WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11391</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/01/01/01/01/01/01/09/24//as/amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. and LaVona Coath to erect $12^{\circ} \ge 16\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ addition to rear of existing non-conforming residence; residence has 23 inch sideyard; addition to observe the required 3-foot sideyard, Lot 9, Block 12, resub of Lots 20 to 50, Block N. Teralta, 4159 - 38th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 26

, 19

Secretary Res. No. 7001

84

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received <u>11 - 19 - 52</u>	By J. Mc Connell
	City Planning Department
	Pri Pri P
Investigation made $11 - 26$	By Aalik Aundy & South
	By Salik Sundy & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-26	
Decision Kkps.	Date
Decision \mathcal{A}_{ppc} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $11-28$	Building Inspector 12-1-52
Planning Commission / 2 -/ Petitioner	11-28-12 Health Department 12-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	ALLER AND AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A workeden in a second of the second of the

all's series

A THE REAL AND A LAND THE REAL AND THE REAL

The second of the property powershappy and the second of the

\$19 C.L. . .

The Ray

TINKI,

TTT I TTT

7002

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11384</u> of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Wo. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie M. Temple, owner, and Robert J. Durham, purchaser, to split out a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, per legal description on file in Planning Office, this parcel not having full street frontage on a dedicated street, and erect a single family residence; south side of Avenida de la Playa, approximately 1145 feet east of La Jolla Shores Drive, Zone R-1B.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5332 New Series, and Municipal Code 101.0304, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 28 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

353



WHEREAS, Application No. 11385 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 df Ordinarde Nd. / 18924 / ds /andridedy:/ Municipal Code No 101.0501

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ralph and Goldie Goldstein to construct a single family residence with 10-foot setback , Lot 1 Montezuma Heights, east side of Art Street between Montezuma Road and Mesita Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 26 , 19

118

52

Secretary Res No. 7003

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received By _ Sherman City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Investigation made By Jundy Salik & South
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-26 Hearing date
Decision apple. Date
Decision appr. Date Dopy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-28 Building Inspector 12-1
Tanning Commission / 2 - / Petitioner // -28 Health Department / 2 - / 3 2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Hippitte and the second state of the second se

n pushe *

1 1 1 1 1 J

and the second come is sort and

and the set of the other I carry the roll with the roll of the set of the set of the set

Can a start point portage to concerning a start

to a second and the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11400</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16 pf/Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

P ermission is hereby granted to Arthur L. and Ivah B. Crane to erect a chain link wire fence 5 feet high in front of setback line on Lots 18 and 19, Block 56, Morena on west side of Erie Street, between Lister and Milton Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____, 19____

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>11-21-52</u> By	y & South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 26 - 52$ By	Jundy Salik & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-26	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date $11-26$ Building Inspector $12-1-57$ 11-30-52 Health Department $12-1-57$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-28	Building Inspector 12-1-5-
Planning Commission 12 -1-52 Petitioner	11-28-52 Health Department 12 -1 - 1-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to we have a set of a set of a set of the se

, ···

ATT I AN ANALY

a hand the second and the second and the

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ardinancex Noxx8924xxasxamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Helen Bonovan, executrix of estate of Josephine Zirwas, owner, and Moss Excavators, lessee, to conduct sales of top soil, leaf mold, fertilizer, and operate excavator's yard, on the East 113.22 feet of Northerly 120 feet of Lot 7, Lemon Villa, 5447 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated___Nov. 26 ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

110

Contraction in the second in	2002 - 20 - 31 AD
and the family of the	
Application Received	By City Planning Department
	2
nvestigation made	11-26-52 By Salik Sundy + South
	City Planning Department
considered by Zoning (Committee <u>11-26</u> Hearing date
Decision denied	to City Clerk <u>11-28</u> Date <u>11-26-52</u> Building Inspector <u>12-1-55</u>
lanning Commission	/2 - 1 - JV Petitioner $// - 2P$ Health Department $/2 - 1 - JZ$
ppeal filed with City Cl	lerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effe	
pplication withdrawn lime limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
and mint extended to	Date of action
southed some .	
ANDI DI SE LI STAN	First and a first state there are and any party the short appropriate
	a see 14 for a rest along of the holder part 1 of the side for the
and the second sec	<pre>// / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</pre>
	the second s
Line .	With the Tenting Committing of the City of San Blogs
	startill and annalisity attend the mater plan of the City
	Provide The State of Annual alloch the Manager Plan of the Cit
	with materially attack the health which a
	the second a plant of the befrevolues. Biernanes phones beckers
	the spectrum press and the second to the pressent to
	they welsh in not upply constally to other procession in the same
5	and the second se
Creek Creek	and the first the produce presented by a showing the second the se
	"in" has been consulated by the Zonane Constitution
	State Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Lin

7006

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 af Ordinance No. <u>2924</u>, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William J. and Mary T. Moser to construct a duplex to be served by a 4-foot access court, making three units on Lots 15 and 19. Block 157, Pacific Beach, South side Diamond between Cass and Bayard, Zone C: on condition that three off-street parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 26 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7006

315

Application Received B	v J. Sherman
-ppileation received	City Planning Department
	l l l i l
Investigation made $11 - 26$ B	y Jundy Salik + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11 -26	
Decision coude appr.	Date
Decision Cond'e appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-28	Building Inspector 12-1-52
Planning Commission $12 - 1 - 52$ Petitioner	11-28 Health Department 12 -1 - 5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Lating the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and an analysis of the second second and and he was he with the way is a with the

is a provide record to the protection of the tradition of the protection of the prot

AND THE ALLOW

same will Hay adversaria affair, the Master Flam of the Ciry

is the manufacebood, and will . hit be enterially detricental to

Ed AND DO -

WH BOTH T

LHEUE,

CONTRACTOR OF

and and the rulation presenting has shown (she brown to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11383</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [15] of Ordinande Nd. 18924, dat dat dat dat dat Shown (see Section

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur H. McKee to redivide three lots into three building sites and have right to erect single family residence on each, according to plat on file in Planning Office, Lots 87, 97 and 100, Collwood Terrace Unit #2, Dorothy Drive and Dorothy Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 26 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 7007

17

Application Received <u>11 - 18 - 52</u> B	v 2. m = Connecl
ft.	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 26 - 5 - B_2$	Julik Landy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6_	
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-28</u>	Building Inspector 12-1-12
Planning Commission 12 - 1 - J'-Petitioner	11-28 Health Department 12-1-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

PARTY A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF THE AR ADDITION OF THE SALE OF A CONTRACT OF THE SALE OF A DITION

and the set of the set of the

and the second of the second second

and the second of the second second of the second sec

in mon .

1. 10 M 10 P 12

11 N N -,

Carlos Parts - Contractor

(seen we reaction of

in the local store in the base

ALL MAY AND A DATA SHARE AND A DATA AND A DATA

Letter dated November 23, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6501, dated May 28, 1952, be granted to Dayton E. and Yvonne Runnels to erect a second single family residence on portion of Lot 1, Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, south side of Laurel Street on Euclid Ave., Zone R-1; on condition that an agreement be signed to the effect that whenever a future subdivision is put through that a street in front of this property will be dedicated to join a proposed subdivision to the East, and that the house will be set back a minimum of 115 feet from the present Euclid Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_November 26 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

1+2

Application Received B	v Mail
-ppintation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Alik Lundy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-26	Hearing date
Decision app.	Date Building Inspector $12 - 1 - 52$ 11 - 28 - 52 Health Department $12 - 1252Council Hearing date$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _11-28	Building Inspector 12-1-52
Planning Commission 12-1-52 Petitioner	11-28-JL Health Department 12-1-1-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the survey of the second states the second states and the states of the states of the second states and th

responding to the second side of the second structure worker as a second structure.

adjustice and the second s

CELET WALL STORE

Saturlo To The C. 240 . . .

Life of the

the out

THE REPORT OF

several several and will 2000, be sufficially dereased to served and the second of the s

setty rights of the petitioner, peasened by after property and applied that is a manufacture for the proversity

the state of the set of the second of the second of the second se

the set of the set of the state of the set of the set of the set of the set of the a platic of the state with the of the section with a particular

a a used to a state of each a manage success a second a state of the second of a

-12 - Full and real of 112 they there are the start the set a

Letter dated Nov. 18, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 19/0f Ordinance No. 18924, as amended); Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles A. Westerlund and Esther M. Anderson, owners, and Damon G. Todd, lessee, which was previously granted by Resolution No. 4767, June 14, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 3952, which extended Resolution No. 3341, granting permission to operate a part-time business of making show cards by hand, in the basement of an existing residence at 3063 Grape Street, on the east 175 feet of the north 90 feet of Lot 2, J. P. Christensen's Addition, subject to the following conditions: (Zone R-4)

- 1. Operation not to exceed 25 hours per week;
- 2. No employees;
- 3. To display one sign, not over one square foot in area;
- 4. This permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 26 , 1952

FORM 2145

By_

VI

Hardenstein alle seit	See.	
Application Received	11-18-52	By_Marl
		City Planning Department
T	11-21-12	By Salik Lundy & South
Investigation made	7 70 4	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning (Committee 11-26	
Decision appr.		Date
Copy of Resolution sent	to City Clerk _11 - 2-	$\frac{P}{P}$ Building Inspector $12 - 1 - \sqrt{2}$
Planning Commission	12-1 Petition	er 11-28 Health Department 12-1-12
Appeal filed with City Cl	lerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effe	ctive	Date
Application withdrawn		Continued to
Time limit extended to		Date of action
For the hard to be a	Log react gring	and the gink appress for som as an after a set of the
A ALLESTIC C.	a de la	succe me, a priz pa, and in many provide an
	the second second	
	the second second	and some same and the second
	2014 (B. 14) (B. 14)	
	1 - Norm 22 5770	The second s
Repairies T		the second second second second second you have
14 2 73 (P 3 (L		and any construction of the second second
1464 Jun 19 19 19 19 19	A starting of the start of the start	and a sold the proof of the second second second
The state of the second second	the second sty is well.	wages part further out an entral allow a then so within a
milistra	CONTRACTOR OF	an date fauto (Contanto norogan with a licer) to a
Marth Martin I.	" sight and solitan	there are a contraction of many and the second of the second
The first state in the	e and for the sec	and the new party has the party of the second second
LINGOLOGI		
		TON Advancesty affines, the margine from at the scheet
		choose of and will to be anterially dotting the first to be proved to the provential
		11 Not muterially affect the medice of refere of-
- Highlight		and a state of the
		of the conjusted presented has shown (not personal
	511 S. 10	
		All

When all Sauce

RESOLUTION NO. 7010

Letter dated Nov. 12, 1952

WHEREAS, Application/Nol. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16 off Ordinance Nol. 8924, las amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6458, dated May 14, 1952 be granted to William E. and Wanda F. Linthicum, owners, and Frank and Bart G. Robles, purchasers, to erect one single family residence on portion of Lot 9, Weston Highlands, according to legal description on file in Planning Office, lying between Orten and Illion Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 26 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By

279

Application Received B	v
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Salik Lundy + South
	City Planning/Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-26	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>11-2P</u>	Date 11-26-V2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-28	Building Inspector 12-1-52
Planning Commission $1 \ge -1 - 5 \ge$ Petitioner	11-28 Health Department 12 -1-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

L'artes

The Provention

and the second

.

1 2 UB 1 1 1 .

and a rearrant toole was refer to

second to the property affect the reality of second s

to the state of the party descent of the part of the the second second second contract and a second s the second state of the se

and the second of the application for the second states.

and the second state of th a province and the processing and the processing the processing of the CAR STORE

同于 用于 动脉冲的

Letter dated Nov. 10, 1952

WHEREAS, Applindation No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of Ordinance No. 8924, hs/ hmended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6442, dated April 30, 1952, which extended Resolution No. 6044, dated Nov. 28, 1951, be granted to John Zweck to construct one duplex with at least 2 off-street parking spaces on Lots 1 and 2, one duplex with at least two off-street parking spaces on Lots 3 and 4, and one duplex with at least two off-street parking spaces on Lots 3 and 4, crossing lot lines, Block 4, Park Addition, Northwest corner 30th and Membe Court, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 26 . 19 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received B	v Mail
	City Planning Department
	Pri PI P.
Investigation made $11 - 26 \sqrt{2}$ B	V Salek Jundy TSouth
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11 - ~ 6	Hearing date
Decision d_{per} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-2P$	Date $11 + 2 - 6$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-28	Building Inspector 12 -1 - 12
Planning Commission 12 -1 -52 Petitioner	11-28 Health Department 12-1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the contraction of the second to get the second by the second to the sec

I THE ALCOUNT ON THEY NOTET AN THE INCOMENTS OF ANTIPACTORS - THEY A

and provide the company and and the state of a factor of the ALL AND THE TRACEPTING ARE ALL MERTING TO THE THE THE PARTY

a met de anos

CONTRACTOR OF OWNERS TOT OF STATE

1 · 21 · 1025

1-1-1-1-1-

1740745.201

and the second

LETELSE, COL

Letter dated November 15, 1952

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6465, dated May 14, 1952 be granted to Lois Angier to construct single family residence on Lot 4, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, with 10-foot rear yard, Northwest corner of Marine Street and Monte Vista Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

November 26

By

Secretary

347

, 19⁵²

FORM 2145

12-2.	5 -12
Application Received	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 26 - 52$	By Salik Junky & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision repper. 6 mo.	Date
Decision repr. 6 m. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-20	Building Inspector 12-1 - Vin
Planning Commission 12-1 Petitioner	Health Department 12-1-VI
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a contract of the south a graduation and hag to the the state to

a section of a state to show the the same for the state by

DULS VA

CHERT,

Contraction and the second second second

a first and the set

tollowers and the second secon

Bearing a sub- a sub- and the sub- at the sublet of soon of sub- at the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. 11405 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section Is of Ordinance No. 8924; as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- ____ work unnecessary 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to College Heights Development Company, Mrs. Pearl H. D. Martin, Secretary, to operate tract office and construct model home on Lots 45 and 46, College leights, northwest corner of Mary Lane Drive and 55th Street, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- That the existing signs be permitted; 1.
- That two additional signs, 4 ft. by 8 ft., be permitted in front of the model home 2. That the above uses be granted for the development of College Heights Division only.
- 3.

4. This permit to be for a period of one year only.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinande No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Nov. 28 , 19 52 Dated____

By_

Secretary Res. No. 7013

FORM 2145

108

	City Planning Department
vestigation made	- 26 - 52 By Salik Lundy & South
	City Flanning Department
ecision appr. Co	Date 11-26 Hearing date
ony of Resolution sent to	o City Clerk // -20 Building Inspector /2-/ -1 -2
lanning Commission /2	2 - 1 - 52 Petitioner $11 - 26$ Health Department $12 - 1 - 52$
ppeal filed with City Clese ecision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effect	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
and mint extended to _	
(asjest of the second	" a fareful to a start the start of the start and a start the start of
the second of the second	the second
·	the the second of a second harm on the
·	
and the second	the set of a set of the set of th
· Care e la cliegte	and the second sec
Tar C 1	
STEWTS VT	
14 1 17 2. C K - 11. "	A set of the book of the set of the
at 2" + The street	
Jurron' : 1 . to 1	a start was the start of the start of the start start was a start when a start start of the star
In In Star	a series of the
Terror in the start of the star	and the second second second in the second s
LEIN,	a series of the
	an eine an eine Zaming Conseittee of she circ of San Biop
LET 6 .	and the second second second in the second s
	and the second s
	and and the many processes, and will all the selected by descriminated and will all the selected by descriminated and the selected by a selected band, where exists a selected band band, where exists a selected band band of the selected band
	and the second s
	and will and the provide of the probability descriminated of the p
	An other properties of the polititum. Properties by other proper- man will a fill a first the health or anistry of a second of the second seco
	and will and the provide of the probability descriminated of the p
	The second secon
	The property of the partition of the partition of the teacher of an environment of the partition of the part
	The second secon
	The second secon
	A constraint of the second sec
	And which is a second second by the second property of the pro

Letter dated Nov. 25, 1952

WHEREAS, Application/No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Paradise Hills Community Church, which was previously granted to the Congregational Conference of Southern California and Southwest, by Resolution No. 2783, dated January 14, 1948, to construct a church and maintain a parking lot for the congregation, at the Northeast corner of Reo Drive and Alleghany Street on the South 430 feet of the East 1/8 of the Southwest Quarter Section 103, Rancho de la Nacion, providing the construction takes place outside the proposed extension of Reo Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 26 , 19 52

By.

