

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11534</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof Ordinance Nox 2924, asxamended): see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to George and Louise Rodgers to erect an apartment over a garage, making three living units on Lot 46, Block F, Montclair, 3571 Redwood Street, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

I may just extended to

UNCERTING OF STREET

U DIN WEIGHT RECEIRCET

-1

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1953_______ , 1953______

By

Application Received $3 - 24 - 53$	By D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - \sqrt{-3}$	By <u>Leucester</u> Jones Jouth City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-1</u> Decision Denicd	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date
Cours of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-2	_ Building Inspector <u>4-3-13</u>
Diaming Commission 4-3-03 Petitione	r 4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-1-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Appeal med with Oily official, and	Date
Decision of Council	
Resolution becomes effective	······································
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
T HILE HEHLE COLLEGE	

enality in the state of the second state of the second the second state of the second state of

The follow is the Poly of the Colorge and Section Science to street an environment of a section of the section

- The DA DA DESCRIPTION DA THE BOULDE COMMITTEE OF THE CLEW OF SUP DISK

adversaly affect the Martey Plan of the State

and the second of the maintain will materially affect the health of safety of a second of the materially detrivental to be safety detrivental to be safety of the materially detrivental to

with underwarded and make method with underwarded and the property for the preservation of the property fulles of the printing posterior property other property and the printing of the print

- Ca 144

the second of the second of the second second and the second seco

Cold 101.0501)

has been considered by t the evidence presented has

ISCOULTON SON STOL

RESOLUTION No.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Dr. and Mrs. E. W. Wright, 7821 Hillside Drive, La Jolla, California by John Lloyd Wright, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting permission to erect a 6-foot high fence on top of existing retaining wall along property line back of setback area, be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereb overruled and denied.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to Dr. Burnett W. and Esther W. Wright, to eract an S-foot fence, to be in the form of an open slat trellis with 50% open work, on a portion of Fueblo Lot 1285 as per legal description on file in the Planning Office. at 7821 Hillside Drive in Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

	the above to be a full, true,		Resolution No. 111720
of the Council of the	City of San Diego, as adopted	by said Council	April 23, 1953

PRED . City Clerk

7302

By______HELEN M. WILLIG Deputy. WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11788</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15-of Ordinance Nov 8924, case amended): see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Burnett W. and Esther W. Wright to erect a 6-foot high fence on top of existing retaining wall along property line back of setback area, portion Pueblo Lot 1285, per legal description on file in Planning Office, 7821 Hillside Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

program withdrawn

THE ALL BUILDE LOST A

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_53

Secretary Res. No. 7302

350A

Application Received <u>3-24-53</u> B	y_V. Berghts
	City Planning Department
/	y <u>Laucaster</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4 - 1 - \sqrt{3}}{B}$	y Laucaster, Jones & Joult
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision the Bal:	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-1	Building Inspector <u>4-3-5-3</u>
Planning Commission 7 - 5 0 5 Petitioner	4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	- U.I.I.o
Kesolution becomes encentre	Continued to
Application withdrawn	
Time limit extended to	Date of action

12-	2	111		•											
100				05 13	*) I.	Incol.	do nor:	I PIN	t an t	120 1	V S.To	111111	CETTERS	. Star	17.11 97
1.0		100	17.	en Terra	1. 240	21218	MATT		7.0.3	1-02 7	1700 1	2010 01	156503	NOT STREET	
				5 1. 1		n bl.		25 27	1.416	1.2.74	1 11	1 mg line	10 02	1000 0	negoot.

the sector live it had show, insofer is the relation the proversi depositors A TOTAL TO THE DECKINGTON AT HEREING LO & TOTALOUS DE STOTE THE STELLE STREET

I THE FOULT IN MY THE CONTRE COMMITTOE OF THE CITY OF SAN Diego.

a second of the application will _Bob. materially affect the health or safety of a second of the nervine of the nervine second of will _Bub. be materially definented to

. work umerreary

strated contracts not spuly generally to state property in the same second talevalues of conditions applicable to the property

Colling and the second second and the second s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11754</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bellevue Properties, Inc., to move in and operate a management office for apartment development, on Lot 2, Block 2, Bellevue Center No. 1, 5802 University Ave., Zone R-4; subject to the conditions listed on House Moving Resolution No. 1483.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5284 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdrawn Fime limit extended to

CONDICIT DECOMES CLEEPIN

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

DreepEaton Date

THUDCSCHOP TEECSCALE

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 1 , 19 53

By_

115

Secretary Res. No. 7303

FORM 2145

Application Received 3-24-53 B	y P. Burton City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 7 - 5 = 3$ B	y Laucester Jones o South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-1	Hearing date
Decision App. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-2}{1-3}$ Planning Commission $4-3-53$ Petitioner	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>4-3-53</u>
Planning Commission 4-3-53 Petitioner	4 - 2 - J - 3 Health Department $4 - 3 - J - 3$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Cost Collect August 1300

AND THE TOTAL the a full for the start of the set and to be an another an inter a set of the set of th

a spag of to all harris The tribog openal Treat the proti here to gas to prot A versional to bid provisions of is intege to. SNM for service, by , and is accelt

and good good

the first one work with

while date office for a safe out dovotopo ne, on for 2, store 2, start a setting a safet in 1. " This behave in the cross of the solution of the solution, the solution is reading of

- in a star or control of an list of the clock, and will like be materially detrimental to A more for any the application will not a materially affect the bealth of safety of
- - and makes and, which do not apply generally to other property in the name
 - the substant statustances of conditions applicable to the property
 - and the second second and the second se

WHEREAS, Application No. 11762 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eva Pearl Pate, owner, and Malcolm Mercer, purchaser, to construct duplex on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, on west 50 feet of Lots 21 thru 24, Block 81, City Heights, on northeast corner of 40th and Dwight Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1953

FORM 2145

Application withdrawn

By

90

Application Received <u>3-26-15</u> B	By U. TScealto City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - 3 = B$	by <u>Lancaster</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-1	Hearing date
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-2</u>	Building Inspector 4-3-5
Planning Commission 4-3-55 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-53 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

MAR ALS ALAS

the evidence presented has shown (see Section has hear considered by the Countetre

the state of the second second second states of apply generally to other property in the same

services of substances property clubts of the paritionar, persenal by other property Here nouncersus.

the second second second will not adversely affect the heater blan of the City

materially altered the suplimentation with gut materially alterat the health or watery of

I THE THE TER IS ANTION TO AN APPLIT LARGE MARKED AND ADDRESS TO THE PARTY

THE THE MADE THE en son sin and an investe bit sin and an and an anappinger and and an anappinger and and an anappinger and and a

A STATE STATE BOATT WE BE THE TRANK OF THESE AND AND THE TRANK AND THE TRANK AND THE

I THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY AND A REAL AND AND ADDING THE ADDING THE SHEELDER ADDING THE ADDING TH

WHEREAS, Application No. 11756 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15. Ondinance: Norr8924x as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David and Goldie Smith to construct a single family residence and attached garage with 5-foot setback for both residence and garage, on Lots 24, 25 and 26, Block R, Montclair, east side of Haller Street, south of Maple, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Finne Baut Cotonord to V bound with the second second

REPORTING DECENCE GILGE Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

BECKER BERGER MUSIC

VERICATION RECEIVED

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 53 Dated ____ April 1

Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7305

Application Received	By have
. the second s	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-1-1-3</u>	By Laucaster Jone & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 1$	Hearing date
D · · · / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Date
Course of Recolution sent to City Clerk 7 - 3	Building Inspector 4-3-73
Planning Commission 4-3-53 Petitione	r 4-3-13 Health Department 4-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

· · · · · ·

(Tofo Lut vero and sign and a second and

on the rise of a plant will will will write astarially attact the health or antartyral

the second the first of the cost principle of the second second second second second second second second second

And the series of a second series of the property of the CELY

restances can albumant taxes a dealer to be cooked for another of party to be the second to be a to be The state is a rely ground to wate on to Lo bath to something the second and the second

TOTAL . TO THE ST. TROTE . TOTAL SY, DO I THEN OF THE PERMIT AND THE ST.

to the matter and the part of the second to be special to the second of the back and the second parts a service and an and a service of surgeries come for seen at any to prove a fair and the

DODA Starts

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11765</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mario and Thelma Vismara to erect a garage 40 feet back of front property line with one foot side yard on Lot 6, Block 1, Laurel Heights 2603 Kew Terrace, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 1

By

59

, 19<mark>53</mark>

J. M = Connell
City Planning Department
Laucaster Jones & South
dancaster forus & south
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date
Building Inspector 4- 3-53
-2-JJ Health Department 4-3-JJ
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

Same and I am a state of the state

plot dor Perce. Sona 8-0. Photo Andrew Philip of proje wy the formar afte frunt after one gour still have of the set of the set of the start for the The statistical for hermities with the bar with any statistics by the statistic and the statistic day state

- to the sector of the sector through a sector of the sector protocol and the sector of the A VILLACO DA DIA PARATALIAN OF NUMBERSHI COOR THE JOL SECTOR, MEL SE DEFENSION

adversely affact the Manuar Plan of the City and the second second to the property or improvements in the noighborhood,

manual of sectory sector neighborhood, and will appear be referrably designed to a substant of the second state and materially affact the bealth or safety of

counted measured property sights of the petitioner, persented by other property the provision of the application is necessary for the preservation

the second callerate, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section has been considered by the Zoning Committee

work annegastry

an interfall, which do not notly generally to other property in the same

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11725</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lorenzo D. and Bessie L. Estep to split out two parcels not of record at time of zoning, one 50 feet wide and one 70 feet wide, and have the right to erect two living units on each parcel, being a portion of Lot 33. Lemon Villa, according to legal description and plot plan on file in Planning Office, south side of Wightman Street at Ogden Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5037 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

111

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 1

Daw(3735) FOR DATED

Tame limit extended to

, 19

Secretary Res. No. 7307

Van

Application Received 3-26-53 B	y J. M & Connec City Planning Department
11	City Planning Department
	y <u>Laucaster</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
2. ITTE ATTENT ITTE with miles and your	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4-7$	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-2$	Building Inspector 4-3-1-3
Planning Commission 4-3-13 Petitioner	4-2-5 Health Department 4-3-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Jul 100- ob 11 be and 1 million and 1 be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

gen an and

.

The second second

.

WIGHTMAN ST.
OG DE W
advernely affect the bine of the street of the biner Plan of the Chry
The second secon
work unrecessery for the application is necessery for the preservation
Our services and a service of a service service of conditions applicable to the property of a service service of a service
has been considered by the Zoning Committee

CLUTICK NO. 7

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11790</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph M. and Mary M. Testa to erect 4-foot masonry wall 50 feet long with 4 inch setback on 54th Street, Lot 1, Block 1, Furlow Heights, 5359 Redwood Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

A plw atton windrawn

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

By

service of determinant of conditions applicable to the property

at a distributed property cights of the petitioner, possessed by other property " at the start of the application is meressey for the preservation

a we have an an an intervention of the property of improvements in the maintenbook interior of a sublimeter the methodology, and will make be mean will detrimental tothe superior of the application will not materially affect the bealth of spices of

a substant and the second of the market adversely affect the Market Plan of the City

THIL IS FOOL TON WILL D LOOP & BUCH ON SUDA FERRED, TOP 1, I TONK T, THINK MINE . President to berefy on stort to Jourda P. rat Mary " There as there a section a section of

A PALADOR AN THE REVENUES HAVE AND HAVE AND THE PALADOR THE PALADOR THE PALADOR THE AND THE ADDR

5 53 Matucol treet, Tond E.I.

UDRAG. is the prelimitar shiped bore, shows an burg will be be the through a stand when any

Application Received 3-26-53	By Q. Baughman City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - 4^{-3}$	By <u>Laucester</u> Jones & South City Planning Department
a retrain the state dails arrest to man an	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _4-1	Hearing date
Decision akker	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-2</u> Planning Commission <u>4-3-03</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector 4-3-53 r 4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-53
Planning Commission 4-3-13 Petitione	r 4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WENT TH

10 10 - Sal 31

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11791</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph P. and Kathleen A. Whalen to construct single family residence with 10 foot setback on Lots 33 thru 36, Block 15, Frary Heights, northeast corner Nutmeg Place and Nutmeg Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

of panutato

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ________, 19_53

FORM 2145

By____

Application Received 3-27-13 By	U. Berghts
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - 1^{-3}$ By	Laucaster Jone + South
a construction of a star start of the start of the start of the	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appen.	Date
Decision appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-2</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-3-5-3</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 3 - 1 5 Petitioner	4-2-3 Health Department 4-3-1-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

section for the section and the evidence presented has shown (see Section hav been considered by the Zoning Committee

special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property · .

se processed shows do not apply penerally to other property of the same

reconsting of the optionion is _____ necessary for the presented HOLY HIDEELEBELS

the second reaction in the metabolic and will much be materially detrimental to a material will gut materially affect the health of safety of

the structure of the Sole adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

of a state of the state of the Committee of the City of Son Diego.

Performance on the burnely is marked to there of the marked burnely and the statistic for states you and the

s politica, stice at actual liburg line and lithing brands, such that

The second second

A PUTAL OF DE 2 O PUTAL OLIVER OF LOT OF A DIAGON HE SHAR TOLICO. SE SHE TERMORY

The state of the s

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11769</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/Ordinan¢e/No!/8924;/as/amehded): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manuel L. Rosenthal to erect 19 ft. by 55 ft. store building attached to existing grocery store with attached living quarters; no sideyard on northeast side, Lot 8, Block 6, El Cerrito Heights No. 1, 5928 El Cajon Blvd. Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Collinguate in

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_53

FORM 2145

or papiratical term with

UNITED STATES AND STATES

By___

Secretary

Res N. . 7310

116

Designed and the second s	0
Application Received $3 - 27 - 4^{-3}$ By	D. South
F1	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - 5$ By	y <u>Laucastes</u> Jones + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 1	Hearing date
Decision apper	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-2$	Building Inspector 4-3-53
Planning Commission 4 - 3 - 53 Petitioner	$4 - 2 - \sqrt{3}$ Health Department $4 - 3 - \sqrt{3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a seit an southerst vible, tot ", start d, Fi canet to fatther as the start of the set and a produce and the product of the weeds to be and the product of back of the the second as howed, of states to second the second to second the second second

to his your cultury should above, should not they raises to the around a described There are the provint we of a "busic Cole lot that we see to here of the

- -----

10 x 1 1 1 1

The provident of all the horizon with the advertely affect the Master Flow of the City

second of the efficiency will that materially affect the beather seconds of a second of will will will be materially detramated to

- the relation of property replice of the petwissner, persented by other property

work unnecousity

second transmit matter out apply generally to other property in the same

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11764</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [15] p[f] Ordinance Nd. [8924,] ds [and rided]: Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe L. Stanton to split out portion of Pueble Let 1280 and 1289, per legal description on file and plot plan on file in Planning Department, approximately 2 acres in size, and build single family residence, served by a 30-foot easement to La Jolla Shores Drive, in rear of Rancho del Charro on Torrey Pines Road, Zone RI-B.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5332 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______April 1____

FORM 2145

, 19 53

Application Received 3-26-53 By D. South City Planning Department Investigation made <u>4-1-5</u> By Laucas mes + South City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-1 Hearing date app. Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4 - 2 Building Inspector 4 - 3 - 5Planning Commission 4 - 3 - 5 Petitioner 4 - 2 - 5 Health Department 4 - 3 - 5Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action VENIDA DE LAYA SIN FOR RB STANTON P.L. 12.81 PL.1286 Mc Williame The bar of the bar 03 DOM 00 ty of San Birch. williams PLAN, OF LINE CADY 0 7 ING I SHOOSING rether TWITE GALLAND TO TO A, DON'T CHI LOSS waters or Jerne & PINE ph office becheerh Los on birthantron TOBREY RD. more anosconsanth ARDATH andalk a property in the same PL 1288 UCAU CONSIDEI q ph rpo

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Boyd M. Walters to erect 5-foot high solid redwood fence on top of retaining wall which ranges in height from 2 feet to 38 inches, over all height of 8 ft. 2 inches with 6 inch setback from Olivet Lane, Lot 10, Block 74, La Jolla Park Villa Fract, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

UNCERTON TON TO CONTROL

By

350

Application Received _2-7-5 By	V. Beights City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - \sqrt{3}$ By	Laucaster Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-1</u>	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Decision approximation contraction of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-2</u>	Building Inspector <u>4-5-53</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 3 - 5 5 Petitioner	4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The Lease states a second memory of the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

all the state of the state

The read and the sections, so at that love, it may an a same section of the section of the section A A TAT THE P P. P. P. P. A.P. MA REMARKS AT A PARK MAT TOP WEET THE SHE PARTER Tools 70, is follo how, with mass, where we .

CONCILINAL SHOPPING

a fan he fan

forten en bor of metriculation will stated render it beight from 2 foot to 32 junker. the second of the second state of the second of the second

remained measured property rights of the petitioner, possessed by ather property.

a. The second of the second apply generally to other property in the same

Fis hear considered by the Sphing Committee

are a conditional provide provide or conditions applicable to the property construction California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section construction to the shown (see Section

RESCUDITON NO. 7512

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11793</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No. 8924; researended</u>): see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to George J. and Mildred N. Duhamel to construct 4-foot high chain link fence on property line in front of setback line, on Lot 1, Block 4, Bay Park Vista No. 2, 3526 Baker Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Approximent with dealers of the second se

Dean of Calman made

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 1953

By

420

the second state of the sticken of the sticken of the shewn (new Section state the sticken of the shewn (new Section state the sticken of the

. In the state of the solution of the solution

work understry would not be application as <u>but</u> measury to the preservation to the pr

in the second of the state of the second of

adversely afted the burner of the adversely afted the barter Plan at the City

LIC. THE SEX ON IT RESOLVED. BY the South Committee of the City of Sun Direct

wheireicht is hurchy soul - to cospe d. sod Filered - and wel to compare de -escos Gen desir line fices on or estrict line in front of colorde line, on wet i, clock d.

menticulies for a visional to the provisions of suminipal cade to reach as an ar ar by the second the perticulian stored shows, then is not relate to the mereody reportion they are a

Application Received 3-26-13 By U. Beights City Planning Department Investigation made <u>4 - 1 - 5 - 3</u> By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-1 Hearing date Date Decision denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-2 Building Inspector 4- 5-53 Planning Commission 4-3-53 Petitioner 4-273 Health Department 4-3-13 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Relangent) - RED!

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11776</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xofxOrdinancexNoxx8924;xasxamended): see Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William F. and Jean K. Leverenz to construct a fence in the setback area, not to exceed 3 feet in height above the level portion of the driveway to the garage, and in any case, not to exceed a maximum of 4 feet in height along the front property line, on the northerly half of Lots 18 thru 20, Block 300, Pacific Beach, southwest corner of Oliver and Fanuel Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

uplication withdrawn ine finit extended to

Continued to Date of actio

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

merrigation mude

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 1</u>, 19_53

Secretary Res. No. 7314

313

By

provide the source of the source presented by the Nobing Committee presented how shown (see Section contraction contraction and the source presented how shown (see Section contraction contraction and how where 101.0001)

- a sum a second of communes as a conditions applied to the property in the same
- werk understary would would work understary for the preservation is the preservation is the preservation of the preservation o
- a second for application will put materially affect the bealth artantery of a second second in the second part and will gut be enterially detrivantal to the property of incoverents to the neighborhood.
- any other was and an end to the second attend the matter find of the carr
- The second of a second of the foring Committee of the City of Sun Blezo
- AN THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE THE THE PARTY IN THE PARTY OF AND THE PARTY OF THE P
- A Plance is the provision of knowing to do not a sold by the sold of the provide the second of the solution of the provide the providet the providet the providet the providet the provide

	Laucaster Jone & South
Investigation made <u>4-1-1-3</u> B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appr. conde	Date
Conv of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4 - 2	Building Inspector <u>4-3-13</u>
Planning Commission 4-3-13 Petitioner	4-2-53 Health Department 4-3-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By mare

City Planning Department

Application Received 3-25-53

RESOLUTION NO. 7315 and 7316 NOT USED

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11757</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to East Bay Community Church to erect a church on Lot 124, Morena Acre Lots, Galveston and Orten Streets, Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 10-foot easement along the full width of the property on Galveston Street, and a 20-foot easement along the portion of lot fronting on Orten Street, be dedicated to the City for street widening;
- 2. That the west half of Illion Street along Lot 124 be paved and curbed, to be completed at the time of occupancy of the church or any portion, according to the recommendations of the Engineering Department; bond to be furnished;
- 3. That a paved parking area be provided and maintained on the property to accommodate care at a ratio of one car to each ten persons present;
- 4. That the plans be approved architecturally by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 1953

LI LICE STOLL

FORM 2145

By

Application Received 3-17-53 B	U. Beighto
- ipplication received	City Planning Department
	Thes fancastes South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 14-	Hearing date
Decision Could akker.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-11	Building Inspector 4 - 20 - 1-3
Planning Commission 4-2-0 Petitioner	4-17-5 Health Department 4-20.53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Part
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
a le l'e orresteriere states chaust intatio	ol. R5 New Ferter, Ne. and is hardly granted
the start live place her adviced	seal beaused in the lines a partitude.

