlicuit prote . J. Finninger
	or 5 Adversary affect the House Plan of the City and
	states at there are no the network hours
	olored, non-well to be water bully detrivented to
	with restantly affect the health as asfety af
	patter and the representation because of the spire balleners
•	the state of the second second the second test
2	
	- way being the frequency of the original for the state of the state
	the second states and lowers an the property
	and and and beauting the should be personal
	the new consulered by the Zming Consister

the sur may

All and a state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10727</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is herely granted to Frank Faust, owner, and Anthony Mascarenhas, purchaser, to split Lots as follows: Parcel 1 - East 30 ft. of Lot 1 and West 30 ft. of Lot 2; Parcel 2 - East 30 ft. of Lot 2 and West 30 ft. of Lot 3; Block Q, Riviera Villas, Piedmont Street, approximately 80 ft. east of Movara, Zone R-1, and erect a single family residence on each parcel.

A variance to the provisons of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

210

By

Application Received	By J. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 25 1952	By Pedgett Jone & South City Planing Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee IIIN 25	1057 Hearing date JUN 25 1952
Decision Appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-2</u>	Date JUN 25 1952
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1 - 2	6 Building Inspector 6 - 17 - J-1
Planning Commission 6 27-52 Petition	her 6 - 26 - 52 Health Department 6 - 27 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and a real from the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second and a second s

constraint in the property of the state of the property o

and a shereely alford the Marrier Plan of the City

and any will shape to surrough detrived in

•

1000

Gui

and the second se

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10767</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert L. and Florence Ida Smith to erect an addition of garage to residence, garage to have 9-foot rear yard on Lots 37 and 38, except the Southeasterly 55 feet thereof, Block 2, Corella Tract, 4669 Tonopah Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received _6-11-5-2	By <u>l'Baughman</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 25 1º52	_ By _ Padgett _ South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 2	b 195 Hearing date JUN 25 1952
Decision appr.	Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date JUN 25 1952 Building Inspector <u>6-27-5-</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 27 - 52 Petitio	ner 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CC 14 7 1

1.4

100000

,

The Carl Coll 4

and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10734</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennstedt Company to sell new and renewed electrical appliances on portions of Lot 13 and 12, Block 10, Furlow Heights Unit No. 2, 3087 54th Street, Zone R-C, on condition that all storage be within the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3923 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6546

Application Received 6-11-5-2 blenc Bv **City Planning Department** JUN 25 1952 By Padge Investigation made _ Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 1052 Hearing date 10N 25 1052 Date JUN 25 1952 City Planning Department Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-36 Building Inspector 6-27-5-Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitioner 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council ______ Resolution becomes effective Date Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to Redwood 10000 the first in the and TO ME I the the clus of the star of the of the out 出作; ··· ··· ··· ··· the state of the state of the state of the state 12 A ST TANK T TANK TO ST TONS TT VAL TT I I S JT WAR AND A HE BY BY BEARD F , and the second provide the second part of the same transformed

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10780</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. J. and Mabel E. Green to erect 4-foot high wall in front of setback line on portion of Lot 3 and 4, Block L, West Hollywood, legal description on file in City Planning Office, 316 Thrush Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res 6547

164

FORM 2145

Application Received6	-13-52	Bv	Baughman
			City Planning Department
Investigation made	UN 25 1952	. Ву_	Padgett Jones + South City Planning Department
8	PRIM OF		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Con	mmittee UN 25 1	1928 H	earing date JUN 25 1952
Decision		D	ate 111N 25 1059
Conv of Resolution sent to	City Clerk 6-2-6	JL B	uilding Inspector 6-27-52
Planning Commission 6	- 27 - J' Petition	ier 6	-16-V - Health Department 6-27-7 L
Appeal filed with City Cler		C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	A State of Case	D	ate
Resolution becomes effecti	ve		
Application withdrawn		С	ontinued to
Time limit extended to		D	ate of action

the structure of the second of

zu in eine state in the second state of the se

A Contraction of the Contraction

Aunitary Committee of the City of San Diese

a closesty strest the boster Plan of the City

interiment, but still 101 be meatially detrimental to

the subsection of the patrixmer, preserved by other property

the set of a second of the other products for the same state

contracted by the formation and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10778</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank B. Jennings to construct garage with 5-foot setback from front property line, on Easterly 47 ft. of Westerly 97 ft., except the Southerly 50 ft., Lot 3, Block 134, La Playa, 2921 McCall Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Res No. 6548

Application Received B	y DE South City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Padgett Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 705	Hearing date UN 25 1052
Decision appr.	Date JUN 25 1059
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6-27-52
Planning Commission 6 -27-52 Petitioner	6-23-52 Health Department 6-27-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	107 First be will and residential short
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

which is the set of the second of the spectrum the second se

the second se

at the second of the second of the second of the second the second the second

and a manufacture is the Manuar Plan of the City

A second state of the second of the second of the second second state of the second se

The lot provide the start of the sheet the pression

Badel 1 But the Tar

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10779</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank B. Jennings to construct garage with 1 foot 4 inch sideyard on Easterly 4 ft. of Westerly 97 ft., except the Southerly 50 ft., Lot 3, Block 134, La Playa, 2921 McCall Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received 6-13-54 By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 25 1952</u> By JUN 25 1952 Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 111 25 1052
Considered by Zoning Committee	Date UNI 2 TOPO
Decision Televier Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	Date JUN 25 1952 Building Inspector <u>6-27-13</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u>
Planning Commission 6-27-12-Petitioner	6-26-52 Health Department 6-27 -52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	the rest of the rest of the stand of the stand of the stand of the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a statute the statute of a statute of the statute o

built is a second of the second second

where a strait the Manuer Plan of the City

LEATE STOCETS

and the second and still more the metericity detries at an entry of the second second

ter a statistic statistic sector and the property of the property of the sector of the

the second of a second state applicable to the stores of

the state of the second second by the probability of the second s

and the second second

10 10 01 1 AV

1 ,
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10763</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Kesling Modern Structures. Inc., to erect a 14-unit apartment on portion of block 2. La Jolla, Strand, per legal description on file in Planning Office, lying between Playa del Norte and Playa del Sur, with a 11-foot setback on Playa del Sur and a 12-foot setback on Playa del Norte, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______. 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6550

337

Application Received	-52 By	DESouth
FF.		City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 2	<u>5 1952</u> Ву	Padgett Done & South
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision a factor Copy of Resolution sent to City C Planning Commission 6 -27- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Clerk <u>6-26</u> Bu	ilding Inspector <u>6-27-5-2</u> 26-5-2 Health Department 6-27-5-2 uncil Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective		attend to
Application withdrawn		ntinued to
Time limit extended to	Da	ate of action

the problem is the set of the set

They share is an an interest they as they as the bet the bet and

,

.