198

FORM 2145

Application Received Commun. By	11-25-52
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	falike Sundy & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6	Hearing date
Decision appen.	Date 11 - 26 - 52
Decision $\pi_{f} p p$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 - 2\theta$	Building Inspector 12-1-12
Tlanning Commission /2 - J Petitioner	Health Department 13 - 1 - 02
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Same Shirt the pour and a state of the sta of which is a superior of the state of the superior and the superior whether here the superior and places with the transmission of a state provide the place. There have not antipleases is in the state of the provide the second second should be address stop the article and shake a first of the second second of the second secon and and service the start of the The state of the set of the part of the set of the Experimental and a second for the literal second second second second second second second second second second

1105 2014

and the state of a state the state of the state of the state

the set of the states in the second for the barreners

has been considered by the Zowing Carbittee

1. 1. J. 1.

WHEREAS, Approximation dated Nov. 14, 1952 WHEREAS, Approximation No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of xOrdinamoexNoxx8924xxasxamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6700, dated August 6, 1952, which amended Resolution No. 5650. dated June 27, 1951, which amended Resolution No. 5035, dated October 4, 1950, be emended as to the first three items to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Garl J. Hansen, owner of Teepee Trailer Park, to build and operate an additional 20 units in connection with the existing 54 units on the North 1/2 of Lot 4, Eureka Lemon Fract, Zone R-4, subject to the conditions listed on the attached sheet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100, New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nov. 24 , 19 52

FORM 2145

419

Application Received <u>11-14-52</u> By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $11 - 26 - 57$ By	
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 11-26	Hearing date
Decision amend. akks.	Date 11 - 2 6
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-28	Building Inspector 12 - 1 - 1'2
Planning Commission 12 - 1-12 Petitioner	11-28 Health Department 12 -1-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

CONSERVOR CONSERVE the state of the set of the state of the state of the state of the state of the Samper Property The of another per The sea performe per way in printing A 27. C - T the sector is the sector of the sector the sector the sector and the sector and the sector is a sector with a start to be a start de manuel at cospos contrat a tos successful an else then en. 2072, d. bon Tuter real, 1900, be and house the second second The presence of the second and the second second second and the second s the prime to get a the story process server alls not edwarmely affort the Marter Plan of the City Burn States the testra statute of the barrarouse' boursenant pe organ bridesta's theuze. (INC DE APR. 199 AND CALL

1. That the hedge within the area of the proposed new State Highway on the East end of the property be not required to be planted until within 90 days after the new fence is installed;

1

- 2. That the existing 5-foot chain link fence at the east end of the property be left in its present location until removed by the State; thus an enclosed play area for children will be temporarily maintained;
- 3. That the installation of the new fence along the new east property line be built before highway construction starts, and if the fence is not constructed by the State at that time, the owner will then construct it;
- 4. That a minimum area of 858 square feet and width of 22 feet for trailer units be permitted, if uniformity with existing units is maintained;
- 5. All roadways to be graded full width and surfaced with blacktop;
- 6. Exterior design of utility building to conform with existing buildings and be approved by the Zoning Committee;
- 7. That no portion be occupied until the newly constructed area is approved by the City Health Department and City Planning Department;

8. That this permit be for a period expiring on June 30, 1956.

Nov. 24, 1952

Res. No. 7015

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11293</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (seecSection 15, of Ordinance Noxx8924 xrasxamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Safeway Stores, Inc., purchaser, to build and operate a parking lot with zero setback on Ohio St., Lots 33 thru 44, Block 155, University Heights, west side of Ohio St. between Howard and Polk Aves., Zones R-4, to be used in connection with Safeway Store fronting on 30th Street; subject to the following conditions:

- That the entire parking lot to be paved; 1.
- That a 5-foot wall be constructed on the north and south ends of the parking lot to 2. within 15 feet of the property line on Ohio St. and continue with a 30-inch high masonry wall to the front property line on Ohio St. and extending along Ohio, with the exception of the entrances and exits, as shown on plat approved by the traffic engineer:
- That all ingress and egress openings, both on Ohio and 30th, to be approved by the 3. traffic engineer;
- That the parking lot in the R-4 zone not to be open later than 10:00 P.M. and all 4. lights to be out at that time; .
- Appropriate landscaping to be installed and maintained in good condition at all 5. times on Ohio Street side of the 30-inch high wall area.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Nov. 25 , 19_52 Dated___

FORM 2145

Secretary

77

Application Received 10-23-52 By	2. M - Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	- Lundy Salik & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6 Decision appen. conde.	Hearing date
Decision akky, coude.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 12-2-52
Planning Commission 1 - 2 - 52 Petitioner	$12 - 2 - 3^2$ Health Department $12 - 2 - 3^2$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the set of the set of

the first state of the state of the set of the particular first the state of the set of the set of the

the site of the second distance and the second and the second of the second of the

states of the second of the second second second of the second se

a sing of the part of the part

is and for all the second second of the same is showing the second by the second second in the second second

An and a second se

the for and write, and where on their captors for the track

COLVED, By the Fondry Committee of the City of San Disgo.

and and will not adversaria attout the Samuer Plan of the Diry

the is the regulator head, and will be a set with detriven as while strong wild with anter bully willost the benchus of sufficer of

and a set of the set of

And the second

in the second is the same same tor a both

ne and all and

LEL SEALE

. Rentracht

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11405</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (separation <u>15ref.Ordinance.Nov.8924, as amonded</u>): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>**not**</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>**not**</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter N. Jr. and Ann Lauter Dietzen to erect a residence with 11-1/2 foot setback on Wilcox Street, Lots 4 and 5 (encept the Southerly 66 feet). Block 3, Loma View, 784 Silvergate Ave., southwest corner Silvergate and Wilcox Street, Zone R-1C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 5 , 19 52

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>11-26-57</u> By	U. Berghts City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-3-52 By	<u>Planning</u> Com, City Plagning Department
	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-1	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A way we at the second of the state of the second solution of the second s

Be maniferend of a second of the second states, bebe if so 5 thereit the could see

sections and the state of the state state was a comparison per state and

agent ber here

The search of the second

La 367

IGI AL.

Proven de la se

LIBERT

definition and the evidence presented has shown (analyzing mon

conservation to the state of th

and the short for the on the solute to to the provide deration

DESCREP, By the Youang Committee of the City of San Blego,

and all not serverly effect the Meaner Plan of the Carry

and the conditional, and will get the materially deliterated to contraction will and the sectority affect the locatch or antery of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11343</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (**300 Section** 15 December 2010): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _________special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church in Los Angles, a corporation sole, to erect a parish house (to be used for church purposes) as first unit of entire church plant, on parcel without full street frontage, being Lot 1, Block 1, Chesterton Extension, and a portion of Pueble Lot 1198, per legal description on file in Planning Office, Northwesterly of intersection of extension of Shenandoah Drive and Oakwood Street, Zone R-1; subject to the following condition: that paved off-street parking be provided on private property at a ratio of one car for each ten persons.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3729 New Series, and Municipal Code 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated______, 19_52

FORM 2145

111 + 406A

By_
THE LEVEL Application Received _11-24-52 By 7. m= Connecc City Planning Department South Investigation made _____ By Lancas ter 7 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10 Hearing date_ Date Decision appo Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11 Building Inspector 12-12-52 Health Department 12 - 12 Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner 12-11 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to Dectade 1 12 - 12 - 12 - 10 - 01 - 10 10 to be and the second of the to the matter of the the the to the the to the the to the the to the to the the The provide the second states of the second states and the has not been been been and the Sel sol I V AT & DOLL, OL - STATE & LIGHT. and an and a second sec Nocal et al 11 11 1 1 1 1 and with the second the second second HARTE CALLER A LIN AND A 11.* . 2 2 (Taking) THE FULL & GULLANTS FIRST WITH FO Content . Bearing on the hearing a start of I finit Jul days ful 1994 March 1 T 1116 L 5 5 S C 1. SHI OL COM CTER 0 0 2200 10 Phase, spirit Million of Strand 195 0 The subset in health of second of 0 2 Hester whomes' powersering pl offices buobe upl 0 Frankler and and and and a

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15cof Ondinance No. 8924 crascamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Avin L. and Helen Cary to maintain continued use of three apartments on Villa Lot 19, Mission Hills, 4282 Sierra Vista, Zone R-1, on condition that the use of the third unit will be discontinued by one year from the date of this Resolution and an agreement be signed and recorded to that effect.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.060% be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 10 , 19 52 Dated____

FORM 2145

By

Application Received $11 - a + - 5z = B$	v F. m = Connell
Philadion received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 10 - 52$ B	V Loucaster + South
- conference	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision conde akpr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-1-2
Decision consider specific date	12-11-12 Health Department 12-12
Puear filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second second

LICESTAC

in the rights of the petitioner, possible of other property

and a second

THE SOLAR THE WALL AND THE THE DOLLAR THE DOLLAR THE

NO MONTH AND

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11335</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15/01/01/11ance/No//8924//as/amended</u>):101.0501 Municipal Code

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Avel and Margaret L. Rios to erect 6-foot high solid Redwood fence along north property line and 6-foot fence on top of 18 inch. concrete retaining wall on setback line in front of house, Lot 1, Block 5. Bay Park Vista No. 2, 3467 Borreson Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

December 10

420

_ , 19 52

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-3-52 B	y U. Beights City Planning Department
a Price Provide	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 10$ By	Laucaster + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Decision $Appr.$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>12-11</u> Planning Commission <u>12-12</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	12-11 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Solution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a second a species " above the state of the

1 3 4 1 1 2 4 1

MP1 2 9 . 7 * 7

TR PROPAGATION

Last I at a second

the point particulated by the science Section of the Section for the Section of t

and the second second of the second second

the Tening Committee of the City of Sam Dicke.

and the state of the state of bound, in the state of the

- The far ford and the stated h. Thes is a for the bush

- have consider

RESOLUTION NO. 7022

WHEREAS, Giocondo Caporaletti applied for a variance of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of San Diego on February 4, 1944,

AND WHEREAS, the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego granted said request on February 10, 1944, under Resolution No. 523.

AND WHEREAS, an agreement pertaining to the use of Lots 34 to 40, inclusive, Block 65, City Heights, was entered into by said Giocondo Caporaletti and recorded in the Office of the County Recorder,

AND WHEREAS, thereafter said Giocondo Caporaletti revised the plans of the proposed building, making the use of said Zone variance unnecessary,

AND WHEREAS, he had failed to notify the City Planning Commission of said change in plans until recently,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, that said Resolution No. 523 be REVOKED for nomuse and said Agreement be declared to be NULL and VOID.

ADOPTED....December 10, 1952

Res. No. 7022

RESOLUTION NO.

7023

Van

WHEREAS, Application Nov. 26, 1952

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jesse T. Davis and Emma R. Davis to maintain the fivecar garage with two apartments above, making nine units on the property, with 5-foot access court to the street, as permitted on Resolution No. 4260, dated November 2, 1949. Lots 1, 2 and 3, except the north 50 feet, Resub of Block 68, Arnold and Choate's Addition, 3977 Falcon Street, Zone C; on condition that the existing single family awelling on the front of the property will be removed from the premises within ninety (90) days from the date of this resolution; (No further extension will be granted) and that an agreement be signed and recorded to that effect.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____Dec. 10 ____, 19_52

By___

FORM 2145

Application Received // - 26 - 52	By Mail
-pplication Received	City Planning Department
	D , 1 , 1 ,
Investigation made $12 - 10 - 72$	By Lancaster + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10 Decision Comble 90 da.	Hearing date
Decision conde 90 da.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-1	2. Building Inspector 12-12-12
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	2 Building Inspector $\frac{12-12-\sqrt{2}}{12-12}$ Health Department $12-12-\sqrt{2}$
Deal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
Solution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
I Ime limit extended to	Date of action

134 .

-dimeton Talla

CLADEST, CAL

APILITON CONTRACTOR

THE ET HER WAY

LIGHT AND LODIE TO A STATE

and a second the second a second to the providence of the second

The second of the first the statistic we to the second second the second we are

a the second there and become a set the second party

and the will be a subscription from prosting and provide the states.

the start have be and report.

· example

the state of the state of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11419</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ________special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Shure Theatre Corporation to erect a snack bar and rest rooms in Midway Drive-In Theatre on portions of Lot 1 and 2, Pueblo Lot 219 and San Diego Electric Right-of-Way, Midway Drive and West Point Loma Blvd., Zones C and RC.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4468 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

245-

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Res. No. 7024

December 10 _____ 52

Secretary

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>11-28-52</u> B	y D. Banghuan
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12-10 - 12 B	Laucester + Sout
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	Building Inspector $1 \ge -1 \ge -5 \ge -5 \ge -5 \ge -5 \ge -5 \ge -5 \ge -$
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
"colution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The second states have been possibly the Distance of the states

The alternation of the second the second states and the second states a an other of the control of the show here and the second se

- W- LI COMMENT OF DORESOLD OF FORMER SHOULD THE FLAT FOR SAL OF

the second of the second daygether of the street a street but but

LINE STATE

Her protom

Statute:

Map Recount

20 1 17 17

10104790

CONSUMPT:

1002 24. ANS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11413</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15</u>/91/07dinan99/N9/18924/189/47/49/

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert A. Frost, Jr., owner, and Robert B. Wren, purchaser, to erect one single family residence on Easterly portion of North 75 ft. of Lot 3, Block 166, La Playa, legal description on file in Planning Office, Southwest corner of Rogers and San Dionicio Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ December 10 , 1952-

Secretary Res. No. 7025

Application Received $\frac{12 - 2 - 52}{B_1}$	y_ 2. M = Connell
Investigation made B	Lancester + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision $\mu \mu \mu$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{12-11}$ Planning Commission $\underline{12-12}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	12-11-JLHealth Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and application is a present for the processing to

A REAL PROPERTY AND

CHAPTER 151, 0501 Bankathi Lingold

a successful of the second problem of the second of the presence of the second of the

where a suffy real former on highly where the subject of

1. 6. 2

and the start of the

111 5 91

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert A. Frost, Jr., owner, and Robert B. Wren, purchaser, to erect a residence with 4-foot setback from Rogers Street on the Easterly portion of North 75 ft. Lot 3, Block 166, La Playa, legal description on file in Planning Office, Southwest corner of Rogers and San Dionicio Streets, Zone B-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 10 . 19 52

By_

Secretary

FORM 2145

Dated.

Application Received 12-2-52 B	y <u>7. m e Connell</u> City Planning Department
	-
Investigation made $2 - 10 - 52$ B	V Lancester South
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision a_{peper} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 \ge -11$ Planning Commission $1 \ge -12$ Petitioner Appeal filed with Cirv Clerk, date	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	12 -11 -12 Health Department 12 -12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
esolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action



WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11048</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15cof Ordinance No. 28924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to W. L. and Helen R. Berger to erect two living units on each of Lots 11 and 12, Block 2, La Huerta, lying east of 6020 Winchester Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 10 ____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By

Secretary	Res.	No.	7027

193

Application Received _12-2-52 B	y 7. m = Connell
	City Planning Department
	P series P and p
Investigation made 13-10 B	Jancaster South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision $\mathcal{L}_{planning}$ Committee $12-10$ Decision $\mathcal{L}_{planning}$ $\mathcal{L}_{planning}$ $\mathcal{L}_{planning}$ Commission $12-12$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk date	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	12-11-52 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council	Date
colution becomes effective	
Delication withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the second states of the second states of the second states of the second second

Local States

COLUMN TO A MARY TOWAR TOT (TOT)

142 .

LAGS 7

WHEREAS, Application No. 11388 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinancle No. 18924, and amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lyle E. and Norman G. Linder to erect a residence with 12-foot rear yard on Lot 3, Weston Terrace, East side of Olivet Street, 449.65 feet South of Exchange Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 10 , 19 52

By_

Secretary Res. No. 7028

FORM 2145

Application Received $12 - 2 - 52$ B	v F. m = Connell
pproduction received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 10$ B	
Investigation made B	y Saucester & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appl.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 - 11	Building Inspector 12 -12 - 52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{12 - 11}{Planning}$ Commission $\frac{12 - 12}{Planning}$ Petitioner	12-11 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Long to a first a strange of a series

a new provide the start of a second start and the second second

1. . . .

