11" 0 7 7* 2 7 "In the Application No. 1.102 - hus been considered by the Sonreg Constance

They draw are a second a proceed of the second states and the second of the property

and entrymout of substantial property tights of the pristions, presented by originappress

to the multiple and working in the conservation will approximate the manufly dependenced to a provide the sectors of injurious to the property of improvements to the multiple indianed. That the presider of the application will - -- High instantial others the health of selection

That the granter of the yar take will the

in an in the top one THEREFORE WE IT RESOLVED, BY THE JOILINE COMMUTERS OF She CHY of Son Diebo

910 Ter. in unice a characterial rotationed on cicli firms 1, on the sub car of cardial track, tout and a serve of the start of a spect of a serve to a serve of the o fuctor of the model of the state of the st

Guo luoistet, ecoptiont (pass. the in most of mond in the theory we that an is that where, into a we buy red at the A ST 100 DO DID PROMI INTO OF BOIT NOC TO TARA AT A MITOT F ONE THE ONLY PAR

City Planning Department
Jourphy - South City Planzing Department
o orly a tempered a open content
Hearing date
Date
Duilding Insertion 1 - 18 -1-11
Building Inspector
Date Building Inspector $1 - 18 - 5 - 9$ 1 - 18 Health Department $1 - 18$
Council Hearing, date
Date
The second second second second second second
Continued to
Date of action

Bu

1-15-54

1.1. 10 + A. M. M.

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12696 ____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ dversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denres) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Walter and Rosie Ford to construct two single family units, one with attached garage, making six units on the lot with three units having 6 ft. access court, on Lots 27 and 28, Block 79, Powers Subd., 2928 L St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of City Clerk

FEB - 8 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Res. No. 8007

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

Rv

2- 39

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Administrator

FORM 1323

Dated

Feb. 3

Application Received _ 1 - 19 - 5-4 By J. Beights City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-5-4</u> By <u>Landt</u> , Jones - Santh City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 8$ Building Inspector $2 - 10 - \sqrt{-9}$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-8 Health Dept. 2-10-0-4

and the second se

and the second

aliter a star for a total en esta aliter al anna de aliter an tra de aliter esta anti a server trainer esta al A total de ma estate a l'an a server l'anna a l'addi 2 , as ar traine, traine a total de a a press the rest of the set the state of the state of the set of t depressions, reachers and a result of real and a second state of the second state of the second state of the se

101012

8 . 6 .

all a shall all a source of la the star a star a bar a ba

150 P. . 1891 . 291

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12684</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the tand or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the first the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood of ADDEALSE OF CLIMENTAL to the public welfare.

4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Anna Jedlick, owner, and Daniel B. Thomas, purchaser, to construct and operate a self-service gas station, office and storage building, on Lots 43 thru 48, Block 87, E. W. Morse's Subd., 1032 - 30th St., Zones R-4 & R-C, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All buildings to be approved by the Architectural Review Board;
- 2. That the lot be leveled and blacktopped according to plans submitted;
- 3. That appropriate landscaping be planted and maintained at the rear of the lot opposite 30th St. and along Broadway, the plans to be approved by the Planning Office;
- 4. This Resolution does not approve any signs.

5. That the hours of operation be from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., maximum of 16 hours. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3548 N.S. be, and is hereby granted aso to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

240

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 3 , 1954

FORM 1323

Application Received 1-21-54 By d. Baughman City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2- 3- 54 By Sandt Jones & South
Considered by Board of Adjustment 2-3-54 Decision Could appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9$ Building Inspector $2-10-59$
Planning Commission $2 - 10$ Petitioner $2 - 10$ Health Dept. $2 - 10 - 54$

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12711</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denses) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Arne Royland to maintain an existing garage with zero side yard, on the westerly 70 feet of Lots 3 and 4, Block B, Swan's Second Addition, 2737 - 44th Street, Zone R-4; on condition that the drainage from the duplex and garage on this property be adequately taken care of so that the property to the south will not be endangered.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Filed in Office

of City Clerk

FEB - 9 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be nutre to book and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19 ____,

FORM 1323

Application Received <u>1-26-J4</u> By <u>Jan Thee</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-3-5-4 By Audt Jona + South
Considered by Board of Adjustment 2-3-54 Decision _ appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 29 Building Inspector $2-10-54$
Planning Commission $2-1^{\circ}$ Petitioner $3-9$ Health Dept. $3-10-1^{\circ}$

cursis for \$1 herig francesh es hans hould an usub \$1 h monitolity france. *
cursis is provide on the books of the second of the second s

ى « يعني همد هم هادر ، دم شاغلامهم ما " المائيلي ، دم هم يرتب (مال شي المد غير معديك) " هوان المركبي المعالي ال المالي ماد المحتفظات بعادة فالم مالم حال المالية المالية في عال المدالية في قرار المحتولي المعاليات المعاليات ا ماله الم

+ 600

ALCONTRACT LAND AND ALCONTRACT - ALCONTRACT

20102 1208 1219

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will __not_ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Margaret G. Correll to maintain an existing garage and storage building with zero side yard on the west 59 feet of Lots 22 through 24, Block 59, City Heights, at 3803 Wilson Ave., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED aso the particulars stated above, insofar as they feling the property affealed to C.C. faile & for lach & required of Gity Glorks described above.

Feb.

Dated

FORM 1323

FEB - 5 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

8010

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

	BOARD OF ZONING ADJ CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CA	
. 19 .54	By_DESauth	
	2-84 Zoning Administrator	Res, No.

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-3-5-4 By Sant Jones South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 3.3 Decision _ Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-5$ Building Inspector $2-10-54$
Planning Commission $2-10$ Petitioner $3-\sqrt{-}$ Health Dept. $3-\sqrt{-}$

at rearing building is a star of a second of a star in the second of a second

erlic tior of " V right to the prvi for a mist of an 11. 61 m, all in the start of the second in the start of the second of the

and which which is a state of the state of the

entral and and a to to book

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12529 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _______ eprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE. BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grantes (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Mary and Stanley Dziuba to add 14 ft. by 20 ft. addition to an existing garage with 2 ft. side yard and convert to single family residence, the new addition to observe the required 3 ft. side yard, on Lots 37 and 38, Block 37, City Heights, at 4050 - 38th St., Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property Filed in Office described above.

of City Clerk

FFB - 5 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and voignent to DAYS tically, six months after its effective data automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

2-84

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received _ 1- 18 - 5-4 By Jan Africa City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2 - 3 - 5 - 4 By Janes Janes & South
Considered by Board of Adjustment 2-3 Decision Seriel
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 5$ Building Inspector $2 - 10 - 5 - 4$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2 - Health Dept. 2-10-54

A set of the set of the

the same and the second of the second s

the second s

which as a set of a shift of the end had a redent the spart of the set of the set of the set the solution of the second of the solution of

is an end and the state of the manipulation of the state of the state of the a the set and the set of the set of the set of the second big of the second strain was test on the set of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12625 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would __ not deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will 100 be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. chantes (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENTED to Joseph: V. McLaughlin to construct a two-story addition to an existing residence, the addition to have an 8-foot, 6-inch rear yard, on Lots 87 and 88, Resub of Lots 48 and 49 of Fleischer's Addition, on the southwest corner of Johnson and 10 Streets, Zone R-2.

application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insefar as they relate to the property described above.

> Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

> > FEB - 5 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and after the doweldate revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM	1323
------	------

Dated Feb. 3

19 54

5- 67

Application Received <u>1-19-54</u> By <u>3. Mc former</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-5-5-4 By Sandt Jones o Pouth City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 2.3 _ Decision _ Served
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2.5 Building Inspector 2-10-55
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-5- Health Dept. 2-10-54

initiation is interit. initiation is interit. in a state of the initiation on a source the initiation of the initiation in a 180, is and on the initiation of the enterit initiation, and the other is one of initiation and in the initiation.

initia tim 200 d v cimer er dit rendstond n " nigit i n bidder d p, mad de er up narst a to end president er er cove, informe n bleg mille to transer er, usevibet ber.

- 11.034

1. 4412110

a la service dell'Anoralitatione della service della service della service della service della service della s Service della s

LIGHT OF AUTURE TO CHY OUTURE EXEMPT TO DAYS!

The state of the second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____12695 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED. By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dendes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Merle E. and Ruth E. Davis to construct a two-story duplex, one unit on each floor, with double garage on the first floor, on Lot 3 (except the northwesterly 15 ft.) and the northwesterly 15 ft. of Lot 4, Block 152, Middletown, on the northeasterly side of Glenwood Drive between Vine and Walnut Streets, in Zone R-4; subject to the following condition:

That the building observe a 5-foot setback for the first 20 feet of the lot on the northwesterly side, and a zero setback for the remainder of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101,0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as the filler to the property described above. Of Gity Glork

FEB - 9 1954. Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective decouncer legerand/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. after the automates and Dayse Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

- 6

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	1		

Dated Feb. 3

19 54

Application Received _/ - 2 2 - 5-4 By By Banghung Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-5-4</u> By Jourt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 2-3 Decision Conde appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-9 Building Inspector 2-10-54
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 3-9 Health Dept. 2-10

wide is the new area to internation of the continue to continue to a continue to a continue to a continue d and ... on their or each short, sith and the context of the to the door, of the d backs on the new of the liter, or the new order to the context of the context area of the one of and the context of the order of the context of the context.

the second state of the second

and a sublicit of the contraction draws the Grand by Transaction of the second s

the second the devery a second a second at the star star of the second second and the - all the second as a star , where a prevalent of the to the the second of the second second second second second

and the providence of the second of the seco

State and the second se

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **12680** has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denoises) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Patrick G. and Patricia C. O'Keefe to erect a 4-foot high retaining wall with 5-foot garden wall on top, making a total of 9-foot wall along the rear property line, on Lot 35, Vista Park Unit No. 1, 3412 Ashford St., Zone R-1, according to the plans on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clork

FEB - 8 1954

COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

5-429

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated

Feb. 3

Application Received _ 1 - 15 - 5 - 4 By U. Beights Coty Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2. 3- 5-4</u> By <i>Saut Jone + South</i> City planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2-3</u> Decision <u>Appr</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 8$ Building Inspector $2 - 10 - \sqrt{-4}$
Planning Commission _ 2-10 Petitioner _ 2 - 8 Health Dept 2 - 10 - 0-4

in indian is her of the above to transfer to can thoughing on the second as smooth a scalar after endiating that is an intervention will be tag, will a costa of the short the a set die volge recommendation, or not de state and will be the short to, one will commine to ano plate as fill in the local train.

e a rei moo oo the read ine of anticipal lone tole ball of an in in infantely menter a to the predations arbie aboy , inco er is they will no to the many ere alcourted. moves

the other

COLINE PRIME IN DATE

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _12679 _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (decrices) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to George H. and Maxime F. Williams to erect a 4-foot high retaining wall at rear of property, with 5-foot high garden wall on top, making a total height of 9 feet, on Lot 34 of Vista Park Unit No. 1, at 7480 Baltic Street, Zone R-1, according to the plans on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

FEB - 9 1954

Any Zone Variance granted by the City should be revoked automatically, six months after its effective dather include of the use and/or construction is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

5-429

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Administrator

Res. No. 8015

ς.	0	17	1.4	13	3	2	

Dated Feb. 3

19 54

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-54</u> By <u>Soudt Jones South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _2.3 Decision _ Copper
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-9}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-10-\sqrt{-4}}{2}$
Planning Commission _ 2-10 Petitioner _ 2-9 Health Dept 2-10

we i sion i h a branch to control, not control, all's not contract (second den n britten will ab ner or no enory (iter Second (it)) récer a de or, and in aveil heide of b (s) on we had a de line (not control, a) as 2 and a dei, out of, recording to the filler or de line (a) filler, there, all as 2 and a dei out of, recording to the filler or dia fill was a million (control).

versiones so the provintions of maining on a line of the one has a set of the second set of the second of the second set of the second set

ALC NO. AND ADDRESS OF A DECK

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12681 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (decrices) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard Paul and Yvonne Schmitten to erect a 4-feet high retaining wall along the rear of the property, with a 5-foot garden wall on top, making a total of 9-foot high wall, on Lot 38 of Vista Park Unit No. 1, at 3436 Ashford Street, Zone R-1, according to the plans on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

FEB - 9 1954

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unflessher and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_____ 5-429