- to be furel should
- cacording we the reconstructions of the inginoration separatement book to be completed on the place of pacificary of the classich or any paralon, That the write while of Flitthe Street slage for the The pared and childred,
- CLUB CLUB NO. TTOTAL AN CODER SERVICE DE COLLARDER OF AND SELL THE PERSON
- Cotverter tracht, and a co-root entered a plant use foreign of but
- to shared and to variant first and have a contract of the broken of •

gent with the sector. The sector sectors we have have been a branch a branch of the sector and

Distant Die Beiligewalt in 1

the provent of the furniture will a sola advectably attact the Master Plan of the City

The limited of the efficience with 10% meterially affect the health of safety of

simplet electronications or consistions applicable to the property

The second s



-

and the log sector in the sector of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11735</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Coe 101.0501)

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reg Stalmer to divide portion of Lot 2, Sub. of east half of Pueblo Lot 1215 into four parcels, A, B; C and D, as shown on plat on file in Planning Office, and permit a single family residence on each parcel, legal description on file in Planning Office, 8056 Linda Vista Road, Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 60-foot easement be provided across Parcels A, B and C, the centerline of said 60-foot easement to be 130 ft. northerly of the southerly line of said lots:
- 2. That an easement be provided from the aforementioned easement 28 ft. in width on the easterly boundary of Parcel B, and 28 ft. in width along the westerly side of Parcel C;
- 3. That a 10-foot setback be maintained on each side of the 60-foot easement in Condition No. 1;
- 4. That a 15-foot setback be maintained on each side of the easement in Condition No. 2.

A variance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 15 , 19 53

Secretary Res. No. 7318

FORM 2145

approximate wranted to Etime matter estended to

406A - 425

By

Market and the available presented has shown (see Section by the Toning Committee by the Abown (see Section by a 101.0571)

and a state of the city affect the Wester Than of the City.

The are, to by stabultu, By the coning Compittee of the City of San Disko.

Possible for the object of the four persons, a, 25 0 and 9, 65 the particular of 165 2, 550, 65 citta to the burner of the construction of the con

interime and provide a place locate reduced a public provide a contract, local creations of the in thereing office, 0056 binds risks how, one will contract to the following contraction: 1. then the root officient to provided merops forgula 4, 3 and 4, the sectorile of 1. the contraction of the set of provided merops forgula 4, 3 and 4, the sectorile of

while of month matching to be 1.0 ft merchand, of the postarily time of said late; that is considered be provided from the circumstance and smooths the response of the in white on the matching beamdary of Source, A, and 25 ft. in width about the monents can all surged 0;

A A THE THE OL FOR DECATATIONS OF CALIFORNIA DO THESE FOR SHE FOR WELLING SHE FOR THE

 Antic a lo-foot pethods be maintained on much star at the do-foot entered in some laise No. 1;
Antic a lo-foot setbook be maintained as each side of the encoded in Contains So. 2.

By <u>l</u> · Baughma City Planning Department Application Received 3-9-5-9 Investigation made <u>4 - 15 - 53</u> By an canter Janes 0 d City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-15 Hearing date. conte. Date appr. Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-5</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20-5</u> Petitioner <u>4-16-5</u> Health Department <u>4-20-55</u> Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action port : lars strond above, involer as bany relate to the
RESOLUTION No. 113098

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the hearing on the appeal of Russell Segel from the decision of the Zoning Committee denying his request for maintaining a 12' x 20' screened vegetable room and construction a 30' x 60' lath house attached to the rear of an existing market in C Zone, at the Southwest corner of Euclid and Federal Blvd., in Zone Rel, (continued 60 days from May 14, 1953, to give Mr. Segel an opportunity to comply with the law) be, and it is hereby continued to 10:00 o'clock, Tuesday, July 21, 1953.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the matter be referred to the Zoning Committee.

113098

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.______ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council_______JULY 14, 1953

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk LA VERNE E. MILLER

By_

FORM 1270

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11729</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15cof Ordinance Noce8924, case amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>mak</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>mak</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>n</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Russell Segel, owner, and Mayfair Markets, Inc., lessee, to maintain a 12-foot by 20-foot screened vegetable room and to construct approximately 30-foot by 60-foot lath house attached to the rear of an existing market building in the C Zone; on the easterly 390 feet of the southerly 320 feet of the northerly 645 feet of Lot 16, Ex-Mission Lands, southwest corner of Buclid and Federal Aves., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 1953

By

157

FORM 2145

has been considered by the Zoning Committee Saids frid, and the synderice presented has shown (see Section of Section 10201, 2000, 201,0501)

- " the second second description of conditions splitching to the property to the tupe
- the province of the subjection would not necessary for the preservation of substantial property rights of the patitioner, parameted by other property of substantial property rights of the patitioner, parameted by other property

", a source will I adversaly affect the Master Flon of the City

territerion is corresp Fediral to branchi territ, ormer, and Ferifair Westors, No., Neared, 30 octivities - lo-fact by Nu-food margemed Veretable rear and to markamet approximately 20-cost of a minor lett heare established to the rear of an erichter and to be britting in 20 of a minor loss with the rear established to the rear of an erichter and to be below the start of a minor contart. Not feet of the rearbirity 200 for of the rearbirity of the

A WERE THE TREAT TREAT TO A DOT ANALL OUTSTOL OF TRANSPORT AND TREAT TO AND THE TREAT TREAT TREAT TREAT

U. Beegh Application Received _ 3 - 30 - ~ By City Planning Department Investigation made 4-15--53 By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4 -15 Hearing date_ Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u> Building Inspector <u>4 - 30</u> Planning Commission <u>4 - 5 Petitioner</u> <u>4 - 16 - 5 Health Department</u> <u>5</u> 53 4-20-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 4-16-53 Council Hearing, date 5-14 -53 Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11808</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xofxOrdinance Nox 8924; as emended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arthur J. and Mildred H. Hayes to divide out a portion of Pueblo Lot 1258, per legal description on file in Planning Office, and erect a single family residence, after dedication of Right of Way to the City, as shown on sketch on file in Planning Office, the building site being on one side of the Electric Right of Way now owned by the City of San Diego, approximately 120 feet south of Vincente Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

anst

April 15 , 19 53 Dated_

Secretary Res. No. 7320

FORM 2145

By Q. Baughuss City Planning Department Application Received 3 - 3/ - 5-3 Aonth Investigation made _____ By tones City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 15 Hearing date_ Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u> Date Building Inspector 4-20-5-Planning Commission 4-20. 5 Petitioner 4-16 Health Department 4 - 20 - 5 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Dow Line Dr. Date of action Time limit extended to S.D. ELectric Ry. R/W s reactions suched shows, insertant on same PHU DLODIAR ROCALIDAR PROTO TATATURO PO SHO ULONA HIGHE OL (LATHOLDO DO TREAD DO' DO TO TOLDON HIMPO ON PO moon to said some said ing good nor he required the orth of the loth of the house how he had been and the court menter on I LA LA PLANDING, CTION, the bulleton site source and success and aturite forth; chai ende, with r dediancies of deat of any te 1 170 . The The anobio box Less, ger bart deteriotion on rite in theming office, and i reamination is to react reacted to artime J. and Fildned the set of the HE IT RESOUNED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of and all the vertice of the loss of adversely siles the mater plan of the City as in which we to the property of improvements in the neighborhood. second in the neighborhood, and will - 1915, be materially detrimented to of the spectrum will much materially affect the bealth or safety of the standards of the abbitchings is becaused id, the begannetter 1 TU - 2000 101 0201)

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11753</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u> as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to P. J. and Betty Osborn to convert an existing garage into a studio apartment, making three units on Lots 45 and 46, Block 35, Fairmount Addition to City Heights, 4286 49th Street, Zone R-4, one unit to be served by a 4-foot access court; on condition that three paved off-street parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 15 ______, 19_53

FORM 2145

By_

(TOP DE LO COCOCICIÓN MARTE (COLO TOTO (201) on specific Carifornia, and the evidence presented has shown (and Section been considered by the Zoning Considered by the Zoning Consister

on the meridiant of which in not apply generally to other property in the wake control of compared of conditions applicable to the property 1

and advertualial property rights of the patitioner, possessed by other property

sections as intuitions in the property of improvements in the orighborhood. soften at working in the astghborhood, and will _ not the materially detrimental to accounted the application will not materially affect the health of safety of

a making of the multimor will __ Hot_ adversely affect the Mester Plan of the City

Martin Ba

arminantion is to reby excelore to P. J. C. M. C. Cobors, to cohvert an extension and an entering and the entering COLV. DE IT REPORTED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

STACONG INT THE PROPERTY. manuer court ; on considior shot three proved off-strong periling number are proving ond and how, to ofter netricel, while while throug, for the, one must be be served by a teres THE RATE TO CARTECIE, MANALE BATE DISCO DI LOSS OF STRAFF, WICH OF, WISH DIDLE

and president of stated above, incores an targe remain to any property contribut source. a what your to the provisions of humbergel todu tol. Odd the, special hereign printed on to

Application Received By	J. ME Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-15-5</u> By	Jones Laucaster South City Planning Department
	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Decision approx. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u>	Building Inspector 4-20-1-3
Planning Commission 4 - 20 Petitioner	4-16-13 Health Department 4-20
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Last considered by her second strain second strains
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1. S. 52. 7 7. Th

ASSTON

CEF YAF STORY

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11814</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section $15/\phi f/\phi f/\phi f/\phi hhhck / No! / BP2H, / Ab / Ambhded)/: Municipal Code 101.0501$

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dennis George Connelly, by Mary Louise Buchite, Executrix, to convert existing unit into two apartments, making a total of five units on lot, one of which will be served by 5-foot 6-inch access court, Lot 7, Block 8, Hillcrest Addition east side of 4th Avenue between Washington St. and University Ave., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO; CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

ing lenk extended to

THE STREET OF LOT OF

WASHINGTON TO A STATE

By___

14

Secretary Res. No. 7322

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>4-1-5</u> By	U. Beight City Planning Department
LL	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 15 \cdot 53$ By	Jones Laucuster + South
A ATT OF ALLERTH ALLER AND A METER AND A LERT	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 15$	Hearing date
Decision chkr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector 4-20-53
Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner	4-16-13 Health Department 4-20-5-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a state of the same intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same approximation approximation of according to the property

a build that the transmis of the application is merceancy for the preservation

second and the second to the neighborhood, and will most be materially detrimental to sing the period of the replication will real materially affect the health or safety of

Decomposition of advantage of the wall of the second of a second of the Mander Plan of the Willy

DETERMINE OF ALSOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diegov

are show in boundar (no show to famile Copiers Summelly, or jory family, Saulty, Saulty, Saulthar,

wert and this will do be approximate and an a based of firm out a far and a were the for the second of the second for the second and the second for the second second second for the second sec

and bu the storicians of Austria. Done shows and the part is particular associated a second of a second of the sec the of 143 Posts between Kanhington to and Prisocritis 1984, 1980 S.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11796</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence leresseted has shown (see Section is of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary A. Fetters to construct a single family residence on parcel not of record at time of zoning, being the southerly 1/2 of Villa Lot 79, Normal Heights, on west side of Hawley Blvd. between North Mountain View Dr. and Cromwell Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13594 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 15 _____ , 19 53

O. DADDARY BREED AND

Secretary

Res. No. 7323

FORM 2145

81

By

has been considered by the Zoning Committee

aperial circulations or conditions applicable to the property is the model. Which do not apply penerally to other property in the same

must an of the replication will must must write the health or selety of a second selection of the restriction of the property of improvements in the restriction of

3. The respective of the variance will not accessely affect the Master Plan of the City

The Levente, DS IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

while a lot here by granted by fary a Rebberg to appretrate a chigh fraily restance.

They for that of bort side of Lawley Alway, fouries for the view of the set of the set

White the the the prover instance of they reach a task of the property described as the task

Application Received	S. the - Connell
- pproution received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4 - 10 By</u>	Jones Rause te Joutz City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-15	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector 4 - 20 - 53
Planning Commission 4 - 20 - Petitioner	4-16 Health Department 4-20-03
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11778</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Octionance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gordon and Rebecca Prentice to construct 3-foot freestanding wall on top of a 2-foot retaining wall for a distance of 4 feet, 3 inches out beyond the setback line, as shown on plans on file in Planning Office, on Lot 66, Clairemont Heights Unit No. 1, 2046 Garfield Road, Zone R-1; subject to the condition that the joints of the existing retaining wall be filled and the entire wall be brush coated with a light color.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19 53

FORM 2145

NOTICE LIGHT MARTINET TWO

By

THE DOLD LOLOGOIT

Jergeren, which up not apply generally to other properly in the new

in a second of property rights of the petitioner, purseesed by other preparty

strog ar secretize on the salphorhood, and will _ most in assertally detrimated to an an intervention of the state of the section of the project of an entry of

adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

that the foiling of the off the relative will be thind one the sector will be brank Justiment for mer dail de. 1. Staf fortiele ford, one led; modies to the condition are beyond the related line, as shown on plume on olde in " wanter there are too to. stability will on top of a 2- for webrining will for a distance of a cost, S dreams the first is active for a conder and the conder and the second is constant - took the

the series is the series spore, income as they relate to the preparity decorbed shows. AUTORITATION LO UNO DIOVISION OF MAINING OOO ADLAND De, and in here's strated on to

53 By 3. In Connell City Planning Department Application Received _4 - 1 -Investigation made ______ By. City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ -Hearing date_ 15 appr. - conde Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16 Building Inspector 4- 20 - U-3 Planning Commission 4 - 20 - v3 Petitioner 4 - 16 - 1 3 Health Department 4 - 20 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

the second second second

BOREON WELL N 13 - 5 0-1 - -----

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11831</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15:06 Orderance Web 2924; as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will **max** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **max** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Shirley L. Thomas, owner, and John S. McGrath, purchaser, to erect and operate plumbing shop for sale of plumbing material, office and sales room, store room and shop, on Lots 436, 437, 438 and 439.(except the east 10 feet).Sunshine Gardens, first property west of telephone building, Market Street, east of Huclid Ave., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withous and function to the fight exclanation of the second of the second

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

HIVE SUSPECT TO A CLE

FORM 2145

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 15 , 19 53

By

has been considered by the Towing Committee In the relidence presented has shown (see Section Providence presented has shown (see Section Providence presented has shown (see Section

a series of the property shick do not apply generally to other property in the same

and a production of the regulations would not not more mark minecessary and a product the granting of the application is . Mak, necessary for the preservation and a product of substantial property rights of the peritioner, parasted by other property

the inclusion of the application will that caterially affect the health or unfery of a contraction of the neighborhood, and will like be esterially detrimented to

the rebuild settlere or injurious to the property or improvements in the polyhoothed.

I les Dieges ing of the vertages will date advancely effect the Master Pien of the tity

The Tare D (- flue

TERFORE, DE IT RESOLVED, My the Koning Compaties of the Caty of San Diego.

nerolasion is heroby weiled to initial 4. Thereas, evel-four F. Mesra M. Paretwer, to press and oper to plumbing shop for only of plumbing meterial, effice and value row, store row and shop, on both 136, 637, 438 and 639, coment the east 18 feet, mending operate first property west of a leadene weilding, forket firmes, east of mellicity.

an line time for a voringer to the provisions of freintance of the sector, he and he

Application Received _4 - 6 - 5-3 By J. m & Connell City Planning Department Investigation made ____ 4-15-53 By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4-15 Hearing date Decision Date Acried Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16 Building Inspector 4-20- -- 3 Planning Commission 4-20-15 Petitioner 4 -16 -5 - Health Department 4 - 20- 5-7 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Laber to a

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11827</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 | $\frac{15}{6}$ | $\frac{6}{7}$ | $\frac{16}{7}$ | $\frac{1$

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Arnett Pawley and Waneta Keyes to add unit, making total of 15 units, one of which will be served by 8-fact access court on Lots F and G, Block 239, Horton's Addition, north side Grape Street between 1st and 2nd Avenues, Zone C and R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

House an enclosed for

HALL DECOMPOSE STATESTAR

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_53

By.

the state as a state of the second of the state of the state of the second state of th

- a second and the use determined, which do not apply generally to other property in the name appoint circumitances or conditions applicants to the property.
- second to a property sights of the petitioner, possessed by other property and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation
- the public solicite of injustory to the property of improvements in the mainhorhood, a party confidence or working in the neighborhood, and will not be emterially detrimental to The granting of the application will not materially affect the health of safety of
- They the grouting of the waringse will _____ adversely affect the Master Flon of the City
- BE JT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- as the total and the and the second of the state of the second of the state of the state of the state of sourt of 15 water, one of which will be served do for sour social for there will be Permission is hereby granted on Armade Prekay and Knurth Kayes on and mail, mailed

the the particulars of bod shows, from the so they relate bothes reached depiction ind in hereign constant Astrinos to the side is the termined of the set of the set of the COLUMN COLUMN TO AND

Investigation made _____4 15-13 By City Planning Department -Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4 - 11-Hearing date Decision appr. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _4-16 4-20 Building Inspector_ Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner 4-16 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By

Application Received 4 - 7 - J - 3

V. Berg

City Planning Department

- Get prote to the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11828</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/6f/0fdinance/No!/8924, as an an and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest J. and Mary L. Amis to convert existing apartment to two units, making a total of eight units on lot, four of which will be served by a 4-foot access court on Lot 8, Block 8, Hillcrest, east side 4th Avenue between Washington and University Avenues, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

12 Mar Day Back has marked

Dated <u>April 15</u>, 19 53 FORM 2145

TWE DUTY WORDS

THE CHIER BURGER STORES

PERMITTER VICESTAL

Secretary Res. No. 7327

LESCENTION NO.

be all See Digital California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- and any phy the use inconcled, which do not apply generally to other property in the same
- a superal subscential property tights of the petitioner, boncrased by uside property the real first the Treating of the application is " necessary for the presentation
- the mean of the application will me materially willoct the health of actory of

- most to be welled, whither a total of erget withe on lot, sime of which will be berred by a befort source compt or lot 3, black 0, whitehest, spet which be become phonen semanteriou in hered, state to spinite ?. In this is your to convers the pro-
- WARDER FOR THE PERMENTER ALLER " THE PT
- as so sto bespicer; we as the poor poor a spectrum to spectrum the second and a second and a pool a anagement of the state of the

Application Received _ 4-7-53 City Planning Department Investigation made _______ ones By a ouces City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4- 15 Hearing date Date Decision appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16 Building Inspector 4-20-Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner 4-16-5 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _ Date Decision of Council _ Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

By U. TSeeg

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11830</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nox 8924, case amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. Hall Co., owner, and Henry Dobbs, purchaser, to construct 30-foot by 30-foot building for radiator service and repair in the R-4 zone, to be used in connection with the adjacent C zone <u>userice.shoe</u>, on the south 40 feet of Lot 8, all of Lot 7 and 10 foot closing along Spruce Street, Block 129, Middletown, northerly side of Spruce, 100 feet easterly of India, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the parking area in the Rad Zone to be paved for customer parking;
- 2. That all work within the R-4 Zone to be confined within the building;
- 3. That there be no outside storage in the R-4 Zone.
- 4. That the completed plans be approved by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

5

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Res. No. 7328

FORM 2145

		and the second sec

- a sected since a contracted since an conditions applicable to the property
- The front of all the application will not interislip affect the medicin or animaty of
- certains resistion or working to the neighborhood, and will -- Hob- he materially detrimented to
- The five granting of the mathematic will ... Mathematically affect the Manter Plan of the City

- agenti true of torran' The tear employed at these your i they at the torran Job 3, all of tay ? and lo foot clocking along Darwoo Barows, store Ly, Churchan, o wething he- look by H - look building for redinkor sorvies and reputs in the set acre, For Lapice is hunchy provided by H. Bull Co., curer, and wanty Rebbe, "more and, to
- 18 Y * timp ppo bit The base to get the total at be beand for bearded. The state Fred at the same the ske the at Wenneystorn:
- the old with the state of the so have be nontrane with the weight the

the planding of the be exceeded the sympletic destruction

that there by no orbitly there is the set lope.

