WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11949</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 18924, [as [amended]; Municip al Code 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Evangeline F. Gilchrist and Roland H. Fa_nton to add bathroom to non-conforming building having 62-foot access court on Lots 43 and 44, Block 45, University Heights, west side Park Blvd. between Monroe and Madison Avenues, Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 2145

Secretary

By

1010101 NO. 3102

the Ciry of St

- TOROTY OF
- There are in humdeling, and anyon entre races
- work unpressary and the rolloution is necessary for the processary and secondly rights of the petitioner, percented by other property second by

a with do not apply generally to other producty in the same

115co hus been considered by the Zoning Committee

3. That the structure of the section will we associately affect the humich or setty of persons of the structure personnel and will <u>res</u> be magningly detrimented to the public structure of the property of improvingent in the weighborhood.

THE REPAIRS TO AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AN

an ance will mak adversely affect the hunter Flair of the City

returned the states charte, supplier as bird general the line of

E. DEPARTALIST

af San De

MENDOLP'S CONTEST

The markers in the second

10 2 3 3

Application Received

5-

6

.

- 53

empirator for berult of star to war the pathon for the following the formation of the second of the

A APAT WOLL DUT IN THAT I A ALL THE ALL METTOR OF GREAD AND ADDITION TO THE ALL AND ADDITION AND A ALL AND ADDITION AND ADDITION AND ADDITION ADDITION ADDITION ADDITION ADDITIONAL ADDITION ADDITIONAL ADDITIONAL

0
ute
a a spece
Craster.
MA 84
and the second s
1

By U. Becaht

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11943</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Aneita P. and Loren S. Campbell to convert existing residence to duplex, making three units on lot, two of which will be served by 72 ft. access court, on east 40 feet of Lots 1 thru 4, Block 97, University Heights, south side of Meade Ave. between Cleveland and Campus, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

me filmi extraner, in

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

By

70

Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7402

Application Received 5-6-53 By	1. Beights
FF	Øity Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-13-53</u> By	Padgett biberen + South
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13	Hearing date
Decision 1 Apr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-14$	Building Inspector (() (3
Planing Commission (Det Clerk Detitioner	Duning hispector
Planning Commission 5-14- Petitioner	G 74 Health Department 3 - 73-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Life in the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

the many is is in the second that is not the second a baye, the second second second second second second second

WC01100C / X .

WART TO P 1

C. 000013 11.0.1

" stance to de.

By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diegn,

and the thread matter of 1991, the physical particular sectors in a to have to include P. Hol- corporte, puppings) to browned entering

HIS GROUP AND AND

and will - dob- advoracity affort the Master Plan of the Cicy

structure objects do not apply generally to other property in the same

name has been considered by the Repairing Committee

st to the property of improvements in the perchiporhoup.

Note: 108" April 10

selecty elaber of the pethelomer, possessed by other property segulations would

second and - not meterially affect the health or anisty of

an ontgliborhood, and will those the successfully deperiments to

. .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11845</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert L. Shrum to erect an addition to existing single family residence on Let 17 and north 44 ft. of Let 18, Hemeland Villas No. 2 at 4651 Pico Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_53

STREET, DESCRIPTION

FORM 2145

By_

319

and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

· William

metall) ridges of the berginges, permaned by other property no of the application is necessary for the pressection The story along

control the property or improvements in the naighborhood. the resultion bood, and will will be materially detrimented to and will not materially affect the health or actery of

and all Cor adversals allert the means but of the Cirk

station for the second of the 17 and neven on far of the int montant Tilling the A subscript is b that a shelled Robert ?. Should be should be chickling to exterile

A A 2 . CA WI HAT THEN NOT TTO YEA DALLAR IN THE THE THE DALLAR AF WERE TRACK TO A MARKET EREMAN

CONTRAT DOC FILST support and for a set of the space space. Theory is a such here to the support 4 ASTATISTICS 5

Investigation made 5-13-53 By Pala City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _5-13 Hearing date Date Decision Kkpr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J - 14Building Inspector J-1 J-- 13 Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner 5-14 Health Department 5-15 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Co

City Planning Department

Application Received 4-29-53 By 3. me

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11942</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is here by granted to Jon L. Burriston to erect residence and car port; car port to have 6-foot setback, residence to observe 24-foot setback on Crespo Drive, Lot 18, Block F, Villa Tract La Jolla Park, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

PORM 2145

HING THERE SXIS SUGAR

By

Secretary

DIGTION NO. THE

a sulations would

History of the

Involue and year

- 2. Thut hards/ and en/
- the public the
- S. That the p

D D D the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

the to the property of inprovements in the model-burnends.

adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

where will not meterially affect the prints of antery of

second the application is necessary for the preservation of the preservation of the petitioner, passessed by other property

should discussiones of conditions applicable to the property of the same

somes, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- has been considered by the Zoning Committee

AND ST. MARKA

and wark unnecessary

Formingion in the provide the form to function in strend purchases one contract of the provident of the prov

Construction for the second of the second s Second sec

City Planning Department
Padgett biberson + South City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date Building Inspector $5 - 15 - 5$ 5 - 14 Health Department $5 - 15$
Building Inspector <u><u><u></u></u><u><u><u></u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u></u>
5-14 Health Department 5-,5-
Council Hearing, date
Date
Continued to
Date of action

P

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11941</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/9f/Ordinance/No/18924/185/amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert F. and Bonnie J. Tweed to erect residence with 5-foot rear yard at one corner of residence only, on portion of Lot 9, Block C, Las Lomas, per legal description on file in Planning Office, being at the northwesterly corner of Zola St. and Poinsettia Dr., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0501 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13

, 19_53

Secretary

224

FORM 2145

TION NO. THE

- 4 . Then the con-

"Purply weatering Code No. 343.0905) iterative and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

standed which do not apply generally to ashes property in the same a speciel circumstances of conditions applicable to the property

strain rights of the petilionar, possessed by other propacty interestion in the application is an application to Those Messearch

need on the property of improvements in the neighborhood. is the neighborhood, and will ______ is antarially detrimental to contraction will _ age _ meterially affect the health or nainty of

other work . Action

and the state was adversely allegt the Mister Finn of the City

with the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

abender of sal a section of a ford half. farget rear and the conver of reallones matrices mothered months and the state of the terrelients I. Marthe a new to obort a spit possible freed by erest restance site

OTHER STRATE CONTRACT a think the of above, insolar an they related to blo proverby and the set of the set Analytics to the A. - The A. - The of Antipathol tota 101 101 been ber the personal

Application Received 5-6-5-3	By V. Beights
	By <u>Padgett</u> <u>Siberson</u> + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\sqrt{-13}$	Hearing date
Decision ables	Date
Decision appendix to City Clerk <u>J-/</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>Petitioner</u> Planning Commission <u>J-/J</u>	H Building Inspector <u>J-11-4-5</u>
Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner	· J-14 Health Department J-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Lot by a start of the start of
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11907</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/df/Qfdinande/No//8924;/as/amended)/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack and Dorothea J. King to erect garage 20 ft. by 22 ft. with 12 ft. by 17 ft. studio on top; no sideyard and no rear for garage; studio room to have 10 ft. rear yard and 3 ft. sideyard on east 1/2 Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 53, Arnold and Choates Addition, 719 Ft. Stockton Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13

DIMERCINE LOUI AND FUNCTION T

, 19_53

116 5

highdrawite a second () and case in the test

A Jame states

and a second second

3" MOGE 23' / . . the recenter 238 and appropriate parts " tons and asterio road to the start raid on a substant on most 1/2 boing 1, 2, and there are for a control po your and to apply a the state for the set of the photometers of the photometers and the set of the

summaried or Lorison of a live shalod shave, impediate a they reises to the other reises the westing in the most of the four four for the section of the se

detroy to the property or isprovements in the neighborhood.

is the reighborhood, and sail, mat, he materially detrimental to and will not materially aflect the health or safety of

protectly rights of the petitioner, personal by ether property will of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation

services which do not apply gammaily to other property in the same

and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

special circumstances or conditions applicably to the property

- has been considered by the Soning Committee

BOX & HINGCONSOLA

Application Received _ 4 - 28 - 13 By D. Baughman City Planning Department Investigation made 5-13-13 By Calgett City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ 5-13 Hearing date Decision apper. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ____ Building Inspector_ Planning Commission J-11 Petitioner J-14 Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11869</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/91 Ordinance No/18924//as/amended): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Grace R. Jacques to enlarge and rebuild existing garage to 12 ft. by 20 ft., with zero sideyard and zero rear yard on south 50 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Block 225, University Heights, 3753 Vermont Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

66

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Pated Hay 13 FORM 2145

TOU SCOLLING

OPICEON AUTOCOM

Secretary

the City of

TUNDION.

2. That alve hardshare and are

Destruction of the

4. That the P

- Service -

advorsely offeet the Master Pian of the City

"No orighterboad, and will mid- be materially detrimental to

and the application is necessary for the preservation processes of the patients of the patients, possessed by other property

toward directantances or conditions applicable to the property of the same

and to the property or improvements in the not phochood.

Co

City Planning Department

WERE STREET

MOLK (MIGCOBRELL

107

3

Diggerori. The Construction of the City of San Biego

CLUCKERS / Purtering Case 101:0901)

Septimentes In the state of the state strength and been beind the part provide the sector of the state and the state strength and been were strengthed been

af 3005 i sue of a second of the second of the second of the second statement where the second second is the second of the second s

constitue to the state of the s

Investigation made <u>5/3-5</u> By	Padgett Liberson - South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Kppr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-14</u>	Building Inspector <u>J - J J - V 3</u>
Planning Commission 5-11 Petitioner	J-14 Health Department J-10-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Application Received 4-27-53 By 3. m.e

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11946</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/bf/orblinance/No/./ 89/24/, as amended): Municipal Code #101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Revival Pentecostal Tabernacle of San Diego to erect a church with 10-foot setback on Nile Street, and 15-foot on Wightman Street, on Lots 1 thru 5, Elock 188, City Heights, southeast corner Nile and Wightman Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Witter extended 10

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Pated Nay 13 FORM 2145 By

63

19_53

Secretary

the requirement would

weight of a matchant down with a soll

addanate and a state of the state

Tipla.

and the state of the

By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

and will Day materially affect the health or safety of

is instally rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property . I the application in neroscary for the pressration

Tabler percept on the solution of the look that the here here and the set of the set to creat which is - not subback on hild stands, and in-tool on which the Workshort to Moviesl Ferrospick Theory of the Mingle

threated in the state of the stated a buyer inserts an busy related to the 27.8 8 14

Application Received _____ By U. Beighto City Planning Department -13-53 By Padge Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date_ Date Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Building Inspector J-J-14 Health Department Planning Commission J---- Petitioner Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11917</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Marie B. Murphy to maintain the existing two single family residences on the south half of Lot 7, Block 13, Encanto Heights, west side of 65th Street between Broadway and Wunderlin Ave., Zone R-2; on condition that all alterations conform to the Building Dept. requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

The statt correction

FORM 2145

......

Secretary Res. No. 7409

154

HIJON NO SHO

THE GIEN

They all herdelate and er

DULTING DULTING

Ther i'v

1010 ·

but her been considered by the Zoning Committee wins, and the cyldence presented has shown free Section function from the solution of the section of the s

second all discussioners or conditions applicable to the property and related not apply generally to other property in the same

work unnecessary is the application is ______ necessary for the preservation for rights of the pairtience, passesed by other property work.

a the property of improvements in the neighborhood.

es will not adversaly affect the Master Flan of the City

and the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Anticelon in herein, which a so letter h. Hursty to minimize the existing two minimum southy residention and it will of her Y. Block 13. Induced Astrophy were tide of the brock herein, from a set will differ to ave. Ichnewill on demision case all differentions monimize an event will ind Beyl, requirements.

Without to be a structure of craining to all the first structure and in more distribution to be an problem in a structure of substance of substances and substances of sub

Application Received <u>4-24-53</u> By <u>V. Jaughman</u> Gity Planning Departme	
City Planning Departme	nt
Investigation made By Palgett City-Planning Departme	alle for
Investigation made By Dadgett Deber	son + could
City-Planning Departme	nt
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date	- Jan
Decision appr. Date	and a second second second
Decision $Appr$. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $J - 14$ Building Inspector $J - 15$ Planning Commission $J - 15$ Petitioner $J - 14$ Health Department	-13
Planning Commission J-15 Petitioner J-19 Health Department	5,5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date	
Decision of Council Date	
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn Continued to	
Time limit extended to Date of action	

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11929</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/0f/0f/dinance/No/./8924/, as/ amended): Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert R. West to construct retaining wall 2 feet high to 12 feet high, as per plan on file in Planning Office together with Record of Survey May #2723, showing Arbitrary Lots D and E, a portion of Lots 20 and 21, Sefton Estates, 4061 Narragansett Ave. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code N. 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nay 13

FORM 2145

into parate cate in the in

Secretary

218

, 19 53

By

11.50

WIND MULTICIDES DOUG SOS 6203)

- Terreturner a state in the second of the second second second second states and should be seen - 1) The Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- subbedd are seen a provident of the state of a sale where begt of partners in the second standard to see the second of the second which to I to to the set of the on this is thereine of the set of the
- ALL STOREST DESCRIPTION ... A STATEON I F AN T HE STATEONING AN AP TOT H DOWN IN ONLY A SUCH ANIMA THE ADDRESS AND AND AN AND AN AND AND AN Antisable for the factor at the at the other fore the series of the other of the series of the serie

and which the adversaly affect the Mester Plan of the CALY

property support of the petitioner, possessed by other property

seen - prop by not apply generally to other property An the name statute of concentrations of conditions applicable to the property

and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- Investigation made _____ By Pakget City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date Decision appu-Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Building Inspector 1-Planning Commission 5-10 Petitioner 5-14 Health Department 5-15 Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to
- Application Received _ 5 3 53 Ja = By City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11895</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Flora B. Heath to erect a 12 ft. by 16 ft. bedroom addition to existing residence which has 3-foot rear yard, addition to observe required rear yard, west 1/2 Lots 45 and 46, Block 42, W. P. Herbert's Sub., at 3579 Meade Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

PORM 2145

Secretary

83

By

PLACEUTION NO. MILL

The City of a second of the evidence presented has shown (see Section fifth Ordingue

- That there is a proving special discumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved;
 Involved;
 Involved;
 Introduct, which do not apply generally to other property in the same some nodes
- 3. That size work unnecessary herdship mereasony for the preservation and enjoyed and enjoyed in a property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property emere a property.
- 3. That the result of the neithborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to person the person of the person
- a. That the rest in the second will and adversely affect the Mantor Plan of the City
- of San Line .
- THEREFORE THE ALAR MAY THE Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- Permitrator a list of the first of to Kin Magna 3. Teach to speet a 15 Mar by 36 Mt. L beingen a list of the list of the restance about hes 3-fack rows work, multiplied to a short of rows of the state of the set 1/2 Lots A5 and MG. Night O2, North Meriders's a short of rows of the state of the set 1/2 Lots A5 and MG. Night O2, North Meriders's
- thereal of the state of the state state above, interest above, the second state of the property of the state of the state

By 7. m - Connect City Planning Department Application Received _ 4-28-13 By Calget Investigation made ______ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _ J-13 Hearing date Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Building Inspector 1-11-13 Planning Commission 5-11 Petitioner 5-14 Health Department 5-15 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date _ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

at the y's

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11947</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 18924 (as amended))

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Horace A. and Hannah W. Moody to construct addition to living room and make general repairs to residence having 12-foot rear yard on west 55 feet of Lots 25 and 26, Block 52, Park Villas, 3265 Landis Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_53

A NORTH MALL AND A MARKED AND A

FORM 2145

Secretary

63

By

	ALL THE	
		and the second second

of the City of

NYAR JA

Application De

has been considered by the Soning Committee

- That the supplicable to the property involved and supply sensibly to ather property in the same
- 3. Then do not not set of the neighborhood, and will max be materially detrimental to permone the set of the neighborhood, and will max be materially detrimental to the permonents of the neighborhood.
- of Son Drug
- THEREFORE, The Fourth By the Zoning Committee of the City of Sen Diege,
- to living that a set of the set working is working invite Strong and on ever

watered been were as at the start doin the solution to and the sale to have be created

And the she more in formation of the shear and the shear we shear we are the shear and the shear and

Application Received	
bl.	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	y <u>Palgett Bibusen</u> South City Planning Department
IN STREET ATTACK AND A THE REAL POINT AND	City Flamming Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J-14	Building Inspector J 15-53
Planning Commission 5-11 – Petitioner	J14 Health Department 5-15-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Totle well an and set and the set
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

P ...

6.53

7. m = Commell

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11924</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No. 2924</u>, case amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to McKinley K. and Rosalie Reeves to convert portion of existing garage into living unit, the distance between the new unit and the existing residence 3 ft. 9 in., one garage and three off-street paved parking spaces to be provided; portion of Lot 37 and all of Lots 38 and 39, Block 281, San Diego Land and fown Co.'s Addition, 402 South 28th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

CHING FILLING GALLANDER CONTRACTOR

CALLER ANTICAL DE CAL

FORM 2145

By

33

(see faction and the svidence preparted has shown (see faction (see faction factor)). Eve. Code 101.0501) has been appriduced by the Zoning Completee

scaled, which do not upply generally to other property in the name

the network borhood, and will sold be enterially detrimented to The part of well will we perform a fight the bealth of partery of

see will not anyethely affect the Master Plan of the City.

and the part of

Stars The second

Therefore 3 fr. 0 fr. , the state and baros off-street prod grading concers in be applied for the state of the state will of toth 36 and 39. Block SHI, for black that will ported in house of the state of the state of toth 36 and 39. Block SHI, for black that will -Control sectories in a state and the distance hetweek the design and the establish summarian is hereby provide the contribution of the provide strate brown to convert persion of

V AULA the publications shake the start into far as they relate to the property described shore. Included to the provide and thulthat the lots lot done has not in hereig that had no to

Application Received 5-11-13 B	V. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\int -13 - \sqrt{3}$ B	Do il il il in
Investigation madeB	Valutt Diverson forth
and the second of the second	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Decision $a_{k}pv'$. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $5-14$	Building Inspector
Planning Commission 5-15 Petitioner	5-14 Health Department 5-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. 11, 1953

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 13cofcOpdimanceoMaco89240cascamended); Mun. Code 101.0501)

- _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are ____ involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will __not __ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6976. dated Nov. 12, 1952, which extended Resolution No. 6445, dated May 14, 1952, which amended Resolution No. 6377, dated April 23, 1952, be granted to Samuel J. and Arletta Jean Long, purchasers, and Sylvester L. and Mary P. Hachn, owners, to split out a portion of Lot 28, La Mesa Colony, according to plot plan, Exhibit "A", on file in City Planning Office, and erect a single family residence, northeast corner of Montezuma Road and La Dorna Drive, Zone B-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

NIGHT BASIS SOLITION OF Philipperion Million

FORM 2145

By_

and a state of the

the post long to the second of an and the second of the second second second second second second second second evended To she of the state of the second for it 77, 1953, the spinsted for comparts 5, but with the SECTOR 204" T

STPA STOTE THE STATE andion of los

the purchastic level of the hear, involvence they relate to the property described above. a statute a to do a second fight of the statement for 13550 bd, and in hereby grouted as to w

Application Received By	marl
	City Planning Department
Investigation made B	Padgett Eiberson - South
A LEAST ANTITUDE LA	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-13	Hearing date
	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>- N</u> -	Building Inspector J 1V VE
Planning Commission J/JS3Petitioner	J Health Department J
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	an ta ta ta t
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11- 13

WIN DIAL' THE MY

and a state in the state 101 (5000) 1 Tr + 1 133

which the he not apply generally to other property in the same strain intromations or conditions applicable to the property

the signal of the petitioner, possessed by other property. or all the suplication is _____ necessory id: the preservation

of the melchnorhood, and will ... not be materially detricented to stimp will not meterially affect the health or safety of

e to they, sour firs to plot plan, "while any, on sile it

the start for plation to, only, draed tor 24, 2022, velde the status from the explored the substration states of the state so. Selfer

a server a clothe fuelly realized , reatheast correct of

WHEREAS, Application No. May 7, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ondinance Nor 8924 ras ramended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property 1. That there are involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 6441, dated April 30, 1952, which extended Res. No. 5661, dated July 11, 1951, which extended Res. No. 4614, dated April 19, 1950, be granted to Leslie and Doris Hamm to maintain an existing full-time photographic business, with 5% of the pictures taken in the home and 95% taken elsewhere, all the processing (printing and developing) done in a dark room in the garage, Lot 15, Block 83, Point Loma Heights, 4421 Santa Cruz Ave., Zone R-1, on the following conditions:

- That there be no advertising of the address; VERUSING OI THE ALL STOP IN THE ALL STOP IN THE ALL STOP 1.
- No employees: 2.
- No signs; 3.