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 3 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received By
Investigation Made <u>2-3-J-Y</u> By Sandt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9$ Building Inspector $3-10-1-4$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-9 Health Dept. 2-10

A STATE OF A

ar fe anna a sua Stati

1. A dominant de les ly genne d'un d'dinné d'au un d'adean colader la rende l'é-land d'an ene daire d'his change d'a pour o constructes, dus constructes perton millour d'a maline s doe l'an in-doe night mult, en des a la charte d'arte this en la la ge se satisfieme des es our de maderie de bes president en sin france d'ante d'arte.

a veidus sa sa raai iona a andal & ale Likeo b, an iona her ur read a dealer a bas recient reas bas urb, incorre , ery craw, or each a read and

6 . 61

12560 WHEREAS; Zone Variance Application No._ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such fand or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood, Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance. FEB - 9 1954
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the optimic application of the pro-visions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the Ordinal college of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessarily of the bacas graphe use of buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessarile the bold as a provide the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dealers) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas L. & Ruth F. Shepherd, owners, and Glen Garner and Ray Lyttle, lessees, to operate a rental service of a maximum of twelve small utility trailers in conjunction with a non-conforming service station, on a fractional part of Block 4 and street closed adjacent, in Stephens Addition, at 6375 Pacific Highway, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That not more than twelve (12) trailers be stored on this lot at one time;
- 2. That all trailers be stored in an orderly manner at all times;

5- 419

- 3. That the trailers be parked as shown on the plot plan on file in the Planning Office, the small trailers not to extend more than 1-1/2 ft. above the existing fence:
- That the large trailers be stored behind the service station & rest rooms; 4.
- 5. This permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to

the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Delegation 101.0505, permitted is commenced before said time to Variance) FEB - 9 1954

The permission granted by this Resolution Statt OFcoppe ffective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the OFLy Copper, The appeal eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the office of the Circy Sclerk. (See Munic-is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the Circy Sclerk. (See Munic-

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 3 . 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received By By Berghts City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-59</u> By <u>Jourt Jone & South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2-3</u> Decision <u>could appr</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9$ Building Inspector $2-10-5-4$
Planning Commission $2 - 10$ Petitioner $2 - 9$ Health Dept. $2 - 10$

a service of a service ward a service of the servic

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12659</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would <u>not</u>deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is <u>not</u> necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>be injurious</u> to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, costs (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Joseph Avoyer to construct a two-story triplex, making four units on the lot, three units to have 4-foot access court, Lots 24 and 25, Block 273, Pacific Beach, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

FEB - 5 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

4-311

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19 54____

FORM 1323

Application Received _ 1 - 14 - 5-4 By _ Van Thise City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-3-54 By Sandt Jone - South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2-3</u> Decision <u>Served</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - J^-$ Building Inspector $2 - 20 - 54$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-1 Health Dept. 2-10

ne insise is into the sets that is not a varie to an errore to been this loop multibare while as all loop electronic to an error - open and and provide the sets loop sty, mailing coulds, one way.

n und sint for a reinson in the moduling of a minit a decent of a decent of a second seco

The state of the second states of the

21.533

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12450 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are____ _____ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______ dversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Harry W. and Wanda A. Axene, owners, and Frank W. and Frances W. Davis, purchasers, to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1288, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, on Hidden Valley Road, approximately 1000 feet southerly of Ardath Road, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

FEB - 9 1954

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

6-351

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Administrator

Res. No. 8019

Filed in Office of Gity Clork

ORM	132	2

Dated Feb. 3

___, 19___54

Application Received $1 - 18 - 54$ By _	City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2- 3-54 By	Soult South - Jone City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment	Decision _ Afer
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9$ B	uilding Inspector <u>2-10-54</u>
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-	9 Health Dept

 WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12717</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would <u>not</u> deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is <u>not</u> necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>be injurious</u> to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, process(denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Thurlow E. Coon to construct a residence and attached garage with 12-foot rear yard, on Lot 31, Scripps Estates Associates, on Horizon Way, approximately 140 feet east of Lolasi Way, Zone R-1.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clerk

FEB - 5 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

	B	y

Feb. 3

Dated

FORM 1323

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-54</u> By <u>Janes pres & Jack</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision demial
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-\sqrt{5}-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-5 Health Dept. 2-10-54

ere nortas ne arrante da la contra en son ne en arrante de la contra de la presenta de la contra en en en en en La primação da la contra da la contra da la contra de la contr La contra de la La contra de la contr

is a solution and the solution of any . State of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of

Lieversan nor - v si nor er die provi inn af standi i aim bli din bli en ta reity sint is an to the particul st at the party innor s is they set to at the proreit traterined above.

DENT OF SPECTO CON COUNCL DAVIES TO DAYS AND DE COUNCLES

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12718</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Thurlow E. Coon to construct a 4-foot, 6-inch high fence in the setback area, on Lot 31 of Scripps Estates Associates, on Horizon Way, approximately 140 feet east of Lolasi Way, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Filed in Office

of City Clork

FEB - 9 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ Feb. 3___, 19 _54____

FORM 1323

By_

6-3158

Application Received _ 1 - 26 - 54 By Branch City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-54</u> By <u>Rault Jones + South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $2 \cdot 3$ Decision $4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 $
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-9$ Building Inspector $2-10-5-9$
Planning Commission $2 - 10$ Petitioner $3 - 9$ Health Dept. $3 - 10 - 5 - 4$

relation it notely that is related a son or one based a second with the term rest in at a charter of g ot rot at as reality restant another of an arrithmatic group in the second s

versioner to the isoticisments of the lease of its and the second of a second of its end to the second of An uncertainty to the to develop in taken of the information to the second care of the second of the second of t An area of the second of the second of taken of the second of

1.1.1.1.200

eral sub-states of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12512</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ________ eprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will ______ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will ______ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, context(denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Julio C. and Ruby Mena to construct a duplex, miking three units on the property with two units having 5-foot access court, on the Southerly half of the Westerly half of Lot 98, Southern Title Guaranty Co., Sub. of Pueblo Lot 1801, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of Gity Clerk

FEB -8 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS ofter the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

- 306

By_

Feb. 3

Dated

FORM 1323

19

1

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-54</u> By <u>Saudt Jones & South</u> Oty Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-8$ Building Inspector $3-10-5-4$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-8 Health Dept. 2-10-54

Listical of a vision to the raidian of maining of the films, and a raidian of the films of the f

All of the second secon

mill have been presented

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12723</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Larry D. Maxwell to construct a single family residence with 10-foot setback on Quimby Street, on Lot 5, Block 150, Roseville, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be outfill and Doved dated shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, uniters the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

216

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

of Gity Clerk

FEB - 9 1954

FORM 1323

Application Received 1-26-54 By V. Beights City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2.3-54</u> By <u>Acudt Jones - South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 2 - 3 Decision _ affr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 2-9 Building Inspector 2-10-5-0
Planning Commission <u>3 ~ 10</u> Petitioner <u>2 - 9</u> Health Dept. <u>2 - 18</u>

and the second secon

services to the residuant of the first bud when but to be up in a total bud the services in the services of the

South Markey and the second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12674</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>be</u> in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Bessie Breit to construct a single family residence, making four units on Lots 5 and 6, Block 18, Ocean Beach, 4676 Orchard Ave., Zone R-2; subject to approval of the final plans by the Architectrual Review Board.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

FEB - 9 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shaffier muffipires to DAYS automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

212

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19_54

FORM 1323
Application Received $1 - 2 5 - 54$	By	Van the City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-3-154</u>	. By .	Laust Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment	3	_ Decision _ appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 🗻	-9	Building Inspector 2-13-54
Planning Commission _2-10 Petitioner		

and the second second

and the second second

andri nas po bio revisiona en relanda- or 1713 bo, un in in interse version a bo

LEDD LAND MOULT

en intribution for frankrik in formalis en interioren interioren interioren interioren interioren interioren e en sinterioren mattine en ermitigen offeningen flutet Germinister interioren interioren biele erginerien en er er er optenen som interioren bien er er af biele er er interioren er interioren interioren erginerien er er er WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12705</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dentes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Richard and Alice Mary Harwood to construct a 4-foot high fence in the setback area on Lot 9, Block 5, Warner Villa Tract, at 544 Silvergate Avenue, Zone R-1C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0623 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of Gity Clerk

FEB -8 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and volte expires 10 DAYS automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated

Feb. 3

1-202

Application Received 1 - 20 - 5 - 4 By Uan This City Planning Department		
Investigation Made 2-3-54 By Laust Jones - South Dity Planning Department		
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2 - J</u> Decision <u>Coppu</u>		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - P$ Building Inspector $2 - 70 - \sqrt{2}$		
Planning Commission <u>2-10</u> Petitioner <u>2-8</u> Health Dept. <u>2-10-54</u>		

A state of the state of the

es and an and an and an and a state and a share and a state of the sta

and the second second

- one is adding inder a real data the table of inder the table of the second of the second of the second of the

alman 3.0

and the second second second second

RESOLUTION No. 317096

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of The Travelodge Corporation, by Richard S.King, Vice President, 3045 Moore Street, San Diego 10, California, from the decision of the Board of Zoning Adjustment's Resolution No. 8026, application No. 12654, for permission to erect and operate a 40 sq.ft. standard Travelodge sign on private property at the corner of Rosecrans and Harbor Drive, on Lots 7 thru 12, Block 62, Roseville, at 5102 N. Harbor Drive, in Zone R-4, be, and it is hereby denied and overruled; and said Board of Zoning Adjustment's decision is hereby sustained.



HELEN M. WILLIG

City Clerk

Deputy.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy	of Resolution	No. 117096
of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council_	D.T. market	16, 1954
	Maron	10, 1994
	TRITA DUTY THE	CTAV

By.

FORM 1270

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12654</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would <u>not</u> deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is <u>not</u> necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>be injurious</u> to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants: (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Travelodge Corporation, Robert Lutes, Vice President, to crect and operate a 40 sq. ft. standard Travelodge sign on private property at the corner of Rosecrans and Harbor Drive, on Lots 7 thru 12, Block 62, Roseville, at 5102 N. Harbor Drive, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 95.0104 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Appeal fet 250. Filed in Office of Gity Clork

> > FEB -8 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and Unit, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _Feb. 3

FORM 1323

. 19 54

Application Received _ 1 - 14 - 5-54	By U. Beight Gity Planning Department
Investigation Made $2 - 3 - 5 - p$	By <u>Randt Jones Jones</u> CityPlanning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment	J Decision Denial
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _2	-8 Building Inspector
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner	2-8 Health Dept

RESOLUTION NO. 8027

Communication dated 1-25-54

WHEREAS. Zane variance appropriation No. _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denses) the following:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7591 dated July 8, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 7197 dated Feb. 25, 1953, be granted to Union Title and Trust Company to construct and operate radio and television buildings on the southeasterly portion of Lot 9, Ex-Mission Lands, Colonial Ave. and Rowen Street, Zone R-1, subject to the conditions on attached page, as set forth in Resolution No. 7197.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of Gity Clerk

FEB -8 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall council control DATS shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, one date of the above vere and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 3 ____ 19_54

FORM 1323

By.

Application Received 1 - 26 - v - 4 By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2.3.54 By Fault Jones & South Gity Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _2-3 Decision _ 6 >co. eyh.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>2-8</u> Building Inspector <u>2-10-54</u>
Planning Commission _2+10 Petitioner _2-8 Health Dept2-10-0-4

A LET TO I TARAPATERS TO A LAND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND THE AND THE PROPERTY OF THE P and to and the second of the second build of t

1 . T. E. was not the set

Union Title & Trust Company (Airfan Radio Corporation)

Res. No. 8027 Feb. 3, 1954

- 1. That the area to be used for construction of radio and television studio buildings and parking lots be confined to two acres, which is above elevation of 175 ft. shown on Fairchild Aerial & Contour Map, Sheet No. 1-21, on file in City Planning Office; no construction to be closer than 150 feet from the north boundary line of the ten-acre tract; legal description on file in Planning Office;
- 2. That improved road be completed from Home Avenue entering from the south to the above two acres and studio facilities before the beginning of operations:
- 3. That no building permits will be issued until a landscaping plan has been approved by the City Planning Commission and that the approved landscaping be installed and maintained in good condition at all times as shown on said plans:
- 4. That the entire parking lot be paved;

The second states of the second states of

- 5. That architecture, height and location of all buildings to be approved by the Planning Commission before construction;
- 6. That location and height of towers and antennas to be subject to the approval of the Planning Commission;
- 7. That, in the event the operation of the facilities herein approved cause radio or television interference in homes in the neighborhood, that operators will correct same.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

and the start is set about the set was the set of the set of the set of the

Feb. 3, 1954

Res. No. 8027

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. ______ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

4. That the granting of the Variance wilher adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (Granes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Anthony P. Peluso to construct a 32 ft. by 38 ft. building for an elderly people's boarding home, the building to be served by 10-foot access court and a 10-foot rear yard, for a maximum of ten (10) elderly boarders, on the north half of Lot 32 and all of Lots 33 and 34, Block 99, University Heights, at 4332 Florida Street, Zone R-4; on condition that the recommendations of the Fire Marshal's Office and the Welfare Department be complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby arouted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relpts [to the pr of Gity Clerk scribed above.