II C C P A D R Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to . 5 a Antivince to the Inderition of children in. 19913 be, and the Arabit Marting on to

Application Received 4-7-53	By D. South
-F1	City Planning Department
Investigation made 4-15-13	By Jones Laucaster & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4 - 13	Hearing date
Decision couse akpo.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-1	6 Building Inspector 4- 20 - 53
Planning Commission 4 - 20 Petition	er 4-16-53 Health Department 4-20-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and a shall be well and the second shall

William .

.

NEW TOT NOS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11812</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of/0fdihahce/No/./8024/./as/amehded): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. K. and Mel M. Deerr to erect 3-unit apartment building, including 3 garages, with 6-foot access court at ground level, and 4-foot on second floor, on Lot 5. Block 86, Ocean Beach Extension, northeasterly side of Long Branch Ave. between Abbott and Spray Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

Application withdrawn

01 96620161111

Secretary Res. No. 7329

221

By

KLEOLUTION NO. 71

the restriction of the first with the relation of the Section (see Section to the first shown (see Section to the relation of the relation of

- I perial circulations on conditions apply to other property in the same
- A state and the fegulations would record the spectrum of the application is ______ necessary for the preparation and state in the ground of the application is ______ necessary for the preparation and state in the state of the preparation of the petitionar, powersed by other preparty of the state and vision ry.
- I find the provident of the employment of the promotive of improvements in the university detailment of the promotive of improvements of improvements of improvements of the promotive of the pro
- A. The between in all the services will 1201 advarsely affect the Master Plan of the City
- at free harden of the service will not advaranty affect the Master Plan of the City
- Martine of Fillows:
- Prostantics is burchy counted to 5. . Why fold is been to owned themes approach " farility, including 5 (areas, with defort accurs cours of around level, and neight year steries (loov, or int 5, look 25, Cases Read Strender, quebrased of also of one include freque to be a lobel with forey cheenes, the level
- A vori coe to the sportation of justation for 10, 101,0601 (e. und is purely consistent of the purely consistent of the property of the property is the property in the property of the propec

Application Received By	.7. mª Connell
-pp. auton received	City Planning Department
	$\rho = \rho = \rho$
Investigation made By	Jones Faucaster + South
	// City Flanning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 15$	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20</u> Petitioner	Building Inspector 4-20-V-3
Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner	4 - 16 - 5 - 3 Health Department 4 - 20
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11834</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Odell L. and Dorothea G. Barnson to construct and operate a drive-in restaurant on portion of Lot 17, per legal description on file in Planning Office, La Mesa Colony, south side El Cajon Blvd., between 62nd and Art Streets, Zones C and R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

philo grant an ion philo of

THACKING HALL LUNCTO

O aburcation bicon

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

By

Secretary

FORM 2145

(TOSO "AT DOGO "UNIT "COMPTON OF " has been considered by the Longer Lower Lee

a section was deriveded, which do not apply generally to other property in the same sideral structure or conditions applicable to the projecty

a mount of another property rights of the patitioner posteneed by other property the second from the designed of the application as the second for the presentation

The sector of the term to the property of throwships in the netwoods an emistery as werking as the naterboarboad, and will not be materially detrimental to to Atomic of the application will the materially affect the material of anticity of

The size way but of the astronom and the advertage attent the manual Plan of the City

IN STATUSE OF TI TREOFARD BA THE THUTUE COMMERCES OF SHE CICA OF SHE BYSRO!

a driv - in yor bounted on portion of Lot 17, per line bacard rises on the in Limiter Charles of Deduced Proceed of Policy and by before it will bour to conserve and abereen R-1

What erer. erine, in i ern beleur, reuch rade in allen allet, blaveen find and art forget, coner

jow Buld. Ca pertioniers solved above, income to they relate to the property described above

City Planning Department Hearing date_ Considered by Zoning Committee 4-15 Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16 _ Building Inspector _ 4 - 20 -Planning Commission 4 - 20 - 13 Petitioner 4 - 16 - 53 Health Department 4-20 U-5 Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

By

By.

F. me Co

1277 73

Application Received _4-8-53

Investigation made ______

B 0

and all mails

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11829</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental</u> to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Glendon H. Conklin and Glendon H. Conklin, Jr. to construct a single family residence with 15-foot rear yard on southeasterly 1/2 of Lot 5 and all Lot 6, Block 136, Reseville, northeasterly corner of Poe and Willow Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 ,

Secretary

Ros. No. 7331

Application Received <u>4-8-53</u> B	V. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-15-5-</u> B	y Jones Cancester + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _4-1-	Hearing date
Decision approx.	Data
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector 4-20-5-3
Planning Commission 4 - 20 - 57 Petitioner	4-16-VF Health Department 4-20
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

JANES AND DECK

and we have the second of the a the Creater of Survey and Child of Shing and the revidence presented has shown face Section

mention in the new beliefs which do not apply generally to other property in the same * special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property

molicution of the reputations world ANT MOLY TRUCCOVERA

and the set of the application will measured in the tenter of

The second second and the second s

Service -

the second state of the second state

As Devisition the Standard of the Variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the Gity

perception of werking an the metallowing, and with _____ is parterially definited to

In a consideration of the property of improvements of the neighborhood.

DETALLATION OF IT PESOLVED, By the Zoning Compiltoe of the City of San Diego.

the second s

the state and the state of the state and the state of the state the same same of a substance of the state of the same state and the same state of the same same and the same sa an an an in the second of a star of a brings of the second of the second of the second of the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11805</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 cascamended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Claude and Patricia H. Gignoux to convert existing garage to apartment, making a total of three units on Lots 30 and 31, Block 2, Second Fortuna Park Addition, 4036 Promontory, Zone R-4, two units to be served by a 7-foot access court, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That three paved off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property:
- 2. That a gate be erected in the fence crossing the 7-foot access to the street.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145 April 15

Manter Beats A. H.L.

53

Secretary

By

the function for (allocate, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section price in the section (see Section price and the statement of the section (see Section price and the section price and the section (see Section price and the section price and t

Proisi circlestances or conditions applicable to the property in the same selected, which do not apply generally to other property in the same

work unnecessary for the regulations would necessary for the preservation is necessary for the preservation

The particulation of the application will BOS superially affect the health or safety of set as feature of sections in the neighborhood, and will BOS, he materially detrimintal to the public solution of an inclume to the presents or improvements in the estimated.

THE COMPANY OF THE TANK OF COMPANY OF THE CITY OF SHE DISKS

The second to be transfer at the young compress of the creb of and present

/relianion is hereby /related to links out intidute a sugmout to consult extending office of control for module a total of burne units on tota 70 and 31, 310ef 2, office links in total colleton, 5036 Propertony, four 3-1, 500 units of Ne total by a 7-food proper court, subject to the following conditions:

- That hares proved of "-extract persing spreak by provided and emissions on the property;
- 2. That a gain by predict in the Yange creating the ?-Fret serves to the street.

A WELTHIN DO NAME PROVIDENT COMPANY, SAME 201, 9003 DE, SAME 28 STRUCT PROVIDENCE DE LA CONTRACT DE LA CONTRACTÓ DE

Application Received 4-8-5 By U. Beight City Planning Department

COSA.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11786</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/9f/Ordinance/No//8924//as/amended):Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Selma E. Matthews, Loren O. Matthews, owners, and Verne John Duncan, purchaser, to erect an addition to non-conforming residence with 13-foot rear yard on Lots 7 and 8, Block 8, City Heights Annex No. 2, 3567 - 45th St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Munidipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____April 15_____, 19 53

Application withdrawn

LAGALIER TOL LIFES

Secretary

Res. No. 7333

FORM 2145

SECONDINON NO. 111

The second is the state of the second s the far a design and the state of the state breaching has shown far bection partition and the boundary and has been considered by the contra committee

- on' an entry of substances property stilles of the petitioner, possessed by other property in and that the granthy of the application is necessary for the prederation
- a making a product of an analysic to the property or approximate in the productional the second of ward of to the her Choolpool and with the second for the second to the second to the second to the a realized of the application will we and estimily affect the practic of animity of

- HERITORY, M. II RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

- derive being many, purportings, to prove an interes in non-position for reducing the The second and hereby to abe to caller a mathematic second of the second and
- TALLING TO THE REAL AND ON MULLING SHOLD SET 3.3 CONT OF YOU TO THERE AND Thefore row first an inter ? can be that by city rollings which he fight with a set
- PLANEL TO DO AGA the second se

By J. M & Connell City Planning Department Application Received 4-8-5-3 Investigation made _4 - 15 - 53 By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _4-Hearing date 15 Decision appr. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u> Building Inspector <u>4-20-58</u> Planning Commission <u>4-20</u> Petitioner <u>4-16-58</u> Health Department <u>4-</u> 4-20-Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11825</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry H. and Goldie M. Allen to dismantle existing kitchen and convert to guest house and laundry room with 16-foot rear yard, on Lots 16 and 17, Block 220, University Heights, 1262 Upas Street, Zone E-1.

A variance to the provisions of Mun. Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 16 , 193

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7334

66

By

I the considered by the Sector Committee and the evidence presented has shown (see Section Committee and the evidence presented has shown (see Section committee and the relation to the committee and the sector committee a

a second second second of the second second second is conditional applicable to the property in the same

2. A set of the second necessary for the presentation is necessary for the preservation of the preservation of the application is necessary for the preservation of the preservation of the petitioner, possessed by other property and picture.

"And the second of the application will ________ materially affect the health or safety of a second of the second of and will __________. To be metrially detrimental to

include the sectors in the released, and will __168, he sectofly detrimental to the spin contain of faircoun to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.

ad the first standing of the vertimes will _ZCL__ advarraly affect the Master Plan of the City

THISPART, NE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

thorney as failers:

10 and 17, block and, thiversity Heights, 1862 Bpen Street, were following a set a verticed to the provisions of Num. Code 101,0001 be, and is hereig symbol as to the

beneficient an en con sector a such acture to evo badeach contained there.

Application ReceivedB	Vinter
rr -	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 1 - 5 = B$	y Jones Lancaste & South
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 1J^{-1}$	Hearing date
Designer	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector 4 - 20 - 1'3
Planning Commission 4 - 20 Petitioner	4 -1 6 N J Health Department 4 - 20 - J
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11838</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Works924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Troy E. and Beth E. Beldon to construct a 5-foot high block wall in front of setback line on Lot 27, Block 22, Sunset Cliffs, but not to exceed the height of wall on the property immediately adjacent to the south; between Devonshire Drive and Novara Street, approximately 300 feet north of Hill Street, Sone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

By

FORM 2145

NUT GOED TOT DEOL

and a second circlestances or conditions applicable to the property

were a building or working in the melphosphood, and will . Dok be eater will detrimented to and the application will materially affect the health of aniety of

1. How we required at the warness will shak adversaly affect the Master Flat of the City

you ters to hereby structed to trug K. out and to holden to construct a miced sight

ALVITIBLE . FIVE End . BYARTE . STREE, PORTUTAREALY DOU Fort MOTTE OF MALL CONFIL, chances the heather of bolt on the property imperiately and many to the heather better Mon whill in front of nothing live on Lot 27, Micel 22, and b chilly, out not so to

the protociant closed above, incolar as they relate to the property decorded above. verticed to ber provisions of Auriatori Code 101.0623 be, and is nareby granted on

2/- 1.1 1- 12 P	On the first
Investigation made <u>4-15-5-3</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-15	Hearing date
Decision appen.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 16	Date Building Inspector 4-20-53 4-26-53 Health Department 4-20-53
Planning Commission 4 - 20 Petitioner	4-16-13 Health Department 4-20-12
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
	*

By U. Berg

City Planning Department

DETT JI

Application Received _

HUT U -1.

4-9-

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11837</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. C. and Margaret G. Buffington to construct a 5-foot high block wall in front of setback line on Lot 26, Block 22, Sunset Cliffs, but not to exceed the height of the wall on the property two doors to the south; between Devonshire Drive and Novara Street, approximately 300 feet north of Hill Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

Secretary Res. No. 7336

FORM 2145

THE PUT COURSES

By
Application Received By	U. Becglt City Planning Department
Investigation made $-4 - 18 - 0^{-3}$ By	YOR THE COMPLETER.
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-15</u>	Hearing date
Decision 6 da da si	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector <u>4 - 20 - 1.3</u>
Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner 4	Building Inspector $4 - 20 - \sqrt{3}$ $4 - 16 - \sqrt{3}$ Health Department $4 - 20 - \sqrt{3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	all a second and a second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

STATERIES PARTY

WODA -.

Protocolic a rely a rad low an firmer, sporester and Die foot mores at last screet, which block will in front of settion line on not 25, jioch 25, jordes Will at the to be setting the well on yes request the form we derive the between

to all personalities perpet upone furnish on spit served is the induced, coursely A MATATON to the recordence of Fundament four low.0023 or, and is hareby errited at

remained in a webr sweden to so the longeroup". Puttinging to construct a factor

a solved of the serionce will ______ adversely effect the Duster Plan of the City

and the stand of the property of ingrevenints in the multiplethood. include some television of the the configurational, and will a goat be materially detrimental to Had a we are all the application will _ not ... materially affect the health or walsty of

the presence of the application is presenty for the presence that

appelle diretances or confictors applieable to the property

Sur Divis. Callonia, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 11.57 has been considered by the Soning Courstfee

The second s

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William R. and Caryl B. Settles to construct 4-feet high grape stake fence on top of existing 4-foot retaining wall, making a total height of 8 fest, Lot 34, Block M. Plumosa Park, 3628 Plumosa Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 1953

FORM 2145

THUE HELDE EXTERIOLOG TO

Secretary

227

Res. No. 7337

Application Received _ 4 - 9 - 5 By	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4 - 15 - 53</u> By	Jones Laucaster & Souch
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-15	Hearing date
Decision akter.	Date
Decision appendent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector <u>4 - 20 - 5 - 5</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 20 Petitioner	4-16-5-3 Health Department 4-20-5-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	lander - and the second se
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The 140 - 11.5

and the state of the second of the state of the state of the is the big big of Culifornia, and the evidence presented has above face Section Application No. 1932 has been considered by the Soning Consister

- alread discount of counteres of conditions applicable to the property
- ACTS MANGERSOLL
- and the provent of substantial around superty rights of the petitioner, perseared by other property.

- received the of secondary of the neighborhood, and will now , be suferingly detrivened to The the grant of the application will got materially affect the health or sefery of

de the description of the warbance will make adversely affect the Master Flan of the Cary

- Bornseries is here in Rown of to this of the state of the set the set of the set of the set of the
- Bearbt of D foet. 1nt 9. . Look N. Floriona Furt, 9610 (Dunban, Darges, Schwich-1. highly crone abelian force and burn all maintains and maintain and a main and a second and
- REAN" an te the probletion of the stated where, insering at they retuine to the property described A VITANOS DA SLO PREVIAL Nº OL SAMICIAL DOLD D. 173. DOM DO, DAL MA TOTADI CONTRACT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11844</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. E. and Juanita Toomey to remove porch and add two rooms, one up, one down, and re-plaster non-conforming building that has 2 ft. 3 inch. sideyard on Lot F, Block 216, Horton's Addition, northeast corner 4th Ave. and Date Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

"I have checked this wall and 9" has been cut off to give 3" in clear br site 7-12 Quail 7-22-53 Ct by 055 7-22-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____April 15

THIS HURT BETSLATEST IS

, 19 53

Application Received <u>4-9-5</u> B	y 7. m = Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 15 - 5$ B	y Jones Laucesta South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _4-1-	Hearing date
Decision appen.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector 4 - 20 - V-3
Planning Commission 4 - 20 Petitioner	4-16-55 Health Department 4-20-53
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Maren Baral

Charles and spice .

This was proved . when c.

1 4000

11	By the Zanin	ig Committee	CITY O	t San Olege

" Have a manufactured and & fear down that all - to pair & manufactory and

All be entropy that the second between of the states and the states have not to have be

"S stall, all you in the P, sheet with , mouth a construct, not many approximation and approximate

- d count for printing of the methods will got adversely affect the Master Plan of the City
- rear on president working in the neighborhood, and will not be maintrally detrimental to
- has shown we need the stanting of the application is necessary for the preservation From the state of the regulations would work unnecessary

s to part for the the end introduct, which do not apply generally to other property in the same apecial circumiences or conditions applienble to the property

Control of Divgo, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section And And Light No. 1300 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- the state of the spector will will make shill affect the besith of entery of

Sector and a bit thigh I have been along a sector and a sector and

WHEREAS, Application No. 11853 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of/Ordinance/Wol/8924, has amended): 101.0601 Municipal Code

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eli H. and Edith I. Levenson to add bathroom 6 ft. 6 in. by 8 ft. to residence with 12-foot rear yard on Lot 1247 Talmadge Park Estate No. 3. at 4778 Caroline Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

International Foot

Application Received <u>4-13-53</u> By	J. Baughman
-pp	City Planning Department
	Λ Γ.
Investigation made $4 - 15 - 53$ By	Jones Laucaster + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 15$	Hearing date
Decision akkr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-16	Building Inspector 4-50-53
Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner 4	Health Department 4-20-00
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
	Date of action

the section for the section of the reading freepling has shown (see Section

and support and association property signed of the pertitioner, possessed by other property the start and an our of the application is mechanich for the presentation

the second of the second second and will see be second in the second of the second sec the materially affect the health of select of motorially affect the health of select of

and the first of the City adversely affect the Manuer Plan of the City

THERE ARE IT RELOUTED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sam Biggo.

Permiters if is printed an and be lift I. our which I. Durchands by and the pression of the State

MARY PLAN STATISTIC STATES SOUTH STATES Subs r re. in re-lifence with lowness rere part on the 27.7 Salurdies free and the 3.

66 G.G.H. There are the particular of the second the second and the second a Modernance to the provisions of relies of those should be by the and it moved would be

Letter dated April 6, 1953,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 7295, dated April 1, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Mosten, owner, and Bob Bauer Motors, lessee, to relocate an existing garage and to construct a 30-foot by 60-foot addition to said garage, plans to be approved by the Planning Department, and to use the building for servicing of new cars, such as cleaning and polishing, minor adjustments and installing of accessories, such as radios, heaters, etc.; the remainder of Lots 47 thru 55 and the west $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 46, Block 1, North Highland Park, Bramson Place, Zone R-4, to be used for a parking lot, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 3-foot concrete block wall be erected along the front property line to conform with the existing wall on the property to the east;
- 2. That the open area in front of wall be adequately landscaped;
- 3. That the parking area be surfaced;
- 4. That a 5-foot chain link fence be constructed around the entire parking area to connect with the concrete block wall at property line, per drawing submitted and on file in Planning Office;
- 5. That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1958.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

FORM 2145

78

RIPPOLUTION NO. . . .

eres trees that e' Those

the considered by the Zoning Conmittee the considered by the Zoning Committee of the section and the relience presented has shown (see Section of the sectio

"The transmission, which the out apply generally to other property in the some

work unnecessary we see the reprint of the reprint of the position of the position of the preservation of the preservation of the position of

- Des the effect of the endline of the percental with the second of the

the second of the second second

d. The discrete of the vertees will . 100 adversely affect the Muster Plan of the City

ThereFrond, He II REACEARD, By the Zontung Committee of the City of Ban Diego.

Association is marchy succeed to servy potent, orner, and Nob Baser 195001, inform, so see association is marchy succeed to construct a "N-food by 60-coor contains to and parame, how to be be a proved by the arreaded by spectrum, an so use the build succeed antiinformation, march of chemical collection, without a pressure and discontinues of accessing anti-arrest and closeling call reliability, since a second raid discontants of accessing anti-arrest and closeling call reliability, since a disconte raid discontants of accessing anti-arrest and closeling call reliability, since a disconte raid discontants of accessing anti-arrest are closeling call reliability.

usi es relies, healers, ese,; the remainder of bets 5% birs 55 res use week a of set the book 1, forthe datable, grad strander they, here as 50 to make the period. Act, also get to the "date director block will be exected right, and from property line to contain the the transmission will be the property to the cost.

While a Second chick with the property line, but drawing which we are not and the second of the second of the second seco

Application Received 3-19.53 By Q. Baugh City Planning Department Investigation made ______ onth Laucade By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-11- Hearing date Date conde apps Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-17</u> Building Inspector <u>4-50-0-3</u> Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner 4-17-5 Health Department 4-20-53 Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

the first superior to be fur a head of another parts by " more.