I THE PARTY PROPERTY

the second second is allow the present of an internet we have been all

and H.H.H. By the control Counsition of the City of Son Bints.

the second secon

the province for the preservation

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10756</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert W. and Marjory C. Maynard to erect second dwelling on North 102 feet of Portion of Lot 23, Block C, Starkey's Prospect Park. 120 feet west of Draper, south side of alley between Nautilus and Bon Air Street, dwelling to face alley and without street frontage, Zone R-2, on condition that a 5-foot strip along the alley be dedicated to the City for future widening.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

core second by Pray Rept 7-14-52 Easement dated 7/14/52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received <u>6-12-52</u> By	B. Tarch
••	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 25 1952</u> By	Padgett & Jones, South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 1952 Decision consider appr.	Hearing date JUN 25 1952
Decision couse. appr.	Date JUN 25 1952
Convot Resolution cent to LITY LIETK 6" 4 6" 34	- Difficing Hispector
Planning Commission 6-47-52 Petitioner	6-29-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and a second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second s

- OAR AFT, I - FOR STRAWN DE SAN DE TOUEN DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE SAN DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE SAN DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE DE TOUENDE DE TOUENDE DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE DE TOUENDE DE TOUENDE DE TOUENDE DE TOUENDE DE TOUEN DE TOUEN DE TOUEN DE TOUEN DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE DE TOUEN DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE DE TOUEN DE TOUENDE DE TOUE

V-1 DEFENDED DE MERENDE DE MERENDES DE MERENDES DE

10 B - 1 * 1

,

CONT OF BRIDE

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10777</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Orin R. Tepner to convert existing garage into living quarters and have 4 feet between structures, Lots 41 and 42, Block 82, City Heights, 3670 - 38th Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 25 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6552

85

Application Received _	6-16-52	Bv	J. Baughinan
Application Received _			City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 25 1052	By	Padgett Jones & South City Planning Department
-		TOPO	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee UN 25	1927 H	earing date IIIN 25 1952
Decision Appr.		D	ate JUN 25 1952 6-27-52 uilding Inspector 6-27-52 26-42 Health Department 6-21-42
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk	26 B	uilding Inspector 6-27-52
Planning Commission	6-27-52 Petitie	oner 6	-26-12 Health Department 6-21-12
Appeal filed with City (Clerk, date	C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council		D	ate
Resolution becomes eff	ective	ALC: NOT	
Application withdrawn			ontinued to
Time limit extended to		Ľ	Date of action

1. - ···

1

,

1. .

and the second second

1 1 1 1 1

4

and the state of the second state before an the state

and a second provide the second reaction of the state of the second seco

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10655</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel Hamilton to convert existing laundry and storage rooms to living quarters by removing laundry tray, putting in vent, stove and shower; existing rooms have 3 foot sideyard and 4-foot rear yard, Southerly 1/2 Lots 1 and 2, Block 135, Central Park Addition, 115 Sou 32nd Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

42

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received _	6-16-52	By <u>b.</u> Tack City Planning Department
Application Received _		City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 25 1952	By <u>Cadgett</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
0		City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee JUN 25 1	952 Hearing date JUN 25 1052 Date JUN 25 T 52
Decision arek.		Date JUN 25 152
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk <u>6-2</u>	Date JUN 20 1.02 6 Building Inspector $6 - 27 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57$
Planning Commission	6-27 -52 Petition	er 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City (Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes eff	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

the set of a set of the set of the

25 maintails allocation beautions and which all and your termination of the second second

the provide the second state of the Second Street S

and man and the second s

,

All the state

Card International Card

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10772</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence A. and Barbara Lane, purchasers, and Tunis F. and Lyall M. Tucker, owners, to erect a single family residence on the Southerly 75 feet of a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in Planning Office, approximately 400 feet north of Montezuma Road, west side of La Dorna Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>6-16-52</u> By <u>DEfouth</u> City Planning Department Investigation made <u>6-25-52</u> By Padge City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6.25-5- Hearing date 6-25-5-2 6 - 2 5 - 5 2 Date Decision apps. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-27</u> Building Inspector <u>6-27.52</u> Planning Commission 6-27.52 Petitioner 6-27.52 Health Department 6-27.52 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council_ Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action 86.53 . 100 i.Ker 150 - - - -TT TATES PERMIT F 2 S 0 0 P V or the second of the second the sides of the LAUNTER AND THE REPARTMENT the local data in the second of the second second the public sectors of the gain interface and The state and have appeared they prove the water of in part of themen, our MonTEZUMA Rd.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Michael and Rose Marie De Stout to erect plumbing shop and living units on second floor with zero setback, on Lots 13 and 14, Block 26, Roseville, westerly corner Shafter and Carleton Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No.

6555

215-

Application Received H	By DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUN 25 1952</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUN 25 1952</u> Decision append. Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	By Padgett Jones & South
JUN 25 1952	Hoosing data IIII 0 -
Considered by Zoning Committee	nearing date 101 25 1952
Decision appe.	Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-36	Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u>
Planning Commission 6 -27 V Petitioner	6-26-12 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the shart has such and a particular that he
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Alegenti i ser de les l'interit a gintra e president president ser president de la segura de la segura de la gen

ning and a second of the second of the second second

the sub- the second of the second of the second of

State in 2 5 mg -

, ,

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10668</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Madelle H. Haigler to construct single family residence on lot, making 13-units, with coverage of 47.8%, Lots 4 thru 7. Block 245, University Heights, 1616 Upas Street, Zone B-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, Section 4a, and Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6556

Application Received	_ By <u>b.</u> Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN 25 1952 Considered by Zoning CommitteeJUN 2	By Palgett Ane + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	& IJ Hearing date JUN 25 1952
Decision appr.	Date JUN 25 1952 Building Inspector oner 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6	2 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 6-27-12 Petiti	oner 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the set of the set of

the second part of second by many of all and second

and the second second

76 4 7

Store .:

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10769</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert K. and Elizabeth S. Cleator to construct addition to rear of existing structure, with a rear yard of 16 feet; on portion Lot 4, Block 118, La Playa, legal description on file in Planning Office, 320 San Fernando, Zone R-1 /and portion Jenkins Street closed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

201

Res No. 6557

Application Received 6-18-52 By	b. Tarch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	P. O. P.
Investigation made By	Hearing date
1611 9E 10EA	City Planning-Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 20 1-2	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 25 1 ² Decision appr.	Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6 - 26</u> Planning Commission 6 - 27 - 12 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u>
Planning Commission 6 = + 7 - 12 Petitioner	(-2(-J-2 Health Department (-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	Dutt
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se a had a fear the fear on the second of the second of the

the second manual and and measure plant the second

states and the part of the ballest and the

the strength of the state of th

and the second sec

the second se

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10780</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bratcher and Russo Corporation to build 4-foot high addition to existing 8-foot high fence, approximately 300 lineal feet, for Drive-In Theater, on portion Lot 6, La Mesa Colony, on Southwest corner 62nd St. and El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received	By DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>IIIN 25 1952</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUN 25</u>	By Padgett Jones & South
ILIN 2C	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25	195Hearing date JUN 25 1052
Decision appr.	Date JUN 25 1952
Decision Capper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-16	Building Inspector 6-27-52
Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitione	r 6-28-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.

11. 2

,

the second secon

The second secon

and the second second

and a set of the set of

.

a la companya da companya d

The second distance of the second second

abient and a present of the of the of the at the present of the state of the state

A second s

The second second

and Stream () A time total Contract ()

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10761</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee

of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and Lucille Robinson to erect a duplex on rear of lot with 7 feet access court to street, access between residences in front of lot, being Lots 6 and 7 and West 10 feet of Lot 8, Block 227 Mannassee and Schiller, 1826 Julian Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance New. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received		By	
**		Cl	City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN	25 1052	By Pa	date - 1959
	0.02 MI 0.5	1052	City Planning Department
Decision appr.		Date 1	
Copy of Resolution sent to City	V Clerk 6-2	Building	Inspector 6 - 17 - 52
Planning Commission 6-27	-J- Petition	er 6-26-	V- Health Department 6 -27-V-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, da	ite	Council I	Hearing, date
Decision of Council	COLUMNICAL	Date	The second s
Resolution becomes effective _	A 1-2 CA.14 - 20	to all the core of	
Application withdrawn		Continue	ed to
Time limit extended to		Date of a	action

the area in a second A AP SAC TE MARKET IN A THE SAC AT A SAC AND A AND A

er tot in the second seco

Just in the

Goran, W. She -

112171 124

1 2 2 2

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 10798 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Epsten, lessee, and Claude and Clara Chandler, owners, to build and operate offices for existing El Rey Trailer Park and sales lot, Lots 314 thru 319, Lot 356, Sunshine Gardens, 303 - 47th Street, Zone R-4, to replace present office and manager's quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 78 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_ , 19 52 June 25 Dated_

