APPENDIX A

Technical Memorandum #1: Existing Conditions





This page intentionally left blank.

Existing Conditions Report

Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy (CATS)

DRAFT Report

September 26, 2016

Prepared for:

City of San Diego 202 C Street

San Diego, California 92101

Prepared by:



3900 5th Avenue Suite 210 San Diego, California 92103

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	4
1.1	Study Background and Purpose	4
1.2	Study Location	4
1.3	Organization of the Report	6
2.0	Pedestrian Assessment	7
2.1	Pedestrian Priority Model	7
2.2	Pedestrian Safety	7
2.3	Pedestrian Network Quality and Connectivity 12	2
2.	3.1 Developing the Pedestrian Study Area12	2
2.	3.2 Pedestrian Environmental Quality Index (PEQE)14	4
2.	3.3 Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio 23	3
2.	3.4 Quality Walkshed 2!	5
3.0	Bicycle Assessment	7
3.1	Bicycle Demand Model (BDM)	7
3.2	Bicycle Safety 2	7
3.3	Bicycle Facility Quality	5
3.4	Bicycle Network Connectivity	8
3.5	Composite Cycling Environment Evaluation	8
4.0	Transit Assessment	1
4.1	Station Quality	1
4.2	Safety Near Transit Stops	7
4.3	Potential Transit Ridership	7
5.0	Multimodal Evaluation	1
5.1	Evaluation Thresholds	1
5.2	Pedestrian Deficiencies	1
5.3	Bicycle Deficiencies	5
5.4	Transit Deficiencies	6



List of Tables

Table 2-1	Primary Pedestrian Collision Factor Categories (2008-2013)	
Table 2-2	Pedestrian Environment Quality Evaluation Rating System	14
Table 2-3	PEQE Segment Results	
Table 2-4	PEQE Intersection Results	
Table 2-5	PEQE Mid-Block Segment Results	23
Table 3-1	California Bikeway Classification	
Table 3-2	Primary Bicycle Collision Factor Categories	
Table 3-3	Level of Traffic Stress Classifications and Descriptions	
Table 4-1	Transit Amenity Standards by Ridership Levels	41
Table 4-2	Linda Vista Transit Stop Amenities by Ridership Level	42
Table 4-3	Jobs and Dwelling Units Within 0.5 Mile of Transit	47
Table 5-1	Multimodal Analysis and Evaluation Thresholds	51
Table 5-2	Deficient PEQE Roadway Segments	52
Table 5-3	Deficient PEQE Intersections	52
Table 5-4	Deficient LTS Roadway Segments	55
Table 5-5	Deficient LTS Intersections	
Table 5-6	Deficient Transit Stops	56

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Linda Vista within the Region	5
Figure 2-1	Pedestrian Priority Model	8
Figure 2-2	Pedestrian Collisions (2008-2013)	9
Figure 2-3	Pedestrian Study Area	13
Figure 2-4	Pedestrian Environment Quality Evaluation (PEQE)	19
Figure 2-5	Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio	24
Figure 2-6	Existing Quality Walkshed Ratio	26
Figure 3-1	Linda Vista Bicycle Network	29
Figure 3-2	Bicycle Demand Model (BDM)	30
Figure 3-3	Bicycle Collisions (2008-2013)	31
Figure 3-4	Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (LTS)	37
Figure 3-5	Existing Bikeshed Ratio	39
Figure 3-6	High Quality Bicycle Connectivity Analysis	40
Figure 4-1	Pedestrian and Bicycle Collisions within 500 Feet of Transit Stops (2008-2013)	50

List of Charts

Chart 2-1	Pedestrian Collisions by Party-at-Fault (2008-2013)	10
Chart 2-2	Pedestrian Collisions by Age Group (2008-2013)	11
Chart 2-3	Pedestrian Collisions by Time of Day (2008-2013)	11
Chart 2-4	Pedestrian Collisions by Day of Week (2008-2013)	12
Chart 3-1	Bicycle Collisions by Party-at-Fault (2008-2013)	
Chart 3-2	Bicycle Collisions by Age Group (2008-2013)	
Chart 3-3	Bicycle Collisions by Time of Day (2008-2013)	
Chart 3-4	Bicycle Collisions by Day of Week (2008-2013)	35

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Study Background and Purpose

This Existing Conditions Report summarizes the physical and operational conditions of the Linda Vista Community's bicycle and pedestrian networks, support facilities, transit facilities, and other multimodal transportation infrastructure. The report presents existing conditions analyses of pedestrian and bicycle facilities, demand, network quality and connectivity, and safety, as well as amenities present at transit facilities. The report also describes key terms and methodologies utilized for conducting these analyses, and identifies current deficiencies across the multimodal transportation networks. These analyses provide a foundation for developing and prioritizing recommendations for future network improvements which will be developed in upcoming study tasks.

