

RESOLUTION NO. 1001

2900

WHEREAS, Application No. .... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~materially~~ <sup>not</sup> affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, a Corporation Sole, to erect an addition to an existing mausoleum south of "A" Street between 44th and 45th Streets on the Northeast quarter of Lot 26, Horton's Purchase.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 35 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated June 28, 1945

By

*H. W. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 6/26/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/28/45 By Zoning Planning Comm. Members  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/28/45 Hearing date

Decision approved Date 6/28/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 6/28/45 Building Inspector 6/28/45

Planning Commission 6/28/45 Petitioner 6/28/45 Health Department 6/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1003

WHEREAS, Application No. 2571 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Dudley H. Hosea to maintain and operate a duplex at 3584 Ethan Allen Street on the North 10 ft. of Lot 44 and all of Lots 45 and 46, Block 2, American Park, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all Building Department and Health Department requirements be complied with;
2. That all setback requirements are complied with;
3. And that six months after hostilities in the present war with Japan cease, the duplex will then be discontinued and the then existing Zoning Ordinance complied with;
4. That an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

Agreement #382  
filed 10/11/45



Application Received 6/14/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/20/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/20/45 Hearing date 7/5/45

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 10/11/45 Building Inspector 10/13/45

Planning Commission 10/13/45 Petitioner 10/13/45 Health Department 10/13/45 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.1  
RESOLUTION NO. 1004 (extended by #2289 & 3919 & 5571)

WHEREAS, Application No. 2867 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Jack J. Donovan, owner and Edith M. Long, purchaser to conduct a Dressmaking and Furrier, part time, at 3969 - 33rd Street on the West 90 ft. of the Southerly 20 ft. of Lot 1 and all of the Westerly 90 ft. (except the Southerly 29.84 ft.) of Lot 2, Block 196, City Heights, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs be placed on the property;
2. No employee's;
3. Six months after hostilities in the present war with Japan cease, the above mentioned business will then be discontinued;
4. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

agreement # 369  
filed 7/16/45  
Dated July 6, 1945  
Filed with City Clerk 7/16/45

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 6/7/45 By Stahm  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 6/20/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 6/20/45 + 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/16/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K

RESOLUTION NO. 1005

WHEREAS, Application No. 2898 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Wm. J. Glasson to construct an apartment and garage at 2151 - 2nd Avenue on Lot B, Block 247, Horton's Addition, with no setback.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 6/19/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



6.f.

RESOLUTION NO. 1006

WHEREAS, Application No. 2901 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Leslie C. and Beatrice E. Jauncey to conduct a pressing shop at 2361 - 30th Street on the South 74 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, Block "A", Burlingame, provided the steam is vented through the roof and not into the street or alley.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13175, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 6/20/45 By Case  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Decision of Council  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn Continued to  
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1100



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1007

WHEREAS, Application No. 2514 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Ivan T. and Virginia B. Green to rent four (4) rooms for a maximum of eight (8) guests at 3735 - 8th Avenue on Lot 4, Block 6, La Cuyada Villa Tract, subject to the following conditions:

1. Subject to final approval of the Building and Health Departments;
2. That six months after hostilities in the present war with Japan cease, the owner will then comply with the Zoning Ordinance;
3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12988, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945...

By.....  
Secretary

*agreement #373  
filed 7/23/45*  
Filed With City Clerk 7/23/45



Application Received 6/21/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date

Decision Cond's Approval Date 7/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/23/45 Building Inspector 7/23/45

Planning Commission 7/23/45 Petitioner 7/23/45 Health Department 7/23/45 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k.

RESOLUTION NO. 1005

WHEREAS, Application No. 2860 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to John and Ruth Babcock to build four living units (two duplexes) on Lots 25 and 26, Block 8, Ocean Beach Park at Muir and Ebers Streets.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 6/25/45 By Stahn  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

NEGOTIATION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1009

WHEREAS, Application No. 2893 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Wm. E. and Helen B. Glasson to construct a residence at 2540 Broadway on Lots 35 and 36, Block 27, Higgin's Addition with an 8 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 6/26/45 By Stahm  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



# RESOLUTION NO. 1010

(see Res. # 2381)

WHEREAS, Application No. 2839 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Vera E. Griffin to operate a Beauty Salon in an existing structure at the rear of 1221 Reed Avenue on Lots 5 and 6, Block 289, Pacific Beach, subject to the following conditions:

1. Hours of operation to be from 9:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M;
2. No signs to be posted on the property; and no employee's;
3. Six months after hostilities in the present war with Japan cease, the owner will then vacate the building and will no longer use it as a Beauty Salon;
4. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By.....  
Secretary

Dated July 6, 1945

Filed with the City 7/12/45

Agreement #367  
filed 7/12/45



Application Received 5/16/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 5/23/45 + 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 5/23/45 + filed amended 7/5/45  
Decision Cond'l Approve Hearing date 7/5/45  
Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/12/45 Building Inspector 7/12/45  
Planning Commission 7/12/45 Petitioner 7/12/45 Health Department 7/12/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



6-K

RESOLUTION NO. 1011

WHEREAS, Application No. 2915 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to James C. and Ula Riley to erect a residence on Crown Point Drive between LaCima and La Mancha Drives on Lot 315, Crown Point with a 12 ft. rear yard.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 6/29/45 By ? City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1012

WHEREAS, Application No. 2925 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank R. and Eva M. Fikes to construct an addition to and convert a single family dwelling at 2970 Kalmia Street on lots 41 and 42, Block 5, Park Addition into a duplex with a 4 ft. rear yard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5a, 5b, and 5c, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By Secretary



Application Received 6/30/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date 7/5/45

Decision Approved Date 7/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45

Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/9/45 Council Hearing, date 7/9/45

Decision of Council 7/9/45 Date 7/9/45

Resolution becomes effective 7/9/45

Application withdrawn 7/9/45 Continued to 7/9/45

Time limit extended to 7/9/45 Date of action 7/9/45



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1013

WHEREAS, Application No. 2907 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mary Frances Tyre to conduct a Millinery Shop in the residence at 4275 - 41st Street on Lot 6, Block 26, Resubdivision of K & L of Teralta, subject to the following conditions:

1. That no signs will be posted;
2. Six months after hostilities in the present war with Japan cease, the owner will then discontinue the Millinery Shop and will comply with the Zoning Ordinance;
3. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12989, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

*Agreement #372*  
*filed 7/14/45*  
Dated July 6, 19 45

By.....  
Secretary

*Filed with City Clerk 7/14/45*



Application Received 6/30/45 By Neff  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision Conditional Approval Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/14/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



5.1

RESOLUTION NO. 1014

WHEREAS, Application No. 2923 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**P**ermission is hereby granted to Robert Anderson to erect a residence and attached garage on Max Drive, Lot 561, Talmadge Park No. 3, with a 1 ft sideyard for the garage.