FORM 2145

Secretary

162

Res No. 6560

Application Received	By DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUN 25 1052	By <u>Padgett</u> Jones & South City Planning Department 952 Hearing date IIIN 25 1052
	City Planning Department
Decision appr.	Date JUN 20 1.02
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-20	G-V2Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u>
Planning Commission 6-27 -12 Petition	er 2-26-Ve Health Department 6-27-42
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	BELLING MENTLY IN AND AND THE MAN
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the second contract of the second state of the second

- The supply spends is a set

11 1

n par ar for a second de la companya de la company Companya de la company Companya de la company Companya de la companya

The For Link Constitute of the City of Sam Diago,

10 M 10 10 10 10

The second second the second s

a will solve be such that the second of the

the second secon

there is a second second

the second provide the second se

A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10795</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred and Margaret Schmidt to erect duplex on Easterly 42 feet of Westerly 97 feet of Lots 45 thru 48, Block 2, City Heights, South side of Dwight Street, approximately 43 feet West of Nile, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 25

63

, 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received	By Baughinan City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
JUN 25 1052	P. P. a. Son & commission
Investigation made	By Tangett free & south
HEIRY 9	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 4	By Parget Jone South 5 1052 Hearing date <u>111N 25 1052</u> Date <u>1052</u>
Decision (MARI	Date 1111 7 h 10h7
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-	26 Building Inspector 6-27-J2
Planning Commission 6-27-J2 Petit	ioner 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	AND THE STREET WE THEN THE ADDRESS OF THE
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second second

The relation is a first of the relation of the and an arrest state of the second state of the second second state of the second The second second

a gante reveal

The second s

-

,

WHEREAS, Application No. ___10810 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property . owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. N. Leonard to excavate approximately 100 cubic yards of soil to be removed from the site, Lot 3, Resub of portion of Villa Tract, Block G. La Jolla Park, southeast corner of Country Club Drive and Exchange Place, Zone R-1, subject to the Police Dept.'s recommendation as to the disposal of dirt to be dumped in the street.

A variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received	-23-52	By	P. J. Burton City Planning Department
ipplication received			City Planning Department
Investigation made	JN 25 1052	By_	Padgett Jones South City Planning Department
			City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Co	mmittee JUN 25 1	952 H	earing date JUN 25 1052
Decision appr.	and the period had	Da	ate JUN 25 1052 ilding Inspector $6 - 27 - 52$ -26 - 52 Health Department $6 - 27 - 52puncil Hearing date$
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk <u>6-26</u>	BI	ilding Inspector <u>6-27-5</u> 2
Planning Commission 6	-27-52 Petitione	er 6	-26-5-2 Health Department 6-27-5-
Appeal filed with City Cler	k, date	Co	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Da	ate
Resolution becomes effect	ve		
Application withdrawn		Co	ontinued to
Time limit extended to		D	ate of action

/ Prime 1 / Trice a for an a factor, ... con of to rear vist commonly boly NOT endiagramic provide the second of the second second second second second for a fille Second, toold, ... second for a second for a second for a second for a second for a second s

the state of a first set is a state of a state of a state, and is hereby ar blod on the the

service is a service of the service

a series of all the procession of a series of a side of a

.

and the second of the City of Hart Piero

April Bat the

The second states of the second states when the second state when the second states and second states

1105 1105 110 Mob of Mob of Market Start Point and Address of the Start Star

The second of th

and a supply provide the story of the start of the second

5.12 but on contributed by the Smith Constraint

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10796</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alexander Costy to convert single family dwelling into 6-unit apartment, 3 units to have 6-foot, 3-inch access court and one unit to have 7-foot 8-inch access court, on Lot H, Block 256, Horton's Addition, 2220 Second St., Zone R-4, on condition that five paved off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6563

Application Received _	6-23-52	By J. W. In Connecc City Planning Department
Application Received _		City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 25 1952	By <u>Paset</u> Jones - Jones 1952 <u>City Planning Department</u> Hearing date JUN-2.5 1952 Date JUN 2.5 1952 Building Inspector
	REER 25 1	1957 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee JUN 20	Hearing date JUN 25 1952
Decision app	to a sublimit	Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sen	t to City Clerk 6-26	6 Building Inspector 6-27-5-
Planning Commission	6 - 27-5-2 Petition	Date JUN 25 1952 <u>6</u> Building Inspector <u>6-27-5-</u> ner 6-26-5- Health Department 6-27-5-2
Appeal filed with City (Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	and the same set of the	Date
Resolution becomes eff	ective	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

ten la trata. * seconded his was were as a relation on the relation and management on the Annor tore of the of the second of the second Hunit : rest and an analysis a land - was - torb covers courts and an amitigate least Antiquet St. 1 + 2 - 7 ... 12 A far i contra to the for for one rate of the floating faile faile

Emithine to the providence of the condense by bille, retroit de, bo, and to hereby egyptical

server a the second of the sec

. .

,

and the state of the second se

the set of the Hard and hards because the product of shares at

APPEND AND MADE

Tobe:

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10802</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alfred A. De Pauli to split lots 1 and 2, Block 178, Pacific Beach, southeast corner of Emerald and Noyes, into two equal portions and erect single family residence on each parcel, on condition that a 3-foot setback is observed on Noyes and the required City setback is observed on Emerald St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinace No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received 6-23-52 B	y <u>J. W. Jn ^e Conneck</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>IUN 25 1952</u> B	y Padgett Janes & South City Flanning Department
ALLA P dans	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee N 25 1952	Hearing date IIIN 25 1052
Decision appr.	Date JON 25 1952 Building Inspector <u>6-27-V-2</u> 6-26-V2 Health Department 6-27-V-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	Building Inspector <u>6-27-V2</u>
Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitioner	6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

terren i i i de l'ante de l'ante de l'ante de l'ante d'ante de la company de l'ante de la company de la company La la la company de l'ante de l'ante de l'ante de la company de la company de la company de la company de la comp La company

t obernelp attact the sector chevin the til

The second second

the second second

the second second

the state of the set of the set of the set of the set of the

a factor part of the factor

,

WHEREAS, Application No. 10812 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ida Appleford to replace 70 linear feet of existing retaining wall which ranges from 8 feet to 12 feet in height; 35 feet along side property line and 35 feet within property at rear of residence, on Lots 4, 5, and 6, except the East 39 feet, and portion Columbia Street closed. adjacent, Block 122, Middletown, 1250 West Redwood Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 25 52 19____

By_

5.

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received 6- 23-52	By 2. w. In = Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JIIN 25 1952	By <u>Palgett</u> Jane - South City Planning Department Hearing date JUN 25 1952 Date JUN 25 1952 Building Insporter
JUN 25 195	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUN 2 J 1552
Decision appr.	Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitione	r 6-26-52 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	earlier with the second and second and show a
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second s

And the second s

1 ALA -1

20. 1.10 . 67.2 BREAU TRANS

.

with the street atteat the Master Plan of the CLTY

the off replication is an interface to the second of other property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10613</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herry and Marion Phelps to erect an apartment house with court access obstructed to the sky by an ormamental wood framing (eggcrate). Let L. Block 4, Golden Hills Addition, southeast corner of 24th and C Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section Sa, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_52

By

29

FORM 2145

Application Received 6-23-52 E	By_ &- Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN 25 1952 E	By Padgett Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 195	PHearing date JUN 25 1952
Decision app	Date JUN 75 1059
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u>
Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitioner	6-26-12 Health Department 6-27-5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

prove the state of the state state of the state state of the state state of the state state of the state state of the state of the

the succession by affines the Manial Plant of the fight

ATTANCE TRANSIT

A 5 A STATE AND A STATE AND

and a second second

And a second sec

A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER.