1.2 Study Location

The community of Linda Vista occupies approximately 4.3 square miles and is located roughy 4 miles north of downtown San Diego. It is bounded by Interstate 5 to the west, Tecolote Canyon and Mesa College Drive to the north, State Route 163 to the east, and Friars Road to the south. Linda Vista is bisected in the north-south direction by major roadways such as Morena Boulevard, Via Las Cumbres, and Ulric Street, and traversed by Linda Vista Road in a northeasterly and southwesterly direction. **Figure 1-1** displays the community of Linda Vista within the region.





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy Figure 1-1 Linda Vista within the Region

CHEN+RYAN

1.3 Organization of the Report

Following this introductory chapter, the remainder of the Existing Conditions Report is organized into the following chapters:

- **Chapter 2** describes the pedestrian environment in Linda Vista through assessments of demand, network quality, connectivity, and safety.
- **Chapter 3** summarizes the cycling environment in Linda Vista through assessments of demand, network quality, connectivity, and safety.
- **Chapter 4** provides an analysis of Linda Vista's transit environment, including stop amenities and station quality, collision frequency near transit stops, and the base of potential transit riders within a half-mile pedestrian network buffer.
- **Chapter 5** presents a summary of currently deficient facilities within the community, identified by the analyses performed in Chapters 2 through 4, that do not presently meet identified thresholds targets.



2.0 Pedestrian Assessment

This chapter provides an overview of existing pedestrian facilities, safety, quality, and connectivity in the Linda Vista Community. Data sources supporting this analysis include geographic information system (GIS) files accessed via SANDAG, existing planning documents, satellite imagery, mapping analyses, and confirmation through field review.

2.1 Pedestrian Priority Model

The Pedestrian Priority Model (PPM) was developed to identify locations across the City of San Diego with high "pedestrian need" or places that warrant relatively higher consideration for pedestrian infrastructure improvement. The model included three key sub-models to identify these locations: 1) pedestrian trip generation 2) pedestrian trip attraction, and 3) pedestrian trip detractors. The overarching concept is that locations with high demand for walking (as reflected by pedestrian trip generation and attraction) and high pedestrian detractors warrant higher consideration for pedestrian improvements.

A recent update to the PPM, *Pedestrian Priority Model Update and Data Documentation, Multimodal Planning Research Project*, was undertaken in 2015. The documentation related to this most recent PPM update details the methodologies, inputs, weights, and scoring categories used to derive each of the three sub-models and composite raster.

Figure 2-1 displays the final 2015 Pedestrian Priority composite model for the Linda Vista community within the City of San Diego, combining the attractors, generators, and detractors. As shown, a relatively high propensity for pedestrian travel exists along Linda Vista Road in the center of the community, bounded to the north by Genesee Avenue and to the south by Comstock Street.

2.2 Pedestrian Safety

Collision data is a valuable source of information for identifying potential pedestrian deficiencies. An analysis of collision data from the six-year period between 2008 and 2013 reveals trends and patterns in collision locations, causes, time of collision, party-at-fault, and victim age. Data was obtained from the City of San Diego's Collision Database, and showed a total of 50 pedestrian collisions within the community over the six-year period.

Figure 2-2 displays pedestrian collisions in Linda Vista. Half of the recorded pedestrian collisions, or 25 collisions, occurred along Linda Vista Road. **Chart 2-1** displays pedestrian collisions by party-at-fault. Approximately 50 percent of collisions are attributed to motor vehicle's fault, whereas the remaining 50 percent of collisions are attributed to the pedestrian's fault. **Table 2-1** presents the distribution of collision cause across this six-year period. As shown, violation of a pedestrian's right-of-way was the most common single cause of pedestrian collisions (28%), followed by unknown factors (22%), and violation of a vehicle's right-of-way (14%).





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 2-1 Pedestrian Priority Model (PPM)



Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 2-2 Pedestrian Collisions (2008-2013)



Chart 2-1 Pedestrian Collisions by Party-at-Fault (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

Primary Collision Factor	Number of Collisions	Percent of Total Collisions
Violated Pedestrian's Right-of-Way	14	28%
Unknown	11	22%
Violated Vehicle's Right-of-Way	7	14%
Not Paying Attention	4	8%
Visibility Issue	3	6%
Unknown	3	6%
Speed Too Fast for Conditions	2	4%
Ran Traffic Signal	1	2%
Left Place of Safety	1	2%
Didn't Yield to Emergency Vehicle	1	2%
Stopped in Right-of-Way	1	2%
Violation of Signs	1	2%
Other Causes	1	2%
Total	50	100%

Table 2-1 Primary Pedestrian Collision Factor Categories (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

Chart 2-2 presents the fifty pedestrian collisions by age group. Each age group experienced pedestrian collisions, with the exception of pedestrians ages 30-34 years. Pedestrians aged 10-14 years recorded higher numbers of collision when compared to other age groups. Thirty of the 50 pedestrian collisions, or 60%, were under the age of 30.





