- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glen A. and Ethel Blakely to divide lot into two parcels, 50 feet wide and maintain single family unit on each parcel, being Villa Lot 140, Normal Heights, 3639 Eugene Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

By

82

FORM 2145

plication Received <u><u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>
vestigation made <u>9-17</u> By <u>Progett</u> Ines Juffin Vante City Planning Department
nsidered by Zoning Committee 9-17 Hearing date
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> anning Commission <u>9-19</u> Petitioner <u>9-18-5-</u> Health Department <u>9-19-52</u>
py of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> Building Inspector <u>9-19</u>
anning Commission 9-19 Petitioner 9-18-5- Health Department 9-19-52
peal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
cision of Council Date
solution becomes effective
plication withdrawn Continued to
me limit extended to Date of action

T polyne i artist i artist for a start a second some i second a second some artist second some artist some artis Artist and artist art

a mache, a chaile a chaile a chaile and that's an used and a chaile of the second a chaile of the second and the

The drawn of the test of the line of the test of the test for the test of the test of the test of the test

THELL' AND A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT COMPANY OF STAR STAT AND AND DECK

ag post.

PLAN.

and the relation between and street for the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (sec.Section 15 of Ordinance, Nov. 8924, as amended): (See Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thoren and Loretta C. Lake to erect bedroom and bath above attached garage having required side yard, and residence with 4-foot side yard, portion of Lots 15 and 16, Block C. Las Lomas, per legal description on file in Planning Office, 2303 Lucerne Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0106 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received _ 9-3-52 By Q. Baughn City Planning Department Investigation made _____ By Padgett anes City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17 Hearing date_ 9-17-52 Date appr Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18 Building Inspector <u>9-19</u> Planning Commission 9-19-12-Petitioner 9-18-12 Health Department 9-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Date Decision of Council_ Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 6 13 THE PART A symptome to the realized in or mouthly to be of the mail and Court and an egistining of leve, sole) and one bolice, and the The second of th 15 Uniter and a second of the second sec LHEUE! 2 X Q 100 0 2 Q Paratellite the second 1 01/ 11 Representation of the second of the sector for the sector of the sector REAL PROPERTY MARKEY 0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11063</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown <u>for Section</u> <u>15 of Ordinance Work 8924</u> as camended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clyde R. and Florence B. Patten to construct bedroom addition approximately 10%, or 140 square feet, overcovered, to residence on Lot C. Block 25, Mission Beach, 2758 Bayside Walk, Zone R-2, subject to architectural approval of completed plans by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Sept. 17 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6803

Application Received <u>9-3-52</u>	By I. Baughenan
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Calgett Jones priffin fout
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision GA k	Date 9-17
Conv of Recolution sent to City Clerk 9-11	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Dispise Commission 9-19 Petitioner	Date $9-17$ Building Inspector $9-19-52$ 9-18-52 Health Department $9-19$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Augligation withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn	Date of action
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· Contract and

Triance for the state and thick the burg weight to be compared a second of the second of the second of the state of the state of the second of Stitute of states and the states of the stat Purisonan in the second to the state of the state of the state of the second the second of the source of the sourc THEN, I'VE AND THE POINT AND THE THE TOUTOF COMPANY FORM OF THE TAIL OF THE DESIGN

section in the sector book, and will a Data sector for he sector backs in a a contraction with the metalsolie allow other means of which of

(1050.101 0200 (1050 100)

110'T has been considered by the Zonich Strong there

SAL!

6804

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10973</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second second sec

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. L. Jacobs to construct patio, with approximately 300 square feet overcoverage, Lot B. Block 25, Mission Beach, 2760 Bayside Walk, Zone R-2, (present wall to be moved 3 feet to conform to side yard requirements) according to plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be tevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Sept. 17 , 19 52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received 9+0-11-52 By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-17}$ By	Palgett Jones buffin South City Planning Department fin South
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision App Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> Planning Commission <u>9-19</u> Petitioner	Date 9-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Planning Commission 9-19 Petitioner	9-18 Health Department 9-19
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

one des. for the build and stat 1 foot the sentence of the party realized to DO adjerte i torne statute e, but , block 35, biseiton per , the source alle Print solar in the state to the decount of the state of the state of the state

hostpoil

,

The second second second the second second second second the second second

the star and strengthend, and will not the become suffy and show the sec and the stand of the state of the second second state of the state of

(194 101 004 000 (194 101 001 001 (1961))

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11049</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/0tdlnance/No!/8924;/as/amended); Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Brick G. and Selma M. Haglund to erect a triplex in rear of existing single family residence, making total of 4 units on the property, being the East 60 feet of Lots 1 through 6, Block 106, City Heights, south side of Myrtle Ave. approximately 80 feet east of Marlborough Ave., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Sept. 17

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6805

, 19 ⁵²

Application Received <u><u><u>5</u>-8-<u></u><u></u>B</u></u>	y Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{G-17-5^{-2}}$ B	y Padgett Jones Briffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date $g = 17 - 5^{-2}$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u>	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Planning Commission 9 - 19 - J 2 Petitioner	9 - 18 - 52 Health Department $9 - 19 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1001 101 101

the But a price of the part provide the the here is the for a star person of the The address of the sub-tal and at the sub-tal and the talk of the sub-tal address the talk and the tere she a state a state the state of the second of the state of the s

more of erist to the Levilly really another passing but is really on the proving the remained in the relation to a mine of the relation to the for the remain of the the

MERO' THE REPORTED, BUILDIN, SOUTH, COMMATING OF THE CITY OF FUR DIREM.

suggests some time to a taba bas been considered by the Fonlog Comsides

callerning and the exidence presented but shown (but Section

Hiper (101 - 101 / 101 / 102 101 - 1 June 101. 0501)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11065</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of prhlinancle No. <u>11065</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee 16 of prhlinancle No. <u>11065</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert and Myrtle Even to erect garage with 12-foot setback on Lot 6. Block A, Carmel Heights Extension, 3412 Little Flower Street. Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

60

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Sept. 17 , 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received By	. Q. Baughman CityPlanning Department
Investigation made By	Palgett Jac briffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-11-	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date $9 - 17 - 52$ Building Inspector $9 - 19 - 52$ Health Department $9 - 19 - 52$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9.18	Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u>
Planning Commission 9 - 19 Petitioner	9-18 Health Department 9-19-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Jecon. C. Abyozione to the state of the of the state o - -- · 0:0 -- · surphic of contraction of the state of the adjustic of the state of the state of the state of the state of the Mathiaters of I locally of the book and the set of state to from to spect the spect of the first

THERE AND A COUNTRED BY THE YOUTH COMMITTEE OF OF CITY PL BUN DIEFO.

the services will die adversely affault for Samter Plan of the Ciry

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert and Myrtle Even to erect garage with no side yard on east side of Lot 6, Block A, Carmel Heights Extension, 3412 Little Flower St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

52

, 19

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept. 17

Secretary Res. No. 6807

FORM 2145

pplication Received <u>9-5-52</u> By <u>l. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
westigation made By Padgett Anes biffin + South
onsidered by Zoning Committee 9-11 Hearing date
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u>
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u> lanning Commission 9-19 Petitioner 9-18-52 Health Department 9-19-52
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

The second of the second of the second of the second second

Territori of a final of the second second

11

1. A. C. 4

1

	• (1)	

and the solution of and will be asterially when the solution of the

RESOLUTION NO. _108702 _____

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the hearing on the appeal of Wylie W. Evans from the decision of the Zoning Committeein denying permission to Mrs. Wylie M. Evans for a double-faced sign on center pole with 2-foot setback on Lots 12,13,14, Bik.16, La Jolla Park at 321 Coast Boulevard, be, and it is hereby continued until the meeting of October 16, 1952, at the hour of 10:000'clock A.M.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Attorney be, and he is hereby requested to rule on the rights involved.

By

J hereing certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 108702 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council _______ Ool___9, 1952 FRED W. SICK City Clerk.

HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10940</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Mrs. Wiley W. Evans to erect a double-faced sign, mounted on center pole, sign to be 4 ft., 6 in. by 4 ft., on Lots 12, 13 and 14, Block 16, La Jolla Park, 321 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 95.0104 be, and is hereby Dillip as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal continued 10-9-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

Application ReceivedB	y DESouth City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-17}$ B	y Padgett Jone Briffin South City Planning Department fin South
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission 9-17 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>9-19-5-</u> 9-18-5- Health Department 9-19-5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

materially affect the boalth on actory of the sould be mainly when the sould be actory of the sould be actorially derivatively of

alender of the move, innor where they related to the proving the terror

the regulations musid 100 b

tarion in the second state of the second of in yor " 11111

point part and

Alle (1 for the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10941</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Mrs. Wiley W. Evans to erect a double-faced sign on center pole with 2-foot setback, on Lots 12, 13 and 14, Block 16, La Jolla Park, 321 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal Continued 10-9-52

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ Spet. 17 , 19 52

By

348

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-8-5-</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-5-2</u> By	Palzett, Jones briffin & Source
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17-52	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{\mathscr{I}}^{-}/\underline{\mathscr{I}}^{-}$ Planning Commission $\mathscr{I}^{-}/\underline{\mathscr{I}}^{-}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	9-18 Health Department 9-19
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action

10331 · 1031 · 1035 12

huber

diston and by he would be the leading committee of the Ciry at Sad Dieno.

S. That die part 1. F. De Deriver will subscript affect the Master Flow of the City

For and a second in the state of the second of the second second is the second se

the set of the first of the products that the petitioner, post and by attest property the petitioner, now the by attest property

2. There will be an a set of a set of the set into the first the first the set of the se

the state of a state of a state and a state a state of a

al that in the second s

in generation in the second second

Secondaria and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11119</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 89247 amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emaline E. and George C. Compton to operate a real estate office, with one sign, 14 in. by 21 in., on Lot 37. Block 33, Ocean Beach, 4751 Newport Ave., Zone R-2; this permit to expire June 30, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 12793, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6810

219

By

Application Received <u>9-8-52</u> B	y <u>b.</u> Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-17}$ B	y <u>Padgett</u> , Jones Sriffin South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision couse appr	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u>
Planning Commission 9-19 Petitioner	9-18-52 Health Department 9-19-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the the type the first

writer ()	¢		4. 1. Jan	IC PACT	R. 497 B.	153 m 14		at for a star a star a star
Manuarbort .		1111	1122	31,0220	40 Cat		10 1 1 1 1 1 1	
tebe of the	. 1	Martin . man	1 - 1	· 37 3	1. 1. 1.	or tor to	13 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A THUTON .	×	and the second	2 x		· (4)	tot the	a hard a set	A LATING IN LART

THEN' DISCOUNT OF CASED. By the Zoning Conmittee of the Case of San Diepo.

and all the adderse affort the Saller flow all we Eler

a start in the only and only will will a first markers ally don't important to and the that will ... How materially affect the health or colory of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11037</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinande No. 18924, as an ended): 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: Permission is hereby granted to Richard A. and Pauline Houseman to erect retaining

Permission is hereby granted to Richard A. and Pauline Houseman to erect retaining and free-standing wall, 40 feet of 14 feet high, and 25 feet of 15 feet high, as shown on plan submitted, Lot 21, Cosgrove Terrace, 4852 - 60th Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated______ Sept. 17 . 19 52

Secretary

Res No. 6811

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	by <u>F. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{9-17-1^{-\nu}}{2}$ B	by Patgett, Jone hiffen & South
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision GART:	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u>
Planning Commission 9 - 19 Petitioner	9-18 V - Health Department 9-19-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the spin a size of a size of the size for a set of the size of the size of the set of the size of the

Supple of the second second

supplie -

LHS IST, S.

and the second s

.