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10569</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Livi Crook to erect exert single family residence with 9-foot setback on East 60 feet of Lot 375, Valle Vista Terrace, on Panorama Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_June 25_____, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res No. 6567

Application Received	By & E South City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN 25 1952	By Pad gett Stres - South
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 25 Decision	Date 1111 / 5 195/
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-2 Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitio	26 Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u> oner 6-26-54 Health Department 6-27-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

en de la ferme La ferme de la f

The second second

A PRIMA PARA

the second se

With the state of the second state of the seco

1 3 1120 *

. . .
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10797</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bert F. Ebner, owner, and Walter M. McKellar, purchaser, to construct fireplace projecting into 4-foot sideyard 18 inches, making a 2-foot 6-inch sideyard, Lot 2, Block 252, Roseville, and portion of Lot 97, Point Loma Villas, 3538 Curtis Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Res. No. 6568

225

Application Received	By DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUN 25 1952	By <u>Padgett Jones + South</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUN 25 1 Decision Approx Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	Hearing date 111 25 1952
Decision app.	JJZ Date JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-26	Building Inspector <u>6-27-11</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 27 - 5 > Petition	er 6-26 - Health Department 6-21-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	sectors a sector in matricense starts and should be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

There are an an and a second second to an a second second and a second second second second second second second

Barry - 20 2 - 1 - 1 - 1

and the set of the set

TT.

S*

Te

12

DEP Gal :...

, 1

and and average

- the begins to the

not moniply after the matter of select of

.

and the second second

C.V. Control of the second of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>6555</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6409, dated April 30, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Michael and Rose Marie De Stout to erect a plumbing shop and <u>four living units</u> on second floor, with off-street parking for three cars, on Lots 13 and 14, Block 26, Roseville, westerly corner Shafter and Carleton Streets, Zone R-4, on the <u>following conditions</u>:

1. That all storage be within a building; 2. That all plans be approved architecturally by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

By

Application Received B	y DESouth
-F1	City Planning Department
Investigation made 6 - 21 - 52 B	y <u>Padgett</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-25-52	Hearing date 16-2-5-52
Decision appr.	Date 6-25-52
Decision approximation city Clerk 6-26	Building Inspector 6-27-52
Planning Commission 6 - 27-12 Petitioner	E - 26 - J 2 Health Department 6 - 27 - J L
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

andrine to the solution of the

and there berefare in the order files and but out out of the branch of for burne out , on tobs melabion to have grated to this to me want build be sheat to errot a plathing show

is trootestate for the the today sit To, 1990, no reacted to read any follows a second site of the

10 1100.

141 - 0.

The state of the state of the second plan of the state

- - - it into the state of the internation to the manual state of the best of the second state of the second sta

that the four Society Connectives of the City of San Biego,

and the second second

C 1705 %

- 1 - 11 June 7

1200 July 1

to No Com

,

.

Nº CO

WHEREAS, Applicationx Nox _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of Resolution No. 5652 dated June 27, 1951, which extended Resolution 4802, which extended Resolution No. 4079, which extended Resolution No. 3339 be granted to Susan Truman to operate a child care center, Lots 65 through 69, Block 5, First Adda to Pacific Beach Vista Tract, 945 Archer Street, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. Hours of operation from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., Monday thru Friday;
- Age range of the children to be from 2 years to 12 years; 2.
- This permit to expire June 30, 1953. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25

By.

Application Received	By mail
rippiloution received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Cangett Jones + South
111N 25 10	57 City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUN 20 1 02
Decision Abb	Date IIIN / 5 1957
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6- 56	Building Inspector <u>6-27-55</u>
Planning Commission 6-27-52 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>6-27-57</u> 6-26-57 Health Department 6-27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

Mone

. ,

1 11 20 500

The first of the first of the second of the second of the first of the second of the s

- Olive of a spatial structure of the structure of the spatial structure of the structur

so the first of the area of the filled in a specific the first reaction and the first reaction and the first of the first reaction o Underent mades state of segme states a segment of search states of states and search states of states and search states of states and search states and sear

13.15 A Designable at the 5 she that are Plan of the QLCY

0.8* 10* 0010

and will Add, by manifully detrimental to 1.15 moder toily affect for position or painty of

Theory and the the Linear and The

the state of the second st

WHEREAS, Approximeted June 20, 1952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5320, dated February 7, 1951, be granted to J. O. Davis to operate retail nursery, in connection with wholesale nursery now operated under Resolution No. 2813, on Lots 33 and 34, Block 81, University Heights, 4436 Cleveland, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- That business be conducted at rear of property: 1.
- That there be no advertising other than one existing sign 12 inches by 2. 30 inches, designating use of property:
- That there be no employees other than Mr. and Mrs. Davis;
- That this permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_____, 19_52 Dated___ June 25

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6571

11.22 - 21		as a construction of the second state of the s
Application Receive	ed By	City Planning Department
Investigation made	JUN 25 1952 By	<u>Padgett Jones South</u> <u>City Planning Department</u> <u>City Planning Department</u> Hearing date _ JUN 25 1952
Copy of Resolution Planning Commissi Appeal filed with Ci Decision of Council Resolution becomes	sent to City Clerk <u>6-26</u> on 6-27-52 Petitioner 6 ty Clerk, date effective	Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u> -26-52 Health Department <u>6-27-52</u> Council Hearing, date <u>Date</u>
Application withdra Time limit extended	wn	Continued to Date of action
Time Innit extended		
witch on		
Concern and the		the property of the solution of the property.
	And the second s	and the same and the same and the
		esp)
	The second s	ore a great out adoptionally light is method in
ander ver litte		The rest of the second of the
and the second s	The first in the second second second	LET W SET POTS FOR BUT BET LETMA DESCRIPTION
THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	to cectotion on 5300,	a the rebrains 1, 4951, be structed to 2. C.
		. L advantation allows the shares Plantad the first
		mond, mode and and a be materially detrimented in
		the barrent of the affect the health or safety of
		the time beauty included an entropy of the part and and
		orgenerated for the presentation
		the short Recently have been brocket at the arms
		succession a semilitaria applicable to the property
		- topologic februaries and then also and the same terms
		the substal by the forthe Court to a
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

-19

Life tes tees

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 4549, dated March 22, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 952, dated May 24, 1945, be granted to M. L. Nelson to slaughter and sell at retail, chickens raised at 216 South 55th Street, on Lot K, Block 3, Las Alturas No. 2, for a period of one year, to expire June 30, 1953, subject to all requirements of the Health Dept., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

By_

Application Received _	6-23-52	By <u>G. Tuck</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	100 25 19 52	
Considered by Zoning Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sen	Committee 7961 97	By <u>Padgett</u> Jones + Jonett City Planning Department M Hearing date JUN 25 1952 Date JUN 25 1952 -D-Building Inspector <u>6-27-52</u> er 6-27-52 Health Department 6-27-52 Council Hearing data
Appeal filed with City C Decision of Council	Jerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effe Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	ective	Continued to Date of action

the state of the s

A contraction to contract a contract and the answer of 2500, with contraction was the total and and a contract of the contract and the boar a provide the contract and and the total and the contract of the method. A contract and the contract of the method. A contract of a contract of the method. A contract and the contract of the method. A contract of the method of the contract of the method of the contract of the contract

. . . .

and the second

and the second of the second s

Gent Bor young

A provide a subject of the second state of

the state of a state of the sta

the second second the second second the second second the second se

RESOLUTION NO. 65

6573

Letter dated June 23, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from expiration date of Resolution No. 5643, June 27, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 4794 dated June 28, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4105 dated August 29, 1949, which extended Resolution No. 3412 dated Sept. 8 1948, be granted to Ralph E. Beck to operate a knife sharpening and repair shop in existing storage building at 3871 Alpha Street, on Lots 19 and 20, Block 419, Duncan's Addition, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