THE FIL BOUND BLAR IN ILOND OL ACTT DE DEDMARDER TENDER MORE!

a man a stand

Str L. Trains

the angle of some particular and

Communication dated April 14, 1953,

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xxfrondinance March 2924; case and ed): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7195, dated February 18, 1953, which amended Resolution No. 6840, dated September 17, 1952, which amended Resolution No. 6608, dated July 9, 1952, be amended as to certain items to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy and John W. Grus to erect and operate a 44-unit trailer park and manager's residence on Lots 87 thru 96 and 104 thru 106, Boulevard Gardens, Zones C and R-4, according to conditions heretofore listed and according to plans on file in the Planning Department office, with the following exceptions:

- 1. That completion date of the office building shall coincide with completion date as prescribed by the moving permit which is June 18, 1953;
- 2. That revised depth of trailer units be approved as follows: Unit No. 19 - 34 feet, 4 inches Unit No. 20 - 34 feet Unit No. 35 - 32 feet
 - Unit No. 39 31 feet
- 3. That areas designated as turf on the filed plans may be either turf (as shown on plans) or asphaltic concrete which is a minimum of 2 inches thick;

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 15 _____, 19 _53

By

(OVER)

contiguous to turf.

Application Received <u>4-14-53</u> By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation made 4-15-53 By Lancaster Jones & Small
City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision conde appr Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-22 Building Inspector 4-23-13
Planning Commission 4-23 Petitioner 4-22 V3 Health Department
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action
Unit de. 25 - 32 feet
ante un tur - ve aser
unit in. 20 - 30 fort, & inches.
a the revised durit of trailer uplac be seconded as follows:
on presentioned by the period persit pairs is during by, 3850;
the Two downlobios dote of the office building about originatio with comparison dote
ingle on rile in the linguing Departweet stride, with the following exceptions:
systems, somes 9 and -b, successive to confittions hereterers lipted and populated to
periories is hereby granted to berethy and John 7. State to stort and operate a beautation for the state and operate a beautation of the state and the state of t
the factor for hearing a sector for the sector of Tabe W. Drie to start and operation is hearing
energies as to next in it or to real an initian:
med be to ber 17, 195 , which presend as mainting to 5000, thend duly 5, 1982, be
and Norshilden Fo. 7195, foted Tobrary 19, 1952, union spended Souplation To. 6040,
difference, as initions?
THER FOLL DE IT RECOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
d. That the growthing of the variance will 1925 . annordate affect the Manter Flamed the City
the particulation of inturious to the property or suprovements in the metablichence.
restriction contraction in the neighborhood, and will hat be materially detrimental to
By Dar was complete of the application will link materially affections health or antery of
and the second second second second second second second by other property
the second that the area the application is measury for the preservation
2. Concerns t sportconton of the r-gulations would work unnecessary
A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.
1. The applies the property for the property of conditions applies be the property
Survey of a trut
surface, be permitted on spaces for parking of trailers, with a 2-inch by Safe and be placed around each unit to retain the surfacing
4. That decomposed granite, mixed with oil and rolled to form a hard sealed

See. The New Y

FE

ł.

RESOLUTION NO. 7342

Letter dated April 8, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nacce 924; cas amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No.6950, dated October 29, 1952, which extended Resolution No. 6371, dated April 16, 1952, be granted to Morty and Millie Zlotoff to construct two duplexes and 20feet by 50 foot garage on two lots, tied together as one parcel, being Lots 47 and 48, Block 15, City Heights, southwest corner Thorn and Vancouver Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

the streng was read with a dark was public a

the state tealther plothers, and a bistory darman a lister :

that was not though the land, when all a series as

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

inter of wearas

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 1953

Appleton Kovennes

By

DIROTALION NO.

the (inclusion in the state of the syldence presented by the Soning Consistee Section Stream (not Section Section Stream) (not Section

series and series of a not spidy membrally contract property in the rate

a second the event of the configuration is recorded for the protection is

and an element of the property rights of the potitioner, presented by other property

a feature intervent of the application will <u>much</u> materially affect the health or agony of arready required to southing to the neighborhood, and will <u>much</u> be noterially detrimented to the position arithma of inputions to the property or inprovements in the neighborhood.

a. I applied that the warmanie will Dot adversely affect the Mantor Flan of the City

INCOLORS, DE IT SESCLYED, By the Foning Committee of the City of San Diego.

chet a sind, consumity of six conths from the expiration from of the distance of the distance

A Firince to the provisions of treinages of light to like a the project, denotion above the project denotion above.

networks, southinget a start liner, then we version ver through the 2-0.

- By Mail Application Received _4-9.53 City Planning Department ty & South Investigation made _4 - 15 - 5 By. City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 4-1 Date Decision 6 ma ett. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-17 Building Inspector 4 - 20 - 1-3 Planning Commission 4-20 Petitioner 4-17 Health Department 4-20-5 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Letter dated 4-7-53

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6985, dated November 12, 1952, which amended Resolution No. 6956, dated November 12, 1952, be granted to Leo W. Cheney, owner, and Bekins Van & Storage Co., purchaser, to erect a storage warehouse for the purpose of conducting a general warehouse business, including storage of merchandise, household goods and personal affects, on a portion of Lots 6 and 28, Eureka Lemon Tract, per legal description on file in Planning Office; southerly side of Balboa Street, immediately west of the new Highway 101 Right-of-Way, Zones C and R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That all areas to be used for parking of trucks and equipment to be paved;
- 2. That the 70-foot wide five-story building to extend 40 feet into the R-4 Zone, one-story portion in the R-4 Zone to have zero rear yard;
- 3. To construct a 15-foot wide loading platform, and a 45-foot margues attached to the building, in the R-4 Zone with zero rear yard;
- 4. That a 6-foot chain link fence be erected around the paved parking area;
- 5. That an easement, 15 feet wide and 326.92 feet long, along Balboa Street, be deeded to the City for the future widening of Balboa Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ord. No. 100 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. 1-53 Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____April 15

abbriefflicht geschniege

THACTER REPORT REPORT

.....

1953

Terror Plant and a

2.

METAL A Longe lot bet has been considered by the Zoning Committee the Construction when the section and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- so one to the ese interied, which do not apply generally to other property in the same 1. The second second execution of conditions applicable to the property.
- and set the set of the set of the performance of the performent persented by deber property bure reaction that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation I a start way a second of the regulations would.
- parameters of the matches in the neighborhood, and will mot ... be materially detrignated to

the second will a set seen a set where the second second with the set of the set set set set set set set set set but the article of all worths for the sector they be the article of the plate of the sector.

the second state of the second second and at the S rol of the province of the light development of the first state including starged of scenicals , house It routs in arrive to water a second star south and thor on relience for the merces of priburting a received surplus we have be a clear to buy to there, many, and brittle round stored to a start to search

to the breaking its the tes there will core sport purity To re- be of a lewings while landlers of store, and a thermal mercane otherwood 1. end-reading portions in the last stan to large while we'll former S' That be reactions when firme hard build the so makending and then the feet when 14 That all crears to be used the contribution of terroid and millings to be recently

RANTEL MARKET REPORT OF FALL I -- A REPORT OF ALL AND AND AND A REPORT OF A REPORT OF A REPORT OF

that is account, 15 that will and the said the fact long, where will as agreed, we times a bestard denies like there he present strated for privat marine and

Application Received	V mark
-pproprior received	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Aues nouesda About
Investigation made <u>4- 15-5</u> B	
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision ett. appe.	Date
Decision ett. appe. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-16</u>	Building Inspector <u>4 - 20 - 1'</u>
Planning Commission 4 - 20 - 57 Petitioner	4-16 Health Department 4-20-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Man Street

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11594</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 7239, dated April 13, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles H. and Ella Mae Brown to erect and operate a privately operated club hotel and affiliated services, including swimming pool, tennis, badminton and shuffle board courts, restaurant, diningroom and cocktail lounge, on portion of Lot 4, Partition of Pueblo Lot 1105, which legal description is on file in the Planning Office, north side of Mission Valley Road, west of Cabrillo Freeway, Zone R-LA; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there be no exterior entrances to the restaurant, diningroom or cocktail lounge;
- 2. That a 20-foot easement along the southerly property line on Camino del Rio be granted to the City for street widening, also access rights to the property, <u>except at the</u> <u>entrance designated on the plan mentioned in Item 3 below;</u>
- 3. That the traffic crossing approved by A. R. McKee and J. E. Reading of the City Mngincer's Office, be followed as shown on drawing by John J. Sherman and on file in the Planning Office, and all improvements to be according to the City Engineer's recommendations.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 15 , 19 53

By

257

FORM 2145

1228.000128.001+1.10 11.001(A

Contraction of the second s	marries and the Star
Application Received 2-25-53 B	x x/. Heeling
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-15-5</u> B	y Anes Lancaster South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-$	Hearing date Date Building Inspector $4 - 17 - 53$ $4 - 17 - 53$ Health Department Health Department $4 - 20 - 53$ Council Hearing, date Date Continued to Date of action
Nameric office, be followed as moore a Marriag office, and ell improvements	no' pri maanaarrati en erni erst maarrandere seroomienin erst de
	serdy property line on contan and die by grouper monets rights is the property, money of sign
. End there by no exterior externion to	the properties of the second of the second second second
relition a second club botol second of the second s	 (2009, Noted April 13, 2005, he unimped to and (314 Num "rown to error and optimized a of invelore, invitability spin-size poil, territy, cure, disingeness and conferdit levels, an you- the definition is contracting to an inter- s, which legels incontinues is on tibe to the for door, when of debutilo freezes, our heat;
ploturer of the seconter, by the	Zoniog Committee of the City of San Diego.
	THEF ADDALED IN ALTHOUT THE MERICE Line of the City
 2. The second second	a net apply providing to other prometry in the same a would
When's provident to the second	has been considered by the Zohing Committee the ryalence presented has shown (see Section
RESOLUTION NO	A CAR

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is _____necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That Resolution No. 7196, dated 2-18-53, which amended Resolution No. 6842, dated 9-17-52, which amended Resolution No. 6610, dated That the following described property, Lot_______Block

July 9, 1952, be amended as to certain items to read as follows: Subdivision

Permission is hereby granted to Dorothy and John J. Grus to erect and operate a 44-unit trailer park and manager's residence on Lots 87 thru 96 and 104 thru 106, Boulevard Gardens, Zones C and R-4, according to conditions heretofore listed and according to plans on file in the Planning Department Office, with the following exceptions:

may be used for the erection and operation of.....

subject to the following conditions

That completion date of the office building shall coincide with completion date as 1. prescribed by the moving permit, which is June 18, 1953:

That revised depth of trailer units be approved as follows: 2. Unit No. 19 - 34 feet, 4 inches

Unit Nol 20 - 34 feet Unit No. 35 - 32 feet

Unit No. 39 - 31 feet That areas designated as turf on the filed plans may be either turf (as shown on 3. plans) or asphaltic concrete which is a minimum of 2 inches thick;

That decomposed granite, mixed with oil and rolled to form a hard sealed surface, be permitted on spaces for parking of trailers, with a 2-inch by 6-inch retwood curb be placed around each unit to retain the surfacing contiguous to turf.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced Cotty Clerk and a full and the Statistical Inspector before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated April 15 1953

"parterent nerited.

entropies Successing par spawn Larth 1. Low were considered by the Zonian Committee of the City of

substantial property cights of the peritioner, you used by other property names in the same vighters and

2. That the granting of the sector will be materially destimated to the public willow

One the granting of the application will ... mob. .. advergely affect the Master Stan of the City of

Poter are and a manufactor and active descent parts, which mended as manufactor and the second Collars Terribuction No. 7196, Red ed 2486-20,

HER CONSTRTICT GUED OI THE STILLS SUITED FORTH COMPANY AND ADDRESSED GARS OF

Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 15 Hearing date Decision Date Date Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-L2 Building Inspector 4-L3-J3 Planning Commission 4-23-13 Petitioner 4-22 Health Department 4-23 Resolution becomes effectiveContinued to Application Withdrawn Time limit extended to

anyb be planed around poor with at relate the auribuild donlighted of white po de sugo pue os aboras por derestas os anerradas estas o permer si permer se permer Then decomposed granite, show with oil not relied to form a land online surrows opine) on weinerpare commences allow an e upiques of a realism entry in a section of the bard crows forkinging an inter on the filled states my be withen there fan shown to

They revised description in the second of the solution of the

plurs on Tile in the Wiendler Lepartment (Tithe, this who fellerich mentiones

farders, soure 0 and bod, concreted to consistent hereborore Linded and encounter so ; traller part and suncessful vestered on here of show on the same the same like to chronic Ferginator to hereby granted to berefur and foun 4. Stan to areas and operate a co-main

brooksped by the contraction of the out of the second second

July by reply by mounded on he during him is need no reliance

4-15-53 By..... Investigation made

By Mail City Planning Department aue the mes a Joriel City Planning Department

1942 . How 39 . 4. 32. 2 mil Unit 10. 35 - 32 Fant UNIT FOR ROLA 30 South

DAYP NOT TO + 35 LOUP + MODER

may be used for the effection and operation while and

Application Received

WHEREAS, Application No. 11817 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1500 (Dectionnee Wox 3924 crassemended); Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer H. and Annie Hartman, owner, and Tyson Pontiac Co., Inc., lessee, to erect a 25-foot by 50-foot building on the rear of Lots 29 and 30, Block 5, Wilshire Place, 4320 42nd Street, Zone R-4, with zero side yard as shown on plans on file in the Planning Office: and use the lots as a used car lot in conjunction with Lots 25 thru 28 in the C Zone; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 6-foot concrete block wall be erected from the proposed building along the property line to the setback line, and continue with a 3-foot fence or wall to the front property line;
- 2. That said building to be used for servicing, polishing and minor adjustments only, with no body or fender repair or major motor repair to be done;
- That the entire area be blacktopped. 3.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

92

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

April 29 , 19 53 Dated___

Secretary Res. No. 7345

FORM 2145

White and the product of

White the second second

- I the source of the second of the second second states of conditions applied to the property in the same second of the second se
- 3. And the alteration of the replaced would ______ necessary for the preservation have the preservation is ______ necessary for the preservation and a preservation of the replaced is ______ necessary for the preservation and a preservation of the preservation is ______ necessary for the preservation and a preservation of the replaced is ______ necessary for the preservation.
- 3. There are according to the weighted the male of and will DAV, the present of the realth of an entering in the neighted theory will DAV, he price all results detrimental to
- the set of anyther at injustory to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. The star gradient of the seriors will Bug adversely affect the Matter His of the City
- The new protection
- THE LIFEST, N. IT EFSOLYED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,
- Jornakerian in Marchy Creation to allor 1, and Arade Bertsten, camer, and types Contine 60., Inc., Jusica, the rest, a Pl-foot by S0-foot millaine on the ever of late 30 and 30, Then 9, Theblys Flace, 1970 Arad Street, Hone 5-4, with even alde resting shown an older of 721. In the Planting Office; and not the late 10 to 4 mood our 3ch in configuration.
- sath hous 29 than 25 is the C long, subjest to the following conditions.
- the provert, lite to the soluble lite, and consists with a 3-tool from or wall to the from the liter.
- b. Chut waid building to be used for servicing, polishing and miner adjustments only, with be build or fender repair or infor repair we be depole

wariance on the previsions of bolization be. 1999 be, and to permit growse of to the

9. That the ombire are by blow tougod

Application Received <u>4-8-53</u> By	J. Daughman
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u> By	Gity Planning Department
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29 Decision Grudel appr	Hearing date
Decision and appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector 5-4-13
Planning Commission J-4-J-3 Petitioner	4- 30-53 Health Department 5- 4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	here a subscription of the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
anationly of surred shove, incorts of they	relieve to the mounth countries why.

RESOLUTION No. 112184

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the appeal of Nathaniel M. Ortez, purchaser, for himself and A. R. Burrows, owner, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 7346, application No. 11727, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, to operate a body and fender shop and paint shop at abandoned service station at 4189 Monroe Avenue, Lots 45 and 46, Block 8, Wilshire Place, in Zone-R-4; said business to be removed within one year from date of the permit, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Cour	copy of Resolution No. 112184 nci1 <u>May 21, 1953</u>
	FRED W. SICK
	City Clerk
By_	LA VERNE E. MILLER
	Deputy,

Non

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11727</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nor. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.</u>
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. R. Barrows, owner, and Nathaniel M. Ortez, purchaser, to operate a body and fender shop and paint shop at abandoned service station at 4189 Monroe Avenue, Lots 45 and 46, Block 8, Wilshire Place, Zone R-4; said business to be removed within one year from date of this Resolution.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Unite of action

which is relate to but property desidering

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 29 ____ , 19_53

Secretary Res. No. 7346

FORM 2145

92

STEOTOLION MO.

The Clipping of Cellionia, and the evidence presented but shown (see Section

the second at commissions of conditions applied in the property that the second state of the property in the second state of t

The second second of the resolution would the method of the period of the preservation and the reservation is the property for the preservation and the period of the period of the property for the property of the period of the property for the property.

1. The line a strug of the sepitration will <u>not</u> materially after the newlet of safety of the sector of the secto

The second second to the property of improvements to the net good and the

THENT OF AN ANALY PERCENDED IN the Zoning Computee of the Caty of Sun Diego.

setenion in hereby 1000 to A. F. Dyracke, owner, and Jobburiel, F. Crise, miribarer, Caparabe : hydy rid Frader shop and pairs shop of chundaned structer satisfy, as 11.9 above ryaces, here 25 find 46, Slock F. Silante Place, Lone Let, said presses he be erved within one year from dote of this scolution.

Alderion fig a verification the creations of trainage for 18960 be, red is nearly of the court of the property for the court of the property for each move.

