RESOLUTIONS

7901

TO

8100

San Diego City Planning Department

R E S O L U T I O N S

Nos. 7901 - 8100

Intentionally
Left Blank

City of San Diego

RESOLUTION NO. 7901

WHEREAS, Application No. 12489 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to John M. Spurlock to construct a metal storage and office building on Lots 26 thru 33, Block 2, Vernon Park, and to operate an auto wrecking yard on said lots, at Greenwood and Banks, M-1 Zone.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7901

Application Received 11-15-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By Smith & J.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7902

WHEREAS, Application No. 12485 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section* ~~*15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*~~: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. T. Jr. and Nellie D. Harrod to maintain a 4-foot high fence in the setback area on Lot 37, of Redwood Village Unit No. 1, 5844 Redwood Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7902

Application Received 11-12-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South + Z.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-25 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7903

WHEREAS, Application No. 12564 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section ~~15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard E. and Marie A. McFarland to construct a bedroom and living room addition to an existing residence, the addition to have an 18-inch side yard at rear corner of residence; on portion of Lot 7, except the south 18 inches of the east 70 feet, Block G, Oak Park, located on the east side of Winona between University Ave. and Wightman St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7903

100

Application Received 11-18-53 By V. Beight
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-20-53 By South & G.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7904

WHEREAS, Application No. 12551 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mathew O. Norris to construct a retaining block wall, 3 ft., 4 in. to 4 ft., 7 in. high, with 3 ft. chain link fence on top in front of the setback line on Dakota Street, on Lot 875, of Clairemont Unit No. 5, at 3377 Cheyenne, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7904

421

Application Received 11-16-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South, Padgett, Whelan & Salik
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7905

WHEREAS, Application No. 12528 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (*see Section 15* ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~): Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Clara and Mary Romano to erect a concrete block wall along the north property line, in the setback area, to a maximum of 5 feet, on a portion of Lot 9, Block 11, Normal Heights, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, at 3315 North Mountain View Drive, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7905

FORM 2145

80

Application Received 11-17-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7906

WHEREAS, Application No. 12309 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas S. Whitelock to construct an addition to doctor's office with 4-1/2 ft. setback, on Lot I, Block 384, Horton's Addition, 3232 Fourth Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7906

FORM 2145

Application Received 11-12-53 By V. Beight
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South + Z.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7907

WHEREAS, Application No. 12476 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section* ~~15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)~~: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. Thomas S. Whitelock to construct a carport addition to existing doctor's office with zero side yard on the carport, on Lot I, Block 384, Horton's Addition, 3232 Fourth Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7907

19

Application Received 11-15-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South & G.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7908

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ letter dated Nov. 12, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7421, dated May 27, 1953, be granted to John and Alice Ward to construct a dwelling unit in rear of an existing residence, making a total of two units on the parcel, being Lots 11 and 12, Block 87, Middletown Addition, 3892 Pringle Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12990 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7908

8

pplication Received 11-16-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

nvestigation made 11-25-53 By South & Z.C.
City Planning Department

onsidered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
ecision app. Date
opy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
lanning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
ppeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
ecision of Council Date
esolution becomes effective
pplication withdrawn Continued to
ime limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7909

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Request of Nov. 25, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7693, dated August 19, 1953, which amended Resolution No. 7231, dated March 4, 1953, be amended as to Item No. 9 only, and to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the City of San Diego, owner, and Marian Fessler Purdy and Lila Witcher, lessees, operating the De Anza Trailer Harbor, to erect and operate an 885-unit trailer park on portions of Pueblo Lot 1798 and 1208 and Tidelands of Mission Bay; the amended Item 9 to read as follows:

9. That the fence, hedge, and all details of trailer spaces, roadways, utility buildings, lighting, and landscaping for the easterly 78 trailer spaces of Unit No. 1 be completed before any spaces be occupied; occupancy will then be permitted only after written approval is granted by the City Health, Building, and Planning Departments. Similar limitations as to occupancy will be required on construction of additional portions of the park.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7909

FORM 2145

P. 418

Application Received 11-25-53 By Mr. Rick
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South, Padgett, Whelan & Salik
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision as per. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 7910

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ request of Nov. 25, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That Resolution No. 7694, dated Aug. 19, 1953, which amended Resolution No. 7232, dated March 4, 1953, be amended as to Item No. 9, only

That the following described property, Lot Pots of P.L. 1798 & 1208 & Block Tidelands of Mission Bay

Subdivision .....

City of San Diego, owner, & Marian Fessler Purdy and Lila Witcher, lessees, operators of De Anza Trailer Harbor,

may be used for the erection and operation of 885-unit trailer park

subject to the following conditions Paragraph 9 to read as follows:

9. That the fence, hedge, and all details of trailer spaces, roadways, utility buildings, lighting, and landscaping for the easterly 78 trailer spaces of Unit No. 1 be completed before any spaces be occupied; occupancy will then be permitted only after written approval is granted by the City Health, Building, and Planning Departments. Similar limitations as to occupancy will be required on construction of additional portions of the park.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated November 25 194x 53

By ..... Secretary

Res. No. 7910

2144

P 418

Application Received 11-25-53 By Mr. Rich
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South & B.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25-53 Hearing date

Decision Appr. Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53

Planning Commission 11-30-53 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7911

WHEREAS, Application No. 12553 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry D. Trounce, owner, and J. E. and Marvell D. Stickney, purchasers, to erect a single family residence with 6-foot setback on Talbot Street and 7-foot setback on Martinez Street, on Lots 1 thru 5, Block 6, Golden Park, to be located on the southerly side of Talbot Street, easterly of the intersection of Martinez Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7911

Application Received 11-19-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South, Padgett, Whelan & Salik
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30-53 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7912

WHEREAS, Application No. 12379 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. G. Winn and Edward J. and Meta B. Pickering to split Lot 36, Block 12, Tres Lomas, into three parcels and construct a single family residence on each parcel, on the northeasterly side of Calle Aguadulce, 125 feet south of Calle Tocon, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 118 New Series, be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

appeal was made but motion to ~~[illegible]~~ overrule the Z.C. was lost so no resolution was needed. 1/14/54 3 to 4 vote ya. nea

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 3, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7912

Application Received 11-18-53 By B. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 & 12-2-53 By South + Z.C. Planning
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 12-2 Hearing date

Decision Denied Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-3 Building Inspector 12-3-53

Planning Commission 12-3 Petitioner 12-3-53 Health Department 12-3-53

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

7913 Not used

RESOLUTION NO. 7914

WHEREAS, Application No. 12566 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan C. and Ethel M. Kamplain, owners, and Robert Burns, purchaser, to erect a lath house and operate wholesale and retail nursery business on portions of Pueblo Lots 1122 and 1123, which legal description is on file in the Planning Office, at 3428 Curlew St., Zones R-2 and R-4; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That the plans for the lath house be approved by the Planning Office;**
2. **That one 2 ft. by 4 ft. sign, or two 1 ft. by 3 ft. signs, be permitted according to plans approved by the Planning Office, not to be neon;**
3. **That there will be no sale of fertilizer, and all fertilizer used in the business be kept in bags;**
4. **That empty containers and planting materials to be stored a minimum of seventy (70) feet back of the street frontage.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

filed 12/11/53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7914

Application Received 11-23-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South + Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-11 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-11 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7915