**A** variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 5a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 6/30/45 By Stahn  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1015

WHEREAS, Application No. 2902 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Louie Jorgensen to erect an 8 ft. corrugated iron fence on the rear of the property in back of the house at 3140 El Cajon Boulevard on the south 100 ft. of Lot 28 and the south 100 ft. of the west  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 29, Block 113, University Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 19 45

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary



Application Received 6/19/45 By Stahm  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision approved Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1016

WHEREAS, Application No. 2 912 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Capital Incomes Corporation, owner and Ralph and Helen Bell, purchasers to erect a 15 ft. woven wire fence on a portion of the golf driving course, University Avenue east of 54th S street on the west 175 ft. of that portion of Lot 29, Lemon Villa lying south of University Avenue, provided the fence is erected on two sides and the rear of the property, back 100 ft. from the front property line and supported by pipe posts.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 6/23/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Conditional Date 7/5/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45

Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/11/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1017

WHEREAS, Application No. 2903 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Eleanor and Baylor Brooks to add a 15 ft. by 27 ft. hobby room, attached to an 18 ft. by 20 ft. existing garage at 4576 Alice Street on Lot 24, Block 8, El Cerrito Heights, with an 18 in. rear yard, no sideyard to the existing garage and with 2% excess coverage, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That the addition will be used as a hobby room and will not, at any time be used as living quarters;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By.....  
Secretary

Dated July 6, 19 45  
*Filed with the City Clerk 7/9/45*

*agreement # 366  
filed 7/9/45*



Application Received 6/23/45 By Cose  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond. approval Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/9/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + Asses  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1071



RESOLUTION NO. 1018

WHEREAS, Application No. 2924 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Cornelius C. & Inez A. O'Connor to construct a residence at 3685 Alexia Place on Lot 41 and Lot 42, except the west 43 ft., Block "C", Resubdivision of Villa Lots 165-184, Normal Heights with a 13 ft. 8 in. setback, provided the forms are moved and a 4 ft. sideyard maintained on the west side of the building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 6, 1945

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 6/29/45 By Case  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date  
Decision Conditional Approval Date 7/5/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/6/45 Building Inspector 7/9/45  
Planning Commission 7/9/45 Petitioner 7/9/45 Health Department 7/9/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO.



0. A

1019  
RESOLUTION NO. ....

2954

WHEREAS, Application No. .... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ..... ~~materially~~ <sup>not</sup> affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ..... <sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul and Lydia Solin to maintain a bathroom and storage room with legal sideyards on a lot 41 ft. wide where the house is located and 37½ ft. wide for the balance of the lot on the Sly ½ of Lot 15, all of 16 and the Nly 3.5 ft. of the Ely 28.5 ft. of 17, Block 56, University Heights, 4533 Idaho Street, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the Nly 3.5 ft. of the Ely 28.5 ft. of Lot 17, Block 56, University Heights will be retained in the same ownership as the Sly ½ of Lot 15 and all of Lot 16 to serve as a sideyard for the existing dwelling;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

Agreement #374  
filed 7/24/45

Dated July 19, 1945

Filed with City Clerk 7/24/45

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 7/13/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Con'd Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/24/45 Building Inspector 7/24/45  
Planning Commission 7/24/45 Petitioner will call Health Department 7/24/45 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

81797

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of J. S. Perry, owner, and Clinton D. McKinnon & Associates, from the decision of the Zoning Committee in denying by its Resolution No. 1020 a variance to Ordinance No. 13559, to permit the erection of a 5,000 watt broadcasting station including a transmitting building a three 235 ft. steel towers on a portion of Lot 2 La Mesa Colony and a portion of the north 1/2 of Lot 21 Rancho Mission, bounded by College Avenue, Montezuma Road Extension, 63rd Street and Cocas Lane, be and it is hereby denied, and the decision of said Zoning Committee be and it is hereby sustained.

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 81797 of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council SEP 25 1945

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSWORTH City Clerk

By \_\_\_\_\_

Deputy



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1020

WHEREAS, Application No. 2895 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of J. S. Perry, owner and Clinton D. McKinnon & Associates, purchasers to erect a 5,000 watt broadcasting station including a transmitting building and three 235 ft. steel towers on a portion of Lot 2, La Mesa Colony and a portion of the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 21, Rancho Mission, bounded by College Avenue, Montezuma Road Extension, 63rd Street and Cocas Lane, be, and it is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provision of Ordinance No. 13559, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 19, 1945, 19

By

Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 7/2/45 By Haalsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date 7/18/45

Decision denied Date 7/18/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45

Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/20/45 Council Hearing, date 7/31/45

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1021

WHEREAS, Application No. 2894 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank E. and Josephine Hilliker to raise and slaughter chickens and rabbits at 340 - 54th S street on Lot C, Block 7, Las Alturas No. 3, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the maximum number of chickens to be kept on the premises at one time be 1,000; the maximum number of rabbits to be kept on the premises at one time be 75;
2. That all Health Department regulations be complied with;
3. That the permit be for a period of two (2) years from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By

*Harry S. Clark*  
Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 1945



Application Received 6/28/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date 7/18/45  
Decision Conditional approval Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1022

WHEREAS, Application No. 2896 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Margaret J. Burnette and Thomas M. Faulconer to divide Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 82, Resubdivision of Roseville at the Sly corner of Jarvis and Willow Streets into two building sites each with 50 ft. frontage on Willow Street and 5,000 sq. ft. in area, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a 10 ft. setback be maintained along Willow Street;
2. That a 15 ft. setback be maintained along Jarvis Street;
3. And that no buildings will be built within 5 ft. of the property line on the Southeast on the Northerly 50 ft. of Lots 21 to 24 inclusive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 19 45



Application Received 6/21/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 + 7/18/45 Hearing date 7/18/45

Decision Cond. Approve Date 7/18/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45

Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 x Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1023

WHEREAS, Application No. 2421 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Winston T. Alderson to conduct a watch repair shop in the residence at 4220 - 9th Avenue on the East 100 ft. of the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lots 4 to 9 inclusive and the East 100 ft. of the South 10 ft. of Lot 10, Resub of Lots 48, 49 and portion of 50 of Fleischer's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the business be part time work;
2. That no signs be placed on the property;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 190 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 1945



Application Received 6/27/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond' Approval Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + Assessment  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1024

WHEREAS, Application No. 2928 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Hugh and Frances Harris to maintain a slaughter room for the existing chicken ranch at 420 South 65th Street on the Northeast 5 acres of Lot "B", Encanto, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all Health Department regulations be complied with;
2. That a maximum of 3500 chickens be kept on the premises at any one time;
3. Chickens to be sold wholesale;
4. The permit to be for a period of three (3) years from the date of this resolution;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 1945



Application Received 7/6/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date 7/18/45  
Decision Cond. Approval Date 7/18/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45

Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1025

WHEREAS, Application No. 2953 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. E. Weeks to erect an apartment over an existing garage in a four unit court at 5014 Santa Monica Street on Lots 20 and 21, Block 74, Ocean Beach with 5 ft. access to the street, provided the stairway at the side is constructed 5 ft. in width.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 19, 1945

By Harry S. Clark Secretary Chairman



Application Received 7/13/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION OF PROPERTY USE

WHEREAS, Application No. 2940 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown:

1. That the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the enjoyment and preservation of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity; and
2. That the granting of the application will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the improvements or property in the neighborhood; and
3. That the granting of the application will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ZONING COMMITTEE,

That the following described property, ~~###~~ Portion of P.L. 242, approximately the South 94.30 ft. of the North 290 ft. Wly of Frontier Boulevard  
~~Shall be~~

M. D. Miller

3734 Midway Drive

may be used for the erection and operation of Seven (7) additional Auto Court Units

(existing 17 trailer units and 5 auto court units)

subject to the following conditions that all Health Department regulations and

Building Department regulations be complied with.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

City of San Diego, California

By

Harry S. Clark  
 Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 194 5



Application Received 7/14/45 By Neff City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1027

WHEREAS, Application No. 2962 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Harvey Buchanan to make an addition to a residence at #5 Hensley S street on Lot "F" (except the East 48 ft.) Block 7, N. W. Hensley's Addition which has a 5 ft. rear yard, provided a 10 ft. rear yard is maintained for the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By

*Harry D. Clark*  
Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 1945.