Application Received 3-31-J3 B	y I. M. Connell
-pphotocol a coord a	City Planning Department
•	
Investigation made <u>4 - 29 - 5</u> B	y Jones Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision leaved	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-30}{2}$	Building Inspector 5-4-5-3
Planning Commission J-4.J3 Petitioner	4 - 30 - 53 Health Department 5 - 4 - 53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	The second se
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11750</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Of Jinzanov Max 2924; cas camended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Raymond Apostle to divide a portion of Lot 29, La Mesa Colony, into four parcels with full street frontage and erect a single family residence on each lot or sell lots separately, per legal description on file in Planning Office, east side of La Dorna, west side of Catoctin, approximately 185 feet south of Richard Street, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

That an 8-foot easement along the west property line on La Dorna Street and a 5-foot easement along the east property line on Catoctin Drive, be dedicated to the City for future street widening. easement 07, 7-13-53

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ April 29 , 19 53

appreciation working a

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7347

118

By_

tabul arts	alexander and the book
Application Received <u>3-24-53</u> By	
Investigation made <u>4 - 29 - 1 - 3</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-14</u> Decision <i>encle appr</i> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-5</u> Planning Commission <u>5-5</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date <u></u> Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to <u></u>	Hearing date Date Building Inspector 55-5 5-5-5-5-5 Council Hearing, date Date Continued to Date of action
per Romet. MNN PO 1375	
	has been considered by the Zoning Committee the evidence presented has thown (see Section code V1.0001) ormitmees or conditions applicable to the property of not apply generally to other property in the same s sould structure is section.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11749</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 8924, cas camended): Man. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Roy M. and Donna M. Allen to split out the southerly 359.38 feet of the easterly 483.20 feet of Lot 4, Cave and McHatton Sub., west side of 61st Street, approximately 150 feet north of Division Street, and erect a single family residence, Zone R-1; on condition that a 10-foot easement along 61st St. be deeded to the City for future street widening.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. eusement granted 5-29-53 (Prop. Maple)

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 1953

into hund externation to

Secretary Res. No. 7348

Application Received <u>3-23-53</u> By U. Berghts City Planning Department Investigation made <u>4-29-5</u> By_ mes Pada niti City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29 Hearing date Date Decision conde appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30 Building Inspector 54-53 Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner 4-30 Health Department 5-4-53 Council Hearing, date _____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to TSBURGH and a second of the second of the second Bort. we ap put he strong the second spoke, intervent on such a great to be the bull ash enterpoint. a sur too to the broat tions of the prove of The tes inter to be property to be and end non inchino netto e i finititi . territeran' sola -1: of a terraro fund of m- bas sterrare ward or as "P" be enound to out and the state of the second of the sour sour of the second the second se Nesser Y a par manager ABITIZTY TO FLOOD IN TRACK SO THE Warsson in merrely affect the martes Similar the City a the set of the part of the becauth at the processing of the set of the procession and of all a supply and a wall the materially allow the heater as anyone all and the second of the second second the bulling the second of the second by any the second and the pression of the epsilonities in themes and the the the same year substantial, which do not apply generally ATTAGONA MUTCHALL TO THE PRODUCTY CITY BORY. or presented has shown (3a 2011100 considered by the Zon Y00 -Poling 2204

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11806</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924; xas amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Lena Cordileone to split out a portion of Lot 29, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in Flanning Office, and erect a single family residence, east side of La Dorna between Richard St. and Montezuma Road, Zone R-1; on condition that an 8-foot easement along La Dorna be deeded to the City for future street widening.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Egrenent or 5-15-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

LUNE LUNIC CRUENDED TO

FORM 2145

By

8/1

By U. Beights City Planning Department Application Received 4-6-53 Investigation made _4-29-53 ones Padgett & South City Planning Department By. Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29 Hearing date Decision condeappr Date 4-30 Building Inspector 5-4-53 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk_ Planning Commission 5- 4-53 Petitioner 4-30 Health Department 5-4-53 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to CATOCTIN R. and be present and and and and a start a start and and and the provide and the provide and the second and a a produce a level more of or manage to. There be not to place the reader to the reader of THE TIL THE CONTRACT STORE and as assessed of the second a coor a coor a coord to contain an electric to the The provide set of the selon in hereby to these to the soul that bordlices of this out a possion of OLUTE A LATING THENDORY , CE II RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the Ciry of Sun Diego. A MANETURE A MARKET HAR MANETON PAUM OF CAREY o the property of reprovements in the outgood some a matelinorhood (and will stort be materially descented to W www. - macurrally affort the boalch or sainly of IT Vut TE O. The petitioner, possessed by other property STERATION TR ----- DECENSORA LOS IN DIGROLANS THE BULLY NUMBER WAS 60 which do not apply generally to other property in the same the strengtheres of undivious applicable to the property COST IN OF THE PARTY IS THE PARTY OF THE I. Compation DORWA

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11807</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/ of 0 think here No! 8924, an ended): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clarence E. and Mildred R. Haugen to split out portion Lot 29, La Mesa Colony, per legal description on file in Planning Office, and construct single family residence, provided an 8-foot easement along LoBorna be deeded to the City for future street widening, east side of La Dorna between Richard Street and Montezuma Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Fasewert & 5-15-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

au

Dated <u>April 29</u>, 19_53 FORM 2145

Fige links extended as

ACCEPTING STOLE TO STOLE

Things (101) It can used

Secretary

a

Application Received <u>4-7-5-3</u>	By_D. E. South
··· /	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u>	By Jones Padgett + Sonth City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision condo appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	_ Building Inspector <u>5-4-53</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-30}{7}$ Planning Commission $5-4-53$ Petitioner	4-30 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

14. 1 M. 1 3094

Ree Res. 7349

ble particular photon bys, but a fundation to the the the prover second is writing to the provintations of Contraction par 1365" be suit is become and the so by

tire to a cherke spaced bries the ever she and you denote propriate statement and when he is and the ready of which an a part and and a ball he have the desired to the stot. W. Langer's Colory, new lower they would be in leastly by the office, why constraint Terniterio is berily protect to utstruch ", one subtred as televes to suffer the berilio

CONTRACTOR OF ODA -J.

- Autor

- 4. David y provide at the variance will the destroy affect the Master Plan of the City
- services as a short of northing for the correlated and will make be deterisily detrimental to the meter of the supplication will _ not___ meterially affect the health or select of
- only represented substantial conperiy rights of the petitioner, Postensed by other property

three only and the first with the sum of the net opply generally to other property in the sum The second circles the conditions applicable to the property

and the second second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11822</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. J. and Jeannette L. Rushton to construct sixth living unit (apartment over garage) on three-lot building site, addition to observe 3-foot sideyard, Lots 21, 22 and 23, Block E, South La Jolla, 368 Nautilus, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

LUNG BERTY CXCLUDES IN

Secretary

Res. No. 7351

347

By

I the transmission for the restriction of the restriction presented has shown (see Section by set considered by the Sonne Committee

- provide the same terrorial which do not apply generally to allow property in the answ The second second second second access of conditions applicable to the property
- and activated of ad shoulded property rights of the pariticest permanent by other property hoig numerolret).
- 3. The second state of the second will the wheet of y affect the maith of safety of
- THEREFORE, ME IT FESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

3-1,000	"I Toplatel"	pope 23 '	5 127	S. 19	qui t'	Conspi To	10778* L	A CONTRACT	pris 1	DURAN IN
174/201	1771 (the part of the		(i) was	Cy ware	194	St. 1.200	「いたいどうないない	.2	The second of
		angula Thachts								

and in nearly which is no be the work relation reaction is set in the state of the state of the the set

to the property lease bed above.

Application Received <u>4-14-53</u> B	V Saughwan
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 29 - 53$ B	y Jones Palget South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 29$	Hearing date
Designer	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector <u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>
Planning Commission 5- 4-53 Petitioner	4-30 Health Department 54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

a state in the state of

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11855</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George A. and Mabel E. Mason to erect residence with attached garage, making the third unit on portion of two lots, being the East 68 feet of Lots 25 and 26, Block 70, City Heights, northwest corner Landis and Marlborough, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Continued to Date of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

OF RECEPTION

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. Nº 7352

1.0

90

		1.0

the Care of the Margin, Cultimente, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- we the new interval which do not opply generally to ather property in the same
- in a substants' property rights of the petitioner, postroised by other property
- received and the rectained to the neighborhood, and will the he tenterially detrimental to The material the application will not materially affect the health as antipay af

- a Just die geweitige af für variante will 205 adverseig affect the Master Finn of the City
- TIGREFORE US II RESCIVED By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- CONTRACTOR NO. INC. INC.

Net straining in the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second

So feet of Loto 25 and NS. Block NC, With Walkhis, murthand it corner dendre out eich sterning - then a million the shired wills on barbin of the land, which the shire and

4 1 m 2 m .			
to the mattering of start	a sprat, butilly	and a state the same of the	to the resident for second the
A vertaine to the prove	to an all more and	A. P. Dave Marin	

ting of white you get a the

Application Received 4-16-53 By 2. M & Connell	
City Planning Department	
Investigation made A By Jones Palgett + South City Planning Department	•
City Planning Department	
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-27 Hearing date	-
Decision CAN Date	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-30</u> Building Inspector <u>5-4-53</u>	
Decision (1) Decision (2) Date Date (2) Decision (2) Deci	-1-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	and the second second
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	
WHEREAS, Application No. 11641 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13/0f/Ordinance/No!/8924, hs/amended)/// Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George W. and Dorothy M. Potts to construct single family residence on front portion of lot, making two units on Lots 9 and 10, Block 10, Monte Villa Tract, 1039 Van Muys Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of setion

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

324

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

FORM 2145

MUC MADE. COLOUR CO. CO

Secretary

		100 Mar 100

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

in the second of the subjection is " processes for the breachage of

The section of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector

googit introduce of "a up house in you" and the give any ready on the for the feet of LALITZE OF TO SALASSA "LOUGHT BE DODLLO" - ONE PRODUCT TO APPA PA CONSTRUCT OF A MILE

TO' LONGE TELL DOUG' I DO A VISION OF NOMET THIS WAS THE TO THE TO THE

and the start of the start of the wind the post of the property as appears the property of the property of the property of the property of the * A ME WOOD FOR THE TOW ATTACH OF THE ADDITION TO THE TOW NOT THE WORLD DE TOW THE WORLD DE

ity Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 29 (Hearing date Date apper. Decision Building Inspector 5-4-53 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30 Planning Commission 5-4-13 Petitioner 4-30-53 Health Department 5-4 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

Application Received	4-17-55	_ By _ & Baughman City Planning Departm	
Investigation made	4-29-53	By Jones Palant To City Planning Departme	L
	A LORD VALUE AND T	City Planning Departm	ent

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11747</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gurtiss A. and Anna E. Willard to construct 4 units (triplex and 1 unit above garage) crossing lot line, according to plans on file in Planning Office, Lots 5 and 6, Block 37, Ocean Beach, northeast side of Coronado Ave. between Sunset Cliffs and Ebers Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 FORM 2145

BUDGEROLF MATUGEY & D

Secretary

WEDLAR, Section and 1222 has been considered by the Zunup Committee the City of and Tippe Latituris, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- the second of the second of the second of apply personally to other property to the tert the second second second second store applied to the property.
- second and second of the noisy hornood, and will not be materially detrimental to a new provide an analyzer state with 200 materially attack the party of waterin at
- real the secondary of the serience will not adversely affect the Maxime Plan of the Caty

(paper a set i sait i pate heading contained for great accounting the set of the contained of substrates is searched to by a go make a state and a will be a so considered a setting

- I A ATTAIN TO THE CLOADE THE OF THE COMPANY IN THE TO THE TA DELEGY TRADE TO THE TO personal contact of the sur-opene of a or book bags Flund by outlon, both 5 and 6, shows 17, worker interest morten and wide of dependenties the
- the profiterity has strated where " house a strate house to find the set of the factor is a strate to be a strategy of the set of the strategy of the set of the set

Investigation made 4-29-13 By. 4729 Considered by Zoning Committee ____ Hearing date Date Decision appr. Building Inspector 5-4-5 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4 - 30 Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner Health Department 4-30 5--Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

O. /Saug

City Planning Department

Later The State of a State

Application Received 4-21-53 By

phile the state of the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>10090</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [5] bf/Ordinance No! BP24, an ended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Reefe E. and Emily Buchner to construct residence and garage on portions of three lots where 4 apartments and garages exist on portion of four lots, East 8¹/₂ ft. Lot 2, except south 50 ft., Lots 3 and 4 except the south 50 ft., Block 1, Ocean Spray Addition, south side Opal Street 50 feet east of Mission Elvd., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 29</u>, 19_5 FORM 2145

Come light exterided to

By

Secretary Res.No. 7355

While a Application by 1 00 has been considered by the Zoolng Committee of the Closed Sa Diece, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section applied of many conditions, children's sector 1 new roll not

- 1. Their states are interied, which do not apply generally to other property in the sense and the states of the sense states and the sense states are interied, which do not apply generally to other property in the sense states are states as
- 2. That struct equivation of the conditions would ______ more unnecessity herdelies and that the granitag of the cuplication is ______ necessary for the preservation and rul regression of substantial property raghts of the petitioner, possessed by other property control of the same and vicinity.
- 3. That the statistic of the argulation will motion meterially affect the mealth or safety of permission of the sorking in the neighborhood, and will number meterially detrimental to the public meliars or injurious to the property or improvements in the mergiberhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Fian of the City
- THERITORS, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Mention, we relieve.

Furthington is hereby gramted to heary is and finite buckner to provident surfacement only get to an worki we of three loss where howertraths and garages exist an serie of of four lots, fort first first for the 2, most reach for the late 3 and 1 meters the south for first, block 1, forem Specy Addition, could shak find for the first of the surface of firsters for the form 1-2.

A versione to the right the state of boltoner to, jub the vertee is, as it hereing

datoribed above.

Application Received <u>4-20-55</u> By	V J. M = Connell
-pp	City Planning Department
Investigation made $4 - 29 - 53$ B	y Jones Pad gett y South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-39	Hearing date
Decision rippu'	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector 5-4-53
Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner	$4 - 30 - 5^{-3}$ Health Department $5 - 4 - 5^{-3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11871</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>3924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. H. Axley to move in or construct residence on a portion of the east half of Lot 39, Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, per legal description on file in Planning Office, 47th Street and San Diego & Arizona Right-of-Way, Zone R-2; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the residence be located 100 feet from the centerline of San Diego & Arizona Railway Right-of-Way;
- 2. That a 10-foot easement along 47th Street be deeded to the City for the future widening of 47th Street. OC-Prop. Reft. 5-20-53

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 29 , 19 53

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7356

A STATE OF STATE OF STATE J. Bau 53 By Application Received ____ City Planning Department mes 4-29-53 By. et V Investigation made ____ City Planning/Department Hearing date Date Considered by Zoning Committee _ Decision Contle appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30 Building Inspector ____ Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner 4-30 Health Department ~-Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to OAOC. to to the perturbations at 1.0 shave, it poter at this public to the property threather ANTIDIDED DE THE MANTEJOUR OF THEIR OF THE TOT TO THE SELTER! DE' ONE TH METHO Tobaro Fidming of 02 18 10 10 00 0 and a low out of second allow they share as consec to me and a vitter was in the course and t and the residence be jossiond for the trace and resident and to the cut out of contract the in the event office, the first and so there of the Same -1. 1002400 afui pu sere in al all'estruit such an a dangeros CERTIFICATION IN PLACE SUPPORT OF IT E/W, LID C. C. Market 21 + A R. 2. 5.P. mood, and will But be materially detrimental to Thank the health or andery of 14 is of the peritoner, measured by other preserve 44 scation is more necessary for the preservations interfere which as not much we condition to the property THORAGE REAL OF THE TAIL TO PRESENT

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11863</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter J. and Exzilda M. Harvey to erect one single family residence on East 90 feet of Lot 19, Block 1, J. T. Corcoran's Sub.split out after zoning, west side of Fay Ave. 50 feet south of Genter Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7357

346

By

1 2 1 Y 1 Y	

When the properties No. 2001 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the presented has shown (see Section

- the of the line substances, which do not apply generally to other property in the same It and a second a second and a second second
- includes, and that the greating of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation work unnecessary
- the particulate of infurious to the preperty of improvements in the neighborhood. percent which me we we have in the weighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to 3. The the stating of the application will DBL ... materially affect the health or safety of
- The state to the variance will got adversely affect the Maxier Find of the City

article and i when at a set out a for the lot is. "Itokit, d. F. Harderrand a fauthendited Peril with in herein printed to builton J. out medice is beever to speek one whether

mitter was not and and of her are for they added of further for the second thread, have the

the retiriling stated three, income and the state to the meaning another shows A verificate to the provintation of training in. 1920 bet can in house and the because the terms

Application Received _4-20-53	By S. Baughman
	City Planning Department
	O COMMELLO
Investigation made 4-29-5	By Jones Palgett South City Planning Department
3	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _4-29	P (Hearing date
Decision exp	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-	Date Date -30 Building Inspector $-4-3-3mer 4-30 Health Department 5-4-5-3Council Hearing date$
Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitio	ner 4-30 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	and the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11565</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 pf Ordinance No. 18924, an amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. W. and Jewel Garton to construct 3-unit apartment, making a total of 4 units on three 25-foot lots, with 4-foot sideyard on proposed units, Lots 5, 6 and 7, Block 15, Pauly's Addition, 3576 Texas Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appression wated as a second of the

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 FORM 2145

Apple Ron Received

INTRACTIVE TRACTOR INTO A

By

Application Received 4-21-53 By	y <u>J. In & Connell</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
	0
Investigation made $4 - 29 - \sqrt{3}$ By	vones Padgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 29	Hearing date
Decision liker.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector 4 5-4-33
Planning Commission 5-4-13 Petitioner	4 - 30 - 53 Health Department J- 4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	International and the second
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

100 -10 - 2010

THEREFORE, BE IT PUSCIVED, By the Zoning Completed of the City of Sun Diego,

angeling a bothl at a sould a door the second late with a fact stands standing on the second stands

the produced on parton have, include to a start of the bar of a brancher of the parton of the second start of the Bertannen ber ber previsions of Ordinandi in Jon 3 per an 13 beterb superior in the

Personal of first Adams and Appropriate and the solution of the second

toke S. C -- W. L'out 15, Perils . Addition, Will Warm Will the Jose "-".

- 3. How concluded of the appliturition will many materially affect the health or sefery of
- and the provide all adjustmential property rights of the paterimer, passented by other property
- WOLK DUDALONDULA

reading as in the part intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same a starial otherandances of undillors applieble to the property

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11761</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 pf Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Murney Mintzer to split out Lot C, except the southerly 19 feet, Plumosa Terrace, not of record at time of zoning, and have right to erect single family residence thereon, being the first lot north of 4309 Plumosa Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

inne lint est prici to

March Merch 11 Acres 16

INDERIGATION RECEIPTION

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Vate of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

1000

2

Secretary

FORM 2145

property of the party of the perty of the second of the se the Create Sup Divise, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section "He has been considered by the Zoning Considered

- spectary of is the use subsided, which do not apply generally to other property in the same 1. The there are a superial clickwatences or conditions sphiloshie is the property.
- entropy to find a sum that were vight to a a short of a barantial property rights of the partitioner, possessed by other property work unnecessery
- . The restriction of the apply settion will motor and will give be materially detrimental to
- the suble sublety or injustoms to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the product of the vertimes will -sub- edversely affect the Master Plan-of the City
- SE IT RESOLVED. By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sen Diego.

2) The S. P. T. Den Marsher, Det of regions of these of and the solution with the the country Frankraion is hornely (read of the manage to street he calls and int is shown in the property will

the mertionicry stated shows, inceffic to there relate to the transferty described shows. A wist may be bled entwisted of Childrane of a Torre Te, and is herein a start of an

sincle for it's real ence thereas, being the first is another of the Provide Serie Series

Application Received B	v S. M - Council
Abbreventer and a second	City Planning Department
investigation made <u>4 - 29 - 5</u> B	y Jones Palgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision a haber	Date
Decision appendent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector -4-53
Planning Commission J - 4 - J Petitioner	4- 2° Health Department 5-4
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Decision of Council	Dale
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Pa

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11887</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of/Ordinance/No//8924; as amended); Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry E. and Geraldine F. Coates to maintain a residence with partial cave projection of 3 feet into sideyard on Lot 22, Block 13, 1st Addition to Asher's Cloverleaf Terrace, 1526 Morenci Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 29 , 19_53

opic limit extended to

COLOR MERIDA MARCIS

FORM 2145

Secretary

at a series of the set of the ball and the set of the set of the set

the new functional that he not apply generally to other property to the same. the property of the second second state applied to the property

which wight property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property and the second of the application is presently for the presentation ACAD - DOMOGRAPHICALL

That have a contracted the supplication will hot materially after the health or anises of

4. They have a the second will dot ... wdverweity affect the Master Flam of the City the second of a part of the second to the property of improvements in the pergebourgeoil.

THEFT OF HE OFF PERCENTO, By the Zoning Committee of the City of Son Diego.

erector of the the there at the state land, inder the burn raise be the land the state the

Y A AT THE PARTY OF A AT THE OF THE PARTY OF

19. I I CASSING IN THE PRINT OF A STOUPTING CONTRACT, STORAGE, STO A POST CONTRACTOR NOTES I A TRACTOR OF A LOD AND AND ALARY THE ADD ALARY THE ADD TRACTOR There is a low to be worked in the farmy is an Compliance in Contract, in relation of

Application Received B	v
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-5</u> B	y Jones Pickgott South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-24	Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector <u>5 - 4 - 5</u>
Planning Commission J- 4-53 Petitioner	4 - 30 Health Department $5 - 4 - J - 3$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

I

TANGE TALLET TALLED

Sect and Abro

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11866</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ross and Mary Ethel Jenkins to alter existing garage to kitchen and to alter existing kitchen to laundry and store room; existing residence has 3-foot sideyard on each side, Lot 20, Highland Gardens, 4463 Berting Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. A greenet #7905-15-57

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Investigation marks

Application Beergerod

THUC BEENIL GX152101 CO. 10

POLICETICU ANTITUUAT

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 29</u>, 19 53

By

FORM 2145

Application Received 4-21-53 By	, J. In E Connell
The second	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u> By	Jones Padgett & South City Planning Department
and all the second have been all all all and the	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4 - 29	Hearing date
Decision when!	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-30$ Planning Commission $-4-3$ Petitioner	Building Inspector 5-4-53
Planning Commission J- 4-J-3 Petitioner	4-30-53 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

NAMES OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

it the Carsen in the California, and the evidence presented has shewn (see Section

- the contraction which do not epply contrally to other property in the same surger attended of conditions applieable to the property Et de la companya de la

- now consider the constraint property signed of the periodical powershed by other property

4 . Dive Hig monthly 15 the walling will . Dol. advantably affect the paster Plan of the City

re pa sport of printform of the sport theory a subject were a subject to be the bar bar bar a should be

to determine on to know the black structure to hearing out ready prove the static structure Prophetalow in the ready of the so for a upt there that a solution to show a supply something the second the s

ing 3-fo i sharp of or train this, for Su, Lingling Lawrence, boild through through

- It has be not set the replication will ______ meterially affect the boulth ar animary of

COOL .