Chart 2-2 Pedestrian Collisions by Age Group (2008-2013)

Chart 2-3 displays pedestrian collisions distributed by time of day over the six-year period from 2008 to 2013. The timeframe with the most pedestrian collisions recorded was between 5:00PM and 9:00PM, with 21 collisions. This timeframe partly falls within the PM peak period (4:00PM to 6:00 PM), potentially indicating pedestrians traveling for commute-related purposes rather than for recreation.



Chart 2-3 Pedestrian Collisions by Time of Day (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016



Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

Chart 2-4 displays pedestrian collisions by day of week. The distribution of collisions shows relatively higher collision rates on Wednesdays and Fridays, with 9 collisions recorded on Wednesdays and 12 collisions recorded on Fridays. On other days of the week, the number of collisions was relatively consistent.



Chart 2-4 Pedestrian Collisions by Day of Week (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

2.3 Pedestrian Network Quality and Connectivity

This section outlines methodologies for developing the Pedestrian Study Area network, and then evaluating the study area network using the Pedestrian Environment Quality Evaluation (PEQE) and Quality Walkshed Ratio analyses¹.

2.3.1 Developing the Pedestrian Study Area

The Pedestrian Study Area is intended to reflect overlapping areas of high pedestrian need and high pedestrian collisions. These areas were established using the Pedestrian Priority Model (PPM), historic collision data and transit ridership data. The Pedestrian Study Area incorporates all pedestrian facilities meeting the following criteria:

- a) Areas with PPM scores that are one standard deviation or more above the Linda Vista community mean PPM score.
- b) Areas with two or more pedestrian collisions over the previous 6-year period.
- c) Areas within a half-mile of major transit stops, defined as stops/stations serving rail transit, ferry terminals served by either bus or rail transit service, or the intersection of two or more major bus routes with service frequencies of 15-minutes or less during the morning and afternoon peak commute periods.

Figure 2-3 presents the resulting Pedestrian Study Area within Linda Vista.

¹ The Pedestrian Environment Quality Evaluation (PEQE) analysis was originally developed in the white paper Active Travel Assessments – Integrating Bicycle and Pedestrian Evaluation in Long Range Planning – Task A and B of the Multimodal Planning Research Project.





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN ***** RYAN

Figure 2-3 Pedestrian Study Area

2.3.2 Pedestrian Environmental Quality Index (PEQE)

The quality of Pedestrian Study Area roadway segments, intersections, and mid-block crossings was analyzed with the Pedestrian Environment Quality Evaluation (PEQE) tool. **Table 2-2** outlines the evaluation system used to develop the PEQE scores.

Facility Type	Measure	Description/Feature	Scoring
	Horizontal Buffer	Between the edge of auto travelway and the edge of clear pedestrian zone	0 point: < 6 feet 1 point: 6 – 14 feet 2 points: > 14 feet
Segment	Lighting		0 point: below standard/requirement 1 point: meet standard/requirement 2 points: exceed standard/requirement
(between two intersections)	Clear Pedestrian Zone	5' minimum	0 point: has obstructions 2 points: no obstructions
	Posted Speed Limit		0 point: > 40 mph 1 point: 30 – 40 mph 2 points: < 30 mph
		Between the edge of auto travelway and the edge of clear pedestrian zone 0 point: < 6 feet 1 point: 6 - 14 feet 2 points: > 14 feet 0 point: below standar point: meet standarr 2 points: exceed stand 0 point: has obstructic 2 points: no obstructic 2 points: no obstructic 2 points: no obstructic 2 points: so obstructic 0 point: so costructic 2 points: so obstructic 2 points: so obstructic 0 point: 1 - 2 features 2 points: > 2 features 2 points: > 2 features 2 points: so obstructic 2 points: so obstructic 2 points: so obstructic 0 point: 1 - 2 features 2 points: > 2 features 2 points: neet standa 2 points: meet standa 0 point: No control 1 point: No control 1 point: No control 2 points: with high visi 2 points: with high visi 2 points: with high visi 2 points: signal/Roune 4 point: No control 1 point: No control 2 point: no treatment a 2 points: meet standa 2 points: signal/Pedes 2 points: Signal/Pedes 2 points: Signal/Pedes 2 points: High: > 7 points	8 points
luture etter	Physical Feature	 Raised Crosswalk/Speed Table Advanced Stop Bar	0 point: < 1 feature per ped crossing 1 point: 1 – 2 features per ped crossing 2 points: > 2 features per ped crossing
Intersection	Operational Feature	Pedestrian Lead IntervalNo-Turn On Red Sign/Signal	0 point: < 1 feature per ped crossing 1 point: 1 – 2 features per ped crossing 2 points: > 2 features per ped crossing
	ADA Curb Ramp		0 point: below standard/requirement 2 points: meet standard/requirement
Intersection (Continued)	Traffic Control		
		Maximum Points	
	Visibility		0 point: w/o high visibility crosswalk 2 points: with high visibility crosswalk
Mid-block	Crossing Distance		0 point: no treatment and/or > 30 feet 2 points: < 30 feet, or with bulbout/ pedestrian refuge
Crossing	ADA Curb Ramp		0 point: below standard/requirement 2 points: meet standard/requirement
	Traffic Control		
		Maximum Points	
		Final PEQE Scoring:	
		Medium: 4-6 points	
		¥ !	Source: Chen Ryan Associates, May 2016

 Table 2-2
 Pedestrian Environment Quality Evaluation Rating System

Source: Chen Ryan Associates, May 2016



Table 2-3 and **Figure 2-4** displays results of the PEQE analysis. As shown, segments with a "High" ranking are generally found along Linda Vista Road north of Mesa College Drive, as well as along a short segment of Ulric Street. Generally, most roadway segments in Linda Vista are rated as "Medium," whereas most crosswalks receive a "Low" rating.