Application Received 7/16/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date  
Decision approved Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1028

WHEREAS, Application No. 2916 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. and Mary Chadwick to construct a 44 ft. by 60 ft. addition to an existing restaurant, Lot 1, Block 7, Hoitt's Addition on the Southeast corner of Dodson and Market Streets and to grade the lot down to the grade of the present building provided no excavation is closer than 2 ft. to the adjoining property and is sloped down to the level of the present building.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman

Dated July 19, 19 45



Application Received 6/30/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/5/45 Hearing date 7/18/45

Decision Condit Approval Date 7/18/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45

Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1029

WHEREAS, Application No. 2932 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. G. Kuykendall to erect a 4 ft. wire fence on Jefferson and Conde Streets, 3891 Conde Street on the Southeast 50 ft. of the Northerly 150 ft. (except the Southerly 50 ft.) of Lot 2, Block 488, Old San Diego.

A variance to the provisions of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated July 19, 1945

By Harry S. Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 7/5/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/19/45 Building Inspector 7/20/45  
Planning Commission 7/20/45 Petitioner 7/20/45 Health Department 7/20/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date Council Hearing, date  
Decision of Council Date  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn Continued to  
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 103



RESOLUTION NO. 1030

WHEREAS, Application No. 2935 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Girolamo Navarra to construct a residence with an 8 ft. 6 in. setback on the Southwest corner of Ocean View Boulevard and 36th Streets on the West 100 ft. of the East 200 ft. of the North 100 ft. of Lot A, Block 14, Central Homestead.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By *H. H. Jones* Secretary



Application Received 7/3/45 By Stahm  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 + Hearing date 8/1/45

Decision approved Date 8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45

Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1031

WHEREAS, Application No. 2961 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. Gordon Shaw and Thomas H. Shaw, owners and Anthony Calabrese, lessee to construct an addition to an existing building at 1533-35 Pacific Highway on Lot 4, Block 288, Middletown with a 2 ft. setback, subject to the following conditions:

1. When the street is widened the building will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and lessee and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series and Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....July 21.....1945..  
Filed with City Clerk 7/27/45

By.....  
Secretary

Agreement # 375  
filed 7/27/45



Application Received 7/14/45 By Stahn  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond. Approve Date 7/18/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/27/45 Building Inspector 7/28/45

Planning Commission 7/28/45 Petitioner 7/28/45 Health Department 7/28/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_



<sup>2</sup>  
RESOLUTION NO. 10312

WHEREAS, Application No. 2957 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Augustus H. and Alice K. Chase, owners and R. J. Saunders, purchaser to continue operation of a commercial poultry ranch, 150 laying hens and 1,000 baby chicks up to 12 weeks old, at 1737 Bexby Street on Lots 11 to 16 inclusive, Block C, Montezuma Terrace, provided all requirements of the Health Department are complied with.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 85 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry S. Clark

Chairman

Dated July 28, 19 45.



Application Received 7/12/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date 7/18/45  
Decision Approved Date 7/18/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 7/28/45 Building Inspector 7/28/45  
Planning Commission 7/28/45 Petitioner 7/28/45 Health Department 7/28/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 7/28/45 Council Hearing, date 7/28/45  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0, R

RESOLUTION NO. 1033

WHEREAS, Application No. 2846 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Russell E. and Mabel K. Warren to operate a Photographic Studio, part time, in the residence at 4628 Granger Street on Lot 18, Block 10, Sunset Cliffs for a period of six months from the date of this resolution, subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. No signs, advertising or soliciting;**
- 2. No employee's;**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 7/9/45 By Neff  
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date 8/1/45  
 Decision Conditional Approval Date 8/1/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
 Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1032

WHEREAS, the City Planning Department has received an application for a change of zoning from the City of Chicago, Illinois, and the same has been referred to the Zoning Commission for its consideration;

AND WHEREAS, the Zoning Commission has recommended that the same be approved;

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS that the same be approved.

RESOLUTION NO. 1032

WHEREAS, the City Planning Department has received an application for a change of zoning from the City of Chicago, Illinois, and the same has been referred to the Zoning Commission for its consideration;

AND WHEREAS, the Zoning Commission has recommended that the same be approved;

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS that the same be approved.

RESOLUTION NO. 1032

WHEREAS, the City Planning Department has received an application for a change of zoning from the City of Chicago, Illinois, and the same has been referred to the Zoning Commission for its consideration;

AND WHEREAS, the Zoning Commission has recommended that the same be approved;

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENTS that the same be approved.



RESOLUTION NO. 1034

WHEREAS, Application No. 2933 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Robert M. and Josephine Musser to conduct a furniture repair shop in an existing store building at 3343 Dwight Street on Lots 1 and 2, Block 60, Park Villas, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the building be painted a uniform color;
2. One sign not larger than 2 ft. by 6 ft. in size;
3. Daylight hours of operation;
4. Maximum amount of power equipment not to exceed 5 h.p;

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/6/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 7/18/45 Hearing date 8/1/45  
Decision Cond. approval Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 + 9 session  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION NO. 1035

WHEREAS, Application No. 2967 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to N. A. and Stella George to construct a residence at 4345 - 50th Street on Lots 14 and 15, Block 43, Fairmount Addition and maintain a setback equal to that of the first house to the south which is approximately 15 feet.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above,

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By

*H. K. Langeneck*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/18/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision approved Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION NO. 1036

WHEREAS, Application No. 2978 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. P. Albrecktsen to install plumbing and heating fixtures in a residence at 4786 Wilson Avenue on Lot 25, Block 24, Normal Heights which has only a 2 ft. sideyard; permit to be for a period of three years from the date of this resolution provided that before the expiration date the owner will move the building to comply with the yard requirements or will purchase sufficient property on the north to make the required sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/24/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1037

WHEREAS, Application No. 2934 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of B. A. and Nelle E. Adams to maintain a 20 ft. setback at 4225 Arista Street on the NEly 6 ft. of Lot 1 and the SW 65 ft. of Lot 2, Block 506, Old San Diego, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/24/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision denied Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

NEGOTIATION NO. 5034



RESOLUTION NO. 1038

WHEREAS, Application No. 2973 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Thorsten Hermanson to build a residence on the Southwest corner of 28th and "A" Streets on the Southerly 70 ft. of Lots 1 to 3 inclusive, Block 59, H. M. Higgins Addition with a 4 ft. rear yard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 7/25/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date

Decision denied 8/2/45 Date 8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45

Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1039

WHEREAS, Application No. 2989 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to T. O. Grisell to convert a portion of the existing building at 3518 Park Boulevard on Lots 13 and 14, Block 244, University Heights with no sideyard on the north and to make a 5 ft. extension to the north side of the existing garage.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By

*H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/31/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/3/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45

Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/3/45 Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn Continued to

Time limit extended to Date of action



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1040

WHEREAS, Application No. 2950 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Melissa Noakes to move in a single family dwelling on the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the East 46 ft. of Lot 1, Block 12, F. T. Scripps Addition to La Jolla on Sea Lane and Cuvier Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 19 45

By *A. H. [Signature]*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/26/45 By Case  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Approved Date 8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45

Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1070



RESOLUTION NO. 1041

WHEREAS, Application No. 2857 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....no.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....not.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....not.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Dominic and Beatrice Spinali and Dominic and Sarah Strazzulla to erect and operate a laundry with 15 employee's and 30 h.p. boiler, Northwest corner of Sunset Cliffs Boulevard and Narragansett Street on Lots 25 and 26, Block 50, Ocean Beach, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 19 45.