FEB - 8 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and with any overlate revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated Feb. 3

19 54

2-1

Application Received 1-25-54 By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-3-54 By Saudt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 2.3-54 Decision _ appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-8$ Building Inspector $2-10-54$
Planning Commission 2-10 Petitioner 2-8 Health Dept. 2-10-54

the second structure of the Land I are interested and the second structure of properties and the second as a second and the second second as a date bore of the second . CONTRACTOR OFFICE

. SET MAL

. 4169

n initial a lot root republic of initial of this to be repute (). (a, b, b, b) b ill ing toe and () root () of () book internal, the built in (a) be also by "cool accors on which () is the new proof of the set of the of () in the root or of on the "morel () is the tot () of () and () of () of () of () is write () is the proof () and () of () of () of () of () is write () is the proof () and () of () of () of () of () of () is write () is the proof () of () of () of () of () of () of () is write () is the proof () of () of () of () of () of () of () is write () is the proof () of ()

with a first and a state of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12683</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California and the value of Kinding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are possiliapped surplant or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood surplations shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the land or the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (Cenies) the following:

rmission is hereby granted to J. H. & Genora E. Riley, owners, and Garl R. & Frank Williams, purchasers, to conduct a venetian blind business including repairs, renovations and rebuilding, on Lots 3 and 4, Block 38, Normal Heights, south side of Adams Ave. etween 33rd and Bancroft Sts., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the operation of machines be limited from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.;
- That the horsepower of motors be limited to 20 MP with a maximum of 10 MP to be operated at one time, with the conditions as outlined in the letter submitted by the applicant and on file in the Planning Office;
- 3. That there be a maximum of twelve (12) employees in the shop;
- 4. That all work be conducted within the building; as shown in plans on file in Office:
- 5. That all noise be kept at a minimum at all times;
- 6. That off-street parking for employees and trucks kept on the premises be provided.

7. Subject to the approval of the Fire Marshal's Office and Bldg. Dept. variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12859 De, and is hereby granted as to the rovisions stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

79-80

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 17 , 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received _ 2 - 1 3 - 5 4 By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-17-J74</u> By Jones Lendt & foult
Considered by Board of Adjustment _2-17 Decision _ could appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-19}{4}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-24-54}{4}$
Planning Commission 2-24 Petitioner 2-19 Health Dept. 2-24-4-4

a state and a second state and a second state of the second state of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12707</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dentes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to George Russ, dba Mission Bay Realty, to erect a double-faced neon sign, 19-1/2 inches by 36 inches, at right angles to face of building, not to project more than 6 inches beyond the face of the roof eave, on Lot 367, Grown Point, at 3460 Ingraham Street, Zone R-C; on condition that all existing roof signs be removed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3141 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Of Gity Clerk

FEB 23 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall COMPAND For the City shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, of the less of the lise and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> -, 19<u>54</u>By_ 4-305

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated Feb. 17

Application Received _ 1- IJ-54 By Yan Kise City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2 - 17 - 54 By Sauch Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2-17</u> Decision <u>coude appr.</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 2 - 3$ Building Inspector $2 - 2 - 4 - 5 - 4$
Planning Commission 2-24 Petitioner 2-23 Health Dept. 2-24-54

and the second second with a second second

V.C. WALL

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12708 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and in-tent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denines) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to George Russ, dba Mission Bay Realty, to erect a double-faced neon sign, 19-1/2 inches by 36 inches, at right angles to face of building, to extend into the setback area, on Lot 367, Grown Point, at 3460 Ingraham Street, Zone R-C; said sign not to project more than 6 inches beyond the face of the roof eave; on condition that all existing roof signs be removed.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 95.0104 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described Filled in Office above.

of Gity Clerk

FEB 23 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

Res. No.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void and the topological automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated Feb. 17

Bv

4-305

19

Zoning Administrator

Application Received _ 1 - 25 - 54 By Van Thice City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-17-54 By Laudt, Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _2-17 Decision Could app.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-23}{Building}$ Inspector $\frac{2-24-54}{5}$
Planning Commission $2 - 24$ Petitioner $2 - 23$ Health Dept. $2 - 24 - \sqrt{4}$

vp issible is nerity practy to there any the singlen try sally, is month i issil stated a one they, 10-1/2 inclus by d lique, to show any to be the solution relify to set to interthe subsched by an as 40, so a line, to the solution index, some -; said sim not to reject or the d notes offer a site of rest over; on constant provide it or or solution to the solution.

versioned to besters in its and an initiate in the destruction of the second of the se

24.404

一之后,你们们的公司的这些礼服。

Harron Langer a traininge. Ringer Perlang Tanukas Internetienen

L BL. MELLING

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12737</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dentes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to C. K. Cheeseman to construct two single family units on Lot 44 and 45 (except the north 15 ft.), Block 80, City Heights, said units crossing the lot line; this parcel not of record at time of zoning; on the west side of 41st Street between Landis and Dwight Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

FEB 19 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shalf the revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
By_DEfouth
200 Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8032

Dated _____, 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received $2 - 4 - 54$	_ By	U.Beight Fity Planning Department	
Investigation Made $2 - 17 - 54$	By _	Jones Levelt & South City Planning Department	
Considered by Board of Adjustment _2-	17	_ Decision _ appr	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-19$ Building Inspector $2-24-54$			
Planning Commission $2 - 24$ Petitione	r	-19 Health Dept. <u>2-24-54</u>	

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12710</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Joe and Ellen Strum to construct a single family residence and duplex crossing the lot line of Lots 47 and 48, Block 15, City Heights, southwest corner of Thorn and Vancouver Streets, Zone R-2, making three units on this property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Of Gifty Glork

FEB 19 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires to DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By.

60

BOARD	OF ZONING	ADJUSTMENT
CITY OF	SAN DIEGO), CALLFORNIA
	- //	

Dated ______, 19_54

10RM 1323

x	10	-	Ace	and	A
	Zon	ing	Admin	istra	tor

Res. No. 8033

Application Received _ 2 - 1 - 5 - 4 By b. Tasch City Planning Depa	rtment
Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By Jones Landt & City Planning Depa	South_
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 2 ~ 17 Decision _ Coppr	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _2 - 19 Building Inspector _2 -	24-54
Planning Commission $2 - 24$ Petitioner $5 - 19$ Health Dept. 2	24-54

and a second of the second of the second second

- デモー(アー子来)の「本日日」

· 403-5

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____12390 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Wilbert L. and Anna C. Gilley to maintain the recent interior alterations made in a building having a 5-foot rear yard, with approximately 2 feet between buildings, on the east 70 ft. of Lot 28 and the east 70 ft. of south 12-1/2 ft. of Lot 29, Block 254, University Heights, Zone R-4; on condition that all necessary building permits are obtained.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clerk

FEB 18 1954

DIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null after the down done l be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

	BOA	RD	OF Z	ONING	ADJU	STME	NT	
	CITY	OF	SAN	DIEGO	, CA	LIFOF	IA	10
R.				202	EL	and	XX	
Jy -	105	Z	oning	Administ	rator	Res.	No.	80

FORM 1323

Dated

Feb. 17

19.

Application Received 2-1-54 By Jan This City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By Jones Landt + South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2-17</u> Decision <u>consil approximation</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-18$ Building Inspector $2-24-54$
Planning Commission $2 - 24$ Petitioner $2 - 18$ Health Dept. $2 - 24$

s selence to be the state of making a selection of the second of the second of a second of a second of a second of a second s

化金属合金的合金的 法律师问题 山顶的 分

AND THE ALL THE ALL THE THE ALL THE THE ALL TH

all a had to

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12716</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to C. M. and Allouise Weeks to rebuild garage attached to residence and convert to an apartment, making three units on the property, one unit to have an 8-1/2 ft. access court to street, on Lots 34 and 35, Elock 4, Ocean Beach Park, Zone R-2, at 4643 Long Branch Ave.; on condition that surfaced off-street parking for two cars be provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Filed in Office

of Gity Clork

FEB 18 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and the the eboweheddle be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

222

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated __ Feb. 17 __ 19 __ 54

FORM 1323

Application Received _ 1 - 2 7 - 5 - P By Van Africa City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-17-54 · By Jones Leut & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 2-17 Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 12^{\circ}$ Building Inspector $2 - 24 - 54^{\circ}$
Planning Commission $2-24$ Petitioner $5-18$ Health Dept. $2-14-54$

to a converte de la la la la construir a la construir de la co

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12727</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______ dversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. J. Gordon Peters to construct a 3-unit apartment building on Lots 40 and 41, Block 22, Ocean Beach, on the westerly side of Narragansett Ave., 200 feet southerly of Ebers Street, Zone R-2; on condition that surfaced off-street parking for three cars is provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clark

FEB 18 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Res. No. 8036

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and the indexed shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Munic. ipal Code Section 101.0506).

	BOARD	OF ZONING	ADJUSTMENT
), CALIFORNIA
By		DE	Le th

Zoning Administrator

FORM 1323

Dated _ Feb. 17

, 19_54

Application Received _ 2 - 8 - 54 By Van Hice City Planning Department	-
Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By <u>Rauet</u> Jones - Monte City Planning Department	
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3 - 17 - 54$ Decision $appr.$	_
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 18$ Building Inspector $2 - 24 - \sqrt{4}$	-
Planning Commission $\underbrace{\Sigma - 2\gamma}_{Petitioner} \underbrace{\Sigma - 1\beta}_{Health Dept.} \underbrace{\Sigma - 2\gamma}_{Fetitioner}$	•

and the second of the second o

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12675</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict applied ion of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ Necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the shirt of the adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Rex I. Officer, owner, and A. C. Woodward, lessee, to continue operation of a sand washer and conveyor line to sand plant, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1109, northerly of Mission Valley Road, approximately 1/2 mile east of Texas St., Zone R-LA; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the landscaping be maintained in good condition at all times;
- 2. That the dust and smoke be kept to a minimum;
- 3. That the loud speaker system be controlled so that it does not annoy the neighbors.

4. This permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1957.

By.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _Feb. 17 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received _ 2 - 4 - J-4 By _ Mail City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By Jones Landt Florth City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 2-17 Decision _ could apper.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-19}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-34-54}{4-54}$
Planning Commission $\frac{2-24}{2-24}$ Petitioner $\frac{2-19}{4}$ Health Dept. $\frac{2-24}{-24}$

e the second a second and the second of the second second of the second s sound to and the set of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12738</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Edward G. and Ozelle Nadine Rupe, owners, and M. W. and Mary Jane Morris, purchasers, to redivide Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 7, American Park, into two equal parcels, 62-1/2 ft. by 80 ft., and construct a single family residence on each parcel, southeast corner of Brandywine and Princeton, Zone R-1; on condition that a 15-foot setback be observed on Princeton and the 15-foot setback be maintained on Brandywine.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Of City Clerk

FEB 19 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shappings to vare automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

4-420

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated Feb. 17

19_54

Application Received 2-3-J-4 By 6. Taach City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _2-17 Decision _ Could appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-19}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-24-54}{2-24-54}$
Planning Commission $2 - 24$ Petitioner $3 - 19$ Health Dept. $2 - 24 - 54$

the state of the same and a state of the sta

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____12735 ____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and in-tent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to the Shure Theater Corporation to construct a concrete block wall, approximately 12 feet high, measured from the subject property, the plans to be approved by the Zoning Administrator; on a portion of Lots 1 and 2 of Pueblo Lot 219, on the southwesterly corner of Midway Drive and West Point Loma Blvd ... Zones R-C and C.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0624 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filsd in Office wif Götty Clark

FEB 19 1054

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and QUAR and Shall be YE voked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

245

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Date	d	-
FORM	11	3

Feb. 17

54

Application Received <u>2-9-54</u> By U.A. Beights City Planning Department Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By Jones, South & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $2-17$ Decision Could appril
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-19}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-24-54}{2-24-54}$
Planning Commission 2-24 Petitioner 2-19 Health Dept. 2-24-1-4

acu

with And it is a by proceed to the hear design and a concentre . concentr

securindo to the revisions a midipal of l'income as, and is harmy manual as to the preside it as otated (boys, income reaction to the procepty description note.