Of the 95 total roadway segments, 9 received a "Low" rating along at least one side of the roadway. Deficient segments include:

- Linda Vista Road from study area boundary to Stalmer Street (east side),
- Genesee Avenue from Osler Street to Whitney Street (both sides),
- Genesee Avenue from Whitney Street to Linda Vista Road (both sides),
- Genesee Avenue from Linda Vista Road to Richland Street (east side),
- Genesee Avenue from Richland Street to SR-163 SB On-Ramp (EB approach) (both sides),
- Genesee Avenue from SR-163 SB On-Ramp (EB approach) to SR-163 SB Off-Ramp (both sides),
- Genesee Avenue from SR-163 SB Off-Ramp to SR-163 SB On-Ramp (WB approach) (both sides),
- West Morena Boulevard from Tecolote Road Under-Cross to Vega Street (east side),
- Tecolote Road from I-5 NB Ramps to Morena Boulevard (both sides).



	Table 2-3	PEQE Segment Resul	ts			
				hside / tside		hside / stside
Roadway	То	From	Score	Grade	Score	Grade
Linda Vista Road	Study Area Boundary	Stalmer Street	3	Low	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Stalmer Street	Baltic Street	7	High	7	High
Linda Vista Road	Baltic Street	Markham Street	7	High	7	High
Linda Vista Road	Markham Street	Mesa College Drive	7	High	7	High
Linda Vista Road	Mesa College Drive	Family Circle	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Family Circle	Korink Avenue	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Korink Avenue	Wheatley Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Wheatley Street	Korink Avenue	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Korink Avenue	Genesee Avenue	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Genesee Avenue	Levant Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Levant Street	Fulton Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Fulton Street	Ulric Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Ulric Street	Comstock Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Comstock Street	Tait Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Tait Street	Kramer Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Kramer Street	Glidden Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Glidden Street	Northrim Court	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Northrim Court	Alcala Knolls Drive	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Alcala Knolls Drive	Via Las Cumbres	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Via Las Cumbres	Alcala Park Way	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Alcala Park Way	Goshen Street	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Goshen Street	Brunner Street	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Brunner Street	Colusa Street	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Colusa Street	Marian Way	6	Medium	6	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Marian Way	Mollie Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Mollie Street	Metro Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Metro Street	Napa Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Linda Vista Road	Napa Street	Morena Boulevard	5	Medium	5	Medium
Mesa College Drive	Armstrong Street	Ashford Street	4	Medium	4	Medium
Mesa College Drive	Ashford Street	Komet Way	4	Medium	4	Medium
Mesa College Drive	Wellington Street/Komet Way	Linda Vista Road	4	Medium	4	Medium
Mesa College Drive	Linda Vista Road	SR-163 SB Onramp (EB) 4 Medium 4		4	Medium	
Mesa College Drive	SR-163 SB Onramp (EB)	SR-163 SB Onramp (WB)	4	Medium	4	Medium
Genesee Avenue	Park Mesa Way	Osler Street	5	Medium	Ν	I/A
Genesee Avenue	Osler Street	Whitney Street	3	Low	3	Low
Genesee Avenue	Whitney Street	Linda Vista Road	3	Low	3	Low
Genesee Avenue	Linda Vista Road	Richland Street	3	Low	4	Medium