A PRINTER DATE AND A PRINTER A

CHARLES CHARLES INCOME

A MARCHARDER LA SERVICE

FORM 2145

This permit to expire on June 30, 1954. 4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

, 19 53 Dated May 13

213

CONTRACT: RAD' CARG TOT GOOT) 122 3 2623

states, which do not apply generally to other property in the same

which property sights of the pericipant, possessed by other property

in the meruborhood, and will may be miterially detrimpted to served will much meterially allegt the health of astery all

and will not advanty stick the Masier Fign of the City

The Dy the Coning Committee of the City of San Diego,

refrette, that and be dress trois, long tols on the following contrations: and and developing of a the fact records of the service boy 23, check of , sold here. - or an araphine of the the she have the sole for and the second of the second of the base es tertis son out out the relieven on existing fall-ches possible bentaque, with Wet on exterior in the constant he shall deted apail 30, 1952, which extended her the

Application Received 5-7-53 By Mail City Planning Department By Cadal Investigation made 5-13-53 City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date Decision Appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J= Date 15-Building Inspector Planning Commission J-11 Petitioner J-11 Health Department J-11-13 Council Hearing, date _ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

the province of the source free for an she read to the property theory and appear

sugar who to some on the Do, 1964.

thereto for a so thereto a so a successful of the buckhard

.

waterproof on pro outal there of there and the years of the year of materia there as no fur

Terrist no el lerre de la contractione de la contraction de la contraction de la contraction de la contraction

protection and the party

Letter dated May 1, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 6507, dated May 28, 1952, which extended Resolution No. 5526, dated May 16, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 4677, dated May 17, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4126, dated September 7, 1949, which extended Resolution No. 3420, dated September 8, 1948, which extended Resolution No. 2540, dated Oct. 8, 1947, be granted to Janet Mattoon to operate a beauty shop in an existing residence, part-time only, at 3885 Birch Street on Lot F, Block 295, Arlington, to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or contruction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

CALIFICATION REALING AND

By

48

FORM 2145

APPRICE FROM D. COLLENS

WEREALS, ALL & Committee has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of a considered has shown (see Section of Orginal and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

stretel circumstances of conditions applicable to the protecty of the super-

2. Their strain the beguing of the source of the strain the strain

with more security of the petitioner, passessed by other property of the petitioner, passessed by other property of the petitioner.

. That the function multiple intertaily affect the health or mainty affect the health or mainty of persons and will will will be materially detrimental to the public be materially detrimental to the property or inprovements in the materially detrimentation.

That the part of the will _10% _ wdversely affact the Master Plan of the City

THEREFORM SHALLED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

state an externation to the sector interval and the first which extended demologizes
to 5526, there shows it, if, if51, inites extended to 5007, deter ing first which extended demologizes
to 5526, there shows it, if, if51, inites extended to 5007, deter is, ifig
to 2520, there is the first of the statement of the statement to 5007, if if is the statement is inite is the statement of the st

A Warkingen to a second of an of transmos in. 19216 by, much a Marchy Armsbed as to the

particulation of the of the taken of they raise to the property despirated above.

Application Received _ 5- 4- 53 By Mar City Planning Department 5-13-53 By_ Padaett Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-73 Hearing date Date Decision Appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____ Building Inspector_ Planning Commission J-15 Petitioner J-15 Health Department J-Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Date Decision of Council **Resolution becomes effective** Application withdrawn Continued to Date of action Time limit extended to

Letter dated April 7, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 6972, dated Nov. 12, 1952, be granted to Leslie E. and Helen C. Skinner to construct a duplex with 8 ft. 6 in. access court, making three units on Lot 2, Block C, Sterlingworth, 4385 34th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 19 53

CONTRACT CONTRACTOR

By

FORM 2145

Application Received _____

new with more reparately attact the Maxter, Phys. of the Gity

and the perturbutions, and will much be materially detrimental to a service will - materially afters the bealth or waters of

service of the application is _____ necesser, for the preservation

the test of a construction of construction with the probability

now 22, 1952, our web is a solid to and Weben C. Children to application a factoria with I I I LAST 200 GLOTLETON GOOD OF GONOTESTONION IN AST TOPOL

of Residion fore so, tol sool bo, and in hereig, grouped MERCE SPACES ! IN LAN a re fi in merner a statistic times and the second of lot 2 theory of the statistic second by the

Ch Sr. An to the provident of the second second at they reach to the property depending

Investigation made _____ By Palget City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date Decision Date appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _ - 15 Building Inspector J--/J- - J-3 J⁻-/J⁻ Health Department Planning Commission 5-1- Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By mail

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11872</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>. as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. D. Johnstone, owner, and C. R. and Anna E. Cline, purchasers, to add to and bring up to code a residence on the northeasterly 80 feet of Lot 9, Block 167, Roseville, site being less than 5,000 sq. ft., garage to be built in bank, 3221 Udall St., Zone R-1; on condition that the final plans are approved architecturally by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

A SA SAA CLARTING, OFTIGRA,

Pare or senous

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 13 , 1953

ADDE MUSIC CLARINE

Secretary Res. No. 7418

FORM 2145

0001.0*

spicerod architects out to the standard about . and the strategicture of a consistence without a solo of a consistence of the solution of the "weaterion is in the second of the probability of a state of the same of the second of

no to the part and the state of a love, innotar as they relate to the property described A PURISON SO DAY THAT ICAN OF TATIONAD TO. IL TON PERISON, DR. AND IN DARING AND AND SO DAY

Application Received 4-21-53 B	v & South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5 - 13 - 53</u> B	y Palatt biberson + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Akkr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 5-25-25
Decision Afer Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-14 Planning Commission 5-10 Petitioner	J14 Health Department J11-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Judion about the sector sector sector sector
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

(CONDERSED): HAR DOLD TOT DODD suburnes, and the syndence presented has shown (see Section 11577 has been considered by the Zoning Chemittee

concreteinces ar conditions applicable to the property

a lighterity rights of the patietomer, possessed by other property

the the designoothood, and will _ 200b be materially detrivented to

the will mak advoracionativet the Master Fien of the City
WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11921</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. A. Luton, owner, and MidwaySouthern Baptist Church, purchaser, to construct church and educational building and playground, on Lot 126, Morena Acre Lots, northeast corner of Galveston and Gardena, Zone E-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. (That a 10-foot easement along Galveston be dedicated to the City for future street widening: Completed for from Buff FAMC. 10/26/53
- 2. That a corner cutoff with 20-foot radius on Galveston and Gardena and a corner cutoff with 20-foot radius on Gardena and Illion be dedicated to the City;
- 3. That offstreet parking be provided and maintained on the property at a ratio of one car for each ten persons;
- 4. That plans be approved by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

FORM 2145

By

I the City of the December of Fernia, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section MEREAS 1 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- involved, a set for the exception, which do not apply generally to other property in the same special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property L . That there are .
- and entropy of all and shally) property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property 2. That are a receiver of the regulations would. work unnecessory
- the public a transformer of the property or improvements in the neighborhood. persons they are a weather to the neighborhood, and will mode be materially detrimental to 3. That the resultance and loadion will much materially affect the health or aniety of
- 4 . That the give to be you work will got adversely affect the Mater Plan of the City

THEREFORE, an UL ETSOLVID, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

California, and to be

Jornission is her by the party of inter, anner, and Midwey-conthern Suptiet Church,

forent Across states and the result of Chilverton and Condens, Jone 1-1; subject to the perchaper, to day by it a part and checklopel bullding and ployground, on hot lot,

putting 1. Which will a best struggly along Selection be feddented to the Oily for thture Taria draits a

street a series of the so-toot reduce on betweeton and Gardens and a

Lyndance in the setting and matched No. 75 Mer Sories, be, and is hereby standed

- 4 da 51 2 2 corners a ' ...'in a ...'oot reside on Gardens and Illion be dedicated to

text warps fort Support

11.

1.

- 1 Ze ve 12 - 22

the provided and main thined on the preparty at a

Palatt Belean & South
y Padgett Suberson & South City Planning Department
Hearing date Date
Date
Building Inspector 6-1-53
4 29 Health Department 6-1-53
Council Hearing, date
Date
lution shall be mall only make and shall
Continued to
Date of action

neg mest			
pplication Received	5-6-53	By_	D. South
			City Planning Department
vestigation made	5-27-53	By	Padgett Siberson & Joned City Planning Department
			City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11893</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louise M. Ellis to split out a portion of Lot 3, Subd. of the East Half of Pueblo Lot 1215, and erect a single family residence, between Linda Vista Road and Cabrillo Freeway, Zone E-1: on condition that a 25-foot easement be dedicated to the City for future street widening from the north line of the Goodwin property to the north line of the Ellis property, on the east side of Linda Vista Road.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated dove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

> ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

FORM 2145

By_

406 A

Application Received $4 - 27 - \sqrt{3}$ By	, Mail
	City Planning Department
	1'. 0
Investigation made 5- 27-53 By	Diverson, Padgett North
Investigation made $5 - 37 - 5$ By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision condil akter.	Date
Decision conde app. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-29</u>	Building Inspector 6-1-5-
Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner	5-29-13 Health Department 6-1-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

- Hanny State W. Sala

0

hornord Q

ATEN OR

B

8

the take to the brokent's the out 1 Digen. 40 63 writton burns a name and the set of the set antipution do the connects to the reaction of the Main property, on the date . LUNA VI-57 0 au commercia 11-1 1920 105 1225, 617 overst a marile fortige s ELLis R 1-02 is De Zoning Committee 3 1 0 rea alli mos advaraly al the Mantur Di

to the property or inpro

an in the selighborhood, and wi

material will will mate

Sustained): Mrs. Dode 101.0501.

hrong No

N

2

AL PHY INCS

of actuary a

LHREEFECE

Mythographic months

(N) LOTT 0 regenes, possessed d by other pri mork mun

19 0

the two when we also

IN ALLERS THE DA

COLTETT

in traind, which do not at and the offer and takes of conditions applicable to the

illornin, and the evidence presented hus shown (see Section 11 23 has been considered by the Zoning Committee WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11225</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/95 (Ondinange /No; /8924; /as / amended); Municipal Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John and Alice Ward to construct a dwelling unit in rear of existing residence, making a total of two units on the parcel, being Lots 11 and 12, Block 87. Middletown Addition, 3892 Pringle Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

ALLE BERT CALCULATER OF

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 FORM 2145

Secretary

adjament is suite , is surviver [] mulained base 101.0701) the City of the Werge, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, Approximate Nour 1225 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- TORE OF ALL ALL A involves, see the size one intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same 1. Thet short out . apacial circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- CURRENT AND STORE STORE WAS VICENITY. and enjoy whit with the state of the petitioner, possessed by other property burdship, see a second of the application is necessary for the preservation That acrise in the regulations would work unnecessary
- the public sections and the property or improvements in the neighborhood. persons results a structure in the neighborhood, and will make be unterially detrimental to That the second of the neglication will _____ materially affect the health or safety of
- A. That the real of the variance will and adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

THEREFORT, BE IN ARSOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Inte 11 . 1. 1. . Tor' of, or which one sheetien, jugs Pringte Street, some and. in roor of oviet's real quee, while a total of the makes of the particle letter Permisenion is then you a stated by Calma and Slice and be considered a tradity of mails

· · · · · · · · · · · · to blab mortion I am at 1 - allows, incomer on blay relies to the property described " ANLINCE TO THE RELIES OF ONLY THE TOT TO TOTAL OF THE DESTRICT TO DESTRICT TO THE PARTY TO DESTRICT TO DESTRICT.

Application ReceivedB	V Mart
	City Planning Department
	y Liberson Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date HEE OL AND GIRA CIEVE
Decision Abbr.	Date He CITA CIECK ANTERS H ALICED ODBUT
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-18	Building Inspector 6-1-53 reper ou rele
Planning Commission 6-1-10 Petitioner	J-28 Health Department 6-1-5-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Correction dura, unions the une and/or con-
Resolution becomes effective	vincion shall be null and which and shall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

has some part

#P040*

MALL MILL

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11862</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended</u>): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby <u>DENIED</u> to E. A. and A. E. Berman to maintain 12 ft. by 36 ft. carport erected without a building permit, with zero side yard, 45 ft. from front property line, attached to residence; Lot 28 and 29, Block D, South La Jolla, 405 Nautilus. Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withd awa Time limit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

347

Tanging Strong Connect

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated _______ , FORM 2145

Res. No. 7422

Front Ordinance distriction of anender(): Park. Cold 101.0501. The City of Sig Deero, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, Apprication No. 11.42 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- TOUS BU. A.L. 1. That it is a special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, so is an intended, which do not apply gamerally to other property in the same
- siviention of a substance is properly rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property herdeling which whe greating of the application is not necessary for the preservation _ work unnecessary
- the public as a similar to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. person is any of non-the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to 3. That the works of a she application will materially affect the health or safety of
- 4. That the protection of the Variance will adversely affect the Master Fina of the City

THEREFORE, 20 11 RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

TOLADIAN D. separaty line, the second to partitionest lot 20 part 39, Block D. South in deflay hos derposts of other with a lotteling period, with near alle your, derpe the terms The Particle for the state of the A. Col. A. S. Terrar to mandate 12 ct. 14 36 ft.

The second se harshy Divide a set of a related and above, inspire as they relate to the Memorylic tion for a f Fince to the revisions of Nuntpipel Code 101.0601 be, and is

the plane and the second se	BA
Application Received 5-6-53 B	y_U. Beighto
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>J-27-J-3</u> B	y <u>Liberson</u> Padgett & forith City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date 1706 of the Crick Creeks
Decision denied	Date the City Clerk, unless a written appeal
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6-1-5
Planning Commission 6 - / Petitioner	5-28 Health Department 6-1-13
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date fective date, unless the use sod/or con-
Resolution becomes effective	sintion shall be null and void, and shall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Markey - Real Side

3370 T

ANA" LO' MUSS

letter dated May 20, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 2924; as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 6721, dated August 20, 1952, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William E. Van Dorn to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256 into three building sites, per <u>revised</u> legal description on file in Planning Office, one parcel to front on a dedicated street and two parcels to be served by a 30-foot easement; easterly of Muirlands Drive at Vista Verde Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Time built extended to

Applementer withdraway

THA GALFREE MARKAR STORE

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Continued to

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 1953

By

FORM 2145

PROPERTY COMPANY OF ADUST By U. Becghts City Planning Department Application Received _ 5-21-13 dgett south Suberson, Pa Investigation made _____ By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27 Hearing date_ Date Decision ajeps. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ____ Building Inspector _____ Planning Commission 6 -1 - 53 Petitioner 5-28 -53 Health Department 6-1-53 Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective/ Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action Vietron of Celinesse no. 13600 be, and is trong immeded ab to The of population and the ö V WEDDE DETUNION The start of supplied a trive at the verice the start, tong at the Lating dient, per routed lived secondered in the particula to be more by a Children out base STATE DE STATE SE MAN TOT TO STATE A PORTEON OF FIRE SOL 125 to note the togradet LOW WELLING TO . OF INS CON ittee of the City of Han Diego. SHOP ALAT ALY AFFOR the DALLEY PLAD-OF the City where we was proved, and will red match of health or astroy of more in the referrey or indicated in the resisting detrimental to 5 0 and Ky rights of the petitioner, possived is other property Ċ 0 W abbiticuiton is undervis for the blackwith Letter sonrester second which do not apply generally to giver exceeder in the them The sharp of a second the condition of the probability THE TRANSPORT OF THE DESTRICT the solution of the relation prostation has shown (see Soution 111 1 1 1 T T VISTE VERDE DY.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11999</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. G. and Nancy Chapin Van Dorn to construct a single family residence with an 8-foot rear yard, portion of Pueblo Lot 1256, per legal description on file in Planning Office, easterly of Murilands Drive and Vista Verde Drive, Zone R-1B.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Time limit extended to

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

Bv

Secretary

Res. No. 7424

CLSOLUTION NO. SLab

whences, and introd No. 11-09 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of and they, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section (see Section) (see Section) (see Section) (see Section)

- That (here applied to the property intervention of the property in the name involved. A second second state of the property in the name some second se
- 3. That site of the regulations would ______work unnacessary hardabet, we see that the application is ______necessary for the preservation and enjoyees at sectionial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the section set vicinity.
- 3. That the second we are the application will _____mot___ materially affect the health or safety of permanant relations a similar working in the neighborhood, and will _____mot__ he materially detrimental to the public a since as injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the fraction of the variance will moth adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Fran

THEREFORL, EL 11 M.COLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

sumination is beredit in start the day G. and Marsy Thurin Van Darm to construct a simula sumily residences which are made a new yerd, nortion of Pueblo for 1756, per logal doestablish on file in it will write controlysi furtionds builds and with Wards Drive, and with the Verde Drive,

there will and the for the second of the late is the second by and is berefy transfer as to the property described above.

5 By Application Received _ City Planning Department 7-53 By Investigation made City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27 Hearing date CUE CTEN Date apps. Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28 Building Inspector 6-1-5 Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 5 Petitioner 5-28 Health Department 6 -Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11968</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section [5] of [0] Hinhhce No. <u>11968</u> hunicipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugene Tweed to alter existing 7-car garage and living quarters to a 6-car carport with no change in living quarters, carports to have 10-inch side yard on Lots 19 thru 22, Block 106, University Heights, 2610 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Fine bout exceeded to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 1953

By

フィテ

FORM 2145

WHEREAS Approximation No. 11223 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of these California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section Lough) Withher (Ni 1524, is held MCM); would all cour 101.0503

- That there applies the property applies applies to the property investory, and the property in the same none applies to the property in the same
- 2. That will provide the regulations would work unnecessary hardebin of the scanting of the application is necessary for the preservation and echo even of environment property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners to the rise rise who may visinity.
- 3. That the explorition of the application will <u>12t</u> materially affect the health or sufery of persons are been according to the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially destimental to the put its reliers or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, ME I'L RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Digensioni al de levely de stell to direce concel to difer entreting (many minute one divine lementere to difere e a cet vith no chave is living dispero, acrowte to have lobing lemine youl of dote if they do, block lot, islancedte detaite, 2610 fil Gelou Hive., conne c.

the workshop to the revisions of indicated one to. Interiod to, and in hereign grouped in the to the properties the state to the properties the state of the stat

egre war

TOGAD .

Application Received B	y D. M & Comel
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\sqrt{-24-\sqrt{3}}$ B	y bibercon, Padgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28	Building Inspector <u>2 - 1 - 5 - 3</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - J - Petitioner	J- チャーンゴ Health Department 8-1-レーン
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	slotion shall be putt and and and shall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and appear when por the second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11972</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15-of Ordinance Nov. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. C. and Dorothy Dean Teel to conduct a key and locksmith business on the north 40 feet of Lots 21 thru 24, Block 225, University Heights, west side of Richmond Ave. between Essex and Robinson Streets, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That this business be operated a maximum of four hours per day but no later than 9:00 o'clock p.m.:
- 2. That there will be no signs:
- 3. That there will be no employees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

uppleased without wn

THAN RELEVANCE DISCO.

Application Received

FORM 2145

CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

that always, then for an they believe to the strengther thread

Date of School

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_53

By_

Sten. Godo 201.9501.) A low with the second of the reaction the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- which which entropy and apply generally to other property in the same "secial eliconstances or conditions applicably to the property
- milled property tights of the pecicions, possessed by other property WOLK WITECORARY
- the design atton will not maturially affect the headsh or antery of
- a structure the neighborhood, and will must be makerinity detrimental to
- series will not adveranty affect the Mester Flam of the Dity

- supplier, were of here of from and dyr, bulkers inner and subires derener, dere wert ... lookenibh bur mert on the merth of foot of Lots 21 ture 25, MLore 22, University For gaption in Beauty evented to F. C. and Borobly Detailing to conduct a log and
- and pagent of the start charged bratt the south fits and there as about it a martiner of them posts but the
- Lang angula will be no algody 18.