1100日 1100

51 . dog

WHEREAS, Zone Variance App**Preserve**No. __ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and in-tent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denies) the following:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7940 dated December 9, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 7386, dated May 13, 1953, be granted to Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct a duplex with 4-foot rear yard on Lots 15 thru 19, Block 23, San Diego Property Union, 33rd and Elm Streets, Zone R-2. A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and, is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

Bv

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated

Feb. 17

54

19

1ac

Application Received _ 2 - 2 - 5 + By Mail City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>2-17-54</u> By <u>Gault Jones & South</u> City Pranning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $2-17$ Decision <u>6 2000</u> . Eff. appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2-35$ Building Inspector $5-24-59$
Planning Commission 2-24 Petitioner 2-23 Health Dept. 2-24-54

466

Mile = 1000 mile = 1 mile 100 mile 100 mile 100 mile 100 mile 10 mile 10 mile 10 mile 100 mile 10

letter dated 2-2-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance ApplicationCNC ______ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will ______ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dence) the following:

that a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7941 dated December 9, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 7387, dated May 19, 1953, be granted to Frank J. and Helen N. Dingeman to construct a duplex with 4-foot rear yard on Lots 20 thru 24, Block 23, San Diego Property Union, 33rd and Elm Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By ____

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated Feb. 17 19 54

FORM 1323
Application Received <u>2 - 2 - 5 - 4</u> By <u>City Planning Department</u>
Investigation Made <u>Z-17-J-9</u> By Jones Loudt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>2-17</u> Decision <u>6 mo. set. appr</u> .
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $2 - 23$ Building Inspector $2 - 24 - 54$
Planning Commission $2-24$ Petitioner $5-23$ Health Dept. $2-24-54$

and the second second

and a second second

And a final a second a sharange invation and and a most a most a second field in the second and second a second a second a second and a second and a second a se

(a) an investigation of the second of the second second second second second second second as a second s Second s Second se

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12734 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (sterrices); the following:

Permission is herebya granted to J. C. Collins to remodel an existing bathroom in the rear unit of an illegal existing duplex and provide the required 3-foot side yard, per plot plan on file in the Planning Office, on Lots 30 and 31, Block 197. City Heights, 40222 35th St., Zones R-4 and C; on condition that the regulations of the Building and Health Departments be complied with on the rear unit, and the portion of the building now having zero side yard be used for business purposes only and not for living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. of Gity Clerk

FEB 23 1954

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and OUNCIL explicit for CHEVoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the cheve door truction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

Bv

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

19 54 Feb. 17 Dated_

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8042

Application Received 2-9-54 By Van Here City Planning Department
Investigation Made 2-17-54 By Juilt South & Jones City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 2-17 Decision _ Could appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{2-2^5}{3}$ Building Inspector $\frac{2-24-54}{3}$
Planning Commission 2-24 Petitioner 2-23-54 Health Dept. 2-24-54

and and an algorithmetal of sight is the of the level of the level of a second of the level of the second of the s

25 . 10

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **12769** has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (defines) the following:

Permission is hereby, granted to the City of San Diego to erect a water tank (to be known as Redwood Village Water Tank) on a portion of Lots 24 and 25, Waterville Heights, as shown on Water Dept. Map 5963-W, approximately 550 ft. north of the west end of Hughes Street, Zone R-4, on condition that appropriate landscaping be planted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

By Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8043 3 - 114 + 3 - 120 - 1

FORM 1323

Application Received By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3. 3 - 1 - 4</u> By Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-3-5P</u> Decision <u>appr</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 4$ Building Inspector $3 - 7 - \sqrt{-4}$
Planning Commission 3-5 Petitioner 3-4 Health Dept. 3-8-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **12672** has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will **not** be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denness) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan Z. Bohanan to construct a duplex with 5-foot setback on Vancouver Street, on Lot 19, Block Q, Montclair, on the northwesterly corner of intersection of Maple and Vancouver Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

16

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______, 1

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8044

Application Received 2-17-J-4 By 5 Track City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-5-v-y</u> By Jone Loudt v South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\underline{3-4}$ Building Inspector $\underline{3-8-0-4}$
Planning Commission <u>3-8</u> Petitioner <u>3-4</u> Health Dept. <u>3-5-5-9</u>

I minister is hur-by a des us and a second some to make a make a struct a struct set 5-200 k antication and a conservation of a set is list to grant with a thread with the set of the reaction of a give and the function of the set of the set of the set of the set of the out that is is interaction of a give and the function of the set of

Y substructed the provinciant of metal of one entroled by and its nearby cannot be to blo presched as above, down, a second better to the property derenticed above. WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>**12671**</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denoes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Alan Z. Bohanan to construct residence and garage with 5-foot setback on Haller St., Lots 27, 28 and 29, Block R, Montclair, on east side of Haller St., approximately 100 ft. south of Maple, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 3 _____ 19 ___54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8045

87

By_

Application Received <u>2-17-54</u> By <u>6. Tasch</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-J-4</u> By Jone Fault & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-3 Decision Appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-4 Building Inspector 3-8-5-
Planning Commission 3.8 Petitioner 3-4 Health Dept. 3-8-V-Y

and an and a subject of the first of the second strategy and the second strategy of the second strategy and the second strateg

... Freinand in bie residiant er mit igni fene i line in , fand i fenere er en er er te ble er buige i er no ber grinner pris in in in te be us sim programpe an eribed shows. WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12715</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (decrees) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to The Oneira Club, Mrs. T. D. Morris, President, to construct a 9-foot by 12-foot addition to an existing club house having a zero side yard, the addition to observe the required 3-foot side yard, on Lot 23, Block 40, Normal Heights, 4649 Hawley Blvd., Zone R-4; on condition that the addition meet all the requirements of the Building Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3 , 19 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8046

Application Received 2-15-59 By Van Arice City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-54</u> By <u>Sandt Janes - South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 3-3-V-4 Decision _ concil app.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 4$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - \sqrt{-4}$
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-4 Health Dept. 3-8-V-4

A what have as a rook fam a maintail and the all and is not in the name of the same of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12698</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would <u>not</u> deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is <u>not</u> necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to C. H. and Phyllis P. Houston to maintain an existing third unit constructed without permit, having a 4-foot access court, on Lot 10, Block 1, Idyllwild, at 3790 Curlew St., Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

By___

Application Received $2 - 18 - 5 - 4$ By	City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-54</u> By	Jour doudt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3 - 3$	_ Decision derical
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-4$	
Planning Commission <u>3-8</u> Petitioner <u>3</u>	<u>- 4</u> Health Dept. <u>- 8 - 5 - 4</u>

and any provide a second state of the second s

e in the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12630</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Clairemont Company to construct and operate a gas service station - four gas islands, wash and grease rack, office building - on Lot 1184, Clairemont Unit No. 7, at the southeast corner of Balboa Ave. and Clairemont Drive, Zone R-C; subject to the conditions listed on the attached sheet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5251 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

433

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3, 19_54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator

Application Received _ 2-15- 5-4 By _ By _ CityPlanning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-54</u> By <u>Kaust Janes & South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-3-54</u> Decision <u>considered</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 5$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - 5 - 4$
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-1 Health Dept. 3-8-0-4

· 247 - 22-3

Resolution No. 8048 - Clairemont Co.

March 3, 1954

Conditions -

- 1. That appropriate landscaping be planted and maintained in good condition at all times; the plans to be submitted and approved by the Board of Architectural Review;
- That this be for a service station only with no mechanical or automobile repair work;
- 3. That the hours of operation be from 6:00 a.m. until 10:00 p.m.;
- That a trash enclosure with receptacles for refuse be provided, to be emptied at least twice a week, or more frequently if necessary;
- 5. That there will be no dumping of trash in the adjacent canyon at any time;
- 6. That all exterior lighting to be erected on the property and directed away from the R-l area;
- 7. That no signs are approved on this resolution other than those permitted in the R-C zone;
- 8. That all plans of structures to be approved by the Board of Architectural Review.

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles & Marguerite Ballew and I. E. Branlett to redivide Lots 21, 22 and 23, Block 7, American Park Addition, into two parcels,/ 62-1/2 ft. by 75 ft., and construct a single family residence on each parcel, on the northeast corner of Princeton and Bunker Hill, Zene R-1; on condition that a 15-foot setback on Princeton and a 10-foot setback on Bunker Hill are observed.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated

March 3

19_54

By _____ Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8049

Application Received $2 - 23 - 59$	By J. Tasch City Planning Department			
Investigation Made $3 - 3 - \sqrt{-4}$	By Landt Jones + South City Planning Department			
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-5				
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-4</u> Building Inspector <u>5-8-5-4</u>				
Planning Commission <u>3-8</u> Petitioner	3-4 Health Dept. 3-8-1-4			

Louis a state and a state of the state of th

AS A PARTY AND A PARTY AND A PARTY AND A

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12782</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank Faust and H. C. Kelly to erect a 6 ft. by 6 ft. neon sign to be used in conjunction with service station business on Lot 38 of Point Loma Villas, on the westerly corner of Poinsettia Drive and Voltaire St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

1-224

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

11	20	83		1	25	2	9
	æ		M		80	Sec. 1	0

Dated

March 3

19_54

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8050

Application Received <u>2 - 19 - 54</u> By <u>J. M ^e Connell</u> City Planning Department				
Investigation Made <u>3-3-v-4</u> By <u>Sandt Jones & South</u> City Planning Department				
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision				
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-5$ Building Inspector $5-8-54$				
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5-54 Health Dept. 3-8-54				

were and the second second and the second of the second second second second second second second second second and the product of the second second with the second second the second of the second second second second second the second se

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **12758** has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denties) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph S. Smith to construct a bedroom addition, approximately 11 ft. by 18 ft., to residence which is one of four units on Lots 18 and 19, Block 58, Ocean Beach, two of which are served by 6 ft. 3 in. access court, located at 4922 Saratoga St., between Bacon and Cable Sts., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By___

1-220

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	March 3	19	54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8051

Application Received _ 2 - 15 - 54 By Jan Kese City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3.3-54</u> By Sandly Jourse & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-3</u> Decision <u>approx</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-5$ Building Inspector $5-8-v-4$
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5-54 Health Dept. 3-8-54

vir insign in new by granted to complete filter to combine by intermediation, bing of use instance if it.c. by it To, an epitable to arts is optical form and to an ioca of an 10, instance, count when, and of mission for or the filt. is access ours, is concepted of the second back of the second back of the second ours, is concepted of the second back of the second back of the second.

territade to the residence of minute des 10.000 et. is an entry meaned

the set of the second second second

。 1 (台住市

· voil brutens

Congress and a second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12753</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack Blackwell to divide the northeasterly 50 ft. of the southwesterly 100 ft. of Block 14, Loma Alta Unit No. 1 into two parcels, one 50 ft. by 100 ft., the other 50 ft. by 138 ft., and construct a single family residence on each, on Mendocino and Venice near Brighton, Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That curbing and sidewalk be installed on Venice Street according to the requirements of the City Engineering Office;
- That half-width paving be installed on Venice Street if required by the City Engineering Department. (No improvements required on Mendocino).