	Table 2-3	PEQE Segment Result	S			
			Nort	hside / stside		hside / stside
Roadway	То	From	Score	Grade	Score	Grade
Genesee Avenue	Richland Street	SR-163 SB Onramp (EB)	1	Low	3	Low
Genesee Avenue	SR-163 SB Onramp (EB)	SR-163 SB Offramp	1	Low	3	Low
Genesee Avenue	SR-163 SB Offramp	SR-163 SB Onramp (WB)	1	Low	3	Low
Osler Street	Preece Street	Nye Street	7	High	7	High
Osler Street	Nye Street	Comstock Street	7	High	7	High
Osler Street	Comstock Street	Ulric Street	7	High	7	High
Osler Street	Ulric Street	Genesee Avenue	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Osler Street	Zane Court	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Zane Court	Waterman Court	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Waterman Court	Upton Court	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Upton Court	Savage Court	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Savage Court	Fulton Street	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Fulton Street	Jewett Street/Eastman Street	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Jewett Street/Eastman Street	Morley Street	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Morley Street	Linda Vista Road	5	Medium	5	Medium
Ulric Street	Linda Vista Road	Dunlop Street	7	High	6	Medium
Ulric Street	Dunlop Street	Burroughs Street	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Burroughs Street	Comstock Street	7	High	7	High
Ulric Street	Comstock Street	Tait Street	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Osler Street	Comstock Court	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Comstock Court	Valjean Court	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Valjean Court	Thomson Court	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Thomson Court	Roeblin Court	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Roeblin Court	Fulton Street	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Gifford Way	Morley Street/Kelly Street	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Morley Street	Linda Vista Road	7	High	7	High
Comstock Street	Linda Vista Road	Ulric Street	7	High	7	High
Fulton Street	Comstock Street	Ulric Street	7	High	7	High
Fulton Street	Ulric Street	Levant Street	7	High	7	High
Fulton Street	Levant Street	Eastman Street	7	High	7	High
Fulton Street	Eastman Street	Linda Vista Road	7	High	7	High
Kelly Street	Kelly Street Neighborhood Park Access	Drescher Street	7	High	7	High
Kelly Street	Drescher Street	Comstock Street	7	High	7	High
Tait Street	Ulric Street			7	High	
Tait Street	Westinghouse Street			7	High	
Tait Street	Abbe Street	Burroughs Street	7	High	7	High
Burroughs Street	Ulric Street	Westinghouse Street	7	High	7	High
Burroughs Street	Westinghouse Street	Tait Street	7	High	7	High
Napa Street	Morena Boulevard	Linda Vista Road	5	Medium	5	Medium
Napa Street	Linda Vista Road	Riley Street	5	Medium	5	Medium





	I dule Z=J	PEQE Seyment Result	3			
Deedway	т	-		nside / tside	Southside / Westside	
Roadway	То	From	Score	Grade	Score	Grade
Napa Street	Riley Street	Gaines Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
Napa Street	Gaines Street	Friars Road	5	Medium	5	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Tecolote Road	Viola Street	4	Medium	6	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Viola Street	Savannah Street	6	Medium	6	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Savannah Street	Naples Street/Dorcas Street	6	Medium	6	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Naples Street/Dorcas Street	Buenos Avenue	6	Medium	6	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Buenos Avenue	Morena Place	6	Medium	6	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Morena Place	Cushman Avenue	5	Medium	5	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Cushman Avenue	West Morena Boulevard	4	Medium	4	Medium
Morena Boulevard	West Morena Boulevard	Napa Street/Sherman Street	6	Medium	5	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Napa Steet/Sherman Street	Grant Street/Linda Vista Road	5	Medium	5	Medium
Morena Boulevard	Grant Street/Linda Vista Road	Friars Road Overcross	5	Medium	4	Medium
West Morena Boulevard	Tecolote Road Undercross	Vega Street	3	Low	5	Medium
West Morena Boulevard	Vega Street	Dorcas Street	5	Medium	5	Medium
West Morena Boulevard	Dorcas Street	Buenos Avenue	5	Medium	5	Medium
West Morena Boulevard	Buenos Avenue	Morena Boulevard 5 Medium		5	Medium	
Tecolote Road	Study Area Boundary	I-5 NB Ramps	4	Medium	4	Medium
Tecolote Road	I-5 NB Ramps	Morena Boulevard	3	Low	3	Low

Table 2-3 PEQE Segment Results

Note: Roadway segments with a "Low" rating are noted in **bold** text.

Source: Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy

Figure 2-4 Pedestrian Environmental Quality Evaluation (PEQE)

Table 2-4 summarizes PEQE intersection results. As shown, of the 84 total study intersections, a majority received a "Low" rating for at least one leg (63 intersections). In addition, a total of 14 intersections received a "Low" rating at all four legs, including:

- Linda Vista Road and Wheatley Street,
- Linda Vista Road and Genesee Avenue,
- Osler Street and Preece Street,
- Osler Street and Nye Street,
- Osler Street and Comstock Street,
- Osler Street and Ulric Street,
- Ulric Street and Fulton Street,
- Ulric Street and Jewett Street/Eastman Street,
- Ulric Street and Tait Street,
- Comstock Street and Fulton Street,
- Comstock Street and Morley Street/Kelly Street,
- Fulton Street and Eastman Street,
- Tait Street and Westinghouse Street,
- Tait Street and Burroughs Street, and
- I-5 NB Ramps and Tecolote Road.