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 6/4/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 6/5/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 6/5/45 + 8/1/45 Hearing date 8/1/45

Decision denied Date 8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45

Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 + Amerson

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



6.12

RESOLUTION NO. 1042

WHEREAS, Application No. 2972 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Avery P. and Sadie Tradewell to erect an addition to an existing machine shop and install machinery not to exceed 20 h.p. at 1529 "C" Street on the West 48 ft. of Lot 1 and the North  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 2, Block 17, Gardner's Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. Shop not to be operated before 6:00 A.M. or later than 8:00 P.M;
2. Grease rack on the adjoining property to be removed;
3. That the premises will be cleaned up and old signs removed within three months from the date of this resolution and kept neat and clean at all times.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12942, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. H. Langman  
Secretary



Application Received 7/26/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date 8/1/45  
Decision Cond's Approval Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/3/45 Council Hearing, date 8/3/45  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1043

WHEREAS, Application No. 2980 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Edna Nolde to make a bedroom addition to the residence at 4620 North Avenue on Lot 29, Block 26, University Heights; existing residence having no sideyard on one side.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 7/30/45 By Burton  
 City Planning Department  
 Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department  
 Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date  
 Decision Approved Date 8/1/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
 Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/3/45 Council Hearing, date 8/3/45  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1044

WHEREAS, Application No. 2939 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Otto and Adele Weber to erect a poultry packing house on an existing commercial poultry farm at 3225 Ticonderoga Street on Lot 3 (except the south 8 ft.) Pfahlers; permit to be revoked if and when the poultry packing house becomes objectionable or detrimental to surrounding property owners.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 100 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 19 45

By

*H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received

7/25/45

By

7

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45

Hearing date

Decision

Conditional Approval

Date

8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45

Building Inspector

8/8/45

Planning Commission 8/2/45

Petitioner

8/2/45

Health Department

8/12/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

mentioned above.

and the property situated thereon as well as the adjacent  
y assistance to the Board of Directors of the City of San Diego, to

property owner.

shall receive the benefit of the same as if the property  
were not so situated. The property is situated on the corner of  
the intersection of the street on the corner of the property  
situated on the corner of the property situated on the corner of  
the property situated on the corner of the property situated on the corner of

as follows:

Therefore, it is recommended by the Zoning Commission of the City of San Diego, California

San Diego

1. That the Commission of the City of San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

2. That the Commission of the City of San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

3. That the Commission of the City of San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

4. That the Commission of the City of San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

Ordinance No. 2057 is amended:

the City of San Diego, California, and the Commission of the City of San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

San Diego, California, do hereby recommend that the City of

RESOLUTION NO. 1011



0, K

RESOLUTION NO. 1045

WHEREAS, Application No. 2876 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Anna M. Breen to convert an existing garage at 2965 Kalmia Street on the West 10 ft. of Lot 8 and all of Lot 9, Block 8, Park Addition into living quarters with a 2 ft. side yard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. N. Jorgensen  
Secretary







RESOLUTION NO. 1046

WHEREAS, Application No. 2975 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of H. C. and Estella Scott to repair fire damage to a non-conforming residence with no rear yard at 1447 "G" Street on the East 40 ft. of Lots K and L, Block 175, Horton's Addition, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 7/27/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date 8/1/45  
Decision denied Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1047

WHEREAS, Application No. 2963 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Edna M. Gegax to conduct an odd job shop in an existing garage, part time, at 3041 Juniper Street on Lots 39 and 40, Block 5, Watkins & Biddle, subject to the following conditions:

1. No employee's;
2. No signs, advertising or soliciting;
3. Operation of machinery to be limited to daylight hours;
4. Permit to be for a period of one year from the date of this resolution.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By *H. H. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/28/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1048

WHEREAS, Application No. 2984 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John Lovett to divide Lots 21 to 24 inclusive, Block 6, City Heights into 3 building sites, Northeast corner of Boundary and Cooper Streets provided an 11½ ft. setback is maintained on Cooper Street and the average on Boundary Street; parcels to be as follows: (1) the east 49 ft. of Lots 21 to 24 inc. & a part of closed Cooper Street adjacent; (2) a portion of closed Cooper Street adjacent and Lot 23, except the north 5 ft. and all of Lot 24; (3) all of Lots 21 and 22 and the north 5 ft. of Lot 23.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12820, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By

H. N. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 7/28/45 By Baughman  
 City Planning Department  
 Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department  
 Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision approved Date 8/1/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
 Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 Assessor  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1049

WHEREAS, Application No. 2981 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Jens and Evelyn L. Pedersen to sell ready to wear, ladies and children's dresses, etc., at 1278 Pacific Beach Drive on Lots 21 and 22, Block 300, Pacific Beach, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119 New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 1945

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 7/30/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date 8/1/45  
Decision denied Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/3/45 Council Hearing, date 8/3/45  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1110



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1050

Letter dated  
July 28, 1945

WHEREAS, Application No. July 28, 1945 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**An extension of three (3) months from the date of this Resolution is hereby granted to Roscoe K. Freeman on Resolution No. 841, dated February 1, 1945, which granted him permission to construct a single-family residence on the East 44 feet of Lot "K" and the West 23 feet of Lot "L", La Mesa Colony at 6943 Amherst Street.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13558, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 19 45

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



*letter*  
Application Received 7/30/45 By Mail  
City Planning Department

Investigation made See Res. 841 By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision 3 mo extension Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/2/45  
Planning Commission 8/2/45 Petitioner 8/2/45 Health Department 8/2/45 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1051

WHEREAS, Application No. 2979 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to E. J. Ellis to erect a concrete block fence 5 ft. in height out to the front property line on Thomas Avenue, Cass Street, Reed Avenue and Dawes Street, all of Block 264, Pacific Beach.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 5, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **August 2,** 19 **45**

By *H. K. Jorgensen*  
Secretary



Application Received 7/30/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date 8/1/45  
Decision Approved Date 8/1/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/3/45 Council Hearing, date 8/3/45  
Decision of Council 8/3/45 Date 8/3/45

Resolution becomes effective 8/3/45  
Application withdrawn 8/3/45 Continued to 8/3/45  
Time limit extended to 8/3/45 Date of action 8/3/45



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1052

WHEREAS, Application No. 2988 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to O. B. Peavey and William A. G. Morgan (City Chevrolet Co.) to erect a 9 ft. wall above grade level at 1436 Kettner Boulevard on Lots 10 and 11, Block 17, Middletown.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Sec. 9, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 2, 19 45

By H. H. Jorgensen  
Secretary



Application Received 8/1/45 By Rick  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/1/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/1/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 8/1/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/2/45 Building Inspector 8/3/45  
Planning Commission 8/3/45 Petitioner 8/3/45 Health Department 8/3/45 + answer  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1053

WHEREAS, Application No. 2877 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Balloon Dye Works to build a retaining wall, 7 ft. 6 in. in height on Lot 4, Block 11, Gardner's Addition at 19th and "B" Streets.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 3, 1945.

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received 6 7/1/45 By ?  
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 7/18/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/18/45 Hearing date 8/18/45  
 Decision Approved Date 8/18/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/4/45 Building Inspector 8/4/45  
 Planning Commission 8/4/45 Petitioner 8/4/45 Health Department 8/4/45 & Assessor  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1054

WHEREAS, Application No. 2994 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Larry Finley, lessee, to install and operate 4 Kiddie Rides not located within a building on a portion of Pueblo Lot 1803 at Mission Beach Amusement Center.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 6 and Ordinance No. 243 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 9, 19 45..

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----  
Decision ----- Date -----  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----  
Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----  
Decision of Council ----- Date -----  
Resolution becomes effective -----  
Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----  
Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO. 1000



05

RESOLUTION NO. 1055

WHEREAS, Application No. 2927 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....**not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....**not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....**not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Andrew Bobby to construct living quarters on the second floor and within the rear portion of the existing building at 5049 Newport Avenue on Lot 41, Block 72, Ocean Beach, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) that a three (3) foot sideyard clear and unobstructed be provided on the west side of the building;
- (2) that an agreement be signed and filed of record to provide a three(3) foot sideyard clear and unobstructed on the east side of the building by keeping a portion of the adjoining lot free of buildings.