LUF !!!

LEWEST,

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11410</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16/of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Company, owner, to maintain residence with a 4-foot setback on Lot 529 Clairemont Unit No. 5, at west end of Huron Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 10

52

, 19____

By

406

Secretary Res. No. 7029

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received $12 - 2 - 52$ B	V. H. Beights
Thursday a second of the second secon	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 10 - 5^2$ By	Lancaster +
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-11}{Planning}$ Commission $\frac{1-12}{Planning}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	12-11 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

TO AT 11 FOR TOP STATES OF THE STATES

the set of the

the second property we at the second se

the many carse of a state were not at the state of the state of the state of

the state of the s

the same and

will advertely alfact the Muster Flan of the Gity

ALL STREET 1 . 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 A. 27 1.98 X -Tave sie in

J. HRKST L

л ^{Та}г

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11409</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/Ordinance/Nø//8924//as/amended)/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Company to maintain residence with 3-foot sideyard as shown on plot plan on file in Planning Office, on Lot 529, Clairemont Unit No. 5, west end of Huron Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ December 10 ____ , 19_52

4 \$10

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-2-52 By	V. N. Berghts
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 10$ By	L'ancaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Obv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12 -12 -J-2
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner /	Health Department 12 - 12
Ppeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	0110 - abell' harmelt and wahren aberta
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

in a start the there is the spill regard to all the second such with

and a service of the second second second second second second second

the By the Zoning Committee of the City of Son Diego.

in the interimed, and will got he waterially detrimental to

The state of the s

CONTRACT SHIER OF Barney and The Const.

1.1.1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11411</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mike G. Timekles to remove existing store building and construct new front, side and rear walls and roof, en Lots 15 and 19, Elock 73, Ocean Beach, 5026 Newport, Zone C; and to construct an additional unit on the second floor; the second floor apartment in rear to remain as it now is, making four units with a 6-foot access court, three units with zero side yard and one unit with 3-foot side yard; subject to the approval of plans by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ Dec. 10 ____, 19 52

By_

FORM 2145

Application Received	By 7. m & Connecc City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 10$	By Laucaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _/ 2	- 10 Hearing date
Decision Akker.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /	$2 - 11$ Building Inspector $12 - 12 - \sqrt{2}$
Planning Commission 12-12 Peti	Date $2 - 11$ Building Inspector $12 - 12 - \sqrt{2}$ itioner $12 - 11 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $12 - 12$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5 S - 5 - 7

. The boar relate to the property described

a service of the service of the second state of the second s a the second second and the second second second states a second states and the second second states and the second second

and The same data to a

. It is the second with the which will the set

1223 . adverted 5 allows the Manual Plant of the Cuby

Construction of the provided have shown (Look-Bergan

and the

The service of a

and approximation of the

" Here is a construction of the second Participation in the second

OF SAME

AND THE PARTY AND

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Josephine Robbins, owner, and Harold W. Blase, purchaser, to erect 6-unit apartment, making a second building on the lot, with 9-foot access court, on Lots 12 and 13, Block 49, University Heights, 4531 Alabama, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

1. That five off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property; 2. That plans to be approved by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 10 , 19 52

By_

13

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received	2-4-52 By U. Beights City Planning Department
Invest is 1	10 By Sancester + South City Planning Department
restigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Con	
Occision 6 As Incl	Date
NUMBER OF DACOUNTING CONT TO	City Clerk <u>12-11</u> Building Inspector <u>12-12-5</u>
anning Commission / -	Petitioner /2 // Se Health Department /2 / 2
Ppeal filed with City Clerk Decision of Council	
solution becomes effective	re
Linkon withdrown	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Contraction of the second s	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
	the test of the shift of the stand in the second of the
10° 20 2 1	a way has she i received and received
	the second second and an and an interaction of the second se
Charles to the	and the second
Lésenset es la	and the second particular on the lot with e-there are a second particular of the second
2012077 7 1 7	The second second the Applied of the Applied and Applied at Lang.
BULLI	
LINESED CH-	1. The Zening Committee of the City of Son Blego.
	service Sol advarsaly affact the Marter Flow of the Cley
	to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
21.92 11-	the relative to a materially after the health or aufory of
	second sights of the petitioder, perseased by which property
ards here	of the architection is becausedy for the presentation
	which which do not apply generally to other property in the same to
	and attraction or conditions applicable to the property
MICHANNER STATES	(starraw Canada tol. cgcl)
	has been considered by the Zoeing Committee
	a life in the been encertained by the Tenior Creating

Sector Sold Fater

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11417</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George L. and Mary Jane Hawkins to construct a 14-foot by 20-foot addition to drycleaning plant, and to install 50 h.p. boiler to replace present 35 h.p. boiler, on Lots 23 and 24, Block 196, University Heights, Northeast corner Florida and University Ave., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the hours of operation to be from 6:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.;
- 2. That there will be no Sunday operations;
- 3. That there will be a maximum number of 18 employees:

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 10 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 7033

Ppy of Resolution sent anning Commission / Ppeal filed with City Cl ecision of Council	to City Clerk / 2 - / 2 Petit erk, date	Date $\Delta - / /$ Building Inspector $/ \partial - / \partial - \sqrt{2}$ tioner $/ \partial - / /$ Health Department $/ \partial - / 2$
esolution becomes effect Pplication withdrawn		Continued to
me limit extended to		Date of action
	Y.	
	in the second	our an third relief by the property standing above.
ALL STORES ()		there we are by the la hereit of an are the
		to many of it will start i
and a second sec	a such ser	207 20 100 630 k ALE 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
		THE PART AND A PARTY AND AND A PARTY AND A
LULA STUDIT		the sector in the sector for the sector sector and
	A CONTRACTOR OF	a we find that the there we have the second
		olart, and for in this of boy, will be to restrop
Enorghton -		the long bury discliminates to children in Landant
		a stand house manification for east the side of a land
LIEBER COM		the Zoning Committee of the City of Bon Diego.
		111 July " equested & attach the process him of the City
		the property of improvements in the nextlements
		neighborhood, and will De mercially dore partiel to
		statil not mererially affact the bealth of safety of
		ity close of the peritioner, possessed by actes property.
	<u>č</u>	
		dich do not apply generally to other property in the spee
		al circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
L'AN STRATE		(Yes (1921 - Unite 101.0 (01)
		and the evidence presented has shown I we but but
	151171	hen been considered by the Zooine Cornittee

Application Received 12-3-52 B	y <u>J. Baughman</u> City Planning Department
	Cify Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Laucaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector $1a - 12 - \sqrt{2}$
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	12-11 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THE REAL OF

53. ·

n

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11415</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Nun. Code 10110501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur W. and Berniece Coltrain to erect fireplace and chinney with 25-inch side yard to existing residence with 4-foot side yard, Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 3, Center Addition to La Jolla Park, 7567 High Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 10 ____, 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Application Received $\frac{12-2-52}{2}$ E	v F. M & Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 10$ E	By Lancaster & South
	City Planning-Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 -10	Hearing date
Decision appro	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{12}{12} - \frac{11}{12}$	Building Inspector 12-12-12
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	12-11 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

.....

an and hart of a

A LALANCE FI.

A THE AT STATES Tan Mitsit's -.

Statistics 1

BAR LON DOTO TOTOTT

and in my that we be be use prove sty longy bed plays.

it is the second tot the second of the present of the

a fill the second second as post read to second film place and

RESOLUTION No. 115375

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the recommendation of the City Planning Commission, filed in the office of the City Clerk on November 19, 1953, under Document No. 480536, to grant permission to W. Scott Cook, 2546 "A" Street, for a final extension of six months time on a special building permit to split the easterly portion of Block 2, Park Addition, southeast corner of 29th Street and Nutmeg Street, into two portions and erect a single family residence on each portion, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby adopted, and said extension of time is hereby granted.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a of the Council of the City of San Diego	full, true, and correct copy of Resolut as adopted by said CouncilNOV.	24, 1	
	FR	ED W.	SICK City Clerk
	By	LEN M.	WILLIG
M 1270	Бу		Deputy.

Res 7035

RESOLUTION No. 112416

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the recommendation of the City Planning Commission, filed in the office of the City Clerk on June 1, 1953, under Document No. 469942, to grant permission to W. Scott Cook, 2546 A Street, for a six month extension of time on a special building permit to split the easterly portion of Block 2, Park Addition, southwest corner of 29th Street and Nutmeg Street, into two portions and erect a single family residence on each portion, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby adopted, and said extension of time is hereby granted.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council June 4, 1953

	FRED W. SICK	
	City Clerk LA VERNE E. MILLE	R
By	Deputy.	-

RESOLUTION No. 109951

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Council of The City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of MILO A. YOURL, M. D., from the decision of the Zoning Committee, (Resolution No. 7035, dated December 10, 1952) granting permission to Dr. John B. Smith, May K. Smith and Scott Cock to split the easterly portion of Block 2, Park Addition, at the southwest corner of 29th and Nutmeg Streets, into two portions and to erect a single family residence on each portion, be denied, and that the action of the Zoning Committee be upheld, subject to the following conditions:

- That a 10-foot setback, or the average setback, whichever is greater, be observed on Nutmeg Street;
- 2. That the owner of the property sign and acknowledge an agreement with The City of San Diego to the effect that not more than one residence will be built upon each parcel.

ORDINANCE No. RESOLUTION No. 109951 ADOPTED P. 1953 FRED W. SICK, CITY CLERK By / len m. Mille 14N I C 1953 Presented by CITY PLANUTUG DE6. PPROVED as o form by J. F. DuPAUL, City Attorney,

Deputy City Attorney.

By

has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No._ of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Scott Cook, purchaser, and Dr. John B. Smith, owner, to split the Easterly portion of Block 2, Park Addition (per legal description on file in Planning Office), southwest corner of 29th and Mutmeg, into two portions and erect a single family residence on each portion as shown on plat submitted and on file in Planning Office, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed - hearing 1-8-53 Agreement # 783

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 10 . 19 52 Dated_

By

58

Secretary Res. No. 7035

FORM 2145

Application Received E	v U. Beighto
11	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 10$ E	sy Laucaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision cppr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Decision $cppr$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12-11$ Planning Commission $12-12$ Petitioner	12-11-52 Health Department 12-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and . West Wards

NUTmeg 5 4 3 9 6 8 2 11 10 7 2 110. to grad here jon 12.0 20100000 10 2 1.11 * when he was they dog 7.0.80 14-3 4434 7 1 Lauran Do Distances 1 ut in 1 TET Ü The Tel Tel Tel Time . 6 2017 P TUTE L - E 1. 1. . . . 1 t 11 11.11 · PI BERN ON 10 2 -41 2 DEFUTE D 1 . · -(Sala 1 - 15 15 0 24 1813 0 K Marking Oly \$ od will the materially corocrotiz enters the peaking or 01551.01 10073 1 11 boostellaned ph original the bestander 15410 02 12.011446160. 19 111 LANDMAL OLAWS TO a do not apply guidentity to school or openty it the second create pauche or additions applicable to the property (are huge code and der) 10 2 2 2 2 18 20 1 50 1" MAPLE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11399</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially, affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe L. Stanton, trustee, to construct four single family residences with 15-foot rear yard on portions of Pueble Lots 1250, 1256 and 1259, per legal description on file in Planning Office, north side of Torrey Pines Road, east of Ardath Road, Zone R-1B; subject to the condition that a 35-foot rear yard will be observed on the parcel of land adjacent to the north in Pueblo Lot 1250, according to plat on file in Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 10 _____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 7036
Application Received//	-21-52 By DESouth	a Trank
represented	City Planning Department	
Investigation made	12-10 By Saucaster + South	
anvestigation made	City Planning Department	-
Considered by Zoning Con	mittee <u>12-10</u> Hearing date	_
Decision conde ap	City Clore (2 - 10)	
Planning Commission	City Clerk <u>12-12</u> Building Inspector <u>12-12-5</u> 	-
Appeal filed with City Clerk	, date Council Hearing, date	-
Decision of Council	Date	_
Resolution becomes effectiv	e Continued to	
Time limit extended to	Date of action	-
The second s		
and an and a second	the second statement of the	
	and the second	
the barrie 7 5 7 1	and the stand of the set of the s	
- A by mouth of the second	a souther that the real of the bay and to hereby granted a	
The second print :	the still a succession of the succession of a succession of	
THE ARTY PRACE	tor ordered we make any one branch in threate tor	
2 ton p or	and a strate to the apprilation prove a li-tops were	
the for a straight		st.
The interior -	a the second through the point for the second s	11
a state of the second se		
TELEVIOL		
THERE'S CH		
	will adversaly affect the Maater Flag of the Co	
	at the property or improvements in the perchand.	
	with materially affect the health or entery.	
	the property fights of the petitioner, personned by other property	
	the property of the start of th	
	representation of conditions applicable to the proper	
All	and the sale the thight the fille par open to get the	
	hes been considered by the Foring Compliti	

...

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11423</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nor: 8924; vas vamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alonzo Jessop to split out two lots, each 85 feet by 145 feet, of portion of Pueblo Lot 172, per legal description and plat on file in Planning Office, and erect a single family residence on each, both lets facing on a private easement, near Gage Drive and Bangor Street, Zone R-10; subject to the following condition:

That a 40-foot easement for street purposes be offered to and accepted by the City. Said easement to be an extension of Bangor Street, located in Cresta Real, over and across Pueblo Lots 172 and 173 to the Southerly side of the subject property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 10 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7037

+ 1 Jac · By_DESouth Application Received _12-5 52 City Planning Department ancaster & Sout! Investigation made _____ By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10 Hearing date Decision conte uppr Date 12-10 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-12 Building Inspector 12-12-Planning Commission 12 -12 Petitioner 12 -12 Health Department 12-12 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action 5 Ø 10,17* Contactor of the contractor and · · · 20 170 1. . . . the that is percent a ready STATES 7 TO BE THE WAY THE POLY AND THE TO BE TO BE THE 2001 021 1 The second of the part of the second the second second the second ny 21. • • • • 7 and the set is no set of the set of a set of the set of the THE ST. and prost Kan all the start of the · · · - THE MOUNT FOR THE THERE an and a cit was guint encent. In a 11 .1. 77 I'VE T RECONT FIND OUT ATT OUT THE THE a toot or soon interior re THE A PERSON AND THE CONTRACT OF THE PARTY O ESSOF THE COMPLETED OF THE CITY OF SHE DESC 1 A GARDAN PARTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DE any mouth for the set "presidented". second, and will glob be under fairs date transit to the state of the second second second of the second at signa of the heprison becaused by other because widerterrow at the second decompany in the last he can her and the provider of the or the subject some dry is to even how with our pair man the marks of completent thirteners, po and buildings ANC & CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPTIO Service the service of the second state of the service of the second service of the second seco 112331555555 N

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11437</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15-of-Oodinance-Nors-8924-cascamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd R. and Nellie G. Brenn, and Lloyd G. and Esta Lee Brenn, to divide the Northeast 200 feet of the Southeast 435.6 feet of Lot 9. Block 18, Encanto Heights, Westerly corner of Klauber Ave. and Wren Street, into three building sites. Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 52 Dec. 10 Dated___

FORM 2145

By_

154

Secretary

Res. No. 7038

Application Received $12 - 8 - \sqrt{2} B_{2}$	7. me Connecl
A Production of the second s	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Jancaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $12 - 10$	Hearing date
Decision afeter.	Date
Decision $afefer$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 11$ Planning Commission $2 - 1 >$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Building Inspector 12-12-52
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner	12-11-52 Health Department 12-12-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
I ime limit extended to	Date of action

ALL LOL LINE

2

the material and will JMCS, be welettelly dereleaned to and have reterially affect the braith of setting of

(and bus. 0000 103.0001)

28 X00 18 15005.10

1-216210 L.C.