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793 be, and is hereby granted as to the dparticulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

1-223

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____ March 3, 19 ___ 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator

Application Received _ 2 - 10 - 5 4 By _ Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation Made 3- 3- 5-4 By South Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-3-5-4 Decision _ could appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3- J</u> Building Inspector <u>3- 8- J-4</u>
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5-54 Health Dept. 3-8-54

et a some some elt or it some it is in guidants i is .

and the second s

28

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>letter 2/4/54</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That Resolution No. 7760, dated Sept. 30, 1953, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. C. and Margaret Reidner to replace a garage less than 70 ft. from the front property line with a 14 ft. by 21 ft. carport having a 6-inch side yard, and to erect a 5 ft. by 10 ft. storage room addition to rear of carport, as shown on plans on file in the Planning Office; on Lot 22, Aurora Heights, 2735 Dale St., Zone R-1; on condition that the storage room conforms architecturally with the carport.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 3</u>, 19 <u>54</u>

FORM 1323

By ____

0

Application Received _ 2 - 11 - 54 By _ mail City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-54</u> By <u>Samuel Jones & Satt</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3.3 Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-5$ Building Inspector $3-8-\sqrt{4}$
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5 Health Dept. 3-8-54

should an entry should be added the added where a the added a little and the

Is included in rely provided by a construction of the terretion of the set of the set

visiture so the revision of marginal one but the tag of the religion when to the practices of the solution of the solution of the solution of the resided by. WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **letter** 2/23/54 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7746, dated September 16, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 7259, dated March 18, 1953, be granted to Robert S. and Dorothy H. Teague to erect a duplex on portion of Albert Street closed, north of Myrtle Street, between Herbert and Richmond Streets, T. J. Higgins, per legal description on file in the Planning Office, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

les By

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FORM 1323

Dated

March 3

19_

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8054

Application Received 2-23-5-4 By Mil City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-59</u> By <u>Landt</u> for a forth Gity Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3-3-54$ Decision final eff. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-5$ Building Inspector $3-8-54$ Planning Commission $3-8$ Petitioner $3-5-54$ Health Dept. $3-8-54$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - \sqrt{3}$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - \sqrt{3}$
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5-54 Health Dept. 3-8-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. letter2/23/54 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7747, dated September 16, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 7260, dated March 18, 1953, be granted to Robert S. and Dorothy H. Teague to erect a duplex with 10-foot setback on Myrtle St., on the portion of Albert Street closed, north of Myrtle Street, between Herbert and Richmond Streets, T. J. Higgins, per Legal description on file in the Planning Office, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 19_54 64

FORM 1323

Application Received <u>2:23-54</u> By <u>Muil</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-5-4</u> By Laut forces - South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-3-54 Decision final aft.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 5$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - 57$
Planning Commission <u>3-8</u> Petitioner <u>3-5-59</u> Health Dept. <u>3-8-59</u>

 vierinter do bis provisione of mutulpic cost units bits may can do units prevent on to bis previsions (bibs) hove, insert r or depression to bis regularity estimated above. WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **letter 2-19-54** has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denoises) the following:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7670, dated August 19, 1953, be granted to Robert A. and Mary Nell Bohler to construct a single family residence on the southwesterly half of Lots 9 thru 12, Block 51, Middletown Addition, northwesterly corner of Guy and Pringle Streets, Zone R-4; the residence to observe a 12-foot setback on Pringle and a 15-foot setback on Guy, with 10-foot setback on Guy for the balcony, as shown on plans on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3 , 19 54

140

By

FORM 1323

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-3-4</u> By Fault Jona & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-3 Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-J Building Inspector 3-8-J-4
Planning Commission $3-5$ Petitioner $3-5$ Health Dept. $3-8-5$

a varianda ba baa jervahahen oo amahahen aaa maanaan wa, dee fa meringa eranga. Aa ba bee paradari ah ab ba bad ahaa, feradar aa bia, reak ba baa baa jereyara, daa barjea about. WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12808</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to City of San Diego Civil Defense and Edwin W. and Peggy Meise to erect and operate a ground observation corps post on Lot 83, Rosement Addition, on Scimitar between Broadway and Winnett Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 19_54

CRM 1323

By_

3-154

Zoning Administrator

Application Received $2 - 23 - 54$	By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-5-5-4</u>	. (/
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3- 🌾	7-3 Decision _ appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	4 Building Inspector 3-8-54
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner	<u>3-4-54</u> Health Dept. <u>3-8</u>

and the second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>letter 3-19-54</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dented) the following:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7800, dated October 14, 1953, be granted to Robert and Carmelita B. Wallihan, purchasers, to construct a single family residence with zero setback on Hawk Street, and to observe the average setback of the block on Walnut Street, being the east 50 ft. of Lots 13 thru 18, Block 435, Resub. of Pueblo Lot 1122, northwest corner of Walnut and Hawk Streets, Zone R-1.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0603 be, and is hereby granted as to the pargiculars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

1/2 By -

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3, 19

FORM 1323

19 54

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8057

Application Received 2 - 20 - 59 By Tunie City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-J-Y</u> By <u>Landt Jones + South</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-3</u> Decision <u>Appr. 6 m.</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 7$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - 74$
Planning Commission $3 - 8$ Petitioner $3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - $

int a representation of car months area and begin ploantice of a malaniar of 210; aread toppade if y 1080, he praced to address an our waller of 10.13 tan, (the data of an equiparties of dath of this realined to address areadons? of this tank, (the data of or soft is three life, if and of all block of another or ty boing out to be of inder is three life, if and and, carter of a contract or ty boing out of the class of a contract of and and contract of a contract of the second of inder is three life, if and and contract of a contract of the second class of a contract of the second of a contract of a contract of the second of class of a contract of a contract of a contract of the second of the second of class of a contract of the second of class of the second of the second

e viednade per ple restricte actuation de la cale à l'édéte de la le region de la cale de le constructe en cal Le vie die franklaht ressioned souver dinger as le print de la bis die le calende en calende en calende en calend

6 11212
WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12597</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to J. B. and Ester E. Abrahamson to construct a single family unit above a two-car garage crossing the lot line, with a concrete slab to the west for additional parking, on Lots 35 and 36, Block 208, Pacific Beach, making five units on the property, three units being served by a 6-foot access court, on the north side of Garnet between Olney and Pendleton Streets, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

1. That a 10-foot access be maintained from the 6-foot access court to the alley;

DE

2. That the two lots will held in one ownership and never sold separately.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the aparticulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

311

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 3 , 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received 12-8-53 By J. TSeights City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-54</u> By Findt Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-3 Decision _ considerappo.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 5$ Building Inspector $3 - 8 - \sqrt{-9}$
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5-54 Health Dept. 3-8-54

and the second secon

- L. The side of an active by ministry of the benefit of a strict of the second sec
- a de de la contra de la contra de la contra de la contra de la de la desta contra de la desta de la contra de la

 WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>letter 2-9-54</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That Resolution No. 1750, dated September 12, 1946, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Caudell and Johnson to manufacture concrete products on the Westerly side of the Northerly extension of Texas Street, beginning approximately 450 ft. south of Friar's Road on the Northerly 100 ft. of the Easterly 300 ft. of the Northeasterly Quarter of Pueblo Lot 1108, also that portion of Pueblo Lot 1173 lying east and south of Friar's Road, Zone R-LAS, on condition that the area now being used is not increased. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1947, N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 3___, 19_54___

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8059

FORM 1323

Application Received _ 2 - 10 - 5-4 By Tune City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-3-\$4</u> By <u>Jankt</u> , Jones & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 3-3 Decision _ App.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-5 Building Inspector 3-8-54
Planning Commission 3-8 Petitioner 3-5 Health Dept. 3-8-54

: with a second of a second and a second a s

WHEREAS, Conditional Use Permit Application No. <u>12755</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That the proposed use at the particular location is ______ necessary or desirable to provide a service or facility which will contribute to the general well-being of the neighborhood or the community.
- 2. That such use will <u>not</u>, under the circumstances of the particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property or improvements in the vicinity.
- That the proposed use will _____ comply with the regulations and conditions specified in the Code for such use.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Anita Youngk, owner, and Mary C. and Olive Armstrong, purchasers and operators, to construct and operate a convalescent nursing home, maximum of 30 single rooms, on Lot 7, Block 5, La Canyada Villa Tract, 3704 Tenth St., Zone R-1, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a minimum of 28 ft. be kept for parking of cars and driveway along the north side of the building:
- 2. That a 6-foot concrete block wall be constructed along the north property line to the setback line, continuing with a 4-foot wall to the front property line;
- 3. That the rear existing residence be used only for the home of the owner and living quarters for the nurses, not for patients;
- 4. That there be a maximum of thirty patients;
- 5. That there be no contagious diseases and no operation room;
- 6. That all regulations and requirements of the Fire Marshal's Office, the Building Dept. and the Health Dept. be complied with;
- 7. That the final plans be approved by the Board of Architectural Review.

A variance to the provision of ordinance No. 12988 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars taked how a during a sthey relate to the property described above.

MAR 18 1954

Any Conditional Expires to DAYS after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

2-66

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17 , 19 54

By_

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8060

FORM 1322

Application Received 2 - 16 - 5 - 4 By 2.	E. South City Planning Department
$3-3-54 \neq By = 1$ Investigation Made = 3-17-54 By = 9	City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3 - 17 - 54$ Deci	sion comil appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-18 Buildi	ng Inspector <u>3-23-54</u>
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-18	

the second se

. in the state of the second s

and a second particular to the second and a second s

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12767</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would <u>not</u> deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is <u>not</u> necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>be injurious</u> to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENHED to William E. Jacob to erect a mean directional sign approximately 10-1/2 ft. long by 5-1/2 ft. high, 16 ft. above sidewalk, projecting 5 ft. over street, on center post, with words - "Bel Mar Motel", with arrow, on the west 73 ft. of Lot 6, Block 19, Bayview Homestead, at the northeast corner of 9th and Ash Streets, Zone R-4.

Application for a variance to the provisions of ordinance No. 12987 be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated of the Cherry described above.

MAR 18 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

								STMEN		
By	P	$\mathcal{O}_{\overline{q}}$	2	-V	la. minis	in	tx	_		
2-2	6	L	onin	g Ach	011115	tra	cor	Res.	No,	8061

Dated ______, 19 ____

FO	RM	13	23
----	----	----	----

Application Received _ 2-26-54 By U. Beights Ofty Planning Department				
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones doubt & South City Planning Department				
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-17 Decision Denied				
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-18$ Building Inspector $3-23-54$				
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-18 Health Dept. 3-23-54				

and the second second a second s

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12824</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will **mot**___ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to James W. Morgan to excavate approximately 3,000 cu. yd., & to make 15 ft. excavation, soil to be removed from the property, at the southwest corner of 39th & Beech Sts., and the southeast corner of 38th and Beech Sts., on the northerly portion of Block 42, Marilou Park, Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That cut slopes to commence not less than 5 ft. from any dedicated street;
- 2. That the slopes to be maximum of one unit horizontal to one unit vertical;
- 3. That all cut slopes shall be broken by a 5 ft. wide horizontal bench at intervals of 15 ft. difference in elevation;
- 4. That all cut slopes be planted sufficiently to prevent erosion;
- 5. That the bottom of all cuts and fill areas be sloped toward streets at a grade of approximately one percent;
- 6. That any additional requirements of the City Engineering Dept. be complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No.4796 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the dparticulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

Dated Harch Tatle' The above date.

MAR 18 1954

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Zoning Administrator

Application Received <u>3-5-54</u> By <u>N. Beighto</u> City Planning Department				
Investigation Made 3-17- J-Y By Jones Landt & South City Planning Department				
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-17 Decision Conde appr.				
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>3-18</u> Building Inspector <u>3-23-14</u>				
Planning Commission 3-29 Petitioner 3-18 Health Dept. 3-23-54				

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12814</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ________ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Harvey P. and Janet O. Parry to make alterations to existing lower floor of residence and convert to living unit, making three units on Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Buena Vista Tract, southeast corner of 41st and C Streets, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Filed in Unico of City Clerk

MAR 18 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

2-159

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator

Application Received <u>3-4-54</u> By <u>V. Beight</u> City Planning Department				
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Fault & South City Planning Department				
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision _ appr.				
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-18$ Building Inspector $3-23-54$				
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-18 Health Dept. 3-23-5-4				

e de la companya de l

and a second second second second as a second manifestation of the second second second second second second se

the internet a second and and a start of the start of a second started started and

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12766</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Stone E. and Elizabeth Wahl to conduct a retail mail order sales of earthworms on Lots 23 thru 25, Block 4, Morrison's Marscene Park, Office 411 Morrison Street, Zone R-2, subject to the following conditions: Of City Clerk

- 1. That there be no signs;
- 2. That there be no customers coming to the premises;
- 3. That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1956.

