

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15-of Ordinance No. 8924, raspamended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are_ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6563, dated June 25, 1952, be smended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alexander Costy to convert single family dwelling into 6-unit spartment with 2-foot side yard, 3 units to have 5-foot access court and one unit to have 7 foot, 8-inch access court, on Lot H, Block 256, Horton's Addition, 2220 Second Street, Zone R-1, on condition that five paved off-street parking spaces be provided on the property, subject to approval by the Building Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5924, Section SA, and Municipal Code 101.0601. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19_52 Ang. 6 Dated___

By.

4897

Application Received	7 - 3, AÙG 6		By	City Planning Department
Investigation made		1952	By	City Planning Desetment
Considered by Zoning Decision Copy of Resolution sent		1-1- 7-	8	DateAUG 6 1952 Building Inspector 8 - 8 - 12
Appeal filed with City C	lerk, date	2 I CUU	onei	Sunding Inspector $_$ 8' - 8' - 4' - Health Department $8' - 8 - 4' - 4' - 4' - 4' - 4' - 4' - 4$
Resolution becomes effe Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	ctive			Continued to Date of action

the second second of the second s

A TRANSPORT OF T

THE A REPORT OF A

and the second s

the second se

ren. To other

The second se

The Veraliand Mouth.

and the second of the second second second

Letter dated 8-4-52

WHEREAS, Applindation No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6069, dated December 12, 1951, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold M. and Barbara L. Dresbach to construct a duplex with 9 foot rear yard, on portion of building, Lot 10, Block 5, Nettleship Tye Tract No. 1, on Ocean Boulevard adjoining 4853 Ocean Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 6

, 19_52

Application Received	8-5-5-	By	DESouth City Planning Department
Investigation made	AUG 6 105	<u>52 Ву</u>	
Considered by Zoning Co Decision approx	AUG	6 1952	<u>Laucaster</u> Pedgett, Sriffin Sout City Planning Department Hearing date <u>AUG 6 1052</u> Date AUG 6 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk_	8-7	Date AUG 6 1992 Building Inspector $8-8-\sqrt{2}$ $8-7-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $8-8-\sqrt{2}$
Planning Commission	-8-52 PC		Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effect			Date
Application withdrawn			Continued to Date of action

The second se

the second se

The second of th

the second second reputer the the year of the second the second s

the second of the second of the second of the second of the second second

C15.5. 124 11 0.41

the in

Bleg The Barb

Letter dated Aug 5, 1952

WHEREAS, /Appli / i/dat i/da

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Res. No. 6226, dated Feb. 20, 1952, which extendeded Res. No. 5771, dated Aug 22, 1951, be granted to Amos Cendali, Sr., owner, and Calvary Intheran Church, Robert Plummer, President of Corporation, purchaser, to construct building to be used for church activities, on that portion lying Westerly of 54th Street, of NW4 of Section 34, T 16 S,R 2W S.B.M., per legal description on file in City Planning Office, 100 ft. south of Redwood Street on west side of prolongation of 53rd Street; subject to the folloying conditions:

- That the street be improved to the same standards as 53rd Street in Furlow Heights, Unit No. 1, and according to plans approved by the City Engineer;
 That the street must be accepted by the City and dedicated before the church
- 2. That the street must be accepted by ung 27-1952 Read 10-16-52
- 3. That off-street parking be provided on the property in compliance with the proposed and/or any effective off-street parking ordinance prior to the occupancy or use of the church building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to property described above Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

. 19

Dated.

August 6

Application Received	leng. 5-52]	By
Abbient management	and an an a province of the second seco	City Planning Department
Investigation made	AUG 6 1952	By Laucaster, Briffin, Padgett & South
Investigation made		
Considered by Zoning C		Date MG 6 1957
Decision appr.	to City Clerk \$-7-	Building Inspector 8-8-52
Copy of Resolution sent	8 - 8 - V'2 Petitioner	Building Inspector $8-8-52$ $\beta-7-52$ Health Department $8-8-52$
Appeal filed with City Clo	erk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
D sigion of (01111C1)	and the second	Date
Resolution becomes effect	tive	
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action

and the second sec

a sin a set of a

ja in deng plant: Gjang jang plant: Gjang jang plant plant

the state of the production of the powers Competence of the City of the District

and the second second

and a strain when the same to the second second

Carl St and all St

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10951</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl A. and Gladys B. Whittenton to erect a single family residence on a portion of Fueblo Lot 1774, per legal description on file in Flanning Office, La Jolla Mesa Brive and La Jolla Rancho Road, Zone R-1A, on condition that a 5-foot strip along La Jolla Mesa Brive be dedicated to the City for street widening, and a 30-foot easement along the northern portion of this property be dedicated to the City for future street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4714 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Lasements obtained 8-12.5~

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Aug. 6

By

FORM 2145

333

, 19 52

Approaction Received	y Baughman City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee AUG 6 1952	y <u>Jancister</u> , <u>Briffin</u> , <u>Padgett</u> & South City Planning Department Hearing date <u>AUG 6 1952</u> Date AUG 6 1952
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-7</u> Planning Commission 8-8-52 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
St and	
L'a mara con la contra con la contra	

Ala California

to the most loss of the sele . This are T T T F I THE 10. 17.6 - Inford pr . Clarky 122. a chir o usaces furth and a

e all conclude that the cast was particulated at this property be dea 121 a otri atoprete delle mer stre me delle to ter dat list • The second state of the se

NA. IL I PESCLYBR. By the

2B Joll B Mesa. whit here have The man states the so the ganity affore the health an ander 380.4

to of the pretitioner, possessed by other ormering DECEMBER FOR THE DESERVERTION ants durchester h

second of the second second is conditions applied to the property to the same

the second she we have presented has shown (see 5 and the

HULIN'S CAN

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

Letter dated July 29, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, that Resolution No. 5014, dated Oct. 4, 1950, be amended to read as follows:

That the following described property, Lot. North 1/2 Lot 4 Block

Subdivision Eureka Lemon Tract - Teepee Trailer Park - Carl J. Hansen, owner

may be used for the erection and operation of an additional 20 units in connection with

the additional existing 54 units 1. That the hedge within the area of the proposed

not required to be planted until such time as the State has acquired the land necessary

for said highway. 2. That the existing 5' chain link fence at the east end of the property be left in its present location until such time as the State has acquired the land necessary for the highway; thus an enclosed play area for children will be temporarily maintained.

3. That fence be moved to the new east property line and planting of the hedge be com-pleted within 90 days of the date of recording of sale of property to the State. 4. That a minimum area of 858 square feet and width of 22 feet for trailer units be

- permitted, if uniformity with existing units is maintained.
- All roadways to be graded full width and surfaced with blacktop.

Exterior design of utility building to conform with existing buildings and be approved. 6. by the Zoning Committee.

- That no portion be occupied until the newly constructed area is approved by the City 7. Health Dept. and City Planning Dept.
- This permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1956. 8.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

RM 2144

Application Received 7 - 29 - 52	By Mc Counce
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made AllG 6 1952	By Laucaster Arithin Patartt
Considered by Zoning Committee AUG 6 1952	City Planning Department Hearing dateAUG 6 1057
Considered by some areas conde	Date AUG 6 1952
Decision	Building Inspector 7 - 7
Anneal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the cost of the cost of the cost of the

A MARK AND

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William A. and Hula E. Scroggs to erect addition to non-conforming cleaning and dyeing plant with approximately 36 employees, 52 H. P. boiler, Lots 23 and 24, Block 44, W. P. Herbert's Subdivision, 3670 El Cajon Elvd., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 20 , 1 FORM 2145 By.

Application ReceivedB	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> B	Jancaster Jones Griffin + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision 8-20 appr.	Date 8-20
Decision 8 20 Appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22	Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	8-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective 8-27	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action



US A PLOTE PLOTE SPECE

The second s

. HOLE (ARTGENEDER

ing the second second free to

RESOLUTION NO. 108306

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Harry L. Hubbell and others, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 6707, application No. 10484, permission to Herbert R. Smith and Chester Whalen, owners, and Rosecrans Corp. lessees, permission to erect and operate a highway hotel and manager's apartments on Lots 10 and 11, Block 23 and other property on the northwesterly side of Rosecrans Street, between North Evergreen Street and Midway Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council ______ Sept. 11, 1952

Constant on the second	FRED W. STCK
	City Clerk.
By	HELEN M. WILLIG
	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.____

108241

._____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the hearing on the appeal of Harry L. Hubbell and others from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by Resolution No. 6707, application No. 10484, permission to Herbert R. Smith and Chester Whalen, owners, and Rosecrans Corp., lessee, to erect and operate a highway hotel and manager's apartments on Lots 10 and 11, Block 23 and other property on the northwesterly side of Rosecrans Street, between North Evergreen Street and Midway Street, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby closed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the final action on said hearing be, and it is hereby continued until the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M. of Thursday, September 11k 1952, for the purpose of permitting the Council to go out and look at the property.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 108241 the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council ______ Sept. 4, 1952

By_

	FRED W.	SICK
	HELEN M	City Clerk. I. WILLIG
the second second data and	and a state of the second s	Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10484</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert R. Smith and Chester Whalen, owners, and Rosecrans Corp., lessee, to erect and operate approximately 26-room highway hotel and manager's apartsments, on Lots 10 and 11, Block 23, Montemar Ridge No. 2, Lot 12, Block 16, Montemar Ridge Unit No. 1, Lot 13, Block 9, Bayside Country Club Estates No. 1, Northwesterly side of Rosecrans Street between North Evergreen St. and Madrid, Zone R-1; on condition that the completed plans are approved by the Planning Commission.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed Sept 48.52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Aug. 20 , 19_52

By_

FORM 2145

(C)

and the call Application Received ______ By____ D.E. Planning Department Investigation made 8-20-52 By Lancast Jones est. City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Decision appr. (cond.) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Hearing date 8-20 Date 8-20 8-25 Building Inspector_ Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8-22-52 8-21 Health Department 8-. Council Hearing, date 9-4-52 Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to EVERGREEN CLSD ACTING PI STO DESIGNAL po' muy yo present. le Col. club Es EVERGREEN Set a to to to to 1224 65720 12. Redge #2 nonterna Redge #2 14 STATUS TO STATUSTICAL ALCONTRACTOR STATUS 12 10 9 Howit THE OLD PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10937</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended: (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elwood G., Jr., and Alice L. Merrill, owners, and San Diego Gas & Electric Co., purchaser, to erect an electric sub-station on a portion of Lot 23, Rancho Ex-Mission Partition of San Diego, per legal description on file in Planning Office, Northerly corner of Fairmount Ave. and Aldine Drive, Zone R-1; on condition that a minimum 6-foot chain link fence be constructed around the entire substation, and subject to the approval of adequate landscaping plans by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2494 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____Aug. 20

, 19 52

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 6708

Application Received By <u>Ellenn a. Rick</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-20-52 By Sancaster Jones Priffin, Sour
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-30 Hearing date 8-30
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2- Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-21 Health Department 8-23
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

a start of the provent one of tributes the other few States, be, and is bracked a start of a start of the property of the prop

The second se

the second second

Which the IN TRACTOR IS a too tomate committee of the disk of the binder

with the product of the second state of the product of the second state of the second

ALTERTOLICAN MO

(see suide ACL FULL WE suide and the suide of the suide o

6.3. 7.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10699</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John W. and Doris R. Hewitt to erect a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1750, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on East side of Soledad Road, approximately 300 feet North of old Reservoir, Zone R-1; subject to any conditions or recommendations of the Water Development Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 1952

By_

Application Received	By <u>2. W. M⁻Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster Jones Griffin South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision Cond Cond Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-</u>	Date 8-20-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _8-	21 Building Inspector 8-25
Dianning Commission # - 2-3 Petitio	ner 8-21 Health Department 8-25
A ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Desolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE STORY SHARE FOR ADDING THE · L to T, L'O Property Renes Dol Burt. 1.0.1 * by my bold to be they we have be The Product of the second of the The Press 0.9.1 sendors." the second statement of Thebia tob the state of the berget description on 6DAD SMITHERS 1785 ATTA BELLACE HAS WERENE BOARD ON CONTRACTOR

上 的复数化 美无语

1

- 1 N. C. 1

and the second of the second of the second of the second of the second second of the second of the second of the a second and the second second and and the second second is a the second s

transfer and the second procession of the South Countries

RESOLUTION NO. 108256

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

Permission is hereby granted to Evelyn C. Carlson, 3865 Winoms Avenue, in connection with Resolution No. 108242, adopted September 4, 1952, which denied a request for sideyard variance and access-Court requirement, for a period of six months from this data to take down the carport which had been erected without permit on Lot 5, Block G Oak Park.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 108256 i the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Sept. 4, 1952 FRED W. SICK City Clerk. By_______ Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 108242

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appealsof Evelyn C. Carlson, 3865 Winona Avenue, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolutions Nos. 6710 and 6711, her applications for variances on sideyard and access court requirements to permit the leaving of an existing earport on Lot 5, Block G, Oak Park, at 3865 Winona Avenue, in Zone R-4, be, and they are hereby overruled, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>108242</u> If the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>Sept. 4, 1952</u> FRED W. SICK City Clerk. By <u>HELEN M. WILLIG</u> Deputy. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10998</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (seerSection 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101-0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Evelyn C. Carlson to construct a carport with zero setback on Lot 5. Block G, Oak Park, 3865 Winona Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal Seft 4-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 52

By

Application Received ______ 8-5-52 By ______ By _____ City Planning Department Investigation made ______ 8-20-52___ By <u>Lancastes Jones</u> Bru City Planning Department 8-20 Considered by Zoning Committee _______ Decision Derved Hearing date Date 8-20 Building Inspector 8-25 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-21 Health Department 8-25 Council Hearing, date 9-4-52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 9-22-52 Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective 8-26-52 Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action Universil alcountering by and may append. The second state of the second of the second s The state of the second s I who ICI ... too but why su a mit have and the 16 10 mars A ANY A G & O'P ATON ROLD there is an all the second of the second of the second of the which willows the humble or extery of 2 6 the state in the particular of the second of Wightman The show and the start of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11007</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Evelyn C. Carlson to construct a carport on Lot 5. Block G. Oak Park, 3865 Winona Avenue, providing only a 5-foot access court, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101,0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal Seft 4-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By

LUCA. LE. CALL Application Received _______ 8-5-52 By. a. City Planning Department in & South Investigation made 8-20-52 By Sance City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20 Date 8-20 Decision denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{g-2}{2}$ Planning Commission $\frac{g-2}{2}$ Petitioner Building Inspector 8-21 Health Department 8-25 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 5-22-52 Council Hearing, date 9-4-52 Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective g->4 Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action university COLD VALUER . 14 when the term of the second of the standard of the second se -len fo den truch a compt on lot ; " The past of a second a langer of SHOW THE PARTY AND THE PARTY OF 2 JULY of Son Diego. WAR OF I SLAPPING SHOOL a state and the second state derivation of wightman

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10961</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Daley Corporation, owner, and Hope Williams, lessee, to maintain existing real estate office on Lot 35, Block 62, University Heights, 14450 Boundary Street, Zone R-4; on the following conditions:

1. That the existing sign in window be permitted; 2. That this permit be for a period ending June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12589 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1952

By

Application Received By By By Connect
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> By <u>Lancaster Jones Briffin + South</u> City Planning Department
a identid by Zoning Committee & 20 Hearing date 8-20
Decision Cond. appr. Date 8-20 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Building Inspector 8-25
Di miner Commission X-223 Petitioner X-27 Fleatin Department A-23
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Serve - Serve Stats

states is a set of the set o

- THE PART OF THE DE TOP OF THE STREET STREET

ilette fellerette bei be of ference bin, owner, out here Hillicht, Insten, b) die i eri blee rol entro of ference ob 20, Marro (2, University Heighbr, * here be bing, to b, so which he fillering on ities.

No. " LIT II THAT AND BUILD DE THE PARTY CONDITION OF the City of Sam Diego.

the state of the strate with the state with the state of the state of the City

the second second state and will such a more that second s

and interesting the second of the period of the provided by other products

and the second sec

The second s

A CONTRACTOR NO.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10938</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 19/91/91/91/91/94/18924//44/44/2: Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold and Ina Thoma to erect residence with 10-foot setback on Niagara, Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 5, Ocean Beach, Easterly corner of Niagara and Froude Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Filed in Office of City Clerk

> > AUG 21 1952

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 5 DAYS after the above date.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 20

FORM 2145

52

19

Secretary

Application Received	y J. W. McCanell City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> B	y Lancaster Jones Diffort & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-20	Hearing date 1-20
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-24 Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	Building Inspector 8-25 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Council Hearing, date Date Continued to

while a fait white the stand of the source from the source of the source of

, the first of the second s

The second of the second of

1. 191 2 HUR

Carl Mr. Mr. Operation

and the second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 10755 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations' would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Conkling's Bakery to use Lots 39 thru 45 and a portion of Lot 46, Block 1, North Highland Park, 3277 El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4, for a parking lot for employees and trucks of the bakery, and for loading of part of trucks, also to build an incinerator; on the following conditions;

- That a 5-foot concrete block wall be erected at the setback line; 1.
- That the land from the sidewalk back to the setback line be landscaped with 2. shrubs and lawn:
- That the parking area be surfaced;
- That a 5-foot chain link fence be constructed around the entire parking area to connect with the concrete block wall at setback line, per drawing submitted and on file in Planning Office;
- That this permit to be for a period expiring on the same date as former Reso-5. lution No. 3690, which will be February 9, 1954.