Tes Incion in

The states and the - - - - - Grade riterit

a transmo fo f a state a second to the test of the de its to the mention as any far and the

. white he was a second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11438</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Octimance No. 2924, as camended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lloyd R. and Nellie G. Brenn, and Lloyd G. and Esta Lee Brenn, to erect two residences with 10-foot setback on Klauber Avenue, on the Northeast 200 feet of the Southeast 435.6 feet of Lot 9, Elock 18, Encanto Heights, Westerly corner of Klauber Ave. and Wren Street, Zone R-2; on condition that any construction on Wren Street will observe a 15-foot setback.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 10 ____, 1952

By _____

154

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-8-52 By	7. mª Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-10-52</u> By	Sancester & South
	City Planning Department
	ring date
	e 12-10-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11 Bui	Iding Inspector 12-12-52
Planning Commission 12-12 Petitioner 12-	11-52 Health Department 12-12-52
	ncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council Dat	e
Resolution becomes effective	
	tinued to
Time limit extended to Dat	e of action

the section of the section of the section of the section we show the to be the buildings of the section of a workings to the state of the solution of the solution of the sould be sould be

A there are the state of the state of the solution of the state of the solution of the state of

the product to the total of the deal of the book and the book on the book of the service in a real of the start of the will be from the line of the start of the sta

Alastana

12 LILL (LEG LDE COMP TOT GOOTS)

the originations, and will make be survey with issues to sets will rok materially offers the beater or safely of

man and a subscreets affect the Mantus Plane of the Ride

Self. 011+ 1103

and substances of the second state of the source and the second state of the second st

LED TAL PLAN

- shine a shine a so

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Emil and Daisy M. Dobler to construct store room addition to existing non-conforming building; addition to have zero sideyard, with 66% lot coverage on Lots 12 and 13, Block 175, San Diego Land and Town Co.'s Addition on Northerly side of Logan Ave. between Evans and Sampson Streets, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

By___

Application Received <u>12-8-52</u> B	y U. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12-10</u> B	Lancaster & South
9	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision appr-	Date
Decision appr- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11 Planning Commission	Building Inspector 12-12-1-2-
i anning Commission / 1 - / 2 I etitioner	12 -11- 1 Treatin Department 12 -12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

and and the second and the web she that the second s a service of the second second second second second second

s and the second second and a second the second second second the second state and the property of the second state of the secon

Printer and

1882 July 1

and statistic in the Perry

. . .

A PROPERTY ALL

PLANDER SAL

and a staff a second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11360</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Sackian <u>bookCordinance:Wooc8924;crascamended</u>): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to the San Diego Baseball Club, by Wm. Starr, purchaser, and John and Maxine Grier, and Goldfarb-Berman, owners, to build and operate a baseball park, with a maximum seating capacity of 15,000, and parking facilities for 2500 automobiles, on a portion of Lot 4, Partition of Pueble Lot 1105, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on the north side of Mission Valley Road, west of Cabrillo Freeway, Zone R-1A.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby <u>DENIED</u> as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_52

By_

Vou

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-12-52 By	U. Beights
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made $/2 - 10 \neq /2 - 12$ By	P + P 1 1 1'
Investigation made By	1 Laucacler Dundy Salik
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-10	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date
Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-15	Building Inspector 12 - 15 - 52
Flanning Commission /2 -11 Petitioner	12-15-12 Health Department 12 -15-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second s I'd blog for a little of the sector of the property of the sector of and the

A THE THE STAR BOOM, HARE AS A DELLA DE CARGE, an an analysis has live have been dereries on sile in All of a . The call muchilage mentaletter for 1900 multipriction.

1 A state in the second state of the second state is a factor of the second state o

1 100 176 100 H TTT 2 (07) server and the evidence prevented has anow (nothing source

West Mar Trail

I Charles to the second

Star Tar The M

1415

THEFT OF TO

LIE SEL TIT

WHEREAS, Application No. 11401 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15x9fxOrdinancerMarx2924yxaxxamended): (see Mun. Code 10b.0501)

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. and Lillian B. Baldridge, owners, and Sc. Calif. District of Latheran Chuch Missouri Synod, purchaser, to construct church and educational buildings, pastor's residence, playground and off-street parking let, per plot plan on file in Planning Office, on all of the South half of the Southeast quarter of Lot 16, except the South 180 feet, of Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, Southwest corner Beech and Buclid Ave., Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- That all improvements be installed according to the recommendations of 1. the Engineering Dept. on the 25 feet granted to the City for the widening of Beech Street;
- 2. That a 10-foot easement be granted to the City for the widening of Buclid Ave.;
- 3. That a 6-foot chain link fence be erected around the playground;
- That paved, off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the 4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars nits fon above insofan as they relate to the property described above. revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 24 , 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Roman Salt Martin By o . Daugs .52 Application Received 12 - 2ty Planning Department d'ancistes Jones 24-52 By_ investigation made City Planning Department Hearing date_ Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24 Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-52 12-26- J-Health Department 12 Petitioner Planning Commission 12 - 29 -24-V Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to pplication withdrawn Date of action me limit extended to THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE DESCRIPTION OF the second s the state which is the time of a state of the state of the state of 2171 T I DEAL THE PE FOR LOUIS IN TROUBLE OF - ----- und the to the the state of the the state of the second second - THE TROM THREE OF THE the new part of discount. 281.21 D17 7 7 and the second out of the second second and more through you' that . 712 -76 -VIL CONCEPT AND DO. T LEAST T. T. SV ALDRIDGE CONCERCION. KARTAL LING OL SPACED The squareeth elines as No users prosperce WELL'S THE PERSONNEL TH au () + rate la la la la la maral au merestally affact for health or subjety of A while of the berighters. there and ph other he should be services in the second for the branch you which and which a dumung the priver by po maberry the sub-research in many restant sharp to 11 Sauce (was note in adde nos 6201) (8 100

RESOLUTION No. 113203

Application No. 11395

ULIEDEAC

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the request of Pacific Home, a corporation, for a 6 month extension of time on Resolution No. 110041, adopted on January 13, 1953, which said Resolution granted Pacific Home permission to convert and maintain a portion of the existing hotel as an infirmary as an integral part of a proposed home for retired people, being all of Seaside Subdivision, 849 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby granted.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be f the Council of the City of San Die	the above to be a full, true, and co	d correct considered said Counc	correct copy of Resolu said CouncilJul			No. 1953	-		
	City of San Diego, a	3 44-7			FREI		SICK		
					LA	VERNE	E.	City Clerk MILLER	

Deputy.

lan 2043

RESOLUTION NO. 110042

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

The matter of statement that a fair hearing had not been held by the Zoning Committee in connection with the Pacific Home variance, including request that those who make charges in such matters furnish evidence thereof, be, and it is hereby referred to Council Conference. $\mathcal{R}a\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}}$

J hereby certify the above to be a full, to the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by sa	rue, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>110042</u> id Council Jan. 13, 1953
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG
	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO. 110041

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

The appeal of La Jolla Casa de Manana, Corp., owner, and Pacific Home, purchaser, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 7043 permission to convert and maintain a portion of the existing hotel as an infirmary as an integral part of a proposed home for retired people - being all of Seaside Subdivision - at 849 Coast Boulevard, in Zone R-4, be and it is hereby sustained, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

I hereby certify the above to be a fu	ill, true, and corre	ect copy of Resolution No
f the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted b	y said Council	FRED W. SICK
	D	City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG
	By	Deputy.

RESOLUTION NO.

7043

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11395</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see See Man. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to La Jolla Casa de Manana, Corp., owner, and Pacific Home, purchaser, to convert and maintain a portion of the existing hotel as an infirmary, as an integral part of a proposed home for retired people; all of Seaside Subd., 849 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ Dec. 24 ____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 7043

348

Application Received 12-1- 5-2 By	7. In & Connell
Illustration recorded	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	one dancaster + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $12 - 24$	Hearing date
Decision deniel	Date $12 - 2y - y^2$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12-29-5-
Planning Commission 12-29 Petitioner	12-26 Health Department 12-29
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Formit mailor in the second state of the second sec

manual is to include the state of a set about, interimental first siles to the property lie-

A TO I TO I THE MANY OUT OF THE STANDAR SO. THEN TO, THE IS SAMADA

adversaries affects the many states of the side

XA6. 36. 7945

suturially affress the Dealth accountry a saturially intrinsates of the second second

and a second of the protocol of beaution of the beaution of the second o

construction and charts substrated and produced in the same

A set of the constituted of the fourth sectors (and Sectors and a set of the sectors of the sectors (and Sectors (and Sectors))

- 465 200 g - 200 . .

THEY TO FTON

Martin and

ALL KIN

.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11321</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15x5 Ordinance Nov 8224 maxamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to La Jolla Development Company to erect a 7 ft. by 4 ft. double-faced free-standing sign and one sign, 2 feet by 1 foot, on Pueblo Lot 1255, 6461 La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-4, but -

Permission is hereby granted to erect two approximately 3-1/2 ft. by 6-1/2 ft. singlefaced signs on the north and south sides of existing buildings, not to project out beyond the face of buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0406 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 24 , 19_52

Secretary

337

and the second sec	
Application Received / 2 - 2 3	- J-2 By D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12 - 24 - 1	-2 By Iman Source to I South
investigation made	-2 By Jones Cancaster & South / City Planning Department
	12-24 Hearing date
Decision Denich	, Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Cle	erk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-12
Planning Commission $/2 - 29$ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _	Petitioner 12-26-52 Health Department 12-29 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
to any borrie i i i i i i	and the second that relate to the property described about
A LINKOW I I	the most start and any part of games and the second and
brief the store of a first	
The second se	with withou of entering buildings, and in project out
	to as of two environmentals 3-1/2 st. by G-1/2.21. clopic-
CTI PR.NOTT	
putojoni (del si e si se el-	m and the attent 2 forth by h gent, on the ship for 1 24.
somisauton i se se se se se se se	the solid development company to area to 7 for an above
THERIZ	
	second visits of the partitioner, gradened by other property
	a provide the state of the medianers for the presentation
	a build would the sound work where we have the
	to settime a or conditions spationly to the property
	the state of the state of the state of the state of the
	The set out That Court
	and the explorer presented by a prost (consider trans.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11320</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to La Jolla Development Company to erect a sign with sere setback where 15 ft. is required, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1258, 6461 La Jolla Blvd., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary Res. No. 7045

FORM 2145

Application Received $10 - 24 - 52$ B	v U. Beighte
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 52$ By	y Jones Lancaster & South
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision denich	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission 12 - 29 - J-Petitioner	12-26-5- Health Department 12-29-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	ALL THE TRACE OF T
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

appropriate and the second of the second state and Per est alors in the set of the s

A JAR CZER LUCA LE MAN

Lines, C

the station part is the property for the possible the Tog Ants mmerszenth

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10991</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. C. M. Crawford and Dr. E. E. Nichols to erect a four family apartment building on Lots 1 and 2, Block 14, La Jolla Strand, with 52% coverage, Neptune Place, Palomar Street and Vista Del Mar Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinanc N . 13294 and Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated <u>December 24</u>, 19<u>52</u> FORM 2145

Application Received 10 - 8 - 52	By F. Mc Comell
Illustration resourced	City Planning Department
Investigation made 12.24-12	By Jonas Kancaster & South City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 24	A Hearing date
Decision Nepr.	Date 12:24-1-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /2	Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission 12-29 Petition	er 12-26-52 Health Department 12-27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

EVELOPERATE IN THE REPORT OF ALL AND A

and a second second that the second second second second second

has been considered by the Zoning Convertee

Constant in the

LINESSING

There are a set of the set

FNO MANDET L. C. SALAS - N. M.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10944</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second second sec

- 1. That there are _________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Esther E. Bradberry to operate a real estate office in residence on Lot 1, Block 107, Roseville, 3046 Lowell Street, Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the minimum required sign be permitted in the window;
- 2. That this permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7047

Application Received	By
-pp. cation received	City Planning Department
	$h \rightarrow t + l \cdot l$
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 52$	By Jones, Laucaster + South
	By Jones, Laucaster & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date 12 - 24 - 5-2
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 - 2	6 Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission 12-29 Petitione	er $1_2 - 2_6 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $1_2 - 2_9 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the short he will not a second state by
Application withdrawn	Continued to

and the soul

Time limit extended to Date of action , which we have a second of the second of the states of the product of the product described No and Tollow De S 1 11 7 and the long of the second of the second sec and the second second . . . stored in property or improvements in the neighborhood. prices book and will wide be materially detrieved to with with waterially affaut the bealth of safety of is the state of the second sec

7048

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11427</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (specific 15, of QC dinance No. <u>8924</u> and the evidence presented has shown (specific termination)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph W. and Eleanor G. Tarnowski to split out three parcels from portion of Pueble Lot 1191, per legal description on file in Planning Office, and erect one single family residence on each parcel, southwesterly corner of Lister Street and Burgener Elvd., Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That these lots to be included in subdivision of Clairemont Terrace and
- known as Lots 1, 2 and 3; 2. That improvements to be installed per requirements of Council Resolution
- No. 10955/; 3. That this property not to be sold until final map is filed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 24 , 19 52

Ву____

403

Secretary Res. No. 7048

FORM 2145

Stor ye Sont By Application Received 12-11-52 City Planning Department Investigation made 12-24-52 By Faucaster vne City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 24 Hearing date 12-24-52 Decision Date apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-12 Petitioner 12 - 26 - 12 Health Department Planning Commission 12-29 12-29-52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to ·L for the top to the state of the 2 A 17 760 2 17 inter the my main (A) CI3 THOUL the start of the second second 12 4 2 V 10 3 + 1 Las - Son a 1 - a 1 : 1 5.K. G.C 1 Ven l'actura prima: The state of the second of the second ser and be were - cold from the set of The state of the state of the state C Gen In A Manual TTT' DED TH OS 69.22 TYPE ST. " Dozenski ph orper 's obsers' the next monthly have a first and the state of the states and concer of the short short and the first beauties a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11439</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sol N. and Pearl S. Tintinger to cut into two parcels and build single family residence on each parcel, Lets 21, 22 and 23, Block 131, Pacific Beach, Northwest corner Missouri and Olney Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 24 52

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 7049

Ata, .

1001

Distant?

LREW ,

Colling to Martin

South

a state of a state with the state of the

and the property of a product of an and the second se

and the second hands and the second states and the second states and the second of the second secon

network and the second s
12-29-5-2
Department 12 - 29
e
the state of the state of the state of the

By

V. The

Rto

	ppication Received	Lity Planning Department
-	Investigation made $12 - 24 - 1^{-2}$	By Jones Saucaster +
l		City Planning Department
l	Considered by Zoning Committee 12-	24 Hearing date
I	Decision apeper.	Date 12 - 24 - v- 2

Application Received _____

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11127</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. A. Dewhurst to erect an addition to garage, with no sideyard and no rear yard; addition to be 8 ft. 2 inch by 24 feet on portion of Pueblo Lot 1281 bounded by northerly prolongations of the east and west boundaries of Lot 1, Block 38, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 6, Map No. 2147, and by the north boundary of said Lot 1 and a line parallel with and lying 60 ft. northerly of said north boundary, 8449 El Paseo Grande, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1952____, 1952____, 1952____, 1952____, 1952____, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952______, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952______, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____, 1952_____,

Secretary

Res. N . 7050

me Connell Application Received 12-10-42 By_ SR. City Planning Department Investigation made 12-24-52 By lones auche City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24 Hearing date_ Decision appr. Date 12-24-52 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-12 Petitioner 12.26-J2Health Department 12.29-J2 Planning Commission 12-29 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action S. RANDE 1 1 6 7 5 5 4 5 M MARTH EWHURS a W. Bulleton 10 AL 20 LA . CALLEOPIMA And In States" Part of the second second second ASE the Foning Committee of the Cally of San Diego. Leib Rite the product of an independent of the production proof." "telessional, and will _ And _ be materially derrimental to will with materially affect the bealth of salary of concer of the peristance, passessed by other property a station is a presently for the preservotion the second apply the second of the second pression of the second contemporate of comparison application to the property 11/1 Charpen the antidence providing has shown (and short ton

Carl Martin Carl

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11449</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto J. and Grace Reuter to erect addition to non-conforming garage, having one foot sideyard; addition to conform to existing garage on Northeasterly 40 feet Lot 8, Block 3, Marine View, 3646 Jackdaw Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By.

Application Received 12-12-52 By U	· IScights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	P + - littl
Investigation made By	el Lancaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24 Hearing	g date
Decision appr. Date	12-24-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 -26 Buildin	g Inspector 12 - 29 - 5-2
Planning Commission 15 - 29 Petitioner 12-2	6 -12 Health Department 12 -29 -50
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council	Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date	ATTLE CLED THE REAL PLAN AND ADDRESS
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continu	ied to

Pplication withdrawn Time limit extended to

LUNER, CO.