- BEL

test light will be be explored. .

a higher to the fill the more than out

- one merbiactory states where, incoller an they relate to the property described above. A WEYGINGO IN SHE COLD SCHERED OF CREAMER NO. LONG DE, AND AR Deroly REPORTEd on th
- Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received	By 1. Decanto
-phication received	City Planning Department
	By <u>biberson</u> Padgett + South City Planning Department
Investigation made	By services angel forme
	City Planning Depärtment
Considered by Zoning Committee 5- 27	Hearing date
Decision appr. conde	Date
Decision sept. condie Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-2	28 Building Inspector 6-1- V-3
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 53 Petition	er J-28 Health Department 6-1-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11990</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Bissell to maintain a retail and wholesale nursery full time on southeast 2 Lot 1, Horton's Purchase of Ex-Mission LAnds, R-1 Zone, lying south and west of Euclid Ave. north of Fir Street, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This permit to expire on June 30, 1955;
- To permit only sale of plants, nursery stock, commercial fertilizer in small packages and such other supplies which are incidental to the propagation of plants, but excluding bulk fertilizer, soil, sand, rock and similar merchandise;
- 3. To permit one double-faced sign not over 15 sq. ft. in area for the sign structure.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 N. S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Pated May 27

Secretary

Res No. 7427

42

al Ordinar with rest, as amended): the City of Statemport Constantia, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- involves, so shows untersted, which do not apply generally to other property in the same special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- and the second projustly. monoconstal property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property hardeline and that the stanting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation 24 That stry " part of the segulations would, BOLK HDDOCOSSACY
- the public selfces of administrate to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. persons a scheme as welleds in the neighborhood, and will most be materially detrimental to 3. That the structure is the application will mat ... maturially affect the health of safety of
- 4. That the second of the second will put adversely affect the Master Bian of the City
- THEREFORE, AS IN SEVOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of Son Diego

numbery fine that a secondly of the la Morbooks Purchase of pur-phase of interaction interaction Intractivation for a warder of a log to descent allocation in the section of a section of the section of

ANTE DE ANTE DE ANTER OR DESA TO TARE Goungara Luna ling could be set in the lid Are, could be fir troot, subject to the following

12 miles for a solution build Forbillows, and , owned, which will almake merchanical net Prolifice of allow succlime which are inclimed to the preparation of To ser a serie a la al plante, marcary plont, pumperilli fertiller in puell

the property of the states there, inspire as died relate to the property described A VERIANCE SA LES PRAIEI DE UT OPELDEMON Se. 35 E. 5. De. and is heredy president and - appleting - to -To reput the do blowfored they not over 15 do. The star the the star

Investigation made $5 - 27 - 53$	By <u>Liberson</u> Padgett + South City Planning Department
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision conte appri	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J-2	8 Building Inspector 6 - 10 - 5
Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitione	Building Inspector 6 - 1 - 5 r J - 28 - 5 Health Department 6 - 1 - 5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date service quest surveys the use and or con
Resolution becomes effective	solution shall be oull and word, and shall b
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

Application Received

JULY KON LINES

City Planning Department

RESOLUTION No. 112666

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: That the "modified request" contained in the appeal of Maurice F. Smith and Charlotte S. Smith, 2123 Fieger Street, filed in the office of the City Clerk on June 1, 1953, under Document No. 470046, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resoltuion No. 7428 to permit, under Application No. 11986, construction of a block wall 5 feet high in the setback area on Lot 377, Westwood Hills No. 5, at the northeast corner of Fieger and Hanna Streets, in Zone R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, permitting construction of said block wall 5 feet high, 5 feet from the front property line in the setback area, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

⁶ I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council	of Resolution No. June 18, 1953
the council of the orly of ban prego, as adopted by said council	FRED W. SICK
By	City Clerk LA VERNE E. MILLER

FORM 1270

Deputy.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11986</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15:06 Ondinance Max: 8924; as camended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DEWIED to Maurice F. and Charlotte S. Smith to construct concrete block wall 5 feet high in setback area, Lot 377, Westwood Hills No. 5, northeast corner Fieger and Hanna Streets, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdrawn

Investigation prode

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Nay 27 , 19 53

Secretary Res. No. 7428

14.7

By

FORM 2145

		A COLUMN TWO IS NOT

Contraction (1970, 1010, 101, 0501) Celifornia, and the ryldence presented has shown (see Section

- personal contraction where any its the arthboxhood, and will ____ he meterially detrimated to That is a multication will materially afted the health of matery of
- at That the provident the wartened will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City the period of the second to the property of improvements in the methodometer

- INEXEFORT OF IT CLUVED, By the Soning Committee of the City of San Diego.

- Action outd dennes threets, Jone 2-1. Jost 19413 - First slip in solback ares, Lob 377, Mentwood 44248 No. 1, monthouse country
- ments Dist 3 and to bue perturbers stated above, intering an they related to bue perturber relidantion for a vericence to the provisions of tenicipal more interes be, con in

	eity Flamming Department
Investigation made $5 - 27 - 5$ B	y <u>Siberson Padgett & South</u> City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-37	Hearing date
Decision Denicd	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28	Building Inspector 6-1-5
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 5 Petitioner	J - 28 - J Health Department 6 - 1 - J -
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By___

5-22-53

Application Received _

SAVEL

THE GODOTLOOK COONS.

U. Berg

But Start Startes

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11974</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. R. Coahran, awner, and James C. and Gladys Green, purchaser, to split out Lots 4, 5 and 6, except the northeast 30 ft., Block 137, Roseville, north corner of Poe and Evergreen, Zone R-1, and erect a single family residence; on condition that a 15-foot setback is observed on both Poe and Evergreen.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Porm 2145

inne limit extended to

By

we appropriate the second second state of the second secon salitornia and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- a interview, which in not apply penerally to other property in the same
- the property clights of the pertrioner, passessed by other property
- the ind in the main property and will and be materially detrivened to
- a of the mailward will mak adversely affect the Master Find of the Licy

any twy ou							

"MAGUNDOL" DO DETTO THE TOTO S' DO DE C' ON HOT FOR TOLEDORD DO TO'S STORE TO "

seally the part with the photo obsars, interior as they wolked to the property described "MENTION ON THE CLOADED AND I LUTER ON THE OF THE WERE " NO SERVICE OF BELLEY IN DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF prostille, morel, row 2 to the addered rebbed to charted on both rob and mentions.

104.04

Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By mail
City Planning Department
By <u>Siberen, Padaitt + South</u> City Planning Department
City Planning Department
Hearing date
Date
Date Building Inspector <u>6-1-5</u>
5-28 Health Department 6-1-53
_ Council Hearing, date
Date

- By mail

1 (R) * 11.*

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11970</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas L. Shepherd, owner, and Goodwin Electric Co., lessee, to operate electrical contractor's business and retail sales of light fixtures, on Lots 24 thru 27, Block 7, La Jolla Park, 7454 Girard Ave., Zone R-C, all storage to be within the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3460 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of action

the problem in a strike a short in the second of the stars be the strength which the

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 1953______, 1953_____

FORM 2145

Line unit extended to

By

Contraction Mar. Conta 107.0203)

T ANTI CLAIL

14 26 min

r. Amy and shall be the

ALC: N

Section The

the stand of the sea the function and the best of the

sill - not adversely alleet the Master Flam of the City

sale entropy bod, and will got, be materially detrivented to store said _ 30% - saterially attest the health or safery of

of the arplication is _____ necessary for the preservation

which do not apply generally to other property in the ease

-hus been considered by the Zoning Committee

2. State into the state of the state of

the file built is a set in the second interior as path further to the hardwark descarbed

By U. Beights City Planning Department Application Received ______ Sibercon Padgett City Planning Department Investigation made ______ By. latt + South Considered by Zoning Committee _____7 Hearing date Decision Date appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____ Building Inspector 6-1-5 V-28-V3 Health Department 6-1-V-3 Planning Commission 6-1-V3 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11979</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xofxOrdinance:Nox 8924; as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ben and Elsie Bogosian to construct and operate a cabinet shop and custom furniture store on portion of Pueble Let 240, legal description on file in Planning Office, first parcel west of 3314 Midway Drive, Zones C and M-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the parking lot be paved;
- 2. That the cabinet shop be for custom furniture only;
- 3. That all manufacturing to be within the rear 30 feet of the proposed. 90-foot building;
- 4. That there be a maximum of 10 h.p. motors;

5. That there be no exterior storage of material pertaining to the manufacturing. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars described above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated May 27 , 19 53

I HUR HIDH CHICHOCO TO

THAR STREET BALLOS MARKE

Application Recontred

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7431

²⁴⁷

	1000		
			1.1.1.1

_ By_U. Becaht Application Received 5-20-53 City Planning Department berson Investigation made ______3 By City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27 Hearing date Decision appr. conde Date Building Inspector 6-1- V3 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $J^- \ge 8$ Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 13 Petitioner 1- 28 - Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action the heatfull he about to react theorem on pred togets to the brokenet for most to the providion of the include So. 30 Jack Sarias, bu, and is bet ph. Rear root shue anone of the tot of the provest of the provest of the second of the 00+100t 201T L. MARSIN SDA 1940 30 1000 OF SDA PERSONNY LIPPO LIJ Shart the contract contract UL OTERON : NORTH CITA 11: THE PROVE OF TOT A THE PROVE The tottowards opportunition To hitter start, soles a site and; boles to Contraining office, 1 7.4 17 14002 01 ion of Ruship for the lought dogeration on file and an aragon trans A . TA TOROSTAN DE CONCESSION A CONCESSION A DE TURS Lighton yr porch in 09051 the City of San Diego. NO P THE THE ely affect the Mater Plan of the City of inprovements in the matthematical, ood, and will mot be materially detrimented to Job materially effect the bealth or selety of an other property in the relies of the partitioner, paragraph a hessessancrou the application is moressary for oup discourse inthe short which do not upply namerally to oth P.L. 222 r.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11978</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 18924, as amended); Mun. Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. K. and Dallys Marechal, Jr. to construct a single family residence with zero setback from Hillside Drive on portion Lot 60 La Jolla Hills, per legal description on file in Planning Office, east side Hillside Drive approximately 150 ft. southerly of Soledad Ave., Zone R-1

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27

FORM 2145

CPC FRICIS

CHUC HIBTH Despended to

, 19 53

Secretary

3551

Application Received _ 5-19-53 By U. Beights City Planning Department By Investigation made _____ 27 - 27 - 273 eberson > (City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____ Hearing date_____ Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28 Building Inspector 6-1-53 Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner 5-28 Health Department 6-1-53 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action THE OWNER OF A variance to the state to the state of above, thanker as they relate to the property MARECHAL - of suplated cots not tol cond be, spir to berght DALLEY DUTO The PRINTING SOLOW ATT , Sand Ball 117.20 where the start of the provide of the start of the start with a start with of the borgand the ward applicate month and the the NUMBER OF STORE to J. J. Int Juliys hurdenal, Jr. to panchract a Calliours' and in the T PESCINID. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego. TIME ENG BINGTON C LIGHCE SITT - MAR adversely attect the Master Plan of the City cuid bric surgents to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. the reighborhood, and will the materially detrimontal to any location will not materially affect the bealth or safety of Sil property (thiss of the petitioner, possessed by other property graving of the application is necessary for the preservation THE NEL pinos succession -------NOIN UNDECESSITY TOAD TOUT there is a much do not apply generally to other property in the steriol circumstances of conditions applicable to the yop Togo Int. or olog . on long 50' interior and the evidence presented has shown (see Section has been considered by the Zoning Committee

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11920</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert B. and Jane P. Conway to erect a single family residence on a parcel of land divided before zoning but not on a dedicated street, being a portion of Pueblo Lot 1286, known as Arb. No. 12, legal description on file in Planning Office, 100 feet east of end of Roseland Drive on south side of 30-foot easement, Zone R-1; on condition that a 15-foot setback be observed along the easement.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Municipal Code 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Jonthraed to Date of action

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

,

Tame land, excended to

Dated

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res. No. 7433

351

Application Received By	U. Becght City Planning Department
	City Flamming Department
Investigation made <u>5-27-53</u> By	
Considered by Zoning Committee <u>5-27</u> H	earing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28 B	uilding Inspector
Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner 6 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Co	-28-JJ Health Department 6-1-5
Decision of Council D	ate
Resolution becomes effective C	ontinued to
	ate of action

Jahr yes Laisa

Torkey Pines Roseron Dic. terr stated above, insering an they related to ANNIETTICS 20 PROVIDE 13206 and Pupicipal Code 101 ofter be. y out anthrow be absoured along the canenary. Lowin Cr. Found Prive on south side of 30-2004 The 12 Leave Concretention on Fills TOTAL OF DOLL CONNEL THE DEC DOS OD & CONTRACT ALTOND. A ug companys the stand to ereat a which a surfit 6. 1. 18 (2) CALIDINAR, SS 111 THEREFORE DE LI REALTER 'Sy the Sohips Committee of the City of San Diego, 4 . There where a route it. adversely affect the Master Plan of the Ci and any first on will materially affect the health of asfery of the materially detributed to and will be materially detributed to a supervise of the to the property of improvements in the neighborhood. 11/ 200-ALL ALL STATIST OWDERS AD BING 411 China II Shis of the petitioner, possessed by other property 71 TONET necessary for the preservelion 1287 MOLE HUGSCONDELX generally to other property in the same involved, c an and a property do not app 1 . That there as interest of streamstances of conditions applicable to the property

where AS, Apply and the model of the solution of the Considered by the Zoning Committee the City of Say 1. The solution and the evidence presented has shown (see Section and the finance frequence in the solution of the sol

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11246</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No. 8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lawrence and Lillian Coons to erect 5-foot high fence in setback area on Trieste Drive, Lot 2, Block A, Riviera Villas, 1345 Santa Barbara, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdrawn Time fitseff extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______ , 19_53

FORM 2145

By

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

The sarpent start of the in initial in the last

LORD A THAT!

the Math Constinut A supply religible to the provision of Maniator to an all all and the solution of the second states of the solution of the second shows, inserts of the relief to the

Application Received _____ City Planning Department Suberen Pa Investigation made <u>5-27-1-5</u> By City Planning Department. Considered by Zoning Committee _____7 Hearing date Decision denied Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ____ Building Inspector 6-1-5 Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner J-28 Health Department 6-1-5 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

(1020 TOT NOON STATE OF TOT OZOT)

derive attachus reuces of constraious apply to the property a

search rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property the state application is the meedenery for the pression ton Collection samp

- e caighborhood, and will be materially detrimented to too will _____ meterially affect the health or aufory of

The Induction and ITTIDA CONDUCTO SCIENCE SHOOL THEY LOTED

Tire, Job Lour ... Liviers Tillur, 1965 Serve Burdsere.

By J. m & Connell

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11930</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15cof Octionance Noce8924</u>; section <u>15cof Octionance Noce8924</u>; section <u>15cof Octionance Noce8924</u>; section

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. M. and Frances E. Sutherland to erect a residence on Lot 448, Grown Point, with 4-foot setback on Moorland Drive, and a 10-foot setback at the south end of the lot on Riviera Drive, gradually increasing to 15 feet on the north end; west side of Riviera Drive at intersection of Moorland Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 .53

Application with trawn Thue have extended to

Appearant Revenued

By

FORM 2145

Application Received <u>3 - 20 - v 3</u> By	I. M = Connell
······································	City Planning Department
	TOUTING COMMETLINE
	Siberson Padgett & South City Planning Department
Investigation made $\int - 27 - \sqrt{3}$ By	Diverson Tadgett South
a low are store.	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision arefer.	Date
Decision M_{p} for . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $J = 28$ Planning Commission $6 = 1 = \sqrt{3}$ Petitioner	Building Inspector 6-1-53
Planning Commission (= (= 1 ?? Petitioner	J-28 Health Department 6-1-5
A laming Commission B / C I entioner	
	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	112-10
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THEF. FL.

The sease of the s

and wowe what of

Theo. Cal and Top. of the

A se file Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

the restability derived, and will ______ here materially derived to

and require of the patitional, posserved by other, property researche application is receasing for the prosprotion

the set frances A. Guttersland be great a residence the

or the the property of improvements in the melyphorismod.

- to a marbane or souried brive, such a lowford conbrace of

the for tarke, gradmally incrementary to lis fort on the section

the of the station of Meetlend April , tong and

an hugh and here a second distance as they related by the property described above. the state of the second second second second the second se

peteropy and the will

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11358</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Our Savior's Lutheran Church, by Gus Lundmark, chairman, to construct 11 ft. 6 inch by 9 ft. bulletin board sign area approximately 6 ft. by 4 ft. on Lots 21 thru 24, Block 163, University Heights, 4009 Ohio Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 95.0104 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application with drawn

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_____, 19_____

Secretary

Res No. 7436

71
ALL CLICK NO. Shar

whikeAS, Approved the first the second the resented has shown (see Section of Ordinance as

- I. That there are an end direction target or conditions applicable to the property involved, and such the name while and such a such the name such the name and such as a such the name such the such the name such as a such the name such the such t
- 2. That struct a real work unnecessary hardship, see the second second is necessary for the preservation and spicture is a second second second second by other property owners in the second secon
- That the subscription of will ________ materially affect the health or safety of persons reading of the subscription of and will _______ be materially detrimental to the public verification of the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the provide the Master Plan of the City
- of San Duego
- THEREFORE, BUILDING AND THE Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Lifornia, as for the
- Forgination is how a set of the university being thereby by the inclusion of the first set of the properties of the prop
- a standarden a state a state of a state of a state of the part of the property described of the property described of the property described

City Planning Department Suberson, Pa Investigation made ______ By South City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27 Hearing date Decision appr. Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28 Building Inspector 6-1-1-3 1 1000 cu cpc 5-28 Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 53 Petitioner 6-1-53 Health Department Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received 5-21-53 By J. M. Connell

SPOAG*

COTO INTO

200 201 30 30

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11357</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 coascamended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Our Savior's Lutheran Church, Gus Lundmark, Chairman, to construct bulletin board with 5-foot setback, Lots 21 thru 24, Block 163, University Heights, 4009 Ohio Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

PODE PERCHARGE MARCHINARD

FORM 2145

By_

77

ACRE ON NOTION

(TOT 6201): 1/2" 0999 TOT 620T)

THEREAS, April 1

involved, co

 That string hardeh p. and to and enjoyee
and for the to the

LIGHTA ----

work unnecessary second in the application is necessary for the preservation second spectr rights of the petitioner, pessessed by other property and second sector.

second, which do not apply generally to using property in the name

information and the evidence presented has shown (she Section

- 1. That the R second constrained will not materially affect the health or safety of persons reach a second constrained, and will max be materially detrimental to the public second constrained to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- t. That the transfer the Cart adversely affect the Marter Plan of the City
- of San Dress
- THEREFORE, IN ALL THE DAY IN ZONING COMMITTEE OF the City of Sah Diepo.
- Passisator in him it public a but Savier's Duthores Shiret, Gue Marthart, Chilters, en construct (silling), such a silli (since actions, bate 21, thro 20, 32000, 260, Usivatoley Balabay, A.J. Savier (proc., and 240.
- A worknood to all state of the minimal Code 101.0600 he, and is berefy strated as to the partie into a long of the departure they state to the property departured

By 2. Mc Connell City Planning Department Application Received 5-21-53 Investigation made <u>S-27-5</u> By rbereon City Planning Department Hearing date Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27 Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-1 Building Inspector 6-1- 1.3 Planning Commission 6 -1 - 53 Petitioner 6 -1 - JJ Health Department 6 - 1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11965</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/9f/Ordinange/No: 18924; as amended); 101.0501 Municipal Code)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward and Virginia Pifari to split our portion of Lot 162, according to plat on file in Planning Office, Encima de San Diego Addition No. 1, and construct single family residence, with 19-foot rear yard, on south side of Benson Ave. between semi-circle of Aviation Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series and Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated a bove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

hpoctoon s ana an

TRACTOR STATISTICS

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ________ 27______

MALE CONTRACTOR

region in state or analysis in the state of the state of the state of the City of Scotleer, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see bection WHEREAS, Application Sourcessing --- has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- Involved the size intermed, which do not apply generally to other property in the same
- Their strates equivalent of the regularions would the VIB work underessary herdelign over clear as a contrary of the application as a new energy the preservation and entrance of of a steartial proving tight of the petitioner, posserved by other property concrete by the show of an are winter. CTUILS!
- throught a line of injurious to the property or foroveness in the onighborhood persons 1. Citchen working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental That the Linds and and the application will materially affect the health br safety of
- Andversely affact the Master Plan of the City 4. That is a set of the waterme will -got

- 15

Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego WILLED' BY

U. Beights

reberson

Council Hearing, date

Hearing date

Continued to

AND TO AD

8 1 man , manufactor, and da-shot

Leviso gand any sa de parsent de juind

Date of action

Date

5-28

Date

City Planning Department

City Planning Department

Health Department

0.0.7.0.