1. Part-time, not to exceed 25 hours per week; 2. No signs to be erected on the premises; 3. No employees;

4. This permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 25 , 19 52

By_

Application Received By	1
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>IIIN 25 1952</u> By Considered by Zoning Committed <u>UN 25 1952</u> Decision <u>Appr.</u>	Padgett Jones & South
LUN OF SOFO	Gity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UN 25 1952	Hearing date JUN 23 157
Decision appr. 1 yr.	Date JUN 25 1 52
Decision appr. 1 yr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-26-52</u>	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 6-27-v-2 Petitioner	6 -21-12 Health Department 6 -27-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	an tor weath the many contraction of the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second second states and the second s

i station to prove the second station of the second s

the second s

For bissing the maps when so and

1 TH C 1 TH

Contract of the

. .

which is a set of the set of the partition of the set o

the state of the second designed in the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10771</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ he materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gustav and Esther Wittfoht to erect a single family residence on portion of the Southwest Quarter of Quarter Section S1, Rancho de la Nacion, at approximately 2443 Sea Breeze Drive, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- That an easement 25 feet in width along the Westerly line of the property be dedicated to the City for the future widening of Sea Breeze Drive;
- That this easement be excavated to street grade, at owner's expense. 2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property Easement obtained by Propiled . 7. 22-52 described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1952 FORM 2145

By

Van V

Application Received ______ 6-16-52 By d. Base City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By___ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-25-52 Hearing date 6-25-52 Date 6-15-5-Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-30-52 Building Inspector 6 7-2-52 Planning Commission 7 - 2 - 5-2 Petitioner 6 - 30 - 52 Health Department 7 - 2 - 52 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 6537 D6. DU T La Kitit THEOLOGIA - A PROX DATE G.4 . HE THE CHERICA 7.7 . . . 1 1 Contragence 200' 4 Latra stati rue of roll Stohut p) CumberLANd 011 FD - T - T S TUS GALLET PT AND A many to the second property of the date of the the second of the second of the second second Commission of the Citch of San Diega, , U EDGEWATER N 0 des will apply outer willy detrined ap 0 7 to King a to the her the her to be to be the 1175 b 8 U 5

VIII BUT BUT

173

RESOLUTION NO. 107671

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Robert R. Allen, 5906 Bellevue Avenue, La Jolla, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting permission to Mazel E. Kutzmar and Viola Ernsting, to erect a concrete block wall, 4 feet 6 inches high, 17 feet from the front property line, but in any case, not to be constructed out beyond the line of the existing residence three doors to the south, on the south 1/2 of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block 36, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, at 5930 Bellevue Avenue, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby denied and overruled and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

(Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6575, Application No. 10782

BX

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Repolation Nor thin 107 he Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council. 2 4 1952 FRED Aity Merli HELEN ICITYTELANNING BEPT. By Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10782</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hazel E. Kutzner and Viola Ernsting to erect a concrete block wall, 4 feet, 6 inches high, 17 feet from the front property line, but in any case, not to be constructed out beyond the line of the existing residence three doors to the south, on the south 1/2 of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4, Block 36, La Jolla Hermosa No. 2, 5930 Bellevue Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4851 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

appeal 7-24-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 9 _____, 19 __ 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6575

335

Application Received &	2 By DE South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $7 - g - \sqrt{2}$	By <u>Padgett</u> , Laucaster & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 7-	9-52 Hearing date 7-9-52
Decision appr.	Date 7-9-5-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date $7 - 9 - 5^2$ $1 - 10 - 5^2$ Building Inspector $7 - 17 - 5^2$
Planning Commission 7-17-12 Pe	titioner 7-10-52 Health Department 7-17-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	as served of their she had and word ward and she
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

evaluate a the end of a local set of the terminal matching of the terminal terminal to the terminal sector.

constructed construction is a construction of a construction for construction break with the construction of a construction of the construction of the design of the construction restriction of a construction of a construction of the construction of the design of the restriction of a construction of a construction of the construction of the design of the restriction of a construction of a construction of the construction of the design of the restriction of a construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the construction of the design of the design of the restriction of the construction of the design of the design of the design of the design of the restriction of the design of the restriction of the design of the

,

.

the said that we will be a provide the second the second s

the second second

and the second s

Construction and a provent frame and a part of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10785</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission 1s hereby granted to Lewis C. and Cora M. Johnson to erect a 7-unit multiple dwelling on Lots 57, 58 and 59. Block 4, Fleischer Subd., Hayes Ave., West of 10th. Zone R-2; on condition that seven paved off-street parking spaces be maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received B	y l. Baughman
The second s	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9 1052 B	y <u>Pelgett</u> <u>Lanceste</u> Jonth City Planning Department Hearing date <u>UL</u> 9 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 1952	Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Decision Copper Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10	Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10	Building Inspector <u>7-14-52</u>
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner	7-10-17-Health Department 7-14-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the short the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the state of the s

.

DOLLS 1

10000.10

1

a rea page i te

7.

If he pass but the lower she have black at the Carly

6577

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10791</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Lucile Lyle to split out the East 1/2 of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Pueblo Lot 1774, and erect a single family residence, being 600 feet East of La Jolla Mesa Drive, on the North side of La Jolla Rancho Road, Zone R-1A, on condition that an easement, 30 feet in width, along the Northerly line of this parcel, be dedicated to the City for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4715 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Secretary R

Res. No. 6577

333

_ By the c Application Received 7 - 1 - 5213a City Planning Department 9 1052 JUL + Lout By Pada dauc Investigation made _ 9 1952_{Hearing date} Date JUL 9 1952 Considered by Zoning Committee JUL conde appr Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14 Building Inspector 7-14-VTL Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner 7-14-5- Health Department 7-14-5-Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action 30' 30' RETURI 107.2 - -- --- 57 n 0 00 15 200 200 0 1 1 2 2 , JOLLA RANCHO LA Rd. -in JoLLA Mein C Scen LA JOLLA -200' 4

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10718</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. F. and Ernesteen Egley to convert existing garage to living units, making a total of 7 units on the lot, the 7th unit having a 3-foot side yard and a 7-foot access court, on Lots F and G, Block 144, Mission Beach, 812 El Carmel Place, Zone R-4; on condition that at least six paved off-street parking spaces are provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 1952

FORM 2145

By___

By B. Tarch
City Planning Department
2 By Palgett Lencest South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
9 1952 Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Date 101 9 1952
7-10 Building Inspector 7-14-52
titioner 7-10-12 Health Department 7-14- 12
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

Andreas and a second se

19

Service -

, , ,

KANNY THE REAL PROPERTY.

State of the state of the press of the Chart

and the a state of the price of the press

the second s

10792

WHEREAS, Application No. ____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are __ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ 'necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will net be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clara M. Finch to erect a single family residence on the East 65 feet and the North 4 feet of the West 75 feet of Lot 3 and the East 65 feet of Lot 4, Block 60, University Heights, 4587 Chio Street, Zone R-4, this parcel to be served by a 4-foot easement to Ohio Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 12, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 9 , 19 52 Dated_

By_

76

Application Received 7-1-52 By	1_ & Tasch
- 0 e m/b	City Planning Department
JUL 9 1952	
Investigation made By	Padgett dancales South
	Padgett dancaster South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11 9 1052	Hearing date JUL 9 192
Desision of Mar	Date 111 9 1952
Decision Copper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10	Building Inspector <u>7-14-52</u> 7-10-52 Health Department <u>7-14-52</u>
Planning Commission 7-14-12 Petitioner	7-10-12 Health Department 7-14-1-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A for her to be a group of the set of the set of the set of the best of the promptic for the of the of the set of the set

21 13 18

and the other of the

CART -

1014 H

,

with more parties which are non-public or emissions and the

A DESTRUCTION OF A DESTRUCTUON OF A DEST

the part of the second se

the second of the spin to be the second state and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9113</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Laurence E. and Margarette I. McCaw to construct a single family residence on the Southeast 60 feet of a parcel split out of Pueblo Lot 1215, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on the West side of Linda Vista Road, approximately 1/4 mile North of Linda Vista limits, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- 1. That a 25-foot easement along the entire south line of the property be dedicated to the City for street purposes; (approximately 278 feet)
- 2. That all improvements, according to the City Engineering Dept., be installed on the Southeast 60-foot parcel;
- 3. That no other construction of residences will be requested or approved unless and until a subdivision map has been approved by the City; at which time all improvements of streets will be installed:

4. Location of residence on above parcel to be approved by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

By_

and water	1.	3	1.	
Application Received	2-27-11	By Van-	Vise City Planning Departm	nent
	A 1000		102 00 CON	1
Investigation made	IUL <u>9</u> 1°52	By Jelge	City Planning Departm	South
		Hearing da		rient
Considered by Zoning C Decision	ommittee OL J 130		Concerning of the second	
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 7-10	_ Building In	9 1052 spector <u>7-14-</u>	12
Planning Commission	7-14-32 Petitioner	7-10-12	Health Department	nt 7-14-12
Appeal filed with City Cl Decision of Council	erk, date	Date	aring, date	
Resolution becomes effect	tive			
Application withdrawn		Date of act		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Time limit extended to				
The second of the		actual spins a	and the state of the second	Terrorbey Deak.
to a gar	22 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	503	14	
	ALL IN THE PARTY OF	1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		sit that of planting
3. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	a been a	1	a Seated Se	shorowed and are only
	and a best			
and the second s	and the strength	Cu 210, 1, 103	a contraction and a	. Do' 10 monthead of
10		there are and	CAN DO LAND	
	TANK IN IN	u stau or	The same of the set	owenty be applied to
COPERS IN CONTRACT				
		in the p	1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and the series have
		•	1	Configuration of the state
,		· / · · · / ···		The at any proto
,		1 1		
	_ /	N	HA HEARD HAN MAN	hard an in case
	1	N		
	m	/	the second state of the se	
		/		
		-	202170	
				A RA PERSONAL DECEMBER AND
	Not the second s	Annual transfer		
	2/ 5	7.0011	200	Real Constantion of the
	1 1	3-1	PR Ling	
	/		RIA	LOOP IN MI IDE FRAME
			200	the second second second
				Unit Lane plan wh
			COLUMN DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY	
			4	

•

a Trip a bi

Ņ

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10816</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to William, Lawrence and Mae Allen to convert storage/into living unit with 12-inch side yard and 3 feet, 6 inches between dwellings, on Lots 39 and 40, Block 58, H. M. Higgins Addition, 2762 C Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 1952

FORM 2145

By

29

room and garage

Application Received 7-1-52	By >. h & Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1952	By <u>Palgett</u> Lancasta South 1952 Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Decision Denied	Date JUL 9 1057
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	10 Building Inspector 7-14-1-
Planning Commission 7-14-1-2-Petitic	oner 7-10-1- Health Department 7-14-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

art official activity of the second of the s

Lieution of the second of the second structure of the second of the seco

AL CROCKLESS AND AND

11. 1

of the City of Sub Dimen-

They are the

a start the physics like of the first

the second second

the second second

The second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10800</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mario and Thelma Vismara to erect garage 40 feet back of front property line with one foot side yard, Lot 6, Block 1, Laurel Heights, 2603 Kew Terrace, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 9 Dated_____, 19

Secretary Res. No. 6582

59

52

Application Received 6 - 25 - 5- By	B. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1052 By	Palgett Ancester South City Planning Department " Hearing date <u>JUL 9 1952</u> Date JUL 9 1 Puilding Inspector
111 0 7952	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Decision akter I	Date JUL 91.2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10	Building Inspector 7-14-54
Planning Commission >- 14-12 Petitioner 7	Building Inspector <u>7-14-5-</u> -10-5-2 Health Department 7-14-5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Tippear mien only cicik, date	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the start of the second s

-

where we be seen to percept.

and the second states and the

the second second

and the second of the production of the

W I

502

,

and the second second

a and the second second second

Service of the servic

and the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10811</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl V. and Beryl L. Mustol to erect an 18 foot by 20 foot garage addition to an existing non-conforming residence, on Lots 25 and 26, except the Northeasterly 65 feet, Block 36, Ocean Beach, 1728 Where, Zone H-2, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the existing building to be placed on a solid foundation;
- 2. That the entire building to be stuccoed, including the new garage;
- 3. That the new garage to be roofed with composition chingles, making a
- permanent roof to match the one to be placed on the existing residence;
- 4. That a facia board to be added around the existing roof eaves.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

Res. No. 6583

By <u>J. m. Connell</u> City Planning Department By <u>Partyett</u> , <u>Jean Journal</u> City Planning Department City Planning Department Phearing date <u>JUL 9 1952</u> Date <u>JUL 9 1952</u> Building Inspector <u>7 - 14 - 5 - 2</u> 7 - 11 - 5 - 4 Health Department 7 - 14 - 5 - 2
City Planning Department City Planning Department Date JUL 9 1952 Date JUL 9 1952 Building Inspector <u>7-14-5</u>
City Planning Department City Planning Department Date JUL 9 1952 Date JUL 9 1952 Building Inspector <u>7-14-5</u>
Building Inspector 7-14-5-2
Date 10 9 1952 Building Inspector 7-14-5-2
Building Inspector 7-14-52
2-11-12 Health Department 7 11/15
_ Council Hearing, date Date
Date
Continued to
_ Date of action
the experiment of the for a subscript decimpany up
art i way in persons Endly
a second s
top of the state of the state
This - I the property states
THE REPORT OF
an on the second states of the
2.4 Constraints of the second state of the second states of the second s
the second and the last on the second
and the second sec
the period of th
and the state of the Denner Constitution
and the Denner Department in

and and

.

Sher of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12793</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Furcell and Edress Webb to erect four units crossing the lot line of Lots 39 and 40, Block 25, Ocean Beach, 4600 block on Santa Monica, Zone R-2; on condition that the plans are approved by the Flanning Office and paved off-street parking spaces for four cars are provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is here by granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 9 , 19 52

By

219

Application Received 6-27-52	- By Jasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9 1°52	By <u>Palgett Lac carta</u> South City Planning Department 1952 Hearing date JUL 9 1952
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUL 9	LUU/ I Tean mg date
Decision Conde, appe	Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1	D Building Inspector 7-14-52
Planning Commission 7-14-12 Petition	Date JUL 9 1952 Description Department 2-19-15-2 Mer 7-10-15- Health Department 2-19-15-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the second has been and a second and the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Alticular of the second s

These and the second second second the second secon

which is the style of the two instant Place of the Car

anty monoton and the second se

the second second of the second secon

West and Dist

The second state of the se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10805</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. and Mary C. Palmer to erect single family residence on Northerly 50 feet Lot 7, except East 100 ft. C. M. Doty's Addition, Emelene Street between Malden and Beryl Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

By_

317
Application Received 6-27-52]	By J. Jos & Connece City Planning Department
ri	City Planning Department
1111 A 1023	By Palgett Seconter South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1111 9 19	52Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Decision Exper.	Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10	Building Inspector 7-14-52
Planning Commission 7-14-02 Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1008

DERI STATISTICS

,

The Bull of the second

the Big I' I' and a second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10830</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to The Church of Christ of Linda Vista, Rev. John G. Bills. Minister, to erect a church on portion of Pueblo Lot 1215, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, at the north intersection of Linda Vista Road and Highway 395. Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby DINIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6586

406A

Application ReceivedI	By J. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeJUL 9 1952 H	By <u>Palgett</u> , <u>Laucester</u> + South City Planning Department Date JUL 9 1952
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 1934	Hearing date JUL 9 1552
Decision Denied	Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10-	Building Inspector 7-14-12
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner	7-10-5- Health Department 7-14-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

F 1 1 1

and both the states

1200 Deale

A Montion for a star by Lie provide a structure of the start of the structure of the subscript for