Printing 1

and the second second the state and

Bu

Date of action

V. Bacht

14 March

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11451</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/01/01/01/01/0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Otto J. and Grace Reuter to erect addition to nonconforming garage with no setback; addition to conform to existing garage. Northeasterly 40 feet Lot 8, Block 3, Marine View, 3646 Jackdaw Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 24

FORM 2145

By_

16

52

, 19

Application Received $12 - 12 - 52$ By	U. Beights
-ppheation received	City Planning Department
	DIVO COMBILIZA
Investigation made $2 - 2 + \sqrt{2}$ By	Jones Laucaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 12-24-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission 12-29-52 Petitioner	12 - 26 - 52 Health Department $12 - 29 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	and the second

224410301-1-1-1

T ATLL + 1

CAUGE

AHEKI,

successful and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

press will will meterially affect the pastitum that at of

Personal and a second second

the second s and the second Change in a second second second second second second in the second seco
11425 WHEREAS, Application No. _ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Work 8924 and amended (see Mun. Code 101.0501) 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will __ hot be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wallace B. and Cecelia Ruiz Bell to construct a building for tile business, on the Northerly 100 feet of Lot 6, Market Street Knolls, South side Market Street between 45th and 47th Streets, Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- That all tile to be stored entirely within the building; 1.
- That a maximum of 10 tons of sand to be stored in a bin in the rear: 2.
- That a 6-foot solid fence to be erected on the east and west sides of the lot; 3.
- That no portion of business to be conducted in the R-2 portion of lot. 4

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 101.0411 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

52

161

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dec. 24 , 19 Dated_

Secretary 7053

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-10-52 B	y Q. Baughman Gry Planning Department
Investigation made <u>12 - 24 - 52</u> B	Jones Lancaster & South
Considered by Zoning Committee $12 - 24$ Decision a ferral Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 - 26$	Hearing date Date 12-24-V2 Building Inspector 12-29-V2
Planning Commission 12-29 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	12 - Peartin Department 12 - 24-52
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ZENTS SALAN

101-11-11-1

Clearly ID

nine starter and 7

Mary Providence

.

TRAIL C (24 4

ATTENT, CL

the second from callet to the property second and always

and service and by the spongers is the Same marked of the service and the set and an an analysis and a point of the set of

and the second second

Performant and the second state of the second state and the second s

The second state and the second state of the second

A PER PINE IN IS IS IS A DAY (BEL)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11460</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15:50 OF Ginance No. 5924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to J. C. A. and Bernice E. Harding, owners, and Frank and Thelma J. Bompensiero, purchasers, to construct a single family residence with zero setback on Harbor View Drive and 15 foot setback on Martinez, the Southerly 75 feet of Lots 13, 14 and 15, except the Easterly 20 feet of the Southerly 75 feet of Lot 15. Block 6, Golden Park, Northeasterly corner Martinez and W. Harbor View Drive, Zone R-L.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____ Dec. 24 ____, 19_52

By

FORM 2145

Application Received 12 - 18 - 12 B	7. mc Connecl
Application Received $12 - 18 - 12$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -$	Jones cancacter touth
	V
Considered by Zoning Committee 12.24	Hearing date
Decision denied	Date 12-24
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12 - 29 - 5-2
Planning Commission 12-29 Petitioner	12-26 Health Department 12-29-52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Permittensen i Trensen i Louis auf Termites i Extrinte onner auf formit mit Theires i Trensen i Trensen i Trensen en termit versionere price provins Matter i Trensen i Trensen estimole en termiter, the Drug, side of termit Trensen i Trensen i Trensen estimole en termiter, the Drug, side of termit Trensen for estimater i trensen to trensen estimater i trensenter i trensenter i trensenter i Trensen for estimater i trensenter i trens

na zają provintumi i się zwala na na na zajeleni ob skajeż się fonalych sejene za mie drawie tak Przydate provintumi z się na na na na na zajeleni ob skajeż się fonalych sejene za mie drawie tak

1 a set that put the set

ALL ALL A

Rate Long &

and the Loning Committee of the City of Bon Bigge

sources of a supersolv allock the Branny Fight of the Chi

miterially affort for health aroundary of the material aroundary of the materiality description in the materiality description is the material to the material model.

and subjection in 1975 received by provide the second states of the protocology, protocology of the protocology, protocology by prints proposed by

and of the set space of equilibrium spillentia to the spectrum of the set

has been consulered by the Zouros Conversion

the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11459</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. C. A. and Bernice E. Harding, owners, and Frank and Thelma J. Bowpensiero, purchasers, to construct a single family residence on the Southerly 75 feet of Lots 13, 14 and 15, except the Easterly 20 feet of the Southerly 75 feet of Lot 15, Block 6, Golden Park, Northeasterly corner of Martinez and West Harbor View Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Oridnance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 24 ____, 19_52

FORM 2145

By ____

Application Received <u>12-18-12</u>	By Fine Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 2 4	By Jones, Lancaster fouth City Planning Department
3	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $(2 - 2)$ Decision append	/ Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date 12.24-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12 -2	6 Building Inspector 12 - 29 - 12
Planning Commission 12 - 29 Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	_ Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a second a second state of the baltic company concerned and

a state to be the state of any the state of the state of the state of the

. The second the product of the second second

and a menorally affect the floors Plan of the Sty

the materially affect the bester of a second af

and the star western presented has shown from the factors

Contraction of the second

1777 Par

the plue is a state of

supience t

t t clipt t t

autimity .

AMERFACT

restington to the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11426</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 115/04 Ordinance No. 18924/ as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lynn and Gladys M. Stroud to divide Villa Lot 94, Normal Heights into two building sites; one parcel being 65 ft. by 120 ft. with existing residence, second parcel with 35-foot street frontage, according to attached plat on file in Planning Office, 5157 Hawley Boulevard, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance N. 13594 and Municipal Code 101.0405 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 24

FORM 2145

By

Secretary Res. No. 7056

81

, 19

D. South Application Received 12-19-52 By City Planning Department out Investigation made _12 - 24 By auca City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 24 UHearing date Date 12 - 24-52 Decision appo. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-5 Planning Commission 12 - 29 Petitioner 12 - 26 J- Health Department 12 - 29 - 52 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action OROMWELL LAND CHI CHI CHI 14 ROUD the Ty # THE Is a start Carrier an in state New Alter and a second second 11 and the second V 3 \$ the of the ELLY of Sta Broat. A BISIS & COM T 0 13.604 No. MT. VIEW PR. When property in the state At seales to a part of a president for 0 200 the religious present has shown (she shown the

· Julia P

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vern Page and Jewell Page, owners, and Frederick H. Everson, purchaser, to split the West 100 feet of the East 200 feet of the North 150 feet Lot 63, Las Alturas Villa Sites into two parcels and have right to erect one single family residence on each parcel, South side of Olvera Avenue approximately 500 feet East of Euclid Avenue, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0405, Ordinance No. 5088 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 24 Dated_____

Secretary

Res. No. 7057

182

_ , 19

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>12-18-52</u> By	U. Beights City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 52$ By	Jones, Lancaster + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12.24	Hearing date
Decision $M/4$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $/2 - 3-6$ Planning Commission $/2 - 2-9$ Petitioner /2	Building Inspector 12-29-52 2-26-52 Health Department 12-29-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
I Friddeloll Witches	Date of action

and the series and that have a series

RA

EVERIAL

100'

63

AVE

LOCAN

AVE

the here and the second second

10

. 3

.

- 0

V

0

.)

41

(out on p

LUERE, CL

and the second should be added to show the second second second

RE CIPCLE

COMPLE LANS . Sugar .

an and the second of the second

a dian and a share a

200/11

The solution for the solution of the

and the evidence presented has about free Satting

14 UN

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert H. Wotton to construct additional unit with 10-foot rear yard; new unit will be connected with a breeze-way to existing residence which has 112-foot rear yard on North 1/2 Lots 1 and 2, Block 25, Ocean Beach Park, Southeasterly side of Ebers Street between Greene and Larkspur Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______ December 24 _____, 19 52

FORM 2145

STRUCTURE CONTRACTOR

LAUGS F. L. LT

The state of the s

AT LOW ENGLY I PRO STRAND OF SPICE Petab-1001

10 Post -

Application withdrawn Time limit extended to

THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

the set opping generally to other property in the sume

which we were to it with the parish of safety of

the second of th

and the state of the second and put interest of the second states and an analy installed the second states and the property of the second second second the property is

Resolution becomes effective Continued to Date of action

Application Received	2-19-52	By J. K	Seights
IT is a second s		614	City Planning Department
Investigation made	2 - 24 - 52	By Jones	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Co	mmittee <u>12 - 2</u>	Y Hearing d	late
Decision akkr.		Date /	12-24-52
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk $12-2$	6 Building I	$\frac{12 - 24 - \sqrt{2}}{\text{Inspector} \qquad \frac{12 - 29 - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2} + \text{Health Department} \qquad (2 - 29 - \sqrt{2})}$
- anning Commission		- /2	ricultin Departiment / = -/
Appeal filed with City Cle	rk, daté	Council H	learing, date
Decision of Council		Date	the definition the second of

7059

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11447</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Orchinance Weix 8924; as camended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard Ogden to divide a 5-acre parcel of land into three building sites per legal description on file in Planning Office, one parcel with less than full width in street frontage, being a portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho, 69th Street and Madrone Avenue, Zone R-1; subject to the following condition:

That a 20-foot easement on the south side of Madrone Ave., east of 69th St., be granted to the City for the widening of Madrone Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 117 New Series and Municipal Code 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 24 ____, 19 52

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary Res. No. 7059

and the second sec	P
Application Received B	yCity Planning Department
Investigation made 12 - 24 - 2 B	y Jones Lancaster, Fourth
	City I laming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24 Decision appen conside	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector $1 - 2 - \sqrt{2}$
Planning Commission 12 - 29 Petitioner	1 2 - 12 Health Department 12 - 27
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	the state strength and the
	Q 2 2 694
	So and
i in i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	2 30
C.	
Mark person of the state of the	Ten and the second second second second
and the second second second	and the property of the state o
and the second s	CQ3
The second state of the second states	and a Dor the second of Day
to have been and the second and the second second	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
the locion is house in the second of the	San Jaine D- are present of Ind Sette
ALTERNIA.	with a marter of the second of the preto
Addition .	The second build the contract have been
	60
a sure of the second se	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
and the second sec	T T T
AL DAY -	net gamma the first and
	a li li
R	To all the hard for and have been and the procession
	the second of the second
	A Carlow and Carlow an
I I I I	a not strand the second s
A Date in the second seco	consider of the class of school of the preserves
RETROPHENE STATE	to to. the time of the out
	The restriction of the state of the state of the state of the
A DESTROY.	The men rounds ped by the point chart the
	1.22

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11456</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Way 2924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Scott C. and Ruby Nell Gray to construct a 5-foot retaining wall with a 5-foot free-standing wall on top, making a maximum height of 10 feet, at the rear of the lot, being Lot 71, of Plumosa Mamor No. 2, Northeasterly side of Kingsley Street between James St. and Poinsettia Drive, 3748 Kingsley Street, Zone B-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ____ Dec. 24 ____, 19_52

By____

FORM 2145

Application Received By	1_ U. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 5^2$ By	Jones daucaster + South
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 12-24-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 - 26$	Building Inspector 12-29-52
Decision a_{pepu} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $12 - 26$ Planning Commission $12 - 29$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	12-26-V- Health Department 12-29
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Solution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the setting of a property of the sport (a setting of

the second of the state for so that is a second of a state of the and the state for the second second state and the second second

The second second with the second sec

a sin' at a prover another in the second second and the sub- average a constant for the second the second water to a prove the second the

A CALL CALL IN COMMIN

THEY .

Die ande honistra i i

TAT & BAL The of Maria

and the second

THE R. O.

RESOLUTION No. 110110

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Ben L. Hansen, Lessor, and Robert M. Makoske, owner, for variances to the provisions of the Municipal Code 101.0408 and 101.0602 to permit erection and operation of a neon advertising and directional sign for "Driftwood Diningroom", on Lot I, Block 171, Mission Beach, southeast corner of Mission Boulevard and Santa Clara Point in Zone R-4, which sign shall also include the addition of "Santa Clara Point" direction, and that the sign permit which is to be 3'9" x 7" is to go with the applicant (Ben L. Hansen's) occupancy of the Point property only, be, and it is hereby granted, and said Zoning Committee's decision is hereby overruled and denied.

> Res. 7061 7062

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 110110 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 20, 1953

	FRED	Nº S	SICK	
			City Clerk	
By	HELEN	M.	WILLIG	
			Deputy.	-

3 /1. gin. 27 /1.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11307</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) is a second constraint of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) is a second constraint of the city of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) is a second constraint of the city of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been constraints and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been constraints and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been constraints and the evidence presented has shown (second constraints) has been constraints and the evidence presented has been constraints and the evidence prese

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Robert M. Makoske, owner, and Ben L. Hansen, lessee, to erect and operate a neon advertising and directional sign for "Driftwood Diningroom", Lot I, Block 171, Mission Beach, southeast corner Mission Blvd. and Santa Clara Place, Zone R-4, but -

Permission is hereby granted to erect a 4 ft. by 5 ft./directional sign, with nonflashing arrow, indicating"Santa Clara Point", on a center post and not to extend more than one foot above the parapet of the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0408 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_52

Secretary

Res. No. 7061

	-	

and proc.	
Application Received $\frac{12}{2}$	22-J2 By 2. ms Conneck
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	24 By Taucaster Jones & South
	City Flanging Department
Considered by Zoning Commi-	ttee 12-24 Hearing date
Decision denied	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City	y Clerk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-5-
Planning Commission /2 -	29 Petitioner 12 - 26 - 5- Health Department 12 - 29 - 5-2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, da	te Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
- and minit extended to	But of women
- 34 OK	
	new . Wrosty in they welle to the property derevised
and a second	the state of the second of the second second and the second of the
A Star Martin Land Star Star	a part of the sectorized and the
The state of the state of the state of the	I we boint on a contrar port and not by witched
North States of the second sec	I to stret a blick, by 9 St. (Linckich Louis,
	The second of the second for the first first of the second
the second second second	
PORT TICS IN THE	and a strong of the state of th
the star and the startes	A APTOPUL OF UTLESTOR CONTRACTOR STORES AND THE APTOPULATE AND
Armiteratory to the second	Le striking and direction is ship for the strike strike the strike strik
LFEK ,	
	adversely affect the two of from a file
	the strugg of the beitheouse, pressing of a second state
	the station of the local manufacture for the provident the
	2004
	the set of the set
T * 300 1 -	
a shi tin mana a shi .	
	11 42 have been considered by the Souther Creation Cher

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11308</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

7062

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Robert M. Makoske, owner, and Ben L. Hansen, lessee, to erect coperate a neon advertising and directional sign for "Driftwood Diningroom", with 14 feet setback, on Lot I, Block 171, Mission Beach, southeast corner Mission Blvd. and Santa Clara Place, Zone R-4, but -

Permission is hereby granted to erect a 4 ft. by 5 ft. neon directional sign, with nonflashing arrow, indicating "Santa Glara Point", with a 14 foot setback where 15 foot setback is required, on a center post and not to extend more than one foot above the parapet of the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 7062

304

By

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision demand	Date 12.24-01-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12-28-52
Planning Commission / Petitioner	12.26 Health Department 12-29-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date Date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	_ Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
to the second	1 22. 17 5 21. Anon "iveotionel-sile", with not interval of the back of the back of the back and be able to be able to be back of the back of the back of the back of the back of the back of the the back of the back of the back of the back of the the back of the back of the back of the back of the
Transfer to the second s	
	en a contrario presente par parte ante antes
ARTER BAT INCOME A LOSS OF THE ART OF THE	The state of the second s
STRATICY ON THE TRANSPORT	the star for " without state from " with the op-
Little .	Zourne Committee of the City of San Biego.
better	
	allowing in
	Sarana and a second a second a second a second a second a s
The ourses	
	the putchase processed has shown and forgrade
	a state of the second se

By J

Jones

By 3. m Connect City Planning Department

auca

- SHE * 1. ;

Application Received 12-22-32

Dage inde 106"

& South

15

1 1 1 4

ter

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11454</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Neil Nettleship to extend concrete block wall to maximum height of 5 ft. 6 in. above sidewalk grade in setback area, on Lot 136, Reynard Hills, 2689 Dove Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1129 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

18

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Dec. 24 , 19_52

Secretary

Application Received 12 - 19 - 52 B	y_D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 52$ B	man ancaster & South
investigation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date 12-24-52
Decision appro- Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12 - 29 - V2
Planning Commission 12 - 29. JV Petitioner	12 - 26 VV Health Department 12 - 29 - V2
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Lemman hely & of growing the structure of group and setting to setting the set of the formation of the set of

Perminute is a real of the risk reactivity and contracts sinch a 1 to real

the second state of the second s

and adversely affect the Marian Film of the organ

color will shake we wind will subject the bould of waters in

description number ..