SPHOOT I DOAD ! JUDDE .

gon Dienty years

THE TODOLOGIOUS COME

which haven' on contern

there to years one no

By

By

2

Application Received _ 5-18-5-3

Investigation made 5- 27 -5

appu.

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____

Resolution becomes effective

Decision

Decision of Council

Application withdrawn

Time limit extended to

Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ____ 28

P

1150

0

44

CROMP FOR

8

140

No 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

and Rent and and a

a to released the Plantation

Planning Commission 6 - 1 - JJ Petitioner

Base I and all the

1 4 4 5 162 X 1 1 1 P P P

TOT OF T PO'

V ANLINCO FRANC

DI BONGON A. . P. S.

We. I, Mill agentin

100 1

RESOLUTION No. 112668

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: 1^{4}

- 1/m

That the appeal of Mrs. Margaret K. Carter, 2673 Jonquil Drive, filed in the office of the City Oferk on June 2, 1953, under Document No. 470098, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 7439, application No. 11918, permission to Paul Wolcott, Jr., to build an addition to existing residence with setback of 17-1/2 feet from front property line on Lot 10, Block L, Plumosa Park, at 2677 Jonquil Drive, in Zone R-1, and granting variance to the provisionseof Municipal Code Section 101.0602 insofar as they relate to said property, be, and it is hereby granted, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled and denied.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Coun	py of Resolution No. 1 June 18, 1953	
	FRED W. SICK	
	City Clerk LA VERNE E. MILLER	
By	Deputy.	

FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11918</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Wolcott, Jr. to build an addition to existing residence with setback of 172 feet from front property line, Lot 10, Block L, Plumosa Park, 2677 Jonquil Drive, Zone B-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appealed 6/ 25/53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27

FORM 2145

Application withdrawn Dame hauft externied to

By.

, 19 53

Secretary

Res No. 7439

ANDLUTION NO. 212

MEREAS, Applements of 21210 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San David Latitudin, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section W/// Ordinance No. 2010 conded):

- 1. That there are a superial circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, reaction to the superior and superior to an and superior to the superior and superior to an and superior to a superior and superi
- 2. That strict study the regulations would ______ which unnecessary hardship, and the specific of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of the study rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the study studiety.
- 3. That the grant of on the orphication will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persone results of section, of the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public reliance or organized to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the groutery of the version will not adversely affect the Muster Plan of the City of San Dievo.

THEREFORE, M. FF (AMOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Collionnia, as fallew

Fermission i for the south to the related to build an addition to substant That open with the state of the trans property line, let 10, where L (Juster with the state of the state from Rel.

A verience to the conversion of thateled done no. 103.0600 on, and is bereby graphed of the the converse state of the property decorthed they relate to the property decorthed they.

Application Received By	, man
- philation received	City Planning Department
	Libercon, Padgett + South City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-27-52</u> By	Diverson, adgell + South
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date A and a creater creater
Decision 3 Abri	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-2-	Building Inspector 6-1-J-3
Planning Commission 6-1-5-3 Petitioner	J-+P Health Department 6-1-J-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date lective date, unless the use and/or con
Resolution becomes effective	lution shall be null ned used shall b
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Street a Van Martin

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11926</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Drdinance Nd. 8924, as amended</u>: Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. V. and Lola B. Colliver to erect a residence with 10-foot setback on Lot 3, Block 13, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, on southeast side of San Mateo Drive, 200 feet southwest of Las Flores, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated a bove, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Unne junit extension i

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Bv

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

Secretary

Res. No. 7440

175

FORM 2145

NOLLON NO. STA

the City of S

has been considered by the Zoning Committee trutta, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section enterly) matched acts 101,0501

- 2. That struct and solve and it is regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and a specific the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyne and solve and signature of the petitioner, passessed by other property owners in a set summary.
- 3. Thet the structure of the initial will not materially affect the health or safety of persons consistent of the second second and will not be materially detrimental to the public second second second to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the give of the variable will _ Rol _ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego

THEREFORE, 14 11 SUBJIVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

A variance to the state of the bound of the restance of the relation to the remarks of the remar

Application Received 5-18-13	By_ I Jaughman_
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Siberson Padgett + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee7	Hearing date
Decision areka.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>6 - 1 - 5</u> Health Department 6 - 1 - 5
Decision Mere Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>J-2</u> Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 1 B Petitioner	Health Department 6 - 1 - 53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date ective date, unless the use and/or con-
Resolution becomes effective	colucion shall be only and word and shall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Pare, 20, 7040

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11993</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/9f/Ordinance/No/18924//as/amended); Municpal Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Everybody's Tabernacle, Delbert R. Hall, pastor, to construct or move in class room in connection with church under construction, making 11% overcovered, Lots 7 and 8, Block 49, City Heights, 3869 - 42nd Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Turne front enternied to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

91

All and a state of the state of

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

35 menored): margaret code 101.0501) all'arms, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- standed, should do not apply generally to other property in the same a contribution concernations or conditions applicable to the property
- COMMEND & D T 11 Jan HUG GLIDU al property rights of the patitioner, pessented by other property MOLE DUIDCOBSELS
- states to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. state of the neighborhood, and will make be materially detrimented to materially affect the health or safety of I IME THE CLASSES
- the restriction of the adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

Alsonie! By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

10.00 saids 11-b) ov ress, while a part of and of show he, city Beighte, Beight Street, to construct or which we will be not house any fight suprem parter superior for the NUMBERSON 34 and the standard is a second of the period of the standard.

ODDATE DONE NOOVER Tuplet na to the the lot of the above, incolor as they relate to the property A verience to the revision of our curately looks not the relate beyong

for a second second

Application Received 5-19-53 By	J. M Connell
FF	City Planning Department
	P. 1 + his miles forth
Investigation made <u>5-27-1-3</u> By	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\sqrt{-27}$	
	Date Date marca a marca a
Decision refer.	Building Inspector 6-1-1-3
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector
	J-28 Health Department 6-1-J-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date octive date, unters the use and or co
Resolution becomes effective	Intion shall be pull and word, and shall
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By J. In Connece

TONA TO A STATE

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11973</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. F. and Elizabeth A. Stephens to erect one living unit above existing garage and connect it to an existing unit above garages; proposed living unit will observe 3-foot sideyard, although existing garages have only 9 inch and 2 inch sideyard, respectively. (four garages in all) Lots 37 and 38, Block 201 University Heights, 3950-3954 Hamilton Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Time post extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 FORM 2145 By_

Secretary

Res No. 7442

a controlation of the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS; A has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- TUNDIAN a presolved, which do not apply generally to other property in the same structul electrostances or conditions applicable to the property
- such all property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property a column application is necessary for the preservation 2. That attend to see the the reputations would _____ WOIN URDECHNESTY
- and entry and
- barrous car 3. That the way of the real of will much materially affect the health of safety of
- station to the property or isprovements in the neighborhood. the public

- ay That the goodraps of the contrance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City
- THEREFORD, DULY TREAST AND, Dy the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- Contiving unit with the we we all of the state of a state of a state of the state o " a this contract it has not extending that along start of the start of mure spon sententianion is here ly our of the f. S. S. S. Silvabath is storband to arout one lituin.
- NGGOLTDOT TO ACC a this stated share, inserter as they relate to the property White the second of a real of the second by and is hereby

D

me Com

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11982</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xof Ordinance: Nov 8924; case amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Young-Loftus Construction Co. to use residence under construction for model home and garage for real estate office and erect a 6 ft. by 10 ft. sign, for a period of six months; on Lot 62, Churchward Heights No. 1, 5660 Churchward, Zone R-1, period to expire November 27, 1953.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 N.S., be and hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdrawn Time hash extended to

PERCENTERS STORE LEVELS

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Nay 27 _____, 19 53

By

175

" (101,000,000,000,000)

THERE'S '

Application Received _ 5- 20 - 53

service and adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

the me protocols and will math, be entertally detrimental to when will not materially diffect the health of safety of

statisticizations ances or conditions applicable to the property

there are a table of the petitioner, possessed by other property in application is neconsary for the preservation

Representation for a state to write Reverber 2/, 1953. 10 ft. sign, for a state of a in conduct on hot 62, charactered Heights, Bo. 4, 5060 antermetica for a low and provide for real entate and energy a d ft. by Ferrigeton to here a state to to meriotion Construction Co. to Has realizance micht

the pertingants of the share, incoder as they relate to the property described aborts. A WATERDO SO STATE TO THE OF OF OF OF THE DO TO. IN THE POST DO OF DETERDO AS TO

Investigation made B	y <u>Siberen Padgett</u> South City Planning Department
9	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision conde aff.	Date
Decision conte apps Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28	Building Inspector 6-1-5-3
Planning Commission 6-1-5 Petitioner	J 28 Health Department 6 5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Turing-
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bv

J. Baughn

nning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11966</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John D. Spreckels Masonic Temple Association, Inc., to erect a Masonic Temple with 7 ft., 6 in. rear yard and 9 percent overcovered, Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 3, Clebyeland Heights, southwest corner Front and University Ave., Zone B-4, with off-street parking provided.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_53

By_

17

FORM 2145

P and By . BAO P

TANSPARAD DO THE HALP

By_Q. Ba Application Received _5-18-53 City Planning Department City Planning Department Hearing date Date J-28 Health Department Council Hearing, date ____ Date Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

second circumstances or conditions applicable to the property

and the application is mecansary for the preservation

the relationhood, and will mon. be materially detrimental to will of materially allect the health or safety of

adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

and " contributed goades "same and for analish black" goar

the perbiculary with the set of the start relate to the property described above. an all all off TOT SECT DE CAN TO DELADA ALLENDO WA AD Sales Tree and

BARREL LATER

Investigation made 5-27-13 By At + South Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27 Decision appen. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28 Building Inspector 6-1-0-3 Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 53 Petitioner 8-1-533 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn

Resolution No. 7445 not used.

Res. No. 7445

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11961</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section $15/\delta f/0fdinance/Nb/./8924/./as/amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501)$

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Minnie Bowers to add 5 ft. by 12 ft. bathroom to non-conforming residence which has a 3-foot access court on west 5 feet Lot 35, and all Lot 34, Block 333, Choates Addition, 3138 Clay Street, being on north side of Clay Street between 31st and 32nd Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19_

FORM 2145

Secretary

Res No. 7446

38

and out the set of the set 101 (503) is and the evidence presented has shown (see Section ins been considered by the Zoning Committee

- sone and we have of which do not apply generally to other property in the same . several circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- GEDELT TIT LINE OF and enjoyment to a second rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property hardship, And the superior of the application is necessary for the preservation work unnecessary
- the public self-control of the section property of improvements in the meighborhood. persons resulted to a second be prigoborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to 3. That the grant of the first section will not materially affect the health or safety of
- Comparing adversaly affect the Master Plan of the City

California, as fully THEREFORE, 100 17 1998 TAULARY THE Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

The sparse jor wh a stant frankrige here and a set and a se " the most of 3139 0149 Street, being on north side of-10t 34. 10c continuion is leaving the the train owners to and 5 the by 12 ft. but her to the

nabor sails dhe pertine ' a second to you i to south the thuy points to the provincy second bud a vinision to the second second core so. Johnsed by, and is hernow assessed

Investigation made S- 27- 1-3 By	Liberson Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision apper.	Date the Cite Cite Citer ources a writeren state
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-28</u> Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u><u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-</u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-<u></u><u>k</u>-</u> <u>k</u> - <u></u> <u>k</u> - <u></u> <u>k</u> - <u></u> <u>k</u> - <u></u> <u>k</u> - <u>k</u> - <u></u> <u>k</u> - <u>k</u> - <u></u> <u>k</u> - <u>k</u> -
Planning Commission 6 -1 - 53 Petitioner	J-28-VSHealth Department 6 イラ
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date fective date, unless the use and/or co
Resolution becomes effective	lution shall be mull and word, and shall
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

Application Received 5-10-53

These sear lighter

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11992</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Esther and Numeriano C. Reyes to convert existing garage (two-story building) to apartment, making total of three living units on property, one unit to be served by 7-foot access court, Lots 11 and 12, Block 78, Sub of NEL of SWL of Pueblo Lot 1153, Power's Subdivision, 2957-2959 "L" Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

when group a straight of

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

FORM 2145

By_

Secretary

Res No. 7447

39

DESOLUTION NO. 364

WHEREAS, Mrs. 2000 Nov. 21.02 has been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of S., 1991, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section tof Ordinance & casily, as anothed).

- 1. That there are , special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or construction valueded, which do not apply generally to other property in the same robe met wire construction.
- 2. That attraction are still the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and a second of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and myowners of second property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the second second
- 3. That the providence of the membrantion will <u>add</u> materially affect the health or malety of persons reach and will <u>make</u> be materially detrimental to the public second on introducing to the public second on introducing to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the ground of the sublance will moto- adversaly affect the Master Plan of the City, of San Dirice.

THEREFORE, BN NT SPECIATED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, Hornin, as 1911-9

Any maintage to the state of the local local de. 101.0601 be, and to hornely provide a local state of the property of the state of the stat

Application Received D	V J. MI- Connec
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 27 - 5 - 3$ B	y Ciberson Palgett & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-37	Hearing date the of the CTA Close
Decision akkr.	Date the City Clerk, unless a written appeal
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20	Building Inspector 6-1-55
Planning Commission 6-1-NG Petitioner	J-28-13 Health Department 6-1-55
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date ective date, unless the une and/or con-
Resolution becomes effective	lution shall be sull and word and shall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action .

20-17

p. I'me Pro Il

182

A 11

1 10 10 10

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11997</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/of/Ordinance/No/ 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Elmer B. and Mabel C. Locke to construct single car garage with one foot setback at southeast corner of garage and 5-feet setback at the northeast corner of garage, on Lot 30, except the northerly 15 feet thereof, Block 3, Venice Park, 4010 Grown Point Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

appleation with the fight

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27

Secretary

By

STRUCTION NO. THE

WHEREAS, Approvidence were allowing has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of Sam Figs a cultionity, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 1/1/1/07/07/001.

- That there as a second circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, so that a paramet, which do not apply generally to other property in the same more end a second.
- 3. That strict and control of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardahip, not the preserve of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of energy milel property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the ______ and work vacualty.
- 3. That the construct of the collication will rate materially affect the health of safety of persons residing to not the meighborhood, and will repeated be materially detrimental to the public selfcare as it we public to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.

THEREFORE, DE IT WEAPLAND, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Derivation in her it was the base of a light beby d. Deeks to construct sincle sources without a light of the base of a light provide sources and Sefact contacts in the base of the base

A wardenee is in more and of contributed for 101 more the they shall be been properly described to for the they work to the property described of force.

Application Received B	V U. Beights
pprovide account of	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-27-y-3</u> B	y Siberson, Padgett , South City Planning Department
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date Lice of the Cith Cierk
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-28</u>	Building Inspector 6-1-1-3
Planning Commission 6-1-13 Petitioner	128 Health Department 6-1-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date lective date, unless the use and/or con-
Resolution becomes effective	Jution shall be mult and word, and shall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1 120

- 1987 - 2 S -

New Sec. New Y

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11988</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15/9f /Ondinance/No//8924//as/amended)/ Municipal Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Adeline O. Smith to construct two units and garage, making a total of three units, two of which will be served by 72-foot access court on north 1/2 Lot 30, all Lot 31, Block 47, University Heights, west side of Florida Street between Monroe and Madison Avenues, Zone R-4

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Apple and a solution of to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 FORM 2145 By_

10

Secretary

Ordingraphy is in a second i material care 101.0501) the City of Some section and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREAS, Account has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- a shart, which do not apply generally to other property in the same L. That there are - special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- and enjoyment sound included property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property hardship, me that the preservetion is necessary for the preservetion 2. That strikt whether the the seculations would work unbecesser).
- 3. That the construction of the operation will with materially affect the health or safety of persons residence and will motion, and will motion be materially detrimental to
- the public relies are a character to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

- THEREFORE, ME IT HERE DO, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- miling a tot ' c' t' c' by berved by ? - fast second court Frantenion 1. The fight of the follow C. Smith to complete two matter and generation.
- mpake . an he the purt of the state over fareful as they relate to the property durant bed

Application Received $5 - 19 - \sqrt{3}$ By	U. Beeghts
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-37-53</u> By	bibereon, Padgett & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5 - 27$	Hearing date
Decision Attr:	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-20	Building Inspector 6-1-015
Planning Commission 6-1-1-3 Petitioner	5-28-5 Health Department 6-1-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Date der der der der der der der der der de
Resolution becomes effective	Intion shall be will and word much shall t
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

letter dated May 18, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5571, dated May 31, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 3910, dated June 6, 1949, which extended Resolution No. 2289, dated May 22, 1947, which extended Resolution No. 1004, dated July 6, 1945, be granted to Edith M. Long, to conduct a dressmaking and furrier shop, part time, at 3969 33rd Street, the west 90 feet of the southerly 20 feet of Lot 1 and all of the westerly 90 feet (except the southerly 29.84 feet) of Lot 2, Block 196, City Heights; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there are no employees;
- 2. That there are no signs on the property;
- 3. That this permit to expire June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By___

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

FORM 2145

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

1 7020

L. That Clothe

starts, which do not apply generally to other property in the news, special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property

District, and the syndence presented hus shown (see Section

- has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- encountry rights of the patitioner, possessed by other property are not all the application is necessary for the preservation MOLK RUINCEBRUIN

- adversely adversely alfeet the Muster Plan of the Fity

as the the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

a the mathiburhood, and will tab. De materialiy detrimental to a not will tob auterially affect the health or pafecy of

- By the Zoning Coomittee of the City of San Diego.