TELLIN D. Cha Fartha Constitute of the CATY of Sen Biett

which and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state

and the second second

the second particular in the second s

and a second sec

the second second second from the second sec

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10794</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred B. Mitchell to erect an apartment house with a four-foot sotback on Eighth Avenue and a two-foot setback from the turn-off on Cabrillo Freeway to Washington Street at Eighth Avenue, Lots 37, 35 and 39, Fleisher's Addition, Northeast corner of Eighth and Washington, Zones R-4 and R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 1952

By_

Application Received	By S. A. Treech City Planning Department
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1952	By <u>Padgett</u> , Lancaster & South 1952 City Planning Department Hearing date JUL 9 1952
1111 0	1957 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUL 9</u>	Hearing date JUL 9 1952
	Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution cent to City Clerk 7-10	Building Inspector 2-14-52
Planning Commission 2-14-12 Petitione	er 7-10-V- Health Department 7-14
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

mention and the second second set of the second second second second second second second second second second

,

the Statute Consistent of the City of Sen Stated

and the state over party of the second state

the second second

the state of the second s

I the provide the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10831</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Amariah B. and Claribel W. Cartwright to erect a residence on the West 1/2 of the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Fueblo Lot 1774, on the North side of La Jolla Rancho Road, approximately 450 feet East of La Jolla Mesa Drive, Zone R-1A; on condition that an easement 30 feet in width, along the Northerly line of this parcel, be dedicated to the City for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4715 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Easements obtained 7=28-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By.

Secretary Res. No. 6588

373

Application Received <u>6-30-52</u> By	D. C. Baughman
Investigation made JUL 9 1952 By	Padgett, Laveaster & South City Planning Department
1111 0 1059	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9 1952</u>	Hearing date JUL 9 1902
Decision coul app.	Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /-/4_	Building Inspector <u>7-14-5</u>
Planning Commission 7-14 - 52 Petitioner	7-14-J-Health Department 7-14-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

See Res. # 6577

D TO THE CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE

· · · ·

Bung 10/11 - -NOVER OF STATES

,

. 1.7

and the second the provided and the second second

and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ethel M. Grawford to erect a duplex in rear of existing single family residence, making three units on Lots 1 and 2, Block 12, Ocean Beach, Northwesterly corner Froude and Pescadero Streets, Zone R-2; on condition that three paved off-street parking spaces be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____July 9 , 19 52

212

FORM 2145

Application Received 7-2-52 By 3, m= Connect
City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1952 By Padgett Pencester South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 1952 Hearing date 101 9 1952
Decision conce apper Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-10</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-52</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10 Building Inspector 7-14-12
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner >-10-12 Health Department 7-14-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action
141

And the state of the

V AL

Later and Day To

1 .

NET 10* (L.C.)

and with Kut to make the state of an international the

send the state of the second second state and

WHEREAS, Application No. __ 10817 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City 4. That the granting of the variance will of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph H. Millard to erect a residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1257, per legal description on file in Planning Office, approximately at intersection of W. Muirlands Drive and Muirlands Drive, Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- That an easement be dedicated to the City for street purposes, per drawing on 1. file in Planning Office:
- 2. That a setback of 45 feet from the original Northerly property line of said parcel be maintained and that no structures project out beyond a line drawn perpendicular to the Easterly property line a distance of approximately 55 feet at the said 45-foot setback line;
- 3. That when the subdivision to the West of this property is developed, the street will be improved by installing curbing and paving to conform with the new subdivision, and any other improvements in accordance with the requirements of the

City Engineer's Office. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Easement granted 7-29-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated______, 19 52

By_

man when the second sec	DR D R
Application Received $7 - 2 - 5^2$ By	City Planning Department
	Padgett Sancaster + South City Planning Department
Decision come appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-14 Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date JUL 9 1952 Date JUL 9 1952 Building Inspector 7-14-52 7-14 52 Health Department 7-14-52 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
5	and the second second and the second second
2.	2
the second second second second second second second second	
	1
Elw.	hours c.
En.	Committee & To city of San Diego.
Millard Port	
THE T	
· VA SON YTY !!	Contraction of the second state of the second
n nino	
ĺ.	the second
Cán you	the second
= < +	the second descent of the second seco
,	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10828</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rudolph and Betty Sokolowski to erect duplex in addition to existing single family residence, making total of three living units on Lots 33 and 34, Block D, Montclair, 3206 Nile Street between Thornand Redwood Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received 7-2-52 By me Com	rel
City	Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUL 9 1952</u> By <u>Palgutt</u> Considered by Zoning Committee <u>JUL 9 1952</u> Hearing date JI Decision & ppr. Date JUL 9 19	Lancaster South
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date	DT A TAR
Decision & peper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-10</u> Planning Commission 7-14-5 Petitioner 7-10-52 Here	52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-10 Building Inspector	or 7-14-12
Planning Commission 7-14-5 Petitioner 7-10-52 Hea	alth Department 7-14-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing,	date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the stand of the stand of the stand of the

by

T . LpoAt .

12-12-1-1

101 P191 P

Augent theme for 1

_____ ;

5 1 8+ . ;

1.10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10776</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. and Helen L. Syphers to construct a four-unit epartment crossing the lot line of Lots 31 and 32, Block 42, Ocean Beach Subdivision, 150 feet west of Ebers Street, on the south side of Bermuda Ave., Zone E-2; on condition that all plans are approved by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 1952

Secretary

212

FORM 2145

Application Received 7-2-J-2 By	S. A. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1952 By	Product Leucester South City Planning Department earing date 101 9 1952
2000 @ 10E3	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee JUL 9 1957 He	aring date 191 9 1052
Decision Appen. Da	te JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10 Bu	ilding Inspector 7-14-5-2
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner 7-	10 - 12 Health Department 7-14-52
	uncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Da	te
Resolution becomes effective	and the set of the set
Application withdrawn Co	ntinued to
	te of action

a ar an an and the second and the second and the

- Al in the share and the set of the start in the set of the set o

Carshy article for the

1

some solly offered the money film of the class

1

3 F.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10849</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Solomon and Rose Kaplan to erect a duplex in rear of existing single family residence, making a total of three units on the property, two units to be served by a 9-foot, 4-inch access court, on the South 18 feet of Lot 14 and the North 16 feet of Lot 15, Block 117, University Heights, 4221 Ohio Street, Zone B-4; on condition that the existing garage be moved to the rear of the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section SA, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received 7-2-52	By F. M= Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>JUL 9 1952</u> Considered by Zoning Committee	By <u>Padgett</u> Loucasta South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUL 9 1052 Date JUL 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10	Building Inspector 7-14- J-2
Planning Commission 7-14-12-Petitioner	7-10-52 Health Department 7-14-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Provideling Provi

Y Manageri a a staffari i staffaring manageri se paek sin pe ra ra ra san sa

WE FOLLAS . N.S. .

,

10 00

the second the city of San Dictor

THE PARTY AND A THE ETTRE

The second second the second s

and the second s

the second second

troff - ----

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10820</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. H. Rhodenbaugh to split Lot 13, of Block 12, Beverly, North side of Hilltop Drive, 180 feet east of Winston Drive, into two parcels and erect a single family residence on each parcel, according to plot plan on file in Planning Office, on condition that a 20-foot easement along the Southerly line of the front parcel to deeded and made of record for access to the rear parcel.