Sherry,

COMPANY LINE

vermeted in the the

122 No. 1003

1002 NO 100

(Editor (1990 - 1997 - 1995)

7064

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11453</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (sec<u>rectric</u>on 15 of Ordinance Nor 8924 as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude and Clara Chandler, owners, and Harry Mosten, lessee, to move a residence from Lot 319 to Lots 333 and 334 and portion of Fresa St. closed adjacent, having no dedicated street frontage, Sunshine Gardens, east side of 47th Street between Castana and Pera, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 24 , 19 52

Secretary Res. No. 7064

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-22-52 By	Dity Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 52$ By	anen Lancaster + South
myestigation made Dy	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision appr.	Date 12-24-52
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission 12.29-52-Petitioner /	$2 - 26 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $12 - 29 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the play being a contract of the state of the state of the play of the state of the state of the state of the

(NON WITH ON & 707 (123)

in the second

the meterical graffers the bester success of sectors of

the same broches in an international in the part of the

support of the proof, and will add to prove this

A PARK P

LIERKE,

bauncer

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11458</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. S. Carver to move in a duplex with 17-foot setback on Lots 16 and 17. Block 3, Mountain View, 3835 Menlo Avenue, Zone R-4

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 24 Dated_____, 19

FORM 2145

95

52

Secretary

Application Received 12-19-52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made $12 - 24 - 52$ By	<u>Lancaster</u> pres o South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12 - 24	Hearing date
Decision appen.	Date 12-24-52
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12 - 29 - 52
Planning Commission 12 - 24 Petitioner	$12 - 26 - \sqrt{2}$ Health Department $12 - 29 - \sqrt{2}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

12. 1. 1. 1.

and the second second

and a second of the second of the

WEDX? .

20 200 2.22

Cartestrov :

LUTE,

RESOLUTION NO. 7066

Communication dated Dec. 18, 1952

WHEREAS, Approximation in the second second

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7037, dated December 10, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alonzo Jessop to split out two lots, each 85 feet by 145 feet, of portion of Pueblo Lot 172, per legal description and plat on file in Planning Office, and erect a single family residence on each, both lots facing on a private easement, near Gage Drive and Bangor Street, Zone R-1C; subject to the following condition:

That a 10-foot recorded easement be added along the west side of the existing 30-foot easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. \mathcal{M} $\mathcal{$

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Dec. 24 , 19 52

By_

FORM 2145

Comm.	
Application Received _12	-18-52 By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	24-J- By Jones Lancaster & South City Planning Department
	illes (S. S. (Hoaring data
Considered by Zoning Com	mittee $12 - 24$ Hearing date Date $12 - 24 - 52$
Decision conde app	City Clerk 12-26 Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission	-29 Petitioner $12 - 26 - 52$ Health Department $12 - 29 - 52$
Appeal filed with City Clerk.	date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
phillipper	
a be oble pressioned and t	while and that the second the same that the second the second the
A AT MERS I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	a state the set of the set of the set of the beauty but but
Axiati	
le alle President de la	which a second the second stand which were alde at the
WK 600/13,1:	
LEA part of the	the second on eres, while to be the real of
F-WITH T	
No. 1 Contraction of A states of the	a the following the state of the second state of file to
The passan is the state	A to it was income to apall out the lote, much fir fort lut-
LAC AMADIA	and a set to the many of the set of a set of the set of the
LIEBUL	the Konster Committee of the City of Non Ulrup,
	1301. adversely elfony the Master Plan of the Grey
	and the part, and will will be added in the restriction of the second in the
	art. materially affect the beatter of safety of
	the second of the second line of the second of the second of the
	as been considered by the Span a Consistere

Letter dated 15, 1952

WHEREAS, Application No. / _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6567, dated June 25, 1952 be granted to Levi Grook to erect single family residence with 9-foot setback on the east 60-feet of Lot 375, Valle Vista Terrace, on Panorama Drive. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_ December 24 , 19 52

FORM 2145

Secretary

74

By

Application Received By	mail
I Friedrich Robert ed	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 2 + 4 - 3^2 - 3$ By	Jones Lancaster & South
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24 Decision affer. 6 me.	Hearing date
Decision apper. 6 mo.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26	Building Inspector 12-29-52
Planning Commission 12-29 Petitioner	2-26-5-2 Health Department 12-29-52
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1000 1000

, I. .

har and the second second

1 \$ 123 CL.P. ...

the state of the

and the part of the second of the for the second second

and the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the state of the state of the set of the set of the state of the set of th

a server and the server a server and the server of the server and the server and

the second of the second of the state of the second of the

and the second second

THE PROPERTY FOR THE PARTY

Letter dated Dec. 10, 1952

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 5621, dated July 9, 1952 be granted to T. L. Norwood, purchaser, and Elmer and Annie Hartman, owners, to construct a bank building with paved parking area on Lots 19 and 20, Block 5, Wilshire Place, northeast corner Marlborough and El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4, on the following conditions:

- 1. That the bank building be constructed on the Easterly portion of the lots along the alley for a depth of 150 feet from El Cajon Blvd., and the parking lot to be on the Westerly portion of the lots;
- 2. That a 5-foot concrete block wall be erected to the setback line along a portion of the Northerly property line of Lot 19 and continue to the property line on Marlborough with a 3-foot block wall;
- 3. That the parking lot be paved and striped for parking of cars;
- 4. That adequate stops or curbs be provided to stop all cars short of public property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

December 24 Dated _____, 19_ 52

Secretary Res. No. 7068

FORM 2145

92

By

Application Received By	City I failing Department
Investigation made $/2 \cdot 24 - 5^2$ By	Jones Lancaster & South City Planning Department
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{72-26}{2}$ Planning Commission $\frac{72-26}{2}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Building Inspector 12 - 29 - 52 - 26 - 52 Health Department 12 - 29 - 52
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

p a r

the second s

the second s

and a second of the second of the second second

and the second of the second states of the states

10年1-17-11-1

J . 100 1 -

Takari, -Time ge - 1 ginar

ANR Str.

WHEREAS, Apply ation No/ ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6626. dated July 23, 1952 be granted to L. C. Anderson Company to erect residence with 5-foot setback on north side of Alcott Street at Palermo Drive, Lot 60, Point Loma Villas, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_52

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res Nº. 7069

Application Received _/2-17-5- By_	Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones Lancaster & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date
Decision Appen. 6 mo. I	Date 12-24-12
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-26 I	Building Inspector 12 - 29 - 52
Planning Commission 12 - 2-4 - 12 Petitioner	2 - 2-6 - v-2 Health Department 12 - 29 - 52
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date (Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

The second states and the second second is a state of the second second

The source of the second se

A Contraction of the second

a training a second to the the training to be a second the product of the

a second a second and the second s

the City of

Kar pord.

SECONDER A TEL

La Station

antitica single of a

1 N N N N

an hear considered by the Jouing Commit

and show the state of a second second the second second second second second second second second second second

RESOLUTION NO. 7079

Letter dated Dec. 15, 1952

WHEREAS, Application/No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6592, dated July 9, 1952, be granted to Robert L. and Helen L. Syphers to construct a fourunit apartment crossing the lot line of Lots 31 and 32, Block 42, Ocean Beach Subdivision, 150 feet west of Ebers Street, on the south side of Bermuda Avenue, Zone R-2, on condition that all plans are approved by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE				
CITY	OF	SAN	DIEGO,	CALIFORNIA

December 24 52

FORM 2145

By

Secretary			
Secretary	Res.	Nos	7070
Application Received _12-16.52 B	Mail		
---	--		
	City Planning Department		
Investigation made 12 - 24 - 12 B	y Jones, Laucaster & South City Planning Department		
	City Planning Department		
Considered by Zoning Committee 12-24	Hearing date		
Decision appr. 6 mo.	Date $12 \cdot 24 - 12$		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12.26	Building Inspector 12 - 29 - 5-2		
Planning Commission 12-29 Petitioner	12-26 Health Department 12-29		
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date		
Decision of Council	Date		
Resolution becomes effective			
Application withdrawn	Continued to		
Time limit extended to	Date of action		

the second s

4

a second a second to a 12843 by a second of the second of the

the second second as here an and as a second as a first

the second of the property states and the second of the the second of the second second second a second sec

11 3 Barton

THE DESCRIPTION

47.4 P. P.

RESOLUTION NO. 7071

WHEREAS, Florence E. Truckenmiller and William P. Truckenmiller have filed a letter dated December 30, 1952, requesting the City Zoning Committee to revoke or rescind Resolution No. 5632, dated June 27, 1951, and an agreement entered into subsequent thereto, relating to the use of the property at 5150 Manchester Road,

AND WHEREAS, the permission granted on said Resolution is no longer needed or used.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, that said Resolution No. 5632 and accompanying agreement, filed by Florence E. Truckenmiller and William P. Truckenmiller in the Office of the City Clerk July 10, 1951, be hereby RESCINDED and REVOKED.

De T Be i Olta mesa Villas

DEC 3 1 1952 ADDPTED

Res. No. 7071

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11397</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Octionance Nov 8924 was amonded): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Maude E. Dixon, owner, and Vital E. Haynes, purchaser, to use Lots 24, 25 and 26, Block 123, University Heights, 2633 El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4, for free parking for patrons of Haynes Streamliner Cafe, southwest corner of El Cajon Elvd. and Oregon St.; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 5-foot solid wall or fence be constructed and maintained along the south property line, not to extend closer to Oregon Street than 15 feet from the front property line;
- 2. That the parking lot be surfaced except in the setback area;
- 3. That the setback area on Oregon Street be adequately landscaped in accordance with a plan filed with and approved by the City Planning Dept.;
- 4. That appropriate lighting be installed and maintained in accordance with a plan filed with and approved by the City Planning Dept.;
- 5. That adequate stops or curbs be provided to stop all cars short of any fence or building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

Secretary

Res. No. 7072

apple the second second	
Application Received 12	- 1 - J ⁻ By U. Beight City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	7- 5-3 By Ouffen fores of South
	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Con	mittee <u>1-2</u> Hearing date
Decision conde ape	Date 1-7-5-3
Copy of Resolution sent to	City Clerk Building Inspector
Planning Commission /-	9-53 Petitioner 1-8-53 Health Department 1-8-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effectiv	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	the second
	and the second poly of apply by also and a site
	Country of the office proverse stores
the second second	The state of the s
	the second provide and the state of the source of the second second second
1 L D Z L I	and an interface price pro that a glo france why get a course the
	and the second example to blac notheral range.
and a state of the second	
and the second	The first full closes to carped struct to a 15 fort
	with a final finds be constructed and reduction stars the
The open and	1 4 s s - s + s fragin an fragment
	the state of the million onde, southment community of the feature
CULTUS PORM	. Contra 1
and manyour resident	the second se
OPEN LEUX IS A COMPANY	the Arning Committee of the City of Sun Diego.
	and mot whench stinct the south Time at the Carp.
	the second and sill not be subjected to the second second in a
	concerting which materially affect the hundred or astrony of
	and all de not apply generally to other property in the same
NAMES OF THE ADDRESS OF THE OWNER	a state way i and the state and the state of the
	(and and (and for for for (3.5))
	1277 has been considered by the Zoning Cleanities

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11443</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance: No. 2924, cost amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to 0. M. and Martha M. Kalleberg to construct a three-car garage with single family apartment above, making a total of three units on the south 5 feet of Lot 19, all of 20, and the morth 5 feet of 21, Block 20, University Heights, 4617 Mississippi, Zone R-4; two units to have 6 ft. 6 in. access court except the bay section of the building, which is 5 ft.; on condition that the existing portion of purch projecting into the 6 ft. 6 in. side yard be removed.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1953

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 7073

The lot of the second sec

1. Data and the second of the secon

According to the second state of the seco

CLUT N. P.

me Come Application Received 12 - 16 - 52 By F. City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By Suffi Jones Th onthe City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-1-1-3 Hearing date_ Decision conde appr. Date 1-7-53. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-8 Building Inspector 1-9-13Planning Commission 1-9-53 Petitioner 1-8-53 Health Department Health Department 1-9-5-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council _ Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Marine Links

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11461</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (service from 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to Michael and Dolly Finazzo to erect one unit addition to existing single family unit on rear of property, making a total of three living units on Lots 25 and 29, Block 115, City Heights, southwest corner Cherokee and Myrtle Aves., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated________, 19_53

By__

25

Res. No. 7074

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-23-52 B	y <u>J. m Connel</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 7 - r^{-2}$ B	y Briffin prest South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7 Decision affer . Denied	Date 1-7-1-3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /- 8	Building Inspector 1 - 9 - 5-3
Planning Commission 1-9-53 Petitioner	Building Inspector $1 - 9 - \sqrt{-3}$ $1 - 8 - \sqrt{-3}$ Health Department $1 - 9 - \sqrt{-3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

DE TENE LA LE TENE LE TENER. TENER DE BRUT MET LE LE LE LE MERER indianter for the state of the second

The state of the supported over a first one call the trans.

is hirely and molly linegro to spect one calls other to

COLOSI DOG CILZ.

2011 10 p to 1 10 10

enfetion circ. Hermonica rac

LUEL .

COMO Street.

(and per the set the The

and the residence presented has shown (setting and

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>1173</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (second second seco

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Burnett Furniture Company to build and operate a threestory furniture warehouse (ground floor for parking) and parking lot, on Lots 7 and 5, Block 6, Crittenden's Sub., and Lot 9, Block 6 of Fract 1375, 225 feet south of University Ave., east side of Seventh Ave., Zone R-C; on condition that the building conferms architecturally with the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3285 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	Jan.	7	,	19_53
	O Cake	1		d al

FORM 2145

Application Received $12 - 24 - 52$ B	y P. G. Burton City Planning Department
	y briffin, Jones + Sonth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-7$ Decision C_{PP} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-8$ Planning Commission $1-9-3 \le$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector $1 - 9 - \sqrt{3}$ $1 - 9 - \sqrt{3}$ Health Department $29 - \sqrt{3}$ Council Hearing, date Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

0730 2.L. .

J. HEING

A MARINE STATE

4 1

watenes built - providence in the second sec

a sing Committee of the City of Sab Bisso.

and the measure after the Master Flan of the City

ser ho top

2. t. materially effect the bacity or matery states, will will materially determinants to the celemborizity.

and the matitudes, personal to allow proposes

a subjection of a property of a property in the second

20.00 No. 10 No. 10

1-1-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11482</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/0fdinance/No!/8924//as/amended): (See Municipal Code, Sec. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter A. Trudeau to move an 8-unit, two-story building to the west one-half of Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block G, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition, 731 - 23rd Street, zone R-4, with two units to be served by a 6 foot access court where 10 feet are required.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

H.M. 1534

Dated_____January 7.___, 19_53

FORM 2145

Secretary

27

Res. No. 7076

Application Received $\frac{12-24-54}{B}$	J. M. Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	2
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision akkr.	Date 1-7-13
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk / - 8	Building Inspector 1-9-53
Planning Commission 1-9-13 Petitioner	1-8-13 Health Department 1-9-13
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to the Warts is

10 (20 P) 12 L 2 L

Harristan in the state of the s

LHEKL,

1 1 1 PT

The second strategies and the state which be strategies of the second

- The second of why ab a course transmission of the second of the second

a service of the state of the service and the service

comparison teacher de comparison abby pooplyk als the burbletty

services and the second of the short (not station

the probability of the probability in the next block many

COMPANY FOR REAL MARKS

the part of the local part and the particular sub-

A DOLLARS AND AN

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11455</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15xofr@rdinancerNoxx8924;xasxamended</u>): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. W. and Virginia Bond to construct a 16 foot by 63 foot garage with 1 foot, 4 inch rear yard and zero side yard, on Lot 12 and the Northeasterly 1 foot of Lot 11, Block 13, La Jolla Shores Unit No. 1, Southeasterly corner of Vallecitos and Camino del Oro, Zone R-4; on condition that the rear wall be cut down approximately one foot from the height shown on plans on file in Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_Jan. 7 , 1953

By

Van

FORM 2145

Application Received By_	D.E. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 7 - 5 = By_{7}$	Jones Briffin + South
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7-5 H	earing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-12-J3B	uilding Inspector 1-12-53
Decision a_{per} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1 - 12 - \sqrt{3}$ B Planning Commission $1 - 12 - \sqrt{3}$ Petitioner	-12 - V3 Health Department 1-12 VS
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date C	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council D	
Resolution becomes effective	
	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	Date of action

a land deather the second of the second of the second second the second of the second

and an experience and the failed in the second of the second deal · call and an aley blin blight and and in 13

a sectional and will and the bur sector in the relation of the the second and a second of the second of an and the second of the second

.