WHEREAS, Application No. 12593 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Mae Brownlee to construct 40-unit apartment building, the rear units to be served by a 10-foot access court, on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 10, Bayview Homestead, northeast corner of Seventh and Beech Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res No 7915

26

Application Received 12-7-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7916

WHEREAS, Application No. 12554 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Municipal Code No. 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Mae Brownlee to construct 40-unit apartment with portion of building having 10-foot setback on Seventh Ave., on Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 10, Bayview Homestead, northeast corner of 7th and Beech Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7916

Application Received 12-7-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South + Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7917

WHEREAS, Application No. 12600 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Lloyd G. and Mary Belle Payne to make interior alterations and convert to apartment, the building having a non-conforming 2-foot side yard, on Lot C, Block 5, Horton's, east side of Ninth between A and B Sts., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7917

25

Application Received 12-7-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7918

WHEREAS, Application No. 12542 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard and Florence Bess Lynch to construct an addition to an existing garage with apartment above and convert garage to practitioner's office and one apartment, making three units on the lot, two of which will have 4-foot, 10-inch access court to street; on Lots 25 and 26, Block 299, Pacific Beach, at 1160 Pacific Beach Drive, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7918

Application Received 11-13-53 By J. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South, Padgett, Whelan & Sahlt
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30-53 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7919

WHEREAS, Application No. 12547 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Howard A. and Martha Williams to construct a duplex with 7-foot setback on San Luis Obispo, on Lots F and G, Block 128, Mission Beach, at San Luis Obispo and Bayside Lane, in Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7919

Application Received 11-16-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South, Padgett, Whelan & Sahlk
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision after. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7920

WHEREAS, Application No. 12582 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8024, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Leonard B. and Marie W. West to convert a duplex to triplex with 2-foot side yard, 7-foot rear yard and 7-foot access court, on the west half of Lots 5 and 6, Block 24, Culverwell & Taggart, 1115 - 19th Street, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1973 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7920

27

Application Received 12-1-53 By Van Hese
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South + Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7921

WHEREAS, Application No. 12590 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jasper A. Davis to construct a single family residence, making eight units on Lots 44, 45 and 46, Block 331, Choates Addition, the new unit to have an 8-foot access court, at 3080-88 Webster Ave., Zone R-4; subject to the following condition:

1. That the posts on the existing porch now in the 8-foot access court be removed;

2. That five paved off-street parking spaces be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7921

38

Application Received 12-3-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. cond'l Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7922

WHEREAS, Application No. 12598 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. L. Kathol, owner, and Ned Holmes, lessee, to erect a neon and painted letter sign on each side of an existing pylon above a store building, and one directional sign, 2 ft. by 4 ft., to be located as shown on plans submitted and on file in the Planning Office; Lot 144, National Vista, 5402 Division St., Zone R-C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 95.0104 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

do not ~~&~~ permit for above until Plans are on file as indicated above.
2th.
12-11-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7922

FORM 2145

182

Application Received 12-7-53 By Van Alice
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South + Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7923

WHEREAS, Application No. 12539 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ronald G. Ross to construct a 12-foot by 16-foot den and darkroom addition to an existing residence having an 8-inch side yard, the addition to have 14-foot rear yard and the required 4-foot side yard; on Lot 9, Block 248, Roseville, at 3121 Dumas, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7923

225

Application Received 11-20-53 By V. Beight
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South + Z.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision as per. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7924

WHEREAS, Application No. 12499 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna K. Clark and Louise M. Brown, owners, and Floyd Davidson, lessee, to operate a retail poultry house, including slaughtering and cleaning, on the westerly 50 feet of Lots 42, 43 and 44, Block 11, University Heights, on the southeast corner of 30th and Adams, Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That the dwelling now being used for sleeping quarters within twenty (20) feet of the chicken pens will be eliminated;**

2. **That live chickens are to be kept out of the room now being used as a killing room;**

3. **That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1958.**

4. That all requirements of the Health Dept. be complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7924

75

Application Received 11-24-53 By S. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision could appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7925

WHEREAS, Application No. 12473 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Richfield Oil Corporation to erect a sign on a pole in the setback area, on Lots 1 and 2, Block 279, Middletown Addition, on the southeast corner of Juniper and Pacific Highway, in Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That any structure out beyond the setback line will be removed at the owner's expense and at no cost to the City, when the City requests it for street widening purposes;**

2. **That an agreement to this effect be signed and made of record.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the porperty described above.

agree. 798 – filed 11-25-53

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated November 25, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7925

3

Application Received 11-18-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 11-25-53 By South & Z.C.
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-25 Hearing date
Decision council Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 11-27 Building Inspector 11-30-53
Planning Commission 11-30 Petitioner 11-27 Health Department 11-30-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7925 A

WHEREAS, Application No. 12585 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Municipal Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John H. and Mary M. Dettlaff to construct a 15' x 32' garage with zero setback on Springfield Street, being on the northwest 65 feet of Lot 12, Del Norte Addition, southerly corner of Paradise and Springfield Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res No. 7925 A

Application Received 12-4-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7926

WHEREAS, Application No. 12568 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harold D. and Mary K. Ramos to erect a garage on the East 27 feet of Lot 32, Euclid Manor, to be used in conjunction with the single family residence on Lot 8, Block 20, Valencia Park Unit No. 2, 5226 La Paz, Zone R-1; on condition that these two pieces of property be kept in one ownership.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3660 New Sieries, be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7926

FORM 2145

182

Application Received 12-4-53 By V. Brighta
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7927

WHEREAS, Application No. 12595 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section* ~~*15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended*~~*)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Angie and Elton Johnson to construct a 21-unit apartment building with 5-foot setback on 47th Street, on Lot 35, Granada Tract, 4487 - 47th Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7927

FORM 2145

94

Application Received 12-4-53 By B. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7928

WHEREAS, Application No. 101.0601 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manuel and Isabel Duran to add a bathroom to a single family residence to replace an existing bathroom, the building having a 7-foot rear yard; on the easterly 45 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Block 82, City Heights, 3719 Landis Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7928

85

Application Received 12-7-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7929

WHEREAS, Application No. 12586 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~): Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to San Diego County Medical Society, purchaser, and Joe M. Dunlevy, guardian of Gertrude N. Miller Estate, owner, to erect an addition to an existing building and operate as headquarters for the County Medical Society, including doctors' switchboard, collection service, nurses' registry, credit reference department, employment service; with zero rear yard and 61.7 percent coverage, on Lot 1 and north 34 ft. of Lot 2, Block 6, Loma Grande, 3427 Fourth Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 and Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7929

Application Received 12-3-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7930

WHEREAS, Application No. 12587 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the San Diego County Medical Society, purchaser, and Joe H. Dunlevy, guardian of Gertrude N. Miller Estate, owner, to erect an addition to an existing building to be used as headquarters for the San Diego Medical Society, including doctors' switchboard, collection service, nurses' registry, credit reference department, employment service; the addition to observe 10-foot, 8-inch setback on Fourth Ave.; on Lot 1 and north 34 ft. of Lot 2, Block 6, Loma Grande, 3427 Fourth Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7930

17

Application Received 12-3-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision - app. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7931

WHEREAS, Application No. 12497 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ___not___ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ___not___ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ___not___ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. George L. Kilgore, owner, and Eleanor C. Anderson, lessee, to operate an ophthalmic laboratory on Lots E and F, Block 388, Horton's Addition, 3315 Fourth Avenue, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7931

Application Received 11-25-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-10 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7932

WHEREAS, Application No. 12612 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. A. Wright to replace a portion of an existing neon sign on building with 12.ft. 6 in. setback, according to plans submitted and on file in the Planning Office, on Lots 1 thru 4, Block 234, Middletown, at 4025 Pacific Highway, Zone M-1; on condition that an agreement be signed and recorded to the effect that when the street is widened all structure will be moved back to the established setback line at the owner's expense and at no expense to the City. This agreement to supersede Agreement No. 361, dated June 4, 1945.