Canter 18 1

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11899</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. and Mary E. Culver to maintain existing carport, 11 ft. by 28 ft., portion of said carport has 2-foot sideyard, Lot 62, Cosgrove Mesa, 5150 Ewing Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code Not. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Committee Manual and a second se

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

the second a U a with Lother lotter inside the "because at 1740 what

a fighter bit. T.M.S. Lo, and in hereity approved on the

Dated ________, 1953_______, 1953______,

FORM 2145

Secretary Res No. 7362

118

Application Received <u>4-22-53</u> By	, D. South
The second s	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-13</u> By	Jone Prodgett & South
BECOMENT AND THE AND MADE BITCH AND THE	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 29	Hearing date
Decision apper	Date
Decision apper Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-30</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-4-53</u>
Planning Commission 5 - 4 Petitioner	4 - 30 Health Department $4 - 4$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

make for a second the strate and an area strad) : the construction of the called on the che evidence presented has shown (see Section

the second solution is not apply generally to other property in the same aperial strugglound or conditions applicable to the property

have a second of the second of the preparation HOLE THIN COPPELS.

particular and the relation and will like be such fully derived at a 3. The section of the section setting and a nuteriolly affect the Beatth or aniety of

the public reliance of initial to the property of improvements in the metalsborhood.

A, Just she prove the of the sectore will light adversely affect the Market Plan of the Lity

and a r art, 11 ft. by he (by rathing of a li arr arbitra fight the fully for day There I april and I is he would be the second to the second second to the second s

The the being a black and a best one to device the the the particulation administed there, is not the they relidence the measured A with the to the state of and closed once for act, only in, and is been by

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11888</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 9924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Hospital Association, owner, and Nelson & Sloan, sub-contractor, to construct and operate a concrete batching plant on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1202, per legal description on file in Planning Office, southwest corner of hospital site, on east side of Cabrillo Freeway. Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That said batching plant to be used for Sharp Hospital construction only:
- 2. That hours of operation to be from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.;
- 3. That entrance from the Freeway to be from the north and of hospital site and across the hospital property:
- 4. That the dust factor to be kept at a minimum and not to become a muisance;
- 5. That this permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar asthey relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 1953

Secretary Res. No. 7363

FORM 2145

406 A

		a martine
		1. 10

an and these companying is and the coupe TOT to POTY 11.10 hus been considered by the Zoning Committee

- the surrended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- second a property sights of the petitions, possessed by other property classing of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation
- the replication will must materially affault the health or matery of
- so that the property of improvements in the methodshood.
- warmoned will NOS adversely affect the Manter Plan of the City
- THERE, THE ATSALVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Biegs.

sampouron) a reaction of the po per states rould ber secondepror only a second of the second of the second of the

ton grost for the theory of the of the of the in the stands, contact, and show a country of the stands of the stands of anterest apilit, as an iterit a contract stander of a contract and an a postion of a postion of the standard

the ton over the of entropy to present, your del, entropy to the ferral product of

ing solute the destroy brokenel! -----S. S. Rell The second from the transfer on the train one such as the second of the second se

prir trained on energies of he that & eret of these

2.0

CUT PILL Whit will provide to be for a period of one year from the date of this

nere a to serve int after on to used for some the server construction regit

- subleators and the above, inters subley within the subleating description starts. A VARIADAS DU DAAL TOVIETARE OF CELEADAS DE, 13037 DE, ADA DE MARADI ARABER DE PO CES
- D.E. South Application Received _______ By City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By City Planning Department 4-29 Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee _ Date could appr. Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30 Building Inspector 5-4-53 Planning Commission J-4-13 Petitioner 4-30 Health Department J-4-13 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11891</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15cof Ordinance No. 2924, Cascamended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank H. and Raem Skrivanek to add a kitchen to an existing non-conforming livingroom and bedroom with zero side yard and 7-foot rear yard, with 11 ft. 9 in. access court to be thru a covered carport, making three units on Lots 27 and 28, Block 15, Pauly's Addition, 3509 Louisiana St., Zone R-2; on condition that the structure complies with the requirements of the Health Dept. and Building Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Continued to

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

TORCETTON AND DUE TO D

TANDER BETTELL TO

FORM 2145

64

By_

COLUTION NO.

the City of the California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section according). Man. (see 501.0502)

- I Thus a special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property inter a special which do not apply concruity to other property in the same rough of
- 2. That is a set of the regulations would were unpressed to the presentation of the set of the periting in presentation of the periting of the perity of the perity
- 3. They are a second relation will bob materially affect the health on a flath of percent of the materially defrict that to be a second of the second of the
- the particular of the property or improvements in the neighborhood
- 4. Then it is the measure will how advancely affect the Meater Plan of the Carty
- THE FILL AND THE THE ADDITION CONSISTERS OF SHE FILL OF SHE DIERO.
- Perisonion 1. Just by Crimical to Proch M. and Just Lardynamic to contraction to the same
- Mistering mon-ou forcing Airl poor and badroom with sore size yers and verous part and, with M. It is a fin andoes a set to be then a second asroom, which are not to outthe sole of and the statement of the base the requirements of the prize way and Affine to be a sole of a plice with the requirements of the prize way and

Antiding From . . Terilance to some restrictions of talinenes No. 19999 Ne. ad informatic critical and ta the provident. ... a to to been, incoded an base relates to the property formation above.

Application Received $4 - 22 - 5$ By	1 Bauchman
- hhuman	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u> By	
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision apper	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector 5-4-53
Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner	4-30 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

April 1

CARLES STOL OF

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11901</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No. 8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Malcolm Mercer to move in residence and convert to duplex with 13-foot setback on 40th Street, on the west 50 feet of Lots 21 thru 24, Block 81, City Heights, 4006-08 Dwight Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 29 _____ , 19_53

DISCHERE MALLE THE SHO

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7365

REPORTION NOT SAS

she City and the Southand and the evidence presented has shown face section

The second second second and the second seco

3. The product of the regulations sould second work unrecommenty having the pressing of the application is secondary for the prostructure and second by other property rights of the pathringer, proceeded by other property.

These firsts of the application will RGE materially affect the health of waters at

provide the second the terms of the property or increments in the relyhborhood.

THERE'S A THE PASSIVED, By the Country Committee of the City of San Diega.

THERETORY AND THE VED, By the Committee of the Cirky of San Mickey

Furthelon is sound of the funder of the work of the of the of the product of the

with 13-1005 to the out of the street, one are so that of lots of lots of the street, the street, one as

relation to the forwisions of training to. 101,0603 by, and is hereby accorded to be supportions on the ball ways, insolit a busy relate to bue proporty installed conset.

Application Received B	y l. Baughmen
	(City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y Jones Pelgett + South City Planning Department
and and and the second second second second second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4 - 29	Hearing date
Decision condit app	Date
Decision condit upp Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector
Planning Commission J-H-JJ Petitioner	4-30 Health Department J-4-J3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11905</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [6] of [0] Utilipance No!. BP24, and amended): 101.0501 Municipal Code

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. F. Lisle Morgan to repair fire damage to existing building and attached to adjoining building, making duplex, building to have 18-inch to 10-foot rear yard on northwesterly 72 feet of Lot 6, Block 317, San Diego Land and Town Company, 2122 Everett Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode N. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

applications with or and the final extended to

ANNOUSION TOSCOLUM

FORM 2145

By

Application Received 4-23-53 By	1_ D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-13</u> By	Jones Padgett South City Planning Department
a setter average inter such and areas areas	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision Appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-50	Building Inspector <u>5-4-0-3</u>
Planning Commission 5-4-57 Petitioner	4- 30-13 Health Department 1-4-13
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

CHANK SHE THE WEEK

LIGEREVEN		By 11-	Zoning.	Compieree	of the	IO 64 FD.	Son Diego

about the postimation of the body theory of the they delive to boy required the second of substitution to the section of an inclusion lowing of the office in out the court of the section of

La de foit par e l'ante de mort de fort. 75 trois of tot 6, 13 tot 137, 161 de temperations (2) restants are needed on the pression building and the state pression the second the second the second personance in the second second to state the second to the second state of the second second second second second

TO ADD

Titles Lines

with Contrary, a start warned a set of the set.

- 4. They are seen and the variable will well adversely affect the hustor Man at the City
- tion lous to the property of introvements in the netenborhood. so a failing in the unighterhood, not will not be materially detrimental to and the method will _____ materially affect the health of safety of
- the state of the application is measured for the preservation Noric Consectored y

1. That can not a support of the use tankes of consistions apply table to the preperty

and prove a second with the provident of the second second in a part

intendal, which do not apply generally to other property in the same

has been considered by the South Committee

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11890</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/9f/Ordinance/No//8924//ps/pmended): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell Durni to construct single family residence with 2-foot setback on portion of Lot 71, Le Jolla Hills, per legal description on file in Planning Office, on Hillside Drive 1/2 mile southerly of Soledad Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______29

FORM 2145

oppression with operation of the second seco

Secretary

Res. No. 7367

357

53

The second secon	BA THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE REAL PROPER
Application Received <u>4-23-53</u> B	y Marc City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-5</u> B	y Jones Palgett + South
A ATTACK BALLER CONTRACTOR STATE AND AND AND	City Planding Department Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>4-29</u> Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector 5-4-53
Planning Commission J-4-13 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	4-30-13 Health Department 5-4-13 Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action
	1×
	S
TT DE CON DELES DELES DE LES PERSONALS PRODE	5
A PARTY TO A TO A DATE OF	
	1. Alle, who large descering and on ellering a
Presidental in the second second	while and encounter assessed and the solution of the
	ZONINE Committee of the City of San Diego.
R. R	
e	Z
2	and Sudversely alles the Bistor Plan ut the City .
	coperty of margements in the netpingenood.
	action and will action be made ally series at the
Contraction of the second second president of	Spire of the beginginger in the start of other broberty
hardenary the new two preserves of the of	billention is 3 2. 50 mensions for the providented
3. That the the part part of the regulation	would would
1000 1000 1000	
thread and the new the time section is which a	to not soly Derally to other property in the seree
T. That there is .	reinge for envellerens applyinges the property
Hill Hill hill have been been have been been been been been been been be	The straight
I the City is a first Call original at	the evidence presented by shown (are Searlow
KHENLAS, AP LINE NO TIGO	- man been consider to doy the And ing Committee
	20
NN NOLTHAN SAL	18
	Y

and these whole

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11873</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard C. and Verla I. Lysy to construct a 4-foot high solid redwood fence on top of an existing retaining wall at the rear of Lot 62, Catalina Villas, 4104 Atascadero Drive, Zone R-1, as shown on plans on file in Planning Office, with the exception of the first 15 feet starting from the rear of the residence on the adjoining lot to the northwest, which is to be 50 percent open-type fence.

A variance to the provisions of Mun. Code 101.0624, be , and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

ine ficto with the

TELENAL STORE MUSIC

A DOMESTIC BOARD AND A DOMESTIC AND A DOMESTICA AND

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By

FURNITULION NO. 1 24 AL

the sense of the phene considered by the Sense Committee

effolging 1:5 to the return t, which is to be 50 percent open-type fende. with the examplian of the first 15 feet starting from the rear of the residence on the Libre, Flow to recorded rive, some sel, as shown on plans on file in Planning Office, which reduced it are to they of an emicting retaining wall at the rear of lot 62, cateling Arristion is survey assured to alowed C. and Mella L. May to construct a Meldot bigh

sector. we have a reason of they relate to the property described above. a vertages a long montaione of dup, dothe lol, det, be , and is hereby ground as to the

Application Received <u>4 - 25 - 53</u> B	v Marl
- pprovention and a second sec	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u> B	y Jones Pedgett Jour City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision coudil appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector J-4-J'3
Planning Commission J- 4-J3 Petitioner	4-30 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

Ma l

4-23-53

Construct That. Do. 2164

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11894</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [5] bf Ordinance No. 18924, / and and and an evidence No. 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is herely granted to First Church of the United Brethern in Christ to erect 12 ft. by 6 ft. 6 inch. high changeable type bulletin board, free standing, on north 153 ft. of Lot 10, Flock A, Teralta, southwest corner Meade Avenue and 33rd Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 95.0104 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

simply exteriated and

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Vale of schon

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

7

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _________, 19_53

FORM 2145

Secretary

Application Received <u>4-22-53</u> By	2. m & Connell
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Jones Padget South City Planning Department
and the second of the second second second by the second s	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector <u><u>J-4-JJ</u></u>
Decision a_{PP} Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-30$ Planning Commission $5-4-55$ Petitioner	4- 30 - V3 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the Carry of the product of the condence presented has shown (see Severan has been considered by the Zoning Committee

are intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the read

s constant property rights of the patitioner, posteriod by which property we we all the regulations would

sections in the neighborhood, and will make be merecially deromental to a supplication will and meterially affect the bealth of substances of

there are required one in the property or improvements in the magnitude

s. They are a first a strange will that advordely affect the Makens Plan of the City

the month of the set shares a set of a set of the second set and the second set of the second set and the second second set Permiselor is included to fing the fighter of the database of the sector of the of the

se so the long of the best of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

1040.

The state of the second st

7370

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 14 thru 17 Block 1 Subdivision Monte Mar Vista, south side El Cajon Blvd., approx. 150 ft. W. of 58th

PAUL HARTSON AND E. W. SCHLEHUBER

may be used for the erection and operation of

26-unit motel, including manager's quarters,

according to plans submitted.

subject to the following conditions

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

115

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated April 29 19453

THE TERMS STONES IN

KANOTHSTOU PARTURE VILL

Application Received

ORM 2144

By

Jes.

Application Received <u>H-21-JJ</u> By	J. m = Concell City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4}{7} - 29 - \sqrt{-3}$ By	On Palatt soloutts :
Investigation made	City Planning Department
Head	
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision cppr: Dat	e
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk Man 1- 53 Bui	Iding Inspector 5-4-5-B
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk May 1-13 Bui Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner	1-53 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	
Application Withdrawn	
Time limit extended toDat	the second se

SPACE STREET

MARKEY? has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of

substantial process within of the petitioner, pushased by ether property owners in the sume vicinity, and

2. That is substitued in application will ... W.B. be materially derivented to the public wellers

any be used for the election and operation of . Shaprit abbel, including some while contribute

of injurious of the represents of property in the neighborhood; and

3. Del de a de l'est de l'he application will mont adveranty affaut die Master Plan of the Master Plan of th

flock

TOTEN HER VIEND, BONDI BILD BILD BILD BITTO . METERS. 150 150. That the following described property, bot. In Shyn 29

AVER INTIGOR VID IN A SOUTHER ST

measurant to plans subsitied.
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11889</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15.of Ordinance Nox 8924 as amended</u>): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilma Karides to construct a two-car garage with apartment above, maing five units on Lots 25 and 26. Block 158. Pacific Beach, with 6-foot access court for all units, 1058 Emerald, Zone R-4; on condition that two additional paved off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property besides the garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ April 29 _____, 19 53

THE PRESS CXICLOUGH IN

By

315

BISOTOLION NO LANA

MORLAS, and the LILLY, has been considered by the Soning Cornectee the City of City (Lilly) and the evidence presented has shown (see Section (MeReserve) (Lilly) (and the ovidence presented has shown (see Section

A Thet the subscription of the second of the second second states the second se

2. Durt prices to my down of the regulations shald non-constraint non-constraint hardship, the state of the application is made to protect the application is made to the application is non-constraint to the application is non-constraint.

b. Dust that is the second of the property of the second states of the bariety of a second states of the property of the second states of the second states of the property of the second states of the second states of the property of the second states of the

As That has 5 we all the second will not after side of after the Partie Plan of the City

These corrections of the solver, By the Control Competities of the City of San Diego

Purmiseries is a frequencial to bills to they to complete a borear guardo with consistent clare, a fit direction of a sole 25 and 25 and 15, consists dama, with consistent clare, of entropy parties, 1050 Marreld, loss which consistent the constraint clare consistent clare, or entropy parties process of provided constraints and the property consistent charge.

Averatores to the provisions of Unimage No. 101,0602 be, end in heroly granted as to the particular shaled above, localer as they relate to the propriet describes there.

	City Flanning Department
Investigation made $\frac{4-24-\sqrt{-3}}{B}$	y Jones Palatt & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-21	Hearing date
Decision conte appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30	Building Inspector <u>5-4-53</u>
Planning Commission J- 4-13 Petitioner	4-30 Health Department J-Y-J
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By_

D. So

EDLE TANK

Application Received 4-23-53

and the lost

WHEREAS, Application No. 119911 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 14/df/Ordinande/No//8924//as/amended):101.0501 Municipal Code)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry B. and Dorothy S. Allison to construct a single family residence on 6,000 sq. ft. parcel not divided at time of soning, being Lot D and South 10 feet of Lot C, Block 2, Magnolia Park, approximately 705 Silvergate Avenue, Zone R-1C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5179 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Dute of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>April 29</u>, 19_53 FORM 2145

ONE DINIT EXPECTED OF

TOWNER PART PARTY OF TIMES

DARREN TOTAL

NUMBER BOUNDARY

Secretary

an

206

By_

Application Received	By <u>J. M. Connecc</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-5-3</u>	By Jones Padgett & South
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 4 - 29	Hearing date
Decision apper	Date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-</u> Je	Building Inspector <u>y-4-v-3</u>
Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitione	r $4-30$ Health Department $5-4-53$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

as (or a picked). 201 . Full bustones (Cone) stifternis, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section and highly have been considered by the Zoning Consister

minist property tights of the petitioner, postered by other property

the supple ation will we materially defect the health or sufery of

a relation to the metalionshood, and will must be emberially detrimented to

6 M 200

at particular in

the state of a state of the property for state of the interference in the state of the state of the Departure is state of the second of the

0.00 B+10*

COMPANY . a parapa here, and a set that a para mana a set a state and the set of the backstate set and the a supported to a construction of the state of the source of the state of the state

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11833</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 pf Ordinance No. 8924, an amended): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pietro and Rose Colombo to add to a non-conforming garage with 6-inch side yard, and convert portion to single unit, making three units on lot. One unit will have 4 ft. 6 inch. access court, East 112 ft. of south 39.2 ft. of north 45 ft. Lot 19, Block A. Teralta, 4345 - 33rd Place, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

one must extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

TYRIG OF SCHOOL

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 1953

A STREET BURGERSTONE

Secretary

FORM 2145

79

Res No. 7373

Application Received 4-23-53 By	O- Bereghman City Planning Department
- pprice	City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29 (H	earing date
Decision (bbs.)	ate
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4-30 B	uilding Inspector <u>5-4-53</u>
Planning Commission 5-4-13 Petitioner 4-	30-J-3 Health Department J-4-J3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	ouncil Hearing, date
	ate
Resolution becomes effective	tine that is a second second second second
Application withdrawnC	ontinued to
	ate of action

. you

an when when	2. 1. 1.	and the second sec	01 101	al al and		. 10%.	Value		1				
be noapu fi	1	13* 1000	16 4 × 1	an gent	ant +	Gra	7005						
on lot.	The sub-Table	111 harre	6.5%	S Landa.		norther.		P 41	100	1			
Contraction Project		-1 10 7124			and the second		nige		C.L.			(with	
Paraler's	2 · .		to -1		Jana 2	0,000,000			12		1++ (3.10)		
LUIN' HL													
		SOLVED,	By the	Soning	Commi		(p 9)			014		DINE	

- That it is a substance will mat advancely affect the Marter Plan of the City
- school Comparistication will ... not.... materially alloct the health of safety of
- sectories property rights of the petitioner, percented by other property and the preservation in meansary for the preservation

- mote manecocourth

The City of the Source and the evidence presented has shown (see Source)

to a real straight the manifest of the state build

- the other interaind, which do not apply generally to other property in the same
 - special elreumstances at equitions applicable to the property

Janald best shows.

Martin Port of the and

emated on to the configurations attacked

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11841</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Mocc3924, case amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Isabel Bedford to convert existing garage to living unit, making a total of two units on the three lots with no street frontage, but served by a 20-foot easement to dedicated street, being Lots 23, 24 and 25, Block C, Arlington, 3699 Acacia, Zone R-4; on condition that the said easement be paved at the time the drainage ditch in the immediate vicinity is completed by the City.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

Secretary Res. No. 7374

A. The second marginal marginal and second stands on conditions probably to the standard inter a second marginal second on a supply generally to other proparty in the same

a The second sec

1. That the second second state of the second secon

The state of the second advantage offset the Metter Fish of the City

THEREFORE THE PROPERTY BY the Zoning Committee of the City of Ber Diego.