Car and Round

A STATISTICS AND STATISTICS

on application will will , emterially affect the braith or safe's at

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Eleanor Schmidtke to erect a store addition to existing dwelling unit with no sideyard on west side and with 9-foot access, Lots 39 and 40, Block 1, Resub of Blocks 1 - 12, Fairmount Addition, 4809 University Ave. Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept1 17 Dated_____, 19

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 6812

Application ReceivedB	y DESouth City Planning Department
	City Flanning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9 - 12 - 52}$ B	y Palgett Anes briffin + Sout
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee7	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9 - 18$	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Planning Commission 9-19-12 Petitioner	Date $g = 17 - 52$ Building Inspector $g = 17 - 52$ g = 18 - 52 Health Department $g = 19 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ten en al a la company de la c

The second second second second second

COLOJIE C.*

THUS

279311011110

WHEREAS, Application No. ____1154 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section (See Mun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, Sas. amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bertha A. Cole to construct an addition to existing garage, making a 4-foot access court and 4-foot rear yard, on Lot 6, Block S, West End Addition, 2884 Gunn Street, Zone R-4, on condition that the 4-foot access court on the north side of property be completely paved.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6813

62

By

Application Received	By b. Tasch
	A 0
Investigation made	By Calgett Jones hiffen Souri City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-14	Hearing date
Decision coude appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-14	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Planning Commission 4-19 Petitioner	Date Building Inspector <u>9-19-52</u> 9-18-52 Health Department 9-19-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

2019 CO. 4073

-01.0 (DE2)

Are the purched by the training of the training without to the rest of the there are the second with

, IG 1 , I I I

the second second

the second of the fact that a second s

(190 100, 0000 101.0571)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11116</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 2924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence W. and Edna Clark and James & Susan H. Shoelbraid, to convert rear portion of store building into living quarters with zero side yards, Lot 10, Block 114, E. W. Morse, 31572 F Street, Zone C; on condition that the contractor's yard now being operated on the premises be discontinued within ninety (90) days from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0106 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Sept. 17 , 1952

By

141

FORM 2145

Application Received By	W. mc Connell
pr	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-17}$ By \underline{Pa}	Syett Jones buffin I South
	ng date
Considered by Zonnig Committee Itean	ing date
Decision courte ajeter Date	9-17-52
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-22 Buildi	ng Inspector $9 - 22 - 52$
Planning Commission 9-22-5' Petitioner 9-2	$\sim \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $\leq -2 \sim \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Counc	il Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	and the second second second second second
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Contin	nued to
Time limit extended to Date	of action

Aprilation 14 h-rate of the the contraction of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the

s constitution is a single the state we shak to the concrete to estimate the state of the concrete of a state of a provide the state of September 1 : the sected of the sected back in the sected by the sected of the sected of the sected of the

INERGY OFF, IN THE SECURED, By the Zening Consisters of the City of Lan Elege.

period and the neighborhood, and mail, how he referrally description in Thus the side of the state and the state and the sector of the bould by a sector of

(TONO TOT 9 /00 TOT 10 /01 the CITY of the short of the state of the st

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11136</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 8924 was amended): (See Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Roy and Virginia Lee Field to erect a 4-foot high wall in the setback area on Lot 2, Block 1, Arnold's Park Subdivision, 1806 Filden Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0623 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 18 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6815

142

By

Application Received By	Tuail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Padgett, Jones Briffin Joute City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u> Decision Denied	Hearing date
Decision Devied	Date 9-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Planning Commission 9-19-12 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>9-19-12</u> 9-18 Health Department <u>9-19-52</u>
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

War TheT.

455 beingh, p singht in the

Surdenies i fer a stander i frank in Structure bee Mold to er of a feat ille and Hean and a set in the set is the set is involved in the angle of a set ille a structure.

have a gradient of the second

· · · · · · · ·

DEPOSITION OF THE X-TINE Committee of the Committee of the second second

a start have a start of the backgorough management by a the brokens,

the other the second of the second is the second second of the second se

is a start of the replace to the second of t

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10990</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. Coates to divide Lots 15, 16 and 17, Block 56, Morena, northwest corner of Milton and Erie Streets, Zone R-1, into three building sites according to plat on file in Planning Office, on condition that a 15-foot setback is maintained on Milton Street and the required setback on Erie Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 1 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6816

Application Received <u>9-10-12</u> By	J.m = Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-1-5</u> By	Jones Jundy South
Considered by Zoning Committee _/o-)	Hearing date
Decision conderpt	Date 10-1-52
Decision cond'e Appl Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10-3-12
Planning Commission 70-3 Petitioner	10 - 2 - J'2 Health Department 10 - 3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Martine Let a set in a star star a star the star set was a set in the set of the set of

presting a set of the set of the sourt, involution is the set of t

watrole - ----

THERE'S AND AND AND AND AND THE CONSTRUCT OF THE CARE OF BAN OF AN OF AN

the state of the second street the state of the state of the state of the

the second second

supplier of the patients of the patients, managed to dispat property

a second of a real south the second of the second second to the second

The second providence of the second for the provide the second se

ALLOTALING MO.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10433</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16 bf Drdihance No. <u>10433</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gordon and Mila T. Palmer to divide a two-acre tract into two building sites with 20 foot easement to rear parcel, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, legal description on file in Planning Office, on Hidden Valley Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 6817

Application Received $\underline{9 - 18 - 5 - 8}$	y City Planning Department
	R
Investigation made <u>9-17-5</u> B	y Padgett Jones Briffin & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{G-18}$	Building Inspector 9-19-52
Planning Commission 9-19-52 Petitioner	Date $9 - 17 - 52$ Building Inspector $9 - 19 - 52$ 9 - 18 - 52 Health Department $9 - 19 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. And a second of the second

A TIME

STI

MENTO -----

PO A LTING (A CONTRACT OF THE TO

the break and the frequency by the free Serie of

a start part from the

Stat at

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11158</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Nun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace Oaks Jacobson to erect two light poles/with a 3 ft. by 6 ft. sign on each pole, as shown on plan on file in Planning Office, on Lot 8 of Highland Gardens, 5466 El Cajon Elvd., Zone C: on condition that an agreement be signed and recorded agreeing that poles or signs within the setback area will be removed at the owner's expense and at no cost to the City when the City requests it for street widening purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13055 and Municipal Code 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement OK # 772 - 10-1-5-

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6818

with no setback
Application Received <u>9-11-5</u> By <u>J.m. Consell</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made H- 17 By Ockjett, Jones briffin & South
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 9-17 Hearing date
Decision Grade steps Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19 Building Inspector 9-2-72
Planning Commission $9-22$ Petitioner $9-19-52$ Health Department $9-12-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

("Te Aug 101.0501)

at that chart with the second of the mathematic attack the bealth of ant-19 of

the state and dependent, and will make be rearrant as the fit invested in the

in the will we advocate affect in Master Plan at the City

THERE CALL OF CALL COMMERCE OF CALL DE STARTE COMMERCE OF CALL CALL DE BUIL DIAKE.

ser inning in the sector to the sector that had been to street the state of the sector is a

and the best of the second the particulation states appoint. They have the total to A TRANSPORT OF A CONTRACTOR OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE Bulley array brown wind an a dra and a second and a second and a second and a second a sec The offer

Saw and the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11105</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See mun. code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mildred O. Crews to erect a single family residence, making two units on a portion of R-2 lot, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1347, per legal description attached to Resolution No. 5826 on file in Planning Office, 3695 Federal Blvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

52

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6819

FORM 2145

Application Received B	DE last
Application Received	City Planning Department
	y Padgett Jones Suffin Vout
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u>	Date $9 - 17 - \sqrt{2}$
Decision appendix to City Clerk 9 - 18	Building Inspector <u>9-19</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u> Planning Commission 9 Petitioner	9 - 18 - V2 Health Department 9 - 19 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	
blockert of her successions, forest r an	port where is not an an the state
township to the provided reading of the	a set table par day on recent at the set
ALL	
Inch Charles I that is the inches the	
Persidenton da service a bis of a lot	
the most send sets is a second set of the second set of the	

-soleral Blud

D'gon

wherit

NA.

ang p

1

(TOF) TG

101001

mor

for

o.P. E Joines

o. P. Jones

mit. Hope

ANT .

thest of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. Rushing to construct triplex on lot, making a total of five units, portion of building with 6-foot rear yard, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 13, La Jolla Strand, 6520 La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described. above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept. 17 , 19 52 Dated____

FORM 2145

By

337

(to par note role of the

the second state of the se not materially affect the measure of a first state of the

not advocantly affact the buride fine of the Carl

then of the works , wohist work in the work of the work, of both 27 rad of, designation is for a lot of to . . En Ming to construct trible on Ast, radiane at

Look 19, Molt that, C. P. a follo lat. . Ore the

120x0 Americanon to be a sublicite of the local to 100,000,00, as helperedy reached as the the more than the local state of the termine is the termine is

al med with C Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Application k	leceived _	7-1	<u></u> E	by <u>e</u> ,	Aech		The second s	NACTOR AND DESCRIPTION OF
				614	City Plant	ning Departm	nent	
Investigation	made	9-17	I	By Pak	gett, Jo	nes	Suffin nent Him	Jout
A COLORADO A				-	City Plan	ning Departm	nent / /	
Considered b	y Zoning	Committee	9-17	Hearing d	ate			
Decision	app	~.		Date	9-17			
Copy of Reso	lution ser	t to City Cle	erk <u>9-1</u>	Building I	nspector	9-1	19 - 5-2	
Decision Copy of Reso Planning Cor	mmission	9-19	Petitioner	9-18	Health I	Departmen	t 9-19	
Anneal filed	with City	Clerk, date		Council H	earing, date			and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11164</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/Ordinance/No!/8924;/as/amended); Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph Miller, Jr. et al, to construct 4-unit apartment with a 10-foot rear yard on Lots 21 and 22, Block 36, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, east side of 49th Street, 50 feet north of Grange Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

101

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_52

Secretary

Application Received By	- Cito Planning Department
Investigation made By	Padgett Jones hiffin Tout
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Desision (1/2/B)	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector <u>9-19-12</u>
Planning Commission 4-19-52 Petitioner	Building Inspector $\underline{\mathcal{I}}_{-\mathcal{I}} = \underline{\mathcal{I}}_{-\mathcal{I}} = \underline{\mathcal{I}}_{-\mathcal{I}}^{2}$ $\mathcal{I}_{-\mathcal{I}} = \underline{\mathcal{I}}_{-\mathcal{I}}^{2}$ Health Department $\mathcal{I}_{-\mathcal{I}} = \underline{\mathcal{I}}_{-\mathcal{I}}^{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The Mart & Lord

-			- An	1 () (- ()	in set t	19 349 ¹					•.•		11	 I		1					1 4 47	10 110	andre en	1996 - 1997 - 19	
67	13	1			- (- ł.	1.10	I		x				19	ţ.	-					to part of date in oper to		
 11	15	8		-	- ×	ž	• 3		ieg						. ² ^ -				•		PV		1 10	102	
11.3-		-					* 2	×.	6.6	1			1		1			•				1.95 2		1 p. 20.	

hicked * ...

INE SEL COL		emiction of the	

at They all the provide the second to the adversarily aftered also become a the they we the

The there are not a spectral statements with a second to affect the partit of safety of period and and the partition of a fact of the period and will adding the second statements to the second second statements in the second s

An interest of the second of the second of the partitions persons in the second of the protections of the second o

productions and the second second second in the second sec

INTERNAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

has bren quasidered by the footing Coch

THE TOK NO. THE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11155</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/04/04/04/04/8924//as/amended); Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas M. and Leona Hamilton to split out the Westerly 100 feet of Lot 1, Block 167, La Playa, not of record at time of zoning and have right to erect one single family residence thereon.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

201

By

Application Received <u>9-11-53</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-17</u> By	Padgett Jones Briffin & South City/Planning Department
8	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-18	Building Inspector 9-19-5-
Planning Commission 6-19-5-Petitioner	Date $9 - 17 - 52$ Building Inspector $9 - 19 - 52$ 3 - 18 - 52 Health Department $9 - 19 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THERE FOR A THE TAXAN THE THE COMPLETER OF THE CATE OF CALL OF CALL

them that the second second second second

a more the

DODAL'.

LENGT KOT KOT

4

Real Property and the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11149</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see <u>Section</u> 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Celia C. and Louise L. Cosgrove, purchaser, and Hardy S. McIntosh, owner, to construct a 36-unit motel on portion of Lot 10, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in Planning Office, north side of EL Cajon Blwd., 523 feet west of 63rd Street, Zones R-1 and C. subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That no motel construction be made on the rear 150 feet of above parcel;
- 2. That ingress and egress to motel be from El Cajon Blvd. only;
- 3. That a 20-foot easement along ML Cajon Blvd. be dedicated to the City for the widening of El Cajon Blvd.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Easements Q. 10-15-52 (Ogborn)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 6823

		1
	Application Received By	b. Tasch City Planning Department
1		
	Investigation made By C	Regett, Ane Sriffin & South
	Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-17</u> Hea Decision coude appropriate Date	ring date 9-17
	Decision Courde approximation Sent to City Clerk 9-18 Buil	ding Inspector $\underline{5 - 19 - 1^{-2}}$
	Planning Commission 9-19 Petitioner 9	- 18 Health Department 9 - 15
	Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cour Decision of Council Date	e
	Resolution becomes effective Con	tinued to
		e of action
_	wide	
	All 133 when	The set of the second states and the second states
-	The From 63 de At 1	the to Pose
	1 + 4 - 58 - 1	
	1 hand the test	The train and the second of th
	The main malt	elton -
	Will D. C. D.C.	. Jeheel
	X Y X X X X BM	
	Kerne Kerne	du f m
	N/ 10 Como	
	N	and confirmed and an and and and and
5	have Bloken Borry I Bear	son
	I GOVENT TO VE	The sall alloce we want in a see up to the
	en land the field	and an an an and the second se
		the second
	112.	
	191	A the petterment, concerned by some produces of
	137) (
	A.	an all and the second
		nelline and the spirit was presented and
3		

P

6824

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 11150 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot Portion Lot 10 Block

Subdivision ... La Mesa Colony (per legal description on file in Planning Office)

Cedlia C. and Louise L. Cosgrove, purchasers, and Hardy S. McIntosh, owner

1.280

That no motel construction be made on the subject to the following conditions rear 150 feet of above parcel;

2. That ingress and bgress to motel be from El-Gajon Blvd. only;

3. That a 20-foot easement along El Cajon, Blvd. be dedicated to the City

for future widening of El Cajon Blvd.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

"你你们你不知道你会说我们的我们的你?"