1.1.100.

G C foltr's

ALL DE GENERT OF THE STATE

人生物があるないがう - う ゲー

Likes,

Alignmon 1

92° VI.0° P. 033

strate and the case for (edg)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11475</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (searchion 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, pase amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles C. and Pearlie F. Meshack to construct a duplex, making four units on the property, three of which will be served with 7-foot access court, on Lots 20 and 21, Block 317, Reed & Daley's Addition, south side of Franklin between 28th and 29th Streets, Zone R-4; on condition that three paved off-street parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1953

FORM 2145

Secretary

38

Bv

Application Received $2 - 29 - 52$ By	V. TSeeghts City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 7 - 5 - 3$ By	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1 - 7</u> Decision appr., conde Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-8-5</u>	Hearing date Date $1 - 7 - \sqrt{-3}$ Building Inspector $1 - 9 - \sqrt{-3}$
Planning Commission 1-9-53 Petitioner	1-8-53 Health Department 1.9-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A . . .

Happine date

Ferul dendenskepsion intit of the former of the former of the former of the fully is dendenskepsion intit of the former of the former of the former of the fully course, on the fully former of the fully former of the former for the former of the former for the former of the f

17.5. Adversely alleges the Shares Find of the Cley

senter and the test

And the subscratty without the perity of settery of regimestricity and will and the more faily determined to

with constants in measury for the property

the second structure of second lifetic structure preserves by the second

a come taxes for the The Call

11-17. The seek considered by the Ruiny Committee

- 1- 1

WHEREAS, Application No. _____has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section) 13/0f/0rdinance/No//8924//as/anen4e4): (See Municipal Code, Sec. 101.0501)

- ___special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Matilda G. de Noyer to construct a single family residence with 16 foot rear yard where 20 feet required on Lot 12, La Mesa Colony (Parcel B on plat filed in the office of the Planning Commission), north side of Montezuma Road between 63rd and Ewing Streets, zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 7, , 1953

Secretary Res. No. 7079

Dated_ FORM 2145

Application Received ______ / 2 - 2 9 - 52 By City Planning Department ,Th By -Investigation made ______ -1-3 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7-53 Hearing date Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-8 _ Building Inspector _____ Planning Commission $(-9-5)^3$ Petitioner $(-8-5)^3$ Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ 1-9-43 Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to 3251 6 - FO FER LABOR 1 4 47 LOD (J R=20 WELCOMMUNICATION IN Do ning Jon Strange 「「「「「」」」」」」」」」」」 0 Permi los 1 98; A MULTING 60 6 CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT (201)

11485

WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- __special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ____ not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Aubrey M. Shulkind to construct a single family residence with a 16 foot rear yard where 20 feet required on Lot 12, La Mesa Colony (Parcel C on plat filed in the Planning Department), north side of Montesuma Road between 63rd and Mwing Streets, zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

118

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 7, , 19_53 Dated_

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 7080

Application Received 12-19-52 B	DESouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $/- 2 - \sqrt{-3}$ By	y Briffin Jones + South City Planning Department
0	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _/- >	Hearing date
Decision akbr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{1-8}{1-8}$	Building Inspector 1-9-13
Planning Commission 1 - 9 - 53 Petitioner	/-8-53 Health Department /-9-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	. 10.100 - There is a second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Nº 1 W

20 49 1

PCOP-000

5 6

「「「「「」」」」「「」」」」

Aldare ,

•

キャントレートロントキ

Cartor Francisco Francisco

and a contraction of a second () and a second of the second seco

L' D China ...

1. 1

3 . 50

the second of the second party of the same to east granted post whole .

NI

A THE REPORT OF A REPORT AND A REAL AND A DRIVE AND A REAL AND A

a contract to search a new of some the search to be a search to

and the second second the part of the part of the second second second second second second second second second

a second and an and the first spectrum and the second as a second the

the Annual Chemistree at the City of San Dieda.

and been considered by the Second Considered of the Second Second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11477</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15cosfo: Condimension Nous 3924 and an and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. A. and Marie Bachman, owners, and Dunn-Edwards Corp., lessee, to use existing warehouse for wholesale and paint storage, on Lot 2, Block 454, Old San Diego, 3941 Mason Street, Zone R-4; on condition that -

- 1. That all paints be stored in cans;
- 2. That all storage be within the building;
- 3. That there will be no mixing or manufacturing of paints, oils, etc.;
- 4. That this be subject to approval by the Fire Marshal.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of Gity Clerk

1. Van

JAN 23 1953

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 5 DAYS

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By

FORM 2145

Dated

and the second s	n D
Application Received 12-30-52 B	d. 15 aughman
**	City Planning Department
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rivin D. Palate l. + l.
Investigation made B	y Suffin Jones Palgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision course appen.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 1 - 27 Petitioner	1-23 Health Department 1-27-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
Kin heapport said and said and said the	the suluter to the property lawerlock ploys.
verificade to the second second second	they be planted to be by the the bold of a to
By the former of the second of the second	The first of the local bull.
2	and a right of a state base about
addition of the second se	CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR
and the set of the set	Jac 10 Deg Act & Gat Ou toe s' truct whe'
Paraditon Internet and the second	. and the many by the second of the second of the
and the second se	
	of h someward is all the bound of the Carp.
	read, and will like to associatly decrease stal to
	1.5 materially effect the new teh of mediate of
	the proof of head there applies brockets in the same
Addamaine and a second and a second and a	- 175 - COL- 162-1011)
	and the of a present the summer of the state
ARENTY	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11029</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15-of Ordinance Nor 8924 and anonded): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell Segel, owner, and Bernard W. Reinbach, lessee, to conduct sales of top soil, brick, leaf mold, fertilizer, etc., and excavation service, on portion of Lot 4, per legal description on file in Flanning Office, Lemon Villa, 5219 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- That a maximum of 90 yards of top soil be stored at the rear of the C Zone: 1.
- That a maximum of 20 yards of leaf mold be stored at the rear of the C Zone; 2.
- Storage of equipment and trucks to be at rear of buildings: 3.
- 4. Peat moss, sacked steer manure and concrete block to be stored as shown on plans;
- The entire lot to be paved with decomposed granite:
- 5. The existing sign to be approved;
- Premises to be kept in orderly condition at all times: 7.
- Premises to be placed in above condition within 90 days and to be checked by S. the Planning Dept.:
- 9.
- This permit to expire June 30, 1955. That all storage of materials and equipment to be held within the C Zone. 10.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Jan. 7 , 19 53 Dated

FORM 2145

Secretary

J. Baughnes Application Received 12 - 30 - 52 By_ City Planning Department By Jones J 7 - 13 Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7-53 Hearing date_ Planning Commission (-9-53 Petitioner - 18-53 Health Department 1-9-53 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to 2 20 5 8 T 1 95 . 0.30 1 ... C P CAJON They. toner C Non EL 6.5 200 m N 1, yon' you an 1117. WALNUSCTON US L' Miles h. h. John and a good a car to Childler', Japase, TOTAL TOUT OF T V That is a start of the 1 (1) 21672 0 2 6 T K 5 120 0 2 5 10000 10 11 W/0 113 (17) ROJAN

7084

11492

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee f/the/City/of/San/Diego;/California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
 - not
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ material y affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

lot

4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Malcomb L. and Lila D. Buck to erect a duplex in the rear of a single family dwelling, making a total of 3 units on property, two units to be served by 6'9" access court where 10 feet required, on Lot 19 and the north one-half of Lot 20, Block 70, University Heights, 4421 Arizona Street, zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

			ZONING COMMITTEE							
				CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIF				LIFC	RNIA	
January	7.	53								
		, 19	By					Res.	Ma	7084
				and the state		Se	ecretary			1

FORM 2145

Dated_

Application Received 12 - 30 - 52 By	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-7-J-3</u> By	Briffin Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7	Hearing date
Decision Jefer. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1- 8</u> Planning Commission <u>1-9-55</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector 1-9-5 1-8-53 Health Department 1-9-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

Strange Brits

Tell' and

1.1.1.

and the second of the second o

and the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11470</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Condition Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Carl and Bath Gewalt, owners, and H. E. Mann, lessee, to operate a cabinet shop on Lots 19 and 20, Block 21, La Jolla Park, 7638 Fay Ave., Zone C, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there be a maximum of one employee;
- 2. That 50% of the area of building to be used for storage of building materials;
- 3. That a maximum of 3 h.p. be used;
- 4. That a maximum of 30 daylight hours per week be used.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By

349

FORM 2145

		Tous
Application Received	30-J-2 By 2. m @ Connell City Planning Department	
The second s	City Planning Department	
Investigation made	7-5-3 By briffin Jones & South City Planning Department	
	ittee 1-7-13 Hearing date	
Copy of Resolution sent to Cit Planning Commission /- 9 Appeal filed with City Clerk, d	ty Clerk <u>/- &</u> Building Inspector <u>/-9-03</u> Are <u>/-8-03</u> Health Department <u>/-9-03</u> Health Department <u>/-9-03</u> Council Hearing, date <u>////////////////////////////////////</u>	V-3
Decision of Council	Date	
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action	+
Thine minit extended to		
Anterna in	and the second of the particular particular particular and the second se	
	and the second provide the state of the second s	
esetorica in territor a d suspendin de la territoria and 0, en alteritori de la conjugi	an an an an to the four of a source of the s	y Ale + + V d v č v +
Protore		
Line to a		
	and the set a second allock the success that at a	
	the sector of and will show he sector will be	
	Alt - meterially utters the Coultry of Ka	
Time.		
	in the second of country and a suble to the p	
A Right of the second	and the part (som TOL. OGAL)	
	man and the relating presented has shown that	a a la se a fille
	Lift a has loss considered by the Zenlup (in	

and the second second second

0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10566</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (se<u>e.Section</u> 15, of Ondinance No. 8924, was raneoulad): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. E. and Lottie May Westermayer to divide into two parcels all of Lot 53 and north 25 feet of Lot 54, Broadway Acres, 1024 43rd Street, and erect two living units on each parcel, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

2 12	······································	10 57
Dated	January 7	, 19_53

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7086

159

By

Application Received _12 - 31 - 52 By	y _ J. M & Connect City Planning Department
Investigation made By	y Briffen Jones - South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Date 1-7-53
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>1-9-53</u>
Planning Commission /- 9 Petitioner	$1 - \beta - \sqrt{3}$ Health Department $1 - \eta - \sqrt{3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5. 382 A.S.+

2010

rushtauten to tras an to the state of the state to the state of the st

- Werkann to be the first of the start of a first of the solution of the property description

the first finishing of the City of Say Dieg.

your the lines

THE A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE CAR.

ard model to bill a film of the basics of adjace of

the second part of the second part of the second se

the set of by a second set of a proper water is a set of the second set of the second se

the second concerning by the Second Committee

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11431</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof,Ordinance,Nor. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Society for Crippled Children to erect and operate a 50-bed hospital on the southeast corner of Pueble Lot 1202, east side of Cabrillo Freeway, adjacent northerly to Linda Vista. Zone R-1, per legal description on file in the City Planning Office, on condition that the property fronts on a 60-foot wide dedicated street, the alignment and extent of which must be approved by the Zoning Committee, which shall connect with the Cabrillo Freeway right-of-way. and/or access road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1.3457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Jan. 7 _____, 1953

Secretary

Res. 7087

406A

FORM 2145

Application ReceivedB	v
pphcation Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $2 - 7 - 5 = 3$ B	Briffin Jones & South
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>1-7</u> Decision <u>Jeper-</u> conde Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-8</u>	Hearing date
Decision apper- conde	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1 - 8	Building Inspector 1-9-5-3
Planning Commission 1- 9-53Petitioner	1-8 Health Department $1-9-53$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A support of the sector of the

T. C. S. S.

30.00

the lowing Committee of the City of You Diego

and all the strategy by the same and have been an and City

the second and will a got a second se

a bit communication as conditions applied to the the producery

(1) - - - - (10) - - - - (10) - (11) - (11)

11111 her been considered by the American Consistence

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11432</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Hospital Association to construct and operate a community hospital on a portion of Fueblo Lot 1202, per legal description on file in Planning Office, east side of Cabrillo Freeway, north of Linda Vista, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the existing sign be removed upon occupancy of the hospital;
- That the property will front on a 60-foot wide dedicated street, the alignment and extent of which must be approved by the Zoning Committee, which shall connect with the Cabrillo Freeway right-of-way, and/or access road; 30 feet of said street to be granted to the City of San Diego along the northerly line of above property;
- 3. That an easement, or easements, be granted by the owners for a service road and/or grade separation structures upon request by the City; said easement to be approximately 20 feet wide at the southerly line of this property and not more than 200 feet wide at the northerly line; said easements to be approved by the City Engineer and the State Highway Dept.;
- 4. The form of this resolution and said easements to be approved by the City Attorney.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1953

FORM 2145

By

406A

	wir Re' Lone
and the second s	
Application Received	By City Planning Department
	A . A
Investigation made 7 - 7 - 5	- By Suffin Jones & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	7 Hearing date Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>1-9-53</u>
Planning Commission / -9-43 Petit	ioner 1-8-53 Health Department 1-9-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	and another interest of a new second of the entry
Dat	and and and the set of the set of superior to the
F - 1 - 1	The second second the state of the second second second
	a service and the service of the ser
And the second sec	A TATA TATA
00111 - 1	A - 42 DE CARLES AND
and the state we build and in the state of	S. Second and the second concerned and the second s
	The second of the second of the second of the second
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
The second	and the state of t
equinarre, no te se constante	The property of the second second to the second second second
Reting in 1 on 1 o 1 o 1	14 . So is a provide them to construct and sper build
	2 W
J.MET .	10: Des construction and the Chryner San Diagona
	of the
	Contraction of the second of the second before the second
	0
	and mentality of employed and an and the polyheads
	A superior and will state by second ships of a creation was
a Lata a	A
	<pre> </pre>
	A CALL OF The Pertonnes, Personal by other property
	the second state and state and stratic the the state and
MARINE STREET	survey of a restored
ALLA CILA	and the set frame businessing and kiness (man 2010) and
MHER	
	Contraction and the second sec
	ALL

6

WHEREAS, Application No. 11003 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/d4/01/dinande /No//8924//as/amended): (See Municipal Code, Sec. 101.0501)

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

permission is hereby granted to Joseph S. Fox, owner, and Edward Tristran, purchaser, to construct 2 units with a 10-foot setback on Hortensia Street and a 10-foot setback on Congress Street, where 15 feet required, on a portion of Lot 3, Block 538, Old San piego, westerly corner of Hortensia and Congress Streets, zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 7, 1953 Dated

FORM 2145

By_

	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By By City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Comm	
Decision aker.	Date $1 - 7 - 5^{-3}$
Copy of Resolution sent to Ci Planning Commission /- 9	ty Clerk <u>/-8</u> Building Inspector <u>/- F- J-3</u> F- J-3 Petitioner /- 8 - J-3 Health Department /- 9 - J-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, o	late Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	TRIASY
Southand a state of the state o	R
and the state of t	
	v /
LILLT,	P P To Zenting Commits ten of the City of Sen Diews
	'V 5
	& Annual and adversely after first sharp Plan at the C.t.
	a ser a ser and and all a set of a best of a best of a set of a best of a be
and a second sec	total and the second se
part /	and a set of the set o
Trans 1000	any marchanes
	HORTENJIA
INTRALIA	(see sunlaight, ode, fee, 171, 1993)
	and the residence presented for shown (\$40/\$40/\$40/
	1993 has torn considered by the Zoning Complete
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11478</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see/Section 15 of Q1dinande No. 18924//as/amended): (See Municipal Code, Sec. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred M. and Frances L. Cox to maintain a residence with 22 inch eave projection into side yard where 15 inches is permitted, at Lot 29, Mission Cliff Gardens, zone R-4, 1701 Mission Cliff Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