A variance to the provisions of Ordiance No. 401 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Agreement #799
1-22-54
WH

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7932

FORM 2145

7

Application Received 12-18-53 By G. Tack
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7933

WHEREAS, Application No. 11984 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. H. and Helen La Galle to erect a glass panel wall 42 inches high on top of an existing free standing wall 46 inches high and retaining wall 36 inches high, making a total overall height of 8 feet, 10 inches above the average adjacent ground level, on Lot 20, Block 6, Sunset Cliffs, 4504 Tivoli St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7933

FORM 2145

211

Application Received 12-7-53 By V. Bright
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7934

WHEREAS, Application No. 12589 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 3924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lois Angier to construct 7-foot high block wall for a retaining wall, at the southwest corner of Lot 4, Block 3, La Jolla Beach, at 7404 Monte Vista, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7934

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-4-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7935

WHEREAS, Application No. 12575 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert H. Van Kirk to construct a single family residence with zero setback on a portion of Lot 19, La Jolla Hills, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, on Boulevard Place, 290 ft. south of Torrey Pines Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7935

351 A

Application Received 12-3-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision Appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7936

WHEREAS, Application No. 12577 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hiram R. and Pauline S. Taylor to construct a rumpus room, bath, lanai and dark room addition to an existing residence with 11-foot rear yard and 98 sq. ft. overcovered, on Lot 8, Block 230, Roseville, 3511 Browning, Zone R-1; on condition that an agreement be signed and made of record to the effect that said addition will be used only by the immediate family or guests and will not be rented. A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0501 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7936

FORM 2145

225

Application Received 12-3-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7937

WHEREAS, Application No. 12592 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. G. Boughton and A. S. Freedman, Jr., to erect a single family residence with 3-foot rear yard on the north 212 ft. of Lot 18, Block 6, Golden Park, on the southerly corner of Talbot and Bessemer Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office
of City Clerk

DEC 22 1953

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 5 DAYS after the above date.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7937

Application Received 12-3-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-22 Building Inspector 12-22-53
Planning Commission 12-22 Petitioner 12-22 Health Department 12-22-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7938

Letter dated Dec. 7, 1953

WHEREAS, A~~pplication No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7481, dated June 10, 1953, be granted to F. C. and Helma Gillett to erect a dining room, coffee shop and cocktail lounge, approximately 2800 sq. ft. in area, 60 ft. by 100 ft. outside dimensions, and a second floor addition of 700 sq. ft. to be used as a banquet room, on Lots 25 thru 30, Block 5, Stephen's Addition, on Pacific Highway between Glendora & Rosewood Streets, Zone R-4; also an 18-inch by 20-foot neon sign, to be approved by the Planning Office; subject to the following condition:

> That the cocktail lounge be restricted to an area one-third or less of the dining room area on the first floor; no cabaret or dine and dance licenses to be permitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7938

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-7-53 By T. South
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision agpr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7939

WHEREAS, A~~pplication~~ Letter dated Dec. 3, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)~~;* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7879, dated November 13, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George J. and Donna Lee Leach to construct an approximate 16 ft. by 22 ft. garage addition to residence, garage to have approximately 3-foot sideyard, on Lot 3, Dixon's Sub. No. 3, northeasterly corner of Warrington and Dixon Place, Zone R-1; on condition that the eaves will not extend more than one foot into the side yard, and final plans to be approved by the Planning Department.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res No. 7939

214

Application Received 12-7-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7940

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ Card dated 11-24-53 _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of ninety (90) days from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7386 dated May 13, 1953, be granted to Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct a duplex with 4-foot rear yard on Lots 15 thru 19, Block 23, San Diego Property Union, 33rd and Elm Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7940

FORM 2145

56

Application Received 11-24-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South + Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-14 Building Inspector 12-14-53
Planning Commission 12-14 Petitioner 12-14 Health Department 12-14-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7941

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ card dated 11-24-53 _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of ninety (90) days from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7387 dated May 13, 1953, be granted to Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct a duplex with 4-foot rear yard on Lots 20 thru 24, Block 23, San Diego Property Union, 33rd and Elm Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7941

6-6

Application Received 11-24-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Smith & Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 11-9 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-14 Building Inspector 12-14-53
Planning Commission 12-14 Petitioner 12-14 Health Department 12-14
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION No. 116359

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The appeal of Sidney L. Davis and Elinore N. Davis, owners, and Lawrence O'Connell and Raymond O'Connell, purchasers, from the decision of the Zoning Committee's Resolutions Nos. 7942 and 7943 denying permission to erect and operate a trailer park of 150 units on portion of Pueblo Lot 264, and proposed Tecolote Valley Road, at the corner of Knoxville Street and Morena Boulevard, in Zones C and R-1, be, and it is hereby sustained, upon conditions and regulations to be prepared and presented by the Planning Director in one week for Council approval; overruling and denying said Zoning Committee's decision.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 116359 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 21, 1954

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

272

By HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy.

FORM 1270

RESOLUTION No. 122330

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The recommendation of the City Planning Department, contained in ocument No. 504810 relative to zone variance and property use permits rented under Resolution No. 116359 and No. 116460, to Sidney L. Davis nd Elinora N. Davis, Owners, and Lawrence O'Connell and Raymond O'Connell urchasers, to erect and operate a 150-unit trailer park on that portion f Pueblo Lot 264 bounded on the southwest by Morena Boulevard; on the orthwest by the proposed Tecolote Creek Channel; on the northeast by a line parallel with and 100 feet southwest of the northeast line of Pueblo Lot 264; and on the southeast by the proposed Tecolote Valley Freeway; being approximately 263.8 feet by 881.35 feet, in Zones C and R-1, be, and it is hereby adopted. Said permission is extended for a period of one year from July 1, 1955 upon the same conditions as set forth in the above mentioned resolutions.

Approved as to form by: J. F. DU PAUL, City Attorney

By_____
Deputy City Attorney

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 122330 f the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 25, 1955

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.

0

RESOLUTION No. 118904

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The recommendation of the City Planning Department, contained in Document No. 492881 relative to zone variance and property use permits granted under Resolution 116359 and 116460, to Sidney L. Davis and Elinore N. Davis, Owners, and Lawrence O'Connell and Raymond O'Connell purchasers, to erect and operate a 150-unit trailer park on that portion of Pueblo Lot 264 bounded on the southwest by Morena Boulevard; on the northwest by the proposed Tecolote Creek Channel; on the northeast by a line parallel with and 100 feet southwest of the northeast line of Pueblo Lot 264; and on the southeast by the proposed Tecolote Valley Freeway; being approximately 263.8 feet by 881.35 feet, in Zones C and R-1, be, and it is hereby adopted. Said permission is extended for a period of one year from date of this resolution upon the same conditions as set forth in the above mentioned resolutions.

Res 7942 + 7943

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 118904 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council July 1, 1954

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.