City of San Diego, California

Res. No.

ANTICONSON BUCKING

2144

HE TOD DUCOWER STREETS AN

presented has shown. has been considered by the Zuning Committee of the City of

cief of the peritioner, serviced by other property owners in the same vicinity; and I that the proversion of the application is a supportantly for the enjoyment and preservation of

application will the parameterially detrimental on the public welfare

Dedite C. And Louiss b. Songrow, narobicours, and Mandy S. Follaborb,

is will be upper prior policy of the state of the second second the second seco

Leve of upoke bereev! of a six coping was SIDGTON DO

That a point encount along El Caler, Man Letterbed that in rose and egrous to metal he from His Coden of We.

Application WithdrawnContinued to Time limit extended to

for future "Desiles of I foich Stw.

Decision Coule apprile 5-18 Date 9-17-52 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-18 Building Inspector 9-19

Planning Commission 9-17 Health Department 7-17 Health Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-17-52 Hearing date

Investigation made <u>6-17-52</u> By Prayet

Application Received 9-11-0

Resolution becomes effective

Inter the state of the state

City Planning Department

ty Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11132</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/94/07/4174794/1892

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. E. Thompson to erect 60 feet of 8-foot high fence, Lot 10, Block 7, La Jolla Hermosa, on Avenida Cresta, south of Avenida Cortez, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated a bove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Sept. 17

FORM 2145

By

336

, 19 52

Secretary

Application Received $\frac{9-12-52}{2}$	By Ste South City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Padgett, Jones briffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9- 7	7_ Hearing date
Decision appl.	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-18	Building Inspector 9-19-5-2
Planning Commission 4-19-52 Petitione	er 9-18. Health Department 59
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A CAR DELET A CARLES A CARLES A CARLES A CARLES AND AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A C

1.151 1

* 3

10201

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Hahn to erect residence with approximately 6.87-foot setback on 52nd Street, Lot 29, Clearview Manor, Northeast corner 52nd St. and Laurel Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept. 17

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 6826

137

52

19

Application ReceivedBy	D. South
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-12</u> By	Palgett Jones briffin South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u>	
Decision (M/h/	Date 9-17-12
Decision $a_{fe}pr$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>$9-19$</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-22-12</u>
Planning Commission 9-32 Petitioner	9-19-5-Health Department 5-22 VV
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Mand.sinch loom of 2 200 and 2

THERE GAL, to a specificate, the the loss londay Committee of the Ciry of Sau,

and provide the second street the matter Plan of the state

The second state of a second state of the seco

The the second second and the second se

has been considered by the forest and the second for

A TYNE DIION NG. AND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11138</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): <u>Municipal Code 101.0501</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Hahn to erect residence with approximately 7.37-foot setback on 52nd Street, Southeast corner 52nd St. and Laurel Place, Zone R-1., Lot 30, Clearview Manor.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

Res No. 6827

137

and a second side statements

LHEIST,

Termer 1+1 - 1 ar off the state of ter any cares to particulation and a second there is an at the state of the second the second second second second

STORIGER, T. T. P.C. and the set of the set

Investigation made <u>9-13-52</u> By <u>4</u> Soute meo City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 9-17 Hearing date Date 9-17 appo Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-49 Building Inspector <u>9-22</u> Planning Commission 6-2 2 Petitioner 9-19-12-Health Department 9-22 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received _____ By_ D. South

the second se

a the state

City Planning Department

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Hahn to erect a residence with approximately 7.97-foot setback on 52nd Street, Lot 61, Clearview Manor, Northeast corner 52nd and Laurel Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

137

Application Received <u><u><u>G</u>-12-5</u> By</u>	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-5</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u>	Padget Jone briffin South
Considered by Comme Commercee	
Decision and les	Date 9-17-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19	Building Inspector <u>9-22</u>
Planning Commission 9-22 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>9-22</u> 5-15-12 Health Department 9-22
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Probability of the state of the

and the property is a structure of the second of the second structure of the second se

novin .

TREATER Cont and a providence of the Control Consistere of the Chip of San Blake

admirally admirally effect the Monter Plan of the Style

and the state of the set will got be acterially during the set of the set of

the fire explication is the retitioner, pressing by attact property

of state of the second second to be a state of the second se

With the set of the second of

STATISTICS NO. STATISTICS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11140</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Hahn to erect a residence with approximately 7.37-foot setback on 52nd Street, Lot 93, Clearview Manor, Northeast corner of 52nd and Kalmia Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___Sept. 17____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

137

Application Received By	D. South
F1	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-52</u> By	Pedgett Jones biffin South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision Mpr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $9-19$ Planning Commission $5-2$ Petitioner	Date 7-12-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19	Building Inspector <u>5-5</u>
Planning Commission 5-22 Petitioner	9-19-12 Health Department 9-22
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

States and States

BK N; C () () () V Alug () ⊂ () ()	The farmer is and the province of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second sec
Martin	 White page The second sec
LIRTO,	
a. The second	the second with the second states the Masters blam of the City
	<pre>////////////////////////////////////</pre>

Voron.

the second the evidence presented has shown 1 see Sect to

the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. 11141 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest Hahn to erect a residence with approximately 6.87-foot setback on 52nd Street, Lot 94, Clearview Manor, Southeast corner 52nd and Kalmia Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Sept. 17

By

137

_____, 19 52

FORM 2145

Application Received By	D. South City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9 - 17 - 5^2}$ By	Padgett Jones Briffin South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _9-17-52]	Hearing date
Decision appr. I	Date Building Inspector <u>9-22-52</u> 9-19-52 Health Department 9-22-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-19</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-12-V-2</u>
Planning Commission 9-22-12 Petitioner	5-19-52 Health Department 9-22-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
the state of the s	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

The Araphene I and a first the formation and the property of the first and the second se

GUDDLC *

Links, Co

Start 1

the strength of representation of the spin to the second state

1 37 W. S. W. C. W.

and a second of a second a second s

a le le com abbie modele de la modele prise. Commentation e des services prises de la modele de la modele de la

the set of the second of the state of the second of the second second second second second second second second

PRESERVE PORTAL PRESE

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>adversely</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. F. and M. B. Brooks to build a single family residence on parcel not of record at time of zoning, being the West 35 feet of Lot 2 and all of Lot 1, Homeland Villas No. 2, 2550 Chalcedony, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____Sept. 17

Secretary Res. No. 6831

319

_____, 19____52

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 5-12-5- By	D. E. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17-5-</u> By	Oclatt Jones briffin + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zonnig Committee	
Decision appr	Date 5-17- x-2
Decision composition sent to City Clerk <u>5-19</u>	Building Inspector 5-22-5-2
Planning Commission 2 - 2 - Petitioner	3 - 13 - 7 Health Department $5 - 1 - 7$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

LICE KO'

The world is a set

The set of the set of the set of the

and a strain of the second second

a second to the second second particular second second second second second second second second second second

THERE ARE A REAL AND AND AND THE DOUGHT COMPARED OF A DECISION OF SHE DECISION

\$12 2

We have the set of the set

TO AND A CONTRACTOR

Baund 111 a

MARKE POLY & CONTRACT STOR

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11112</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see <u>Section</u> 15 of Ordinance No. 2924; as amended): (See Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6783, dated September 3, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Peter and Helene Walcher to make interior alterations, (adding a second kitchen, I to building with non-conforming side yard of one foot, on South half of Lot H, Block 209, Horton's Addition, 1618 First Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0106 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Sept. 17 _____, 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 6832

21

By

Application Received _ Cang. 28-52 By	City Planning Department
	Oity 2 tanning 2 operations
Investigation made <u>9-17-52</u> By	Padgett Jones Briffin V South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17	Hearing date
Decision amend. ppr.	Date 9-17-5-2
Decision and ppr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-18</u>	Building Inspector 9-19-13
Planning Commission 9-19 Petitioner	9-18-52 Health Department 9-19-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Lateria 21

in the plat part

10 80 V Anda. There are a for a set of the set aser have a statistical providence of the statistic of the statistic of the statistic of the state of U JR the first of the second of the Construction in the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second sets & Theoreman is the first of the bar a first of the standard to this of the line of DESCORE OF ALLOWING AN ONE SUMMY COMMITTEE OF THE CITES OF CARE OF THE the second second and she we detailed to be the second s and the many second to be a supported to be a supported to be a supported and and the set of the of the states of the stat The second se

6833

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11133</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 control in an evidence presented has shown (see Section

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6585, dated July 9, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl E. and Mary C. Palmer to erect single family residence on the northerly 50 feet of Lot 7 (except the East 100 feet), C. M. Doty's Addition, Emeline Street between Malden and Beryl Streets, Zone E-1; and to erect a hobby shop with 2-foot side yard and 2-foot rear yard, said hobby shop not to be used as living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 1952_____, 1952_____

FORM 2145

By

317

Application Received _ 9 - 4 - 5 - B	v
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{5 - 12 - 5^2}$ B	
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date 9 - 17 - 52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19	Building Inspector <u>9-22 52</u>
Planning Commission 9-22 Petitioner	Date $9 - 17 - 52$ Building Inspector $9 - 22 - 52$ 9 - 19 - 52 Health Department $9 - 22 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Chozb.

2. The second second

A workingen bill and in million of the control of the particular be and in the control of the box

1. These and the second s

Willing a constraint of the second se

Letter dated 9-5-52

WHEREAS, Application No. 1 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 18924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6272 dated March 5, 1952, be granted to Point Loma Holding Corporation to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 197 into three parcels, legal description on file in Planning Office, and erect a single family residence on each, all three parcels without dedicated street frontage, two parcels to be served by 20-foot easement from Lowell Street, the third parcel to be served from a 30-foot alley, per plans on file in Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 N.S. and Municipal Code No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Sept. 17

By

_, 19 <mark>5</mark>2

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>9-17</u> By	Padgeet Jones, Briffin & South City Planning Department
and conflation made	City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Decision 6 ms. ett. appr.	Date 9-17-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19	Building Inspector <u>7-12-12</u>
Planning Commission 9 - 2 - Petitioner	5-19-52 Health Department 5-22-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the state of the

North of a second of the secon server and the server of the server of a party of the server of the server of the server of the server of the There's a subscription of the second of the second s

THEFT

A TANK AND A DATE OF A

Letter dated 9-4-52

WHEREAS, Application/No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of mix months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6335, dated April 2, 1952 be granted to Lotus A. Russel, Harriet F. McCain, and Arnold W. Casebere, owners, and Andrew T. La Pointe, Jr., purchaser, to divide into two parcels 58 ft. 6 inch wide by 105 ft. deep and have right to erect a single family residence on each parcel, Lot 2, C. M. Doty's Addition, north side of Malden Street, 230 feet west of Lamont Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 N. S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17

By.

____, 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y _ Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{9-17-5}$ B	y <u>Pedgett</u> Jones briffin Sonth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Treating date
Decision 6 mo. ett. appr.	Date 9-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-19	Building Inspector 9-22-52
Planning Commission 9 - 2 2 Petitioner	9-19-52 Health Department 9-22-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

New Bull and a second of the second of the

The set of the set of a set of the set

414

TAT IN STATE A ALL AND DEPARTMENT

3 1 1

Letter dated 9-15-52

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6326 dated March 19, 1952, which extended Resolution No. 5888, dated September 19, 1951. be granted to Walter and Margaret Mentse to construct single family residence with portion of residence to have 20 foot rear yard, Lot 73, Collwood Park, Pontiac Street, approximately 260 feet east of Rockford Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Sept. 17

52

, 19

FORM 2145
Application Received B	y marl
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Ochgett Jones briffin South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-17</u>	Hearing date
Decision 6 mo ett appr.	Date 9-17-1-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>9-19</u>	Building Inspector <u>9-22-52</u>
Planning Commission 5-22 Petitioner	9-19-12 Health Department 5-22 5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

approximation of the second of the second to second the structure to second the second the second second

Saber of the second sec

TANK TO REPORT AND THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

Carden a to to to

PARTY A MARK

A TIL A DA A THE AND A TIL A T

THERE'S AND IN THE PROPERTY OF THE SOUTH COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF SAM BURED.

3. Shot has an entry in a publication will disk meterially where he health of safety of persons a set a product of the set of the required, and will Rok. In perspectify carries and an the person will be a set of the preparity or improvements in the object of the set of the person of the set of the preparity or improvements in the object of the set of the set

2. That alone we have a state and a second time would be bettered as the state of t

whicklos, while of a first of the solution of the solution of the first the first first for the formation for the

THE REAL TOP ROTE TO THE

Letter dated 9-13-52

WHEREAS, Application No./_____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 18924, as amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6480, dated May 28, 1952 be granted to Arthur A. Walker, owner, and D. S. McKellar, purchaser, to divide Lot A, Block 3. J. B. Burne's Addition, into four parcels, according to plat on file in Planning Office, and erect two units on each parcel, Fay and Genter Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, incofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19_ Dated Sept. 17 FORM 2145

By_

Application Received	By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underbrace{6 - 17 - JV}$	By Pargett, med briffin I South
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>9-17</u>	_ Hearing date
Decision 6 2no. 444.	Date 9-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{G-19}$	Building Inspector G G
Planning Commission $\underline{G-22-2}$ Petitioner	r G r Health Department S r
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the subject of the set of the set

and the second s

and the set of the set

the state of the state

interface. I have a state the factor County for of the City of Sen Blogue,

per an energy

fineran "

A . A . . .