MAR 18 1954

RIGHI OF APPEAL TO CITY A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 N.S. be, and is hereduncing to DAYS the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property deficities bove date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

2-160

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated	-Harch 17-,	19 _54
-------	-------------	--------

FORM 1323

Application Received 2 - 23 - 54 By Van King Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Loudt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision _ Condle appl.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-18$ Building Inspector $3-23-54$
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-18 Health Dept. 3-23-54

and the top a destruction of the second state and the second state of the second state of the peak of the second state of the

a men and the state of a set and and a set of the advection and a set of

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12759</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will **_____** be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (depres) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to John L. Lewis to convert an existing storage building with one-foot side yard to an apartment, on Lot 17, Block 51, Olmsted and Low Addition, 409 Langley, Zone R-4; on condition that the building complies with all Building Dept. requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clerk

MAR 19 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By___

2-30

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

n 1	March 17	10	54
Dated		19 _	

FORM 1323

Application Received 2-23-54 B	y <u>J. M & Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-0-9</u> B	
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3-17$	Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk _3.1	Z Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner	

en for design, op mutle, for er par construct die de leis en den de leis fridding parplier site til building fore confronted dytri are ta the provision of mutrical code 100.000 by ton sitementy grantes are to the presiduation of more include to the follow of by the sitementy of the officient lower.

entransion in neroby which is ten a finite to shrong on eaching the entropy of each

TTD CALL ANTING TO THOMA CLARK CONDUCTION STOD CONDUCTIONS (AND CONDUCTION)

and the second first and a second second

RESOLUTION No. 117643

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows: The appeal of Dr. Jack J. Kimbrough, 2961 Franklin Avenue, from the decision of the Board of Zoning Adjustment's Resolution No.8066, Application No. 12796, for permission to erest a residence with a 10-foot setback on Lots 13,14,15, Block G. Arlington, on the southwest corner of 40th Street and Gamma Street, in Zone R-4, instead of the 5-foot setback applied for; be, and it is hereby sustained; and said Board of Zoning Adjustment is hereby overruled.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. <u>117643</u> of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council <u>April 15, 1954</u>

	FRED	w.	SICK	
377743			City Clerk	7.0
By	HELEN	í M.	WILLIG	
Бу			Deputy	

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12796 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack J. Kimbrough to erect a residence with 10-foot setback on Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block G, Arlington, on the southwest corner of 40th and Gamma Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.



MAR 1 9 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 17 19 54 Dated

By _____ Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8066

2-48

FORM 1323

Application Received <u>3-8-54</u> By <u>J. M. Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Randt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>epper</u> .
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-19$ Building Inspector $3-23-1-9$
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-23-1-4

conduction is a condition of a statement of controls in the description of the description to de an normally locally "deads of controls, or the continue to evaluation of all is set the formally formally."

the second of a second state that the second of the second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12843</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to E. C. DeClue to construct a single family residence on the east 50 ft. of Lot 63, except the northerly 150 feet, of Las Alturas Villa Sites, on the north side of Logan Ave., and end of street east of Euclid, Zone R-l; subject to the following condition:

That curbing be installed and half the width of the street be paved along the full width of the property, according to the requirements of the Engineering Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5088 N. Officiend is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, inserting as they relate to the property described above.

MAR 19 1954

Any Zone Variance granted by the City Stall Of AuffAnd void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective able in the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires after see Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated __March 17_, 19_54__

FORM 1323

Application Received <u>3-8-14</u> By <u>Van Alice</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Fault & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>coule appr</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-19 Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-23-54

the second definition to second and the second s

and the second state of the second state and any second state of the second state and a second state of

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12747 ____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and in-tent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Shirley W. and May C. Richardson to construct an addition to an existing residence, connecting the residence and garage, the garage having a one ft. side yard where 4 ft. is required, on Lots 27 and 28, except the westerly 40 ft., Block 5, Alhambra Park, 4504 - 51st Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

MAR 19 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and head book automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

3-102

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 17 19_

Dated

FORM 1323

Application Received <u>3-4.54</u> By <u>b. Tasch</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-JTY</u> By Jones Landt's South
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-17 Decision Appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3 - 19$ Building Inspector $3 - 23 - 54$
Planning Commission 3.23 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-13-54

sublation of the first states of reside 1 one 1 h. one in the second residence of the second of the

call a second by the second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12797</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Charles S. May to move a 11 ft. by 13 ft. by 12 ft. storage building to Lots 13 thru 16, Block 45, City Heights, at 4045 Van Dyke Ave., Zone R-4, on condition that the building is approved by the Board of Architectural Review.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Filed in Offico of Gity Clerk

> > MAR 1 9 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall dicembed and event, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

2-91

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17 , 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received 2-23-54 By U. Berghts Gity Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 3-17 Decision _ approx.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-19 Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 323 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-23-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12825</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Meadow M. Harris to construct a duplex on the rear of Lots 16 and 17, Block 123, City Heights, making three units on two R-2 lots, at 3129 Thirty-minth Street, on condition that three paved parking spaces are provided and maintained on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

> Filed in Office of Gity Clerk

MAR 19 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19_5	4
----------------------	---

FORM 1323

2-86

By_

Application Received $3 - 2 - 3 - 9$ By	5. Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation Made $3 - 17 - \sqrt{-4}$ By	, City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u>	Decision _ appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-19$ Bu	ilding Inspector <u><u>3-> 3 4</u></u>
Planning Commission 3-27 Petitioner 3-	9 Health Dept. 3-23-3-4

an antipunt a data ban an management a shakar na shi karan a shi an ara da ana da ara da ara da ara ara ara ar

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12820</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

 That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances of conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.

MAR 22 1954

- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the application of ADDIMASSING (Type of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ COLINCING STRESS to DAXS reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granteficely the COVE delthe minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies): the following:

Permission is hereby granted to George E. and Beverly Crowle to construct and operate an outdoor swimming pool for gymnasium members and general public, on Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 195, City Heights, the pool to be partially on Lot 31 which is in the R-4 zone, the bath house to be located in the 6 zone portion of property; and to construct an 8-foot concrete block wall along the north line of the west 35 ft., to be constructed a minimum of 18 inches from the existing frame building on the adjacent property and to continue with an 8-foot concrete block wall along the alley line to the proposed garage on the south property line; to construct a concrete block wall on top of an existing retaining wall not to extend above the sills of the windows of apartment building on adjacent property and to continue with Alsynite, making a maximum wall of 8 feet, an Alsynite roof to be permitted along that portion of the wall, with adequate drainage to be provided to divert water away from the adjacent property; according to the plans submitted and on file in the City Planning Office. This property is located at 3920 - 33rd St.

This property is located at 3920 - 33rd St. A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19 54

FORM 1323

By _____

Application Received <u>3-3-1-4</u> By <u>Van Aria</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-2-2$ Building Inspector $3-23-3-5-5$
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health Dept. 3-23-54

which is the state of the second of the second s

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12822</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions and preculiat Consuch land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neither house.

MAR 22 1954

- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ One essary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Guzower to construct a 24-foot by 26-foot store addition to an existing non-conforming residence and store, the existing store building having a zero side yard, on the southerly 40 feet of Lots 1 thru 4, Block 8, Park Addition, at 2326 - 30th St., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the proposed addition be a one-story structure;
- 2. That the addition conform architecturally with the existing building;
- 3. That adequate drainage be provided from the proposed building to the alley or street:
- 4. That the addition to be attached to the existing building and located on the the property as shown on plans submitted and on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

Bv

2-5-8

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17 . 19 54

FORM 1323

<u>JT</u>

Application Received <u>3-1- J-4</u> By <u>V. TSerghts</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Rangt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-17 Decision coude appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22 Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health Dept. 3-23-5-4

chitikaiaa ka k role groataat an istorregin as namendo i al- a tor, so-haa khow hitikan te na chirikan man-contribution in the first hard, in the crient of all of the term as muchica is with the groat of the cardening the contribution of here is the row of the set of as muchica is with the groat of the cardening the contribution of here is the row of the set of the set of the set of the groat of the set of the cardening the set of the set of

total and ad the still have been a strange of the still an an attended of the state

. Delay of middless of the sub-control of the fact that the state of the second of the second of the

a nativation on and the branch of an infinite rand disk bits hits the list headly transfer transfered we by star particularies as a second aborts, it and for an antit in 1956, an and temperate designed for

the should be new provide Link and the start and spin the start of such that the start of the start and the star

the state of the second of the state of the state of the second second second second second second second second

to the reason of the second of the second second second second

14

.

· here

.0.041

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12701</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will **not**___ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denness) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to H. E. Mann to convert existing storage room to rumpus room and bath with 6-foot rear yard, on Lot 1, Block 4, La Jolla Shores No. 1, at 7985 Paseo del Ocaso, Zone R-1, according to the plans on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of City Clerk

MAR 19 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, the boyed of revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By.

351

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17 , 19 54

FORM 1323

Application Received 2-23-1-9 By You Kize City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-0-4</u> By Jone Loudt + South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>Appr</u> .
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-19$ Building Inspector $3-23-5-4$
Planning Commission 7-23 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-23-5-4

circle is hereby practic on ..., were thready ut all the stronger point on any run way is black with (below cost point) differs, that , that , is (will direct any is the fit way will count, any will be costant to the pit me of the in the in differ of the.

variance to the restition of minimum hole tell. With by an in the tell of an tell a go the marinetary central bases into an is they ellate to the restitute to waters above.

A Stoll By Brack , and

ALAPTER ALAPTICAL TO CATA CENTROLE SKITHER TO LAKS ALAPTICAL SKITHER ALAR WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12812</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the fait or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neutrons. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance. MAR 22 1954
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the score of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the probuildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ offersite blovet deleasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will **not** be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (decrees) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Alex A. and Elizabeth Wise to erect a cabana, rest room and dressing rooms, with zero side and rear yards, to be used inconnecton with an existing swimming pool, on the southeasterly 75 ft. of the northeasterly 170 ft. of Lot 74, Point Loma Villas, 3635 Elliott St., Zone R-1; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the rest room and dressing rooms be a maximum of 200 sq. ft.;
- 2. That the open portion of the cabana to face the swimming pool;
- 3. That the construction to be located according to plot plan on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

1-227

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ March 17 _____, 19_54

6,

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8074

FORM 1323

Application Received <u>3-2-v-4</u> By <u>V. Builts</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Landt + South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>Conde appr</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-22$ Building Inspector $3-23-\sqrt{-y}$
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health Dept. 3-23-54

in quity statist. Araitans as an rescritere et indéloit rané l'articl et, at is conference.

a co alo para car car (Caco, Bors, Lansia a biografical, In The provincial date Love.

the state of the second s

Andre generation of the second secon second sec

manifestive and the antipped and the second and the second and the second second second second second second se

said and the second of the first build and second for comparison of the start of the second second

A STOLLAR

. . (.

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or sonditions are were by such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such condi-tions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.