M 1270

agreed upon between the applicant and the City Engineering Department:

RESOLUTION NO. 116460

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The recommendation of the City Planning Department, contained in Document No. 484065 relative to zone variance and property use permits granted under Resolution No. 116359, to Sidney L. Davis and Elinore N. Davis, Owners, and Lawrence O'Connell and Raymond O'Connell purchasers, to erect and operate a 150-unit trailer park on that portion of Pueblo Lot 264 bounded on the southwest by Morena Boulevard; on the northwest by the proposed Tecolote Creek Channel; on the northeast by a line parallel with and 100 feet southwest of the northeast line of Pueblo Lot 264; and on the southeast by the proposed Tecolote Valley Freeway; being approximately 263.8 feet by 881.35 feet, in Zones C and R-1, be, and it is hereby adopted. Said permission is to be subject to the following conditions:

1. That exact boundaries of the trailer park site be determined by survey by the City Engineering Department;

2. That the requirements of the City Manager and City Engineer for raising the level of the trailer park site be complied with, and that a mutually satisfactory arrangement be worked out for removal of soil from city-owned property, including the Tecolote Flood Channel, to the trailer park site;

3. That final grading and drainage of the trailer park site be approved by both the City Engineering and City Planning Departments;

4. That complete plans be submitted to, and approved by, the Planning Department;

5. That a 5-foot high chain link fence be erected around the property, details of the exact location of the fence adjacent to Tecolote Flood Channel, the date of construction, and cost, to be jointly agreed upon between the applicant and the City Engineering Department;

6. That a hedge 3 feet high at time of planting be planted and maintained in a space at least 3 feet wide around the entire tract;

7. That all roads be graded, be a minimum of 25 feet wide, and be surfaced with a minimum of 2-inch asphaltic concrete, surface course material with seal coat, placed upon a prepared subbase or base according to Sections 4 and 7 of Standard Specifications of the City of San Diego, Document No. 470535, dated June 8, 1953, or equal as approved by the City Engineer;

I Hereby Certify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. ---------- of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council ----------

---

City Clerk

By ----------

Deputy

Form 18V 9-14-89 5M

Printed in San Diego

8. That area lighting, consisting of a minimum of one watt of incandescent light per linear foot of roadway, with fixtures to be spaced at intervals not to exceed 150 feet and not to exceed 75 feet from centerline of roadways, and fixtures to be shallow dome reflectors mounted between 15 and 20 feet high;

9. That exterior design of all buildings be approved by the Board of Architectural Review;

10. That each trailer unit be not less than 25 feet wide, 35 feet deep, and 1,000 sq. ft. in area; that areas on which trailers will be located and autos parked will be surfaced as shown for roadways in Paragraph 7 above, and that each unit be equipped with waste outlet, electrical outlet and two hose bibbs;

11. That no public address system be installed or used;

12. That if only a portion of the trailer park units are to be erected as a first section, that the fence and hedge for that section, as well as all other details of the trailer units, roadways, utility building, lighting, and landscaping for that section be completed before any units be occupied; and such occupancy to occur only after written approval is granted by the City Health, Building and Planning Departments. Similar limitations as to occupancy will be required on construction of a second section, or the entire park.

I Hereby Certify the above to be a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 116460 of the Council of the City of San Diego, California, as adopted by said Council JAN 28 1954

FRED W. SICK

Helen M. Willis

City Clerk

By

Deputy

Form 18V 9-14-89 5M

Printed in San Diego

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7942

WHEREAS, Application No. 11516 has been considered by the Zoning Committee f the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section* ~~5 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~): Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, alifornia, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Sidney L. and Elinore N. Davis, owners, and Lawrence and Raymond O'Connell, purchasers, to erect and operate a trailer park of 150 units on that portion of Pueblo Lot 264 bounded by Morena Blvd., proposed Tecolote Creek Channel, the northeasterly line of Pueblo Lot 264, and the proposed Tecolote Valley Road, being approximately 263.8 ft. by 981.35 ft., easterly corner of Knoxville St. and Morena Blvd., Zones C and R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be evoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the ixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal s filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ated December 9, 1953 By _____
Secretary

ORM 2145

Res. No. 7942

27

Application Received 11-16-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy & Smith
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

No. 7943

4

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 11517 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is not necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, that permission is hereby DENIED

That the following described property, Lot ..... Block .....

Subdivision That portion of Pueblo Lot 264 bounded by Morena Blvd., the proposed Tecolote Creek Channel, the northeasterly line of Pueblo Lot 264, and the proposed Tecolote Valley Road, being approximately 263.8 ft. by 981.35 ft.

may be used for the erection and operation of 150-unit trailer park

by - SIDNEY L. & ELINORE N. DAVIS, owners & LAWRENCE & RAYMOND O'CONNELL, purchasers

subject to the following conditions .....

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated December 9 194 53

By .....

Secretary

Res. No. 7943

2144

272

Application Received 11-16-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7944

WHEREAS, Application No. 12588 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leslie L. and Elda Faye Baker to teach hobby type ceramics and operate firing kiln on Lots 45 thru 47 and 44, except the south 8 ft., Block 11, Morrison's Marscene Park, 738 Denby St., Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions:

1. **That operations be limited to a maximum of 8 hours per week;**
2. **That there will be a maximum of twelve students:**
3. **That the sale of supplies be permitted to students only;**
4. **That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1955.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 9, 1953

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7944

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-4-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-9-53 By South + Murphy
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-9 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-10 Building Inspector 12-11-53
Planning Commission 12-11 Petitioner 12-10 Health Department 12-11-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7945

WHEREAS, Application No. 11928 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ross A. Bartlett to erect a church and educational building on the South 165 ft. of the East 294 ft. of Tract 3, Wadsworth Olive Grove at 2420 - 52nd St., Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That the west half of 52nd Street, in front of this property, be paved, with curbing and sidewalks, according to the specifications of the City Engineering Department before occupancy of the buildings;**

2. **That paved off-street parking at a ratio of one car for each ten persons be provided and maintained on the property.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordiance No. 184 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7945

38

Application Received 11-30-53 By S. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision cond'l appv. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

Plaing
C-1

RESOLUTION No. 116203

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Stuart M. Dingwall, 955 West Muirlands Drive, from the decision of the Zoning Committee's Resolution No. 7946, Application No. 12446, denying permission to erect a veterinary hospital, with a maximum of 32 animals, with off-street parking and loading at rear, on the east 40 feet of Lots 21 through 24, Block 41, City Heights, on the north side of University Avenue, between Central Avenue and 41st Street in Zone "C", be, and it is hereby denied, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby sustained.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 116203 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 14, 1954

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG

Deputy.