That the incinerator be built in accordance with the specifications of the Fire Dept. 6. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Aug. 20 , 19 52 Dated

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6714

		0	1 1	
Application Received	8-5-52	_ By	ity Planning Department	<u> </u>
			SCHLAG COLD CLEE	1 .1
Investigation made	8-20-52	By Janeaster	. Jones Shiffin	+ South
Considered by Zoning	Committee 8-2-2-			
Decision day	with Confition	Date 8-2	20-52	
Decision approved, Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk 8-22	-52 Building Inspec	ctor 8-25	
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C	S-2.5 Petitio		ealth Department 8-25 g. date	
Decision of Council	sterne, date	Date	8)	
Resolution becomes effe	ective _ 8-27-5	2 Continued to		
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Date of action		
the second second second second second second	CALLER TONE OF STREET	area prok topula t	to the proceeding operate	No. Contra-
AND THE TO	CALCELER OF MALL	1 TH SCOLUMNES AS	te and th terrory constant	
The state of the second s		THE REPORT OF THE	· · ·	
6. The this	a la Flouwing Offic	s period modulating (en den anne grou ou zoz	197. (1980au
			the Line, but draited	BITTER T & DW.
1. 20th 4. 2-30	ot chain link for	and port in a	AJON BLVD.	the state
. The the sec	TO ALLE A THE REAL	RL LI	4JON BLVD.	-+
·	and grade the end of the	THE STAR EU AND S	chuck time le liburent	and and the
and the second s	<u>r c c ra an</u>		the same set of the Press	-
directer, loo to be	The second and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lange the state	
A CONTRACTOR TOTAL	a providentation and the	and the second sec		1.A
/ applies of lot 1 .			WINNESS RAVER	111, 2
	Taylor T. Sarah I.		ONKLING'S BAKERY	1-19 200
BRAI	NISONO	PLAC		- M 103
	MISONO	PLAC	E DANKELAS - DANER	2
BRAI	MISONO	PLAS		2
BRAI	MISONO	he Zoning Commit		Jul S
BRAI	N RESOLVED BY C	he Zoning Commit	tee of the City of S	3 3rd S
BRAI	M S G M CO	11ndvernely he Zoning Commit	tee of the City of S	m
BRAI	A RESOUVED BY C	ndeernely he Zoning Commit	tee of the City of S	m
BRAI	T RESOUVED By a	he Zoning Commit	ANGE AVE	5-1-1
BRAI	W 2 C. N.C.	vill 202 meteri appendiced, and will be property or OK 1. 105 adveraely the Zoning Counit	tee of the City of S	5-1-1
BRAI	A SONCO BA	vill_305_materi and and will be property or OK 1	ANGE AVE	m
BRAI	T RESOUVED By a	w rights of the period will 2005 maters induced and will be property or OK the property or OK the Zoning Commit	ANGE AVE	3
BRAI	T RESOUVED By a	w rights of the period will 2005 maters induced and will be property or OK the property or OK the Zoning Commit	ANGE AVE	3
BRAI	The second secon	the contraction would with the period with the period applies of the period applies of the period applies of and with the property or OK the contraction of the the contraction of the contraction of the period of the contraction of the contraction of the period of the contraction of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the p	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	T RESOLVED. By a	the former apply the second second would be opplication in the period of the period second se	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	T RESOLVED. By a	the former apply the second second would be opplication in the period of the period second se	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	VISCONCO	(note president of the sound of the not apply the sound of the property of the period of the period of the property of OK of the sound	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	VISONCO	and the evidence p (max fruntcipus concentration is atom would wrights of the period attention is wrights of the period attention is attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	VISONCO	and the evidence p (max fruntcipus concentration is atom would wrights of the period attention is wrights of the period attention is attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	VISONCO	and the evidence p (max fruntcipus concentration is atom would wrights of the period attention is wrights of the period attention is attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the period attention of the	ANGE AVE	5
BRAI	VISONCO	hes been cur ind the evidence p (mu fruiting (mu fruiting (mu fruiting (mu fruiting (mu fruiting (mu fruiting) (mu fruiting (mu fruiting) (mu fruiting (mu fruiting) (mu fruiting (mu fruiting) (mu fruiting) (mu fruiting (mu fruiting) (mu fru		5

.

WHEREAS, Application No. 10888 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section (see Municipal Code 101.0501) 15 pf Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Conkling's Bakery to install hydraulic hoist in main building of non-conforming bakery and to build 50-foot by 130-foot garage and loading area for bakery, and to build an incinerator in proposed garage, on Lots 1 thru 10, Block 1, North Highland Park, 3277 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C; on the following conditions:

- 1. That all loading and unloading to be done entirely on private property;
- 2. That the incinerator to be built in accordance with the specifications of
- the Fire Dept.;
- That all plans be approved by the Planning Commission;
- That this permit to expire on the same date as Resolution No. 3690, which ų. will be February 9, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 52 Aug. 20 Dated_

By

and any program to a go start

of the state of the contraction restate the state of the sector shall be determined as

a a contrate to confign on the part of the pression star for the star for EL CAJON BLVD 1 4 10 8 150 and the provide states 2 (4) 1 T. P. T. 1 . p. z SU BLOCK 1 -1001 3 23 n . BRAMSON PLACE

In Co De Copy of Resolution Planning Commission 8-25 Health Department 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective 2 8-27-5 Application withdrawn Continued to

a a length of the state of the south the second second state of the state of the second s A charge is the maintain of a prese by the second manual is being another to be

Time limit extended to

Application Received	By By City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster Jones Striffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Decision General, with	-52 Hearing date 8-20-52

Date of action

1041 1104 1113 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10949</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of βrdinange Ng. 8924, 145 [amended): Municipal Gode 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

P ermission is hereby granted to Jack and Josephine Gilbert to convert sleeping room to apartment in building with one foot sideyard, making total of five units, Lot C, Block 218, Horton's Addition, 1745 - 2nd Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 20

, 19 52
Application Received By By By By
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> By Lancaster Jones Willin South City Planning Department for South
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20
Decision dagan. Date 8-20
Decision Date 8-20 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-2, Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

1964 AU* 4170

Standard and the standard

HEREPORT, DA H. BESOLVED, Pr the Zonany Converties of the CLIX of Son Blag.

and I all a countral the company shows a paint and the state a there are the antiput and the second of the the the the the the the second of the second of the This has been be the former of the second second the second secon

and the provide the state, interior of the relation to the the property of the property of The second se

10.148

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10928</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>19</u>/9#/07dinange/Ng//8924//as/amended): <u>Municipal Code N</u>. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martha M. Frost to permit construction of single family residence on North 1/2 Lot 4, Block 166, La Playa, without street frontage served by a 20-foot easement from Qualtrough Street, facing on San Dionicio Street, to be closed. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 20

, 19 52

By

the shirt have been a state

All the been considered by the Zoning Committee and the readence presented has shown face Section

the second shirt of soil world to out the second is a substant of a second in the second

and more than the second of the second of the best over the best of the best o

and the second s

a second the second second second the Montes Fign of the City

"A series of secondily, By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sam Diego.

"ber i stan fo hereigt created bb-d atte t. Frast to provid a costruction of sight

2 mily met mees on workh 1/2 of ... log. 1 4, he flynd, without stream to served by a 20-foot encoment [z:: : wells age becast, of the Astronian Street. to ... plan d, wore [w].

A v ringre to the provisions of sprint. I done us ill stokes, shi is hereby should as to the provisionlary shirts income, income as the relate to the provers described they.

City Planning Department
Janeaster Jones, Giffal, Soi City Planning Department Hold, Soi
Hearing date _ 2-3-5
Date 8-25 Building Inspector 8-25
Building Inspector
8-21 Health Department 8-25
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

By ma

TRACE .U.

Application Received _ 8-6-52

AT THE BUSS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10929</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/0fdimance/No//8924, as/amended): <u>Municipal Code No. 101.0501</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert A. Frost to construct a single family residence on parcel without street frontage and served by a 20-foot easement from Rogers Street being the South 1/2 of Lot 3. Block 166, La Playa, 75 feet south of Rogers Street, facing on San Dionicio Street to be closed. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 20

, 19 52

By_

Dated

Application ReceivedB	mail
Tippindution received	City Planning Department
	I triffin 1 -10
Investigation made B	Lancaster Jones Litter + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date
Decision Copy. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21	Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	8-21 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second

A TELEVISION TO ME AND TELEVISION OF THE TAME AND THE TAME

0.1....

on the model of the state of th - WELTER OF THE REAL AND AN ADDA OF ALL ADDA . . THERE AN ADDADED A PRACTICAL ADDAL AND ADDAL ADDA

the part of a presentation of the short of the state of the second the state of the

JOURSTONS ON IS IN SULADE BY the Zonang Compating of the Cary of San Bacco.

the second second second as the best we reaction and the second second second second second second second second

and search of the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11002</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/0rdinance/No!/8024, as amended): <u>Municipal Code 101.0501</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James A. Milligan, owner, and Edward Tristram, purchaser, to construct 4-units with 6-foot setback on Hortensia Street and 10-foot setback on Congress Street, being the Southerly corner of said streets, being the Northeasterly 50 feet of Let 2, Block 545, Old San Diego, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_August 20

Application ReceivedB	y <u>2.(w. mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
	y Lancaster Jones Sifford + buth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-30	Hearing date 8-20
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	Building Inspector 8-25 8-21 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second second

Concertor Dollars

A supply to for the humplergrap at the place greater an great why for po sport bounds. A AT AT THE FOR FOR THE ALL THE SECOND IN THE ALL THE

Toreits within 50 first of 26. ", Provincing, Call Completion, Come Tak. rethind's and there is the little is a build by the control of a did strated and the The second se

The Proof of Strand, My the South, Consister of the City of San Diego.

second for the same time the second the

Attraction of the second se

THE CLARK LOC YOU BE AN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11008</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/0f/0fdinance/No//8924//as/amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Olive J. and Harry A. Hays, Jr. to operate mail order business in the selling of "Pro-Tek-Tot", a device to protect children from being burned on floor furnaces. No sales here; no advertising of residence address; no employees; no signs; storage of approximately 5' x 5' x 5'. This permission to expire June 30, 1953. Lots 31 and 32, Block 5, Roseville Heights, 3436 Trumbull Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 20 Dated

By

, 19

Application Received By Q. C. Baughman City Planning/Department
Investigation made 8-20-52 By ancasta gover Briffin, South
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20
Decision Conk. appr. Date J-20 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-21</u> Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-21 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action

A to make by the service of the service of the service of the service by the particular

THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF SECTION OF THE CITY OF SECTION

and show shows.

Beer D. Oan

REAL ON NEAD LASS

The here substantial by the South Committee is a strainted has shown free Section

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10497</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (sec.Section 15-20f.Ordinance: Nov: 8924. as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. Van Dorn to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256 into three building sites, per legal description on file in Planning Office, one parcel to front on a dedicated street and two parcels to be served by a 30-foot easement; Easterly of Muirlands Drive at Vista Verde Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>August 20</u>, 19 52

By

Application Received _	8-7-52	By de Ca	Bauchman
Application Received _			Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made	8-20-52	By Janeaster	Jones, Shiffint South
Considered by Zoning	Committee 8-2	> Hearing date	8-20
Decision agent	the City Charles 8-2	Date 8-20	atom 8-25
Decision Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission	8-25 Petitio	ner 8-21 H	lealth Department 8-25
Anneal filed with City C	Clerk, date	Council meaning	ig, date
Degision of Council		Date	and the second
Resolution becomes effe		Continued to	an and the second s
Time limit extended to		Date of action	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	218.	52	1 218
		Down	A
			for as wrow remained to to
	Vistore Vistore	h her he for	tiste : portion of Noble Job n 111e in Clementar Office.tene 1.e. erved br a 30-took gupo-
et test	SONG		and of the City of San Dieka.
Cartino	M	11. DA& - coloria	ally aliert the tealed or defaused - not le set ristin toteles neel so weekstelp the perprovisions.
	//		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	17855		culored by the Zoying Constitute

the stor glas

A PARTON NOT CONTRACTOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10923</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leo and Janice Mintz to operate office for legal tax profession on Lots 16 and 17, Block 9, Teralta, Block N, Sub of Lots 20 to 50, 4125 36th Street, Zone R-4; on the following conditions:

1. That there will be no associates or employees;

- 2. That an S in. by 24 in. sign in window will be used;
- 3. That this permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12959 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 20 , 19 52

By_

Application Received H	By F. W. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> H	By Janeaster Shiffin Jones South
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision Condapor	Date 8-20
Decision Cond approved Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2-2	Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	8-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

GUN MON MARK

and the second and story of the set of the reaction and will - mak be exteringly deferring to the second of the second s

The second of the second Committee of the City of She Diego,

A THE REPORTED OF THE STATE OF A THE STATE OF AND A THE STATE OF A and the state of the second and the second second Such that the count of the following constant of Thursday on Jobs 10 to 27. Lock 9, Men 140, Menday, Mabies Lobe 20 to 3. . . . the second second second

you what to the set and and an and the set and a source and the the mention of the second of the second of the The state of the low state of the presence of the day at the state of the state of the state of the state of the

THE FITS STATE TO ONLY A CAME NOT 1922. STATE STATE STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11014</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mike G. Timekles to remove existing store building and construct new front, side and rear walls, and roof, on Lots 18 and 19. Block 73. Ocean Beach, 5026 Newport, Zone C; the second-floor apartment in the rear to remain as it now is, with 6-foot access court for three units and zero side yard for two units; subject to the approval of the plans by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

and the state of the present of the state of the state of the

Adapt respectively and the second sec

will cold ... noteringly affect the bealth of safety af

BACON

to fed wash guarater 19 The property line to poor Carpa nac à 101.13 and in the roly by le pà ST IN CALL IN C 107 10 SOUTH 20

14 15

in + South Investigation made 8-20-52 By Lancaster Jones, City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20 Decision appr (cond) Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22 Building Inspector 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Health Department 8-25 Planning Commission 8-25 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

9. E.

Application Received ______ By____

Li

×

13* 100.00

City Planning Department WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11001</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>3924</u>, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is here by granted to Charles B. and Elizabeth Martin to divide a portion of the Northwest Quarter of Quarter Section 77 of Rancho de la Nacion, per legal description on file in Planning Office, Paradise Valley Road and Amador Streets, between Kern and Nevada Streets, Zone R-1; on condition that a 10-foot easement, being 5 feet on the north side of Amador Street and 5 feet on the south side of Amador Street and approximately 75 feet in length, be dedicated to the City for street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 20 , 19 52

By_

By_ Application Received ______ ma Connel City Planning Department in + South . By Lancaste Investigation made ______ 8-20-52 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20 Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8 - 24 Date 8-20 Building Inspector_ 8-25 Planning Commission 8-25 8-21 Health Department 8-25 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action PARA. VALLEY - ho Ku -FUR HELFTCHILLS 8 Le 2 Log allowers for h t, bring in the reading they be . both of here due 1-1 NOTIN - MENTER'S FORGALDER D Sectored Constants AMADOR ST. VALLEY ARADISE 11.12 a of the part that posses starts of bot apply are statist to other property in a of cir and burne or dougs fore applicable to the pr Tal cade 101. Ofor) in astronom bueness par upout forerenting

301 011 1 PG 017

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10952</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15x of Continuous No. <u>10952</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee 15x of Continuous Content of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15x of Content of Con

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Herbert A. Mundell and Josie E. Petty, owners, and C. M. Brown, excavator, to excavate approximately 5000 cubic yards of decomposed granite to be sold for road surfacing, on the portion of Southwest Quarter of Quarter Section 103, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, Rancho de la Nacion, approximately 180 feet north of Alleghany Street and west of Bonita Pipe Line Right of Way. Zone R-1; on the following conditions:

- 1. That the cost of lowering of anode be assumed by the property owners;
- 2. That the excavation be subject to the recommendations and conditions of the Water Development Dept. and the City Manager's Office;
- 3. That, at the completion of excavation, the area be graded for possible future building sites.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By_

w. m. Conne 8-11-By Application Received ity Planning Departmen By Jancaster Investigation made ______ 8-20-52 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20-52 Hearing date 8-20-52 Decision agon with cond. 8-20-52 Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2-2-Building Inspector_ Health Department Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date _ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective 8-27-5 Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action ou to the restionling of the Cherry Captor of Carty May ALL THE PO TO DECATORS . DO, CHARLE ANDERY AN ALFOR MUNDELL THE DO A PRODUCT AND PETI t' of the contrat WENT READ OF HOME TO 1 in a the end wetlen ! The construction of the TO F THE GOLD OF TURN TARVIN J. Brannet Mr. D.L. 67 ... 0110 10 11 1 M 1. 15 CHELLERO ICH STE PHENSON STARK TAYLOR IMVELL LEE GORSKI ALLEGHANY FLINTRIDGE out, and the evidence presented has shown (sustained the state of the second of the s 10075

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to East San Diego Presbyterian Church, Ralph McQuail, Chairman of Trustees, to construct Sunday School rooms with a 13-foot rear yard, Lots 24 thru 29, Block 19, Subdivision of Lots 20 to 50, Block N, Teralta, at 4290 Polk Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101,0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19

By

FORM 2145

Dated

August 20

Application Received	By 2. w. me Connell
The second sec	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By <u>Lancaster pores</u> Griffint South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-2	• Hearing date 8-20
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-25 Petiti	oner 8-21 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	oner 8-21 Health Department 8-25 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

wind from the big meret of the state of the state of the state of the big the bounds for a

Total the state of the second state of the sec

" The second second second the second second the circle of the bisso

and materially affect the materially detrimental to

the second second of the second of the second se

627001 016200

FLOO ANT A MARK STAR LAND

STATE TO A MALE AND A STATE

A MAR AND A MARINE LAND 101. COM

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10995</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 8924; as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack C. and Vestina C. Garrison to erect a single family residence on portion of Lot 19, Cave & McHatton's Sub. of Lot 14 and part of Lot 16 of Rancho Ex-Mission Partition, per legal description on file in Planning Office, Zone R-4, this parcel to be served by a 30-foot easement to a dedicated street.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0403 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Ang. 20 _____, 19 __52

By

Application Received 8-11-52	By 7. W. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
	1 + 1 1. 11. 2 1. 1
Investigation made <u>1-20-22</u>]	By Lancaster, Janes Hriffin + buts City Planning Department
and the second state when a low second state	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision ann	Date 8-2-8
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21	_ Building Inspector _ 8-2-3
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	8-21 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action



a provide the second will be second will her will got the problem of the second s

work unpersisting of the application is meaning the the preservation of the property of the pr

and a stab of the second of conditions applied is the property of the second se

a second of the relation presented has shown for free to the total the second total total

LIGENTION NO. PART

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10996</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maisel's Lumber and Building Material, lessee, and James P. Witheroew, owner, to erect a fence of galvanized sheeting on an S-foot redwood frame, on Northerly 15 feet of Lots 17 thru 24 and all of Lots 25 thru 32, Block 83. Mannasse & Schiller, 1895 Main Street, Zone M-2; on the following conditions:

- 1. That a maximum of 50 lineal feet on the fence or a maximum of 400 square
- feet on fence or building to be used as a sign;
- 2. That the entire frontage of fence and building to be painted.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0627 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received By	2. W. Me Connell City Planning Department
	<u>Lancaster Jones, Guffort + for</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 8-20
Decision Constitution Sent to City Clerk 8-22	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22	Building Inspector 8-23
Dianning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	-22 meanin Department 8-23
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Perclution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to be a restaultive shoked above, investor as they wake to but property despertuited the state of the state when be there and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of

1. I a sustinum af 50 lineal fort on the free of staging of 100 postre

· I the wet of the there is a like and provide the second states

----- Mart - Barele a car Buglairs an be hond as " route route

10×10.

"Promete sages achilikery, 10/3 - is other t, when we are fully first constants * 10 K up the of the service THERE " "TIMES " DIN 2" DO USOGO U JUNCA C N. 01 WU ((--) 5 the according to provide the second so 94 * 100g . S. COVICED R8s DI DIGEOI MAIN ST R .48 25 contractions to the property or Lepterepeats to the neighborhood and will ______ hat he materially delrigental to and prite our him out for receipting atten stil _ 204 _ materially affant the handel of antaty of 83 an Eleventing of the upplication in netrantly for the perspectivities opdy geocrally to other property in the many 24 res or rereitions applicable to the property COLTON ST.

has been considered by the Zoning Countries

SAN. 30" 6722

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11027</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see/Section/ 15/df/Ordinande/No. 18924//as/amended): <u>Nunicipal Code 101.0501</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth Blackwell and Betty Kent to construct single family residence with 8-foot setback on St. James Place, being a portion of Villa Lot 84, Mission Hills, Southeast corner of St. James Place and Lyndon Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 20

Application ReceivedE	By <u>J. a. Jasch</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made H	By Lancaster Jones Shiffin, South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 2-20	Hearing date 8-20
	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-2/ Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 8-23 Petitioner	Council Heating date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the statistic from the transformer of a president of the providence of the state of

the second second second and the second second a first the passes him of the second the provide the second of the protocology and will prove the interlative determinant to

" THE TRANSMENT OF THE YOUND COMPLETE OF THE CITY OF GON DISTO.

And go she will be a set as set append the set of a bit in the set of the set

CIDELATO *

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11018</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold L. and Geraldine A. Lush to erect triplex with attached 3-car garage, two units above, one below, with 6 ft. 6 inch. access court and 1 ft. 6 inch. sideyard for garage, Lot K, Block 35, Mission Beach, on North side of Cohasset Court, 30 feet East of Mission Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____August 20

52

, 19

Secretary Res No. 6730

Application ReceivedB-12-52B	y <u>2. w. Me Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> B	by Lancaster Jones Griffin + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision ann.	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-21</u> Planning Commission <u>3-25</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 8-23
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	S-27 Health Department 8-25-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A SET THE DAY OF A SET OF A SET

picket and the second second and the second s

A CALL AND AND AND CALLER AND THE CITES IN PROPERTY.

and the second of

Carl Mr. WAR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11017</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold L. and Geraldine A. Lush to erect triplex with attached 3-car garage, two units above, one below, with 6 ft. 6 inch. access court and 1 ft. 6 inch. sideyard for garage, Lot J, Block 35, Mission Beach, on North side of Cohasset Court. 60 feet East of Mission Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By_

Application Received By	2. W. me Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-20-52 By	<u>Lancaster Jones, Shiffing South</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20-5-	Hearing date 8-20
C of Deschiftion sent to City Clerk of	Building Inspector 8-25
DI Commission 8- 3 C Petitioner	K- d-/ Health Department K do
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Cierk, date	Date
Decision of Council	Date
Perclution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second

south the T state of Letterial for particulation of the state of the s NELL CIR CONT. MALE CONTRACT THE METER CONTRACT AND DEPOSIT WITH S THE THEFT WE CAN show it a state investigation and the state is an investigation as "it is the prove that then

the street is a state of the state A V PO MOR SALE OF THE POPULATION OF THE POPULATION AND ADDRESS THE AND SECTORED

MARCEL AND AND AND

Inclusion in the second the South Consister of the City of Sec Diego.

is a second of the second of the second will will be added by the particular detrimental and

been been by the transformed by the Zoning Committee

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11028</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to K. L. Mark, owner, and Bobbie D. Johnson, purchaser, to erect a duplex with the setback to be in line with the residence adjacent to the south, on Lots 26 and 27, Eleck 5, Cullen's Arlington Heights Addition, West side of Edgemont Street between Cedar and Elm Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0406 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By

Application Received <u>8-12-52</u> By <u>Q. C. Saughman</u> City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>8-20-52</u> By <u>Lancester Jones Kiffint South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20
Decision pert to City Clerk 8-22 Building Inspector 8-25
appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council riearing, date
Date Date
production becomes effective
Lighting with drawn
Time limit extended to Date of action

int here the



and the evidence presented his about (seas

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11036</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Lucille E. Lindstrom to erect a residence with zero setback on Lot J, Block 314, Horton's, 2742 Brant St., Zone R-1, the existing structure to be moved back to conform with the 5-foot setback granted on Resolution No. 6399. Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 52

By

Application Received By	Q. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-20-52 By	Lancaster Griffin Jones + South City Planning Department
Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-21</u> Planning Commission <u>8-25</u> Petitioner 8 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	-21 Realth Department y-21
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

A DIA AND

With the time by the the

Access, i with a first a weblackers the bad above, through a built with by the trace a greater and the set of the second states and the second of the second states and the second s A mainet of the formation of the function of the function of a state of the set of the s

THE TAR THE TAR SOLVED, BY the Sonting Countered of the City of Bur Dister.

the second of the regulation would the measury for the preservation

and the property of a state of the second state and the property in the second state of the second state o

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11030</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [5] bf[Of Hinhahce| No|.| 8924|,| as amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to McKinley K. Reeves to construct two-car garage with apartment over, with 3 ft. 9 inch. between living quarters, Lots 37-38-39, Block 281, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, 402 S. 28th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 20 Dated____ 52

, 19

Secret
Application Received By	Q. C. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Lancaster Sciffin Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-26-52$ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-22$ Planning Commission $8-25$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date 8-20 Date 8-20 Building Inspector 8-25 R-22 Health Department 8-25
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

VDGA .

STATE OF ALL ALL DEPARTMENT IN

314 AVE . FRANKLIN O Land + Jown Co's. alden

a fill a share the second

a second of the state of the polyterest, paragraph by other property

and a second of the second second is a second to second a second s

the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 6735

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11033</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see: Section: 15.of.Ordinance.No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Monath to move in/a duplex with 9-foot, 10-inch access court, on Lot 9, Block 176, Middletown, 3720 Columbia Ave., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That a paved driveway be constructed from the street over the 9-foot 10-inch access court:
- 2. That three paved off-street parking spaces be provided on the property.

A variance to the provisons of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Aug. 20 , 19 52

By

the local devices and the relience presented has shown (applying the second sec

special dirementances or conditions applied to the presents

the conjust of the opplication is necessary for the property of the property for the property of the property

and the second sold and with materially affect the Health of anterval

the property of the second of the property of approximate in the optimization.

in the contrast of the City of Sqn Dieler

of a structure of the s

Finder a martin a derest the find the find the first sector to the first sector the first sector to the fi

the second of the row of the state of the first of the second of the sec

 Resolution becomes effective

 Application withdrawn

 Time limit extended to

Date of action

 Application Received
 Investigation Received
 Inv

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11041</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marvin R. Willis to erect store building below with two apartments above, attached to 4-unit apartment building, with 8 ft. 5 inch. access court to street, Lots 13 and 14, Block 25, La Jolla Park, 1035 Forrey Pines Road, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_August 20

By

, 19 52

Secretary

Application Received 8-13-52 By C. Baughman City Planning Department
nvestigation made 8-20-52 By Lancaster Jones, Griffin + fouth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20
ligte - Le
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8.2.2</u> Building Inspector <u>8-25</u> Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Decolution becomes effective
Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

NOCA-

- - · · · · · · · ·

,

STAT IST ALL'A



the considered by the Zening Committee of the Zening Committee

DE RECEILE CON DOM TO STORE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10987</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>17</u> /df /Qr/dir[ar]de /No / /8924 / /as /ariended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas B. and Rose M. Webb to enclose an open patio 24 ft. by 27 ft. 7 inch., making addition to non-conforming use of property - rug cleaning plant, Lots 5 thru 8, Block 304, Boone Brother's Addition, 3560 Birch Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 20

, 19 52

Application Received 8-13-52 By H-a. Jasch
City Planning Department
Investigation made _ 8-20-52 By Cancenter Griffin Jones + South
Investigation made By Cancaster Griffin Jones + South City Planging Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20
Decision appr. Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22 Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the state is the fear and the state is the state of the set of the

A LOW TO AN A DOWN THE AND AN A DOWN COMPANY OF A LOW CARE AT ANY CARE AND BURGED

1440 - -

THE W. MACA

the most is the second s

and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. 10815 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Minicipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will<u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manuel P. George, owner and Manuel P. George, Jr., operator, to operate business of resale of import items and commercial photo dark room, imports to be sold thru retail store, Navy Exchange, and by mail order; on Lot 1, except the northeast 78 feet, Block 6, Roseville, 3033 Addiston Street, Zone R-4; on the following conditions:

- 1. That this business to be part-time only, not to exceed 25 hrs. per week;
- 2. That no signs be displayed and no customers be served;
- 3. No employees;
- 4. No advertising:
- 5. This permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Aug. 20 , 19 52

By

Application Received <u>8-14-52</u> By <u>G. A. Jasch</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made 8-20-52 By Lancester, Jones, Griffin + Jouth
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20-52 Hearing date 8-26
Decision agar. Cont. Date 8-20
Decision appr. Cont. Date 8-20 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22 Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and a second to the owner and while while and while the anterstation and the second to the second to the

the state of the second st

THE PART OF THE AMBORTANT OF THE YOUTHA COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SHE DISCO

the first the second of the second

The bear of the section of the sector of the sector with the sector is The state to the pares mould be be by the gas where we are an along the part west and t

and by a to prove the second stands, showing the stand of the stand of the second standard and I stand be find along june of the second of the second of the second of the second campage

· THER MODIFIE IN MERICAN COMPANY OF A MARK

In DUSY"

WHEREAS, Application No. 11011 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas C. Burnham, owner, and W. G. and Dorothy L. Boughton, purchaser, to erect residence and garage with 2-foot setback on North 182 feet Lot 16, Block 6, Golden Park, north end of Runnymeade Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated August 20

FORM 2145

, 19 52

-

Application Received	By <u>J-a.</u> <u>Mach</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Laneaster Ones, Griffin + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-23	Hearing date 8-20
D vision and	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 9-25 Petitione	r 8-21 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

3 . A . Day

ST TALBOT punt - tone a se cheg relie to the prophet dereries of I - Dave and I for Toyler me of works of any is the source of the and is being the state BLK 6 5 and a second of the state of the same and a second of the part of the 21 Tarafar I I A ST. OP. La. the CLEY OF BAN Dinge, PARTINEZ RUNNY MEADE much and will we be meterially detrivenent to HARBOR VIEW DR.

and the following a start to not apply generally to other property in the new

11011 but been considered by the Zoning Committee

LICTOLLON NOT CUES

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11015</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Howard E. and Josephine Wilson to erect an addition in rear of existing dwelling, making four units on lot, with 4-foot access court for front unit, Lots 17 and 18, Block 2, H. M. Higgins Addition, 2427 A Street, Zone R-4; as no off-street parking will be provided.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 20 , 1952

By

The particular of best considered by the Considered

served should be not apply hemerally to other property in the paper

the full range to the property of Amprovements in the nerthbouldood, the second in the estypheniod, and said he second ally detrimented to

LICE AND ALL ALLARD, By the Contra Committee of the City of Sup Diego.

The second of th

who be a star of here is were no bearing .

bralozer, percepting 2 power mandar statistics and the pertabulation of the bulk states, hereafter and bulk solution of the the light for a verify the best that a for substitute of substitute total total total of the and the

Investigation made 8-20-52 By Lan City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20 Decision Denied Date 8-20 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Building Inspector 8-25 Planning Commission 8-2-5 Petitioner 8-21 Health Department 8-25 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received ______ By

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11054</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1510f Ordinance No. 18924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Bessie A. Elliott to erect 6-unit apartment house with 54% coverage, Lot 31, Highland Gardens, runs through from Dayton Street to Gilbert Drive, approximately 160 feet north of El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated_____August 20

By.

52

, 19

The Construction of the set of th

- interior succession on not apply generally to after property in the sums
- A set of the requiring source would necessary for the presentation in the reserved by other property rights of the patitioner, possessed by other property rights of the patitioner, possessed by other property is a set of the patitioner.
- 3, and the providence of the application will with materially effect the health of anisty of provident providence of the property of any statements in the neighborhood.
- THE PARTY OF THE PROPARTY HA THE PONING COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SWA DIERO
- The second of the second second to the second s

the owner and the second second

¹ Mar Line La Lie - Fovie of Minicipal Cede Let 101.0601-Net Apply Marshy and the Line La Lie and Marshall of the Soviet Spectrum as Slop soluble to the Constraint Concerted [1] Dove.

Application Received	By J. W. Jor Jonnou
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u>	By <u>Lancaster Jones, Griffin + South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21	Date 8-10
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21	_ Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 9-95 Petitioner	E-2/ Health Department 8-2.5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ATTAC AND A MAN

WHEREAS, Application No. 11050 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see/Section/ 15 of Ordinance No. 18924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Philip and Jeanette Abrams to erect 7-unit apartment building, one unit to be served by 7 ft. 6 inch. access court, Lot 12, Block 44, Normal Heights, on East side of Cherokee, 250 feet North of East Mountain View Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Nol 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_August 20

By

_ , 19 <mark>5</mark>2

Secretary Res. No. 6742

A STATEMENT AND A STATEMENT AND A STATEMENT

The road the set of the road RIR. 44 AD BURNESS 2 - T S Lor IN JUDE IN DE 2 ADDALLS AND TO CONTRACTOR East your.