Peristration in the set of the bar of lember and used to convert extraining further to there and, with a table of a state of the struct, but have with to struct inverte a structure, and the set about on the state of the state of the state the cold entering as prove is the state of any second , some - 1 of the line time the the cold entering as prove is the state of any second , some - 1 of the line time the the cold entering as the state, we are the any second is some - 1 of the state time to be cold entering by the state of the state of any second is some - 1 of the state time to be cold entering by the state is the state of

A welling a burn starfelars of training To. 101.0900 by, and is haroly spoked on bo

Investigation made <u>4-29-03</u> B	y Padgett Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 39$ Decision course $4pp$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 30$ Planning Commission $5 - 4 - 53$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector $5 - 4 - 53$ 4 - 30 Health Department $5 - 4 - 55Council Hearing, dateDate$
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By D. South

City Planning Department

Application Received

4-22

RESOLUTION NO. 7375

letter dated April 27, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1906 Ordinance Way 2924 (as amended); Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7317, dated April 15, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

That Resolution No. 7317 is hereby RESCINDED to East Bay Community Church to erect a church on Lot 124, Morena Acre Lots, Galveston and Orten Streets, Zone R-1. This property was not purchased, therefore the above Resolution is not necessary.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby RESCINDED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

USTE OF ACTION

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated April 29 , 19 53

CODE HULLE SX4 CUSTON

FORM 2145

By

279

	A second second second second second second
10169 1 196177 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
Application Received <u>3-27- J-3</u> By	U. Beeght
	City Planning Department
	0
Investigation made <u>4- 29 - 5-3</u> By	Jones Padgett & South
THE PATER AT A THE A REAL PARTY AND A REAL PARTY AND A REAL	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29	Hearing date
Decision apper. to Rescind 1 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-1	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-1	Building Inspector 5-4-53
Planning Commission 5-4-13 Petitioner J	-1-53 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

201 122	thed showing.	
1 22	Annan to the MDTD are to the	movielars ef (religerate fo, 65 New Serier, be, and is beredy of a sile is being the series in the series in the series in the series of the series in the series of the s
2,012.5	NOT TOUR STORY	The second contract to the second track for the second of the second of the second of the second of the second sec
- ine	Topple 1	the start of April 15, 1993, he spanning to real of follows:
		A TED, By the Zenang Committee of the City of San Diego.
		a surface will pot adversely affect the Mantur Pion of the City
	That permute the existence	The equilable with $-\frac{1600}{1000}$, muturially affect the matter of effect of a single space metric back of with $-\frac{1200}{1000}$ be entertally determined to a second to the property of improvements in the merilibric of the property of the property of the merilibric of the property of the pr
		and who multi-
		and property rights of the petitioner, nonserved by other property
		included of the upplication is necessary for the protorobilog
		the secolations would prove the prove work manoresers
	* Levente 1 v	and received, object to not apply generally to other property in the same
	Den i an	annuel clie sollations or conditions applicable to the property
- + 41212		HALF BEER AND HALF BEER TOT BOTS
		the maintain and the evidence prevented has shown then Section
	TEBI Z	
		and a start of a start

7376 RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, ApplicationerNo. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1Stof OrdinancexNexx8924; xasxamended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _____ _____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: on Sol 2018, Shind Samabler She Mars, by Internet

That an extension to Resolution No. 5538, dated May 17, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 4417, dated Feb. 9, 1950, be granted to Harriet Ford and Margaret Ruhaak to continue to give piano lessons to children, at 930 Rosecrans Street, Lots 32 and 33, Block 6, Ironton Addition, Zone R-1: this permit to be for a period of two years, to expire June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

the Dive for the addenting of Derikk Ave. &

, 1953 Dated April 29

The state of the second

By_

ALLO NOT LON NOT THE

Application Received _ 4- 9mail Bv City Planning Department Investigation made 4- 29-53 rth onee (By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date_ Date Decision appr. 2 yr. Building Inspector 5-4-5-3 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-1 5-1-53 Health Department 5-4-52 Planning Commission J- 4- J3 Petitioner Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action "DOLO" to be blig period and the former to and " Indon's the blight help be be the producted constrained A TELEGO CA SIG CONTRACT OF IS THEME IN IS ION PERSON NOT THE IN DESIGN TO THEME TART OF OHNORS A Structure planes leaves to net area, at 100 Canararas Fitres, 1000 32 dad 33, ellock 5, the an entering and the second for the population with the read of the read of the state of the second descent the second s 200 A THE ATT THE HORAL STA METRIC IN ANTICE THE AT AN AT AN OF AN and in units with orpood, and will Haw be materially derivated to . and instant will make an entitally affect the health of safety of TELLI COCO TOT OZUM 1.7.7 77.

and the state

letter dated April 28, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Noc 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows: That Resolution No. 7042, dated December 24, 1952, be amended to read ad follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert C. and Lillian B. Baldridge, owners, and So. Calif. strict of Lutheran Church Missouri Synod, purchaser, to construct church and educational odldings, pastor's residence (see plan on file in Planning Office dated March 5, 1953 for new location), playground and off-street parking lot, per plot plan on file in Planning Office, on all of the South half of the Southeast quarter of Lot 16, except the South 10 feet, of Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands of San Diego, Southwest corner Beech and Buchid Ave., Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That all improvements be installed according to the recommendations of the Eng. Dept. on the 25 ft. granted to the City for the widening of Beech St.;
- 2. That a 10-foot easement be granted to the City for the widening of Muclid Ave.;
- 3. That a 6-foot chain link fence be erected around the playground;
- 4. That paved, off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property at a ratio of one car for each ten persons in the auditorium.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

MACHAN CARACTER CONTRACTOR

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7377

N I

By

a warring of this time the

The second second second rest of the second se

succession in the model south, and will the permutation of deferminants to

and the Tollo sons loss turion lo. Jones, during measurer (a), 1950, be property

on oll e l'estre de l'estre de l'estre de l'estre de les d the or purpose of the proving the start where a man and the second of th seven to horally some d the source of the builder of the source of the source of the source of the source of

betown tree., north - 1 - Olea, to the Tolleria , autilities V 200 07, 100 . POST a start a for the larges hound of the sheep, heretes the start a start

the party of a state of the second by providing and state of the providing to a

the of TD4 LODY of the set of the set of the set of the finite the set of the

Planning Commission 5-4-53 Petitioner 5-1-53 Health Department 5-4-53 Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn . Date of action Time limit extended to

the providence of the second of the second of the second s

tone a defeot that it is the second on created right the family readers a date

at many set all the provide the state to a state of the s

Hearing date Date 5-4-53 Building Inspector

Rat City Planning Department

City Planning Department

mes

By Marl

By

Application Received _4-29-

Investigation made 4 - 29 - 53

Decision apper.

Considered by Zoning Committee 4-29

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ____

Communication dated 4-22-53

WHEREAS, ADD has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are _ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7304, dated April 1, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eva P. Pate, owner, and Malcolm Mercer, purchaser, to nove in or construct duplex on parcel of land not of record at time of zoning, on the vest 50 feet of Lots 21 thru 24, Block 81, City Heights, 4006-08 Dwight Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated. April 29 FORM 2145

20

Application ReceivedB	y Baughman City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u> B	y Jones Pad gett & South City Planning Department
Contract the Zoning Committee 16 - 24	Hearing date
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision appr. amend.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7-30	Building Inspector J- 4-53
Considered by Zoning Committee $4 - 24$ Decision Wyper. Amend Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 30$ Planning Commission $5 - 4 - 53$ Petitioner	4-30-57 Health Department 5-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	THE IS - PROVIDE AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

E Ban Maria

WE * WON LIKE

The the second second

has been considered by the Zarling Committee

ALLA NO. ALLA

WHEREAS, Application No. 11820 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15:06 Ordinance War 8924 xas amended : Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon E. and Esther E. Goodman to split Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, Corella Tract, into two building sites, both facing on Tonopah, and erect a single family residence on each, on the southerly corner of Tonopah and Nashville Streets, Zone R-2; subject to the following conditions:

- That the standard setback established by the City Municipal Code be observed. 1. on Nashville Street;
- That the setback on Tonopah Street be the same as the houses on the southeasterly 2. lots across the alley from subject property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

HUG GERT SHOULDENDO Differright & U.S. BARRIER

and an analysistic that your Tor olar) And IL-120 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

of the regulations would

the in the relationshood, and will trade the anterestily detranement to application will, Dob ... waterielly affect the bealth at anioty of

and india the of the second of the southerly contact of Conspired and substitue post 2, dorothy if of, itso are building class, both fordats on Taropal, and arous a sendanten is burner, a prised to here a sub realer all booksen to pathe tote I and P.

On support the second . They all electronic collection and the side within the ball of a constraint stands' gove - : to reat to the sorrer for delighted

Willings to have a divise of contraints Ta. 25 Jaw Harton by, and the hardon grouped and lots agree show into the trut subject property.

though period for a state of a post " Theorem on the state of the property destration above.

Application Received <u>4-13-5</u> B	- & Baughman
- ppheation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>4-29-53</u> B	y Jones Padgett & South City Planning Department
A ATTEN AVIANTE AND AND A DATABASED APPEN	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision conde apper.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-6	Building Inspector 6 - 1 - 3
Planning Commission J-6-13 Petitioner	J-6-15 Health Department J-6-13
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Intion where the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By & Baughman

STARS SHEET LINE

RESOLUTION No. 112409

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Mrs. Ruth B. Moore, 5833 Pawnee Drive, San Diego 15, California, filed in the office of the City Clerk on May 19, 1953, under Document No. 469240, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 7380, application No. 11867, permission to erect a duplex in the rear of an existing single family residence, making a total of three living units on Lots 35 and 36, Block 2, Watkins & Biddles Addition, 3151 Hawthorn St., in Zone R-2, being a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

112409

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution 1953 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk LA VERNE E. MILLER

By_

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11867</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15.0f.Ordinance.Nox.8924...s.amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>make</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>make</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Ruth B. Moore to erect a duplex in rear of an existing single family residence, making a total of three living units on Lots 35 and 36, Block 2, Watkins & Biddles Addition, 3151 Hawthorn St., Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795 be, and is hereby DIMIND as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 195

pune series excension of

TACHING WIN DUDING

FORM 2145

Secretary

58

polication ReceivedB	y <u>J. m ^e Connell</u> City Planning Department
vestigation made $5 - 17 - 5 B$	y Padgett biberen + South
asidered by Zoning Committee $5-13$ ision Denich of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-14$ maing Commission $5-13$ Petitioner real filed with City Clerk, date	Hearing date Date Building Inspector $\sqrt{-1/7} - \sqrt{-5}$ $\sqrt{-1/7}$ Health Department $\sqrt{-1/7} - \sqrt{-5}$
solution becomes effective	Continued to Date of action

and to bereit a second to the second of the

TERORY IN

DISTURD TOR I A DI . .

and spane.

BURNER REAL

and they are not

errichter - ----

and the state

and the state

- ofer of they relate to the propertient

- a minimal wallert the matter films at the city

Alena . Jan . Marka

with product of the second product of the second property of the second product of the second property of the seco

The anti-A farmer in the devel hardest a the set and

the state of the second of the Sonne Committee and tribute presented has shown (see Section of M. 201. (201) WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11886</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance W6: 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Luella Koons to erect a group of stores and offices with entrances on Ivanhoe as well as on Silverado, on Lots 12, 13 and 14 and portion of 15, Block 51, La Jolla Park, on the easterly side of Ivanhoe Ave. between Cave St. and Silverado Place, Zone R-C; in accordance with the plot plan now on file in the Planning Office, and subject to the approval of the final plans by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0409 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

have been shown and all the best of the second state of the

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

349

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____May 13 , 19 53

Philipping the second second

Secretary Res. No. 7381

Application Received 4-22-53 By	J. m Connell
	City Planning Department
	Que l'i 1-1
Investigation made By	Tadgett Diberson & South
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13	Hearing date
Decision coude appr.	Date
Decision coude appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-14</u>	Building Inspector <u>5-10-33</u>
Planning Commission J-15 Petitioner	J-19 Health Department J-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

wentende to but providient of Huminital Loan Jul. and be succedy growing as to be

I main a colling and subject to the support of the first class of the support of the The state of the second state and state and state and state and state the state and state the state mails, Blos "D, 14 - olla work, on the enaberly clea of Jyanhoo way, between Cave Ut. thick entries on it should will be on stirounde, on wate list it and it not persion esteriation in her by ar and to books fooms to creat with the ctamperant of them

a pressing of the application is Receivery for the preservation

Cutthornia, and the suddence presented has shown (see Section

(the second of the second sec

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11043</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance Nor 8924</u> and the code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caudell & Johnson to operate and maintain a sand pit, together with sandwashing, screening and processing plant, on the east half of Pueblo Lot 1108, north side of San Diego River on the west side of the northerly Extension of Texas St., Zone R-1A; bject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 4-foot woven wire fence with three-strand barb on top, according to the City Code, be constructed around the sand pits and plant;
- 2. That the planting of trees be completed along the private road northerly of Camino del Rio as planned;
- 3. That the proposed channel to run from the east property line to the west property line as shown on plans on file in the Planning Office;
- 4. That no dust or smoke nuisance be created;
- 5. That this permit to be for a period of five years from date of Resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

HEAT LOUI DISCL

Application Bagared

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 1953

By

and the second second	
A ii i Da i t di -	17-53 By J. mc Concell
Application Received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Palyt, biberon daug tor
Considered by Zoning Commit Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Planning Commission Appeal filed with City Clerk, da Decision of Council	Clerk <u>5-12</u> Building Inspector <u>5-18-53</u> 8 Petitioner 5-18-53 Health Department 5-18
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action
- and minit extended to	Party of action and another provide the state of the stat
Theory 20 personal second second	an produced to the part of taking any the of the second to a
The set of the set of the set	ANT NOTICE TO ALL AND ADDER AND ADDE OF MORE TO FOR
The shift in the second	
	tille in the prompt entroe!
· med ppo bus .	is the store our cash bacherst your to pue many houberst.
and the me particular	
Support the plot 1 have the	. as he can jet at along the priver rout torbarth of contact
	and she wood with and plants of a stranding the
Theb a 1=500% hour 1 1.	a read attain three-struck burb on tor, apporting to the City
A CARDON COLTA . INCLUSE	A second a former to ortage and surgerine a must pit, court second and plant, on the surb build of reals for light, sure second after of the series of the state of the second se
INREELOBT	2. 27 The Soning Committee of the City of San Diego.
	and will not moverally affect the Marter Plan of the City
	the property of teprovements to the maighborhood.
	meismorrhood, and will not be exterially detrivenent to
	and will not materially effect the nealth or unlery of
	and rely explice of the patternant, processed by other property
	the application is a measured for the preservation
	work universary
	which it that a start a thread the second the second to the second
To drive space	and a construction of conditions applicable to the property
	And and the evidence presented has shown (see Section
	ANS NOT TO THE REAL

r het

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11953</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof Ordinance No: ×8924; as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted R. Emerson and Athlwen Bond, owners, and W. A. Garness, lessee, to operate insurance office above existing doctor's office, on Lot F, Block 327, Horton's Addition, 420 Olive St., Zone R-4, with a maximum of ten employees, and one sign of a maximum of two square feet, located on the face of the building between the first and second floors, to be approved by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

manifest on these weaking the Case Company's Charge From

Dated ______, 19_53

signeritation periodi and

ADMARTING POLICY

Application Received _____ By_ &. South City Planning Department Investigation made 5-13-53 By Padaget City Planning Department, Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date_ Date Decision hoter Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Building Inspector J-Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner J-14 Health Department Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn

any hand and the set of the set of the set they set the property described at we the

Semalysing is a first of a state of the above widtled definition of the weath width of the point, manual to over a line we set is above widtled definition of the weath, we are the born of the set of the set of the set of the born of the restance of the mailing endings at a state of the set of the set of the set of the set of the mailing endings.

Date of action

Time limit extended to

1471 adversaly affect the Manter Man of the CLEY

The space way when a good to go a province of an ere front of the

the resiductiond, and will india. In twitterally detriveness to and and much materially affect the houlds or asfect of

recovery regime of the potitioner, possessed by other property

stat and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

to the property of loprovemole in the melgidischoop.

THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND A PARTY

Van

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11815</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Norman Daniel, owner, and Standard Oil Company of Calif., lessee, to erect a Chevron sign on Lots 17 thru 21, G. A. Bush Addition, 624 University Ave., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the sign be approximately 4 ft. 6 in. by 6 ft., bottom of sign to be 14 ft. above the sidewalk and located over public right of way a maximum of 4 ft.;
- 2. That the existing sign to be removed as shown on plans on file in Planning Office, and the proposed sign to be center mounted on pole as shown on plans;
- 3. That an agreement be signed and recorded to the effect that the sign will be removed at the expense of the owner and at no cost to the City if and when the City requests it for the widening of University Ave.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1212 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Ney 13 _____ , 19 53

Appleton routing and the

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7384

67

the pitte purchase of

- the second of the second s Endor, bu street a factor is a webe 37 threadly d. A. Dueb satisfier, SPA conversion Packanion is how in the second second, one second second the working of weather,
- The fit which a second is the fit of the probably of the proba Low 3.

alaritate po file de a contrat a contrata por rere ben marias per ene re present "acerente

- office, state and the state of a to be genter sounded on sole of disup on place; 07. W 1.
- one cress and a the site area areas of the second of the s services and recorded to the spices which the size will be

and a landfur the shell reading on the protocol and reading a

second of the property of improvements in the multiplocopod.

in the tertifications, and will this be materially detrimental to along will now materially affect the health of austrip of

the and a route of the berry rober behaviou ph other hashers? of the application is a reconstant for the preservation

sector of the marginess of constraints abhreading to the backster.

the second of the evidence presented has shown (see Section

By D. South

PASSALTAN ROLLS - VAL - 1/16 W

Application Received _5-4-53 City Planning Department By Vadget And 5-13-53 Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13 Hearing date Date Decision appr. Building Inspector 5-15-15-3 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J-15 Planning Commission 5-10 Petitioner 5-15 Health Department 5-15 53 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11908</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance Nov.8924, asymmetric</u>d): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to George and Evelyn Spacensky to erect a 5-foot high fence in front of setback line on Lot 10, Block 2, Ridge View Unit No. 1, 2162 Clematis St., Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Gode 101.0623 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

White Mult excerts on he

By

141

and some in the second to the XOTT

Application Received _

captured caretowishings of conditions applicable to the prometry

- 1000 00010 from the hold of motors and the state of the
- THAT IS IN THE SECOND and an the bar reached a live stated above, threathy and they subject to the updatests dependent of Collegelon for a very a second form of semicing space but of a second

City Planning Department -13-53 By Pad Investigation made _____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13 Hearing date Date Decision Denich Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Building Inspector 1-10-53 Planning Commission 5--15 Petitioner J-14 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

4-24-53 By 2

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11896</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16 pf Ordinance No. 18924, an energed of the the evidence presented has shown (see Section 16 pf Ordinance No. 18924, an energed of the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct duplex with 4-foot rear yard on Lots 15 thru 19, Block 23, San Diego Property Union, on 33rd Street and Elm Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

abbacstron and

Secretary

City Planning Department

and and appropriate the second of the second and a second of the second the City of Sun Division California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, A the Zoning Committee

- serviceded, which do not apply generally to other property in the same ispecial circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- OWNERS IN LAST AND A PROPERTY OF ANY A DEPARTY. and enjoyees a sublical property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property hardship, and the sublication is meessary for the preservation Work unnecessery 3. That structure of the regulations would
- the public of the relations to the property of isprovements in the neighborhood. persons reconcilent of the suighborhood, and will the meterially detrimental to 3. That the weather and solution will - adda and startally affect the health of solory of
- d. That the source of the contract will -not adversely affact the Master Plan of the City

Callionia, as lol. THEREFORM, OF IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Biego.