Tors been considered by the Eoning Coosiries in the red the reidence presented my shown (see Sade

the second second of conditions applied to the property of the same

work property

and and the second at the second start of the City

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11153</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thos. L. Shepherd, owner, and Willis E. Short, lessee, to use Lots 24 and 25, Block 7, La Jolla Park, 7450 Girard Ave., Zone R-C, for the making of draperies, bedspreads, and slip covers, subject to the following conditions:

) That existing office and drapery shop be used for office and display room only;

2

3.

FORM 2145

That a new building, 44 ft. by 51 ft., to be built on rear of Lots and to be used for the making to order of draperies, slip covers for furniture, and bed spreads;

That working hours for the work shop to be from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. with no power machinery to be used on Sundays or holidays, a maximum of 2 h.p. total in power equipment on the premises, and the maximum number of employees to be 10.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3460 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_52

By

and the state of the second		
Application Received	9-18-52 B	y DESouth
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	- 17 - J2 B	y Cadgett Ares Briffin & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Con	mmittee <u>9-17</u>	Hearing date
Decision Course	app	Date 9-17
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk	Building Inspector 9-19-5- 9-18-52-Health Department 9-19
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Cler	k. date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effecti	ve	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to		Date of action
	a but the second disease	
		a see pico sur serior, co, and is hereby resting
and the second se		
	and the second second second where we are	and when summary and supply and he of the
Propher States		the set portonial a meridiar of 5 be - beind an -
		A THE AREA SHIP & A THE SHOP DIE ANALY AND
and the second second		1
24 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Att to be built on service to to the to
2	3	and he build on providing the second
the to the second second		deer he many for brites and direly room dains
and station of the second	the second second provide	the second
Service in the service is		The second second and starting and the second second
There's		
		30.2 adversely effort the Month Fine state City
		mate, suteristic affect for house of referr of
		west and all address the health as referrently
		(CAR HIT - SOUR DOT - ISAN)
		the reidence presented by the Josing Constitues
	Kard and	

-

....

.

WHEREAS, ARRASSELECTION AND A CONTRACT OF A

of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 6714 dated Aug. 20, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Conkling's Bakery to use Lots 39 thru 45 and portion Lot 46, Block 1, No. Highland Park, 3277 El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4, for parking lot for employees and trucks of bakery, for loading of part of trucks & to build an incinerator; on the following conditions:

- 1. That a 3-foot concrete block wall be erected at the front property line;
- 2. That the open area in front of wall be adequately landscaped;
- 3. That the parking area be surfaced;
- 4. That a 5-foot chain link fence be constructed around the entire parking area to connect with the concrete block wall atproperty line, per drawing submitted and on file in Planning Office;
- 5. That this permit be for a period expiring on the same date as former Resolution No. 3690, which will be February 9, 1954;
- 6. That the incinerator be built in accordance with the specification of the Fire Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12859 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Sept. 17 , 19 52

By_

Application Received	6-18-52 By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	1-17 By Padgett ones priffin Sonth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning (Committee <u>9-17</u> Hearing date Date 9-17-12
Decision App Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk <u>9-19</u> Building Inspector <u>9-12</u>
Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Cl	9-22 Petitioner 9-19-52 Health Department 9-22 erk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effect	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
Time limit extended to	
a state of the sta	and the second second way as the second of a loop to hear for the for
	In a second of the encountry of with the second star of the size were.
ALL FILL - SU	the second state of the second decide of the second s
COMPLEC :	a set of the set of a submitted bar a submitted and the
r rpc	The The state of the construction of a state of a state of the state of the state of the state of the
	an and a star star and a star star the start of the start
ANTON C	
	the second secon
r iqtion i	and I is a second and a second particular the second particular the second particular
Concrete,	a the second of the second will be a second of the second of the second second
Alleria:	
	TO P - MANAGEN AREALS THE MEASURE FILST AT THE CHES
	the second and will and be made to the second of the transition of the second of the s
	and the motion of the particular affect the best of an entery of
	the second of the second second the second s
	and a construction of conditions upplication for the property -
	The near considered by the Security for the
	Thirds world 1000 1000

2

D

.

3...

Letter dated 9-12-52

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6608, dated July 9, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Benjamin Fish and H. H. Peterson, owners, and Dorothy and John W. Grus, purchaser, to erect and operate a 44-unit trailer park and manager's residence on Lots 87 thru 96, and 104 thru 106, Boulevard Gardens, Zones C and R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That 5 ft. chain link fence and hedge 3 ft. high at time of planting be installed adjacent to the public right-of-way along Morena Blvd, Savannah and Knoxville Sts. (as shown on plan)
- 2. That 8 inch concrete block wall 5 ft. high be installed along property line adjacent to other private property (as shown on plan).
- 3. That the park be completed in accordance with plans submitted and in compliance
- with the trailer park ordinance.

, 19 52

- 4. That the exterior design of all structures be approved by the Zoning Committee;
- 5. That if only a portion of the trailer park units are to be erected as a first section, that the wall, fence and hedge for that section, as well as all other details of trailer units, roadways, utility bldg., lighting, and landscaping for that section, and roadway 25 ft wide in the undeveloped section, be completed before any

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ Sept. 17

(over)

FORM 2145

By___

units be occupied; occupancy will then be permitted only after written approval is granted by the City Health Dept., Bldg Dept., and Planning Dept. Similar limitation as to occupancy will be required on construction of a second section, or of the entire park.

6. That this approval be granted for a period expiring on June 30, 1957

the second and will model be enterially detrivened to

and at the start this devision it is the

month with work

shipting a long of the second second a state of the

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 N.S. and 3038 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

1 9 9 1 1

. 3 1137

CAR A AND A POST

Lange and the set of the state of the state of the set of the set

the matter and the state of the state of the state of the

the part of the second ter by finded, and dead . or		1
	manife is it is the second of	The available to
	Print and the state of the stat	and the second second
	BALK WE SHOT A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
sustained to method of several of several	in the marks of the	the west to the set
is deputit a conditioners are all area whatter them and		when a tora .
Date of action	and and a first state of the second state of t	Time limit extended to
Continued to		Application withdrawn
and the second	ective	Resolution becomes eff
Date		Decision of Council
Council Hearing, date	Ölerk, date	Appeal filed with City (
5-19-V - Health Department 5-22-1-2	6-22-52 Petitioner	Planning Commission
Building Inspector 5 - 22 - 1-2	t to City Clerk 9 - 17	Copy of Resolution sen
Date 9-17-52		ind the moising (
Hearing date	Committee 9-17	Considered by Zoning
City Planning Department		
Dubut bud but but	4-13-1.+ BA	Investigation made
City Planning Department		
	DA	Application Received

There are a second to the second to the second second in the second second at the second second second second s

a dans saft at stand a stand was ten to a stand with the term

to a serie of the series of th

RESOLUTION NO. 6841

Letter dated 9-12-52

81

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6609, dated July 9, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Benjamin Fish and H. H. Peterson, owners, and Dorothy and John W. Grus, purchasers, to erect and operate a 44-unit trailer park and manager's residence with a 5-foot setback on Savannah Street, on Lots 87 thru 96, Boulevard Gardens, Zones C and R-4, subject to the following conditions: That the conditions set forth in Resolution No. 6840 be complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code N. 101.0603be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

By

Application Received	Ву
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Padget Ine Briffin & Soute City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee/	nearing date
Decision appr 6 m. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-11</u>	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9-11	Building Inspector 9-22-52
Planning Commission 9 - 2 2 Petitioner	· 9-19-12-Health Department 9-22
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a Martin and a state of the state A Martin and A Martin A Martin and A Martin A Martin and A Martin A Martin and A A Martin and A A Martin and A A Martin and A Martin Standard Standard to the second of the second of the second in and i was i we wanted it into a the to the the

mould farment - - -

Weigen for the state of the state

28, 4441.

3. 200-

supplicated in a real for

LHS.

DE LAS ZODING COMMITTER DE THE CLEY OF SHO DISCO.

such an an area is allow the makene that as the Carp

102 - materially altered in factories as a story of the factories and will cut-

1

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

I

Z

1

RM 2144

Letter dated 9-12-52	aidered by the Zoning Committee of the City of
San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has sh	
1. That the granting of the application is substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed	necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will or injurious to the improvements or property in the neigh	be materially detrimental to the public welfare borhood; and
	adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of
THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, to	amend Res. No. 6610 to read as follows:
87 thr That the following described property, Lot	u 96 and 104 thru Block
Boulevard Gardens, Knozville and Subdivision	C
Permit to Benjamin Fish and H. H. Peterson,	owners, and Dorothy and John V. Grus.
purchasers	
may be used for the erection and operation of	nit trailer park and manager's residence
time of tothe following conditions adjacent to the Savannah St., and Enoxville St. (as shown on	chain link fence and hedge 3 ft. high at e public right-of-way along Morena Blvd., plan)
Savannah St., and Knorville St. (as shown on 2. That 8 inch concrete block wall 5 feet high b to other private property (as shown on plan). 3. That the park be completed in accordance with the trailer park ordinance.	plans submitted and in compliance with
4. That the exterior design of all structures be	approved by the Zoning Committee.
that the wall, fence and hedge for that section units, readways utility building, lighting,	on, as well as all other decades of traffer and landscaping for that section, and
roadway 25 feet wide in the undeveloped secti occupied; occupancy will then be permitted on the City Health Dept., Eldg Dept. and Plannin will be required on construction of a second	a Dent. Similar limitation as to occupancy
6. That this approval be granted for a period en	
Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be	11 and void, and shall be revoked automatic-
ically, six months after its effective date, unless the	use and/or construction
Cony Mf RENOTHERS what to Chag Clark	affective and final on the sixth day after
it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a	WILCIGH APP-
such filing in the office of the City Clerk.	ZONING COMMITTEE
	City of San Diego, California
Sept. 17	
	Secretary Bes. No. 6842
A4	Secretary Mos. Mo. 0042

Salat + 14

1. Then if the shall limber one makes 's re- light at

a tenter there and the star star server and the

W. W. W.

adversaly affant the faster Plan of the City of

be meterially detrimental to the public welfare

to creat new. The shipling that the failures

has been considered by the Soulan Committee of the City of

Investigation made	By Oalget my biffin Sour
CONTRACTOR AND STREET ALL AND	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 9-17-5-2	Hearing date
Decision prepar and	Date 2-17
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 9-22-52
Planning Commission 9-22-42 Petitioner	9-19-5- Health Department 9-22 - 12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application Withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

will be required on construction of a realed destage, by a the entire neck.

her the exterior tenign of all threehouse is represed by the for tening constitue.

Levents to replace viel ond M. S. Passyrada, and and Reim W.

Dorlay of G vients, Krozyllin and and horesolo

substantial property staffs of the petitioner, passwared by other property owners in the same vicinity; and to Then the station of the application is measured in the enjoyment and preservation of

This far and the last of the THE BOOK ------

asy he based for the arcolion and operation of .

This che following described property. Lot

NUMERORD, DE LT REDOLVED MY THE KONTRO COMMITTES.

2. Durt the graving of the application will not

2. Thus the scanner of the application will more

Jan Diego, California, and has addience presented has shown.

BERREAS, Applications

ar injustoms to the tequestronants or property in the neighborhood; and

to other privite projects (se about on rich.).