I variance to the provisions of the Ordinance No. 29 New Series, be, and is hereby granted is to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

mated_____July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6594

156

Application Received 7-2-52 By 2 2 South City Planning Department			
Investigation made By By By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 1952 Hearing date Hearing date Hearing date Date			
Considered by Zoning Committee 1 J 1002 Hearing date JUL J 1002			
Decision appr. conde. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10 Building Inspector 1952 7-14-57 Planning Commission 7-14-572 Petitioner 7-10-15- Health Department 7-14-572			
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10 Building Inspector 1932 7-14-52			
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner 7-10-1- Health Department 7-14-52			
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date			
Decision of Council Date			
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn Continued to			
Time limit extended to Date of action			

Prove the second s

bra swa y A

____,

the feeling committees at the City of Sur Diving

The second se

about alor really

the second secon

the second second

the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10841</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James N. and Lilah A. Baldry erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 174, per legal description on file in Planning Office, approximately 120 feet West of 730 Rosecrans Street, Zone R-1; this Resolution not to become effective until the necessary easement has been obtained from the adjacent property owner to complete a driveway into the above parcel; said easement to be a minimum of 20 feet, in width.

Permission is also granted to excavate approximately 3000 cubic yards of sandstone, on condition that the cut be a maximum of 15 feet, and that a 4-foot masonry wall or cyclone fence be constructed at the rear of the property adjacent to the Kraft property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 32 New Series and 8924, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted as to the provisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Alexandrica wards and allow Application Received _____ By J.m. Connecc City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By _____ By _____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 7-9-52 Hearing date 7-9-52 Decision Eunsie appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-1152</u> Building Inspector <u>7-14-52</u> Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner 7-11-52 Health Department 7-14-52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to P.L. 174 the partition of a part way that and Reg -use a start the second of second EASEMEN BALdry . F. 96 1 . . . 1911 and they will be added as preparity and the 81.27 in the low and the state of the the state of the second to be a second to The state of the Chine of the Dielo 1.1.1.1 Last the person have at the Cash P.L. 175 an-up) 1 in the product because over all equally burgers CONTRACTOR AND AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS 4 5 A surround in the second product in the life should L and the second s second to a second to the processing with a property of the process of the Rosecrans 5 T.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10707</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K-P-L, Incorporated, George Klucka, Jr. V. P., to split off the Northwesterly 50 feet as a separate building site, making total of 4 building sites on 3 lots; with right to erect single family residence on each, 15 ft. setback on Niagara Ave. for NWly 50 ft. of said lots will be maintained, Lots 4, 5 and 6, Catalina Park, Northerly corner Catalina Bark and Niagara Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By.

Application Received _	7-	2 -	52	_By_	2. m = 0	Connecl
					City	y Planning Department
Investigation made	JUL	91	952	By_	Palgett,	Leucester South
			JUL 9	1952	City	y Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Commit	tee _	JOF A	H	earing date	11 9 1952
Decision appr.				D	ate JUL 9 19)52
Copy of Resolution sent	t to City	Cler	rk 7-10	B	ulding Inspecto	or 7-14-5-
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission	7-14	c - 1 x	Petition	er >	-10-1-2 Hea	alth Department 7 - 14 - 12
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, da	te	and the second second	C	ouncil Hearing,	, date
Decision of Council				D	ate	I HORAN ENGLAND THE COLUMN
Resolution becomes effe	ective		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Ton the Fit by	
Application withdrawn					ontinued to	
Time limit extended to			-	D	ate of action	

The second se

yo tele - , the '

- ----

1

The state of the state of the state of the Care

and the second of the

a contract of the second of th

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10708</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K-P-L-, Incorporated, George Klicka, Jr. V.P., to excavate approximately 7000 cubic yards of loam for preparation of building sites, Lots 1 thru 6, Catalina Park, Northerly corner Calalina Blvd. and Niagara Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated July 9 , 19 52

Secretary Res No. 6597

218

Application Received By	Z. m connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1952 By Considered by Zoning Committee Decision ppr.	Padgett, Laucaster South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date JUL 9 1952
Decision Appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-10	DateJUL 9 1952
Copy of Recolution cent to City Clerk 7-10	Building Inspector 7-14-54
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner7	-10 - 1-2 Health Department 7-14-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

JAS CONTY -

0.57.

IN YA ''

, ,

and the second to be been the second the start

Mary Market

will solution the printing of the printing of the second of the second of the printing of the second of the second

the state of the s

the support of the support of the support of

representation of the start of the port of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10836</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred Corey, owner, and Armin Richter, purchaser, to redivide Lots 1 and 15, Block G. La Jolla Park Villa Tract, Soledad and Al Bahr Streets, Zone R-1, into two parcels, one parcel to have a frontage of 60 feet, being all of Lot 1 and the Last 10 feet of Lot 15; parcel two to have a frontage of 70 feet, being all of Lot 15 encept the East 10 feet.

A verience to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received	By b. Jacob
	City Planning Department
Investigation made JUL 9 1952	By <u>Calgett</u> <u>Sencaste</u> South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 195	Hearing date 10 9 1052
Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 1955 Decision	Date JUL 9 1°52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-11	Building Inspector 7-14-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-11</u> Planning Commission <u>7-14-5-</u> Petitioner	7-11-J-2 Health Department 7-14-J-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

good i wow for any other and the set of the

stellar i state i stat

[杨]

CONTRACTOR

,

The state

mot clot chick

W & PC Mark / Mark

the second second the teath or salety of

Supra a the state of the same same at the

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10843</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to William S. and Ada J. Moyer to erect a duplex in rear of existing residence with a 5-foot access court to street, on Lots 34 and 35, Block 4, Berkeley Heights, 5350 Wightman, Zone R-4.

Explication for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8A, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received	52	By F. W. In - Connell
		City Planning Department
Investigation madeIUL	9 1952	By <u>Padyett</u> , <u>Lancaster</u> + <u>South</u> City Planning Department
-	1111 O	1052 City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	JUL A	it caring date some
Decision Armical		Date 1111 9 1 32
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cl	erk 7-10	V2 Building Inspector 7-14-52
Planning Commission 7-14-5	~ Petition	er 7 - 10 - 52 Health Department 7 - 14 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	CHICLES COT	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	ALC: NOT ALC	Date
Resolution becomes effective		and of the second the second s
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

Manapary will have a start without of the same the start of the start

and he part a way to

CHAPTER DEET A SA

, 11

11

2. A second s

The presentation of the presentation

the second second

I have been and the set of the base first

Letter dated July 8. 1952

WHEREAS, APPRINGELINA. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6058, dated December 12, 1951, which amended Resolution No. 5896, dated October 3, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Amos J. and Emma L. Fuson to erect 4 living units on two lots, with 50% coverage, Lots 8 and 9, Block 243, University Heights, north side of Brooks Ave. between Park Blvd. and Herbert St., Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the appearance of the structure be substantially as shown on plans submitted; 2. That a garage be constructed at the rear of the property to house 4 cars and sim-
- ilar in appearance to the 4-unit apartment building;
- That a concrete block wall 6 ft. in height be constructed on the west line of 3. property, commencing at the front of the building and extending northerly along the lot line beyond the most northerly door opening on the west side of the building:
- 4. That a 5-foot flower box be constructed across a portion of the opening between the building and the wall along the westerly property line;
- That the remainder of the opening be filled in with 50 percent lattice type fence 5.

of a minimum height of 5 feet, with shrubs to be planted in front. variance to the Ordinance No. 12985 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 9 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6621 6600

FORM 2145

Dated____

Application Received E	By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9 1952 H	In Palaett Laucester & South
Considered by Zoning CommitteeUL 9 1952 Decision Course appr	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee UL 9 1992	Hearing date III 0 1052
Decision counte appr	Date 11 9 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 7-14-52 Petitioner	1-14-1 L Health Department 1-14-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Time limit extended to	_ Date of action

Taker - T.I

. 11

I PECCA. ANT THOSE HER.

. .

17

a ne ser property and the second of a second s

f a a ministra formagangas agrandings property in the inter a second distance and the second structures

the second of the regiment of

A series of the series of the

a control Committee of the first of San Diego.

constructions and a state of the second state

survey of the period for a presented by writer property

POLICE PROPERTY AND

date in house the street the floring Plan of the City