Frankeyink in the second of the she is and the second to second destruction of the second destruction

The brack of the line to the set A CONTRACT OF THE SAME AND AND AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS Alth H-Post in "

desaribed rainend of the real of the obstant should be been the most relief to the most relief L'ARTIMOS L'ELLE MARKET DE 11 MULEIDEL CONFUND, DELEN I DELEN STUDEND

Application Received 4-28-53 B	mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-13-53</u> B	Padgett Subscon & South
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13	Hearing date
Decision 6 P. A.	Date
Decision Approved Service Serv	Building Inspector J-1053
Planning Commission J-15 Petitioner	J/4 Health Department J/J/3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Office duce ourses the use suctor cos
Resolution becomes effective	Intion shall be mail and word, and shall b
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

All and Allere

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11897</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/Ordinance/No/18924//as/amended); Municipal Code No. 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to erect duplex with 4-foot rear yard on Lots 20 thru 24, Block 23, San Diego Property Union, 33rd and Elm Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

LIATE OL BELIGH

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Nay 13 _____, 1953

FORM 2145

TIME BRIDE CALMAN AND

application were to as

Res No. 7387

Qudingance the state of the state of the state of the one the south WHEREAS, A proving Committee has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- service is which do not apply generally to other property in the same . TIMI CLASS socciel circumitances or conditions applicable to the property
- a substance of the pertinet, peressed by other property hardship. meresancy for the application is meresancy for the preservation MOLE MUMBERSHOLD
- structure contributiond, and will many be materially detrimental to meterially affect the bealth on safety of
- the second to the property of improvements in the opighborhood,
- adversely attest the Manuer Plan of the City

- THEREFORE, The TD. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- The state of the second a set of the set all all and and are an interest and are and ALPHI THINK LAND or stand of some services and some to service services
- REPORT OF THE PARTY the second state of a contract of the second state for the second state of the THE PARTY OF THE AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.

City Planning Department -13-53 By Pakyet Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13 Hearing date Date Decision Apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ____4 Building Inspector J-JJ-J3 5-15 Petitioner $J^- I \Psi$ Health Department Planning Commission 5-Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received 4-28-53 By man

COLGE FILL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11936</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Angelo D'Angelo, owner, and Alfred T. Jones, Inc., lessee, to have light manufacturing and wholesale of paper products, with maximum of 10 H.P. electrically operated, Lots 1 and 2, Block 43, Middletown, 1953-55 India Street, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13008 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application with the way

Inscention of the t

May

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ry Plauning Department.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Unte of action

Continued to

Res No. 7388

B

By
Ordinance is ''' mended); the City of Sum France, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, Approved No. 1996 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- involved, is in the maniferented, which do not apply generally to other property in the name 1. That there are a special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- and enjoyee up of a soundary numberty rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property hardship, and that the quantity of the application is necessary for the preservation 2. That stold mouth of the regulations would work unnecessary
- the public policity of requirious to the property or improvements in the meighborhood. persons for a second of the neighborhood, and will met be materially detrimental to 1. That the process of the second states will - second mutarially affect the health or anfety of
- 4. That the schement of the Vermore will adversaly affect the Mester Fian of the City
- THEREFORE, BU II MISCLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

101 10 North Contraction of the Lots I and C, Moon by, Michightons, 1947-35 Tonis allegged, to prove at pression where the the the terminet of proper pressions with restingent Perside S and the second second to second of the state of a second second is the second index.

POAG* A VITING THE PARTY OF A PARTY OF

	City Planning Department
	On internet
Investigation made 5-13-53 B	Tadgett, Subuson South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 5 -11 Petitioner	J14 Health Department J- 71-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date settive date, unless the use end/or co
Resolution becomes effective	lution shall be null and woid, and shall
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

5-7-53

Application Received _

Distance . 10

By V. Beight

SWAR SHARE SHARES

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11903</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. R. W. Chadwick to pave lot and use for driveway and service parking in connection with service station in C Zone, being on Lot 1, Block 7, Hoitt's Addition, southeast corner Dodson and Market Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Time limit ext.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Liste of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13

(1) A GRAD WAR LOUIS CO.

, 19 53

Secretary

Chy Planning Depertured

Res. No. 7389

FORM 2145

and Andingopes No. 2004, as some part of a cost to the 101.0501 the City of Suc Direc, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, Application to the second has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- I. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved of a substant which do not apply generally to other property in the same
- numbers in the same sime publication ty. and enjoyments of superiorital property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property bardship and there reprinting of the application is necessary for the preservation work unnecessary 2. That all a contract of the regulations would
- persons received and will be materially detrimental to 5. That the state of a state the negative sill - not materially affect the health or safety of
- the public solider of trigonious to the property or improvements in the maighborhood.
- 4. That theight first and a variance will mob adversely affect the Master Flan of the City
- THEREFORE, MI II MINED By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

and corrigin to a fill in the with rorated of them in 0 forms, both on for 3, Permission 1. Dr. 's a second to Hru. R. W. Where is now Job and Her day drive and

A TARIANOV to the second second statement to. 13816 be, and is hereby greated as to

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____ Building Inspector _____ Petitioner 5-14 Health Department J--/J Planning Commission (- - A _ Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received 5-4-53	By D. South
representation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Cadgett biberon & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appr.	L Date

RESOLUTION No. 112544

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Roderick Thornton and Anna Thornton, 5252 Manzanares Way, from the decision of the Zoning Committee's Resolution No. 7390, application No. 11898, denying permission to erect a garage with 4-foot setback on Lot 3, Block 5, Valencia Park No. 1, in Zone R-1, be and it is hereby denied and overruhed and said Zoning Committee is hereby sustained.

,]	HEREBY	CER	<i>TIFY</i>	the	above	to be a	ful.	1, true,	and	d correct	copy	of	Resolution	No		44
of the	Counci	1 of	the	City	of S	an Diego.	as	adopted	by	said Cou	ncil		June 1	3	1053	_
													0 00220 22	- 9	2111	
															Internet and party	

By_

		C	City Clerk
	HELEN	M.	WILLIG
A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER			Domitri

WHEREAS, Application No. 11898 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended): Mun. Code 101.05010

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Roderick and Anna Thornton to erect a garage with 4-foot estback on Lot 3, Block 5, Valencia Park No. 1, 5252 Manzanares Way, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby DMIND as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

a the standing and Lands, and the experiments

Dated May 13 , 19 53

The state of the second s

Denewithing Income

By_

176

FORM 2145

Application Received _ 5-6-53 By	, U. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 13 - 53$ By	Padgett, biberson vout
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-44</u> Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>J-1J-J-3</u>
Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner	J- 14 Health Department J-1J-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	11. 14. And the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

* A * statistic de participante de participant de participant de participante de participante de participante aller for a set of the 1. 1. 00 1.00 3. THE GIVE THE ROAD TO REAS SIME MANY TO THE THE THE

LOT COLO TOT OROTA

meterially affect the humilin or eafery of

the second were more work unnerwork of the protection

which do not apply generally to dilar property in the same

RESOLUTION No. 113424

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Russell Segel, owner, and Mayfair Markets, Inc., lessee, from the decision of the Zoning Committee denying by its Resolution No. 7319, application No. 11729, for variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35, New Series, to maintain a 12 by 20 foot screened vegetable room and to construct approximately 30 x 60 foot lath house attached to the rear of an existing market building in the C Zone; on the easterly 390 feet of the southerly 320 feet of the northerly 645 feet of Lot 16, Ex-Mission Lands, at the southwest corner of Euclid and Federal Aves., in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby granted and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

167

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Cour	copy of Resolution No ncil
San Diego, as adopted by said cou	FRED W. SICK
Bu	City Clerk LA VERNE E. MILLER

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11902</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15cof OrdinanceoNocc8924, ascamended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to David F. and Lois T. Ferris to split out portion of Lots 45 and 46, Reynard Hills, legal description on file in Planning Office, and erect a duplex, in rear of 2838 Eagle Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby DINIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

TONE MINE COLUMN TO

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7391

18

Application Received ______ By_U. Beights City Planning Department Investigation made 5-13-53 By Palge beren TAout City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date Decision Denied Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____ Date Building Inspector ____ Date Planning Commission J-15 Petitioner J-14 Health Department J-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action TANKING UPANO EAGL'END AND AND TO THE DESIGNED OF What we sa for the retention to the part that the second NAMES OF A DAY OF A D the state of the s sting the rest of the state the FERREIS in Planning Office, not eroot a WALLS TO TAILS ONE DOLENOU OF DOLE 46 Reynard Way the cith of she pieco allout/Th and the broberth or remember of the boot and the second relation and will an TONS INTER SHOP WELSON WITT WELSON WELSON DE PERSON OF the property pressed of the performed postering by other property Lawrando Lawren . the application is the measures for the presentation UN 101 contration appricable to the same the relidence presenced has shown (see Section 1.11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11906</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924; as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles S. Blair to erect a residence with a 10-feot setback on Quimby Street, but in no case to extend out beyond the setback of the existing residence on the corner of Willow and Quimby Streets; Lot 9, Block 136, Reseville, 100 feet northwest of Willow on southwest side of Quimby, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

Secretary Res. No. 7392

216

By

FORM 2145

Application Received 5-6-53 By	& South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $-5-13-5$ By Considered by Zoning Committee $5-13$	Palgett, biberen + South
	City Planning Department
Decision conde appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14	Building Inspector J-15-15
Decision cond'e gept. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-14</u> Planning Commission <u>5-15</u> Petitioner	J-14 Health Department J-11-13
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

(111) (111) (111) (111) (2017)

samplings to the in the second singly body toles by use in possible controls and ALTER I THE STATE AND ALTER OF ALTER OF ALTER ALTER

to the person i are to be a property as the transfer as the personal deperties about the second second and a

and the application is a provident for the presentation

station of distributed, and will work the unterimity detransministic the state will and the materiality without the bunkled or agreed of

strands and the advertise white the months Plan of the City

the the Soning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Total and the second second states a state to a state the second second second second second second

astriking realizances in a rear of villing and deland second tot it that lite, . A C THING CHING ON TREAST ONE DESCENT THE THIRD OF US, DER

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11850</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ruth Blackwell and Betty Kent to maintain existing 6-foot wall out beyond setback line, on portion of Villa Lot 84, Mission Hills, 4025 St. James Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

11

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

Secretary

FORM 2145

TOTAL MILLING COLD STATE

· (ADD () B WALL AND A COULT

1000 107 (0000 107 (000) minute, and the evidence presented has shown Gars Section has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- stative criterom succes of constraint applicappe in the property
- an Fondatty trippe of the policioner, policened by other property.
- in intersectiond, and will not the aminibily date include the strate of the state and a said the street the health or and of of
- source while ______ and ____ advocated aftert the Baster Plan of the City

- second walk out by any the of the allow, on portion of villability, election willing,
- and and assisting the set of the
- to get benegit that a sign of the set of success of grid higher of the backets constraint updat." C AND THUR I IN THE THE SECTION OF A TOTAL AND THE ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND A DECEMBER OF A DECEMBE

Investigation made <u>5-13-53</u> By Calm City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date a jefer. Date Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Building Inspector J--/J--Planning Commission J-11 Petitioner J-14 Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

City Planning Department

V 3 By

Application Received _____

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11932</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u> as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. F. Fischer to eract 9-foot by 6-foot addition to a non-conforming residence, two residences on Lots 21 and 22, Block 3, Gcean Beach, one residence having 21-foot rear yard; 4514 Santa Monica Ave., Zone R-1; on condition that the structure complies with the Building Dept. requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Approximate with the loss

INAMOURALION IN THE

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_53

By_

219

FORM 2145

THE REPAIR SHARE

- the methodorical would will be materially detrimonical to

restion will materially affect the health or eatery of

and property sights of the petitioner, possessed by when property and all the application is propagately for the preservation

strond of which do not apply penerally to other property in the name

- will not advantely affect the Master Flat, of the City
- The Dy the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- Assion that be not on the complicate the Soulding Four Provestingset. and realdeness and the start rank first fisher morian for , dama fed ; on ourannon-genfer due red dert, sur redienen en bete 21 and 22, those 2, tears guadh, Permission is not the provide the ford. F. Thochar to eract 9-foot by 6-foot multiples to

des particulars and for riskys, intofer as they relate to but property described where. A Warthange to have a construction for logy by and in hereby excepted on to

Application Received <u>5-8-53</u> By	U. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u><u><u>S</u>-13-53</u> By</u>	Padgett biberson & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Decision a ferre. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>-14</u>	Building Inspector J-15
Planning Commission 5 - 15 Petitioner	J-14 Health Department J-, J-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	his for all the well bed work and we
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By U. Beights

WELL'S DEF CALLOW

work unnecessary

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11925</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 conserved): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. R. Jones, owner, and Robert J. Stirnkorb, purchaser, to erect a 36-unit hotel with 5-foot setback on both Playa del Norte and Playa del Sur, and a 12-foot setback on Neptune Place, being a portion of Lot 3, La Jolla Strand, per legal description on file in Planning Office, west end of Playa del Norte and Playa del Sur, Zone R-4; as shown on plot plan as submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

The Built externation

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7395

Bv

1312

A Survey

-1204 ··

TOSOT TOT OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE 1925 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

in the next borhood, and will much be enterially detrimental to service with the meetingly alloct the heath of solery of

and will pad addition affect the Makley Plan of the City

3051 1001 1010

the man tone - the start of slot plan at equipped. stand derariation of the L. Clarify C. Soo, were an of Asya and There There oda create a 31- all latere site p-fout rether an bret sites dot sorte and first deline. Ferenzanien is a mar a marked by 0. J. Jemon, and rebert J. Fairphord, once meet,

to the protice is in the state of the state of page submer to the protocol dense to the Decomposition of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

Application Received <u>5-5-5</u> By <u>J. In <u>S</u> Connect City Planning Department</u> Investigation made _ 5-13-53 By Padgett, Subecon TAout City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13 Hearing date Decision conde appr. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-17 13 5-15-Building Inspector Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner J-14 Health Department 1 - - 10 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action acc of Blk. 3, except Ely opport. 105 ft.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11785</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15.of Ordinance Nov.8924</u>, case amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Union Oil Company of California to remove existing nonconforming service station and replace with new station, on Lots G and H. Block 384, Horton's Addition, northwest corner of Fourth and Spruce St., Zone R-4; per plans submitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

And the contraction of the second sec

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

5W889

Dated May 13 , 19 53

By

19

FORM 2145

The second states in the second

TOTOTOT

THE PALL OF BUSEREIN ALLESS THE MARKER PLAN OF THE CLEW

and the property of improvements in the meighborhood.

the colliborhood; and will not the materially detrimental to started will not mightely affect the health of safety of

structury rights of the peritaner, possessed by other property

second which do not apply programs to other property in the age There is create an constrainty applicable to the property

sectors, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1173 - hes been considered by the Zoning Committee

meneral and the sheet

services a service of a service of the restrict of the service and the service services and the intereing corving continue for replace when he hereich, on note a she to the the state of the and defen is how a contraction of declaring of decision of an intervent of an intervent of an intervent of the

A visiting to the Try I are of invitation to. 1990% be, and is hereby created in to the - - -----

starbers strated rises . There is a fug rough to the property description there a

Bang Application Received _ 4-28-5-By City Planning Department Investigation made ______3-5-3 berson The By (City Planning Department -13 Hearing date. Considered by Zoning Committee - apper. Date Decision Building Inspector _____ /-_ /J-- J-3 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Planning Commission J--/J Petitioner J-14 Health Department 1-15 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date _ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

0

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11904</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Taju and Miwa Koide to erect duplex on rear of lot with approximately 7-foot access court to street, Lots 45 and 46, Block 175, San Diego Land & Town, 2111-2115 Kearney Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated a bove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

FORM 2145

By

33

	A state of the second	

SHERE DET

W KPAREDUCE P

in the second the second second second to the second second second and the second second teres a second of the part and the part was been been and the second

By V. Berghts City Planning Department Application Received 5 - 4 - 5-3 Investigation made <u>5-13-53</u> By Galge City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date__ Decision appr. Date Building Inspector_1-15-13 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner J-14-53 Health Department 5-15 Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

contant, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

anna out ur firdend, regre or fiverent fidde tou on untur special circum tances or conditions applicable to the property

suchardy rights of the pericipant, possessed by other property a all the application is necessary for the procession

the settleborbood, and will not be monestally detrimental in the still and anterially affect the newlets or salety of

advoracty advoracty attout the instar Plan of the City

BARRY CARD COMPANY AND THE REAL

a set and the second second and the second second second and the second second

the is a sup sound count an abuser pose of and be good day.

WHEREAS, Application Nollogo has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lexe Fort, owner, and Interstate Bakeries Corp., purchaser, to erect an addition to a bakery existing on lots 27 to 35, inclusive; addition to have zero side yard, 10-foot rear yard, and 100% coverage on Lots 36 and 37, Block 188, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, 1947 Julian Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

The here were

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

OTHING TO

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

a filomatic Transitional

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 FORM 2145 By

Secretary Res N. 7398

RESOCUTION NO. 2108

WHEREAS, Apply the Soning Committee has been considered by the Zoning Committee if the City of Same and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance) and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- That there are , constructed a circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or constructed on the do not apply generally to other property in the same some and y
- 2. That strict on the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and the supervised of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment is supervised property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the provision and victuity.
- 3. That the providence of the application will add_____ meterially affect the health or safety of persons the state of a subject of the neighborhood, and will and be enterially detrimental to the public solution or injuriant to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- of San Die

THEREFORE, I'L HEREFULLED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Permitation is hereby a point to here fort, comparison interval to interval to the provision done , purchaseer, to meet a welling to boldary establish on ions 17 to 57, includence outstion to have a side new , 1.-foot rear purch and 1005 cover to us then 36 and 17, 100 but a loss here and the loss bound of Yoan Denney's monthurs, 1987 bolten New , Sole and

the variance for the structures of Collector So. 18903 be, and is hereby granted as

COAG .

Secure and a secure
y D. South City Planning Department
Y Calgett biberen Sont
Hearing date
Date
Date Building Inspector <u>J-1J-J-3</u> 5-14 Health Department J-1J
5-14 Health Department 1-11
Council Hearing, date
Date
lution shall be will amineald and shall b
Continued to
Date of action

Bernarana (Strang) + Arrow

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11910</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lexe Fort, owner, and Interstate Bakeries Corp., purchaser, to erect an addition to a bakery existing on Lots 27 to 35, inclusive; addition to have zero setback where average of the block is 15 ft. 7 inches, on Lots 36 and 37, Elock 188, San Diego Land and Town Company's Addition, 1947 Julian Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 FORM 2145

THE PARTY AND A PA

By_

Secretary

Res. No. 7399

33

he City of Sam 1 and Laborata, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- BOUR MANY FF involved, the sub-the invested, which do not apply generally to other property in the same I's Thus there are a special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- unders in the name some and vicinity. and rejourned and property rights of the petitioner, pessessed by other property hardship, and see it willing of the application is necessary for the preservation 2. That affine a straight of the regulations would Work Inniccompary
- the public welture or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. person reading as we can be the neighborhood, and will and will and we be miterially detrimental to 3. That the provide the equiproption will _______ materially affect the health or safety of
- to That the grandene of the vacuance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

THEREFORE DE CITY NUT DE EV the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

1040 · 0401 · 040 Portionion an error of the form fort, prove an Interrition of the forth of the second states of the forther and the block of the forther and the second seco

detertation a cut on chains a love, income as they relate to the resonanty A veri-nee list of a givin who who he been been been be sub in hereby

a standard and a standard a	Discret by 9
Application Received $-\frac{4-24-53}{B}$ B	y _ Z ESouth City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-13-53</u> B	A way a second second
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Appropriate Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J-14 Planning Commission J-15 Petitioner	Building Inspector
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
	Continued to
Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Date of action

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Charles Taylor Johnson to erect addition to residence with 22-foot rear yard, porch addition to have 16-foot rear yard on southeasterly 57.5 feet of southerly 102.5 feet of Lot 3, Block 536, Old San Diego 2253 Juan Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101,0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____May 13

FORM 2145

, 19 53

Secretary

PINON SUMPLY ME ADATE

111111/ Junizeitel (366 76. 102.0502)

00701200020001

Application Received 4-18-53

the property of inprovidence in the neighbordend.

The live Zoning Committee of the City of San Disco.

will ligh adversely affect the Minter Flam of the City

in the multiplotherd, and will bob motorially detrimoned, to and will light meterially affect the houlds or aniety of

a concrete rights of the politioner, possessed by other property the application is presented for the preservation

works and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

Lines Marway 123. It is the A state of the state of the State of Lack State State State State State Permiserion from the start to the the they address to should be to

(Charles (Mar) - Darlas The stand of the the - 4, which has not part of plants, specific a consistent and the state the state state and A vertice is the second of antained determined the for the second to become

Investigation made ST-1, P-VB B	y Padgett biles a South City Planning Department
investigation made b	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Laker.	Date
Decision apper. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-14</u>	Building Inspector <u>J-10-13</u>
Planning Commission 5-11 Petitioner	J-14 Health Department J/J-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By J. Bru

City Planning Department