FORM 1270

RESOLUTION NO. 7946

WHEREAS, Application No. 12446 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to S. M. Dingwall to erect a veterinary hospital, with a maximum of 32 animals, with off-street parking and loading at rear, on the east 40 feet of Lots 21 thru 24, Block 41, City Heights, on the north side of University Ave. between Central Ave. and 41st St., Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7946

91

Application Received 11-16-53 By S. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7947

WHEREAS, Application No. 12591 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Vesta Goff to erect a 12 ft. by 12 ft. bedroom addition with 4 ft. side yard to a second living unit on Lot A and the north half of Lot B, Block 332, Horton's Addition, 2965 Second Ave., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated december 23, 19 53

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7947

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-10-53 By Von Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7948

WHEREAS, Application No. 12594 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fonzie L. and Catherine Thomas to construct a second floor above an existing garage and convert the building into two living units, making three living units with 5-foot access court to the street, on Lot 45 and the east 8 ft. of Lot 46, Block 110, Reed & Swaynes Central Park Addition, 3116 K St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7948

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-8-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision agree. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7949

WHEREAS, Application No. 12558 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. T. Polk to erect a carport type of structure for storage of boats, the total size of building 35 ft. 6 in. by 24 ft., with 6 ft. rear yard, on Lot 1, Block 5, La Huerta, 2485 Calle Gaviota, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7949

193

Application Received 12-9-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7950

WHEREAS, Application No. 12603 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section* ~~15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):~~ Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George Bissell, owner, and Chizuko Shinzaki, lessee, to erect a 150 ft. by 60 ft. growing house to replace building being demolished, to be used in connection with an existing retail and wholesale nursery, on the southeast half of Lot 1, Horton's Purchase in Ex-Mission Lands, on the south and west sides of Euclid Avenue, north of Fir Street, Zone R-1; this permit to expire with the original resolution, on June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7950

142

Application Received 12-14-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7951

WHEREAS, Application No. 12615 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert E. Furlow to erect 8 ft. by 10 ft. painted sign, back of the setback line, on a post, on Lot 2, Furlow Heights Unit No. 3, east side of 54th St. between Krenning Drive and Redwood Street, Zone R-4, for a period of nine months, to be removed by the first of October, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 95.0106 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above,

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53 By _____ Res. No. 7951
Secretary

FORM 2145

112

Application Received 12-18-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7952

WHEREAS, Application No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7920, dated December 9, 1953, was reconsidered and permission was again DENIED to Leonard B. and Marie W. West to convert a duplex to triplex with 2-foot side yard, 7-foot rear yard and 7-foot access court, on the west half of Lots 5 and 6, Block 24, Culverwell & Taggart, 1115 - 19th Street, Zone C.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7952

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-1-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & Scott
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7953

WHEREAS, Application No. 12613 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Eugene Tweed to make interior alterations converting two buildings each to duplexes with 7-foot access court for one unit in each building, on Lots 19 thru 22, Block 106, University Heights, 2610 El Cajon Blvd., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7953

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-14-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

Planning (2)

RESOLUTION No. 116094

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Albert W. Jenner, 2338 El Cajon Boulevard, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in its Resolution No. 7954, application No. 12316, denying permission to Wm. A. Northridge estate, owner, and Al Jenner Tile Co., lessee, to use portion of Lots 27 and 28, Block 103, University Heights, at 2338 El Cajon Boulevard, for storage yard of crushed marble and cement, and the parking of trucks and a mixer, in Zone C - all material stored to be removed within 30 days from the date of Resolution adopted December 23, 1953 - be, and it is hereby sustained and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Al Jenner Tile Co., is to clear said property of the crushed marble and cement, and trucks and mixer, within 90 days from date of Zoning Committee Resolution, being December 23, 1953, and file with the City Clerk a letter agreeing not to place any new material at said location and that the letter shall state that it will all be removed within 90 days.

check city clerk for date letter recvd. HH.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 116094 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 7, 1954

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.

RM 1270

RESOLUTION NO. 7954

WHEREAS, Application No. 12316 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Wm. A. Northridge, estate, owner, and Al Jenner Tile Co., lessee, to use a portion of Lots 27 and 28, Block 103, University Heights, at 2338 El Cajon Blvd., for a storage yard for approximately 1500 sacks of crushed marble and approximately 25 sacks of cement, and parking of trucks and mixer; Zone C. All material presently stored on this property to be removed within thirty days of the date of this resolution.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7954

73

Application Received 12-9-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7955

WHEREAS, Application No. 12610 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)~~*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ___not___ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ___not___ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ___not___ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Lydon to erect a single family residence and attached garage with zero setback on Maryland Street and 9 ft. setback on Franciscan Way, per plot plan on file in the Planning Office, on Lots 3 and 4, Mission Cliffs Manor, northwest corner Maryland St. and Franciscan Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7955

68

Application Received 12-11-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7956

WHEREAS, Application No. 12614 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry E. and Rosina C. Kuehn to construct 22 ft. by 33 ft. garage with zero rear yard on the southwest 75 ft. of the southeast 100 ft. of Lot 3, Block 460, Old San Diego, 3880 Harney St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7956

250

Application Received 12-16-53 By Van Nise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-28-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision Appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7957

WHEREAS, Application No. 12621 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alta S. Grant, owner, and Caudell & Johnson, lessees, to erect a 50 ft. by 25 ft. oil storage building to replace an existing building used in connection with sand and gravel production, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1183 lying southerly of Friars Road, west of Texas St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 148 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

287
~~261~~

Res. No. 7957

Application Received 12-17-53 By Von Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7958

WHEREAS, Application No. 12617 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Velma and Obed Ray to construct a 4-foot high retaining wall across the rear of lot, with 5-foot garden wall on top, approximately 60 feet long, on Lot 36, Vista Park No. 1, 3420 Ashford St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7958

429

Application Received 12-21-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7959

WHEREAS, Application No. 12618 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (*see Section 15* ~~of Ordinance No. 2924, as amended~~): Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bruce L. and Lula L. White to construct 4-foot high retaining wall across the rear of lot, with 5-foot garden wall on top, approximately 60 feet long, on Lot 37, Vista Park No. 1, 3428 Ashford St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7959

FORM 2145

429

Application Received 12-21-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7960

WHEREAS, Application No. 12413 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Joseph F. and Irene King to erect the second single family residence on Lots 23 and 24, Block 3, Weeks Addition, at 5028 Savannah Street, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7960

Application Received 12-11-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & Smith
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7961

WHEREAS, Application No. 12597 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to J. B. and Ester E. Abrahamson to construct a duplex above a four-car garage, making a total of six units on Lots 35 and 36, Block 208, Pacific Beach, four units to be served by 6-foot access court where 12-foot is required; on the north side of Garnet between Olney and Pendleton, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7961

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-8-53 By U. Beight
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7962

WHEREAS, Application No. 12622 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of ~~Ordinance No. 8924 as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sanford and Eleanor W. Jaques to construct a guest house, approximately 8 ft. by 14 ft., with 14 ft. rear yard, on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256, which legal description is on file in the Planning Office, at 6219 La Jolla Mesa Drive, Zone R-1B.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7962

340

Application Received 12-18-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Cpfer. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7963

WHEREAS, Application No. 12609 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of* ~~Ordinance No. 8924 as amended~~*):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter N. and Faye V. Gray to construct a 12 ft. by 13 ft. addition to an existing 12 ft. by 21 ft., 6 in. garage, making it a total of 34 ft., 6 in. in length, the building having 3 ft. rear yard and 9 in. side yard, on the northwesterly half of Lot 11 and all of Lot 12, Block 270, Mannasse & Schiller, 3143 Goldsmith St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7963

FORM 2145

226

Application Received 12-15-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7964

WHEREAS, Application No. 12620 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Lee E. and Ruth S. Mattei to construct a single family residence on Lot 9 and a single family residence on Lot 10, Block 38, Roseville, on Emerson Street closed between Clove, Plum, Dickens and Fenelon, Zone R-1, to be served by a 25-foot private easement from Clove Street to Plum Street.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7964

215

Application Received 12-17-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & Smith
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision Appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION No. 116201

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of A. E. Roberts, 1860 Alta Mira Place, from the decision of the Zoning Committee's Resolution No. 7965, application No. 12337, denying permission to A. E. Roberts and L. May Hume, owners, and G. N. York, lessee, to operate a retail business of draperies and antique furniture and articles, with no employees, on Lot 10, Block 25, Roseville, 2931 Carleton Street, in Zone R-4, be and it is hereby granted, and said Zoning Committee decision is hereby overruled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that permission is hereby granted to operate said retail business of draperies and antique furniture and articles for a period of three (3) years from this date, only.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 116201 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council January 14, 1954

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.