MAR 22 1954

- That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the pro-2. visions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applic Right OF ADREAD TO STOP the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ COUNCISSEXPIRES In DAYS onable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted bofice boy date nimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (demotions) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Heimburge to construct a 13-unit apartment building with the required 9-foot setback, the balconies and fire-escape to observe a 5-foot setback, on Lot 39 of The Terrace, Sub. of Block 57 of La Jolla Park, 981 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

349

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 17 54 Dated 19____

FORM 1323
Application Received <u>3 - 11 - J-4</u> By <u><i>Rail</i></u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-v74</u> By Jones Landt y South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>could</u> cff.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-2^2$ Building Inspector $3-23-\sqrt{7}$
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health Dept. 3-23-54

are and a smally at lot 20 a the array rais and done if a lot date in a lot date way ret raise that, and the . The date as the reakistance is retained to a the Arthology at 10 the reaking of another the area and the second

12 X 7 21

serviceian la brevis en destain de l'an de l'an de l'andre es dennements e l'e-meis rennements de l'an L'altri, dit una propinse d'aeropt anda de, ble delberrin aus limbourdage de sh'h pris

letter dated March 4, 1954

has been considered by the WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are _____ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denies) the following:

That a FINAL EXTENSION of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7786 dated September 30, 1953, which extended Resolution No. 7307 dated April 1, 1953, be granted to Lorenzo D. and Bessie L. Estep to split out two parcels not of record at time of zoning, one 50 ft. wide, and one 70 ft. wide, and erect two living units on each parcel, being a portion of Lot 33, Lemon Villa, according to legal description and plot plan on file in the Planning Office; south side of Wightman Street at Ogden Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 5037 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. of Gitty Glork

MAR 1 9 1954

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null aRETHING, and Stat The Grevoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section To1.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _____, 19_54 FORM 1323

By_

Application Received $3 - 4 - \sqrt{-\varphi}$ By $\frac{Mail}{City Planning Department}$
Investigation Made <u>3-17-v-4</u> By Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision final ext. app.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-19$ Building Inspector $3-23-59$
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-23-54

letter dated March 8, 1954

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application Noc ______ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7725, dated September 16, 1953, be granted to Jay and Marcia Thomson to construct a single family residence on portion of Mira Monte Plaza closed adjacent to Lot 6, Block 25, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, Beaumont and Mira Monte, Zone R-1; siad parcel to be 61.5 ft. by 89.66 ft., as shown on Engineering Drawing 4896-B, on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1373 be and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

MAR 22 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19 54

FORM 1323

By_

Application Received $3 - 9 - v - 4$ By	Mail City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-19</u> By	Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3-17$	_ Decision _eft. appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22	Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3	

a reserve to the second and a second of the second of the

letter dated March 9, 1954

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7724, dated September 16, 1953, be granted to Ida M. Johnson to construct a single family residence on portion of Mira Monte Plaza closed adjacent to Lot 1, Elock 26, La Jolla Hermosa Unit No. 2, at Beaumont and Mira Monte, Zone R-1, said parcel to be 67.5 ft. by 95.68 ft., as shown on Engineering Drawing 4896-B, on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17___, 19_54

FORM 1323

By_

Zoning Administrator Res. No.8078

Application Received $3 - 11 - \sqrt{-4}$ By Trank City Pla	nning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-U-Y</u> By Jones Jan City Pla	at & South nning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment _ 3- 17 Decision	L. appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22 Building Inspecto	5-23-14
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health De	pt. 3-23-5-4

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. letter dated 2/28/55 been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denies); the following:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7831, dated October 28, 1953, be granted to Emily W. Clayton to construct approximately 12 ft. by 18 ft. garage addition to residence with zero side yard, on the westerly 1/2 of Lots L and K, Block 322, Horton's Addition, 225 W. Palm St., Zone R-1; to be located not closer to the front property line than the adjoining garage to the west.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By___

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ______ 19 54

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8079

Application Received <u>France 1 - 5-4</u> By <u>Mail</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Loudd & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>eth</u> cpp.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22 Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health Dept. 3-23-84

And the constrant of city constraines and the object of the constraint constraints for the constraints of the constraint of the constra

a sint arr to a arr realization and in 1 and 114. But a grantic branch, and the

· 18.10

. United

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. Letter dated 3/11/as been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 7825, dated October 14, 1953, be granted to Edward Correa to construct a single family residence on the southwesterly 65 feet of Lots 7 and 8, Block 38, Roseville, with the right to have two kitchens for a single family use, on the northerly side of Plum St., 100 ft. southeasterly of Dickens St., Zone R-1; subject to the following condition:

That an agreement be signed and made of record to the effect that the second kitchen will not be rented but will be used only by the immediate family or guests.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19 54

FORM 1323

By_

Application Received <u>3-11-0-4</u> By <u>Mail</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made 3-17-54 By Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment Decision Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-22 Building Inspector 3-23-54
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-22 Health Dept. 3-23-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. _____ has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are _______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would not deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will not be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code. grants (denies) the following:

An extension of three years is hereby DENIED to Mildred Evans, owner, and Los Angeles Chemical Co., lessee, to Resolution No. 5568, dated May 31, 1951, which extended Resolution No. 3416, which extended Resolution No. 880, to operate a sales office and chemical warehouse for the storage of packaged chemicals on Lots 1, 2 and 3. Block 1. Cunningham Addition, at 3709 Imperial Ave., Zone C, BUT -

A sixty-day delay in clearing the premises is hereby granted for the purpose of giving the applicant an opportunity to build or relocate said business in the proper zone; subject to the approval of the Fire Marshal's Office.

A variance to the provisions of Oridnance No. 13216 is hereby BENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

MAR 19 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be Out Illandpicid and Shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, walles bethe Visdand/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

> BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19 54

FORM 1323

By_

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8081

Application Received _ 3-11- J-Y By Mil City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17: JY</u> By Jones Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-17 Decision Decision decided - 60 de. delay
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-19 Building Inspector 3-23-5-4
Planning Commission 3-23 Petitioner 3-19 Health Dept. 3-23-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12777</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Ernest L. Wheeler to construct a single family residence on the west 70 ft. of the north 150 ft. of Lot 122, and portion of east 10 ft. of Galveston St. adjacent to west side of property, Morena, on the southeast corner of Galveston and Milton Streets, Zone R-1; on condition that a 15-foot setback be observed on both Galveston and Milton Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clork

MAR 29 1954

RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS

COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be nulfiend very and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

4-279

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 19 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8082

Application Received By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-J-F</u> By Jones Fult & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>conce</u> appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 3-29 Building Inspector 3-31-54
Planning Commission <u>3-3/</u> Petitioner <u>3-29</u> Health Dept. <u>3-5/-</u> 54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12720</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Moto and Florence H. Asakawa to construct a single family residence on the southeasterly 61.9 feet of Lot 5, of Pueblo Lot 255, on the south side of Littlefield Street between Goldfield and Lieta Streets, Zone R-1; on condition that a 15-foot setback is observed on Littlefield Street, and that a Record of Survey Map be filed before approval of the final plans.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Filed in Office of City Clerk

MAR 29 1954

RIGHT OF ADDEAL TO CITY

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall PAS revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

278

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17_, 19_54_

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8083

Application Received 3-4-53 By D. South City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-54</u> By Jones Fandt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-17</u> Decision <u>conde</u> affer.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-29$ Building Inspector $3-31-54$
Planning Commission 3-31 Petitioner $3-29$ Health Dept. $3-31-14$

and the second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12719</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (dealers) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Oscar H. Arvidson to divide the northwesterly 185.7 feet of Lot 5 of Pueblo Lot 255, into three parcels, each 61.9 feet in width, and construct a single family residence on each, on the south side of Littlefield St., between Goldfield and Lieta Streets, Zone R-1; on condition that a 15-foot setback is observed on Littlefield Street, and that a Record of Survey Map be filed before approval of the final plans.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

of City Clork

MAR 29 1954

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

278

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 17, 1954

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8084

Application Received 3-4-54 By S. Tasch City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-17-J-4</u> By Jones deut & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-17 Decision Could appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $3-29$ Building Inspector $3-51-v-9$
Planning Commission 3-31 Petitioner 3-29 Health Dept. 3-31-54

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12818</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Bertha A. Cole to construct an addition, approximately 8 ft. by 15 ft., above an existing garage, joining two sections of buildings with 4 ft. rear yard and 4 ft. access court, on Lot 6, Block 8, West End Addition, at 3760 - 29th St., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By _____

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31 19 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8085

Application Received <u>3-12-5-4</u> By <u>E. Madenhermen</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-59</u> By Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-31-59</u> Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-1$ Building Inspector $4-5$
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-1$ Health Dept. $4-5$

With a real user and the a Access Root's particle is good to a real address and the address is the Group = 2000 holes from the set.

read autors to topoly and to the extraction is the or and a second and and the autor of an address of the topology and to the second to a second attract, foundation and second ads of build straight

allow and allow

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12744</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are <u>no</u> special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would <u>not</u> deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is <u>not</u> necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will <u>not</u> be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>be injurious</u> to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, Exercise (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby DENIED to Kenneth K. Jones, Jr., to construct a two-story triplex on Lot 17, Elock 15, Beverly, at 5275 Roswell Street, Zone R-2; the present residence to be removed.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series be, and is hereby DENIED as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

3-163

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31___, 19_54_

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8086

Application Received <u>3 - 15 - 54</u> By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u> By Jones Landk & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3.31-59 Decision _ Alenied
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-5}{2}$
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-1$ Health Dept. $4-5$

the contract of the second second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12807 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (deares) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Alvin, Lloyd and Bernadine Kratz to construct a garage and bedroom addition to an existing residence, the addition to have a 12-foot setback where the average is 17 ft., 6 in., on Lots 19 and 20, Block 18, Forest Heights, at 2328 - 33rd St., Zone R-1; on condition that the plans for said addition be approved by the Board of Architectural Review.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By ____

2-5-9

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31 -, 19, 54

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8087

Application Received Mar. 9,1959 By D.C. South City Planning Department
Investigation Made 3-31-54 By Jones, Jandy Louth City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $\frac{3/3/57}{57}$ Decision <u>condlapps</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1 Building Inspector <u>$x-5$</u>
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4/1 Building Inspector F-5
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-1$ Health Dept. $4-5$

باطلا الحكامة في مصحلين المستعد مع المعالية المارة منذ المحالمية مع عادة مع محاد مع محاد مع المحاد مع المعاد الحالية المارة المحاد مع الأن المحاد مع المحاد المحاد المحادي المحالية المحاد مع محاد المحاد مع المحا محالية الحاد محاد محاد محالة الحاد المحاد المحا المحاد المحاد المحاد المحاد الحاد المحاد المحا المحاد المحا المحاد المحاد

a staria da la ela proviationa di localitationalitatione, anche l'antenio ela con es la maltariamente elaborariori, i sullar ne monalateria cha percent, l'accidina eseve.

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12833</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (deprese) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Joseph and Ethel Boyea, Jr., to construct a duplex on the northwesterly 45 feet of Lot 3, Block 2, Silver Terrace, at 5719 Lauretta Street, making three units on the lot with one unit having a 4-foot access court, Zone R-4; as shown on plot plan on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By _____

4-266

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31, 1954

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8088

Application Received 3-8-54 By By City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u> By Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-31-59</u> Decision _ Opper
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 1$ Building Inspector $4 - 5$
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-1$ Health Dept. $9-5^-$

de maria de la colle (en visions de marie, partitione à marie de la lander, (marie) auxido de partie e maria aun el adorr, anount se el si velar, e lo che per de planetre d a over

and a set of the second of a state of an and the second so the a set of the

servicesion is housing parted to present a contract angles, but to construct a broken our de novirens bright in the of the of their structure to the struct and the structure theory static creation with our the and white and can be done to the rest structure. WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12776</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denses) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to L. G. and D. C. McDonald to maintain an existing sign, with "Motel", on roof of an apartment house on Lots 26 thru 32, Block 6, Stephen's Addition, at 3431 Del Rey Street, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Of Gity GIGPK

APR 2 - 1954 RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

4-419

By___

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31 , 19 54

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8089

Application Received 3-10-54 By C. C. Van Acce City Planning Department
Investigation Made 3-31-54 By Jones Jandt Los
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3.31-59 Decision appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\cancel{4-2}$ Building Inspector $\cancel{4-5}$
Planning Commission $\frac{\cancel{4-5}}{\cancel{4-5}}$ Petitioner $\frac{\cancel{4-2}}{\cancel{4-5}}$ Health Dept. $\frac{\cancel{4-5}}{\cancel{4-5}}$

the second way is a second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second

RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 12775 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ______necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and

2. That the granting of the application will _____ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and

3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, Lot 26 thru 32 Block 6
Subdivision Stephen's Addition, 3431 Del Rey Street
L. G. & D. C. McDONALD
may be used for the ZGELIGHERAN operation of
subject to the following conditions
subject to the following conditions
Filed in Office
of City Clerk
APR 2 - 1954
RIGHT OF APPEAL TO CITY

COUNCIL expires 10 DAYS after the above date.

Any Permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automaticically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

Res. No. 8000

8090

No.