Vounds Sb., rout Energilly 10. (an shown un sign)

the City South boot. Died Tept. and Finghton and Statist Linkthick of in nome are an outproof accompanies will then be consistent and when we have a supervised in according to

Aunt the wall, femme and hedre for the sourced on the well of the solution of

Just 12 only a post (a) of the breather ways pairs are for he crowded do a fight newthen ,

the the next be considered as meeting and the same shelling and is complicated with

The B Link contrate block for a first high to the their start storety itse of tocold

CARE ST TEPPS 139, 18 APERIATES, TO DEEP PE AND TOPIES ATTACTOR FOR TOP TO TOTAL CONTRACTOR STORE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11083</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

6843

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6762, dated September 3, 1952, (Item No. 3) be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude W. Rowe, owner, and Gallinger Construction Company, lessee, to operate a concrete batching plant 500 feet from nearest dwelling, Lot 3, Pueblo Lot 1209, south of Brandywine Street, extended, Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That permit be granted for a period of one year, to expire June 30, 1953;
- 2. That proper Building Permit be taken out;

3. That operation shall be limited to supply concrete materials to the units of Clairemont on which tentative maps have been filed and approved by the Planning Commission and City Council of this date.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Sept. 17 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6843

434

and the second		000
Application Received	8-20-52 By	y_ J. Baughman City Planning Department
		City Planning Department
Investigation made	<u>5-17</u> By	Padgett Jones Miffin South
Considered by Zoning		Hearing date
Decision appr.	to City Clerk <u>G-19</u>	Date 9-17-12
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk <u>9-19</u>	Building Inspector $9 - 22$ 9 - 19 - 52 Health Department $9 - 22$
Appeal filed with City C	G-2- Petitioner	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council		Date
Resolution becomes effe	ctive	Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action
- TR - 10 104 112	para para tanon	as my forth here on the braberty, goid trade
fourie t	av light and all contributions	100 con highlast os, soit la hopely creating
	and to the the the	torre.
The state of the s	and and a part light	DEAD DUDY LET OF MICH COLLEGED IN DED LET DUDY
	The second s	HOLDER'S COMPLETED IN THE STATE OF THE STATE OF
the state of the state	A POLICA & Design	s of one years, builded the first 30 all this :
LOUITA DOC		"" analoges tone sait antitude to the population
and the second s		
ruputon 1	· (, to Manda H.	Tone, compa, and fallinger Construction Constinue.
TOPTUNES AND		
mar geldynpy a	the state of the state	1, 1932, (line bat 5) to strandpd to root as
and the set		
		the advantage afface the Master stan of the they
		sportly on improvements in the maintained and
		- Just mererially affact the bealth or suidity of the production of all and will motion be materially determined at co
		a segmentation affant the benefits or sufate wh
		plication is many memory for the provision that the provision of the patibility possesses by either average the
THERE Y?		
	-1102 MG	No. 12 Contraction of the second s

	RESOLUT	ION	OF 1	PROPERTY	USE			*	
ion	No. 11081	has	been	considere	d by	the	Zoning	Committee	

San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

----THE

WHEREAS, Applicat

That the following described property, Lot	19 Block
Subdivision Mission Bay Park	
	Roy A. Cook
Figueroa Blvd. between Pico and B	
	of
for permanent tenants only	
subject to the following conditions the	at a 4-foot concrete block wall be constr
along the east property line	
The second second the the	

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced Howitch Debus supply the support 1943年1月4日日本: before said time expires. "Ressurged bent of City Clark Will all the the start and a second

Date of Action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. Gity Planukur Department

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

19452 Dated Oct. 1

SECOLULION Decomes againers and the second

49.0170.0171

By

68W

of the City of

Lis Diego, California vidence presented has shown UNERFAS, Applicants has been considered by the Zaming Commistee of the Lity of

substantial of excit culter of the perscipter, perseased by which property peners in the same violater; and I. That he electron of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of

2. That the granting of the application will have be meterially detrimented to the public meifere

3. The lis where not the application will a severably affect the factor field of the disy bi

1.01 70 00000

LTRATON WELL BUILD

Chapter shows no trees fitee and lond between

elong the east protects the

you between proving mrt.

that the fallowing analybed property base

HOREONE' BE IL BERGEARD BI LHE MORING CONNILLERS

analist in the Colonian conditions

City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ /0- / Hearing date Decision appl: Coule Date 10-1-V2 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2 Building Inspector 10-3-V2 Planning Commission 10 - 3 - 52 Petitioner 10 - 2 - 52 Health Department 14 Resolution becomes effective Application WithdrawnContinued to

[−] 2⁄ By.....

10-1-12 Investigation made By...

Application Received ...

Planning Department

that a series concrete block well be conclared

N-DUTE AND DESCE ADDRESS TO BE AND ADDRESS

11175

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. [8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of Sandiego, owner, and Vista Park Homes, lessee, to erect 8 ft. by 12 ft. sign to be for a period of six months from date of this resolution, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1203, being at the southwest corner of Linda Vista Road and East Tecolote Road, in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 95.0104 and 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 1

By

425

, 19 52

Secretary Res No. 6845



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11174</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/0f/Ordinance/No//8924//as/amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego, owner, and Vista Park Homes, lessee, to erect an 8 ft by 12 ft. sign to be for a period of six months from date of this resolution, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1203, legal description on file in Planning Office, being at the Northwesterly corner of the intersection of East Tecolote Road and Ashford Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 95.0104 and 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

October 1

FORM 2145

By

, 19 52

Application Received _ 9-12-1-	By b. Rick
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$	By Jones, Sundy & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-	J'2 Hearing date
Decision Cond'e appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-</u>	Date 10-1-1-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-	2 Building Inspector 10 - 3 - V.2
Planning Commission 10-3-5- Petition	er 10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

pergenter and a set of the set of and the second and the second states and the second s

oris i the second of the second second of the second secon

Certification of the second state of the secon

842

mile plan - i the second state of the

The contract in the interest

CHERT, C

Barten Sale

THE PARTY OF AN ALMAN DAMA STATISTICS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11176</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15</u>/df Ordinande No. <u>18924</u>/as/amended)/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack L. and Jacqueline Schock, owners, and Vista Park Homes, lessee, to erect a 8 ft. by 12 ft. sign for a period of six months from date of this resolution, on portion of Lot 4, Pueblo Lot 1215, per legal description on file in Planning Office, at intersection of Baltic Street and Linda Vista Road, Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 95.0104 and 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 1

, 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6847

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received I	By <u>b.</u> Tasch City Planning Department
	By Jones, Lunky + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	_ Hearing date
Decision appr. coule	Date 10-1-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-2$	Building Inspector 10-3
Decision appr. coule Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-2$ Planning Commission $10-3-52$ Petitioner	10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

piloter all it includes



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11156</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>18924</u>; as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles B. Penrose, Jr. and Ruth M. Penrose to erect additional 4-units to 4-unit motel now existing on portion Lot 9, La Mesa Colony, legal description on file in Planning Office, on Southwest side of El Cajon east of 62nd Street. Zones C and R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5286 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated October 1 , 19 52

FORM 2145

AND A COLORED AND INCOME.

Application Received 9-12-52 By	Q. Baughman
	City/Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - 52$ By	Jones Junky + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _/ 0 - /	Hearing date
Decision CAPT.	Date (a - 1-2-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 10 - 3 - 5 - 5
Planning Commission 10- Petitioner	10-2 Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Blance of the second se

and a state of the state of the

1050° 101 0100 01 0000 101 0200

the second second and share and second and second and the second

and the sector of the sector o

De the Zening Cornetten of the Lite of Sen Diver.

goror .

The start is a second second

The board in the second second

11157

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

not

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

9 (portion of) Block That the following described property, Lot

La Mesa Colony Subdivision

Charles Bl Penrose, Jr. and Ruth M. Penrose

SW side of El Cajon Blvd east of 62nd Street, Zones C and R-4

4 additional units erected to 4-unit

6850

No

may be used for the erection and operation of motel now existing

subject to the following conditions

that plans are approved by the City Planning Department

Date of sector

Resolution becomes effective

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced Bertistoner. before said time expires. which sear to giry that and another

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

By

City of San Diego, California

Res 6850

10112021102 00¢58ber 1 52

Time limit extended to provide the provide the second seco

119

Secretary

hes been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of

substantial process relate of the peritioner, persented by other property canars in the same vicinity, and

when by underivally devinented to the public wellare

or injustous to the provision of property in the metablecheed; and

3 Must the granting of the application militaneous advantely affect the Master Plan of the City of

COMPLETON P. 14 LOAG' 91. SIN LOAD H. DURANCE phpdivision . In torn Culour

That the fullowing descended property. Lot

W Hellsbround modes ermoted to

Mapar BON CLICPTON

shot but and the sets of peacetics are surely and

...... By.....

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 16-2-5- Building Inspector 10-5-02 Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner 16 - 2 - 12 Health Department 10 - 3 Resolution becomes effective

Investigation made 10-1-52 By

9-12-

Same Barrow

Application Received

City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-52 Hearing date Decision appr. Date 10-1-112

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11163</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Wo. 8924; as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leyon G. Randall to construct an addition to an existing garage with a non-conforming side yard of 1-foot and 6-inches, Lot 59, Talmadge Park, 4541 E. Talmadge, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6851

Application Received <u>9-12-52</u> By <u>b. Tasch</u>
City Planning Department
nvestigation made By By By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 1- 52 Hearing date
Decision akko. Date 10-1-U-2
Development to City Clerk / -> Building Inspector / 0 - 3 - 12
Planning Commission 10-3-52 Petitioner 10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

The story of the second of the

entelen eine in der eine son eine son eine son der eine son der eine sont und eine sont mit auf eine sont eine s

THERE THE THE PARTY OF THE THE ZONARD COMPLETED OF THE CITY OF DAY PAREN.

the state of the second and west and the second second as the second second as the

the same and the considered billing has shown (succession and

111112 Strategy

0

and the state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11177</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section $15/\phi f/\phi f dinance/N\phi f/8924/has/amended)$: 101.0501 Municipal Code

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James J. Hill to build a retaining wall from 2 feet to 7 feet in height, Lets 27 and 28, Block 125, City Heights, 3112 - 41st Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 1. 52

89

Application Received <u>9-15-52</u> By <u>b. Tasch</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made By By By By City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision appr Date 10-1-12
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner 10-2 Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

There is a second of the secon

DESKIT LEVE AN A PARTICLE OF ANY SOUTHE COMMILING OF THE CLIP OF PARTICIPAL

substant pitt the substanting affault the brained of Amfairs of

ap 3 2 "

80 1h.

200-14 - - -

A PARTY POLICY CARD BURGE

101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101 - 101

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11142</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Pridinance No. <u>18924</u>, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bishop Charles F. Buddy for Our Lady of Guadalupe Church to erect 8-foot high fence around playground and a 7-foot additional extension 30 feet long on west side on Lots 1, 2, 12 and portion of Lot 3 (except the alley) Block 67, Sherman's Addition, on Commercial Ave. and 19th Street. Zone M-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0627 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

28

Application Received _ 9-15-52 By	b. Taseh
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones Junky & South City Planning Department
8.1.	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1	Hearing date
Decision appro.	Date 10-1-1-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10-3
Planning Commission / • - 3 Petitioner	10-2 Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Spillepa pe.

302

AASTOCHIA.

VERONAL P. S.

0369996 (A)

AFREN, -

LARST CHUR CO

sector and well will add works and a sector will be determined to

the supervised and a supervised of the supervise

the second second require and the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11045</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, a Corporation Sole, Rev. Francis Ott, Pastor, to erect a 5-foot concrete block wall, with a 5-foot cyclone fence on top, making a maximum height of 10 feet, Lot 15 and north 50 feet of Lot 14, Block A, Redland Gardens, 4575 El Cerrito Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1952

FORM 2145

By

	•

D. M.

THERE THE ALL THE THE YES CONTROL OF THE CARE OF THE CARE OF THE DESC.

and and another the states and a second for any states and a second the second the

safet in the spiritual contained and will a matter shift deprivated in

Addition is a state of the state of the second control is stated of the state of the a Bornessmiller whet will state is a list of the state of the second control is state of the state of the state of outside the control is a list of the state of the second control is a list of the state of the state outside the control is a list of the state of the second control is a list of the state of the state outside the control is a list of the state of the second control is a list of the state of t

to the best of the set of the second structure and the best the best best of the second to second the second the assettion to the transmission to the state of the part to here the here the second as

Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received	By . Durton
ippineation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $20 - 1 - 0^{-2}$	By Jones Sundy & South City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision conte app	Date 10-1-52
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	- Building Inspector 10-3
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner	· 10 - 2 Health Department -0-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
A	Continued to

17.5* 10.* 1.03.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11168</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nd. 18924, datended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. W. B. and Dorothy Oster to construct 36 ft. 9 inch. by 20 ft. garage, 735 square feet, with 4 foot rear yard, on Lots 12 and 13. Block 21, Loma Alta No. 1, 4505 Voltaire Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By_
Application Received 9-17-52 B	b. Tasch
application received	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Jones Junky & South City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
	Date 10-1-5.2
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10-3-0-2
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner	10-2 Health Department 10-3-V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- I CAR A WILL MANY

and will model instantally affect the braith of asiaty of

W Marthalis C . Could be VI

wpester. to the state of the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11126</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Weix 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leonard H. and Helen F. Storay to erect a residence with a 5-foot setback on Lots 4 and 5. Bancroft Terrace, Hawthorne and 33rd Streets, Zone R-1, the 5-foot setback to be on Highview Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 1

FORM 2145

, 19 52

Secretary

So

(and one page for one)

personal and the set were will and be an entry and and a set of the set of th The the state of the state will be a supported by attack the product for many at an entry of

to have the second second second to the second of the provide the provide the second second to the

THERE COLUMN TO ME STATE AND THE SOUTH COMMITTEE AND THE CASE OF SAN DIFT.

REPORT A THE PART OF THE PART OF THE PART OF DELANS. state of a second second to second a guarante a guarante to a second a second to a second to the

...... comparison again the first of a product an public begins to the line of the state of the ANTISLED FOR THE ALL THE ALL PHILIPPINE SHORE THE CASE OF AND THE PART. FLOREN WE

ALL BIRL Pratition .

Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received 9-19-52	By 7. me Connell City Planning Department
the station received	City Planning Department
nvestigation made $20 - 1 - 1^2$	By Jones Lundy + South City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _10-	
Decision sper.	Date 10 - 1 - 0 7
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	2-2 Building Inspector 10-3
Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petit	ioner 10 - 2 Health Department 10 - S
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11161</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gussie and Richard Ashley to convert a storage room to a beauty shop on Lot 11, Block 7, Wetmore & Sanborn, Sll South 32nd St., Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs will be used;

, 19_52

- 2. No employees;
- 3. That this permit to be for two years, to expire June 30, 1954;
- 4. That all requirements of the Health and Building Dept. to be complied with before this permit to become effective.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the gixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ Oct. 1

43

MEKEA. has been considered by the Posseng Committee of the City and the relidence presented has shown (see Section Is making and the relidence presented has shown (see Section

1. These successful electronic or conditions successful to the property structure of the property of the successful to the success

2) That are a seried and would necessary for the property of the self-ution is necessary for the property side

har do not the story of the solution is measured by the property of the potitioner, possessed by other property of the potitioner, possessed by other property of the potitioner.

There are a set of the restruction of a will but be materially derivation at to

the particle in a main with even to the property or improvements in the weighworkeed.

of San inter a sector will not adversaly allock the mater Plan of the City

at Sen

THERE IN LAND, WE LAD, WE THE ZONING COMMITTEE OF the Curr of Ban Liego.

and the first of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

The second secon

And postions is have provided in the second of the state by with in the postion for the besecond as the provided in the second of the state of the second second of the second

By₇ fones 4 undy Investigation made 10-1City Planning Department Hearing date_ Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 1Date 10-1-12 Decision apps. Building Inspector ______ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2-10- 2 - 1-2 Health Department Planning Commission 10 -3-52 Petitioner 10-3-52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Bv

7. M = Connell

City Planning Department

Application Received ______

The second second second second

1.1.

WHEREAS, Application No. __11194 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George H. and Josephine L. Hoffman to construct residence with 5-foot setback on Union Street and a 5-foot setback on Reynard Way. Lots 3 and 4, Block 96, Middletown, Reynard Way and Olive Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the wixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 52 0ct. 1 Dated_

Res. No. 6858 Secretary

D. South
City Planning Department
0 - 1 0
City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date 10-1-v
Building Inspector 10-3-1-2
10-2-1'-Health Department , 0-3-1-2
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

and the state

TRANSFER TO TAKE AND ADDRESS OF TAKEN

TRANSPORT OF A RELATION A RELATION TRANSPORT A THE STATE WITH SHE AS THE LADOURSEL DATE SHE AND Application is the second of the bold and the for the for the second by the second is hereby the for the

TOMERING OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. Permetation I I and the test the same . and drawning of the form the configuration that the second test of the same start of the same star

THER LICE CALLER BE THE FORTHE COMMETERS OF THE CITY OF THE BEARE

the result for the presence of improvementation are the and addressed and

the second of and will refer to the second second of

-1

(TOKA 101 MAY 101 (2017)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11181</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Marie Rex to convert room above garage into dwelling unit with a 2-foot rear yard, on Lots 7 and 8, Block 41, Arnold and Choates, 4069 Randolph St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 1 , 19 52

By

Secretary

Application ReceivedB	S. Tasch
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$ B	Jones Sundy Houth
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date 10-1-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10-3-5-
Planning Commission 10 - 3-1 Petitioner	10-2 Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

SLATT >+

the star and the Moning Committees of the City of Sun Diego.

adversely offens the team of the first the team of the first

the first of the second second and will be materially as the second of

a sufficient of the second of the second of a second of the second of th

I have applications in 1935, percentry for the contraction of the pericipation of the

the state of the second of conditions applieble to the prosections of the second second

the piece of the partition of the piece of the second of the second seco

ATTACK LADIC NO. ANTACAS

RESOLUTION NO.____108872

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Margaret L. Sundquist, by W. E. Starke, as Attorney, 1130 Bank of America Building, San Diego 1, California, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 6860, application No. 11159, permission to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256 into four building sites, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, three parcels to be served by an easement from El Camino del Teatro and the fourth parcel to be served by a 26-foot street, La Cumbre Drive, south end of El Camino del Teatro, east of Muirlands Drive, Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

J hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 108872 If the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Oct. 23, 1952

	£ 1(151) - 11 -		CICK City Clerk.
By	HELEN 1	ι.	WILLIG
Dy		-	Deputy

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11159</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Margaret L. Sundquist to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256 into four building sites, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, three parcels to be served by an easement from El Camino del Teatro and the fourth parcel to be served by a 26-foot street, La Cumbre Drive, south end of El Camino del Teatro, east of Muirlands Drive, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

nated____Oct. 1

FORM 2145

By

340

, 19 52

Application Received <u>9-19-52</u> By <u>l-Baughment</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
investigation made By Jones, Jundy + South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 1.12 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date 10-1-1-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2-52 Building Inspector 10-3-55
Planning Commission 10-3-54 Petitioner 10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

EL CAMINO Del TEATRO 9.6 P. 2.1 of inverto 40' 5 to the family LA CUMBRE EAUEMENT 6 and Dr. ST. kase ment SUNDAVIST 50 LA JoLLA NICSA Dr. IUNDE

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Irvin O. and Ella S. Andermon to build a residence 18' x 19', over the north half of an existing garage with 4 ft. 5 inch. between living quarters, Lots 47 and 48, Block 20, Fairmount Addition, Southwest corner of Polk and Euclid Avenues, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated ______, 19_52

ORM 2145

By

95-

Application Received _ 9-19-52 By _	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	ones Jundy + South
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1 Hez	ring date
Decision appl. Dat Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-2</u> Bui	ding Inspector 10-3-52
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner 10-	- J' Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Cou	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	e
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Cor	tinued to
	e of action

and the second s

and the second of the second second

many of the second of the second second second second second

and the second second

15

MARK .

10日本語を行う こう しんちょうしょう

60 2 PU

Parks

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10716</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry and Ingrid Jacobsen to divide Lot 43, Talmadge Park Manor Unit No. 1, into two building sites and erect a single family residence on each, 4803 Winona Ave., Zone R-1, on condition that each parcel will have a minimum of 60 feet frontage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 1 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6862

FORM 2145

CHEEKE; COL

1 202 317 6000 101 0.011

the the she proved a section we wanted as the second proved. a survey of all - and - be associatly detrimonial to and the meterially offers the markets of eatery of

The Current affect the Mances Plan of the Curry

140 · 05.12

Conne

consider a start of the start of the second prover a start of the

out inter to first of the second second on 1,559 to, optics however interactions to the the same to a sound and arrests present to be O TROP T GOT ST. C. Statistics of the second state of the second s

City Planning Department By Investigation made ______ City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1 Date 10-1-1appr Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2 Building Inspector 10-3 Health Department 10-3 Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner 10 - 2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received <u>9-22-52</u> By <u>2.m</u>

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11134</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No! 8924 anended</u>: <u>Municipal Code 101.0501</u>

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul T. and Elva G. Walker to erect a single family residence on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1782, legal description on file in Planning Office, on La Jolla Mesa Drive between extensi n of Colima and Midway Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated , 19

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Res No. 6863

Application Received 9-22-52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{10 - 1 - \sqrt{-2}}{By}$	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-52	Hearing date
Decision C_{ppr} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-2$ Planning Commission $10-3$ Petitioner	Date 10-1-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10^{-2}	Building Inspector 10-3-5-
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner	10- 2 -JuHealth Department 10- 3-J2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

La Verda Nera U.

6.

WALR

90.27 St. open

8r

2419.09

98

In the Association of the

3

.

CoLIMA

and the state

MILLING

100-11"

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11205</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 18924, as amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Temporalities Corporation of Church of God to construct 56' x 40' addition to existing church, addition to be used as church educational building with a 10-foot rear yard, Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, Block 171, University Heights, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 1 , 1952

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Application Received 9-23-52 By	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 - 1 - 5 By</u>	Jones Jundy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $10 - 1$	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-1-12
Decision $Copy$ of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10 - 2$	Building Inspector 10-3-11
Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner	10-2-12 Health Department 10-3-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TAN.

Milling you

. - .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11061</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of/Ofdinance/No//8924; as amended); Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California. as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin W. and Helen M. Stewart to erect residence with 4-foot sideyard on northwest property line of Lot 139, Collwood Park Unit No. 2, East side of Rockford Drive between Mary Lane Dr. and Dorothy Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Van

Application Received $\underline{\mathcal{I}} - \partial \mathcal{J} - \mathcal{J} - \mathcal{J} $ By	g. Tasel City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$ By	Jones, Lundy & South
and one Barrow wade	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10 - 3 - 1.2
Planning Commission 10-3-52 Petitioner	10-5-52 Health Department $10-3-52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second se

and the second sec

brothen .

WIR - -

Little in the

V desta Server Street Server Street

WHEREAS, Application No. 11202 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section (see Mun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Havanna M. Kaylor, owner, and Robert H. Peterson, purchaser, to erect one single family residence on portion of Villa Lot 58, University Heights, per legal description on file in Planning Office, north end of Vista Place, Zones R-1 and R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594 and 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

0ct. 1 , 19<u>52</u> Dated___

By

75

_ By_DESou Application Received _ 9-24-12 City Planning Department Investigation made ______ 10 - 1 - J'2 Luna By tones City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-1</u> Decision Could afefer. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-2</u> Planning Commission <u>10-3</u> Petitioner <u>10-3</u> Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to 12 58 VL 48 1.75 1 the contraction of the state of the tage to star to path -12h + T 1 301 5012 all the set 4 den. C N 8 BIKR .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11170</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/0f/0fdinance/No!/8924, as amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elizabeth Martin, San Diego Trust and Savings Bank, guardian, to redivide lots into two parcels, one parcel with 60-foot frontage and the other with 80-foot frontage on Benson Ave. between Woodman and Ritchey Streets, beint Lots F and G, Shaw's Addition to Encanto Heights, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0405, Ordinance No. 116 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19____52

By_

Application Received 9-23-52 By Mail	
City	Planning Department
\bigcirc	\mathcal{O}
Investigation made By By By City	unda & South
City	Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee (Hearing date	
Decision appr. Date 10-	1-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2 Building Inspector	r 10-3-V2
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner 10-2 Hea	Ith Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing,	date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

the second of the system of the second se

and the second second

PIR De Co

and the set

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11197</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank L. and Lavola E. Edwards to construct a bedroom addition to existing residence having a non-conforming rear yard of 5 feet, East 95 feet of Lots 47 and 45, Block 104, City Heights, 4283 Myrtle Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ Oct. 1 , 19 52

By

Secretary Res. No. 6868

Application Received _ 9- 24- 52 By	Q. Baughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones Jundy South City Planning Department
Investigation made by	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-1-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-4	Building Inspector 10-3
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner	10 - 2 Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

22230 CA 34 4-1

for a start

v de co to s

, III .

A 1-10 THE FACTOR FOR THE TO PROVE TO PROVE TO AND A THE TO A THE TOA THE TO A THE TO A THE TO A THE T

5 .02

A STATE OF STATE OF STATE

11188 WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended). (see Jun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to</u> the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louie and Doris R. Jorgensen to erect garage at rear of residence for home service and repair of household appliances on Lots 17 and 18, Block 45, City Heights, 4021 Van Dyke, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- That there will be no employees; 1.
- That one sign, 2 feet by 5 feet, on posts, will be permitted, to be back of 2. setback line;
- That there will be no outside storage;
- That this permit to be for two years, to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the lixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

91

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 6869

Oct. 1

Dated_ FORM 2145

Application Received <u>9-24-52</u> By <u>South</u>
City Planning Department
Investigation made By Janes Sundy + fourth
Investigation made 10-1-13 By Anes Junky + South
City Planning/Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1 Hearing date
Decision appr. conde Date 10-1-5-2
Decision Cepter. Conce Date 10-1-5 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-6 Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner 10-2-52 Health Department 10-3
Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner 10 - 2 - 12 Health Department 10 - 3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action



Desireta. In the read and with Are to be

- und - all been a set of a set of the set of the set of the barre more and the barre to be the brock there he - und - all - and the set of a bent contact the set of the set of the barre more and the set of the brock intern - und - all -

ions and a series of the series of the bas work theman is it boys a construction of the series of th

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11182</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0/ Ordinance No. <u>18924</u>, as amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Winfred B. and Jacqueline C. Hughes to construct 58-inch Redwood fence on 5 ft. 6 inch. masonry retaining wall on north side of Lot 1014, Redwood Village Unit No. 8, 6150 Hughes Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _October 1 , 19_52 FORM 2145

Secretary

120-1

Application Received <u>9-24-52</u> By	City Planning Department	
Investigation made By	Jones Fundy + South City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee _/o -)	Hearing date	
Decision akpr Date		
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-12 Building Inspector 10-3		
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner	10 - 2 52 Health Department 10-3	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
Application withdrawn	Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11186</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of/Ordinance/No!/8924; as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leland H. and Evelyn J. Sanders to construct two residences on Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 109, Pacific Beach, buildings to cross lot lines, on Chalcedony Street between Gresham and Haines Streets, Zone R-1 and R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

316

Application Received _ 9-24-52 By	D. E. South
- pproducer	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones Jundy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-52	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-1-1'2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 10-3-V-2
Planning Commission 10-3-52 Petitioner	Date $10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$ Building Inspector $10 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$ $10 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $10 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second and the second and the second s

And particular

10 JC W AVIN

The start of the start of the

,

27.03

bern the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. _ 10663 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Dradinance Work 2020 as amended). (see Mun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reger B. and Thelma V. Nutter to operate an electric household appliance repair business in garage on East 30 feet of Lot 23 and West 20 ft. of Lot 24, Victory Manor, 5759 Market Street, Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- That there will be no employees; 1.
- No advertising and no signs; 2.
- That 90% of the work to be performed in the homes of the customers; 3.
- That this permit to expire June 30, 1953.