69

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 7, , 19 53

Res. No. 7090

FORM 2145

Application Received By Q. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By By Driffin Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7-53 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date 1-7-53
Decision ℓ_{ppr} . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\ell_{-\ell_{-}}$ Building Inspector $\ell_{-\ell_{-}}$ Planning Commission $\ell_{-\ell_{-}}$ Petitioner $\ell_{-\ell_{-}}$ Health Department $\ell_{-\ell_{-}}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date Date
Application withdrawn Continued to
Fime limit extended to Date of action

The property of the section of the s

Sumdavida is less, and the state of the second of former is the is the second s

ALTER A LAND AND A MARKET AND A M

July C.L.

a state and and the second second and a state

The manager bearing and space (second 199

The state - the state

A DAG

WHEREAS, Application No. 11441 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1 STORYORDINANCERNOUX8924X rassamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel M. and Orsina McNaul to construct an S ft. by 19 ft. addition to existing garage on Lot 18, Block 67, Middletown, and Lots 9 and 10, except the easterly 6 feet, Block 5, Marine View, south side of Torrence Street, between Kite and Freemont, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions: the garage having a zero side yard and rear yard:

- 1. That the proposed addition conforms with the existing garage:
- That the height of the addition not to exceed the height of the existing 2. building.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0610 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Jan. 7 , 19 53 Dated

FORM 2145

By

16 + 18

Secretary

Res. No. 7091

Application Received By	Q. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made By	briffing Ines & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-7$ H	earing date
Decision a jeper condie Da Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>1-8-5</u> -Bi	ate 1-2-5-3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-8-5-Bi	uilding Inspector 1-9-53
Flanning Commission 1-9-1-3 Petitioner 1-	P - 53 Health Department $1 - 9 - 53$
Appeal filed with City Clerk date	ouncil Hearing, date
Decision of Council	ate
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	ontinued to
Time limit extended to D	ate of action

receivable is the set of a state of a state of a second and a second a second

and a long of the theory of the the the provint in routing in the the

all the restance of the Que estimation frank of the solution of the second states of the seco

and and the product of the normalization of the second product of

call a straight to the straight straight and the straight str

and a could reaching the the second state of the

The Landscore and the period of the best of the of the Paris

strand and and will apply by matri alter apply apprending to and the materially affers the health of safety es

spland a for for t

N 1 2 1

- -

C ANEL TOO PO PA

TRANS MERCI TANK DODER'S CON G

TEL SET STATES THE E

111711,

.

were not long

Alter The

(TO O TO STORE THE AREA)

RESOLUTION NO. 110057

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows :

1 Uar

That the Communication from Zoning Committee recommending ratification of Zoning Committee's Resolution No. 7092 which would grant to Robert Haniman, owner, and Ann Ghio, lessee, permission to operate a restaurant with permission to serve liquor with meals only in the "R-C" portion of the building, on the south 1/2 of Lot C and the morth 4 feet of Lot D, Block A, Bird Rock Villas, 5462 La Jolla Blvd., subject to conditions listed therein, be, and it is hereby filed.

J hereing certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 110057 f the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 13, 1953 FRED W. SICK City Clerk. HELEN M. WILLIG By______ Deputy. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11429</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (sec. Section 15xof: Ordinance No. 8924; ascamended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

permission is hereby granted to Robert Haniman, owner, and Ann Ghio, lessee, to operate a restaurant with permission to serve liquor in the R-C portion of the building, on the south 1/2 of Let C and the north 4 feet of Lot D, Block A, Bird Rock Villas, 5462 La Jolla Blvd., Zones C and R-C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the serving of liquor be for table service in connection with meals only;
- 2. That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1954;
- 3. Subject to final approval of the City Council because of a former policy set up by the Council in R-C Zone for liquor establishments.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4447 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Jan. 7 _____ , 19_53

FORM 2145

Secretary

326

By

Application Received	2 - 53 By U. Beighte City Planning Department
	⁰ City Planning Department
	<u>1-53</u> By <u>biffin</u> Jones + South City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Buffin Jones & South
Decision to 10 c taba	ittee $1 - 7 - 53$ Hearing date Date $1 - 7 - 53$
Copy of Posolution sent to Cit	v Clerk / & Building Inspector / - 9-153
Planning Commission 1-9	y Clerk <u>1-8</u> Building Inspector <u>1-9-53</u> -53 Petitioner 1-8-53 Health Department 1-9-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, da	ate Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	WEISH AND FERRET FOR THE STREET STREET STREET
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action
A DU ALS.	
1 2 2 2 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	the second state of the second s
A SALAR	on at without the build see herical as, but is myster and build
· · · · ·	17 you too things hep-ity quantum.
and the second sec	what we sha creating a conto at a contact of the
-	
	the for the monetal excitation finds 30, 3 milt
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	an all when he was that a grade at consistent with my the cutoff
	- i and the set of the conference
mrt spurk p the start	<pre>i ty '' out out out out of the first third, losson, to the costs on the true lieurou is the set beation of the little, out for most of forther of the lock of sized over all the set of the</pre>
A STATE OF A	the low screen Consister of the City of San Diego.
	with advocanty affect the Meaner Plan of the Class
	the second the set improvements in the mulgabored .
	the statement, and willbe exterially detrivented in
	hat path pateridly affect the health a safety at
3.8	
Address and a second second	The second s
	and the neutrony presented has shown independential
	the contrast beam nematicated by the fourier Contrastere

20* 3382

1.2 37*

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11450</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (See Municipal Code, Sec. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Icie and Moteal Hale to erect a duplex on parcel without full street frontage on a portion of the northwest quarter of Pueblo Lot 1161, per legal description on file in the Planning Department, at the intersection of 33rd and Logan streets, zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Sec. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

44

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	31-52 By J. M. Connell City Planning Department
vestigation made	7 - 5 - 3 By Briffin Jones + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
	hittee <u>1-7-53</u> Hearing date Date
py of Resolution sent to Cit	ty Clerk 1-8 Building Inspector 1-9-13
anning Commission 1-9	Petitioner 1-8-53 Health Department 1-9-53
ppeal filed with City Clerk, d ecision of Council	late Council Hearing, date Date
esolution becomes effective	
pplication withdrawn	Continued to Date of action
P. L. 116	Date of action
p. L. "	
0.01	
NW	
LOGAN	10 H
Max bass of the	AVE. NA
Marganinger and find the second	
1-5-5-1	
LOCO IT W	AVE. D
P THEY AND C THE THE THE	The second second is a second second in the second second
P THEY AND C THE THE THE	The second second is a second second in the second se
P THEY AND C THE THE THE	The second second is a second second in the second se
Patropyon constants	8. Contract and and a long of the second of
Allera,	3. Summer Compression of the series of the s
Patropyon constants	3. Summer Compression of the series of the s
	A che Sumbry Compretes al the City of Sun Dies A che sumbry consister d'and the city of Sun Dies A che
	A the Complete where the bares the second of the city of Sme Dier A the complete mister of the local second of the city of Sme Dier A the city of the local second o
	A the contract of the local of the second of
	A the contract of the local of the second of
	A the contract of the local of the second of
	A service of the perilinant, purchased by the brait of the service of the perilinant, purchased by the brait of the service of the perilinant of the brait of the
	An eveloped of the perilinear, parented by allow porter to be the product of the perilinear, parented by allow porter to be the product of the perilinear the benefit of the product of th
	An eveloped of the perilinear, parented by allow porter to be the product of the perilinear, parented by allow porter to be the product of the perilinear the benefit of the product of th
	And the specification is meretaris for the benith or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, and the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, and the prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, and the prilitomer, prilitomer, prilitomer, providently or marker is a set of the prilitomer, and the prilitomer, prilotomer, prilitomer, prilitomer, prilitomer, pr
	We control of the petitioner, presently to the beaution of the second se
	We control of the petitioner, presently to the beaution of the second se
	We are the second of the prilitions applied to the property of the prilitions the branch of the prilitions of the branch of the prilitions of the branch of the prilitions of the branch
	A subscreek of the petitions around the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the bealth of the restriction of the petition of the
	A contrast of the perilitour, presented in the presented in the presented of the perilitour, presented in the presented of the perilitour, presented in the presented of the presented of the perilitour, presented in the presented of the presented of the perilitour, presented of the presented of the presented of the perilitour, presented of the p
	A subscreek of the petitions arother wanty to the protection of the petitions arother wanty for the protection of the petitions arother wanty for the protection of the petitions (be benefit and the benefit arother wanty for the protection of the petitions (be benefit arother wanty for the protection of the petitions) and will get a subscreek of the petitions (be benefit) and the petition of the

11498 WHEREAS, Application No._ has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George E. and Pearl Martin to erect a rumpus room addition to existing dressing room with zero side yard on Lot 283, Kensington Heights Unit No. 3, 4234 Ridgeway Drive, zone R-1, per plans on file in the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

January 7, , 19 53

Secretary

105

FORM 2145

Dated

Application Received B	y_Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	buffin Jones & South
Considered by Zoning Committee _1- 7- 5-	Hearing date
Decision akkv.	Date
Decision $\mu \mu \nu$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\mu - \Sigma$	Building Inspector 1 - 9 - 13
I lalining Commission 7-9-13 I etitioner	7-7-7-7 5 Health Department 7-9-73
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

10 ptp 1 11

Dernstron

S. R. P. La

1111 Course

יין ען טפטידריצרן

and the second s

· An order - sime man

and the second state of the second state of the second state with the second state with the second state of the second state o

to be a structure of the structure of the structures

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego to erect and operate a sewage pumping station on the southerly 50 feet of the westerly 30.85 feet of Lot 12, Block 3. Drucker's Subdivision, Jupiter Street near Nashville Street, zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0408 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 7, , 1953

Secretary Res. No. 7095

245

FORM 2145

	1 1 1 1	

The second start of the second start and the second s

the section that will got the materially developed to "

Investigation made By	Suffin Jones + South City Planning Department
	City/Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1 - 7 - \sqrt{3}$	Hearing date
Decision cond'e alexer	Date 1- 7-1/32
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-8	Building Inspector 1-9-5-
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-8$ Planning Commission $1-9-53$ Petitioner	$1 - \beta - \sqrt{3}$ Health Department $1 - 9 - \sqrt{3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Numbers as for the set of the state of the s Reparting. In the second of the second of the second second

Tonich and the second TRANSPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE P

To back the set of the

Bv

mu. R.

City Planning Department

Application Received $12 - 30 - \sqrt{2}$

Lought to the set of

LHC III

11.

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vendal M. and Aralua J. Peterson to convert an existing residence to a duplex on the easterly one-half of Lot 50, Broadway Acres, northwest corner of 43rd and C Streets, zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode Sec. 101.0406 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 7, , 19 53

FORM 2145

By

159

Application Received $1 - 2 - 53$ B	y U. Beights City Planning Department
	y buffin, Jones + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-7	
Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and an arrivation is been best by a well and went to present there are the

and a set fremere, and a set with our parties " and parties to see " to approve the above

to the period for a start stare. Lerd a section to the the the the for the first start bot store.

Stadio and the for the state of the state of

A lef married

1679時代1213日 201

,

and a set of a strength affects the barrent Flam of the first

A Start Start

and the second and will rot ... merestally effect the health or wainty of

the start and the fact that he was seen and the start seen the fact the

well the evidence presented due along the state of the state of the second state of th 12100

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. T. Mooney to construct a 25-bed hospital, class "A" construction, with not less than 13 off-street parking spaces to be provided, on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block C, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition, north side of "C" Street between 23rd and 24th Streets, zone R-4, subject to the approval of the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Section 101.0408 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

27

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 7, , 19 53

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 7097

Application Received B	y <u>J. m & Connece</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Briffin Somes forth City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

A set of the set of

A service and the service of the ser

a series of the series of the personal personal series of

CALL STATISTICS AND AND STATISTICS STATISTICS

The monthly a light of weather them by the Corts

the second second

Par par is

Column .

,

EP. C. P. Luis S.

100 100 100 100

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11511</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/0rdinan¢e/No//89246/As/AmehHeHD// 101.0501 Municipal Code

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Tom and Frances Patella to construct 4-unit apartment building with 16-foot setback on Robinson Street, Lots 25 thru 28, Block 224, University Heights, southwest corner Robinson and Richmond Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

66

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 21

, 19 53

FORM 2145

Application Received B	y U. Theight City Planning Department
Investigation made $1 - 1 - 1 - 3$ B	y Padgett Miffin Jones - South
Considered by Zoning Committee 1-21	Hearing date
Decision under	Date
CODV of Resolution sent to City Clerk /	Building Inspector 1-23-1-3
Planning Commission 1-23 Petitioner	1 - 2 - 2 Health Department $1 - 23$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

to start a material and all the start of the start of the start for the start of the start of the start of the

they were a server of the serv and proved and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second s

a company of the second of the second of the second second of the second of the

A subscription of the second state of the seco

and the sector of the for such the same they give as provide the section of the spectral three specific role

12000 -

,

RESOLUTION No.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of I. E. Bramlett, 1032-1/2 Hornblend, from the decision of the Zoning Committee's Resolution No. 7099, application No. 11004, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85, New Series, to permit Mr. Bramlett to divide lots 13 and 14 Block 35 Morena into 2 parcels - with a single family residence on each of 2 parcels consisting of 60x60 feet and 60x65 feet at the northeast corner; be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee's decision in denying Mr. Bramlett's request is hereby sustained.

		nd correct copy of Resolution No. 110676
of the Council of the City of Sa	n Diego, as adopted by	y said Council Feb. 19, 1953
CITY PLANNING DEPT.		FRED W. SICK
plan identida)	1101	City Clerk
	401	By LA VERNE E. MILLER
		Deputy.
1270 SEVEL		

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11004</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see <u>Section</u> 15. of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Oode 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to I. E. Bramlett to redivide Lots 13 and 14, Block 35. Morena, into two parcels, one parcel 60 feet by 60 feet, and the other parcel 60 feet by 65 feet, and erect a single family residence on each parcel, northeast corner of Chicago Ave. and Kane Street, Zone R-1.

Application of a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 55 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19_53

FORM 2145

By

401

Application Received By By	aughman City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>1-21-5</u> By briffin	0
Considered by Zoning Committee $1 - 21$ Hearing date	
Decision Denied Date	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk /-22 Building Ins	pector 1-23-53
Planning Commission /- 23 Petitioner /- 22	Health Department 1-23-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Heat	ring, date
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	······································
Time limit extended to Date of action	

- Cherner -

and the second of the second o

RESOLUTION No. 110677

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of I. E. Bramlett, 1032-1/2 Hornblend, from the decision of the Zoning Committee's Resolution No. 71CO, application No. 11005, denying him permission to redivide Lots 13 and 14, Block A, Turner & Barr, Subdivision of South Orchard Tract into two parcels, each 50 x 70 feet, at the northwest corner of Orten and Galveston Streets, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee's decision in denying Mr. Bramlett's request is hereby sustained.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Besolution No.______ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council_______

279

ORM 1270 By

FRED W. SICK Helen M. Willig City Clerk By Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11005</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to I. E. Bramlett to redivide Lots 13 and 14, Eleck A. Furner & Barr, into two parcels, each 50 feet by 70 feet, and erect a single family residence on each parcel, northwest corner Orten and Galveston Streets, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordiance No. 55 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ Jan. 21 , 19 53

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7100

279

By.

Application Received <u>1-7-5</u> By	y_Q.Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{/ - a/ - \sqrt{3}}$ By	Siffin Palatt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $1-21$ Decision Derived Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $1-22$	Hearing date Date
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

anglandi na tao i a si tao a si tao a si tao a si tao i subisten a si tao i si tao i si ta si ta si tao i

submit and the of application for the formation down thread for the Carty of for Links,

personal and a second of the second s

and the parties we have

CINCTATUR PORT MIN

A set of the set of th