Application Received ______ 8-18-52 By _____ By _____ City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By fancaster City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20-52 Hearing date 8-20 Decision Jepp. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21 Building Inspector 8-25 Planning Commission 8-25 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Petitioner 8-24 Health Department 8-25 Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Alams av

alley

BLK.

43

HAD.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11016</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (**xeexSection** 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edwin J. and Vasa N. Snorey to use Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 124, University Heights, 4239 Arizona, Zone C. for the sale of top soil, brick, and stone, and to operate a contractor's storage yard, and excavating, on the following conditions:

- 1. That a maximum 6-foot fence be constructed across the rear of the property;
- 2. That the property be cleaned up to comply with the requirements of the Planning Meabin: Department within 90 days;
- 3. That this permit be for a period of two years, ending June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12859 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

and the second depart (and part there have not been)

the part of the regulations whild and supported

at manual of the sub partitional, and will lith the parameteris, dutingments to the second second second second and and a second second states when her being and second second

the warfurne will _ 1815 - adversely affect the Muster Plan at the City

The Providence of the South Committee of the City of Sun Disco.

undeks John De lange a se skal Bo, adoka a una Yaon a Davier Bo Bae hote Do, 33 emi 30, Arek 10. - Dis a like anishis, 1939 silonga Bone C, fer is mile of two well, brief, and Yime, a ba e conta a contractor of a serie rered, and exercitize, en the Yoliowing

the second state of the construction of the second state of the property

mauna: The file serves be for a proton of the Desire Charles Charles Do, 1950. TOURS I THE PERSON STREET SO DAYNE 1.1.1 to b 2 of presents of clorned up to couply with the consistences of the Plenning

withing is the president of this are by 10000 her and is hereby escaped on to

the proticulars stated shows, incolure as they will be no the property dependent dependent dependent.

	By Lancaston, Jones Griffin + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision Cond. appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22	Building Inspector 8-25
Diaming Commission 8- 2. Petitione	r X-22 Health Department 0-20
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Recolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

ity Planning Departmen

8-15-52

Application Received ____

RESOLUTION NO. 6744

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11047</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Alice De Jong, owner of the Easterly portion of Lot 4, and Horner-Golem Company, purchaser (and owner of Westerly portion of Lot 4) Block 6, Homeland Villas, 2974 Balboa Street, Zones C and M-1, to construct a new building on Lot 4, a portion of the building to be in the C Zone and a portion in the M-1 Zone; on condition that the plans are approved by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ Aug. 20 _____, 19 52

By_

Application Received <u>8-15-52</u> By <u>3. w. me Connell</u> City Planning Department	
Investigation made 8-20-52 By Lancaster Liffert Jones r Sol City Planning Department	đ
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>520-55</u> Hearing date <u>8-20-55</u> Decision <u>Apple - Cond</u> Date <u>8-20-55</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-22</u> Building Inspector <u>8-25</u> Planning Commission <u>8-25</u> Petitioner <u>8-25</u> Health Department <u>8-25</u>	
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Health Department 8-25 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	

New YOU'L CARD

and a second sec

and the is the second work will write by materially derivations to the province of the second state of the second state of the probability of an experiences

100 2 m

and the second of the second second and the second second and second and second and the second s

THE PARTY OF A PROCEMENT BY THE ZONENE COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF BOAR BIGGO,

remain of in provide y the boll to sold a put white De Jone, other of the matterly postion

the for the state of the state of the state of the state of the second of the property described The second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11060</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alice Construction Company by Leon J. Briley, to construct single family residence with rear portion of residence on each side having 4-foot sideyard, Lot 87, Donna Estates, 5221 Reynolds Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 20

By

, 19

Application Received 8-18-52	By 3. co. mc Connell City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster, Jones, Spiffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-2	• Hearing date <u>8-26</u>
Decision appr.	Date 8-20
Decision approved to City Clerk	-22 Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petiti	oner 8-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and of on shall be and a more start chall the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a state of the state of the

a the application will make materially affect the health of active of

The Plant of the Divid, By the Soulds Committee of the City of San Diego.

reader the 1 to the let us when the street Conternation Conternation Conternation of Sylfactor, to meet the

and the set of the start of subsystems that we set you be the they, or it, while relation, will be able struck, they belt. and a state was presented and the second and the state where and and the second and the second and the

THE ALL PLAN IS NOT A PARTY OF THE PARTY OF to prime per star out the prime of the start the start the start of the start of the start of the start of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11020</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Verda Austin to construct an addition to a nonconforming residence with a 2-foot, 9-inch side yard, making three apartments, one with a 5-foot access court, on the Southwest 94 feet of the Southeast 16-2/3 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Elock 189, Middletown, 3841 Kettner Blvd., Zone R-4; on condition that two paved off-street parking spaces are provided.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 20 , 19 52

By_

13000 has been considered by the Zonang Committee Dispo. DainFornis, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section and other):

the circles circumstances or conditions applicable to the property of

The state	IN THE TOTAL COMPLETE ST. THE CAT OF SAN DICTO.	
	and an interious to the property of improvements in the multiplication.	
	of the exploration will have entertaily direct the health of setery of the set of the first of the set above only detrimental to	
	ST. CLOS.	

South - By Lancaster. Jones, Investigation made ______ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 8-20 Hearing date_ Date 8-20 Decision Cond. appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _ 8-22 Building Inspector_ 8-25 Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner 8-22 Health Department 8-25 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

PRINGLE

D

ST.

By_

Application Received _____8-18-5

1 1

WYDDAN.

ME POTE Y PER

ATT - UT SIL

City Planning Department

wine west ou converse

State Include the 10-0/3 cent

RESOLUTION NO.

6747

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11042</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>28924</u>, as amended): (see Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. Walker and Glenn M. Smith to erect a 63-foot by 68-foot nursery building for growing plants, crossing the lot line, with 4-foot side yard on East side and 4-foot rear yard, on portions of Lots 23, 24, 25 and 34, 35, and 36, of Broadway Acres, between 4312 44 C Street, Zone R-2; this permit to be for wholesale business only, for the entire nursery.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Aug. 20 , 19 52

By

Application Received B-18-52 By	Sted. Jasch
- ipp	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Lancaster Jones, Griffin + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20	Hearing date 8-20
Decision deca	Date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22	Building Inspector 8-25
Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner	F-22 Health Department 8-25
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11 10 - 100 - 10 - 11 - 3



Communication dated 8-5-52

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6311, dated March 19, 1952, be granted to Dr. Francis and Beryl Holland, owner, and Thomas C. Burnham, purchaser, to construct single family residence with 2-foot setback on Runnymeade Lane, north 182 feet of Lot 15, Block 6, Golden Park, north end of Runnymeade Lane, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated August 20 , 19 52

By

Application Received	By <u>teleghone</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Lancaster gones Shiffin & buth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>8-20</u> Decision for 6 mos. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>8-21</u>	Hearing date 8-20
Decision agar. for 6 mos.	Date 8-20
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-21	_ Building Inspector S-2-5
Diamping Commission de AS Perifione	r a rieann Department x
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF AD
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. YUL

The line been conjudered by the Source Consistence by the Source Consistence by the Source Consistence of the consistence presented has them. (see section of the consistence presented has them. (see section of the construction of the construction

THE POST OF AT MARCHINED, By the Soning Completes of the City of San Diego.

the second second of the construction of the second second lots of the second s The second state of the second state and the second state and the second state of the

stated in the set that is the set of set 19, study 6, delines farther beyond of an analysis

Western C. C. S. M. S. M. terrates. - to it is the property of a part the state of the state of the suband the second second of an interior do to the weight the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are_ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would_ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6559, dated June 25, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. and Lucille Robinson to erect a triplex on rear of lot with 7-foot access court to street, on Lots 6 and 7 and West 10 feet of 8. Block 227. Mannasse & Schiller, 1826 Julian Ave., Zone Roll; on condition that four paved off-street parking spaces are provided.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ Aug. 20 _ , 19_52

By.

The state of the self the evidence presented by the Sonang Committee

the application will -- mut - materially affect the health of safety of

he results choose, and will not dealer waterially datrievants to to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.

A THE DESTRUCTION AND ADDED AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PART OF THE PARTICUL STREET, DO HA THAT ON COM TRINE

ROBINSON

Hearing date_ 8-20 Date 8-20 Decision cond appr Building Inspector 8-25 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-22 8-22 Health Department Planning Commission 8-25 Petitioner Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Beardder

louth Laneaster Investigation made ______ 8 - 20 By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20

Application Received ______ B-13-52 By

Du At .

on of the second

a the start was at the set

ity Planning Department

wing I wind white TO her a

N JU TOT OLD:

to shappy put

adversaly allout the Manay Plan of the City

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

TENTATIVE approval is hereby granted to L. M. Klauber and Martin L. Ito, owners, and L. R. Hubbard and Wallace Walter, purchasers, to erect and operate a trailer park of approximately 202 trailer units, on portion of Lot 13, Ex-Mission Rancho, and portion of Lot O, Encanto, per legal description on file in the Planning Department office, southwest corner of Imperial Avenue and 63rd Street, zones R-4 and R-1, subject to the conditions enumerated on the following page:

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 26, , 1952 Dated_

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Ros. No. 6750 P.165

Application Received 7-30-52 By 3. co. mc Connell
City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>8-20-52</u> By <u>Lancaster</u> Anes Griffin to
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-20 Hearing date 8-20
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-27 Building Inspector 9-27
Copy of Resolution sent to City Citrk Petitioner $S-27$ Health Department $S-27$ Planning Commission $S-27$ Petitioner $S-27$ Health Department $S-27$
Planning Commission 8 2 / I etitioner / and the first date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Prodution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

* PG* 9120

and the state of the state

1 1 1

P.165

control c

1 4

convertione com an Hog on gia Corroction Line:

itozo per per per concergitor pa the source rolan sero

The second second that a second of a second reading the second second second second second second second second

a second to a second the status of the participantity demands of the participant

and to the anti-theory and will not the second said successive to the second to the

The meteriality alloce the heater of antigra of

- 1. Subject to approval of grading and drainage plans and plot plan. Those plans originally submitted should be amended as follows:
 - (a) Cuts and fills as proposed along 63rd Street and along Entrance Road are excessive. This can be corrected by deletion of Roads No. 1 and No. 6 (see Revised P lan received August 15, 1952, on file in Planning Department office) and adjacent trailer units; and relocation of Road No. 7 approximately 30 feet south. Cuts and fills can be further reduced by increasing grades of roads to approximately 3%.
 - (b) Guts and fills whould be benched with a 5' level area every 15' in vertical height to eliminate some of the erosion and as a safety precaution. The top of any cut and the toe of any fill should be a minimum of 5' from any property line or street.
 - (c) Off-street parking for 3 or 4 trailers and cars should be provided at entrance to the park.
 - (d) Thirty feet of land should be dedicated for widening of 63rd Street.
 - (e) The foregoing proposed changes will reduce the number of trailer units by approximately 56 to a total of about 202.
 - (f) It seems feasible, upon detailed engineering studies, that by incorporating these changes, a good trailer park can be constructed. However, this plan will require considerably more study, and the site considerably more maintenance than the normal trailer park. Farticular attention must be given to protection of occupants, erection of drainage structures, and prevention of erosion in cuts and fills.
- 2. That no construction is to be started until final plans have been approved by Planning Department, Health Department and Building Department.
- 3. That all roadways be surfaced with a minimum of 2" of asphaltic concrete, which must be applied while hot.
- 4. That a hedge at least 3 feet tall at time of planting and a fence 5 feet in height be installed around the entire park.
- 5. That the exterior design of all buildings be approved by the Zoning Committee.
- 6. That the park shall be completed in accordance with provisions of the Trailer Park Ordinance.
- 7. That final approval will be granted and occupancy permitted only after all of the park or all trailer units in one Section (see Revised P lan received August 15, 1952 for "East Section" and "West Section"), are completed in all respects, including entrance road, off-street parking, caretaker's quarters, land-scaping, hedge, fence, and slope protection; and after final approval in writing by the Building Department, Flanning Department and Health Department.
- 8. That permit shall expire on June 30, 1957.

Bublect to approvel of grading and drainage plane and plot plan. These plans or ininvity substand should be smonied as follows:

- (a) Only and fills as proposed slong Gyrd Street and slong Entrance Bond are encouried. This can be corrected by deletion of Endis Fo. 1 and Fo. 5 (new Herinoil T lea received august 15, 1992, on file is Flamming Esperiment office) and adjecent traiter units; and relocation of Ford No. 7 sepreminately 30 feet south. Onts and fills can be during reduced by increasing grades of roads to encreationial 30.
- (b) Cuts and fills whould be benched with a 5' level eves every 15' in vertical height to aliminate none of the provish and an a selety presention. The top of any cut and the toe of any fill should be a minimum of 5' from any property line or street.
- (a) Off-atreat perising for 3 or 4 trailars and dara should be prevised at entrance to the park.
- (a) Thirty fees of lend should to dedicated for videning of 63rd Saress.
- (a) the foregoing proposed changes will reduce the number of trailer units by speproximately 55 -- to a total of mout 202.
- (c) It some feasible, upon detailed maiseering statist, that by incorporating these changes, a good trailer park can be constructed. Exerver, this plus sull require constructed incorporating nore mintenence than the normal trailer park. Particular structure attraits and the section of drainage etwotares, and fills.
- That no construction Gurto be "Strated whill find first been spursved by Flanning Department, Health Department and milding Separtment.
- 3. Shat all rootanys be surfaced wigh a minimum of 20 of amplaitie concrete, which must be applied while hot.
- 4. That a bedge at least 3 feet tall at time of planting and a force 3 feet in height be installed around the ontire part.
- 5. But the exterior design of all buildings be sporayed by the Boning Committee.
- What the park shall be completed in accordance with provisions of the Broller Fesh Ludringsenes.used to
- 1. The first spray, will be purched and sermines remitted only effer all of the purchase the brailer wills do and lepton (not herined i for purched furnet 1), ligh for the brailer bestion (not betied i for purched furnet 1), ligh for the brailer bestion), and "most bestion"), are completed in all requires, including entrance proves, alt-attends out alone to be brailer, the base that is constructed, the base the state of a section of the base to be be based of the base of the base to be be be based of the base to be be based of the base to be be be based of the base to be be be based of the base to be based of the base to be based of the based o
- . Thes persits shall explase on Auna 30, 1957.

nymitigation made ..

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10762</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): <u>Municipal Code 101.0501</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John F. and Gladys P. Borchers to construct an addition to an existing residence at rear of lot and maintain a 17 ft. 6 inch. rear yard, Lot 3, Block 1, Golden Park Addition, 3345 Lucinda Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

August 20

By

, 19 52

FORM 2145

Dated.

Secretary
Application ReceivedB	y Q. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Lancaster, Jones, Shiffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission & Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date 8-20
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action



and a state the second of the state of the second

11: --

D. 17 5 00

- P - P - 1

dypa/.L

SPILFJ

104 (BIT 10) 35

I DO. NOT IN POLICE CONTOG TO

with the participation of any state

Make the part of the state of the

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

10959

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Por. Lot 13 Block

may be used for the erection and operation of ... approx. 202 unit trailer court.

subject to the following conditions 1, Subject to approval of grading and drainage plans and plot plan. Those plans originally submitted should be amended as follows:

- (a) Cuts and fills as proposed along 63rd Street and along Entrance Road are excessive. This can be corrected by deletion of Roads No. 1 and No. 6 (see Revised P lan received August 15, 1952, on file in Planning Department Office) and adjacent trailer units; and relocation of Road No. 7 approximately 30 feet south. Outs and fills can be further reduced by increasing grades of roads to approximately 3%.
- (b) Outs and fills should be benched with a 5' level area every 15' in vertical height to eliminate some of the erosion and as a safety precaution. The top of any cut and the toe of any fill should be a minimum of 5' from any property line or street.
- (c) Off-street parking for 3 or 4 trailers and cars should be provided at entrance (d) Thirty feet of land should be dedicated for widening of 63rd Street.
- (e) The foregoing proposed changes will reduce the number of trailer units by approximately 56 - to a total of about 202.
- (Continued on attached page)

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. CO CIER CTAINS

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. City & Livenzing B gabot man

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 6752 P. 165

By Secretary

APTICATION RECEIVED

INFINE PRESMEN STLUCTION

has been considered by the Toning Consister of the Cirp of

2. That the granting of the spillestion will 20.5 he moterially detriveness to the public willow

substantial products slibbs of the sublighter, generated by other property nearly in the same vicinity, and

I that the granting of sharapiteation is the meesaring for the subpression of pressention of

warmen and and a lot

CU'S

subdivision . Enchancion Sectio, and Nor. Lob 0; secure

ABCRETORE, SE IT DUSCEMED BY THE ROMAN COMMITTEE.

why be used fur the erection and operation of ... spintors, 202 will by fint contrib.

riffent foith Investigation made 8-20-52 By Aneaster, Hones, L City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 8-20 Hearing date 8-20 Decision Condl appr Date 8-20 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-27 Building Inspector 8-27 Planning Commission 8-27 Petitioner 8-27 Health Department 8-27 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Resolution becomes effective anois represed charges will eadine the sumber of tarilly writes in

intrati foot of land should an dedimated for planning of find Street.