A variance to the provisions of Section 8a of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended, be, and it is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 9, 1945

By *H. H. Jorgensen*

Secretary

*Agree. filed 8/13/45*  
*#377*



Application Received 7-26-45 By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8-1-45 + 8-9-45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Conditional approval Date 8-8-45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8-13-45 Building Inspector 8-13-45  
Planning Commission 8-13-45 Petitioner 8-13-45 Health Department 8-13-45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



OK

RESOLUTION NO. 1056

WHEREAS, Application No. 2977 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Frank I. McWilliams and Carl R. McWilliams to build and operate a building for the manufacture of venetian blinds at the northwest corner of 33rd and Adams Avenue on Lot 16, Block 30, Normal Heights, subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. To employ not more than 20 people;**
- 2. Maximum amount of power equipment not to exceed 20 horse power;**
- 3. Hours of operation not to be before 8:00 A.M. or later than 8:00 P.M.;**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12559, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 15, 19 45.

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----



1057

RESOLUTION NO. ....

2681

WHEREAS, Application No. .... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Frank and Hilda Hall to erect a building 20 ft. by 22 ft. to be used as a garage and lavatory for hired employees on adjoining golf course on the east side of 32nd Street, 140 feet north of El Cajon Boulevard, on Lot 9, Block 1, Teralta, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the garage and lavatory will be used by the owner and his employees and for the storage of his own automobile;
2. That the garage will be constructed in accordance with the plan submitted and located adjacent to the rear line and at least 13 feet southerly of the northerly line of the lot.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Gov. Built  
24' x 26'  
3' from rear lot line*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....August 16,....., 1945.....

By.....  
Secretary



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO.



# RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

81698

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

That the appeal of Roy M. Menerey from the decision of the Zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1058 permission to Armistead B. Carter to divide Lots 17 and 18 Block 91 Point Loma Heights at the corner of Venice and Brighton Streets into two parcels to face on Brighton Street instead of Venice Street, be, and it is hereby denied, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said Zoning Committee decision be and it is hereby sustained, and permission is hereby granted to Mr. Carter to divide the lots on the conditions set forth in Resolution No. 1058 heretofore referred to.

81698

I hereby certify the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_ of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council \_\_\_\_\_

SEP 4 1945

FRED W. SICK

City Clerk

AUGUST M. WADSTROM

By \_\_\_\_\_

Deputy



of the Council of the City of San Diego, as adopted by said Council

I hereby certify

the above to be a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No.

SEP 14 1948

FRED W. SICK

AUGUST M. WADSTROM  
City Clerk

Deputy

By

81698

heretofore referred to.

to divide the lots on the conditions set forth in Resolution No. 1058

and it is hereby sustained, and permission is hereby granted to Mr. Carter

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that said zoning committee decision be

Brighton Street instead of Venice Street, be, and it is hereby denied and

at the corner of Venice and Brighton Streets into two parcels to be on

Armand B. Carter to divide Lots 17 and 18 Block 91 Point Loma Heights

zoning Committee in granting by its Resolution No. 1058 permission to

That the appeal of Roy M. Menzies from the decision of the

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO.

81698



OK

1058  
RESOLUTION NO. ....

WHEREAS, Application No. **2797** has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Armistead B. Carter to divide Lots 17 and 18, Block 91, Point Loma Heights at the corner of Venice and Brighton Streets into two parcels to face on Brighton Street instead of Venice Street, each parcel to have 70 ft. frontage or more and to contain over 6,000 sq. ft. in area, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That the required setback line be observed on Venice Street; and a 15 ft. setback line observed along Brighton Street;
2. That a 10 ft. space be maintained clear of all buildings adjacent to lot 16, on the front 70 ft. of lot 17;
3. And that the rear door of any residence subsequently constructed, be placed on the west side of the building and not on the side which faces lot 16.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12793, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....**August 18,**....., 19**55**.....

By.....  
Secretary

*split E + W 1/2  
12/20/45  
So. side*



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----



01

1059  
RESOLUTION NO. ....

WHEREAS, Application No. 2955 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Bernard J. Heinz to divide the East 466.66 feet of the south 518 feet of Pueblo Lot 143, into two parcels (South 277 ft. and North 240 more or less of above parcels) to face on Silvergate Avenue and to convert the garage on the south parcel into living quarters.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....August 18,....., 1945.....

By.....Harry Clark.....  
Secretary



Application Received

By

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

Hearing date

Decision

Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

Building Inspector

Planning Commission

Petitioner

Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. <sup>1060</sup>.....

WHEREAS, Application No. <sup>2998</sup>.....has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

as follows: THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California,

**Permission is hereby granted to Patrick J. Doogan to erect and operate a small grocery store approximately 20 ft. by 30 ft. at the northwest corner of Merlin Drive and Imperial Avenue, on Lot 11, Block H, West Hollywood, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That building will be set back sufficient distance in which to provide off-street auto parking;
2. That plans be provided, and approved by the Planning Department;
3. And that an easement for the widening of Imperial Avenue be deeded to the City of San Diego for street purposes.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....<sup>45</sup>  
**August 16,**....., 19

By.....*Harry Clark*.....  
Secretary



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----  
Decision ----- Date -----  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----  
Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----  
Decision of Council ----- Date -----  
Resolution becomes effective -----  
Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----  
Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----



RESOLUTION NO. <sup>1061</sup>.....

WHEREAS, Application No. <sup>2991</sup>.....has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup>.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup>.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Alvin J. Fluck and Iva M. Fluck to erect a 3 ft. masonry wall to the front line on Ocean Front Walk and a 5 ft. masonry wall to the property line on El Carmel Place from the setback line on Ocean Front Walk to Strandway, at 3491 Ocean Front Walk on Lot A, Block 141, Mission Beach.

A variance to the provisions of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 18., 1945.....

By Harry Clark  
Secretary



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----



1062

**RESOLUTION NO.**  
**AMENDS RESOLUTION NO. 991**

2889

WHEREAS, Application No. .... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended) :

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**That Resolution No. 991, dated June 21, 1945, be amended to read as follows:**

**Permission is hereby granted to Ocean View Baptist Church to erect a Chapel at 3808 Hemlock Street on the North 65 feet of Lot "X", Block 1, Sierra Park with a 4 ft. rear yard, a 6 ft. side yard and 56% coverage.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....**August 14,**....., 19**45**.....

By.....*Harry S. Clark*.....  
Secretary *Chairman*



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO.



## RESOLUTION NO. 1063

WHEREAS, Application No. 2990 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Our Lady of the Angels Church to build 280 lineal feet of masonry wall with a maximum height of nine feet above the lowest adjacent ground level, and a seven ft. high wire fence above this wall at 24th and "G" Streets on Lots 1 to 3 inclusive, Block "H" and Lots 7 to 9 inclusive, Block 64, Culverwell and Taggart's Addition.**

**A variance to the provisions of Section 9 of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 19 45.

By Harry Clark  
**Secretary-Chairman**



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----  
Decision ----- Date -----  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----  
Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----  
Decision of Council ----- Date -----  
Resolution becomes effective -----  
Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----  
Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO. 1000



RESOLUTION NO. 1064

WHEREAS, Application No. 2958 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are ~~no~~ special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would ~~not~~ work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ~~not~~ necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of C. B. Offerman to alter portion of existing building into a bedroom and dinette, with 0' sideyard on one side, and more than 10 ft. on the other at 3859 Nile Street on Lots 5 and 6, Block 193, City Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945

By Harry Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----  
Decision ----- Date -----  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----  
Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----  
Decision of Council ----- Date -----  
Resolution becomes effective -----  
Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----  
Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----



1065

RESOLUTION NO. ....

2987

WHEREAS, Application No. .... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....<sup>not</sup> materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....<sup>not</sup> be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....<sup>not</sup> adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Dwight R. and Velma Glover to erect a dwelling, (single family) which does not have the required street frontage but has a recorded 20 ft. easement to 69th Street on a portion of Lot 12, Ex-Mission Rancho approximately 190 ft. south of Madrone Avenue.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 116, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....August 20,....., 1945.....