Application Received ____9-53

- ATT I GET ARE PORT A THE
- a the sub cash Sepaintable is reach, doned for 22, 2007, St Dozo' counar Kok
- nouese, they and the set that has been shall - C. C. S. S. S. S. anteronia: Norgenoingh 33 the state into the set of the second to the fortune the and as much, part bing, As 7969 33rd carete, the neet
- . I coller an they well to be the property described above. the constant in Lord and a comparison for TSERD DO' may be present Wineped with po-

	City Flamming Department
Investigation made $5 - 27 - \sqrt{3}$ B	y <u>Liberson</u> Padgett & South City Planning Department
The arriter	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee7	Hearing date
D	Data
Copy of Recolution cent to City Clerk 5-20	Building Inspector 6 - 1 - 5
Planning Commission 6 -1 - J - J - J Petitioner	J-28 Health Department 6-1-V3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Jurley
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

A LO DI LI TRE LARR 35" 1888"

By _ mail

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11967</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to William and Virginia K. Gregg to split out southerly 70 feet of Lot 11, Block 12, Encanto Heights, and construct two single family residences, parcel not of record at time of zoning, west side of 63rd Street, approximately 147 feet south of Broadway, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Plane street

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Nay 27

Secretary

the City of State of Cilication and the evidence presented has shown (see Section of Ordinance State of State o

- That there are , is the property circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, and successful a not apply generally to other property in the same some and view conditions.
- 2. That string application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, wes concerning and one of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and anjoyne ______ are string property lights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the reserve axis are next.
- 3. That the granting of the second will make materially affect the health or safety of persons resulting a materially detrimental to the public second second and will and will apply be materially detrimental to the public second seco
- 4. That the states Plan of the City advoracly affect the Master Plan of the City
- PURSUPORT of the two lie the Zooine Committee of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, OI TO JOINED By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

Adversion to see a visit of of this way in the set for the sector by the left of the left of the state of the

Application Received By	V. Deights
- FFI	City Planning Department
-	L'I SOLD OM IN LINE
Investigation made <u>5-27</u> By	Jublison Cadger & South
8	y Suberson Padgett & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\int -17$	Hearing date the of the Cath Creak
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28	Building Inspector 6-1-5-
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 53 Petitioner	5-28 Health Department 8-1-55
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Gernae date aufeas the nee and of co
Resolution becomes effective	lution shall be will and waid, and shall
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

1- 3P12 53-

Totally And I Steel

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11870</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as camended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would **not** work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Harry F. and Fern Perry to divide Lot 7, Block D. Starkey's Prospect Park, into two parcels, and build residence on parcel with street frontage, the existing residence to have no street frontage, 715 Nautilus Street, Zone R-2.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0304 and Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

application withdrawn

, public strict Received

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of action

Continued to

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 1953_

By_

338

Application Received B	y U. Becghto City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>5-27-53</u> By	y <u>Liberson Padgett & South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Denied	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5- 28	Building Inspector 6-1-5
Planning Commission $6 - 7 - \sqrt{3}$ Petitioner	5-28-V3Health Department 6-1-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Water The St. Luighter' Sparor: The rear and reverse treateness, 715 Maghilla Marcell. served to Proceed a to the head of a and prive reaction on purport for contact ALARTINYOU TH N. T. the lineary of such linear Perry to deside bob of the linear of

(toso tot spess ' kvy (page (for tot)

To That there .

a withorhood, and will be materially nerrineared to

muterially affact the lawlet or estary of

including termstances of conditions applicable to the property

"I of has been considered by the Zoning Committee

the application is _______ necessory for the prosecontion

soury right of the petitioner, possigned by other property

adversely alloot the Marter Plant of the City

a ching space Testimution for a visit of the provintors of Jourisian lode 101.0166 and Creinston

456 133300 pro* 1010

Linger on one had and

letter dated May 16, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, ascamended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of an additional sixty (60) days be granted to remove equipment as set forth in Resolution No. 7173, dated February 18, 1953, which reads as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to James W., Marvin H. and Virginia Ely to conduct refrigeration repair and service business on Lots 181 and 182. Empire Addition to Encanto Heights, west side of Winnett between Federal and Tooley; with the exception of the service truck; all equipment, refrigerators, and material pertaining to above business, to be removed within ninety (90) days.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be and and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Continued to Date of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_53

Secretary D.

145

FORM 2145

Manager and the second se selling, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section - has been considered by the Zoning Committee -1 TC' 1577

- an include which do not apply generally to other property in the same The assess a store of the special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- the property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property a trea of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation work unnecessery bluow successful to the would IMPLE STRING
- the metriberhood, and will be materially detrimental th d, That the power of the section will _____ materially affect the health at matery of
- information the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

- by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego

- on Soybh in seelling of TAVI, dobad Fohrwery 13, 2939, which yours no Follower: they am unboundary of the roll (Loral cluby (60) days be presided to remove equiprimit on
- sample, woot state of strength between indurnat and soother; with the embryther wi the
- and in her by the state of a state and the shear about the state of the state of the continuation for a state of the provint of one of braining the life for contact, bu and to be person where the (w) give.

Investigation made <u>5-27-5-</u> B	Padgett- Biberson & South
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision coude. could. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-29</u>	Building Inspector <u>6-1-53</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 53 Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective	Date
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By mai

City Planning Department

Application Received _ 5-16-53

the approx of the second second

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12016</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):/ Municipal Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George M. and Ollie M. Maloof to construct 132 ft. by 26 ft. garage with 18-inch sideyard on Lot 12, Block 27, Lexington Park, 4111 Pepper Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Thus have extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	1	(310)	
-	May	27	
FORM	2145		

Ву

Secretary

Res No. 7454

88
Orbitherice in the second seconds and the Tole 16501 of the City of Sur Clark Fight and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WIRREAS, April and Committee has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- Involved. o related, which do not apply generally to other property in the same special electronstances or conditions applicable to the property
- Since Protocity rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property WOTE UNDCOUSEBLY
- 3. That the sea that the second will materially affect the health or safety of persons reactions that the second second second, and will second be materially detrimental to
- the public soll of a solution of the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- and a state will workersely affect the Master Plan of the City

ACTOR DETAC by 26-16. Francis Color 1 - Cal silipport on Tat 12, Minch 27, Loxington Park, Alli somethen to the first of the council and cline is halond to consisted it is.

10,000 as to the particular of and shore, then a shar relate to the property described wininged to be reaching of contained and the lot offer be, and is hereby constand

	City Flamming Department
Investigation made B	y <u>Liberson</u> , Padgett & South City Planning Department
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision apper.	Date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6-1-1-3
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 5 Petitioner	J-28 Health Department 6-1- JP
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date octive date, unless the use applied cut
Resolution becomes effective	lution shall be null and void, and shall b
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bv

V. Ber

the state was

Application Received 5-26-V-3

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11875</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924; as amended): Municipal Code No. 101:0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8' setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 9, Whitefield, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>New 27</u>, 19<u>53</u>

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Res. No. 7455

127

SEL 'ON NOTION

TOLO*

Les Away

Application Received _ 4-24-53

TOB adversely affect the Mester Plan of the City

of the merity rights of the paritines, persented by where proparty

the shirt to not apply generally to allor property in the name special elicumations of conditions applieuble to the property

mist and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

a jeipel cold to. lol. Sel

the neighborhood, and will BOS be unterially detrivantal to services and the materially affect the mealth or waltery of

- 010 -T. see cholds foundly and the section of anthrak on Bouch Times, Job 9, Interticid, a sheart set on the set of the sone some some and the set of a set of the set
- to blo bid by the set of the bid be been been been been been as all - We Aray way to you way your of fortaint code to tot ored by way retrieved to

City Planning Department 5-27-13 Investigation made _ berem By_ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27Hearing date Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk J-21 Building Inspector 6 - / Planning Commission 6-1-1- Petitioner J-28 Health Department 6-1 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date **Resolution becomes effective** Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

_ By Shail

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11874</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended). Municipal Code No. 101.0603

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>Not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>**not**</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 8, Whitefield Subdivision Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisionss of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 1953

ANNA MORE RECEIPTED

THIS HUIL & ISCHOOL DO

By

127

Secretary

Ree. No. 7456

section and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

which the real apply generally to other property in the same

the nergenerations, and will TOB be mitorially detrinonial to

served will not adversely willest the Mesure Plan of the City

THIS FORLETCE WITH OUT FILLER ON OUR LODGE TODE , DEPOSITION ADDRESSION Distribution in which is a read to big the range of contraction of the studies

oten 447*

te to birthing of a second of a star a la star a star protoco da tra protoco da seconda deservir a Antitud to the restricted of antitate t day of this bet and in heren antitated

	City I lanning Department
Investigation made <u>S- 27- V-3</u> B	y Liberen, Palatt & Auc City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision pp . Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{-38}$ Planning Commission $6 - 1 - 5$ Petitioner	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6
Planning Commission 6 - 1 - 1-5 Petitioner	J-28 Health Department 8-1-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By

mail

4-24-13

1.2 2.15

Application Received

- Barrow

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 11, Whitefield, Bocaw Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdrawn

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ May 27 _____, 1953

FORM 2145

By_

127

		and the design
		1 5

TIENEAS, AND TIME CITY OF S

APPERT FOR AN AND

Application Received _4-24-

investal effectmentances of conditions applicable to the property and should do not apply generally to other property in the sume

week unneourary seek unneourary neovement of the application is neovement for the preservation of a property tights of the petitionet, persented by other property

the second state will not materially affect the health or sulety of one results and will not be materially detrimental to

. That the year of the still work adversaly affact the Master Flan of the City

al San Di-

THEREFORE AT ATTACH IND, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

INFERT ON THE PARTY OF THE PARTY PARTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

Leverston in home , white to build. A. One bein L. Severinets construct a sidely

residences within took to been on Boars Singe, Bob 31, Withorstons, reason thank,

Vatanae to the realizione of hardelies to the set offs on the provide realized to the provide the set of the second of the secon

City Planning Department Investigation made 5-27-1-3 By Pa City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee $\int -27$ Hearing date Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28 Building Inspector_ - - - Health Planning Commission 6 - 1 - J J Petitioner Health Department 6-1-53 Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

By mail

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11878</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 OK OKANAAACE NO. 8924, Xas an ended M Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 12, Whitefield Subdivision, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By_

Res. No. 7458

27

- E. That the
- (0H0.7G 1 #

- spines in the property of improvements in the neighborhood. with alter will ______ meterially affect the health of sufery of

"ruperis itghts of the petitioner, possessed by other property second of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation work would work ware work

special electroniances of conditions applicable to the property

manual and the evidence presented has shown (see Section and the reliest Code Mrs. 101.0901,

- 01 200 This will not adversaly affect the Master Plan of the City
- remainston is for the second to fail h, and Minh L, Deverin to constraint a manual for fourth is second with the formation and the second second state, for 12,
- COLUMNIA SCH. VINCH, COM H-L.

relate to the to the stand there. A musicance to the may elign of handle the ende No. 101.0005 to, such

Application Received 4-24-1-3 By	mail
	City Planning Department
	A
Investigation made 5-27-53 Br	Giberson, Padgett + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision appr	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 5-28	Building Inspector 6-1-53
Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner	Date Building Inspector $6 - 7 - 73$ 7 - 2P Health Department $6 - 7 - 53$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	111.10
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

11879

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended). Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 13, Whitefield Subdivision, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

By

127

mentals, /rg , he Zoning Committee has been considered by the Zoning Committee City of Line and Lucra and the evidence presented has shown (see Section City of Line and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

- That the same state state of the state of the same state st
- 2. That every herdship, and and every

Work understants would work understants work understants work understants would necessary for the preservation and projects rights of the petitioner, possioned by other property of we work under property of we determined by other property of we determined by other property.

a special circumstances of conditions applicable to the property scaled, which do not apply generally to other property in the same

- That the contraction of the methylochood, and will be meterially detrimental to percontent of the property of inprovements in the neighborhood.
- d. That the it will all will will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Direct

THEREFORE DI THE SALE, BY the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

restination is the state of the look anthony is everyle to construct a section of the look anthony on power line, but 15, while the look anthone on power line, but 15, while the look anthone on power line, but 15,

verience to the providence of Surfalowi Code Do. 101.0603 ne. and Appending franked number the particuland utabod shows, incolar as show manage to the provide result of the bare.

Application Received 4 - 24 JJ By	y mail
	City Planning Department
	1' ZONING COMMILLES
Investigation made 5-27-53 Br	Deberson, Padatt + South
	Siberson, Palyett + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $5-27$	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28	Building Inspector <u>6-1-13</u>
Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner	Date Building Inspector $6 - 1 - \sqrt{3}$ $\sqrt{-2}$ Health Department $6 - 1 - \sqrt{3}$
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

142* '60* Apob

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11880</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section INVAL OLANDARE XNO.X 8924, Vas Xamehded) X Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are ________ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>Not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>Not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>Not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 14, Whitefield Subdivision, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 1953

Application withor awn Finie limit extended to

THAT IS A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

By

127

Secretary Res. 7460

OULD TON NOT THE

MEREAS, A starting to the source of the second of the Source Consistee Me City of the formula, and the evidence presented has shown (are Section Addression and the evidence for Sol. 101.0501

- 2. That strict go mercane of the regulations would meressary for the preservation and an entropy for the preservation is meressary for the preservation and an entropy of the preservation definition of the petitioner, possessed by other property of the petitioner, possessed by other property of the petitioner.
- 1. That the start of the main of the main back and will NOW materially affect the health of wafery of parameters and will NOW he materially detrimontal to the public of the neighborhood.
- a. That the product of the state of the South of the City
- BE CAR DIN
- THEREFORE IT. I. S. A. T. D. By the Zoning Committee of the City of Son Diego.
- Monthealor in fourth, sure ded so Early R. and Mdna D. Severin to construct o alog a full, realonned with S foot setunch on Decay Finds, for Lb, spicericle constructor, none N-1.
- A service and the service of Municipal Code No. 101.0505 be and the beached shows a largest

a they relate in the start of wirdy described above.

Application Received by	mare
	City Planning Department
	1. Southe Constitutes
Investigation made 5-27-53 By	biberson, Palatt + Sour City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee7	Hearing date
Decision appen.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>6 - 1 - 1 - 5</u>
Planning Commission 6-1-13 Petitioner	V-28 Health Department 6-1-1-3
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

THER.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11881</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xxf OrdinancexNo.x 8924, x as xamended) X Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 15, Whitefield Subdivision, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

supplication withdrawa

Apple ation Received

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27, , 1953

By_

127

Secretary

Res. 7461

SLOT.

TTUT

The stands of a

- and of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation

and a which do not apply generally to other property in the same a pectal circumstances of conditions applicable to the property

differnity, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section

a the metaborhood, and will _Deli be materially detrimental to section will fifth materially affect the health or sefecty of

secondades numbersal fodd Ho. 101.0561

- will DOE adversely affect the Manier Plan of the City
- stoll, By the Zoning Counstree of the City of San Diego. 1
- arly muterril VIVIALON, CONCIPL. corner a clust 12. Presidence with S Fred another, on Bodaw Clane, opeinnion in a con served to rerieve and Bdma b. Deveria to
- ranger relate to the reparty described shows . Winkinger to the revealers of Fundeigel Code No. 101.0605 be.

Application Received 4-24-53 By	mil
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $5 - 27 - 5$ By	Liberen Pakatt & South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee7	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28	Building Inspector 6-1-1-3
Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner	Date Building Inspector <u>6-1-1-3</u> V-2-8 Health Department 6-1-1-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	biting a shall be a sh
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

24-13

40.04

12-

A support of the support

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11882</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section the fordinance Mox 8924, x as x amended): Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are ______special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Let 16, Whitefield Subdivision, Zone R-1.

A Variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27, , 1953

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

7462 Res.

127

ALTOTTOM NO. STUS

NHEKEAB, ANNI SUCCESSION OF SU

F . Isur, spanner tort .

•

a local state of the second second

LIJUE has been consulered by the Zoning Committee Hermin. will the evidence presented has shown (see Section as approved): Famileight Terk Woll, 201,0901

special elitemetances or conditions appliedly to the property to the asso-

- 1. That are: work unnecessary introduction is application is necessary for the preservation and enjoy and enjoy is a set of the period of the period of the preservation owners in the preservation.
- A. That the at the second of the second of the second of the City
- Manispion is the stand to built a much send to bound to several to contribute a static for the state of force of the second find.

A werigned and the prototions of immetpel code No. 101.0503 bd, and is nerely related to the prototic feature states above, incourt as the property featured shows.

100 lo; Willing the twiston, done let.

Application Received <u>7-24-03</u> B	V Shart
	City Planning Department
	biberson, Padgett - South
Investigation made <u>5- 27- J-3</u> B	y Devenion, Vanjer Vouch
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 5-27	Hearing date
Decision appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk8	Building Inspector <u>6-1-0'3</u>
Decision appr Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>5-28</u> Planning Commission 6-1-53 Petitioner	J-28-JJ Health Department 6-1-JJ
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Tut for shart have a start and start and start
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

14257

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11876</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section MAXOF Organization No. 2024, Xas Amended XX Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 8 foot setback on Bocaw Place, Lot 10, Whitefield Subdivision, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

application without with

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27 , 19 53

By

127

Secretary

Res.

And I DETING

TO BUTCH TO THE THE

AST TOTO TO

Application Received _4-24-5-3

Investigation made <u>5-27-53</u>

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 5-28

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Decision apper.

Decision of Council

Application withdrawn Time limit extended to

Resolution becomes effective

Considered by Zoning Committee ____ Hearing date_

and when relate a courter cereribee spere.

mail

City Planning Department

City Planning Department

Building Inspector 6 - / -

Council Hearing, date

the the perclation of the set of the set of the

In Law, which with S 1006 posters on House Floor,

services will DR adversely aftent the Master Plan of the City

second rights of the putitioner, possessed by other property

a which so not apply generally is other property in the sums

units, and the evidence presented has shown face Section

CONTRACT MAINING TO TOT COOT

Alarmin of marterbar door he. Tot. bond ne.

STATUS FO LOLT H. Chd Dame . SCARACH . CO

Suberson, Palgett & South

V-3

more moleceselly

By_

By,

Planning Commission 6-1-5 Petitioner J-28 Health Department 6-1

A CALCULAR MONO RATA

Date

Date

Continued to

Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11884</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section W5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) X Municipal Code No. 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Earl R. and Edna L. Severin to construct a single family residence with 5 foot setback on South corner tapering to 10 foot on North corner, on Bocaw Place, Lot 23, Whitefield Subdivision. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated May 27. , 19 53

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

127

Res. 7464

WEREAS, A control construction of the solution of the Zoning Committee the City of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the Social solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the Social of the Soci

- Interface the second structure of conditions applied to the property in the same some second second second, which do not apply generally to ether property in the same some second se
- 3. That state the second state of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation und an)er a second state of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation und an)er a second state of the petitioner. Possessed by other property decore is a second state.
- 3. Thus the many second second tention will DOU meterially affect the boalth or safety of persons contractions count on the metriborhood, and will <u>roll</u> be materially detrimental to the property or improvements in the metriodochood.
- of Ser Lines
- Apply and on the second of the Early a such hand B. Severin to construct e. stall a such a steened with 5 took seebeck on could where the series of 1 is not on North corner, on Boom Finds, lot 33, Started on a visited and B-1.
- weimos to for area signa of Municipal Code No. 101.0003 be, of in Deck, a reach the the particulari stand showe, income a sume relate to show the there have above.

Application Received <u>4-24-03</u> B	v Mail
	City Planning Department
	1. SONING COMPLETE
Investigation made $_ \underbrace{5 - 27 - \sqrt{3}}_{B}$	v beberen, Vadgett & Couth
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision cher	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6-1-13
Planning Commission 6-1-5- Petitioner	vエ e Health Department 6 - ノージラ
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Var

WHEREAS, Application No. 1, 1953

has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. x 8924, ras amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are ____ _special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ ____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7429, dated May 27, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. R. Coahran, owner, and James C. and Gladys Green, purchaser, to split out Lots 4, 5 and 6, except the northeast 30 feet, Block 137, Roseville, north corner of Poe and Evergreen, Zone R-1, and erect a single family residence; on condition that a 4-foot setback on Poe Street be observed as established by Ordinance No. 739, and a 15-foot setback on Evergreen Street be observed as established by Ordinance No. 740.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

THE PLET CRIENCED TO AND A DELIGINAL LIGHT CONTRACT

Whoeld Sprit, M.s.A.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 4 , 19 53

Contractions in the second particular) / The Gold 201 (501) N. T. 1933

- intervaled, which do not apply generally to other property in the same Jame I special eliterationes of conditions applicable to the property
- in the property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property second of the application is necessary for the proservation the second stone wonid
- the public solution of signature to the property of improvements in the metaborizoni. persons really and while the result of while the materially detrimental to 3. That the safety of institute alit not saterially aftert the health of antery of
- I but weetings will 100 . adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

- THEREFORE THE FUSION SD. BY the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego,

Remarkant of the second of the to be a logiture and the second field for the second factors that thrology of the set have buy 27, 1959, he supplied to past the following

the section of the by formate, months the restinged on Tank,

- C. DY THEY DY CHATTAKE THE MAN particular and a state of the anticapate the size and a the day of boar of the state of statele free the second day and an entitle and the second state and anterpart The' provide the set of the out part have been and the set and other

there are no to the there are a conducted and the second of the second o A wertwood to the on eligence of fullowing to, 32 Mertworker by, and is hearby

Investigation made $\underline{5 - 27 - 53}$ B	y Cadget Liberson + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee7	Hearing date
Decision Oppr. to amend	Date
Decision Gefer. & amend Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-4</u>	Building Inspector <u>6 - 4 - 5 3</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 53 Petitioner	6-4 Health Department 6-4-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Intion study has and considered and the
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By Mail

City Planning Department

By Padaut liberson + South

Application Received _____ 6 - 5 3

and geeniger street

Stant, provident

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12041</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section <u>15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)</u>: Mun. Code 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Unified School District, purchaser, to construct school buildings on portion of Pueblo Lot 1176, approximately 7.2 acres, according to legal description on plat submitted, westerly corner of proposed Bullock Street and Linda Vista Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13457 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdraws, Time limit extended to

THE CASE OF THE PARTY OF

Wangar provident was an east

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 4 , 19 53

Application Received By	D. South
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-3-53</u> By	Planning Dept Burton + Padgett City Planning Department
P. L.	
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 6- 3	Hearing date
Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-4</u>	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-4	Building Inspector <u>6-4-53</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 4 - 53 Petitioner	6 - 4 Health Department 6 - 4 - 5-3
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

. In other we shak relate to the relation of the second of the second

True at the weather the souther of the

P.L.1176

WID, Dy the Zoding Committee of the City of.