		North Leg		South Leg		East Leg		West Leg	
#	Intersection	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Scor e	Rating
1	Linda Vista Road and Stalmer Street	N/A	N/A	2	Low	N/A	N/A	2	Low
2	Linda Vista Road and Baltic Street	4	Medium	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	Medium
3	Linda Vista Road and Markham Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Low
4	Linda Vista Road and Mesa College Drive	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium
5	Linda Vista Road and Family Circle	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Low	N/A	N/A
6	Linda Vista Road and Korink Avenue	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Low	1	Low
7	Linda Vista Road and Wheatley Street	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low
8	Linda Vista Road and Korink Avenue	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Low	1	Low
9	Linda Vista Road and Genesee Avenue	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low
10	Linda Vista Road and Levant Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Low
11	Linda Vista Road and Fulton Street	4	Medium	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low
12	Linda Vista Road and Ulric Street	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium
13	Linda Vista Road and Comstock Street	5	Medium	5	Medium	5	Medium	5	Medium
14	Linda Vista Road and Tait Street	2	Low	5	Medium	5	Medium	2	Low
15	Linda Vista Road and Kramer Street	5	Medium	5	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium
16	Linda Vista Road and Glidden Street	6	Medium	6	Medium	5	Medium	5	Medium

Table 2-4 PEQE Intersection Results



	Table 2-4 PEQE Intersection Results									
		Nor	North Leg Sout		th Leg East Leg			West Leg		
#	Intersection	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Scor e	Rating	
17	Linda Vista Road and Northrim Court	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
18	Linda Vista Road and Alcala Knolls Drive	5	Medium	5	Medium	5	Medium	5	Medium	
19	Linda Vista Road and Via Las Cumbres	5	Medium	5	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	
20	Linda Vista Road and Alcala Park Way	4	Medium	4	Medium	N/A	N/A	4	Medium	
21	Linda Vista Road and Goshen Street	N/A	N/A	3	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
22	Linda Vista Road and Brunner Street	3	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
23	Linda Vista Road and Colusa Street	N/A	N/A	3	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
24	Linda Vista Road and Marian Way	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	2	Low	
25	Linda Vista Road and Mollie Street	N/A	N/A	3	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
26	Linda Vista Road and Metro Street	3	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
27	Linda Vista Road and Napa Street	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	
28	Linda Vista Road and Morena Boulevard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
29	Mesa College Drive and Armstrong Street	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	
30	Mesa College Drive and Ashford Street	2	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	Low	
31	Mesa College Drive and Komet Way	N/A	N/A	1	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
32	Mesa College Drive and SR-163 SB On-Ramp (EB)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
33	Mesa College Drive and SR-163 SB On-Ramp (WB)	2	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
34	Genesee Avenue and Park Mesa Way	5	Medium	5	Medium	4	Medium	N/A	N/A	
35	Genesee Avenue and Osler Street	4	Medium	4	Medium	5	Medium	5	Medium	
36	Genesee Avenue and Whitney Street	3	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
37	Genesee Avenue and Richland Street	N/A	N/A	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low	
38	Genesee Avenue and SR-163 SB On-Ramp (EB)	N/A	N/A	2	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
39	Genesee Avenue and SR-163 SB Off-Ramp	2	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
40	Genesee Avenue and SR-163 SB On-Ramp (WB)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
41	Osler Street and Preece Street	3	Low	3	Low	2	Low	2	Low	
42	Osler Street and Nye Street	3	Low	3	Low	2	Low	2	Low	
43	Osler Street and Comstock Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
44	Osler Street and Ulric Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
45	Ulric Street and Zane Court	0	Low	0	Low	N/A	N/A	3	Low	
46	Ulric Street and Waterman Court	0	Low	0	Low	N/A	N/A	3	Low	
47	Ulric Street and Upton Court	0	Low	0	Low	N/A	N/A	3	Low	

 Table 2-4
 PEQE Intersection Results



	Table 2-4 PEQE Intersection Results									
		North Leg		Sou	th Leg	East Leg		West Leg		
#	Intersection	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Scor e	Rating	
48	Ulric Street and Savage Court	0	Low	0	Low	N/A	N/A	3	Low	
49	Ulric Street and Fulton Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
50	Ulric Street and Jewett Street/Eastman Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
51	Ulric Street and Morley Street	N/A	N/A	3	Low	2	Low	2	Low	
52	Ulric Street and Dunlop Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
53	Ulric Street and Burroughs Street	0	Low	0	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
54	Ulric Street and Comstock Street	3	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	3	Low	
55	Ulric Street and Tait Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
56	Comstock Street and Comstock Court	0	Low	0	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
57	Comstock Street and Valjean Court	0	Low	0	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
58	Comstock Street and Thomson Court	0	Low	0	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
59	Comstock Street and Roeblin Court	0	Low	0	Low	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
60	Comstock Street and Fulton Street	2	Low	2	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
61	Comstock Street and Gifford Way	N/A	N/A	3	Low	0	Low	0	Low	
62	Comstock Street and Morley Street/Kelly Street	3	Low	3	Low	2	Low	2	Low	
63	Fulton Street and Levant Street	3	Low	N/A	N/A	2	Low	2	Low	
64	Fulton Street and Eastman Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
65	Kelly Street and Drescher Street	N/A	N/A	1	Low	0	Low	0	Low	
66	Tait Street and Westinghouse Street	3	Low	3	Low	2	Low	2	Low	
67	Tait Street and Abbe Street	N/A	N/A	3	Low	0	Low	0	Low	
68	Tait Street and Burroughs Street	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	3	Low	
69	Burroughs Street and Westinghouse Street	N/A	N/A	3	Low	0	Low	0	Low	
70	Napa Street and Morena Boulevard	N/A	N/A	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	
71	Napa Street and Riley Street	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	
72	Napa Street and Gaines Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
73	Napa Street and Friars Road	4	Medium	N/A	N/A	2	Low	N/A	N/A	
74	Morena Boulevard and Tecolote Road	4	Medium	N/A	N/A	4	Medium	4	Medium	
75	Morena Boulevard and Viola Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Low	N/A	N/A	
76	Morena Boulevard and Savannah Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Low	
77	Morena Boulevard and Naples Street/Dorcas Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Low	3	Low	
78	Morena Boulevard and Buenos Avenue	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	2	Low	
79	Morena Boulevard and Morena Place	1	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
80	Morena Boulevard and Cushman Avenue	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Low	N/A	N/A	