By.....Harry Clark.....  
~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO.



OK

1066  
RESOLUTION NO. ....

WHEREAS, Application No. 2911 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....~~not~~ materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....~~not~~ be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....~~not~~ adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to M. E. Salsberry to convert an existing garage into living quarters; also make an addition on a lot that does not have the required street frontage and with no sideyard at 3657 Alcott Street on a portion of Lot 22, Point Loma Villas.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated.....August 20....., 1945.....

By.....Harry Clark.....  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1067

WHEREAS, Application No. 3005 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. Theodore Anderson to operate a furniture repair shop in existing garage on rear of premises at 3833 Acacia Street on Lots 43 and 44, Block 301, Garlands Addition, subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum amount of power equipment not to exceed one (1) horsepower;
2. All materials and furniture to be stored within building;
3. Hours of operation during weeks days from 6:00 P.M. to 9:30 P.M.; and on Sundays not before 8:00 A.M. or later than 8:00 P.M.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945.

By Harry Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO. 100



RESOLUTION NO. 1068

WHEREAS, Application No. 3006 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of M. Brauer to alter garage into living quarters, with 0' sideyard for the duration of the war, and six months thereafter, at 4367 Idaho Street on Lots 7 and 8, Block 108, University Heights, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945.

By Harris Clark  
~~Secretary~~ Chairman



City Planning Department

City Planning Department

Hearing date

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Building Inspector

Petitioner

Council Hearing, date

Date \_\_\_\_\_

## Resolution becomes effective

Continued to

Date of action

170546\*



RESOLUTION NO. 1069

WHEREAS, Application No. 2970 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Heller Investment Company to divide Lots 1, 2, 11 and 12, Block 215, Roseville, into two parcels (one 60 ft. by 100 ft.) described as the southwesterly 50 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and the northeasterly 10 ft. of Lots 1 and 2; and (one 90 ft. by 100 ft.) described as the southwesterly 90 ft. of Lots 1 and 2, with the right to construct one single family residence on each parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945.

By Harry Clark  
Secretary  
Chairman



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1070

WHEREAS, Application No. 2971 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Heller Investment Company to divide Lots 1, 2, 3, 11 and 12, Block 207, Roseville, into two parcels (one 80 ft. by 100 ft.) described as the northeasterly 80 ft. of Lots 11 and 12; and (one 50 ft. by 150 ft. plus 20 ft. by 100 ft.) described as the southwesterly 20 ft. of Lots 11 and 12 and the northeasterly 50 ft. of Lots 1, 2 and 3, with the right to construct one single family residence on each parcel of land.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

*Heller Investment Co. sold 5-15-46,  
William A. & Eleanor Page present owner.  
711 201 1/2 (54. O.K. for Res. on 80' x 100')*

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945

By *Harry Clark*  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO. 1000



RESOLUTION NO. 1071

WHEREAS, Application No. 3014 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...not...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...not...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...not...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to John R. Blackett to erect a retaining wall 13 ft. above the lowest adjacent level at the east end of Lot "C", Block 28, Horton's Addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945.

By Harry Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_

City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee \_\_\_\_\_ Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk \_\_\_\_\_ Building Inspector \_\_\_\_\_

Planning Commission \_\_\_\_\_ Petitioner \_\_\_\_\_ Health Department \_\_\_\_\_

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1017



RESOLUTION NO. 1072

WHEREAS, Application No. 2516 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Smith and Guaranty Chevrolet Co., to maintain a parking lot on Lots 31 to 34 inclusive, Block 42, City Heights, at 4022 Marlborough Avenue, provided that the parking lot is used for the storage of operatable automobiles and no wrecks.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13057, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945.

By Harry Clark  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received

By

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

Hearing date

Decision

Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

Building Inspector

Planning Commission

Petitioner

Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

NEGOTIATION NO.



RESOLUTION NO. 1073

WHEREAS, Application No. 2936 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to G. B. Smith and Guaranty Chevrolet Co., to construct an 8 ft. cyclone fence with three strands of barbed wire on top at 4022 Marlborough Avenue on Lots 31 to 34 inclusive, Block 42, City Heights, provided trees are planted around the fence.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

By Harry Clark  
Secretary  
Chairman

Dated August 20, 1945.



Application Received ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Investigation made ----- By -----

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee ----- Hearing date -----

Decision ----- Date -----

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk ----- Building Inspector -----

Planning Commission ----- Petitioner ----- Health Department -----

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date ----- Council Hearing, date -----

Decision of Council ----- Date -----

Resolution becomes effective -----

Application withdrawn ----- Continued to -----

Time limit extended to ----- Date of action -----

RESOLUTION NO. 1012



A

- 100 -

RESOLUTION NO. 1074

WHEREAS, Application No. 3007 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will...~~not~~...materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will...~~not~~...be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will...~~not~~...adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to The Dennstedt Co., to erect a five (5) car garage for storage of commercial vehicles with a coverage of 78% at rear of 4110 El Cajon Boulevard on Lots 19 and 20, Block 6, Wilshire Place.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12959, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 19 45

By Harry Clark  
Secretary ~~Chairman~~



Application Received

By

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

Hearing date

Decision

Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

Building Inspector

Planning Commission

Petitioner

Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 731



RESOLUTION NO. 1075

WHEREAS, Application No. 2937 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will ~~not~~.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ~~not~~.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will ~~not~~.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to C. B. Smith and Guaranty Chevrolet Co., to construct an 6 foot cyclone fence with three strands of barbed wire on top, to the front property line at 4022 Marlborough Avenue on Lots 31 to 34 inclusive, Block 42, City Heights.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 20, 1945.

By.....

Secretary  
Chairman



Application Received

By

City Planning Department

Investigation made

By

City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

Hearing date

Decision

Date

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

Building Inspector

Planning Commission

Petitioner

Health Department

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1012



RESOLUTION NO. ....

2890

WHEREAS, Application No. .... has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

**no**

1. That there are ..... special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.

**not**

2. That strict application of the regulations would ..... work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is ..... necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.

3. That the granting of the application will ..... materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will ..... be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.

4. That the granting of the variance will ..... adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of John and Sophia Kenoffe to permit the construction of an addition to the residence at 4831 Monroe Avenue on Lots 818 and 819, Talmadge Park Estates with a 12 ft. 4 in. rear yard, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **August 30,** **45**, 19.....

By..... **xxxxxx Chairman**  
Secretary



Application Received 8/6/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/8/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/17/45 Hearing date 8/29/45  
Decision Denied Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/31/45 Council Hearing, date 8/31/45 + Assessor  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO.



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1076

WHEREAS, Application No. 3032 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Thomas C. Cook to erect a residence at 3044 Quince Street on Lots 35 to 37 inclusive, Block 4, S. Gurwell Heights with a 3 ft. sideyard.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 30, 1945.

By.....  
Chairman



Application Received 8/23/45 By Hilgore  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date  
Decision of Council Date  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn Continued to  
Time limit extended to Date of action

RESOLUTION NO. 1000



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1077

WHEREAS, Application No. 2910 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Luis G. and Elena M. Lopez to remodel and add to an existing residence with only 4 ft. between dwellings at 1675 National Avenue on Lots 29 and 30, Block 132, Mannassee & Schillers, be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8, Item 7, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 30, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received 8/23/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date 8/29/45  
Decision denied Date 8/29/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/30/45  
Planning Commission 8/30/45 Petitioner 8/30/45 Health Department 8/31/45 assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1078

WHEREAS, Application No. 3029 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to B. J. Rowan to build 50 lineal feet of 4 ft. high patio cement block wall, on top of a 6 ft. 6 in. retaining wall, 4461 Georgia Street at Mission Avenue on Lot 7, (except the Nly 56 ft. and except the Ely 60 ft.), Block 76½, University Heights

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2931, New Series, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
~~Secretary~~ Chairman



Application Received 8/25/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 and 9/12/45 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 + answer

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1079

WHEREAS, Application No. 2757 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is Hereby granted to Walter T. Barney and Roy L. Wood to divide a portion of Lot 2 which is 110 ft. by 110 ft., Subdv. of Block 12, New Roseville into two parcels 55 ft. by 110 ft. each and to permit a single family dwelling on each parcel.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **August 30,** 19 **45**

By.....