Off-atrent perioding day 3 or 3 arothers and ence should be provided of supported

can be further reduced by increments provide of reals to any roring bally re.

plane and plot plan. Chose plane originally admitted should be ensated as follows: subject is in following conditions 2. Inbject to mirow 3 of grading rud fraitrie

Cute and stills - foreight in lending site a 5' level or a still at writing a still at writing

unders and wildow sign of Some No. 7 sperous weary in dore mouth. Onthe cost claim

This can be consected by deletion of Boods Fo. 1 on To. 6 (res revised) in the collecto. Collected for the sector of the sector Cole ond Sills as proposed rions fird bire t rai riong lots for the four the constitu-

Application Received 2-30-57 By 7. W. Mc Connel City Planning Department

Water . Not allow

- (f) It seems feasible, upon detailed engineering studies, that by incorporating these changes, a good trailer park can be constructed. However, this plan will require considerably more study, and the site considerably more maintenance than the normal tmiler park. P articular attention must be given to protection of occupants, erection of drainage structures, and prevention of erosion in cuts and fills.
- 2. That no construction is to be started until final plans have been approved by the Planning Department, Health Department and Building Department.
- 3. That all roadways be surfaced with a minimum of 2" of asphaltic concrete, which must be applied while hot.
- 4. That a hedge at least 3 feet tall at time of planting and a fence 5 feet in height be installed around the entire park.
- 5. That the exterior design of all buildings be approved by the Zoning Committee.
- 6. That the park shall be completed in accordance with provisions of the Trailer Park Ordinance.
- 7. That final approval will be granted and occupancy permitted only after all of the park or all trailer units in one Section (see Revised Plan received August 15, 1952 for "East Section" and "West Section"), are completed in all respects, including entrance road, off-street parking, caretaker's quarters, land-scaping, hedge, fence, and slope protection; and after final approval in writing by the Building Department, Planning Department and Health Department.

5. That permit shall expire on June 30, 1957.

Man

Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 108486

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Chris Canta, 2481 "J" Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committeein denying by itw Resolution No. 6753, application 11013, for permission to maintain existing sign now painted on building on North 35 feet of Lot 3, Block 22, L. W. Kimball's Addition, corner of Market and 26th Streets, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted for a period of 90 Asys in which to remove the sin from esolution No. ation. 108486 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Sept. 25, 1952 FRED W. SICK City Clerk. By HELEN M. WILLIG WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11013</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 bf Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

to

Permission is hereby DENIED to Chris Canta/maintain existing sign now painted on building on North 35 feet Lot 3, Block 22, L. W. Kimball's Addition, corner of Market and 26th Streets, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Appeal Denied 9-25-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3 , 19 52

P. 30

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received By	City Flamming Department
Investigation made 3 -3-52 By Considered by Zoning Committee 9 -3 Decision 9 -3 denuel 9 -3 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9 -4 Planning Commission 9 -8 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u>Petitioner</u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

an nearly lines

noire at the set of the post of the state of the second of the second set of the second set of the

presente de la contra de serve von s'a presente de la contra de la contra de la contra de la contra de la contr La contra de la contr

TICHT THE THE TREATMENT BY THE CONTRA CONSTITUE OF THE CLAY OF SHE BILLING

a start of the second of the second second of the second of the second second

KATUTALLAR KOL GARD

Transfer for 14. 1. 1 moral and and the contraction

the of the State of the state the state of the

THE ALL AND A

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Henry C. and Jeannette E. Gardiner to operate an attorney and Notary Public business in home on Lots 36, 37 and 38, Block 207, Pacific Beach, 2112 Garnet Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code, for Zoning Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3 , 19 52

Q.186 P.311

By____

Secretary

Res N. 6754

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>8-20-52</u> By <u>l. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-3-52 By Jones & Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-12 Hearing date
Decision denied Date 9-3-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4 Building Inspector 9-8-52
Decision denied Date 9-3-52 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4 Building Inspector 9-8-52 Planning Commission 9-8-52 Petitioner 9-4-52 Health Department 9-8-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

the last the second and the second to a second to a second to a second the second to the

and the rest of the state of th

To all BY I were analytic port - " Marker in cr. surgering the start and the service of the

The best of the section of the Complete of the Core been

I all a property of the second of apply to other property in the second

al and the second s

Bar History

Provide Distant is

NERTIDITON NO. MORE

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Jeffers to redivide two lots into two parcels, each 70' x 100', and erect a single unit on one parcel, with an existing single unit on the other parcel, South 2 of Lots 9 and 10, Block 4, Golden Park, Golden Park Avenue between Harbor View and Lucinda, zone R-1, substantially as shown on plan on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3, , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

206

Application Received 8-22-52 By	J. Baughman
Tippinederent receiver	City Planning Department
	$0 \rightarrow +$
Investigation made 9-3-5- By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-3-12	Hearing date
Decision apper I	Date 9-3-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-5	Building Inspector <u>6 - 8 - 4 - 2</u>
Decision a_{PPr} , Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{9-5}$ Planning Commission $\overline{9-8-52}$ Petitioner	F-v-v2 Health Department 9-8-v-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Start and a first

se to the contient on stated moore, and lighter is relieve to the property described above. A waite on to the revision of musicisel Care Section 101.0000 be, and in berown constant

Mar IGHT

where rare is to with a of toke 9 and 10, thole 9, doller read, coller 3 and themse behavior to be a state in the Party of the state of the state is the Planta state in the Planta state state state in the Planta state in the Planta state This 10 ', all er et a dia la mitt in ene o rowl, with in fairthing dia la mutt on the

THEFT AND AN AN ANALYED. BY the Yoning Consistent of the City of Sun Diego.

a. The second the second sold and adversely attend the meater plan of the thing

successful and the second state of the second secon

Al Alimented has a support of the second of the second the support (the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11055</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16/6/01dinance/16/18924//66/6mended): Municipal Code Section 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy C. and Jean M. Orr to construct a single family residence with no rear yard, where 25 foot rear yard required, provided owner of the property or his attorney sign the petition, Lot 18, except the westerly 15 feet, Indington Heights, 1643 Valdez Drive, La Jolla, zoneiR-1. the

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

od 6, 05. 9-16-52

Dated September 5, , 1952

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received By	S. Tasch
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-3-5</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-52	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-5	Date 9-3-1'2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-5	Building Inspector <u>G-F-J-</u>
Diaming Commission Petitioner	Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

164. Jo. 1156

/winkering is heaving and we heaving and he heaving the series of the processing the invite function while contribution ready provide where is first that the series of the sectors is provide at the provide contribution is the provide statement of the sectors is contrast. If sectors

THUS FOR A DE RESOLVED, Dr the Zamany Committee of the City of San Diego

and the product of the application will 100% materially affect the bealth at extery of the second of the second se

The product free supplication is increasing for the product property for the product property is the product of the product of the product of the product of the product product product of the product o

and the second state of th

aperation of a neuron network the section of the se

6776

WHEREAS, Application No. ____1040 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Sedtidan 16, bit or Hinahcle/ No. 189241, 1 al amended): Municipal Code Section 101.0501

- 1. That there are _ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Robert and Janet C. Beach to erect a residence with a 25' setback, Pors. Lots 2, 3, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and portion of alley closed adjacent thereto, Block 45, La Jolla Park, per legal description on file in Planning Office with Resolution #4547, on condition that owners grant an easement to the City across their property for street purposes, as shown on Engineer's diagram 8997 L.

A variance to the provisions of M unicipal Code Section 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Recorded 8-23-51 BK 4234 19 156

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 3, . 1952 Dated_

FORM 2145

By

Application Received B	y J. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-3-52</u> B	y Jones & Burton City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	Hearing date
Decision G_{ppr} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \underline{g} - \underline{J} Planning Commission \overline{g} - \underline{g} - \underline{J} -Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>9-8-1-</u> 9-1-12 Health Department 9-8-1-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

CILCULTON NO. 6131

Arthan Marchall Con Physical Code Section 102.0501 and the evidence prevented has shown red full filler.

the second second

the state of the state and a state of the state of a state of the state of the state

No.* . 10* - 1574

The " I see ward, By the Found Committee of the City of San Biege,

arte and a state of the state o Return to the restore the test to be to be the first First 5. Mentel to event a grantime a still a

inches the substances while charter and incoming as reliefed to a granerity described there. stand of the Control and a pato spir to the book book and the set of the beside and the second is the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11064</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. H. McCauley, owner, and Martha Kenyon, purchaser, to erect two additions to an existing residence which does not have full frontage on the street, but has a 5-foot easement to the street; additions to be bed-room and bath and breakfast room, on Southerly 100 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Block F, Starkey's Prospect Park, 747 Bonair Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

By

p. 338

Dated_Sept.

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	J. Bauchman
· · pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-3-5-</u> B	y Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-3-52</u>	Hearing date
Dision Alerto.	Late 9-3-JV
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4	Building Inspector 9-8-5-
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - 5 2 Petitioner	Building Inspector $9 - 8 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TO TO I'VE THE TAXABLE IS THE OF THE PARTY OF THE TAXABLE AND THE TAXABLE AND THE DESCRIPTION A set the siles - while a few is could be and the analytic and the analytic as the second because and

that we have a break an constraint to the at the first of the start of the straint is and of south the south of the second particular particular south the second so ye restance there is to the the set of the west the set of the set the second second and the second s

THE PARTY OF THE ARD. MA THE Zonling Committee of the City of San Diego.

10/14

stoking the start the start to start and

and the second

Addition of the second states of the second se

the Children by the state of th

Van

RESOLUTION NO.__108873

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Walter Mallett, 745 - 14th Street, San Diego 2, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6759, application No. 10915, permission to erect single family residence on parcel of land not fronting on a dedicated public street but served by an easement to 47th Street, on portion Lot 66, being the North 1/2 of West 6 acres of Northeast 1/4, Rancho Ex-Mission in Horton's Purchase, along extension of Boston Avenue, approximately 600 feet west of 47th Street, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby éverruled.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 108873 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council 00t. 23, 1952

Bv.

W GIGG

HELEN M.

STAK

City Clerk.

Deputy.

WILLIG

RESOLUTION NO. 108490

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the hearing on the appeal of Walter Mallett, from Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6759, application No. 10915, denying permission to erect single family residence on parcel of land not fronting on a dedicated public street, along extension of Boston Avenue, west of 47th Street in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby continued until the hour of 10L00 o'clock, October 23, 1952.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 108490 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Sept. 25, 1952

	FRED	N.	SICK City Clerk.	
D	HELEN	М.		
Ву	and the second		Deputy.	- Free Parks

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10915</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance, No. 8924, as amended): 101.0501 Mun. Code)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENTED</u> to Walter Mallett to erect single family residence on parcel of land not fronting dedicated public street but served by an easement to 47th Street, on portion Lot 66, being the North 1/2 of West 6 acres of Northeast 1/4, Rancho Ex-Mission in Herton's Purchase, along extension of Boston Ave. approximately 600 feet west of 47th Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby DENTED as to the particulars stated above.

Appeal

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3 , 19 57

By ____

Res. 6759

P. 186

Application Received 8-22-52 By	D. C. Baughman
Investigation made <u>9-3</u> By	Jones + Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	Hearing date 9-3
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-4	Date 9-3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-9	Building Inspector 9-0
Planning Commission 9–8 Petitioner 9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	7-4 Health Department 9-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second second

and a second s

CLUTCHING BOT SET

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11088</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [6] of [Ordinance] No! 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Bessie A. Elliott to erect an apartment house with 6-foot setback on Gilbert Drive, Lot 31, Highland Gardens, 260 feet north of El Cajon Blvd., East side of Dayton Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 3

By

_ , 19 <mark>5</mark>2

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 8-22-52 By	DESouth
-pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-52 H	Tearing date
Decision \mathcal{A}_{k} \mathcal{A}_{k} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \mathcal{Q}_{-} \mathcal{A}_{k}	Date 9-3-12
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4 H	Building Inspector <u>9-8-r-</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 8 - 1- Petitioner	1-9-5- Health Department 6-8-55
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the point of the product of the space of the second of the state of the point of the point of the second of the

Andreas and the state of the st

the part of the second second second second

which is a second of the second secon

10026*

Later a

A Carl

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11032</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [6] of Ordinance No! 8924, as amended): <u>101.0501</u> Municipal Code

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew T. La Pointe and Edward Gillitzer to construct single family residence with a 7-foot 6 inch setback, with one off street parking space, Lot 30, Block 3, Venice Park, Northwest corner Fortuna Ave. and Grown Point Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

308

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res No. 6761

Application Received $S - 1 - \sqrt{2}$ B	y <u>l. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-3-52</u>	Hearing date 9-3-1-2
Decision Copper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4-5	Date Devilding Inspector 9-8-52
Planning Commission G-8-J- Petitioner	$G - \psi - \psi^2$ Health Department $G - P - \psi^2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

tante la se la la companya de la companya de

and the second of the second second

and the substant of a first the best of planted and the

the second state of more breather and stands that see in the

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11083</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (*see*/*section* 15/0f/0r/dinarde/Nd//8924//as/amended/: Municipal Code Section 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude W. Rowe, owners, and Gallinger Construction Company, lessee, to operate a concrete batching plant 500 feet from nearest dwelling, Lot 3, Pueblo Lot 1209, South of Brandywine Street, extended, zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That permit be granted for a period of approximately one year, ending June 30, 1953;
- 2. That proper Building Permit be taken out;
- 3. That operation shall be limited to Clairemont project only.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

434

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3, , 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

pplication Received <u>7-20-1-</u> By <u>L. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
vestigation made G - 3 - 5 2 By By By Buton
onsidered by Zoning Committee <u>9-3-J</u> Hearing date ecision appr. conde Date <u>9-3-5-</u> opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-5</u> Building Inspector anning Commission <u>9-8-J</u> Petitioner 9-5-52 Health Department <u>9-8-5</u>
ecision appr. conde Date 9-3-52
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-5</u> Building Inspector
anning Commission 9-8-12 Petitioner 9-1-12 Health Department 9-8-12
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

The transmission of the property of the projection of the section of the projection of the section of the secti

that sees is really its for a build to by supply buy one road, ending dune 30, 1951;

Markeny, continue : a province Stract, or builde, news foll guidings to the fallowing continues

reland. I see to a second b table - i stijo fast from mentret drelling, fot 3, inclus.

The state of the Penale in Circle and

1.1.

,

		12
		And a second

51023

TIME . Intervit Cale Detion 101. Col. (MURCHAN)

205 meretalling and with 205 of meretally derelating is

WHEREAS, Application No. ____1076 _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 19/01/01/01/01/01/0501

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ___ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ted and Jeannie Ashley to crect a 4-foot high wall of concrete block in front of setback line on Egan Street between rear corner of the house and rear lot line, as shown on attached sketch, Lot 831, Redwood Village #7, 6243 Streamview Drive. zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Filed im Office of City Clark

SEP 5 1952

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 5 DAYS after the above date.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 3. , 1952 Dated___

FORM 2145

Bv

Secretary

120-1

Application Received _ 8 - 2 2 - 1-2	By D. Baughman
application received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9 - 3 - 5^2}$	0
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-52	_ Hearing date
Decision and the	Date 9-3-52
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-5	Building Inspector 9-8-12
Di ming Commission 2- Course Petitioner	r 9-5-52 Health Department 9-8-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	· ·
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a winder of the section is of the indicate the section and the section is have been been and the large the section of the

a second second with second se

All the gal and the second second

1.

of the Citie

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11097</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>19/94/04dinance/No//8924//as/amended);</u> 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Moran Construction Company, Incoroporated, to erect 4 living units and 3 garages, two living units on lower floor with access court of 4-foot 2 inch. and 5 feet in width, respectively, on Lot 151 and Southerly 25.6 feet of Lot 152, Reynard Hills No. 2, on West side of Reynard Way between Olive and Redwood Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3 , 19_

Secretary

Application Received By	Q. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation madeBy	Janes & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 9-3-52	Hearing date 9-3-5-
Decision appo.	Date 9-3-5-
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-7-32	-Building Inspector 9-8-1-
Planning Commission 9-8-1-2 Petitioner	Date $9-3-5-1-$ Building Inspector $9-8-5-1-$ 5-9-5- Health Department $5-8-5-1$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action

The second second and a second second second and the second s

The second second

HELING Y . . . OL. -

, ,

A STATE STATES

(Apple 1 states) and in the states in the highly

a have been possible and up the Zon sup Course the

the second secon

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11026</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>13</u> d_1 d_1 d_1 d_1 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_3 d_4 d_4 d_4 d_1 d_4 $d_$

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John E. and Mamie M. Adkins to construct a single family residence on portion Lot 23 and 24 Sorrento Acre Lots, divided after soning, and without street frontage, to front on 30-foot easement from Eidelweis Street 14 miles Northwest of Eidelweis St. on City easement to Filtration Plant, Zone R-1.