By Zoning Administrator

Narch 31, 1954 194

paraulty is presented the server

ATTANY AFTER PARA

Dated

2144

Application Received 3-10-54	By C. C. Van Here
	City Planning Department
Investigation made <u>3-31-54</u>	By Joseph Landy + South City Planning Department 5'9 Hearing date 3-31-59 Date 4-5
on logi	City Planning Department
Considered by Zening Competities J	5'9 Hearing date 3-31-54
Decision appar	Date
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk	Building Inspector. 4-5
Planning Commission	er 4-2 Health Department 4-5
	Date
Resolution becomes effective	
	Continued to
	Date of action

tor the second

and the second of the

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application Not. letter 3/29/54 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions for buildings of buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions for the land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such That the strict provision of the Ordinance would _______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _______ affer the GDOVE COULD are strict of the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denotes) the following:

That Resolution No. 8075, dated March 17, 1954, be amended to read as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Max Heimburge to construct a 13-unit apartment building with the required 9-foot setback, the balconies and fire-escape to observe a <u>4-foot</u> setback, on Lot 39 of The Terrace, Sub. of Block 57 of La Jolla Park, 981 Coast Blvd., Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0602 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By___

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31, 19 54

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8091

Application Received By By E. Radenheimer City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-59</u> By Jones Landy & South City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3 - 31 - 54$ Decision
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{Z}}{\mathscr{Z}}$ Building Inspector $\frac{\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{T}}{\mathscr{Y}-\mathscr{T}}$
Planning Commission $\cancel{4-5}$ Petitioner $\cancel{4-2}$ Health Dept. $\cancel{4-5}$

samely a straight with the providence of the providence of the providence of the providence of the technic stat

andrikka ar browner, skunder er bet genolene og sander sin er skaden upskiller. Britikke se se se setten mæner datekset er harden upskilder er eremenset bri Britikke ki<u>teligi</u>, sod surg og og og so da setter averdagi, oksend okset og setter i skale

and the states of the second state in the other states and the second states and the second states and the

CONTRACTOR OF

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12837</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Capitol Incomes Corporation, owner, and Joseph E. Marinello and Henry F. Seidelmann, purchasers, to construct a building on a portion of Lot 28, Lemon Villa, which legal description is on file in the Planning Office, to be used in connection with an existing cabinet shop, and also use the lot for parking; with a maximum of 20 employees and maximum of 16 HP electrically driven equipment; at the rear of 5467 University Ave., Zone R-l; subject to the conditions as specified on attached sheet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 184 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31 , 19 54

Zoning Administrator Res. NL. 8092

Application Received _ <u>March 9 - 54</u> By _ <u>7. W. Mc Connell</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made 3-31-54 By Jones Landt & Lact City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-31-54 Decision Condi appr.
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-9}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-9}{2}$
Planning Commission $4-9$ Petitioner $4-9$ Health Dept. $4-9$

CONDITIONS

Capitol Incomes Corp. - J. E. Marinello & H. F. Seidelmann

- 1. That the driveway and easement leading from University Ave. to subject property be paved with asphalt and the area on said property to be used for parking and automobile trafficeto be graded and paved with surfacing that can be swept and the lot to be kept clean at all times;
- 2. That all lumber to be stored entirely within the building, with no outside storage;
- 3. That the building be located as shown on plot plan on file in the Planning Office;
- 4. That a 5-foot chain link fence be erected, enclosing the entire lot;
- 5. That a 20-foot easement along University Ave., up to the west wing of the existing building, and approximately 8 feet along the front of the building to the west property line, be granted to the City for the future widening of University Ave.

March 31, 1954

Res. No. 8092
WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12805</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- _ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for 1. That there are ____ which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ _ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and in-3. tent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. F. and Ruth C. Odom to operate a contractor's business and storage yard, on the west 175 ft. of Lot 29, Lemon Villa, south of University Ave., at 5515 University Ave., Zone C; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That a 5-foot concrete block wall be constructed across the front of the property with gate, to be approved by the Board of Architectural Review;
- That a 5-foot chain link fence be constructed around the remaining C zone 2. portion of lot; no equipment to be stored in the R-1 portion of lot;
- That the lot be completely graded and paved with surfacing that can be 3. swept, and the lot to be maintained in a clean conditition at all times; That a 20-foot easement along University Ave. be granted to the City for
- 4. future street widening:
- 5. That these conditions be complied with within ninety (90) days or the lot to be vacated by July 1, 1954.

A variance to the provisions of the Oridnance No. 184 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above. Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

3-111

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31, 19_54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8093

Application Received By H. Berghts City Planning Department
Investigation Made 3-31-54 By Jones, Landy & South
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3-31-54$ Decision Condlappr. Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-6$ Building Inspector $4-6$
Planning Commission $4-6$ Petitioner $4-6$ Health Dept. $4-6$

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. 12865 has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- 1. That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would _____ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is _____ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will _____ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Rufus Kelley to construct a single family residence on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1152, according to the legal description on file in the Planning Office, the parcel not having full street frontage, making the fourth unit on the property, at Bancroft and Durant Streets, Zone R-4.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0304 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

March 31 54 19. Dated

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8094

Application Received 3-15-54 By V.H. Bechto City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u> By <u>Jones Landt & Sout</u> City Planning Department
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-31-54 Decision Appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4}{2}$
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-1$ Health Dept. $4-5$

North and and the second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12847</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance. APR 2 - 1954
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions of the Such ABAE the Tstr of Vapplication of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the Capplicant of the or Databable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is affer the abaetes date, for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to L. M. and Natalie Sylvester to operate a shop for assembling, servicing and shipping tape recorders, on Lot 31 and the south 5 ft. of Lot 32, Block 44, City Heights, at 4026 Van Dyke, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there be a maximum of four (4) employees and a maximum of six (6) HP in motors;
- 2. That the hours of operation be from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., six days a week;
- 3. That the back doors of the shop be kept closed at all times;
- 4. That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By ___

2-91

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 31</u>, 19 <u>54</u>

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8095

Application Received 3-19-54 By 7. C. Mc Connell City Planning Department		
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u> By Jones, Landt & South		
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-31-54 Decision Condil affer		
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4 - 2$ Building Inspector $4 - 5$		
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-2$ Health Dept. $4-5$		

The same distribution of the second states of the second s

and the second second

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12859</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions and ying to the fland or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neutrophysical subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are **RGHThOF** the **DEAL** to **DEAL** the **DEAL** to **DEAL**
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will <u>not</u> be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to John Rippo to maintain an existing covered patio with zero side yard on Lot 8, Inspiration View, at 3539 Dove Court, Zone R-2; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the eave be cut back at the northeast corner of patio structure to the first roof rafter, which is approximately two feet, and be finished to match the existing eave:
- 2. That a cant strip be added to roof to direct water away from the adjacent property to the north;
- 3. That the structure complies with all Building Dept. requirements.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By _____

2-16

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31 19 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No.8096

Application Received By By Application Received By By Application Received By By By
Investigation Made 3-31-54 By Jones, Landy & Lout
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-31-59 Decision Condil appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-2$ Building Inspector $4-5$
Planning Commission $\frac{\cancel{V-5}}{\cancel{V-5}}$ Petitioner $\frac{\cancel{V-2}}{\cancel{V-5}}$ Health Dept. $\frac{\cancel{V-5}}{\cancel{V-5}}$

·新生活的原因,自我还没有的这个问题,我们还有什么不知道我们的这个人的是我们是我们的。我们是我们的,我们就是我们能不能不能不能不能不能不能。 the construct allow an on a 19 for aver all the construction with part of about the costs of the other - Explainter - Literation of

- NUT O THE PROPERTY TO THE REPORT OF A DECK OF A DATE OF AVAILANT OF A DATE O
- the accurate a real years have the entropy and a particular they want of the off in the grade all such and its do not
- a realized of the state and the second of the state of the state of the state of the state of the perpettion and a state of a state of the sta

the second second in the second second states to the second s The solution of a manager of the other solutions and the showing with the solution of an all advances of the solutions . Gr 1 66 1 16 WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12864</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will **not** be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (decodes) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Curtis H. and Irma E. Vaughn to construct a 3 ft. by 12 ft. addition to an existing garage with zero rear yard, the addition to also observe a zero rear yard, on the east 55 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Block 13, Pauly's Addition, at 3594 Mississippi Street, Zone R-2.

A variance to the provisions of Municipal Code 101.0601 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

-64

By _____

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated March 31 19 54

FORM 1323

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8097

Application Received 3-19-59 By C. C. Van Hise City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-59</u> By Jones Landt & South
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-31-54 Decision _ appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{\varphi}{-1}$ Building Inspector $\frac{\varphi}{-5}$
Planning Commission $4-5$ Petitioner $4-1$ Health Dept. $4-5$

Kobussio , B. Ander, Starwoh en Kuris, A. Ander, Enderer Landin an eensimule a Sint. Ar All C. Addition to an exister of any 6 fills when text carry a conditional contraction meaning a service many carry contracted structure of the contraction of the Addition of an Apple Base an operation. On the sets

an status os ana maratanis os hadis pel luño anne 1970 ang ala la cada l'ang An to se palitika data antroduja na maratanis na cal nagina da a una pangang dat

A. 13/1.3

AND A LA LINE

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12813</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Henry M. and Gussie Growe to operate carburction equipment installation and repairs to automobiles, on a portion of Lot 24 of La Mesa Colony, according to legal description on file in the Planning Office, at 4797 Seminole Drive, Zone R-4; subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That there will be no signs and no advertising of address;
- 2. That this business will be in operation a maximum of 20 hours per week, with no working on cars after 9:00 p.m.;
- 3. That this permit to be for a period expiring June 30, 1955.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3525 New Series be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By_

3-119

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated _______, 19 ____

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8098

Application Received By By By City Planning Department	
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u> By Jones, Landt & South City Planning Department	
Considered by Board of Adjustment <u>3-31</u> Decision <u>could appr</u> .	
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $\frac{4-1}{2}$ Building Inspector $\frac{4-5-5-4}{2}$	
Planning Commission $4 - 5$ Petitioner $4 - 1$ Health Dept. $4 - 5 - 5 - 4$	

WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. **12887** has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will not ____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Challenge Cream & Butter Association to rebuild loading dock and boiler room as shown on plot plan on file in the Planning Office, which includes new roofs, on portion of Pueblo Lot 1118, at 4600 Sixth Ave., Zones R-1A and R-C; which legal description is on file in the Planning Office.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinances No. 4857 N.S. and 1947 N.S. be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By____

2-13

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated ________, 19____54_

Zoning Administrator Res. No. 8099

Application Received <u>3-23-54</u> By <u>C. C. Van Hese</u> City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u> By Jones, Landt + South
Considered by Board of Adjustment $3-31-54$ Decision <u>Appr.</u> Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk $4-5$ Building Inspector $4-6$
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk <u>4-5</u> Building Inspector <u>4-6</u>
Planning Commission $\underline{\cancel{F-6}}$ Petitioner $\underline{\cancel{F-5}}$ Health Dept. $\underline{\cancel{F-6}}$

The rate and the difference of arbitations we had a sample of the busice of the busice of the busice of the second of the second

Louis record and her corrected as shown on the or dials in the sharen willows when include the court as portous of reduce a situation of the state WHEREAS, Zone Variance Application No. <u>12874</u> has been considered by the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, and the attached Finding of Facts and the evidence presented has shown:

- That there are ______ special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or buildings for which the adjustment is sought, which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or buildings and do not apply generally to the land or buildings in the neighborhood. Such conditions shall not have resulted from any act of the applicant subsequent to the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. That the aforesaid circumstances or conditions are such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would ______ deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or buildings, that the granting of the adjustment is ______ necessary for the reasonable use of the land or building and that the adjustment as granted by the City is the minimum adjustment that will accomplish this purpose.
- 3. That the granting of the adjustment will _____ be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and will _____ be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
- 4. That the granting of the Variance will ______ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the City of San Diego, California, in conformity with the authority vested in it by the Municipal Code, grants (denies) the following:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Iva Towle to make interior alterations and repairs to residence, including shower and lavatory in bathroom, on the easterly 50 feet of Lots 9 and 10, Block C, Montclair, at 3211 Nile Street, in the rear, Zone R-2; on condition that the alterations comply with all the requirements of the Building Dept. and Health Dept.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820 be, and is hereby granted as to the particulars stated above, insofar as they relate to the property described above.

Any Zone Variance granted by the City shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0505, Failure to Utilize Conditional Use Permit or Variance).

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the eleventh day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within ten days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk. (See Municipal Code Section 101.0506).

By _____

2-60

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Dated <u>March 31</u>, 19 <u>54</u>

Zoning Administrator

Res. No. 8100

Application Received 3-22-54	By South City Planning Department
Investigation Made <u>3-31-54</u>	By Jones Landy & South
Considered by Board of Adjustment 3-31-	54 Decision Conde appr
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 4 -	\angle Building Inspector $\underline{4-5^{-}}$
Planning Commission $4-5^{}$ Petitioner	$\frac{\cancel{4}-1}{\cancel{4}-5}$ Health Dept. $\frac{\cancel{4}-5}{\cancel{4}-5}$