FORM 1270

RESOLUTION NO. 7965

WHEREAS, Application No. 12337 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to A. E. Roberts and L. May Hume, owners, and G. N. York, lessee, to operate a retail business of draperies and antique furniture and articles, with no employees, on Lot 10, Block 25, Roseville, 2931 Carleton Street, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7965

215

Application Received 9-10-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7966

WHEREAS, ~~Application~~ Letter dated Dec. 9, 1953 No. _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That a final extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7504 dated June 10, 1953 which extended Res. No. 6989, dated Nov. 28, 1952, be granted to Safeway Stores, Inc., to build and operate a parking lot on Lots 33 thru 44, Block 155, University Heights, with zero setback on Ohio Street, between Howard and Polk Aves., Zone R-4, to be used in connection with Safeway Store fronting on 30th Street, subject to following conditions:

1. That the entire parking lot be paved;
2. That a 5-foot wall be constructed on the north and south ends of the parking lot to within 15 ft. of the property line on Ohio St. and continue with 30 inch. high masonry wall to the front property line on Ohio St. and extending along Ohio, with the exception of the entrances and exits, as shown on plat approved by traffic engineer;
3. That all ingress and egress openings, both on Ohio and 30th Streets be approved by the traffic engineer;
4. That the parking lot in the R-4 zone not to be open later than 10:00 P.M. and all lights to be out at that time;
5. That appropriate landscaping to be installed and maintained in good condition at all times on Ohio Street side of the 30 inch. high wall area.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

77

Res No. 7966

Application Received 12-10-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision Approv. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7967

WHEREAS, Application No. 12495 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)~~:* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Wm. H. Black, owner, and Edmund Mirandon, purchaser, to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1296, which legal description is on file in the Planning Office, on Ridge Way Lane, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Appeal denied by 4-2 vote city council 1-21-54

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

355

Res. No. 7967

114

Application Received 11-16-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision Denied Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION No. 116459

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

The hearings on the appeals of Glenn O. Hellyer, 1305 Elevation Road from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolutions Nos. 7968 and 7969 for zone variance and property use to permit operation of a Trailer Park on portions of Joseph Reiner, Bay View Quarter Acres and Bay View Addition - known as the Polo Grounds - according to legal description in the office of the Planning Commission, be, and they are hereby continued until Thursday, February 11, 1954.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the above matter be, and it is hereby referred to Council Conference relative to proposed suggestions in connection therewith.

Zone Com. sustained by vote 4-3. 2-11-54
council action. HH.
Appeal lost for
lack of 5 vote by necessary.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 116459 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council Jan. 28, 1954

FRED W. SICK
City Clerk

By HELEN M. WILLIG
Deputy.

254

FORM 1270

RESOLUTION NO. 7968 ~~7969~~

WHEREAS, Application No. 12495 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will _____ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Glenn O. and Norma I. Hellyer to erect and operate a trailer park of 500 units on portions of Joseph Reiner Addition, Bay View Quarter Acres, and Bay View Addition known as the Polo Grounds, Zone R-1A, per legal description on file in Planning Office.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 N. S. be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ~~December 23~~, 19 53

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7968

254

Application Received 12-3-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date _____
Decision denied Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

No. 7969

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 11510 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE, That permission is hereby DENIED to Glenn O. and Norma I. Hellyer,

That the following described property, Lot ..... Block .....

Subdivision on portions of Joseph Reiner Addition, Bay View Quarter Acres and Bay View Addition, known as the Polo Grounds, which legal description is on file in the Planning Office,

may be used for the erection and operation of 500-unit trailer park

subject to the following conditions .....

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated December 23 194 53

By .....

Secretary Res. No. 7969

RM 2144

254

Application Received 12-3-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23-53 Hearing date
Decision Denied Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7970

WHEREAS, Application No. 12611 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is herebya granted to Allen Rex and Pauline Puterbaugh to construct a 45-foot by 24-foot addition to rear of building used for veterinarian purposes, on Lots 32 and 33, Block 9, La Jolla Park, 7520 Fay Street, Zone M-1, 20 feet of the addition to be used for a carport.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated December 23, 1953

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

348

Res. No. 7970

Application Received 12-18-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 12-23-53 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 12-23 Hearing date
Decision a/p/p. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 12-24 Building Inspector 12-28-53
Planning Commission 12-28 Petitioner 12-24 Health Department 12-28-53
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7971

WHEREAS, Application No. 12636 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to S. J. and Ruth H. McNeese to construct a single family residence with 5-foot setback, on Lot 30, Block 3, Valencia Park No. 1, on the west side of Las Flores Terrace, approximately 100 ft. north of Trinidad Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 19~~53~~ 54

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7971

FORM 2145

175

Application Received 12-31-53 By G. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7972

WHEREAS, Application No. 12633 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Gail R. and Betty R. Schwarz to construct a single family residence and attached garage with 10-foot setback, on Lot 23, Block 11, Valencia Park No. 1, on Las Alturas Terrace, northwesterly of San Mateo Drive, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7972

Application Received 12-24-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7973

WHEREAS, Application No. 12584 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. M. Smith to maintain a 5-foot high fence in setback area on Alice Street, on Lot 118, Redwood Village No. 1, on the southwest corner of Alice and Thorn Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7973

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-30-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy - South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7974

WHEREAS, Application No. 12645 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to E. R. and Edna L. Severin to maintain an existing residence and attached garage with portion of the building having only a 3-foot side yard where 4 feet is required, on Lot 9 of Whitefield, 5152 Bocaw Place, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7974

Application Received 12-30-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6-54 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7975

WHEREAS, Application No. 12626 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to College Heights Development Company, Mrs. Pearl H.D. Martin, Secretary, to maintain the existing tract office and to move an existing two-faced "V" shaped sign, each face of which is 8 ft. by 4 ft., from an adjoining lot to the northeast corner of Lot 46, College Heights, northwest corner of Mary Lane Drive and 55th St., Zone R-1; this permit to be for one year, to expire January 1, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7975

FORM 2145

108

Application Received 12-21-53 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7976

WHEREAS, Application No. 12639 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Willard D. Olson to construct a single family residence on the west 90 ft., except the north 394.18 ft., of Lot 13 of Lemon Villa, 5252 Orange Ave., Zone R-1, subject to the following condition:

That a 10-foot easement along Orange Ave. be dedicated to the City for future street widening. Prop. Dept. 1-25-54.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13559 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7976

Application Received 12-30-53 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & Smith
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. - cond'l Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8-54 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department - 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 7977

WHEREAS, Application No. 12631 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Beth Lawson and John Bud Lawson to operate a drafting service on the south 16½ ft. of the west 80 ft. of Lot 2 and the north 17 ft. of the west 80 ft. of Lot 3, Block 211, University Heights, 3985 32nd St., Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That there will be no employees;**
2. **That this service be operated only part time - approximately 2 hours per day;**
3. **That there will be no signs and no advertising of address;**
4. **That this permit to expire June 30, 1955.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7977