(Parcel to be approx. 208' x 417') A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13455 and Municipal Code No. 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept. 3

FORM 2145

391

52

, 19

Application Received 7-22-5-2 B	y_ Q. Bauchman Cit Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-3-12}$ B	y Jones & Burton
and a second s	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-5	Hearing date
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4	Building Inspector <u>9-8-12</u>
Planning Commission 9-8-42 Petitioner	Date $9-3$ 2 Building Inspector $9-8$ -2 9-4 -2 Health Department $9-8$ -2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

surf 2 and 2 the Branch & any surroughly approved to The a start of a second the second the prover the party out at the start of the second and the second at the second the s in the second I have a good a contraction of the cash of the second to have along the second the second the second The LETTER AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY THE THE PARTY PARTY PARTY PARTY PARTY The state of the state of the state of the second of the of a disvisib allow nona set a set a set a set a set of a set solt a to manature a transfer 1 M M d Kin LHE G A 4 1 5 44 P C 2 A 2 3 9 AINE. EA AR 330-

6.00

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11055</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Grandinande No. 18924/as amended): Municipal Code Section 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rens W. and Lola M. Fischer to erect a garage with duplex over, with 4° access to street, making 3 units on the lot, Lot 9, Block 40, Resub. Blocks H and I, Teralta, 4263 - 33rd Street, zone R-4, on the condition that the existing building be cleaned out and so constructed that it will be maintained at all times as a two-car garage, and that the plans be subject to the approval of the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property above described.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 5, , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received	0 p l		
Application Received	By a' Daughman		
-pp-	City Planning Department		
Investigation made <u>9-3-5-</u>			
	City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-3-</u>	Γν Hearing date		
Decision appen.	Date 9-3-12		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9 -	5 Building Inspector 9 - 8 - 12		
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - Petition	Date $9-3-12$ S Building Inspector $9-8-12$ her $9-1-12$ Health Department $9-8-12$		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date		
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn	Continued to		
Time limit extended to	Date of action		

to the state of th

market of a p p of proving and the second reading of the present critice.

, .

C T D D LON PO'

3.41

Martin Martin and we have a the Contraction of the Source (Martin Martin)

105 million in the first state the health of any sectors of

6767

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam and Rose Pizzo to erect a duplex with one apartment having 6'6" access court, Lot 11, except the North 10', Block 44, Middletown, 1956 State Street, zone C, on the condition that two off-street parking spaces be provided on the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3, , 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145
Application ReceivedB	b. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	V Jones & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $9 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$ Building Inspector $9 - 8 - \sqrt{2}$ $9 - \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $9 - 8 - \sqrt{2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-5	Building Inspector <u>9-8-5-2</u>
Planning Commission 9-8-5-Petitioner	$9 - y^2 - y^2$ Health Department $9 - 8 - y^2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to be the subject of the state and a subject to the the concert for the state of th Anticupa in the part fair of provide a find the blow in the blow in the bar of and indianes of the state

theol, mars , on the stattion with b a of matters of this receally a traffic of an target

innight (1 m bei ner e migr, inb 21, och 6 5), ogne tot, line be enter i triber i 101 men migrbuerb

which was a meterially without the bendle of actions at

TUP.

,

RESOLUTION NO. 108487

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the matter of acquiring land for street purposes across the entire street frontage of property involved in the appeal of C_{h} arles H. F_oote, 7355 Fay Avenue, La Jolla, from the decision of the Z_oning C_ommittee attaching a condition for the giving of an equipart for street purposes in connection with Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6768, application 10933, being portion of Pueblo Lot 1288 on A_rdath R_oad, be and it is hereby referred to the City Manager and City Attorney, for equitable settlement.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>108487</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>Sept. 25. 1952</u> FRED W. SICK City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG By______ Deputy. RESOLUTION No. 108704

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of CHARLES H. FOOTE, 7355 Fay Avenue, La Jolla, from the decision of the Zoning Committee of The City of San Diego, denying the petition of Charles H. Foote for a zone variance be, and the same is hereby granted, and the Zoning Committee Resolution No. 6768 (Application No. 10933, referring to a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288 on Ardath Road), be, and the same is hereby overruled; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That Charles H. Foote sell to The City of San Diego, the land required for Ardath Road, as shown on City Engineer's Drawing 8560-L, at the appraised price as set by Hotchkiss & Anawalt, Appraisers; and further provided,
- That said Charles H. Foote enter into a water 2. main extension contract with the City, providing for the payment by him of his share of the cost of the installation of an 8" water main on Ardath Road.

ADOPTED

J. F. DuPAUL, OBty Attorney, Denuty

Deputy City Attorney.

Presented by

APPROVED as

to form by

By

ORDINANCE No. RESOLUTION No. 08704

RESOLUTION NO. 6768

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>19933</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xefxOrdinance Norw8924xxee amended): (See Municipal Code No. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. and Trudye L. Foote, purchaser, and Dr. Sam Fogel, owner, to divide into two equal parcels a portion of Pueble Lot 1285, per legal description on file in Planning Office; one parcel to have street frontage and one parcel to have a 20-foot street frontage; on condition that the owner grant to the City of San Diego an easement for street purposes (40) feet in depth, across his entire street frontage; Zone R-1, on Ardath Road, approximately 500 feet east of Torrey Pines Road.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code, Sections 101.0304 and 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal Deeds & Watermain calract have been signed Prop. 10-14-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Van

Dated	Cant	7	19_52	By				
Dated	eenas	2	75		Secretary			
FORM 2145						Res.	No.	6768
				4837				in a second

Application Received	8-18-52	By	D. E. South City Planning Department
Investigation made	9-3-52	By	Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning C Decision cond'l appr Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Cl Decision of Council Resolution becomes effect	to City Clerk <u>9-</u> 9-10-52 Petit erk, date	Da Bu	aring date te 9-3-52 ilding Inspector 9-10-52 8-52 Health Department 9-10-52 uncil Hearing, date te
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to			ntinued to

useal to have a sub- of the first of an end that we have to the sub-state of the sub- of the first of the sub- of the sub- of the sub- of the sub-state to the sub- of the sub-sub- the sub- of the suble : one marcel to lane strent fresterio multipla and all of a balon of the de Tes 1286, nor tony an and I . Tobbe, much house well on the

(to mark the people there a will go g

by the Zopper Constition of the City of San Dieno.

. LEAR LE DE L'EL MELETATION TALE SELEN L'ENDER' THAT I SE PARTE SUPERIO DE PRESE

a sould have at the fort is one a sections with job and the sheet part was

1.00 send to attest the moster litent of the cath

al will TOP THE INVELIDITY HERE'S 100 - 10 0 16 cobo d 21 man where it the set of Q and sectors the

and the present contraction and about (and 1 100

INALGERS LEVEL TON TON TO

ALLOUP STATICATES TOURS

LAEL,

withton on sile is block in

and a set of the set of the set

an insight is i when writed to D other

11

an Herebar

1000

shut had bling

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11082</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [5] of dihahce No. <u>11082</u> has another evidence presented has shown (see Section [5] of dihahce No. <u>11082</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mabel M. Bowman to construct an addition to existing dwelling with 2-foot 6 inches between addition and garage at one corner, being the Westerly 85 feet of Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 28, Resub of Blocks K and L Teralta, 4209 - 40th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res No. 6769

Application Received By	b. Tach
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-52	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 9-3-1'2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-4</u>	Building Inspector
Decision Weper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>7-4</u> Planning Commission <u>7-F-1</u> Petitioner	9-4-1-2 Health Department 9-8-1-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Population becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the provide the providence of the second s

transfer a print programme spectra de la seconda de la conserva de la conserva de la conserva de la conserva de

the state of the second and well light to part the transity of the second to

and a set of the set o

the state of the s

and the second states of

and the state of the

and the second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10964</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>13/0f/0fdinance/No//8924//apended</u>): 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. Mochelle Howard to erect residence with eave which will project into required 5 foot sideyard 24 inches, where 15 inches is permitted, Lot 3, Block 13, La Jolla Hermosa Unit #1, East side of Camino de la Costa, north of intersection with La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19_52

By

P.335

Application Received	_ By _ 2 co mcconnell City Planning Department
Investigation made9-3	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	Hearing date 7-3
Decision and Solution sent to City Clerk 2-3	, Date 9-3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-3	Building Inspector <u>9-8</u>
Planning Commission 9-8 Petition	ner 9-44 Health Department 9-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second states of a second state of the second states and the second states and the second seco

THE PARTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF THE POINT OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE OTTO OF SHE DECK.

and a state of the state of the

VD 11

Varia

apr.

Des.

141.00 ···

Charles and a second

10 10 8

A LON NO.

the state of the second st

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Coates to redivide two R-1 lots making two parcels each 50-feet by 56.5-feet, and erect a single family residence on each parcel, Lots 16 and 17, Block 13, Asher's Clover Leaf, First Addition, Northwest corner Asher and Morenci Streets, zone R-1, provided resolution not to be effective until owner or his attorney shall have signed the petition.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6771

Application Received <u>8-22-52</u> B	y <u>l. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-3-5-}_{B}$ Considered by Zoning Committee $\underline{9-3-5-}_{B}$ Decision $\underline{pp_{2}}$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{9-5-}_{Planning}$ Planning Commission $\underline{9-8-5-}_{Pletitioner}$ Petitioner	" Jones & Buston
Appear filed for the second se	Date Continued to
Time lilling catenata to	Date of action

as to the the state of the stat

The second second second second to be well and the period of the second se

Mock In. 197 and 1 construction, First Locition, Morthment contrar Salton and Mercuck ter finten in som som andere inder te te religite tre mellante tre fint inter tellang tre sartenie

HERE'S A THE REPORTED BY the Zoning Committee of the City of San Biene,

of the restance will - Not educately allect the Manuel Plat of the City

and which is the neighborhood, and will reach the exterior of the restriction of the second so the succession will _BCE __ metericity affaut the boolth of safety of

MO.

MARCH - LONG - LONG

1.1.1.1

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert Coates to redivide two R-1 lots, making two parcels each 50' x 56.6' and erect a single family residence on each parcel, North 50' of the South 425' of the West 112.5' of the East 376.57' of Lot 4, P. L. 255, zone R-1, Southeast corner Lieta and Asher Streets, subject to the condition that resolution will not be effective until owner or his attorney shall have signed the petition.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3, , 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

279

Res. No. 6772

Application Received 8-27-52 By	D. Bauching
Application Received	Q · Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-3-52</u> By	Jones & Burton
a 11 11 Zaning Committee G-3-12	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-1	Date Date Building Inspector $g - g - \sqrt{2}$ $g - \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $g - g - \sqrt{2}$ Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with (Ity Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
D ' 'm of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an to the second of the love, which of a state to the contract and choice. A watered is the second for a limit i date Section 103.000 by, and is berake granted

replice to say constraint sout reportion will

me touth is the indet its of a star start of the bat h. D. J. 25, mans 1-1.

and a star we have a bound of the restate the Bel Los , so the Sol of the second secon

2 7.00

ago

Children and the ter and Annes Jagerick

LELDEN .

- 0. . . p. 114

s forking in the perphonences, and will not be esterially developed to the contraction will not a matchelly affect the health at safety of

TAOTLATON NOT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11104</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/6f of Hinhard No! 8924, as amended): Municipal Code Sec. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mark M. and Katherine M. Saunders to build 3 additional units and 2 additional garages (2 apartments above and 1 on ground floor), attached to existing 2-car garage(with 2-foot side yard) and 5-foot access court for this additional construction, Lots 7 and 6, Block 7, Wilshire Place Subdivision.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated September 3, , 1952

Secretary

Res. No. 6773

92

FORM 2145

Application Received $3 - 27 - 3^{-2} B_{2}$	Gity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-3-5-</u> B	y Jones & Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-52	Hearing date
	liato (1 - 7 - 1 - 2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4	Building Inspector <u>9-8-52</u>
Planning Commission 9-8-52-Petitioner	Building Inspector $\underline{9-8-5^2}$ $\underline{9-4-5^2}$ Health Department $\underline{9-8-5^2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desision of Council	Date
Paralition becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

supplied the second of the second sec Permission, is here it as in the interval a subscription of the solute of Serumine to twitte 3 additional rolls and the interval of the solution with four out 1 on Grown (loss), ethering to pulse interval of the solution of the solution solution of solution of the sol

to the policy light to be a bound of the surplice on colourd to the property descripted a part. The Links Lot but a party Long of Maria but a Colo Peeting ACL. CC. 2 36, NM IS Brook Creekan

THE PARTY OF AN AND A PARTY CONTRACTOR OF THE CATS OF SAN DISPOSI

CAN I

the large soll was adversely aftend the Master Fish of she Cicy

contracts the response and will make be retarially detrimental to supported will make advertally afters the health or service of

and the state and tools not all out

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11074</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edgar R. and Yvonne Young to add an apartment to existing residence, apartment to have 7 foot rear yard where 10 foot rear yard required, making 2 units on lot, E. 70' Lot 22 and S. 20' of E. 70' Lot 23, Block 121, University Heights, 4210 Utah Street, zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That shed be removed before the construction herein permitted is completed or occupied:
- 2. That garage building be used as a garage only.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

77

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 3. , 19_52

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	y Q. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-3-52</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ G - J	Hearing date
Decision akkr.	Date 4-3-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 5-8-52
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - 1 - Petitioner	Building Inspector 5-8-5-2 9-5-5-2 Health Department 9-8-5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A. White Larrow Dalling Do nord as a suspe o la.

. . . .

1. What whe' be remarked before the agreituction herein permittel is completed or

and salar is a structure of the structure of structure to and in the structure is an inclusion in the structure is an inclusion of the structure of the structu

the provident of the states of the probability of the termination of the probability of t

NOP CONTRACTOR AND A MARKED AND A MARKED AND A LINE LINE

not

and the first the surrout fragmentation of the first at 2 m. Droken

the second of the second second second for the second second

RESOLUTION NO.

11074

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edgar R. and Yvonne Young to add an apartment to existing residence, spartment to have 7 foot rear yard where 10 foot rear yard required, making 2 units on lot, E. 70' Lot 22 and 5. 20' of E. 70' Lot 23, Block 121, University Heights, 4210 Uteh Street, sons R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That shed be removed before the construction herein permitted is completed or accupied:
- 2. That garage building be used as a garage only.

A variance to the provisions of Hunicipal Gode Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, and insofar as relates to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

September 3. , 19 52

Dated

FORM 2145

77

By

Application Received <u>S-27-1-</u> B	y <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation made <u>9-3-5-2</u> B	0
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-52	Hearing date
Decision consil. appr.	Date 9-3-12
Decision comie. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-4</u>	Building Inspector 9-8-1-2
Planning Commission 9-8-52 Petitioner	9-4-5- Health Department 9-8-5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Property of the second s

and the second

4

the state of the second

.

The K & Speed of Com

LHT 7 .

102 14 18 1 1 × To Walk of I the second se

I - A NAME PROVIDENCE AND A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT

RESOLUTION NO. 6775

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas and Delores C. Clarkin to construct a garage with zero sideyard in front portion of lot, Lot 11, Block A, Cabrillo Terrace, 3305 Canon Street, zone R-1,

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No.