, 19 52

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

0et. 1 Dated____

By.

164

Application Received <u>9-24-52</u> By	Q. Baughman	
	Kity Planning Department	
Investigation made <u>10-1-5</u> By	Jones Jundy + South	
5	City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-J2-H	Tearing date	
Decision appr. conde I	Date 10-1-12	
Decision appr. conde Date 10-1-12 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2 Building Inspector 10-3-5-		
Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner	10-2 Health Department 10-3	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (
	Date	
Resolution becomes effective		
	Continued to	
	Date of action	

Nog of a first of a fi

the state of the second second and the

9.

,

and the second second
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11198</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California. as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. and Clara L. West to construct single family residence on each parcel shown on Record of Survey, known as Parcel D and Parcel E. on file in Planning Office, being portion of Lots 20 and 21, Sefton Estates, on Narragansett Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

217-218

. , 19 52

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 1 Dated____

Secretary

Res No. 6873

Application Received <u>9-24-52</u> By D. E. Sauth
City Planning Department
Investigation made 10 - 1 - 5 2 By Junes Lundy & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1 Hearing date
Decision (APPA) Date 10-1-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-5 Building Inspector 10- 3-V1
Planning Commission 10-3-52 Petitioner 10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

and the state of t

Harden and the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11199</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. and Clara L. West to construct single family residence on each parcel shown on Record of Survey, known as Parcel F Parcel G and Parcel H, on file in Planning Office, being portion of Lots 18, 19 and 20, Sefton Estates, on Narragansett Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 1

52

. 19

By

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received 9-24-5- By	D. South City Planning Department
	0
Investigation made <u>10-1-52</u> By	Jones, Lundy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10 - 1 - 1'2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector $10 - 3 - 5^2$ $10 - 2 - 5^2$ Health Department $10 - 3 - 5^2$
Planning Commission 10 - 3-1- Petitioner	10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the survey of the second s

prove the second of the second

A CONTRACT OF

The same and the factor of the second states and t

and the second

an end of the state of the

and the second and the second second provide and the second

12 14 14 14 12 3

Without a post of a long way of a post of a

and the second second

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11200</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/df Ordinande No. 18924//as/amended)/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. and Clara L. West to construct single family residence on each parcel shown on Record of Survey, known as Parcel I and Parcel J, on file in Planning Office, being a portion of Lot 18, Sefton Estates, on Narragansett Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 1

By_

52

, 19

FORM 2145

Dated.

Application Received 9-24. 5-2 By	D. South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - 1^{-2}$ By	Jones, Lundy & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-52	
Decision Appr.	Date 10 - 1 - 5 - 2
Decision $Appr.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $10-2$	Building Inspector 10-3-V-2
Planning Commission 10 - 3-52 Petitioner	$10 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $10 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second second

William the state of the

530 · ·

.

at the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11201</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/ pf/ Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. and Clara L. West to construct single family residence on each parcel shown on Record of Survey, known as Parcel A. Parcel B and Parcel C, on file in Planning Office, being a portion of Lots 20 and 21, Sefton Estates, on Marragansett Avenue, Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Application Received <u>9-24-52</u> By	D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - 52$ By	Jones, Jundy & South
	() City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-52	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-1-52
Decision Cefer. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-2</u>	Building Inspector ID- 3- 52
Planning Commission 10-3-52 Petitioner	10-2-52 Health Department 10-3-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the star was been and a star of the starter of

the second with the second we want the second with the second s

and and an our star should be sent the second blocks of the second

at the second second second

POLT *

MARCH AND ALL THE ADDRESS OF A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11207</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/pf/Ordinance/No!/8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennis E. Sullivan to construct addition to existing residence not having frontage on a dedicated street on portion of Pueble Lot 1290, legal description on file in Planning Office, on Ardath Road extension, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 1 Dated_____

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Res No. 6877

483A

_, 19 52

7. m & Comoell Application Received _ 9- 2 4 - 52 By_ City Planning Department out By Investigation made ______ once City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 1 - 1' - Hearing date_ appo. 10-1-52 Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2 Building Inspector $10-3-\sqrt{2}$ Planning Commission $10-3-\sqrt{2}$ Petitioner $10-2-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department 1010-3-1-2 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action P.L.1279 631.39 2 Q, W. 20 2 780,391 49 SULL VAN L. 1290 and the second and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11204</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No: 8924; as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles F. Abel to erect a garage with a 14-inch side yard on Lot 29, Block 1, Laurel Heights, 2615 Covington Road, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 10b.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated ______ 0ct. 1 _____, 19_52

Secretary Res. No. 6878

Application Received <u>9-24-52</u> By	3. W. mc Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$ By	Jones Junky + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 1	Hearing date
Decision class	Date 10-1-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /0-2	Building Inspector 10-3-V-2
Planning Commission 10-3-52 Petitioner	$10 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $10 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

a post of the distance of the second second

4

,

Mar Mr Ange

RESOLUTION NO. k 108796

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

That the appeal of Elmer R. Austin, 843 Beryl Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, granting by its Resolution No. 6879, permission to erect a 12-foot by 40-foot garage with 6-inch sideward on Lots 11 and 12 Block 80, Pacific Beach, Zone R-2, on condition that the "garage be built 70 feet back from the property line", be, and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That permission is hereby granted to Elmer and Janet Austin, to construct a 12-foot by 40-foot garage with 6-inch side yard on Lots 11 and 12, Block 80, Pacific Beach, 843 Beryl Street, en condition that the garage be built 50 fest back from the front property line. 108796 in the council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Oct. 16, 1952

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG

By_

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11190</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance Nov 8924 as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer and Janet Austin to erect a 12-foot by 40-foot garage with 6-inch side yard on Lots 11 and 12, Block SO, Pacific Beach, S43 Beryl, Zone R-2, on condition that the garage be built 70 feet back from the front property line.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they realte to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ⁱxth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal ⁸ filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated _____, 1952

By__

315

ORM 2145

Application Received 9-25-52 By	J. m. Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}}{2}$ By	Jones Jundy & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1 V	riearing date
Decision coude appr	Date $10 - 1 - 52$
Decision cousie appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10- 8- 12
Planning Commission 10 - 3 - 1' Petitioner	10-2-1- Health Department 10-3-1-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date $10 - 3 - \sqrt{2}$	Council Hearing, date 10-16-52
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and be the set of the

Lin to secon a little burn to the line little

and the state of the state of the second state of the second state of the

the meaning of the change and an of the Cart

the course of the second course course

a 🛥 🤞 🐔 🐔

and the second

Value Ballon I

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11210</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Theodore and Margaret Berchtold to construct a single family residence in rear of existing residence on a parcel of land being Lots 32 and 33. Block 309, Seaman & Choates Addition and F & G of Logan Park, 3263 Logan Ave., Zone R-4, on condition that this parcel be held in one ownership.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19 52

By_

44

Secretary

Res. No. 6880

Application Received $\underline{9 - 2 \sqrt{-y^2}}$ By	, 7. m & Councell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones Lundy + South
	City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Decision appa	Date 10-1
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector <u>10-3</u>
Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner	10-2 77 Health Department 10-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

walnut for the former of the second form for the first for the free first second former of the second second

All sold of the second of the second state of the second section of the second section of the second s The file for a set of a state of the set of the probability of the set of the Paramiles for a second protection of a second much second second for environment a second a

there offices and the strength of the one office the second second

0.5 * 1

NAT'S UNIV

126 000

CARDENALDER MOT

to make that the set while there all the error primers in the same and for stationare as transformer and the second second and the second second

. has been considered by the Arring Completion

Care and the notice of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11012</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David and Helen Grant and Stewart Cruickshank, owners, and James Bernardini, purchaser, to erect three units on the west half of Lot 21 and all of Lot 22, Block 136, University Heights, 1080 Hayes Ave., Zone R-2, on condition that three paved off-street parking spaces are provided on the property and the existing construction to be demolished.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12955 be, and is hereby granted as to ti particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated ______, 1952

FORM 2145

By_

Application ReceivedB	y_DEsouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	y Anes Lundy & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1	Hearing date Date 10-1-12
Decision conde appr	Date 10-1-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-	Building Inspector 10-3
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner	10-2 Health Department - 0-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

teme service control is a service of the service of

to set and the line of the set where the set

Jane produce of the second state of the second state of the state of the state of the second se

There is a second of the second of the second field being a second burn to the formation of the second second

E35 . should be been to be been the beauter find on the City

will differentially affect the bestit as avials of a second affect and the best of the second second and will differentially and second affect the second second affect as a second as a s

the state of the state of the prittioner, possessed by your protects

the second second and a sharp's Experience in the second of the same second s

The second second

LOCT GL | DN NO' DORT

SEXES POLICY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11219</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reg Stalmer and City of San Diego to use Lots 1 and 2, Block 5, Hillcrest Addition, southerst corner of Fourth Ave. and Washington St., as a used car lot, and to install light standards and steel bumper posts with a zero setback on Fourth Ave., and a zero setback on Washington St., on property leased from the City. Zone C: on condition that an agreement be signed and recorded by Mr. Stalmer, agreeing to remove the light standards and steel bumper posts at his own expense and at no cost to the City if and when the City requests it for the widening of Washington St. and Fourth Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1952

By

Application Received _ 9-26-52 By	D. South
and T.T. Series and a series recorded and a series and a series of the s	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Danial til the
Investigation made By	Jones, gundy some
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $10 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$	Hearing date
Decision conde appr	Date 10 - 1 - 1 ⁻ 2
Decision cond's appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10-3-1-2
Planning Commission 10 - 3 - 1' Petitioner	10-2-52 Health Department 10-5-5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Chine Print 1 .

1 4 1 O 1 1 in Joint of the second

· / / TTJ+ 0

.

and the second second second

the first and the second second second

the start the second start and the second start the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11203</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [6] pf Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John J. and Eileen Fernandes to erect residence with 5-foot sideyard and 24 inches wide eave, making edge of eave 26 inches from line, on Northwest 31 feet Lot 10 and Southerly 25 feet of Lot 11, Block 270, Mannasse and Schiller of Pueblo Lot 209, on south side of Goldsmith Street between Locust and Evergreen Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code NolOl.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52 FORM 2145 By_

Application Received 9-26-52 By	D. W. M. Connell
	City Planning Department
	Jones Jundy & South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-1-52</u> By	Jones Junay your
Considered by Zoning Committee $1d - 1$	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-1-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10 - 3 - V'2
Planning Commission 10 - 3 Petitioner	10-2-12 Health Department 10- 8-VS
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second shows a second s

the second of the second provide the second part of the second second second second second second second second

and the second sec

y all provide the state of the state

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11229</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Francis X. and Rosalind Guidry to construct a single family residence and garage with 10-foot rear yard on Lot 21. Sunset Ridge, 955 Moana Drive. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

Application Received <u>9-29-52</u> By DESouth
City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>10-1-52</u> By Jones Lundy South Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-1</u> Hearing date Decision apper. Date <u>10-1-52</u> Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-2</u> Building Inspector
City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date 10-1-52 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2 Building Inspector 10-3-5-5-5
(IIIV III NESUITION SCILLO CILLO CILLO CILLA DUNINIE INSPECTOR
Planning Commission 10-3 Petitioner 10-2 Health Department 10-3-02
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

The state of all an a final ways and a state of the state

constant in the second of the local form of the second of the second sec

Date and the second second

and the second of the second second

the second of th and and the second solution of the second second

198 States of the second of the second second second second

Received in the second s

a in the second

0 100 AQ

Set .

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee If the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section Scot Ordinance Nov 8924; as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

hat an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6256, dated arch 19, 1952, be granted to G. W. Kettenburg, Sr., owner, and Ector and Julia K. Le Duc, urchaser, to erect one single family residence and garage on the Westerly 75 feet of lot 2, Block 118, La Playa, southeast corner of Kellogg and San Gorgonio Streets, Zone 61.

I variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted is to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described thove.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6885

ai

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $g_{2} = g_{2} = g_{2} = g_{3}$ By	Jones, Lundy + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-52	Hearing date
Decision appr. 6 mo.	Date $10 - 1 - 12$ Building Inspector $10 - 8 - 52$ 10 - 8 - 52 Health Department $10 - 8 - 52$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-8-5	Building Inspector 10 - 8 - 52
Planning Commission 10-8-1-2 Petitioner	10-8-12 Health Department 10-8-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

3

there we have a second a second of the second se

8 . ¹

upagar na aa

W LOLL.