78

Application Received 12-31-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & Ford
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department - 1-8-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7978

WHEREAS, Application No. 12581 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (*see Section 15 of* ~~Ordinance No. 8324, as amended~~): Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the U. S. Holding Company to use Lot 9, Block 1, Resub. of Block A, Teralta, as a parking lot in conjunction with banking business on the adjoining property, at the northeast corner of 32nd and El Cajon Blvd., Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That a 5-foot concrete block wall be erected along the entire easterly property line and along the northerly property line up to the setback line, and continue to the front property line on 32nd St. with a 3-foot block wall;**

2. **That the parking lot be paved and striped for parking of cars;**

3. **That adequate stops or curbs be provided to stop all cars short of public property.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1958

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7978

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-31-53 By G. Tasot
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy - South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7979

WHEREAS, Application No. 12637 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended~~)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. D. and May Gillen to construct a two-story duplex with garage, making three units with 7 ft., 6 in. access court, on the north 8½ ft. of Lot 31 and all of Lot 32, Block 22, University Heights, 4630 Alabama St., Zone R-4; on condition that the existing stairway now in the 7 ft., 6 in. access court be removed by the time of occupancy of the duplex.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7979

Application Received 12-31-53 By Van Hoe
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & Scott
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7980

WHEREAS, Application No. 12627 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section* ~~15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)~~ Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to W. D. Wright to relocate an existing residence and attached garage on the easterly 45 ft. of the west 95 ft. of Lots 21 thru 24, Block 44, University Heights, to have a 4-foot rear yard and 3 ft., 6 in. side yard on each side of the building; at 1616 Monroe St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

70

Res. No. 7980

Application Received 12-31-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & Lord
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7981

WHEREAS, Application No. 12634 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to H. R. McCann to construct a 15 ft., 8 in. by 24 ft. garage with zero side yard, on Lots 19 and 20, Block 193, University Heights, 3921 Centre St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 19 54

By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7981

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-30-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made ~~12~~ 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision approv. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7982

WHEREAS, Application No. 12616 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of* ~~Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)~~: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ___not___ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ___not___ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ___not___ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Albert and Helen Strakon to make alterations converting existing garage into bedroom and bedroom addition, connecting garage to residence, the building having a minimum of 1.44 ft. side yard, per surveyor's map on file in the Planning Office; on Lots 35 and 36, Block 8, Mountain View, 3746 46th St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7982

95

Application Received 12-17-53 By G. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 7983

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 12623 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot E. 75 ft. of Lots 9 & 10 Block 294

Subdivision Pacific Beach 714 to 124 Oliver Ave.

KENNETH DENTON

may be used for the ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ operation of an existing 6-unit apartment building as a motel and maintain a sign reading - "Harold's Motel & Apartments".

subject to the following conditions Five of the six units fronting on a private roadway 18 ft. wide and one unit fronting on a 25-foot roadway

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatic-ically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated January 6, 1954 By .....

Secretary

M 2144

314

Res. No. 7983

Application Received 12-21-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & Scott
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6-54 Hearing date

Decision [illegible] Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54

Planning Commission 1-8-54 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department 1-8

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application Withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

No. 7984

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 12624 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot - Block North 50 ft. of Block 295

Subdivision Pacific Beach, 711 to 721 Oliver St.

KENNETH DENTON

may be used for the ~~[illegible]~~ operation of an existing 6-unit apartment building as a motel and maintain sign reading - "Harold's Motel & Apartments".

subject to the following conditions Five of the six units fronting on an 18-foot private roadway.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Dated January 6 194 54 By

Secretary Res. No. 7984

M 2144

314

Application Received 12-21-53 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & Scott
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6-54 Hearing date
Decision Appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application Withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

4

RESOLUTION NO. 7985

WHEREAS, Application No. 12640 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. J. and Cecilia M. Paderewski to split out a 6-1/2 acre parcel from the southeast corner of Pueblo Lot 1264, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, and construct a single family residence, on Soledad Road, Zone R-1; subject to the following condition:

That a portion of land along the northwesterly lot line, as designated by the City Engineering Department, lying between the property now dedicated to the City for street purposes, as shown on Opening Drawings No. 17-74 and 17-73 on file in the Planning Office, be dedicated to the City for future street purposes.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13456 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Subordination signed 1-20-54 dsen.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7985

343 (old book 341)

Application Received 12-31-53 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision Cond'l appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7-54 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7986

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ letter dated Dec. 24, 1953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):*

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7528, dated June 24, 1953, be granted to Lucille Ferrara to divide into two parcels a portion of Pueblo Lot 1112, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, each parcel approximately 3/4 acre, 1241 Camino del Rio, Zone R-1A.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 19 54

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7986

259

Application Received 12-24-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision est. appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8-54 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7987

WHEREAS, Letter dated 12-23-53 ~~Application No.~~ _____ has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)* Municipal Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7585, dated July 8, 1953 be granted to Rose M. Wilhelm to split out a portion of Pueblo Lot 1256, legal description on file in Planning Office, and erect a single family residence on the southerly side of La Cumbre Drive, approximately 130 feet east of Muirlands Drive, Zone R-1B; subject to the following conditions:

1. That a 25-foot easement along La Cumbre Drive be granted to the city for future street widening;

2. That this parcel will be incorporated later into the new Muirlands Estates Subdivision, and that all the requirements of the subdivision will be met at that time.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5398 N. S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 6, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. 7987

Application Received 12-23-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-6-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-6 Hearing date
Decision appr. cpt. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-7 Building Inspector 1-8-54
Planning Commission 1-8 Petitioner 1-7 Health Department 1-8
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7988

WHEREAS, Application No. 12548 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ray I. and Elaine M. Bond, owners, and O. M. Kendall, Jr. purchaser, to construct and operate a pool for swimming school with necessary utility rooms, office and gallery on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1111, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, on the south side of Camino del Rio, approximately 1/2 mile west of Texas St., Zone R-1A; subject to the following conditions:

1. **That the operation be limited to a swimming school for instruction only;**
2. **That the gallery have seating capacity for approximately 25 spectators;**
3. **That a 50-foot building setback from the front property line along the service road be observed;**
4. **That the building and sign be approved by the Architectural Review Board.**

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7988

FORM 2145

Application Received 12-31-53 By G Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. cond'l Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7989

WHEREAS, Application No. 12643 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Sam and Sadie Harris to add to and convert an existing garage and residence to a duplex, making a total of four living units on Lots 5 and 6, Block 281, San Diego Land & Town Co.'s Addition, two units to be served by a 6-foot 3-1/2-inch access court, with four paved off-street parking spaces, 2220 Ocean View Blvd., Zone C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7989

Application Received 1-7-54 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision Appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7990

WHEREAS, Application No. 12670 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 ~~of Ordinance No. 8924,~~ as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Manuel A. Lopez to construct a 12 ft. by 12 ft. bedroom addition to a non-conforming residence which has an 18-inch side yard, on Lots 14 and 15, Block 8, Reed & Hubbell's Addition, 2939 Logan Ave., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

Res. No. 7990

FORM 2145

Application Received 1-11-54 By F. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7991

WHEREAS, Application No. 12649 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to the Solomon Building Company to construct a duplex and garage on the south 70 feet of Lots 10 and 11, Block 13, Marilou Park, on the northwest corner of 41st Street and Broadway, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