Secretary

Dated September 3, , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received 8-27-52	By Baughnum City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underbrace{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathcal{I}} - \underbrace{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{I}} - \underbrace{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{I}}$	By Jones & Buton City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-	
Decision appr.	Date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-4</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-8-5-</u>
Planning Commission 9-0-5- Petition	er 9-4-5- Health Department 5-8-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A build of the state of the state of the state of the state of the property descend will not a

I have a

11

A TALE A COMPANY CONTRACT STATE

the second and provide becaused and a state of the second and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9853</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas and Delores C. Clarkin to construct a garagewith 514" setback, not closer to Canon Street than the adjacent garage, Lot 11, Block A, Cabrillo Terrace, 3305 Canon Street, sone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____September 3. , 1952

Secretary

FORM 2145

207

Res. No. 6776

Application Received $8 - 27 - \sqrt{2}$	By J. Baughman
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $9 - 3 - J^2 \nu$	By <u>Anes & Burton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-J	² Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date Building Inspector <u>9-8-12</u> r 9-4-12 Health Department <u>5-8-12</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4	_ Building Inspector 9-8-12
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - 1'2 Petitioner	r 9-4-12 Health Department 9-8-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

an poir a succession of the second of the first second of the second of

Longe in the structure of the structure

CONTLAND OF A CULTURE AND AND AND AND

T destroyed in the second of the second of the second second second damages

the state of the burners of the prise of the prise of the Critical day broken

which we advected attain the manual time of any other from the case

the superior will be a meterically allower the superior of approximit

19 73. ALL lost been every thereof by the Linking Countered

RESOLUTION NO. 108488

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Anthony S. Errabito and Philomena Arrabito, 5404 O_range A_venue, from the decision of the Z_oning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6777, application No. 11092, for permission to erect 5-foot high concrete block wall beyond the setback line on 54th Street, Lot 8, Richmond Hills, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Goning Committee is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, tr of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by sai	true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>108488</u> said Council <u>Sept. 25, 1952</u>
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG
	Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11092</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/0f/0fdinan¢e/No//8924//as/amended); Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Anthony S. and Philomena Arrabito to erect 5-foot high concrete block wall beyong the setback line on 54th Street, Lot 8, Richmond Hills, 5404 Orange Ave., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Runicipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby DENIED AS to the particulars stated above.

Appeal Denied 9-25-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______September 3 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res No. 6777

Application Received 8-27-32 B	y_d. Baughman
	City/Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-3-12</u> By	Janes & Burton
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-12	Hearing date
Dian Dian	Date G - T - J'L
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4	Building Inspector 9-8-1-2
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - 52 Petitioner	Building Inspector $\underline{\mathcal{G}} - \overline{\mathcal{F}} - \underline{\mathcal{J}}^{*} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{*}$ $\mathcal{G} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{*} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{*}$ Health Department $\mathcal{G}^{*} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{*} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}^{*}$
A poeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Prepution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a ward and a ward ward and a sine work to be an entering on and for the and

and the second of the second and the second of the second s

THERE IN ALL STATESTED IN The Source Connected of the Call of Banibicky

An instruction to a gala and the states in the section of the sect

TELEVISION OF THE PARTY OF THE

PETOCALIDE NO. TAXA

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11069</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of 07 digitarde Noi 18924</u>, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas Garland and Rose Helen Cox, owners, of the West 50° of Lot 5, all of Lot 6, Block 16, College Park No. 2, 5705 Lindo Paseo, Zone R-1, to erect a rumpus room with bar sink, bathroom & dressing room with 10-foot

- rear yard;
- to erect a pergola with 8-foot high rear wall with 10-foot rear yard and zero side yard:

to erect a 1.7'6" x 20' carport addition to existing garage, subject to following conditions:

- 1. That all building be approximately as shown on the sketch on file in Phanning office:
- 2. Provided the building permitted under this resolution shall not be rented or used other than by members of the immediate family and their invited guests;
- 3. That an agreement to the above effect be signed and recorded. Agreement # 770

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated____September 3 , 19 52

By

117

Res No. 6778

6778

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>8-27-J-2</u> B	y City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{g - 3 - \sqrt{2}}$ B	y Jones V Burton City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	Hearing date
Decision concle. appro. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-4</u> Planning Commission <u>9-8-122</u> Petitioner	Date 9-3-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4	Building Inspector $9 - 8 - \sqrt{2}$ $9 - 4 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $5 - 8 - \sqrt{2}$
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - 1 - Petitioner	
A should filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Desigion of Council	Date
Desolution becomes effective	
A lightion withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
W ALLONG DO DO ANALOS DO DESTRUCTOR	AND AND THE REPORT OF A DECK AND

2. Spin an million of the spin hands and provide the state of the stat water of the first is in price of the heading of himself the fatter of the states of the states a test and the still and the partition were a provided and the second of a second second

1. The Lit beliefing be successively as the second of the second city is the second second second second second

the start of the second second second second a second second second second second second second second second s

- the street of particulation - fort (and represented) by sole by the forth result (setting the

THE THE PARTY TOTAL AND TOTAL TOTAL PROPERTY OF A TOTAL AND THE PARTY AND A TOTAL PROPERTY A TOTAL PROPERTY A TOTAL PROPERTY AND A

Port 5 - of the first of the state of the first of the set of a Supervise Superv

THE R. RESOLVED, By the Zoning Consister of the Caty of her surger

They all the market of the adverse of the adverse of a first the Montes Fian of the First

The contraction will put much tails affect the nepton or an entry of a contract of a second of the s

0622601

norrant de contrations:

ALL DIG CHERT

The get and the

8

The state and a second the state of the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11025</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/91/07dinance/No/18924//as/amended):101.0501 Municipal Code)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry Stoddart to erect 7-foot high corrugated iron fence around entire property. Lots 27 and 28, Block 98, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, 2285 Newton Ave., Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0627 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 3 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received _ aug . 27 - J-2	_ By City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-3-J-2	By Jones + Burton City Planning Department
a it and her Zoning Committee 9-3-	Date 7-3-J2 Building Inspector 7- P-J2 Health Department 6 P-J2
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

The second se

the second se

10 10

CONCRETE ON STREET

the state of the state of the state of the state of the state

y has son for the backed to up the in the

95 0) AND THE PARTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION 19

·····

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/91/91/91/91/91/91/924//45/amended) Municipal Code Sec. 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Orillia S. Steele to construct a garage with 4' setback on Lafayette Street, Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 11, American Park Addition, 3691 Norena Boulevard, zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That floor of the garage is not less than 5'6" below the established grade of Lafayette Street;
- 2. That adequate provision be made to support the ground between garage and Lafayette Street in approximately its natural condition, and approximately as shown on plans.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 3, , 19 52

Secretary

Res. No. 6780

419

FORM 2145

Application Received 5-27-52 B	
Investigation made $\underline{9 - 3 - 5^2}$ B	y Jones + Buston City Planning Department
a il and her Zoning Committee G-3-51	Hearing date Date 9-3-J'- Building Inspector 9-8-J'- Health Department 5-8-J'2
Appeal filed with City Citik, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A 2 FOR THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP

the state of the second state should shall be and shall be and the second state of the

ne tearraine and a first or on the toria as any training the tearraine and the second s

TO A TALE TO A TALE TALE TO A TALE TO A TALE TO ADDRESS TO BE ADDRESS AND A TALE

TOTOL TO A THE A STATE OF A STATE

the second of the second second the second s

and the second s

the second of a second property of the same factorization

W# 4. 7 67

12 4

CALLER.

T.GT .!

,

And the second sec

Contraction of the second state of the contraction of the second states of the second states

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11102</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/01/01/01/01/01/01/0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lucretia Day to convert an existing beauty shop, located on Lots 7 and 8, Block 27, Resub. of Blocks K and L, Teralta, 4269 Central Avenue, zone R-4, into an apartment unit, making a total of 5 living units on the property, on the condition that any signs referring to the beauty shop shall be removed before apartment is occupied.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0401 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ September 3, 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6781

Application Received _ J-27-52 By_	J. Baughman City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-3-5</u> By_	Jones & Burton
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3 H	learing date
Decision appr. D	ate 9-3-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-4 B	uilding Inspector <u>9-8-12</u>
Considered by Zonnig Committee <u>9-3</u> D Decision creper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-4</u> B Planning Commission <u>9-8-1</u> Petitioner	6 - 4 - 1 - Health Department 9 - 8 - 12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Pate
Perclution becomes effective	
A solication withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a to the sufferit re stated thrue, indoles a they relied to the arrests described signal suppose to the start into by writely a bein wellow lide ofton or, while benefty presided

services on a contraction of the property to the burgets of an all on recover other of states of a state of the

Parte of the second of the sec

Distance of the Startweb. By the Fosting Completes of the City of Son Distor

and the soll and any activity attends the many that of the Tity

and the contraction of the part of the sector of the part of the sector of the sector

and the restance should be the merchants for the property of

s post i

and the second second

Prese Prese Prese

ACT

and the mattern presented for stress MARASAN

ALL I LIVER

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11099</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15.of Ordinance No. 2924</u>, ascanended): (See Municipal Code Sec. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert G. and Mignon D. McGuire to erect an apartment over garage with 5-foot access court, on Lot B. Block 46, Mission Beach, 2913 Ocean Front, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the existing shower and toilet room on rear of existing apartment be
- 2. That not less than three off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Ву____

- Van

Application Received 8-28-52 By	L. Baughman City Planning Department
**	City Planning Department
Investigation made 9- 3- 52 By	· (man a Rue tou)
Investigation made b	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-3-5</u> Decision could speer.	Hearing date
Decision coude apper.	Date 9-3-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11-52	Building Inspector 9-11 - 12
Decision condit apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-11-52</u> Planning Commission <u>9-11-52</u> Petitioner	9-11-52 Health Department 9-11-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Pacolution becomes effective	
A solication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

prove the second of the s

to the real state of the real state of the real of the real of the

the second standard in the ball & rand on rout of selection withhouse he

Particular in the product of

S 2. 1 3 1 1 1 1 1

1.

These a second second the Second Committee of the Coly of Sau Datase

The relevance of the Art branchesk billent for Manare Stars of the City

a provide the still with second to allow the peak to be a second of a second se

the second second second second is the second second by some products

a the second entry of the second second the second second is the second se

a designation (too to relating toole the set of the se
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11112</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15</u>/df/Ordinarde/Nd//8924//ds/amended); Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Peter and Helene Walcher to make interior alterations on building with non-conforming side yard of one foot, on South 1/2 Lot H, Block 209, Horton's Addition, 1618 - 1st Avenue, Zone C, provided these alterations will not increase the number of families on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0106 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Plumbing & without Ritchen Sink (P.B) 9.8.52

appealed this date 9-11-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

21

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>September 3</u>, 19_52 FORM 2145

Contraction of the second

Application Received 8-28-02 By	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>9-3-viz</u> By <u>Jones + Button</u> City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-3-viz</u> Hearing date	
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3-12 Hearing date	
Decision appr. Date 9-3-12	
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9 - 5 Building Inspector 9 - 8 - 12	in produces
Decision $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}}}}}}}}}$	-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Production becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

1 ... Param Band 131

the politice is the second provide the second between the same the second age in the second age in the politice

av periodise official and a subject of the test of the test of the second of the 200 and the second s

THE TAR AND BY THE CONTRACTION OF THE CASE OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER.

#100% ·

STATES CON LOSS BASE

the set is a set of the set of th

WHEREAS, Application No. 10098 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13 |df |Qddiddadd Nd / 18974 / / 44 / 44 / 44 / 44 / 4 Municipal Code No. 101.0501)

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are_ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Katharan McCommon to split out portion of Lot 71,

, La Jolla Hills, into two parcels and build single family residence on each: each parcel to have at least 100-foot frontage on Hillside Drive, 1/4 mile Southerly of Soledad Ave., Zone R-1. (Legal description on file in Planning Office)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

_, 19 52 Sept. 3 Dated

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6784

351

Application Received 5-28. 12	By Q. Baughinan City Planning Department
Investigation made 9-3-V-	By Jones + Burton
1117651.g-+	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-3	Hearing date
Decision Caper.	Date $9 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - \sqrt{2}$ er $9 - \sqrt{-3}$ Health Department $9 - 8 - \sqrt{2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9 - 9	Building Inspector 7 - 8 - 7 - 2
Planning Commission 9 - 8 - 52 Petitione	er 9-5-52Health Department 9-8-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Develution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bran Ward Brand

pec Res. # 4305 - 11-30-49

1.11. 1

A set with the set of a point of the set of

fination is in the second of the second of the second second

and the sector will the sources of sources and the weather the of the of the first

the substant in the relation of the substrated, and will - MgC be severially detrivations in

the provide the second of the peristance for the presentation of the peristance of the peristance of the presentation of the peristance of the peris

a service of the service of the service of conditions applied to the projects to the projects

" a second second

1. 1. 1. has been considered by the Facing Condition

TELEVISION NOT USIN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11110</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/0f/0fdimance/No//8924;/as/amended)/ Municipal Code No. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James L. and Isabel A. Cortez to erect a single family residence on portion of Lot 55. Las Alturas Villa Sites, not of record at time of zoning, on Bonita Drive, between Santa Isabel and Olvera Streets, Zone R-1. (Legal description on file in Planning Office.)

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

183

______ , 19 52

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____Sept. 3

Secretary

Res No. 6785

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 8 - 2 8 - 5 - By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 3 - 5^{2} - 3^{2}$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 3 - 5^{2}$ Decision $4 - 8^{2} - 5^{2}$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9 - 5^{2}$ Planning Commission $4 - 8 - 5^{2}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	City Planning Department
Envent Dr. Benninger	down Try Cown Try

Letter dated 8-23-52

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13 of Ordinance No. 18924, as amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6663, Dated July 23, 1952, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy and Diane Klapper to erect a residence on lot which is partially occupied by porch of residence on adjoining lot 5, encroaching one foot into this lot, being Lot 4, Block 13, Bird Rock Addition, Hasterly side of Beaumont Ave., 120 feet South of Forward Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated September 3 , 19 52

By_

FORM 2145

y From Mr. Rick City Planning Department
City Planning Department
y Jones & Burton City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 9-3-52
Building Inspector 9-8-5-
Building Inspector $9-8-52$ 9-0-52 Health Department $9-8-52$
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and some of the second second second second to be a first second se

Territering in humphy mention is the real fine bit we in whether we be and the sector of the territeria

that is the the state that the Lordon County the state of the She She Diegos

an the second of the second cards

the second the solid weak weak with solid is allow the heater of extends on

a section of the sector is the product of the beginning to reacting of Arres buddeness

12 4 December 2 - Chi Sell' District Train and I share an annual sector and the seller we share the

and a start

FREETILION POT CARL

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>9704</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution #5734, granted to Claude Chandler, owner, and Harry Epsten, purchaser, to build and operate an addition to the El Rey Trailer Plaza, located at 303 - 47th Street, ots 274 - 335, 336 - 356, 365 - 397, Sunshine Gardens, sone R-4, be amended to read as follows:

that the installation of a hedge meet the following specifications:

- a. That a two-foot hedge shall be installed along the south and easterly lines of this trailer place.
- b. That a two-foot hedge be installed along the northerly line adjacent to the 56 new
- c. That a six-inch hedge along the easterly and northerly lines of the authorized 56
- d. That a six-inch hedge be installed along the north and westerly line of the undeveloped property, all to be done prior to January 1, 1953;
- e. That the owners shall furnish a letter guaranteeing the installation of this hedge in accordance with the foregoing, said installation to be effected not later than January 1, 1953.

That these requirements refer only to Item 1 of Resolution #5734.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 52

FORM 2145

ning of the put	
Application Received	d <u>8-29-12</u> By mail City Planning Department
	A-B-122 DO A
Investigation made .	<u>G-3-52</u> By <u>Jones + Senton</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zonin	ng Committee <u>9-3-5-</u> Hearing date
Decision appr	$-$ Date $g - \overline{J} - \sqrt{2}$
Planning Commissio	on 9-8-12 Petitioner 9-9-12 Health Department 4- 9-1-2
Appeal filed with Cit	ty Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes	effective
Application withdra	wn Continued to
Time limit extended	
· ganging of	world world in some solution of the state of the state of the state of the state back w
10174 1 1 1	with the free the Installing I the free series for some so In Time of the sur-
marges back to	the ball;
	I have no to billot low the next with the science to be pi the
	a restance of the transmission the south relation of the
A MAR IN-R F	a server a server of a star of the stones .
Thein:	
a star - har a	- 756, 366 - 207, transiting resters, and this to meriful to real on
	1. Let to the line in the structure, called a The box, Taxoburer, be structure to the line in the structure, ind the box of - DTEL struct,
	a section to the start a same radius relation for the transformer bu
TI Tara a	
	of resolved, my the Aming Committee of the Disp of the Disput-
	the surface will not oderrest affret the passes Plan of the Capeline
	and the second sec
	the set of the restriction and will all the protection of the set of the restriction of the
	the sector will 11 b estated is affect the bootsh of watery af
	a start of pressentances of conditions sould be by the fire Disparity
	a second and a second
	w 7.31 has been considered by the Newton Constitute

Let.) • or - at

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11099</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6782, dated September 11, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert G. and Mignon D. McGuire to erect two living units over garage with 5-foot access court, on Lot B, Block 46, Mission Beach, 2913 Ocean Front, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

That the existing shower and toilet room on rear of existing apartment be entirely removed;

2. That not less than three off-street parking spaces be constructed and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Sept. 17 _____, 19_52

1.