Table 2-4PEQE Intersection Results



		Intersection	North Leg		South Leg		East Leg		West Leg	
	#		Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Scor e	Rating
	81	Morena Boulevard and West Morena Boulevard	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	82	West Morena Boulevard and Vega Street	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium	4	Medium
	83	West Morena Boulevard and Buenos Ave	2	Low	2	Low	2	Low	N/A	N/A
	84	I-5 NB Ramps and Tecolote Road	2	Low	2	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

 Table 2-4
 PEQE Intersection Results

Note: Intersection legs with with a "Low" rating are noted in **bold** text.

Source: Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

Two mid-block crossings are located within the Pedestrian Study Area, both along Linda Vista Road. The first crossing is located along the roadway segment between Fulton Street and Ulric Street, whereas the second crossing is located along the roadway segment between Ulric Street and Comstock Street. **Table 2-5** reflects the PEQE results of the two mid-block crossings. Both mid-block crossings have a "High" rating.

	Table 2-5 PEQE Mid-Block Segment Results					
#	Intersection	Score	Rating			
1	Linda Vista Road between Fulton and Ulric	7	High			
2	Linda Vista Road between Ulric and Comstock	7	High			

Source: Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

2.3.3 Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio

A travelshed analysis was used to assess the level of pedestrian connectivity at each study intersection. A 0.5-mile pedestrian network buffer was created for each intersection. That area was then compared to the area of a 0.5-mile buffer to calculate a Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio for the intersection. The higher the Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio, the better the overall walking connectivity from the intersection. **Figure 2-5** presents the Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio for all intersections in the community of Linda Vista. As shown, the central portion of the community, generally along Linda Vista Road, has the highest walkshed ratios. Portions of the community located further away from major roadways, such as along canyon rims, have a comparatively lower ratio.





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 2-5 Existing Pedestrian Walkshed Ratio

2.3.4 Quality Walkshed

Pedestrian network connectivity and quality is assessed using a combination of the pedestrian travelshed and quality assessment previously described. The following steps outline the evaluation process:

- a. *Total Walking Distance* a 0.5-mile pedestrian network buffer is created for each study intersection, regardless of PEQE score.
- b. Quality Walking Distance a 0.5-mile pedestrian network buffer is created for each study intersection, using only pedestrian facilities with a PEQE ranking of Medium or High (including roadway links and intersections, and not including mid-block crossings). PEQE scores on each side of the roadway segment are added together and assigned a quality rating using the following scale (Low: 0-7, Medium: 8-12, High: 13+), to get a single quality measure for the roadway segment. Segments with a "High" rating are considered quality segments.
- c. *Quality Walk Ratio* The ratio of high (or High) quality connectivity to overall connectivity along pedestrian facilities is determined using the following equation:

Quality Walk Ratio =

Quality Walking Distance Total Walking Distance (Existing Conditions)

Figure 2-6 presents the quality walkshed ratio in the Linda Vista community. As shown, intersections with the highest quality connectivity are generally located along Linda Vista Road. Roadways further from Linda Vista Road, particularly toward the edges of the community near canyon rims, show relatively lower quality connectivity.





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 2-6 Existing Quality Walkshed Ratio

3.0 Bicycle Assessment

The *California Highway Design Manual* defines a "Bikeway" as a facility primarily for bicycle travel. **Table 3-1** identifies the four standard bicycle facilities as recognized by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). The Linda Vista community's existing bicycle network is comprised of Class I, II, III, and IV facilities. **Figure 3-1** displays the existing bicycle network by facility type in Linda Vista. As shown, Class II bike lanes are found on many of Linda Vista's major roadways, such as Linda Vista Road, Genesee Avenue, Ulric Street, and Morena Boulevard. Class III bike routes can be found along Napa Street, Tecolote Road, and the SR-163 Overpass segment of Genesee Avenue.

3.1 Bicycle Demand Model (BDM)

The BDM was originally developed in 2010 during the Bicycle Master Plan update process to assist with prioritization of bicycle facility improvement corridors across the City. The BDM was used to identify locations across the City of San Diego with high bicycle demand or places warranting relatively higher consideration for bicycle infrastructure improvements. The BDM was recently updated in 2015.