May a Unitied wheel Mathematical, particular

Ion of "mobio lob LLFS, approximatenia 7.2 three,

. The property of improvements in the delighborhood. A and sorhood, and still tooth he water saily declimented i to

tents of the patitions, possessed by other projects application is necessary for the preservation

will moterially affect the health of

s printing and star

Amater Plats

2000

22 01

1

BOTE MORCHARARY

take an ist

secondary) , some code 101 0501 interior, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section - has been considered by the Zoning Committee

special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property

PITTON

LINDR LISAR 10 which do not apply generally to other property in the same

1000

VAN SATULAT

CONTRACTORS OF DG TO

and maken 1.75

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11959</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Orthinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rest Haven Preventorium, a Corporation, B. H. Phillips, president, owners, and Ray Snyder, purchaser, to convert rest home into general hospital, Lot 20, Lemon Villa Tract, east side of 55th Street between Grange and University Ave., Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the proposed hospital be equipped for a maximum of one hundred (100) beds, including operating room, to be located in the existing facilities:
- 2. That no contagious diseases be permitted as set forth in Section 18, of Municipal Code 101.0411, under C Zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 10 , 19 53

FORM 2145

By_

110-111

LOSS INT. DESK. THE SECOND ST. COUL

Do City of the

- 117 2. By the Johing Committee of the City of Sat Dicko.

- and intervent to extract the part into the "nosydaus" o Territerian is in which the second second to be and the second se
- Alternational and the descent and a sector of the descent of the sector o ANYAND BY CALL . . the share and the of the provide the second states and costifial, 1 t
- The state of the second state of the second state of the state of the second state of the second state of the state of the second s A SALE SHOT AND A SALE AND A

C ANTIGETON DO THE AND TO THE THE PARTY AND THE DOA ELEPTRY INT COUTY I DESCRIPT CANEDAL

Date of action Time limit extended to has as on one herbing as a second start to age the block adjust he bar the manual described

Application Received By	U. TSeeghts City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 10 - \sqrt{3}$ By	Party Murphy + South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6 - 10	Hearing date
Decision conde appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector 6-15-55
Planning Commission 6 - 10 - Petitioner	6-11 - J3 Health Department 6-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

should find a set of the lit was a long to the

Gud - Walt at ma

RESOLUTION No. 112904

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Loris M. Foster, 2606 Fairfield Street, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting application No. 11954 by its Resolution No. 7468, which granted permission to Pioneer Committee Congregational Church, purchaser, Mid-City Heights, Inc., owner of Lot 134 and Clairemont Land Co., owner of Lot 135, to erect a church on Lots 134 and 135 Morena Acre Lots (description on file in the Planning Office) south of Jellett Street at terminus of Fairfield and Arnott Streets, in Zone R-1, on condition that 42 off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained as shown on plans submitted and on file, and that completed plans be approved by the Planning Department, be, and it is hereby overruled and denied; and said Zoning Committee action be sustained.

~	I	HEREBY	CERTIFY	the	above	to be a	ful1	. true.	and	correct	CODV	of Resolution No.	112904
of	the	Council	of the	City	of Sa	n Diego,	as	adopted	by	said Cour	ncil_	of Resolution No July 2, 19	53

	FRED W.	SI	CK
			City Clerk
By	HELEN	M.	WILLIG
			Deputre

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11954</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pioneer Community Congregational Church, purchaser, and Mid-City Heights, Inc., owner Let 134, and Clairemont Land Co., owner Let 135, to erect a church on portion of Lets 134 and 135. Morena Acre Lets, which legal description is on file in Planing Office, south of Jellett Street at terminus of Fairfield and Arnott Streets, Zone R-1; on condition that 42 off-street parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property as shown on plans submitted and on file in the Planning Office; and that completed plans are approved by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Appealed 6-17-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19_53

FORM 2145

The second

402

Application Received By	Mr. Rick
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-11-1-3</u> B	Pargett, Smurphy South
without drivers they are and a set of the	
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-10	Hearing date
Decision Coad's akker.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _6-11	Building Inspector 6 - 10 - 15
Planning Commission 6 - 10 - Petitioner	6 - 11 Health Department 6 - 15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	In the state of the second s
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

and the second

121	12.12	1	14	Mr. P.														
	11	21	24		81 Q.H	1. 1. 2. 1.												
	201	4	Stark.							13 1	an aba		0.0 0.000	AN OW			100	
							· · · · ·											
0	(C.7)	ar"	471	11 11			77 1.14											
				03.4			1 2 5 3 2											
			-	P2 -	11	÷*		the la	190 1	1		01 1	COUNTRY F	Jack	40+ × 1	property g		1.2

sample she put that in a see shows, investigate a ship which the property described a suspende so son that your a thirthe we bet the part souther the term is not be

THEREFOLD IN THE SHOTHE COMMITTEE OF The City of Sau Diggo.

Deplote for the state of the state of the formation production production for the product state of the state

effer which will materially uffort the newline or acture of

service which do not apply generally to other property in the sume

UNDER !!

SOUTH STATE HER STATE TO BOOD



WHEREAS, Application No. 12043 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Airfan Radio Corp., Ltd., to add to and remodel transmitter building, to erect radio and television towers, and operate radio and television transmitting equipment, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1264, which legal description is on file in Planning Office, on Mt. Soledad, Zone R-1; subject to the following condition: $|\langle \langle F > \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle \rangle$

1. That appropriate landscaping to be installed and maintained in good condition at all times around tower and buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19

FORM 2145

By

500

Application Received B	y Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-10 - 5-3</u> B	Padgett, murphy - South
	Hearing date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _6 - 11	
Planning Commission 6-11 - Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 8-11-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

sade our Luges

the restantion of the boys, lessing as they relate to the property decortant there. a work when the they work i and the partmenter by, 19056 Mg, and is but why we would be to to the set of a first weath to have and better there. A set 1. That we rear to be the property for he that slightened we have a set of an end and an and the set New Yorking Constitution 1 > d L 0 agritude in the state the store of the an Ht. Salatel, Separation we histor to the fi reparteroll and areas in a bit whit, on Portion of Analyse Let 1360, which lushi the " mills and talevisian barand, and openits modio mad transmittion with in . since will and adveranty affect the Mester Plan of the Cirythe section property of improvements in the pulphophood. ind in the neighborhood, and will may be meretally detrimental to in invition will _ mob. .. materially affect the maith of safety of build a superior and a superior allothed which do not upply generally to other property in the score

ULULION NO. JOS

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11958</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15_of_Ordinance_No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Faith A. Kraber to convert existing garage building with 2-foot side yard to 'two bedrooms's, Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 7, Berkeley Heights, south side of Landis Street, approximately 65 feet east of 52nd Street, Zone R-4; on condition that when the improvement of Ogden Street is completed, two off-street paved parking spaces will be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 10 '

Republic extended to

By

Secretary Res. No. 7470

111

LUCION VO: DUNC

the City of Sum and the evidence presented has shown (see Section a signation of sum to). The number of his shown (see Section a signation of soll of a bit of a soll of a soll

- 1. Dust there are a substant of the substant of a subst
- 9. That attrict would work unnecessary hardship is a processed the application is presented for the presentation and entropy and entropy to prove the property of the petitioner, powersed by other property amount in the processes of the petitioner.
- 3. That the time best is a set of the materially affect the health or anisty of persons a set of the materially detrimental to
- persons results and a second second borhood, and will mark he materially detrivental to the public second s
- et Sen for
- of Sen Dry
- THEREPORT, to the second secon
- ANDARA. F. T.
- (Frighting is bereich with the solute a frober to convert drahing example builting of the foot site of the the transformer. For 3, 5 and 5, Stock V. Marteley Methods, and the side of the transformer restriction of the solution from the side of the transformer.
- Teveland on the second of the second of the second second of the property.

Investigation made <u>6 - 10 - v - B</u>	y <u>Padgett murphy & South</u> City Planning Department
3	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-10	Hearing date
Decision coude appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 5</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 8-11-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	United about he will and read will meetly
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By mail

City Planning Department

maning them applied the of the

II DISARA

Application Received 5-20- 13

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11950</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Martin J. Swanson to construct a painted metal sign, maximum 3 ft. by 24 ft., with a maximum of 18 inch letters in height, on Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 389, Pacific Beach, 4010 Strandway, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 243 New Series, and Municipal Code 95.0104 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______ June 10_____, 1953

FORM 2145

By

364
I the City of the structure collibrials, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 5 objections) and the solution (see Section

- L. That Der special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- the second the application is madesary for the preservation work unnecratory
- stern pletation will mat materially affect the health or safety of
- to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- A. That the warden will not advance will not advancedy affact the Mustar Plan of the Caty

- a sublet of much one of 10 from Bubberges an building , and have it. A manifestor 7 11. territerion in horsely of the sourcess f. Training to muchanize of states, most is when,
- supplication to the straight or of Criticate Star Big Star Series, and Samabar Deter and the all a grant the stand of the second MAN 2" TO GUE OF
- relate to the same of superibed shows.

Application Received By	V. Beights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made By	Padgett Murkhy - South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision akter.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6 - 15 - 13
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{6-11}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	6 - 11 Health Department 8 -1V-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	lut lot
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Bv

2. Beights

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 11951 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot. 3, 4 and 5 Block 389
Subdivision Pacific Beach
MARTIN J. SWANSON
4010 Strandway
may be used for the erection and operation of to maintain existing 6-unit motel and overal sapartment
subject to the following conditions
Tielt catendry to

Special for become effective .

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

314

By

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Secretary

yta

Res. No. 7472

Dated June 10 194 53

Ind HEARING SHARING ANY

MAN DISTON CUITION AND trainidened by the Joning Committee of the City of

1. That the supplication is near near the supplication of

31 be antwritely durrimental to the public welfare

a see broberty in the naighborhood; and

St IDer advaracly affect the musics Plan of the City et

ITHON, STODERES!

NUMBER OF BRIDE

Investigation made 6-10-53 By Ordgett Murphy + Considered by Zoning Committee _______ 6-10_____Hearing date ______ Decision_____Date_____Date_____ Planning Commission 6-15 Petitioner 6-11 Health Department 6-15 Resolution becomes effective Application WithdrawnContinued to

53

Application Received

MOTOTAL PARK

By U. Beege CityPI

Planning Department

RESOLUTION No. 113047

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The matter of the appeal of Daniel H. R. Pain, attorney for F. M. Sawyer and K. L. Hutchison, owner and lessee, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 7473, application No. 12007 for permission to erect a doublefaced neon sign, 12 feet by 4 feet 9 inches atright angles to the face of the building on a pylon, on Lot 366 Block 17 Grown Point, located at 3465 Ingraham Street, in Zone R-C, be, and it is hereby filed.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>113047</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council_______

	POPD W STOK
	City Clerk
By	HELEN M. WILLIG
	Deputy.

RESOLUTION No.___112957

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The matter of the appeal of Daniel H. R. Pain, attorney for F. M. Sawyer and A. L. Hutchison, owner and lessee, from the decision of the Zoring Committee in denying application No. 12007 for permission to erect a double-faced neon sign, 12 feet by 4 feet 9 inches at right angles to the face of the building on a pylon, on Lot 366 Block 17 Crown Point, located at 3465 Ingreham Street, in Zone R-C, be, and it is hereby referred to the City Attorney for a legal opinion; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the hearing on said appeal is hereby continued until the hour of 10:00 c'clock A.M. of Thursday, July 9, 1953.

I	HEREBY CERTIFY	the above to be	a full,	true, an	nd correct copy	of Resolution N	10. 112957
of the	Council of the (City of San Die	go, as a	dopted by	y said Council_	Tular 0	1053

	FRED	V. d	SICK Lity Clerk
V	HELEN	M.	WILLIG
			Deputy.

M 1270

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12007</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>materially</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>materially</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>Natk</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to F. M. Sawyer, owner, and K. L. Hutchinson, lessee, to erect a double faced neon sign, 12 ft. by 4 ft. 9 in., at right angles to face of building on pylon, on Lot 366, Block 17, Crown Point, 3465 Ingraham, Zone R-C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3141 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

when extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By

FORM 2145

WERE WILLIAM TO

This tot end : and : and and the evidence presented installown (see Section

sensing traching succes of configurations applied by the property

and the spinorion is the measury for the preservorion a sort unrecessory

service the unighborhood, and will . It is he materially detrimental to and investor will retextelly aftert the beauth of watery of

A. THURL ADDA NAME. and a will have adversely affect the Marter Flan of the City

OI STAT L'IN

South Senthe Committee of the City of San Diego.

permitend of the function of the second of the second of the A. Malagalianon, the

state tion for a state is the provisions of Critished No. 3161 Are Derber, 14. Steed a Contribution of the state of the sta

A C LONGIELE CLEAR AND PARTY and is lurable for the the the picture pictor shows, howof it we that put the

Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received <u>3-28-13</u> B	Departh
	City Planning Department
	CARLENCE CONTRACTOR
	On E. P. P.M.
Investigation made <u>6-10-53</u> B	Pargett Murphy & South
5	Palgett Murphy + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	
Decision denich	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector 6-15-5
DI COPY OF RESOLUTION SENT TO CITY CITY	Dunding hispector
Planning Commission 6 - 11 Petitioner	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to

WHEREAS, Application No. 12026 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. C. Harvey to construct a duplex making a total of 4 units on lot 5, Block 1, Arlington Subdivision, all units will be served by 7 ft. access court, NELy side Dalbergia between Vesta & Woden, Zone M-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 10, ____, 19_53

FORM 2145

By

Secretary

Res. No. 7474

THE REAL PROPERTY OF

The sale of the select

in the role to the property or improvements in the neighborhood, . in the notphoshood, and will ... DOS be materially detrimment to collection with NOS contarially affect the health or safety of

second property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property

situated which do not apply generally to other property in the same

section interview, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section interview), its, wode 1 1.0,001

and will will 103 advectedy affect the Manter Plan of the Caty

THEREFORD IN OLVED, By the Zoning Commistee of the City of San Diego.

who source he water a rear court, with sile weltered between Yests & oder, Le tosal o d vellers leb C, Mode L, sullagen subjivision, all units sill. perfection of the second states to be the Prever to consisting a during of the states

oronorph questioner solid. the printer of to be a relations shall above, incomer as they relate to the A thyre long to burned i long of (plinings No. 161.0601 he, and is hereby

Application ReceivedB	v O. Burton
	City Planning Department
Investigation made 6-10-53 B	V Cadgett muphy & South
Investigation made $\underline{6 - 70 - \sqrt{3}}$ B	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6-10	Hearing date
Decision Appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector <u>6 - 1 5 - 5 3</u>
Planning Commission 6 Petitioner	6 - 11 Health Department 8 - 1 5-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Hall MA State

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11980</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Franklin Joseph & Isadora Karash to split out parcel not of record at the time of zoning and have the right to erect a single family residence thereon, West 52¹/₂ ft. of East 105 ft. of North 118 ft. of Lot 52, 5352 Las Alturas Villa Sites, South side of Olvera Ave. 52¹/₂ ft. West of 58th St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 3660 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Apple a non with the with the first to the first test of the first

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

185

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

Secretary

Res. No. 7475

- althe Bright and a start of the witchning and the evidence presented has shown (see Section "It in this been considered by the Zoning Committee
- and entrywers of a state property rights of the politicater, possessed by other property
- the public words of a provide to the property of improvements in the neighborhood. 5. That the gradient of a contraction tob materially affect the health of safety of pernamenes is a second on the neighborhood, and will not be materially determented to
- a. That die will abb adversely affect the Master Plan of the City
- THEREFORE TO THERE WERE BY the Zoning Committee of the City of Sen Diego,

employed is the by the solution to be a set in the solution is well to write out a root

ALL REAL OF THE OFFICE OF ALL THE AVE. SOL TE. MORE OF SERVICE, TOTO -1. remaining there a set of the of the of fore of lord IN It. of Lot St. S. J. J. J. not of moodel is the stand of the shire the shire to make the restore a signal fraite

to the putted? He want there, intothe at they raishe to the property descripted above. A Pictore to S' . To ' ''' a fritten of 3650 'ou crist by and it how by Smarted a

Investigation made <u>6-10-53</u> B	y Palgett Murphy & South City Planning Department
internation made	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6 - 10	Hearing date
Decision akker.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6-15-53
Planning Commission 6 - 13 Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 6-11-1-5
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date southe dute notes the use due on ce
Resolution becomes effective	intion shall be mult and would and abell
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Application Received _____ By QC

100° 10° 1928

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12037</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended).² Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Ranta to construct a second single family residence, MLY & Lot 4, Block 7, Larchmont, on West side 59 th St. between Brooklyn and Kenwood, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of 116 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 10, , 19 53

FORM 2145

By

164

Secretary

Res. No. 7476

- 10 100 100 100 101 1016 10 10 10 10 10 001 interesting and the evidence presented this shown (see Section

- strend in the mergeborhood, and will Job be makerinily detrimented so while atten will 105 materially when the health or safety of
- a sac an a will 1.08 adversely affect the motor Plan of the City

- Permiterion is here , for they to shell when to connerrow a monord single thating
- to the perturbation second of a o, intoine as they take to the property A vertice of the provide of the set tester by way is berefy (rented on

Application Received ______ 6- 3- 5-5 By U. Berghts City Planning Department Investigation made <u>6 - 10 - 13</u> By Palyett, Thun South City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-10 Hearing date Date Decision appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _2 - 11 Building Inspector 6 - 15 - 15 Planning Commission 6 - 11 Petitioner 6 - 11 Health Department 6-10-Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date **Resolution becomes effective** Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Antie Tot

NOUST JO! JONG.