159

Res. No. 7991

Application Received 1-8-54 By S. Tasch
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision agreed. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7992

WHEREAS, Application No. 12648 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dr. W. C. Black to excavate approximately 2500 cu. yds. of soil to be removed from the property, on Lots 29, 30 and 31 of El Cerrito Terrace No. 1 and No. 2, on the lots adjoining on the north at 4529 College Ave., Zone R-4; subject to the recommendations and conditions of the City Manager.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4665 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54

By _____
Secretary Res. No. 7992

FORM 2145

119

Application Received 1-6-54 By J Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-18 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7993

WHEREAS, Application No. 12664 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. and Esther H. Fish to construct an addition to a residence having a 3-foot side yard, the addition to observe the required 5-foot side yard, on Lot 2 of Mission Hills No. 2, 4486 Hortensia, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7993

Application Received 1-8-54 By V. Bright
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18-54 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7994

WHEREAS, Application No. 12650 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ___not___ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ___not___ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ___not___ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Fred E. Perry to construct an addition to a residence which will have 15 ft. 4 in. rear yard, the addition to be a bedroom with rumpus room with bar sink below, on the southeast 35 feet of the northeast 100 feet of Lot 3, Block 480, Old San Diego and Conde St. closed adjoining, 2451 Juan St., Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7994

Application Received 1-5-54 By D. C. Baughman
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7995

WHEREAS, Application No. 12678 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leon Beatty to construct a 15 ft. 6 in. by 36 ft. carport, with total coerage of approximately 59 percent, on Lots 37 and 38, Block 263, Pacific Beach, on the north side of Reed St. approximately 50 feet east of Bayard, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7995

314

Application Received 1-12-54 By Van Hise
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7996

WHEREAS, Application No. 12651 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is here granted to William R. and Joan M. Hartley to construct a 20-foot by 30-foot garage with zero side yard and 4-foot rear yard, on Lots 11 and 12, Block 6, Ironton, Easterly side of Armada Terrace between Talbot and Bessemer, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7996

207

Application Received 1-13-54 By V. Beights
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7997

WHEREAS, Application No. 12673 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M.G.Medina and Robert C. Gordon to construct a single family residence with 10 foot setback on Lot 1, Block 4, Medina Terrace, southwesterly corner of Hill and Tarento Drive, Zone R-1; to be constructed according to the plans on file in the Planning Office.
A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0604 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated january 15, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

285

Res No 7997

Application Received 12-21-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision approx. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7998

WHEREAS, Application No. 12619 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to M. O. Medina and Robert C. Gordon to construct residence and attached garage with 9-foot rear yard, and 5-foot sideyard on Lot 1, Block 4, Medina Terrace, southwesterly corner of Hill Street and Tarento Drive, Zone R-1. To be constructed according to the plans on file in the Planning Office. A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7998

205

Application Received 12-21-53 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 7999

WHEREAS, Application No. 12692 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. H. and Laetitia Jabben to erect two residences, on the west 68 feet of Lot 1, Block 3, Encanto Heights, one residence on the north 50 ft. to observe a 16 ft. setback, the other on the south 50 ft. to observe a 20 ft. setback, both setbacks on 62nd Street, on the southeast corner of 62nd and Brooklyn, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1964 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 7999

3-165

Application Received 1-14-54 By J. McConnell
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 8000

WHEREAS, Application No. 12690 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance ~~No. 8924 as amended~~):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ellis P. and Glendola M. Lamar to construct a 35 ft. by 12 ft. addition to living quarters in store building and add a 4 ft. by 8 ft. toilet addition to store portion of building, on the easterly 42.5 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block A, Sterlingworth, 3317 Meade Ave., Zone R-4; on condition that the store facilities are not expanded.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 8000

Application Received 1-14-54 By ?
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. cond'l Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 8001

WHEREAS, ~~Application No.~~ letter dated Jan. 14, 1954 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ___not___ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ___not___ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ___not___ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That Resolution No. 7890, dated November 13, 1953, which granted an extension of three months to Resolution No. 7340, dated April 15, 1953, which amended Resolution No. 7295, dated April 1, 1953 BE AMENDED to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry Epsten, owner, and Bob Bauer Motors, lessee, to remove an existing garage and replace with a new building, 30 ft. by 100 ft., with 4 ft. side yard, to be used for servicing of new cars, such as cleaning and polishing, minor adjustments and installing of accessories, such as radios, heaters, etc.; on the west half of Lot 46 and all of Lots 47 thru 55, Block 1, Norlth Highland Park, on Brunson Place; a portion of the lots to be used for a parking lot, subject to the following conditions:

1. That an 8-foot high wood fence be erected 24.75 ft. back, along a portion of the front property line, with a 3-foot concrete block wall to be erected along the remainder of the front property line, to conform with the existing wall on the property to the east; according to the plans presented and on file in the Planning Office;
2. That the open area in front of wall and fence be adequately landscaped;
3. That the parking area be surfaced;
4. That a 5-foot chain link fence be constructed around the entire parking area to connect with the concrete block wall & proposed building at the property line.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

(OVER)

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

#78

Res. No. 8001

Application Received 1-14-54 By Marie
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. cond'l Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-18 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-18 Health Department 1-18
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 8002

WHEREAS, Application No. 12663 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rip Tide Inn Corporation to split out a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, and erect a single family residence, on Spindrift Drive approximately 235 feet southwesterly of St. Louis Terrace, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 1954

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 8002

150

Application Received 1-15-54 By Mail
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy + South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18-54 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 8003

WHEREAS, Application No. Letter dated 1-8-54 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended): Municipal Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7644, dated August 5, 1953, be granted to George L. Williams, owner, and John L. Godwin, purchaser, todivide a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, into two buildingvsites, one site to be served by a 20-foot recorded easement the other to front on a dedicated street, on the south side of Avenida de la Playa, Zone R-1B.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5332 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54

By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 8003

353

Application Received 1-8-54 By Neil
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-10-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date
Decision appr. 6 mo. Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date
Decision of Council Date
Resolution becomes effective
Application withdrawn Continued to
Time limit extended to Date of action

#8004 not used.

RESOLUTION NO. 8005

WHEREAS, Application No. 12666 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924 as amended):* Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Rosalie M. Tucker to erect a 4-foot high fence in the setback area on Lot 61, Boulevard Gardens, 4903 Naples St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 2 8005

271

Application Received _____ By _____
City Planning Department

Investigation made 1-15-54 By Murphy & South
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 1-15 Hearing date _____
Decision appr. Date _____
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 1-15 Building Inspector 1-18-54
Planning Commission 1-18 Petitioner 1-15 Health Department 1-18-54
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date _____ Council Hearing, date _____
Decision of Council _____ Date _____
Resolution becomes effective _____
Application withdrawn _____ Continued to _____
Time limit extended to _____ Date of action _____

RESOLUTION NO. 8006

WHEREAS, Application No. 12697 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown *(see Section 15 of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended)*: Mun. Code 101.0501

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

2. That strict application of the regulations would _____ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is _____ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to George D. and Corinne M. Murray to split a portion of Pueblo Lot 1285 and portion of Block L, Resub of Villa Tract La Jolla Park, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, one parcel to have a portion fronting on a dedicated street, the other to have frontage on a 30-foot easement, and erect a single family residence on each parcel, on the east end of Amalfi Street, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 and Municipal Code 101.0304, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any permission granted by this resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated January 15, 19 54 By _____
Secretary

FORM 2145

Res. No. 8006