~~Secretary~~ **Chairman**



Application Received 8/27/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



0.15

RESOLUTION NO. 1080

WHEREAS, Application No. 2758 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Charles Springstead to divide a portion of Pueblo Lot 186, on Scott Street between Talbot and Bessemer Streets into four parcels, each with 55 ft. frontage on Scott Street by 100 ft. in depth and permit a single family residence on each parcel, provided 5 ft. of land is dedicated for the widening of Scott Street.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 30, 19 45

By \_\_\_\_\_  
**~~Secretary~~ Chairman**



RESOLUTION NO. 7000



C.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1081

WHEREAS, Application No. 3035 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Clay E. Lewis to erect an addition to a cleaning and pressing plant and install equipment of 37 horsepower on Lot "L", Block 281, Horton's Addition, provided 25 horsepower is steam and maximum employee's 10, 5th and Laurel.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12987, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **August 30,** 19**45**

By.....  
**Secretary Chairman**



Application Received : 8/25/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date 8/31/45 Council Hearing, date 8/31/45  
Decision of Council 8/31/45  
Resolution becomes effective  
Application withdrawn  
Time limit extended to Continued to  
Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 1082

WHEREAS, Application No. 3044 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to L. W. Rendall to erect a single family residence on a parcel of land approximately 140 ft. by 240 ft., which is a portion of Pueblo Lot 1280 on Avenida De La Playa.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13294, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 31, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary  
~~XXXXX~~ Chairman



Application Received : 8/27/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision Approved Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/31/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1083

WHEREAS, Application No. 3025 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

The petition of Howard F. and Genevieve Rowe to erect an addition to an existing residence at 7569 Herschel Avenue on Lots 3 and 4, Block 2, Center Addition; addition to maintain only a 1½ ft. sideyard, be, and is hereby denied.

Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 30, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary Chairman



Application Received 8/29/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision denied Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1084

WHEREAS, Application No. 3017 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Samuel and Elizabeth Kahn to maintain a sign on the front of Lots 5 and 6, Block 233, Middletown with a 9 ft. 6 in. setback, subject to the following conditions:

1. When the street is widened the sign will be moved back to the established setback line without expense to the city;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above condition shall be signed by the owner and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 401, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 30, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_

Secretary Chairman

Agreement #380  
filed 9/13/45



Application Received 8/22/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond'l Approval Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1085

Letter dated August 23, 1945

WHEREAS, Application No. 1085 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

That an extension of six months from the expiration date of Resolution No. 857 granting Theodore C. Kistner permission to construct laundry buildings 78 ft. by 87 ft. and 50 ft. by 62 ft. which were destroyed by fire at 3481 National Avenue on Lots 25 to 30 inclusive, Block 5, South Chollas Addition and to conduct cleaning and dyeing in conjunction therewith, with a maximum of 200 h.p. boiler, 100 employee's and 152 h.p. of electrically operated equipment, be given.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 13216, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 30, 1945

By \_\_\_\_\_

Secretary Chairman



Letter  
Application Received 8/25/45 By Mail  
City Planning Department

Investigation made \_\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_\_\_  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Extension Granted Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/30/45 Building Inspector 8/30/45  
Planning Commission 8/30/45 Petitioner 8/30/45 Health Department 8/30/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1086

WHEREAS, Application No. 3056 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not** materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not** be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not** adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Russell E. Osborn, Merritt S. Tinkham and Edward La Dou to erect a building 45 ft. by 50 ft. for use as an auto repair shop at Cherokee Street and University Avenue on the East 50 ft. of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 57, City Heights which cuts off the residence at the rear of the property from street frontage on University Avenue, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the above described property be retained as one parcel of land under one ownership and the residence will be used by the owners or partner;
2. And that an agreement to comply with the above conditions shall be signed by the owners and filed of record.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 6, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated August 31, 1945.

By.....  
Secretary Chairman

Agreement #379  
filed 8/31/45



Application Received 8/29/45 By Haelsig  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date  
Decision Cond. Approved Date 8/29/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 8/31/45 Building Inspector 8/31/45  
Planning Commission 8/31/45 Petitioner 8/31/45 Health Department 8/31/45 + Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1087

WHEREAS, Application No. 3018 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Don and Kathryn Hunter to resume the non-conforming business of retailing plants and shrubs on Silvergate Avenue just south of Pio Pico Street on the East 466.66 ft. of the South 277 ft. of Pueblo Lot 143, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the business shall be operated by the Hunter family only;
2. That the business shall be operated as a Begonia Garden in the manner and limited to the same scale of operation as by the former owners D. and Annie C. Robinson and also that the sale of a limited number of small plants in flats will be permitted.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 32, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....  
Secretary  
Chairman



Application Received 8/22/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 Hearing date 9/12/45

Decision Council Approval Date 9/13/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_



## RESOLUTION NO. 1088

WHEREAS, Application No. 2942 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are no special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would not work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is not necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**The petition of Thomas F. McGillin to erect a building and conduct fire protection sales and service at 136 South 46th Street on the East 155.25 ft. of the North 4 acres of the East one-half of the Northwest one-quarter (except roads) of Lot 51, Rho Ex-Mission in Horton's Purchase be, and is hereby denied.**

**Application for a variance to the provisions of Ordinance No 78, New Series, be, and is hereby denied insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By Robert J. [Signature] Chairman



Application Received 7/25/45 By 7 City Planning Department  
Investigation made 8/17/45 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 8/17/45 + 8/29/45 Hearing date 9/12/45  
Decision denied Date 9/12/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/13/45  
Planning Commission 9/13/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 + assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 1089

WHEREAS, Application No. 3040 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Frank F. Faust to erect and operate a drive-in restaurant and a storage building in connection therewith, in connection with and as a part of the present business of Nip and Tuck Restaurant at 3135 Midway Drive on a portion of Pueblo Lot 239, subject to the following conditions:**

1. That the existing garbage building be removed and to be incorporated in the proposed storage building 16 ft. by 30 ft.;
2. The front of the drive-in building be placed not more than 75 ft. back from the front property line;
3. A 4 ft. horizontal board fence be placed between the above property and the government housing project;
4. No signs to be posted on the fence;
5. And subject to the final plans being approved by the Planning Department.

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 34 New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 19 45

By.....

~~Secretary~~  
~~XXXXX~~ Chairman



Application Received 8/27/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 + 9/12/45 Hearing date

Decision Conditional Approval Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1090

WHEREAS, Application No. 3057 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to James U. Lytle to operate a lock shop (part time) in the garage at 4876 Biona Drive on Lot 4, Block B, Kensington Park Extension, provided no signs are placed on the property.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 1038, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

Secretary  
XXXX Chairman



Application Received 9/4/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date 9/12/45  
Decision Approved Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 7000



0.1

RESOLUTION NO. 1091

WHEREAS, Application No. 2959 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to R. W. Smith to construct a residence at Cosoy Way and Presidio Drive on Lot 2, Block 465, Old San Diego with a 12 ft. setback on Cosoy Way and maintaining the required setback on Presidio Drive.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, Section 4, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

Secretary  
XXXXXX Chairman



Application Received 9/5/45 By Kilgore  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date 9/12/45  
Decision Approved Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



O.K.