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12012</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): (Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald R. Schumann, purchaser, and Joseph and Laurabell Blake, owners, to store, receive and disburse merchandise incidental to hotel, motel and trailer park supply business, on Lot 58, Federal Blvd. Sub. Unit No. 2, at 4728 Beech Street, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That all storing be completely within the garage;
- 2. That all deliveries be from the alley;
- 3. That this permit to expire June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 55 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

me limit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_53

Application Received

FORM 2145

Secretary Res. No. 7477

157

The Analysical (Mar 1984 Str Oder). alternative and the evidence presented bas shown (see Section the City of -1 mill has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- stated, which do not apply generally to other property in the same special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property
- " growerly rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property a une of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation North Winneressanry the frequencions would
- a set in the neighborhood, and will ... 1831, be materially detrimental to and formation will _ 2001 _ maturially affect the health of safety of

- and treatler yor on ' land sere, on lot 50, Freewel Wrat. Web. Vale-Io. ?, ML MPCO state, oreaste, the there, a contra and distances near manufaction and all be need, wetel remains and on it is in the to not to remains. Secondary, and develop onl learned to the second of t
- A REAL STATE 11 TOTAL AND THE LOSS WILLING TO A REAL AND A

and with the state of the state

Inedia Sticat, which will be the following good slower

- a car there were a marked dune 30, 1965.
- anove. as the particle for all but above, larefor as they relate to the proverties described I winimper to fin trajitions of Dalimate No. 35 New Sarket, Day and in Daraby scatter

and the state of the

Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received B	V J. Baughann
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6 - 10 - 13</u> B	y Palgets murphy & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee60	Hearing date
Decision appr. coude.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 15</u>
Planning Commission 6-15 Petitioner	6 - // Health Department 6 - 1/-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	Late in the state of the state
Application withdrawn	Continued to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12004</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Condinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego Gas and Electric Company to erect an addition to an existing sub-station on Lots 20 thru 25, Block 32, Lexington Park, at 39th and Juniper Streets, Zone R-2; on condition that the addition is located 78 feet from the front property line; a fence to be erected 45 feet from the property line; and the property to be adequately landscaped and effectively screened by hedges, shrubs or trees.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By

87

FORM 2145

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

- T TIME FORMER .
- work understand and the state of the state o
- all and all support the peritions, persessed by other property
- a second the short, and will hat be paralally date mental to " stationers with _ MAL water willy affective headth of salery of
- descent will dot . adversely affect the Newer Plan of the fity

- resolared on the bear of the second of the first the second standard development and second second second second
- pullers, plusibe on snort, There are the owner and a second share to be a be a bear and an approximate the second s ob 3956 and dunibur "I control one wit in the Wile Same bie world be in included by the first first the provide the provided a large to be present. white on the state of the state
- Mar particular and the second . To also as they relate to the regroups dependent of the a anathrow for the structure of the Ancross to, 79165 in , and in begauge required to be
- Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received B	y V. Secont
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 10 - \sqrt{-3}$ B	Padgett murphy & South
Considered by Zoning Committee _6-10	Hearing date
Decision could apply	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector <u>6 - 11 - 13</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 6-15-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application with drawn	Continued to

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12024</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15:pf:Ordinance: No.: 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert S. and Welle S. Hamilton to erect a garage with no setback, on lot 42, Block 8, Crittenden Addition, 3630-32 8th Ave. Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Approximation water the first of the second se

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ , 19_53

Secretary

Res. No. 7479

WINGERLING MIL

of the City of become fullering and the syldence presented has shown (see Section

- 1. That the construction of the property construction of conditions applicable to the property in the same construction of the same construction o
- 2. That since a provide sequence would work undecompary hardship, and that a property tights of the peritioner, possessed by other property owners of the preservation.
- 3. That the property of the section will <u>a ob</u> materially diffect the health or safety of persons terms of the section of the metally detrimental to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the recordence of the set of a set of the set of a fleat the Master Plan of the City of See Liver .

THEREFORD, IF IN SUCCEDED By the Control Committee of the City of San Diego, ifornio, as follows

Permission in the rest to Cohert . The fille S. Herilton to exact a series with a chiral of the lot the block of the meritics, 2630-32 the for the order -1.

A werither of the solution of the introduction lol. 0602 bm, and it harder granted on to the perturbition of the solution of the solution is the property described where.

	Seity Flanning Department
Investigation made <u>6 - 10 - 5</u> By	Padgett muchy & South City Planning Department
5	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $\frac{6-10}{2}$	Hearing date date at the CIFA CIESE
Decision Asky.	Date De Clark noreze a active apore
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector 6 - // - / -
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner	6 - 11 Health Department 6 10
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date of the gran miners the new approx of
Resolution becomes effective	tution that? be mult not word and that.
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By J. Baughur

Application Received ______

105* DO* M 10

WHEREAS, Application No<u>12057</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George B. Wright to replace garage with a single family residence, Lots 19,20, & S. 6' of 21, Block 34, Arnold & Cheates Addition, with zero setback, Nest side Falcon St. between Lewis & Montecito, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

Filme limit restanded to

Secretary

Res. No. 7480

ACSOLUTION NO. Sho

WHEREAS, Approved a support. has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of Support Supporting, and the swidence presented has shown (see Section Descriptions: A statues amended): Thu. Code 101.0501

- That there sty a subscript circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, on a strength intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same some end suprement.
- 2. That still is not show of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, gos grant one granting of the application is ______ necessary for the proservation and enjoy of the statist concerts rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners of the concerts and viscity.
- 3. That the provide y double application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health of safety of persons construction or each on a subborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public or equivalence of the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the structure of the container will -- rot- adversely affect the Master Plan of the Caty of Semilinero

THEREFORE, NE IT NESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Dirgo.

Permission in Merce & reted to federe 8. Fridt to replace carge with a circle femily real erge, lose 10,20, 4 . 6' of 21, Miode 36, Mrold & Chestes Addition, with zero reby of, erg clear lose 5. Serveen Levis & Montecito, Sene H-4.

ap Yesisner to the provisions of Creinenge 101,0603 be, and is hereby grashed on to the perticulant shore. No e, intofer an sher relate to the property described shore.

Seights
City Planning Department
gett, Murphy & South City Planking Department
City Planning Department
date the City Clerk.
City Clerk, unless a written appeal
Inspector <u>6-15-13</u>
Health Department 8-15-153
Hearing, date
tive date. unless the use and/or con-
ball he will and word, and shall be
d to
action

101 - 10 - SHEO

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12033</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. C. and Helma Gillett to erect diningroom, coffee shop and cocktail lounge, approximately 2800 sq. ft. in area, 60 ft. by 100 ft. outside dimensions, and a second floor addition of 700 sq. ft. to be used as banquet room, on Lots 25 thru 30, Block 5, Stephen's Addition, on Pacific Highway between Glendora and Rosewood Streets, Zone R-4; also a 18 in. by 20 ft. neon sign, to be approved by the Planning Office; subject to the following conditions:

That the cocktail lounge be restricted to an area one-third or less of the diningroom area on the first floor; no cabaret or dine and dance licenses to be permitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 10 , 19_53

By

FORM 2145

An and the second of the second second

- Spir nonhoossick

- the and the state for the rectand and lead to the and tought constrained and shine discontinue, surplus out the second states of you spin the 's 's second burgent and with Coch, II . and a sector with side of the 700 min to the 100 min to 100 min the HOLDERLY TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL OF THE PARTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPE
- The second second a stand the state of the states of the sale of the sale stands to the range the day in the party of the party of the state of the party of the

on to the party of the second store, there is a burge to the protocoldy the second in the protocold and A PERSONAL TO LED A THE TOTAL OF A PERSONAL PO, MED TOP TOTAL DO, AND MED TO PERSON TOTAL

Investigation made 6-10-13 By Palgett, Murphy + City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee 6-10 Hearing date Date Decision conde appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11 Building Inspector 6-15-5 Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner 6 - 11 Health Department 6-15 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Continued to Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received ______

TOLA"

By Q. Baughman City Planning Department

Martine Hol . you the

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12010</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinances Nov 8924 was camended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would <u>not</u> work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is <u>not</u> necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will ______ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ______ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to G. and Margarethe B. Culbertson to erect a residence with 12 ft. 6 in. rear yard on Lot 11, Cliffside, southwest corner of Chelsea and Forward, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

pated______, 19_53

ne light extended to

Secretary Res. No. 7482

FORM 2145

Applies how Rederved

1020 Tot when a set of the second sec

- the set of the state of the sta
- the production of and will dependently detrimined to

- COLORED COLORED TO personance of the second second and the second se
- BREDERRY GELCETTEL BOVE. Togethy All a se to the mericulars of the contractor of the they contract the they estably to the The provide the set of the sector of

6-10-53 By Palgett Mun South Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _____6-10 Hearing date Decision Denied Date Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _6 -11 Building Inspector <u>6-15-</u> Planning Commission 6-15 Petitioner 6-11 Health Department Council Hearing, date Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Date Decision of Council **Resolution becomes effective** Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

53 By U. Bei

City Planning Department

6-1-

Application Received _

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11994</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. <u>8924</u>, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to May Wiley Petersen to construct apartment above existing two-car carport, making three units on Lot D, Block 119, Mission Beach, all three units to have 3-foot, 6-inch access court, 719 Kingston Court, Zone R-4; on condition that a two-car garage be maintained on the property at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0501 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Inter limit extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_53

By.

303

FORM 2145

" alternation and the residence presented has shown (nee Section

as on the delation description will ... Device meresimply detrimental to control and contertally affect the nealth or safety of

advancely affact in Mantre Pano of the City

IL TALED, Dy the Youing Committee of the City of San Diego.

a trend a gerrin in a lite that on the property do will alway. remainstor is he also consider the real side is not your and the boundary of some property above any state

COULER . to the particult of the should intering an they relied to the respective A veriance to the story from of successed for the follow, out is ready and the

Application Received <u>3-20-03</u> D	g. Saughuan
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-10-13</u> By	Padjett Murphy & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-10	Hearing date
Desision	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector 6 - 15-53
Planning Commission 6-11 Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 6-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12049</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924; as amended). Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H, and Julia Klaskin to construct an apartment over a two-car garage with 11 foot rear yard on lot K, Block 12, Mission Beach, 718 Asbury Court. Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

They half estended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Appropriation Magazines .

June 10.

By.

Secretary

Dated _____

Res. No. 7484

302

_ , 19_53

WHEREAS, Appart 1 non No. 13210 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of Sur Direc. California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section (Spectrostantian to. 2024) as awanded); Pro. Oode 101.0501

- 1. That if ere and , apecial circumstances or conditions applicable to the property inconstants. Involvel, a to the property in the same rape and apply generally to other property in the same rape and apply denorally to other property in the same rape and apply denorally to other property in the same rape.
- 2. That would work unnecessary burdance and that contracting of the application is necessary for the preservation and entraction is solutionial property rights of the petitioner, busessed by other property ansars on the second vielentry.
- 3. That the constraint the condication will pot materially affect the bealth or safety of performance is more or working in the neighborhood, and will pothe materially detrimental to the public sections of injections to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the standary of the veriance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Dires.

THEREFORE, DL IN RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.

In Challens In

Perminsion for every, marked to 3, and Julia Mashim to construct on construct over a toward when the 11 foot mean yerd on lots, Block 12, Marien Schon, 200 913 Marine Jourt, one -3.

A weringe to be readed of things of this add to be, and is hereby granted on the table the transformer to the transformer of the table to be the property described strands of the transformer of the table to the transformer of the table to the transformer of the table to the transformer of the table table to the transformer of the table tabl

Application Received6-8-53	By DiSouth
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{6 - 70 - \sqrt{5}}$	
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 -11	_ Building Inspector _ 6 - 15 - V3 I was an
Planning Commission 6 - 11 Petitioner	G-11 Health Department 6-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	_ Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	solution shall be will and word and whall be
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Dan. To. 708bbb

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12020</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15xofxOrdinancexNox:8924;xasxamended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Pearl H. Hamilton to erect additional bathroom on front of residence with 8-foot setback, on the southeast 10 feet of Lot 3, and all of Lot 4, Block 92, Point Loma Heights, 4518 Santa Cruze, Zone R-1; on condition that the plans are approved architecturally by the Planning Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be

revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 1953_____, 1953_____

UNIC AUGHS CRICENICO TO

HOUGH HEALING WARDS

By

FORM 2145

a to the terry borhood, and well theb the rester tally detrimental to

CARTAGE BY THE CONTRE CONMITTEE OF the City of Say Diene.

pro apara and an icker out for appracy i no più sy decorrenal.. The second to the second to the second second the second second second to the second second second second second Remarked replaced the start reprint on the same surger of he to be the set the set the AND CARTER TO IN A CONTRACT OF A DATA FOR THE PARTY AND TH

to part interiors the second of the second of the second of the monthly inder the The second and a second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

5 By & Baughn Application Received ____6 - / -City Planning Department murphy By Fadgets 6-10-53 Investigation made ____ City Planning Department Considered by Zoning Committee _6-10 Hearing date_ Date Decision appr. Building Inspector 6 - 15 - 53 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____ 6-11 Health Department 2-15 Planning Commission 6-15 Petitioner Council Hearing, date ____ Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Date Decision of Council **Resolution becomes effective** Continued to Application withdrawn Date of action Time limit extended to

MANNE HARRED FL

Harkers .

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11981</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nor 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to David T. and Phyllis R. McDonough to add a 15-foot, 6-inch by 18-foot livingroom to non-conforming residence with 3-foot side yard, on Lot 27, Block 19, Ocean Beach, 4611 Coronado Ave., Zone R-1; subject to approval of architectural plans by the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

no timit extended to

DEPENDENT OF THE PARTY OF THE P

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By

Van

Application ReceivedB	y V. Deights
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 10 - \sqrt{-3}$ B	Palyett murphy , South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6-10	Hearing date
Decision akke.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 15</u>
Planning Commission 6 -15 – Petitioner	6 - 11 Health Department 6 - 11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

The section of the section of the

CADAO .

6-120 h for 1 - 10 1 11 1 - 1 - 1 the rest of restar restar set where with 1-1000 with your Personal of the first of the state to the state a contractive to the second to a second to the dot.

through modified and it is now that a first of they relate to the realistic determines

a press of suborbood, and will date by meterially determented to

sat property rights of the petitioner, nosirged by black property

to back which is the state of t

State of the state of the state

TON VOLTON

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12040</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Woill 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. B. Colburn to construct a residence with 14-foot rear yard and an attached garage and storage room with 10-foot rear yard, on Lot 10, Griffith Heights, Valemont and Concord Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 10 , 19 53

By_

208

FORM 2145

the set of the set of the set of the set of the

- strain a nethologiand, and will __ hat he antervally dets (mentel in and present with _____ paterially afreet the Braith of phiety of
- and a mill will the adversaria, altered the matter star of the City

- SALESTON THE PARTY & STREET, DISCOULD BEARING , TOMPT PARTY the still and the star good will be a second advantation of a second star be a second and the second remaindion is a real to made to " . . Goldwen to nongthing a realization with the took
- by fine of reining we ap and shower, include a sher reining to but substants for which the A WHELETON S. THE PARTY OF A THE THERE'S CARD IN THE PARTY AND THE MERENIA WINDOW IN
- Plazo+
- Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ____ Council Hearing, date Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action

Application Received 6-4-53 By J. Baughman
Application Received <u>6-4-53</u> By <u>U. (Saughman</u> City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>6-10-1-3</u> By <u>Palgett</u> <u>Murphy + Sour</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11 Building Inspector 6-15-53
Planning Commission 6 - 1 Petitioner 6 - 11 Health Department 6 - 11

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11985</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to F. S. and Grace Conner to move in or construct a residence with 5-foot setback on both San Fernando and Jenkins, to be attached to existing residence per plans on file in Planning Office, on portion of Lot 2, Block 115, La Playa, southeast corner of San Fernando and Jenkins Streets, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the kitchen in the existing residence will be removed upon occupancy of the new building and the existing residence to be converted to hobby shop;
 - That an agreement be signed and recorded to the effect that the present residence will be used only by members of the family or guests and will never be rented. Agreement # 792 - 6-17-53

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

ICSTICL AUTOR STAN

Applete to Merested

FORM 2145

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By_
10 menore in a second sec

1"- 100'

o vertingen one on the manyhorbiod, and will made be material design to be and interior and - app - anterially affect the health of sairty of

ONTUR COMMITTEE DI THE CITA OS 284 RWIN Legit us acr the passent inter

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _ Council Hearing, date ____ Decision of Council Date Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Continued to Time limit extended to Date of action 5-GAR closed 200 F CON DOCTOR Jentins A fri a s pu CONNEY Do RANSA The standard by the of manual the set setting of and a first a start of the attained and se be any stand bearing 2/2-5-MERCOP TO C TTT I HAT 6 CLOSE A GOURN DATE 372° T TURNESS 4º and facility the fact of or you st William The a set of a set of the set of the set of the set 6 BACTIC CONTENT I & OU TODOL TO THE OF THE DESIGN many asymptotic a first in a constant 5

O/ Sau

Hearing date

Date

By___

6-10-53 By

Application Received 5-27-53

Considered by Zoning Committee ______

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 -12

Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner

consil apps. + a gree.

Investigation made ____

Decision

PERMIN MOR" IN"

+ South

6-15-

City Planning Department

City Planning Department

Building Inspector 6 - 1 - 55

6 - 12 Health Department

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>11816</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nov 8924; as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Donald E. and Virginia B. Smith to split out the Lot 67, except the westerly 174 feet, of Las Alturas Villa Sites, and erect a single family residence on this building site, between Bonita Drive and Arroyo Ave., approximately 500 feet east of Santa Isabel Drive, Zone R-1; on condition that a 5-foot easement along Bonita Drive be dedicated to the City for future street widening.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated______, 19_53

By

FORM 2145

182

AS MERSON (XCOLORING CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR) . THE CONTRACTOR SOLUTION the City and and Collinghia, and the exidence presented has shown (see Soction has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- I. That success and the property conditions applicable to the property
- the presider of the application is necessary for the preservation world hitterestary
- the relation of the set of the set of the property or improvements in the metallicher book. persons in the or continuity of the neighborhood, and will got up muterially defrictented to That the product of the production with and materially affact the bratch of action of

screment since Borils 'rive be dolle the to the Site fit for Theure of the sitesing . Bartoly 500 feet wars of couts leaded deriver same Teris on provision time of the same Fundly Ford or co we bell hall the star, babroun Mondau drive and Arrage age, storage Int 62, erest the serberts 170 feed, of Ion slourne Wills Sites, withered a sirely Territorion is herein the tot to Monthly F. and Tirvista D. South To rolth out the

(estrated stove. erunded as to the problemitry stated above, incolar as they related to the restored Treatings to the spirituation of Critishnes No. 3650 Feet Burkes, buy and is teroly

Application Received $4 - 1 - 53$ B	V. N. Roester
	City Planning Department
Investigation made $6 - 10 - 53$ B	V Cadgett murphy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _6-10	Hearing date
Decision conde appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-11	Building Inspector <u>6-15-53</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner	6 - 11 Health Department 8 - 1 J
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	10130
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

4-1-53

2. Roester

WHEREAS, Application No. <u>12018</u> has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George and Beaulah E. Broadnax to construct a duplex making three units on lots 33 & 34, Block 329, Choates Addition, two units which will be served by 5 ft. access court; 3036 Franklin St. Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 33216 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Contrating systems for

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By_

Secretary

1200-Ordinance da 2924, as menibodi. an. bode 101.0501 of the Circles in the provpo. California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section WHEREA, A. Cattom No. 1015 has been considered by the Zoning Committee

- involved, so the intersted, which do not apply generally to other property in the many appeals increastances or conditions appliesble to the property
- and enjoye it of monatorial property tights of the patitioner, possessed by other property herdelife and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation BOLK MUNECEDISTA Thurles were applied on the regulations would.
- persons include it is working in the neighborhood, and will apply be materially detrimental to 3. That the second of the application will ______ intertally affect the health of safety of
- the public model or injurious to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the a sature of the wariance will __ob__ adversely affect the Muster Plan of the City
- THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, By the Foming Committee of the City of San Diego.

be begred with the constructs of the relation to the me. Andring three "to on lot. ") a "A, Mar. "20, Chooken Addition, the units which will explanded is reached and the second of all the light to reached the construct a challer

is to the publicity of the build phone, insertion as brey rolate to but property decorlined A VIS ace to the provisio and Criticise fo. Hante Lol. Offices be, and is hereby graphed

-0010*

	· City Planning Department
nvestigation made <u>6 - 10</u>	By Padgett Sumphy South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date PAR CIAA CIAAC
Decision appr.	Date the City Clerk, miless a written ennes
Decision approved to City Clerk 6-	I Building Inspector 6 - 1 - 13
Planning Commission 6 - 11 Petition	ner 6 -11 Health Department 6 - 10-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date octive date, unless the use and or cor
Resolution becomes effective	resolution shall be mull and easid, and aball b
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Fime limit extended to	Date of action

area TILO 30"		Successives " Farme, 10. 7
Application Received	6-2-53	By U. Beights
-pp-roution recorded	and the production of the production of the product	City Planning Department
Investigation made	6 - 10	_ By Padgett muphy & South City Planning Department
9		City Planning Department

letter dated June 5, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun.Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7439, dated May 27, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

That Resolution No. 7439 is hereby RESCINDED to Paul Wolcott, Jr. to build an addition to existing residence with setback of 172 feet from front property line, Lot 10, Elock L, Plumosa Park, 2677 Jonquil Drive, Zone R-1.