FORM 2145

Secretary

302

		Laize 1993 - 1940
46.7+ 11	2	J. Baughnaon
Application Received _	8-28-52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made	<u> 9-17</u> By	Pady the Jones briffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning	Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision Myr. Copy of Resolution sent Planning Commission Appeal filed with City C Decision of Council	to City Clerk <u>9-19</u> 9-22 Petitioner Clerk, date	Date Building Inspector <u>9-22-72</u> 9-19-72 Health Department <u>9-32</u> Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effe	ective	
Application withdrawn	······	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to		
Const Constant on C	the beau.	ale logic i og i en somsette brender og
A. They set have t	the prove of the brief	on the content of the transformer of the
eriteri lano.	A	
The state of the states	FILL PACE & COLD FILLS F	woon ou work of experience of a second
Germ Sull' USA	t and or reader of	re pas camps pour
Condition to the second	the state of the second but	Call allocation and the state of the state
		TL, TTL, be spraude to such as following a
	10	
dition a second to b		
		HOT assessed to adjust the many from at the Cart .
		county and ward _ 200 b, he could a said to determine that the
		mob enterestic affect the beatth of safety of
		at my are descripted, because of parts business,
		The state as a second second the second seco
	the set after	
	11000	

-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11121</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James K. and Evelyn Fay Marechal, owners, and Joe L. Stanton, Trustee, purchaser, to erect four single family dwellings on the R-1 portion of a parcel. being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, 1286, 1288 and 1289, per legal description on file in Planning Office, north side Torrey Pines Road, East of Ardath Road, Zones R-4 and R-1.

This Resolution to be effective only on above property in the name of James K. and Evelyn Fay Marechal, owners, and Joe L. Stanton, trustee, purchaser.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 1952_

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6791

353

Ever Bar wing n. Rick Application Received _____ By__ City Planning Department 9-17 By Pa Hin V South Investigation made ____ Jones City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u> Decision course appr. Hearing date_ Date 9-17-12 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{9-19}$ Planning Commission $\underline{9-+2-5}$ Petitioner Building Inspector $\underline{9 - 22 - \sqrt{2}}$ $\underline{9 - 19 - \sqrt{2}}$ Health Department $\underline{9 - 22 - \sqrt{2}}$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council_ Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action Sug Ve. The state of the second of the second second second provide the second s at any of many of the Doral - 1. . I how a function to a with the second of the NEAT OF A TAKE LIGHTANK 22 11 TO ATIST OF THE SCOTOR COMP. 12 1 100 ECC 11 2. 8: The service of the state of the state of the And a setting of the Link ministres A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER 10.00 Hrdath Rd.

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. T. Mooney, D. O. to erect hospital building with no setback on 23rd Street where average required is approximately 6 feet, Lots 4, 5, and 6, Block C, Culverwell & Taggarts, 1100 - 24th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0607, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

DatedSept. 17	19 52	By			
FORM 2145	,		Secretary	Res No. 6	5792
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		27			

Application Received 7-20-52 By	B. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-52</u> By	y Padgett Jones miffin vont
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u>	Hearing date
Decision Maker	Date 9-17-52
Decision Jefer. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u>
Planning Commission 9-19-52Petitioner	9-18-52 Health Department 5-19-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the point of the second of the second second the second se and the second of the second o

and and a set of the s

THERE DOLD IN THE PARTY PARTY COMMITTEE OF THE CASE AND BIRCH.

and the second second second and she had a second a second s

there is a set of the All and The set of a set of the the set of the

NO NEC *

and a second second

Will addie the the second and the second and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11079</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/d4/04/d4/d4/d4/8924//d\$/amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred B. Mitchell Company to erect 22-unit apartment house with 12-foot access, blocked by 4-foot stairway and bridge between buildings Lots 37, 38, and 39, Fleisher's Addition, 8th and Washington Streets, Zone R-4 and R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 6793

By

_____, 19 <mark>5</mark>2

Application Received 7-22-52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17</u> By	Palgett Jones Briffin & South
	Hearing date
D · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date 5-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector <u>G - 19 - 12</u>
Flaiming Commission 4 - 19 - 1, 1 cultoner	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the Cline provide the former the evidence presented how there face becaused

A very set of the structure of white - I care the reprint the case is here with

The state of the second of the second of the second second of the second a house sites I - to be concerned. Presented by the state at state of both the both of the state the

THEN, DATA WE IT ISSUARD, BY The Fonist Consistence of the Cick of Son Dieze.

TOPOLE & Lando

853

Contract of the States of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11052</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adolf J. Johnson and Sophia M. Johnson to divide portion of Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Quarter Section 104, Rancho de la Macion, into two parcels, each having 100-foot street frontage and 110 feet deep, and erect a single family residence on the vacant parcel, 5637 E. Division Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 1952

By

196

FORM 2145

Application Received $\overline{S} - 2 \cdot 5 \cdot$	By Cadgett Jones Briffen touth City Planning Department Hearing date Date 5-17 Building Inspector 5-19 oner 5-18 Health Department 5-19-52 Council Hearing, date Date
	The second
	nt 312 23.1.2. Dermon

WHEREAS, Application dated Sept. 4, 1952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee ^{of} the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section ¹⁵ of Ordinance Nor 8924; as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance Nox x8924, x as x amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the expiration date of Res. Ated March 11, 1952, be granted to David and Jean Schriebman to operate salvage bustheries to be incidental to the business, on Lots 21 and 22, to be in conjunction with of collection and baling newspapers and magazines, the collection of scrap metal and business and buildings on Lots 23 and 24, Block 180, Mannasse & Schiller's, 1684 Logan "Siness and buildings on house onditions:

hat there will be no loading, unloading or parking of trucks on street; Said trucks to be loaded and unloaded within the yard;

thet trucks owned by the petitioner and/or trucks operating from the premises or selling to the petitioner, shall not be parked overnight on the street in front of the property in Mestioner, shall not be parked overlight on Saturday or Sunday, nor for a period of more than four consecutive hours at any one time;

hat all storing and baling of paper and rags to be conducted entirely within the building;

hat scrap metal be stored in orderly fashion in rear shed and batteries stored within a building;

Mat Yard at rear of existing building be paved or blacktopped and kept in clean and orderly fashion;

the front of existing building be stuccoed to match the new building to be erected on the front of existing building be student lock 180, Mannasse & Schiller's, permitted Res. No. 6233: granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

ed automatically, six month

tion permit Nat existing 6-foot wall on Westerly line be extended to front property line 6 feet and a new gate be erected to conform to front of building: the and a new gate be erected to conform to front of building;

that all improvements be commenced within six months from the date of this Resolution this permit to be revocable for failure to comply with the above conditions; this permit to be revocable for failure to comply wing Dept. All plans be approved and the following conditions:

t all combustible material to be removed;

at all scrap metal now in open yard to be stored in orderly fashion in rear shed; hat these conditions be complied with within thirty days from the date of this egolution, and subject to inspection by the Planning Dept.

fince to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the toplars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

1 10 1000 1300

The state of the second streets and the second state of the Cartes

as pressioner will toll the outland the proof tool 100, has not a chitter, of the other Shared to be indired in to the puriture, on lots of out as, as to be in compared in pith. a main' collettor of the in the american of a new and, the collection of some of the when you is it. I as set tool to wall and form what there be not to a burge to a burge The second of the second state the second state of the second stat in the second probable states Commission of the Clear of the Clear of the

the face of a stall by her a statute out of state of a state particular the state of a state of a the brace to be is led rul with the with the former the function of the for the state of the of the of the of the of the of the state

the old statute on i boling of show out you to be contacted entirely within the stated of rote the four cont c the four at our cut the : Tt' Ma maction rot of the station the the new an estimated of the control of to the meticiant, do it not be writed event let on the structure of the gravests

service a reading could be want by the service of specific soles and the standard

whit wire with an aboved in ordering i ablem in rows should all electrics showed within a WITTELL

Planning Commission 9-22 -J2Petitioner 4-19- J2 Health Department 9-22 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

J' By Marl

Investigation made

Application Received

AN ALLEY TANK

MATE PROVIDENT

10

S

9-17 Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17

Decision condil Ext. & 1 mo.

By Padrit hen 5 City Planning Department Hearing date Date 9-17-52 Building Inspector 9-22-52 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application dated Sept. 4, 1952 has been considered by the Zoning Committee 15 of Ordinance How 8924 and amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Res. 6233, dated March 11, 1952, be granted to David and Jean Schriebman to build and operate a building on the Southwesterly 55 feet of Lots 23 and 24, to be used in conjunction with · calvage business on Lots 21 and 22, Resolution No. 6232, Block 130, Mannasse & Schiller's, ortherly corner of Logan Ave. and Sigsbee St., Zone Mal, on the following conditions:

1. That there will be no loading, unloading or parking of trucks on street;

- 2. Said trucks to be loaded and unloaded within the yard;
- 3. That trucks owned by the petitioner and/or trucks operating from the premises or selling to the petitioner, shall not be parked overnight on the street in front of the property in question nor on any of the adjoining streets, nor on Saturday or Sunday, nor for a period of more than four consecutive hours at any one time;
- That all storing and baling of paper and rags to be conducted entirely within the 4. building; all weighing of material to be done within the building;
- 5.0. That entrance be from Logan Ave. and exit by way of Sigsbee St .;
 - That building be commenced within 6 months from the date of Res. 6233 and this permit to be revocable for failure to comply with the above conditions;
- That all plans be approved architecturally by the Planning Dept.; 7.
- That the above conditions to be subject to approval of the Fire Marshall and Bldg. Dept. 8.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be woked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or conreaction permitted is commenced batton and time explanation

his 6 month's extension to be granted on the following conditions: tive and final on the

- That all combustible material to be removed; City Clerk, unless a written appeal
- That all scrap metal now in open yard to be stored in orderly fashion in rear shed; That these conditions be complied with within thirty days from the date of this
- Resolution, and subject to inspection by the Planning Dept.

I variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

۵.

۸.

Application Received B	y
Investigation made $4 - 17$ B	y Padgett Jones Sriffin Sonth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-17</u> Decision Coule gept 6 min. 446. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-19</u>	Hearing date
Convert Perclution sent to City Clerk 9-19	Building Inspector 9-22-52
Planning Commission 9 - 2 2 - J - Petitioner	9-19-12 Health Department 9-12-1-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
whit the content of the state of the second	the second is at the light so report and all a says

and a second state of the second state state

Ale d'true control Martin e sulfar tructe antrodans from the arms lace ar molliou an the situation of Martin the source around the subbevetre to invest of the provert and the situation of the source of the subbevetre to invest of the state of the state of the subscript of the s

the poly of the state of the state of the box of , Mone of , on the fill we could be a state of the state of

A the fail i the second s

ALLONG AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO displaying the straight of the the Zoning Committees of the Circle of Sag Break

and the start and the second the start with a second with a second second start and the second s and the set of a set of the set o

subsection and the subdence prospected have shown it work managements

the part of the second of the

and his land as an even the same sector and the sec

the state of and the second of the second of the second of and a second of the second second the second sec

and the set of the second second as a start of the second se

(refer at a to a the second of the second state of the second se

and true is a fragment of the second set of the second the

Sugar a been dered by the Zaming Constitution in the densidered by the Zaming Constitutes

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11109</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15-of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. M. and Merle Williams to erect bathroom addition, to have required side yard, to garage converted into living quarters with 2-foot side yard, on East 10 feet of Lots 3, 4 & 5, Block 10, Hoitts Addn and 40 ft. of Hoitt Street closed adjacent, 2835 Market Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 1952

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6797

39

Bv

Application Received $9 - 2 - 5 - B$	y <u>Q-Baughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $G = 17$ B	y Padgett Jones Sciffin & South
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-17</u>	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{9-18}{9-18}$ Planning Commission $9-19-52$ Petitioner	7 7 Ileann Department 7 = 77
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

wat and a product

10				
\$0	the list	a true de te, anos	The property will be a	- pro linesone (resering
1	iches to des	a to the set of the set of the little	doug ICL OCOL NO.	of the product operation
11	The rule .	- Berne Car berger	prosp. John	
	yerd, and yes	South on the part of the	", BLOOK I.L. HOTOL	when we the set of
	The Transferrer is the	2	I DEPT THEN THAT AN ONLY	Contra de la contr
. 1	Mainton In Treet	C. State in the state in the	in the state of the	autor manager of 1110

240 - 10 F - 1

CONTROL BY the Zoning Completed of the Closer Set Diego ITERES (

- That the matter the first of the second and materially affect the basirb of pairs of a better after the basirb of a first of the basis and white the basis and a b
- the second state was supplied

- - (TO MER. COOD LET. DELT) CITCA THAT PERCE CONSTRAINED BY THE TRACTOR COMPTERS

11044

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. J. and Cecilia Paderewski to erect 9-unit apartment house with 7 ft. 6 inch-setback on Lot 59. Southern Title Guaranty Company, Sub of P. L. 1801, on Riviera Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Sept. 17	20		ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA		
Dated	. 19	By	Res. No. 6798		
FORM 2145	,		Secretary		
		306			

Application Received By By	b. Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-52</u> By <u>6</u>	Palyett Jones Briffin & Jouth Gity Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u> He Decision <u>6</u> <u>19</u> <u>19</u> Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> But Planning Commission <u>9-19-12</u> Petitioner <u>9-</u>	aring date $9 - 13 - 52$ te $9 - 17 - 52$ ilding Inspector $9 - 19 - 52$ -18 - 52 Health Department $9 - 19 - 52uncil Hearing, date$
Resolution becomes effective Con	ntinued to

There is a series of the serie

the second second

THEORY CONTRACT BY THE YOU'VE COSMICION OF THE FILL OF STATES.

in ro shiti

appellation of providences of the second second

Spore .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11115</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16/pf/Ordinance/No/./ BPD/4/,/ as/ amended)/:/ 101.0501 Municipal Code

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ann Johnston, Viola Deeds, Coretta Johnston, and Vida Johnston to convert two existing garages to living units, making a 12-unit motel in all on portion of Lot 23, La Mesa Colony, 6381 El Cajon Boulevard, Zones R-4 and C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 3525 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

_, 19 52

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Sept. 17

Secretary Res. No. 6799

FORM 2145

Application Received _ Z - 2 - 5 - 5 - 2 By	<u>City Planning Department</u>
	Calgett Jones griffin & South
1	Hearing date
Decision were:	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector $\underline{q} - \underline{1q} - \underline{5}^{-2}$ $q - \underline{1d}^{-1} - \underline{5}^{-2}$ Health Department $\overline{q} - \underline{1q} - \underline{52}$
Planning Commission 9-19-52Petitioner	9-18-52 Health Department 9-19-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Walkar and the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the seco

and the is a set of a The state of the a fight way with the solide of Trained and the state of the state of the state of the Provinsional a la barrella de cher ca los relation, riels implant, l'al la barrella de la barrella, est

MERALING OF THE TRANSFERS, BY THE ROUTHE COMMITTEE OF THE CLEAR OF DECEMP

THE Y W

shires of the second second

1 4 31

- 11 M

the grant with the provide and the second of the second with the provide and the second of the secon

Lock of the Charles of the Market

6800

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11093</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas L. Shepherd, owner, and Arnold P. Frazier, lessee, to operate a trailer sales office on R-4 property adjoining C Zone property, Lots 14 thru 17, Block 3, Stephen's Addition, Pacific Highway between Glendora and Banker Hill Streets. Zone R-4; on the following conditions:

1. That cyclone fence be constructed back of setback line along Revere Street;

That a hedge be planted along the fence and the remaining setback area be landscaped or planted in lawn:

3. That the C Zone portion of lot be blacktopped and the R-4 portion to be covered with gravel.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0408 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

419

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated__________, 19_52

Secretary Res. No. 6800

FORM 2145

ITUN	

the state of the second second and will also the be second in the second is the compared and the second parts appears from the second and the second have

The LL Leither and the the periph converges by the Circle of State Backet ,

The state a store the constructed back of motorals line state toward through 1 11 complection is the state of the state o

the property of the state the files and the repairing so there are be

the first cours to be on the buddened and the solution to be a to be a to be a to be a to The provide state of the second state of the

and to be metter the state to be and the second by sites to the state the monthly T ANALY CONTRACTOR OF THE STORE STORE OF THE STORE OF

Planning Commission 9-19 9-18 Health Department Petitioner 9 -Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date ____ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Date

Investigation made ______ Bv Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4-17 Hearing date

couse appr.

and the proof of the lot of

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18

terra - theory -

Decision

00040

Application Received _____ - 2 - J - 2 By. **Planning Department** City Planning Department

9 -

-19

9-17

Building Inspector_