RESOLUTION NO. 1092

WHEREAS, Application No. 3041 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to West Coast Corporation to erect and operate a gasoline service station at Reo Drive and Cumberland Street on Lot 10, Block 20, Paradise Hills, subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. That the usual requirements for parking spaces in an RC zone shall be complied with;**
- 2. And that the final plans be approved by the Zoning Committee.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2720, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

~~Chairman~~ Chairman



Application Received 9/5/45 By ?  
City Planning Department  
Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department  
Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date  
Decision Conditional Approval Date 9/12/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1093

WHEREAS, Application No. 3010 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will <sup>not</sup>.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will <sup>not</sup>.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will <sup>not</sup>.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Agnes F. and Frank E. Albright to erect a duplex residence on Lot A (that portion lying between the north and south lines of Lot C, Block 240, Mission Beach and extending easterly to the west line of Bayside Walk) of Braemar, Windimere Court and Bayside Walk, a portion of the property being located in an R-1 zone and the remaining portion in an R-4 zone.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 119, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

~~SECRET~~  
Chairman



Application Received 9/7/45 By ? City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date 9/12/45

Decision Approved Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/13/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 + Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



o.k

RESOLUTION NO. 1094

WHEREAS, Application No. 3062 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will **not**.....materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will **not**.....be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will **not**.....adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

**Permission is hereby granted to Carlos S. Pickering (Mrs. Conkling's Bakery) to build a 50 ft. by 130 ft. addition to an existing non-conforming bakery at 3277 El Cajon Boulevard on Lots 1 to 8 inc. Block 1, North Highland Park and to build a 50 ft. by 130 ft. parking lot as an accessory thereto, subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. That a Eugenia Hedge be planted along the front and the west side of the parking lot;**
- 2. And that all parking, loading and unloading will be done off of the public street.**

**A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12889, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.**

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated **September 13,** 19 **45**

By.....

~~Secretary~~  
**XXXXXX Chairman**



Application Received 9/7/45 By Burton  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Cond'l Approval Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 + Assessment

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1095

WHEREAS, Application No. 3059 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Paul Mirable to split Lots 5 and 6, Block 149, Roseville into two 50 ft. by 100 ft. lots to face on Willow Street instead of Quimby Street and to erect a single family residence on each parcel, provided a 15 ft. setback is maintained on Quimby Street and a 10 ft. setback on Willow Street.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 31, New Series, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945.

By.....

Secretary

Chairman



Application Received 9/8/45 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 9/12/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 1096

WHEREAS, Application No. 3068 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to D. G. Stevens to erect garages, with apartments above on the Northeast corner of 2nd and Ivy Streets on the West one-half of Lots E and F, Block 257, Horton's Addition with no setback on 2nd Avenue, provided the corner of the building is made to conform with the existing retaining wall, on a 3 ft. radius.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

Secretary  
XXXXXX Chairman



Application Received

9/8/45

By

*Hilgore*  
City Planning Department

Investigation made

9/12/45

By

*Zoning Committee*  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee

9/12/45

Hearing date

Decision

*Cond'l approval*

Date

9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk

9/13/45

Building Inspector

9/14/45

Planning Commission

9/14/45

Petitioner

9/14/45

Health Department

9/14/45 + *Assessor*

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date

Council Hearing, date

Decision of Council

Date

Resolution becomes effective

Application withdrawn

Continued to

Time limit extended to

Date of action



RESOLUTION NO. 1097

WHEREAS, Application No. 3074 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to J. Lewis and Sally K. Major to divide Lot 26, Block 2, Cullen's Westland Terrace at Pentucket and Juniper Streets into four parcels as follows: (1) the North 102 ft.; (2) the North 50 ft. of the South 150 ft.; (3) the North 50 ft. of the South 100 ft.; (4) and the South 50 ft.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12795, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

Secretary  
XXXXXX Chairman



Application Received 9/8/45 By ?  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision Approved Date 9/12/45  
Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor  
Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_



RESOLUTION NO. 2985 1098

WHEREAS, Application No. 2985 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mrs. Carolyn Warner to erect a bedroom on the rear of an existing residence which has a 2 ft. sideyard at 3574 - 30th Street on Lot 3, Block 22, West End Addition provided a 5 ft. rear yard is maintained and also a 4 ft. sideyard for the addition.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

~~Secretary~~  
~~XXXXX~~ Chairman







RESOLUTION NO. 1099

San Res. # 1354  
6 mo. Extension  
+ Res. # 1756  
6 mo. Ext.

WHEREAS, Application No. 2904 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to Mildred E. and Benjamin L. Brittin to construct an addition on the front of an existing residence at 4966 West Mountain View Drive on the Southeast one-half of the Westerly 117 ft. of Villa Lot 39, as measured a long Mt. View Drive, Normal Heights, with a 7 ft. setback.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 12321, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

Secretary  
XXXXXX Chairman



Application Received 8/21/45 By Baughman  
City Planning Department

Investigation made 8/29/45 By Zoning Committee  
City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 8/29/45 & 9/12/45 Hearing date

Decision Approved Date 9/12/45

Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45

Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 & Assessor

Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_

Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_

Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_

Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

RESOLUTION NO. 1000



RESOLUTION NO. 1100

WHEREAS, Application No. 3024 has been considered by the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, and the evidence presented has shown (see Section 15, of Ordinance No. 8924, as amended):

1. That there are.....special circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the use intended, which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity.
2. That strict application of the regulations would.....work unnecessary hardship, and that the granting of the application is.....necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of substantial property rights of the petitioner, possessed by other property owners in the same zone and vicinity.
3. That the granting of the application will.....not materially affect the health or safety of persons residing or working in the neighborhood, and will.....not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will.....not adversely affect the Master Plan of the City of San Diego.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Zoning Committee of the City of San Diego, California, as follows:

Permission is hereby granted to A. F. Gillia to erect three units, two of which will face upon a court 5 ft. wide on Lot S, Block 100, Mission Beach on Island Court.

A variance to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8924, Section 8a, be, and is hereby granted insofar as they relate to the property mentioned above.

Any permission granted by this Resolution shall be null and void, and shall be revoked automatically, six months after its effective date, unless the use and/or construction permitted is commenced before said time expires.

The permission granted by this Resolution shall become effective and final on the sixth day after it is filed in the office of the City Clerk, unless a written appeal is filed within five days after such filing in the office of the City Clerk.

ZONING COMMITTEE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

Dated September 13, 1945

By.....

Secretary  
Chairman



Application Received 9/8/45 By ?  
 City Planning Department

Investigation made 9/12/45 By Zoning Committee  
 City Planning Department

Considered by Zoning Committee 9/12/45 Hearing date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision Approved Date 9/12/45  
 Copy of Resolution sent to City Clerk 9/13/45 Building Inspector 9/14/45  
 Planning Commission 9/14/45 Petitioner 9/14/45 Health Department 9/14/45 Assessor  
 Appeal filed with City Clerk, date \_\_\_\_\_ Council Hearing, date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decision of Council \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Resolution becomes effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Application withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_ Continued to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time limit extended to \_\_\_\_\_ Date of action \_\_\_\_\_

mentioned above.

and to hereby present notice as such before the Board of  
 Adjustment of the Board of Adjustment No. 1031, Section 12, of  
 the City of San Diego, California.

That the granting of the variance will not  
 be of such a nature as to be a detriment to the public  
 health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.

Whereas:

1. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
2. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
3. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
4. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
5. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
6. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
7. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
8. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
9. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.
10. That the granting of the variance will not be a detriment to the public health, safety or morals of the neighborhood.

Ordinance No. 8034 as amended):  
 the City of San Diego, California, and the variance presented has been (see Section 12 of  
 the City of San Diego, California) has been considered by the Zoning Committee of