The above setback suspension is no longer required as the applicant will observe the required setback of 20 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby RESCINDED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_______, 19_53

By___

Secretary

FORM 2145

227

Application ReceivedB	V
- spincation received	City Planning Department
Investigation made $\underline{6 - 10 - \sqrt{3}}$ B	y Padgett murphy & Souths City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6-10	Hearing date Date
Decision Rescinded Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-11</u>	Building Inspector 6-15-5
Planning Commission 6 - 11- Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 6-11-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

RE TO END THE ET ALL ME AS I THE TO THE THE ALL THE STATE MADE AND THE ADDRESS IN THE ADDRESS INTO ADDRESS IN THE ADDRESS IN THE ADDRESS IN THE ADDRESS IN THE ADDRESS INTO ADDRESS

the space top at the stat of an autor is the particular of the constance will be and

the restance of the second state of the second

the set much will with processiy affaut the Baster Plan of the City

the the melshined, and will be enterindly detrained to a process of the maintains affect the profits of safety of

Lot a local and a set of the set

· OAUT

The second second second second second

MIESTAR A TRANSPORT OF A TOLD

Letter Dated May 29, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7086, dated January 7, 1953 be granted to L. E. and Lottie May Westermeyer to divide into two parcels all of Lot 53 and North 25 ft. of Lot 54, Broadway Acres, 1024 43rd St., and erect two living units on each parcel, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Fund head, extended to

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Cantinued to

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 10,_____, 19_53

Secretary

Res. No. 7492

to bler labed her Sp. 1993

burs. . Api better the product of the considered by the Zoning Committee the Sine function of the Sine function of the evidence presented has shown (see Section Science Presented has shown (see Section

- 1. That is not a split of the structure of condition applicable to the property in the sum of the property in the sum of the sum of
- The state of the regilition would _______ excite margements humber is a second of the epsilontim is ______ excepted for the preservation and second second by the property lights of the petitions, passaried by other property entry.
- 3. That there are a the application will TOC materially affect the health or safety of perturbation at a section of the neighborhood, and will TO', he materially detrimental to the neighborhood.
- the put it we can be be property or improvements in the weighborhood;
- 4. That the Manter Plan of the City of the District the Manter Plan of the City
- THEREFORE (12 12 RESCLVED By the Loning Committee of the City of Sun Diego,

THE IS SECTOR OF A STATISTIC THE TROUDED STATES TO BE OF THE STATES OF T

d sa Jimury 9, 2009 of united to 1. . . . Jossia of instantions to divide anto two in 1. 1. 0. 105 "D one forth 95 th. of Tob SP, Aro-doug arous 10.00 MORE 5., and ensat to wist grants on entit Dardid, Jose 1.2.

A Marina to the relation of Optimico Ro. 35 and such a beroly around a be the property of the termination of the property of the state of t

Application Received _ 5 - 29 - 13 By	D. South
	City Planning Department
	NOWING COMMITTER
Investigation made <u>6-10-5</u> By	Padgett, muchy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision ett. appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6 - 12</u>	Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 53</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 11 - Petitioner	6-12 Health Department 6-11-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

R. 11.0. 30

BULF ME - MICH

Letter Dated June 2, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Wo. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from expiration date of Resolution No. 5569, dated May 31, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 4716, dated May 31, 1950, be granted to Mary Headington, to operate a beauty parlor, full time, on the north 30 ft. of the south 99.01 ft. of the south $\frac{1}{2}$ of Blk 226 $\frac{1}{2}$ University Heights, 3809 Richmond St. Zone R-4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The total signs visible from the outside of the building to measure not more than 8 sq. feet;
- 2. This permit to expire June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated_____June 10, ____, 19_53

By

60

Secretary

COM TON NOT LITTON

Stor 's orne parts S' Tong

the Cite is a state of the stidence pression has shown (see Section tar. Decision of the stidence pression has shown (see Section tar. Decision of the stidence pression has shown (see Section

- Then a property is the property of the property in the entry sector is a property in the entry reaction of the property in the entry reaction of the property in the entry reaction.
- 2. Dat style is a property of the reputation sould werk unnecessary includes, but on the property sights of the preliment, nearested by other property and style and state and scientify.
- 3. That the set time of the exploration will 100 meterially effect the health or watery of persise the set of a second in the neighborhood, and will 202 be materially detrimental to the red is set [are is injustions to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4 . Dist she stated of the wariance will TOS advantacty affect the Masters Flan of the City
- THEREFORE, DE PULLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the Ciry of San Diego.
- Shit in estimaton from estimation detered for of mechanics No. 5569, deted May 1, 2059, which e term a factor of 171, clear For 1, 1,50, be cruted to hery destinger, to elect us a beauty priter, sull the, e, or the terms To 75, of the meater 99.03 th, of the following of fullowing a fullowing the terms of the following the following states.
- The bob location with to look the catillate of the bailthing to recourt the role.
 then S = . fort;
 This could to contro fund 10, 1955.
- A verifice to the provisions of Greinsnee No. 19960 be, and is morely compared as to the perticulary of and above, incolar of they relate to the property described

Investigation made By	Padgett murphy & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _6 - 10	Hearing date
Decision ext. appr.	Date
Decision ett. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u>	Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 53</u>
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner	6-12 Health Department 6-15-
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	THE REAL PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By mar

6-3-53

Juccel STITE TI

Application Received _

STOOLS*

Witte WOY States

City Planning Department

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- 1. That there are _____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 5630, dated June 27, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 4281, dated November 16, 1949, which extended Resolution No. 2670, dated Nov. 19. 1947, which extended Resolution No. 1206, dated Nov. 23, 1945, which extended Resolution No. 404, dated Sept. 16, 1943, which extended Resolution No. 75339, dated Oct. 14, 1941, be granted to Iula V. McDermand to continue operation of a beauty shop in residence at 2414 Island Ave., Lots 27 and 28, Block 9, L. W. Kimball's Subd., provided that no signs are erected on the premises and no change made in the exterior appearance of the residence. This permit to expire June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

Date of action

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By_

.70

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

June 10 , 19 53 Dated___

one purit extended to

In Cash and the break

Secretary Res. No. 7494

FORM 2145

- special circulations of conditions multipuble to the property
- BOLE DEBACASTAL
- the tenter is property rights of the partitioner, personally ather property
- They are not the posterior will materially affect the health of antery of

- The function is a set of the problem of the problem of a part of a part of the residence of 1967 - phiston sector for a product of the line inter 1999 (2010) 1995. " the sector of the sector for No. 1272, Article Cover ber 1: 20-0, outed a bound Konstation of 1810, 4-180 The 19, 1 whet an entered pite out the first of the second per dume of 1992. Ontob setably the timbles

and the second rear the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

along the state of the plant was they will be so the monthly though the shows we share the the start of the second se and the second s

Application Received	By Mail
	City Planning Department
Investigation made	By Padgett Murphy & South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee	Hearing date
Decision urr. appr.	Date
Decision ext. afefr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u>	_ Building Inspector 6 - 1V - V 3
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petitioner	Building Inspector <u>6 - 14 - 53</u> G-12 Health Department 8 - 14
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	- Martin - Ma
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

ment in the 3.5

Letter Dated May 28, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. ______ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will **<u>not</u>** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension from expiration date of Resolution No. 5607, dated June 13, 1951 which extended Resolution No. 4730; dated June 14, 1950, which extended Resolution No. 4122; dated September 7; 1949; which extended Resolution No. 3074, dated April 21, 1948; be granted to Margaret Campbell Estates, Lillie 1. Wallace, operator, to operate a child care registry (baby sitting business) in her residence at 3681 Ray Street, Lots 4 and 5, Block H, McFadden and Buxton's Add. subject to the following conditions:

No signs to be posted on the premises;
 No advertising of the address;
 This permit to be for a period of two years, to expire on June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 10, , 19_53

62

Secretary

1,51 F Frod Bry 20, 1973

The second reaction is a state of the countrie doff in the work in the 3.2.46503.

- being and the state of toos the state of the state of the back of the state of the second of th I have the second
- and contrast, an experimental property sights of the petitioner, pusqueed by other property bur white and that has reacting of the application is _____ measured for the preservation
- oursely restricted a solition of the neighborhood, and will rot be materially detranged to That the provide of the sign contine will lick materially affect the health of zelety of
- 4. That the growing states wellingth will lot adversely affect the Master Flag of the City

unphone to she follo the constituent: residence of the provest, house a sur 5, aloos a, wardden and server's server. April 21, 1000, 10 officer 10 here not homeould purched, Billin 1, sellec, To. 4122, deand to br her ?, 1969, which estoneed becaution to. 90%, dated which enterded recolution to. 1970, dened Jero M., 1950, which a busce letolick a whit me training from expiration who of Accolution for 56 7, acted Ward 13, 1911

- 11 To citrat to be posted on the scentiser;
- NO COLOLITIC OL PUD DIDIONO

day your jo'

- Sum Main hermit to be for a periol of the years, to contra of June 36, 1923.
- the perturbations record above, incolor of they return to the property concerted crunted and to the perticulary stars, shore, involve of they scince to " V TIMIS to the revisions of Crearing de, 12220 be, and in here'y

Application Received B	V Mart
- FFinderichi Iteoeting	City Planning Department
	ON THE CONSTRUCT
Investigation made <u>6-10-5</u> B	Valact murphy
8	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee 6-10	Hearing date
Decision Ext. appr.	Date
Decision Ext. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u>	Building Inspector 6-15-5
Planning Commission 6-15 Petitioner	6 - 12 Health Department 8 - 1 1
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action
SDOAG*	

pter , Cr ison

letter dated May 27, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code 101.0501)

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 6872, dated Oct. 1, 1952, be granted to Roger B. and Thelma V. Nutter to operate electric household appliance repair business in garage at 5759 Market Street, the East 30 feet of Lot 23 and the West 20 feet of Lot 24, Victory Manor, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there will be no employees;
- 2. That there will be no advertising and no signs;
- 3. That 90 percent of the work to be performed in the homes of the
- customers;
- 4. This permit to expire June 30, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated June 10 , 1953

164

Secretary Res. No. 7496

has been considered by the Zaping Committee a production of the relation producted has shown (see Section a production (see Sec

a subject of the mailing model, and will new be obtained by data manipul to a minute a will the minute shill without the mealth or salety of

see a conversion will de odversely affect the Master Plan of the City

and the second a prior so on when along the bank with a sublet of the second of the second of appending and a particle because for the state of the sta

are stated and the state of the particular the state and the state The 252 maint shows the the best of the sol the sole of the sole o

the start part of the second starts and the second . i s sunte sign in the constructed

and Planning

the third and the second dealer that there have

to the periloging of their stoke, involution of they prints do the property forget of the A ANASISCO PI STO CLOASEFULD IN ON EMODERAN . MIC THE LES SHELLS HAVE NOT THE STO THE STO THE STO THE STO THE STO

Considered by Zoning Committee ______ Decision Eff. appr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _____6-Planning Commission 6-15 Petitio Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Decision of Council Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to Date of action

Investigation made ______

Application Received 5-29-53 By Mail

	City Flammig Department
-	Ba i so il litel
B	y Padgett, murphy + South City Planning Department
27.23	City Planning Department
0	Hearing date
117	Date
11	Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 5</u> 6 - 11 Health Department 8 - 15
ner	6 - 11 Health Department 8 - 15
	Council Hearing, date
a line	Date
	Continued to

AND THE PARTY AND

-

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance Nos 8924, cas amended): Mun. Code 101.0501

- 1. That there are ____ ____special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of 6 months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7017, dated Nov. 28, 1952, be granted to Safeway Stores, Inc., purchaser, to build and operate a parking lot with zero setback on Ohio St., Lots 33 thru 44, Block 155, University Heights, west side of Whio St., between Howard and Polk Aves., Zone R-4, to be used in connection with Safeway Store fronting on 30th, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the entire parking lot to be paved;
- That a 5 ft. wall be constructed on the north and south ends of the parking lot 2. to within 15 ft. of the property line on Ohio St. and continue with 30 in. high masonry wall to the front property line on Ohio and extending along Ohio, with the exception of the entrances and exits, as shown on plat approved by traffic engineer:
- 3. That all ingress and egress openings, both on Ohio and 30th, to be approved by the traffic engineer:
- That the parking lot in the R-4 zone not to be open later than 10 p.m. and all 4. lights to be out at that time;
- Appropriate landscaping to be installed and maintained in good condition at 5. all times on Ohio St. side of the 30 in. high wall area.

A variance to the provisions of Mpm. Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ June 10 _____, 19 53

By_

77

Application Received $6 - 1 - 5$	By Marl
-FF	City Planning Department
	SOMING COMMILLS
Investigation made6-10 - 53	By Palgett muchy & South City Planning Department
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee6 -10	
Decision Ext. appr.	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6-12	Building Inspector 6 - 15 - 5
Planning Commission 6 - 15 Petition	
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	
Decision of Council	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

Approved to leadenoide to be laterally on the real relation to the orner that at

That all intrana and entress apartons, but in this out Just, so be morepool

They the confident lob is the for the nort of the open lotter then it of the state and

the excertation of the entrangen and extent of shows on east burnered as exactly scenary wall to the front property line on this and mutualing class this will to within if it of the wroners, line on white of an construit with start with

that a 5 ft. (all on purchased on the north of grath which of the purchase int

INERSTORE, PL IT RESOLVED, By the Toning Committee of the City of San Diego.

empland lot with north with do no wile the , wild of they am flow 155, deterministry-inductor , while of Shile 15 , between Ferrick and told aver , were . We are the part in synthetic .

- 4 . That the soundary of the variance will moth , shoresly affect the Master Pinn of the City
- the put to multime of injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood. persons is solver or weeking in the neighborhood, and will, gut be materially detrimentation

- and succession is substantial property sights of the petitioner, publicated by other property best out and the standard of the application is _____ pressure for the preservation

reserved world the new introducts which do not apply generally to other property in the same

- HOV, N., 1952. be presided to address follow, loss, supported to build and exclude a

with suffering there from an sold on sold on the following considered

That the estimation rewards lat to be marked

it has be be out as that they

by the transitio and there

OMA DOWN

2.00

•

3.

.

Terrar.

much an extension of A meetin from the contration form of Seven within the Perty defend

The state of the second

WHEREAS, Applied Nume 1, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 6697, dated August 6, 1952, be granted to H. G. Fenton Material Company to continue operation of rock crushing operations and shallow excavation in Chollas Valley, east of 35th Street, according to legal description filed with Resolution No. 2174 in Planning Office, to terminate December 31, 1953, at which time the rock crushing plant will be removed from the premises; and to permit concrete mixing plant to be operated, with concrete materials hauled in from other locations, to be terminated December 31, 1954, at which time all holes will be filled, the area left in clean condition, and an adequate channel will be provided.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 12795 and 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Application withdrawn Lines limit extended to Date of action

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 19_53

By

Mill and the been considered by the Zoning Committee the City of the second of t

- One conditions applicable to the property of conditions applicable to the property.
 One conditions applied a provided which do not apply generally the other property in the same second applied and the property of the same second apply a property.
- 2. It is not that the transmitted and would ______ necessary for the preservation and mecessary for the preservation a
- 1. That has treating of the application will not materially affect the bealth of safety of persons for study of writing in the neighborhood, and will 365, be materially detrimental to the public material or input sum to the property or improvements in the detaphochood.
- 4. Der die granning withe warinnes will auf antwerzeis affent the Master Plan of the City
- of part press.
- THEREFORE, P. IT PESULYET, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego.
- but the externation by now infine the flow on the statistical infinition of the infinition of the flow of the infinition of the infin
- a section to the provisions of contactness in 13995 but by Non Section the to the duration of the duration of the duration of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the duration of the duration

Investigation made <u>$6 = 70 - \sqrt{3}$</u> B	y Padgett Murphy + South City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee $6-70$ Decision Conde approvement of City Clerk $6-72$ Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $6-72$ Planning Commission $6-74^{-12}$ Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Decision of Council	Hearing date Date Building Inspector <u>6 - 15 - 55</u> 6 - 12 Health Department 6 - 15
Resolution becomes effective	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By Mai

Application Received 6 - 2 - 2

skert the maker

City Planning Department

RESOLUTION NO. 7499

Letter dated June 4, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended). Mun. Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension to Resolution No. 6712, dated August 20, 1952, be granted to Daley Corp., owner, and Hope Williams, lessee, to maintain existing real estate office on Lot 35, Block 62, University Heights, 4450 Boundary St., Zone R-4; on the following conditions:

1. That the existing sign in window be permitted;

2. That this permit be for a period ending June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

76

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Secretary

Dated ______, 19 53

Res. No.

7499

FORM 2145

WESCHILLON NO. 14 M

E.G. Control Dates & The B

- A charter of the second of the property of the property control of the property in the second s
- The first spectrum of the regulations would have been used that the gradient of the application is _______necessary for the preservation and an event of a description till property signs of the petitioner, possessed by other property serves of the seven of a and victoria.
- F and the product of the application solls, noticely after hally after the basith of safety of property of the probability detrivents in the relevant to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.
- d. Lor the printing of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City

THERCHORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Noning Committee of the City of San Dieno.

it is the four to for out it of a life of a unit 20, 1052, he runt is to life of it, other, while a life is its in the interisting rock, eit to a in on rot of lock 22, first size with the its outdong the shore rei; of the follower cordition:

34 When the estadion of a in the birds in remaining the set in the state of the set o

Deres Ause Tit

Gardanas, ad above.

Application Received _6 - 8 - 5

A very wirk now to the provisions of Cr instead o. 13000 be, end is heredy frombed gradients in the protection of the pr

	City Flamming Department
Investigation made $6 - 10 - \sqrt{3}$ By	Padgett, Murphy + South
	City Planning Department
Considered by Zoning Committee _ 6 - 10	Hearing date
Decision Est. appr.	Date Contraction of the statistic of shows
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6 - 11	Building Inspector 6 / V - V -
Planning Commission 6 - 1 Petitioner	6-11 Health Department 6-15
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council	Date Date dit of my ease the ase succes con
Resolution becomes effective	Lation shall be ault and verify and shall b
Application withdrawn	Continued to
Time limit extended to	Date of action

By mail

100* 30* Mail

City Planning Department

Letter Dated June 4, 1953

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Mun. Code No. 101.0501

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
- 2. That strict application of the regulations would ______ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ______ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
- 3. That the granting of the application will <u>not</u> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <u>not</u> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
- 4. That the granting of the variance will <u>not</u> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7075, dated January 7, 1953 be granted to Burnett Furniture Co. to build and operate a 3 story funriture warehouse (ground floor for parking) and parking lot, on Lots 7 & 8, Block 6, Crittenden's Subdivision, and Lot 9, Block 6 of Tract 1375, 225 ft. South of University Ave., East side of 7th Ave., Zone E-C; on condition that the building conforms architecturally with the existing building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3285 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

By

ZONING COMMITTEE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated____June 1.0, , 19_53

Secretary

Jacob Mabel June P. 1933

has been considered by the Zohing Committee 110 11 of Smilling and the evidence presented has shown (not Section 1210 (note: Milling and included): 4. once 0. 101.501

structure of the the new totanded, which do not apply generally to other property to the semi-

work unnecessary is the spatial and the reputations would necessary for the preservation is substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property is substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property

define the restrict of the application will rob materially affect the health or safety at percent eventually detrimental to percent eventually detrimental to

the miller collars of injurious to the property of improvements in the neighborhood.

d. Thei Des graderies of the variance will 105 adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of See Diego. -

THERETORE, DE IT LESOLYZD. By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego

Chub en o ten la of six southr, fron the collection date at supplituding fo. 7025,

onthe state before subling is , in let , ilost 6 of most live, our fit, on he state rite we is the live is the state of a subling description that the

Astel Jonnary 1, 1953 be proched to Surnate Surnithane To. to Build and complete a Distant fundation services (1964,1 Clore des percise) and subling Job, en Lote ?

A v rinnes to the sportsions of freincrud No. 3255 haw existing and is hereby preside up to the printicalize stated shore, income as that related the property decoriori above.

Investigation made <u>6-10-57</u> By Considered by Zoning Committee <u>6-10</u>	Flearing date
Decision and appril Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>6-12</u> Planning Commission <u>6-15</u> Petitioner Appeal filed with City Clerk, date	Date Building Inspector <u>6-15-53</u> 6-12 -53 Health Department 6-15- Council Hearing, date
Resolution becomes effective Application withdrawn Time limit extended to	Continued to Date of action

By

9710.70*

Application Received _ 6 - 8 - 1-3

00* 10* 1200

City Planning Department