Anto C

Tel sarry

Martin

Letter dated Sept. 16, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee i the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6526, dated June 11, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Steelcrete Industrial Eldgs., Inc., to redivide Lots 19 and 20 of Block 5, Bay Park Vista Unit No. 3, Chicago and Baker Streets, Zone R-1, into two building sites, according to plat on file in Planning Office marked "Exhibit 'A' ".

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the pixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated <u>Oct. 1</u>, 19 <u>5</u>2

By

Application Received By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones, Fundy + South
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-1-1'2	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-1-0'2
Decision appri- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2	Building Inspector 10-2-V-
Planning Commission 10 - 3 - 52 Petitioner	$10-2-\sqrt{2}$ Health Department $10-3-\sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 3

and the second second

1 1 A

3.5

A. C. States

The state of the state

i de la finicial i de la de

+ en inter to training with a :

202 ----

LOTTOMINT. MAG NTROY

or hattare lood - loon

akoon might

near the sources

24

250.22

THE HEAT THE

The by man is a set of a set of a set of a set of a set



Letter dated Sept. 6, 1952.

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924) as amended): (see Nun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

at Resolution No. 6542, dated June 30, 1952, which amended Resolution No. 5982, dated v. 7, 1951, which amended Resolution No. 4810, dated July 5, 1950, be amended to eliminate om No. 6, to read as follows:

mission is hereby granted to Rose Vollmer, Mrs. George W. Wood and the Estate of George W. od, owners, and Balboa University (California Western University) purchaser, to use portions Pueble Lots 65, 144, 145, 146, 182, and 193, as shown on legal description and map on file Planning Dept. Office, both marked, "Balboa University property description, 11-5-51", to ect necessary buildings for educational and housing purposes, and to make necessary repairs d alterations to existing buildings in any amount, for education and housing purposes, the llowing conditions to be observed:

New construction, including additions to existing buildings, shall be limited to buildings for bona fide University purposes, and for the residence of bone fide students, faculty members and employees of the University;

All new construction to be approved by the City Planning Commission or the Zoning Committee for exterior design:

This Resolution shall not affect any land lying within 470 feet of Catalina Blvd., within 350 feet of Hill Street. nor within 300 feet of Azure Vista Subdivision; Before any building is used for educational or any other purposes by the University, it

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res. No. 6887

pated ______, 19 52

205

shall be made to comply with all the requirements of the City Bldg. Inspection Dept., Fir Dept. and Health Dept.;

5. Existing housing may be used for rental to the present occupants for a period of three years from this date, but shall not be re-rented nor used after a present tenant moved out, nor after three years from date of Res. No. 4810, dated July 5, 1950, whichever occurs first, other than for educational purposes by the University, for residence of bone fide students, faculty members and employees of the University.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

partie charged in the second of

and and provide all as supreme to the function of the

the second se

and the second second second second

- 1 post to the period of the level of the second of the second s

And the second second of the second second second second second

a submer over the state of the submer of the state of the

constant est vernelering (stimuleta, version) closed is i version of the standard of th

at brile of the shall the addition of the brill be a list

Varian

ot babnatva timil amiT
Application withdrawn
Resolution becomes effective
Decision of Council
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date
Planning Commission 10-3-12 Petitioner
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-2
Decision appr.
Considered by Zoning Committee 16-1
Investigation made /auf B
Application Received Vallad + letter B.
40 - 0 /)'

RESOLUTION NO.

6888

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11148</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard Carl Levi and Emanuel Baptist Church, John Allen (and Robert L. Noyes, to construct church and educational buildings on Lots 15 thru 20, Block 84, Morena, northwest corner of Ingulf and Galveston, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That paved off-street parking space be provided at a ratio of one car to each ten persons;
- 2. That the completed plans be approved architecturally by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 15 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 6888

402

FORM 2145

Application Received 9-18-52 By	b. Taech
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Lundy Padgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-15	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Date 10- 10-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector 10-17-12
Planning Commission 10-17 Petitioner	10-16-52 Health Department 10-17-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second second

and the second of the second strategy and the second second second second second second second second second se

and the provide state of the proceeding of the state of the

and the standard with the standard with the standard standard and the standard standard standard standard stand

and the second second

, ¹

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11265</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Non. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl W. Williams to erect 8-unit studio court with 3-foot side yard on Lots C and D, Block 171, Mission Beach, Santa Clara Place, Zone R-4, on condition that six (6) paved off-street parking spaces are provided on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243 New Series, and Municipal Gode 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated _____ 0ct. 15 ____, 19 ___ 52

Secretary Res. No. 6889

FORM 2145

304

Bv

Application Received <u>9-30-52</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $20 - 15 - 52$ By	Sund, Pad gett + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-15</u> Decision <u>conde</u> <u>defer</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-16</u> Planning Commission <u>10-17</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council	Hearing date Date 10-10 Building Inspector 10-17-52 NO-16-52 Health Department 10-17
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the second states of the second states and the

the second se

and the state of the state of the
RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following deposited	operty, Lot	
described pro	operty, Lot	Block
Subdivision	000	1/1
Mission	Beach, Santa Clara Place	
	noners series areas a read	A REAL PROPERTY OF

	Carl W. Williams	

may be used for the erection and	operation of	
and chocklight and	S-unit studio cour	a.
subject in the		
subject to the following condition	that six (6) paved off-stree	
	that six (b) paved off-stree	t parking spaces
are provided on the pro-	operty	

and the properties of the local state of the state	an and the set of the property and the set of the	must interest

	and the second	And the second se

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 6890

1	*********		194		
	Oct.	15	1952	3	304
	the second second second second				204

Detad

By ______Secretary

Application Received 9-30-52	By. P. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made 10-15	By Lundy Padgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Coull appr	Date 10-15-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk6	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-17 Petitioner	10-16 Health Department 10-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application Withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	

The second second

second of an an annual son

services a open an all there four an big to have an an an an an and the service and the service and a service Mi not

そう をつう (**) しょうしのしゃ なかれた ひましたけ ひざん

The second structure and have been as the second for

that Here for the second of the success formative of the first of

1.24 T 101 01 2 0 120 1 2.81.

States 194 - Hants

CDOAR" CO CING T ANEL WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11241</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee i the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section i of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

ermission is hereby granted to Philip and Lyla Soule to construct a 12-foot by 14-foot ddition to a non-conforming residence having a 9-foot rear yard, on West 65 feet of lots 16 and 17, Block 4, Florence Heights Addition No. 2, 830 Barr Street, Zone R-1.

variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described bove.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

12

Application Received <u>10-1-52</u> B	y <u>b.</u> Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation made B	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>10-15</u> Decision <u>apprint</u>	Hearing date Date 10-15-5-2 Building Inspector 10-17-5-2
Planning Commission 10 - 17 Petitioner	10-16 Health Department 10-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Prive party bar start and and a start a

The second s

and the second second

- 1 Y OLU - 1 1 1 X - YOY - 1 10 13

and the second of the second of the ball of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11021</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section i/9f/Ordinande/No//8924//a\$/amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret M. Pearson to convert a single family residence into duplex apartment on East 95 feet of Lot 1, Block 35, West End Addition, 3446 Utah Street. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0405 and Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated ______, 19_52 FORM 2145

Secretary

Van

Application Received By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>/0 - / 5</u> By	Lundy Padgett South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 11	Hearing date
Decision a pepu. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>10-16</u>	Date 10-15-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10 - 16	Building Inspector 10-17- V-2
Planning Commission 10-17 Petitioner	0-16-52 Health Department 10-17-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERT

Dimester and the second s

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11232</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinande No. <u>1232</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee 5 of Ordinande No. <u>11232</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alphonse and Edith Neves to construct a duplex in rear of existing single family dwelling with 6-foot 6-inch access court, Lot 7, Block 92, Roseville, 2905 Keats Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the fixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

By

Application Received <u>10- 2-5-</u> H	By <u>A. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10 - 15</u> H	
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-15	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-17 Petitioner	10-16 Health Department 10-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the property of the second s

and the state of the

,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11215</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section $\frac{b}{\phi}$

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas B. Hunt to construct a duplex with 5-foot setback on San Pasqual on East 40 feet of Lots 39, 40 and 41, Sierra Vista, corner Ocean View Blvd. and San Pasqual Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 15 , 19___ Dated_

179

52

Application Received _10 - 3-52 By	, Z. M & Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10-15-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 10-17 Petitioner	10-16 Health Department 10-17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

pre open way ga for (122 - provide and for a set of the set of the digent of the second set of the y ways of the set of the set of the set of the set of the digent of the set of the set of the set of the set of

And the set of the set

Second of the full of sorthing one per Strength Conservation of the little

and the second state of th

and the second of the second o

DI LIST DE LA COLLEGE IN

the second s

and the state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11249</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section f of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert V. and Marie L. Mayrhofer to erect four units and garages crossing lot lines of Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 137, University Heights, south side of Hayes Street, 200 feet west of Vermont Street, Zone R-2, making a total of five units on lots.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and Municipal Code 101.0406 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Oct. 15 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 6895

Application Received	By_DESouth
**	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>10-15</u>	By <u>Sundy</u> Pad gett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 15	Hearing date
Decision appl. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Date 10-15-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector 10-12-50
Planning Commission 10-17-12 Petitioner	· 10 - 16 - V Health Department 10 - 17 - V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE TAX BURGET A PUBLIC PUBLIC AND A TRACT AND A TRACT STATE OF A TRACT OF A

the provide state of the second state of the providence of the second state of the sec

the start of the

Figure 1 and the second secon second sec

that provide the second second

the state in the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11226</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/04/04/14/14/04/18924/14\$/amended)/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gus E. Matchinske, President Day and Night Plumbing and Heating Corporation to erect 75 feet of 8 ft. 5 inch. wall on top of existing 6 ft. 1 inch. wall and 75 feet of 6 ft. wire fencing on top of existing terraced wall 3 ft. to 4 ft. high, Lots 29 thru 32, Block 46, Sherman's Addition, 2222 Imperial Avenue, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provision of Municipal Code No. 101.0627 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 15 Dated _____, 19_

Secretary Res. No. 6896

28

52

Application Received 10 By	DESouth
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made By	Jundy Padgett South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10-14	Hearing date
Decision appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Date 10-11
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector 10-17-52
Planning Commission 10 - 17 Petitioner	10 - 16 Health Department 10 - 17
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Solar a second a se

the second se

all an a Management and an and the part of prints of

-

1.

to the large with the state of a state of the

A ANTINE AND AN ANTINA APPLICATION AND A CONTRACT AND A

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11157</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. <u>2924</u>, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Legler Benbough, owner, and Dick Grihalva, lessee, to construct new car showroom, office and light service facilities, on Southerly 203.5 feet of Lot 16, Block B, Teralta, northeast corner of 34th and El Cajon Blvd., Zones R-4 and C

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0408 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

79

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Oct. 15 ____, 19_52

Secretary

Res. No. 6897

Application Received B	y U. Beight. City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y <u>Jundy Palatt + South</u>
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 15	Hearing date
Planning Commission / Peritioner Petitioner	Date 10 - 15 - 52 Building Inspector 10 - 17 - 52 10 - 16 Health Department 10 - 17 - 52 Council Hearing date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

and the second of the second second and the second se

the second s

and the second of the second second

The St.

BANK AND IN IN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11260</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Norr8924, as amended): (see Nun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. C. Kohlepp to replace existing garage with a new 20-ft. by 30-ft. 3-car garage with one-foot side yard, Lots 6 and 7 and 30 feet of Upas Street closed adjacent, 3509 Columbia Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

5

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19_52

Application Received <u>10 - 7 - 52</u> By	. V. Beights City Planning Department
Investigation made $10 - 15 52$ By	- Lundy Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 15	Hearing date
	Date 10-15= 52
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector 10 - 17 - 52
Planning Commission 10 - 17 - J-2 Petitioner	10-16-52 Health Department 10-17-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of

the set of the set of the second leads a set of the second s

HALF AND AN AN AN ACCORD. BANKING SAMTOR COMPLETE AN

and the second second and the second and the president second second second and the second second second second

When a set were not to be a set of the se

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vincenzo Giacalone to construct single family residence in rear of existing duplex with 4-foot 6-inch. rear yard, on Lot 10, Block 60, Middletown, 2244 Union Street, Zone R-4 (Blk, 148 - Muddletown 7)

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated October 15 , 19 52

By

3

Application Received 10-8-52 By	V. Beights City Planning Department
Investigation made By	~
and and a second se	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision akpr.	Date 10-11-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector 10 - 17
Planning Commission 19 - 17 Petitioner	10-16-52 Health Department 10-17
Append filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

here is

11 14

and a second a second

C. Charles - Salate and M.G. . S. Tar-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11212</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/ pf/ Ordlinance/ No. 8924, amended):/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cullen L. Anderson to construct 10-foot high patio wall on Lots 11 and 12, Block 31, Lexington Park, 2421 - 39th Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

October 15 Dated_____

FORM 2145

_ , 19 52

Application Received 10 - 8 - 52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 10 - 15	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 10 - 11 -
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10-16	Building Inspector 10-12-52
Planning Commission 10-17-52 Petitioner	10-16-52 Health Department 10-17-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the state of the s

and the state

an an in the fight state of the state of the