Figure 3-2 displays the BDM results within the Linda Vista community. As shown, a relatively higher propensity for bicycle trip generation exists along the Linda Vista Road corridor, in addition to major roadways, such as Genesee Avenue, Ulric Street, Via Las Cumbres, Napa Street, and Morena Boulevard.

3.2 Bicycle Safety

Figure 3-3 displays bicycle collisions that occurred within the Linda Vista community during the six-year period between 2008 and 2013. As shown, a total of 64 bicycle collisions were recorded, with higher frequencies at the intersection of Genesee Avenue and Linda Vista Road, as well as near the intersection of Ulric Street and Linda Vista Road, and near the closely spaced and irregular intersections at Morena Boulevard, Linda Vista Road, and Napa Street.



Table 3-1 California B	California Bikeway Classification			
Class Description	Example			
Class I Bikeway (Multi-Use Path) – Also referred to as shared-use paths or multi-use paths, Class I facilities are completely separated from vehicular traffic. Multi-use paths are exclusively for non- motorized use, such as bicycles and pedestrians. Bike paths can provide connections where roadways are non-existent or unable to support bicycle travel.				
Class II Bikeway (Bike Lane) – Provides a striped lane for one-way travel on streets and highways. The striped lane creates a defined space exclusively for bicycle use. Desired widths are 5 to 6 feet.				
Class III Bikeway (Bike Route) – Provides shared use of traffic lanes with motor vehicles, identified only by signage and street markings such as "sharrows". Bike Routes provide connections to other bicycle facilities or to designate preferred routes for bicycle travel.				
Class IV Bikeway (Cycle Track) – Also referred to as separated bikeways, cycle tracks provide a right- of-way designated exclusively for bicycle travel within the roadway and physically protected from vehicular traffic. Types of separation include, but are not limited to, grade separation, flexible posts, or on-street parking.				

Table 3-1 California Bikeway Classification

Source: California Highway Design Manual, 2012; Chen Ryan Associates, May 2016





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy

Figure 3-1 Linda Vista Bicycle Network

CHEN **#**RYAN



Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 3-2 Bicycle Demand Model (BDM)



Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 3-3 Bicycle Collisions (2008-2013)

Chart 3-1 displays bicycle collisions by party-at-fault. Approximately 63 percent of collisions are attributed to the bicyclist's fault, whereas the remaining 37 percent of collisions are attributed to motor vehicles' fault.

Collisions are organized by cause in **Table 3-2**. Violation of a vehicle's right-of-way was the most common single cause of bicycle collisions (23%), followed by not paying attention (14%), and unknown factors (12%).



Chart 3-1 Bicycle Collisions by Party-at-Fault (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016



Primary Collision Factor Category	Number of Collisions	Percent of Total Collisions
Violated Vehicle's Right-of-Way	15	23%
Not Paying Attention	9	14%
Unknown	8	12%
Speed Too Fast for Conditions	6	9%
Ran Stop Sign	5	8%
Ran Traffic Signal	4	6%
Fell Out/Off Vehicle	4	6%
Improper Start	3	4%
Lost Control of Vehicle	3	4%
DUI	1	2%
Wrong Side of Road	1	2%
Distraction in Vehicle	1	2%
Fell Asleep	1	2%
Stopped in Right-of Way	1	2%
Unsafe Movement	1	2%
Wrong Way	1	2%
Total	64	100%

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

Chart 3-2 presents the fifty bicycle collisions by age group. All age groups are shown to have experienced bicycle collisions. Bicyclists aged 40 to 44 years recorded higher collisions when compared to other age groups.

Chart 3-3 displays bicycle collisions distributed by time of day over the six-year period from 2008 to 2013. The timeframe with the most bicycle collisions recorded was between 5:00PM and 6:00PM, with 7 collisions. This timeframe partly falls within the PM peak period (4:00PM to 6:00 PM), potentially indicating bicyclists traveling for commute-related purposes, rather than for recreation.





Chart 3-2 Bicycle Collisions by Age Group (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016



Chart 3-3 Bicycle Collisions by Time of Day (2008-2013)

Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016


Chart 3-4 displays bicycle collisions by day of week. The distribution of collisions shows relatively higher collision rates on Tuesdays and Saturdays, with 15 collisions recorded on Tuesdays and 12 collisions recorded on Saturdays. On other days of the week, collisions varied between 5 collisions (Fridays) and 10 collisions (Wednesdays).



Chart 3-4 Bicycle Collisions by Day of Week (2008-2013)

3.3 Bicycle Facility Quality

Quality of the bicycle environment is assessed using the Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) methodology, as developed by Mekuria, et al. (2012) of the Mineta Transportation Institute and reported in *Low-Stress Bicycle and Network Connectivity*. LTS classifies the street network into categories according to the level of stress it causes cyclists, taking into consideration a cyclist's physical separation from vehicular traffic, vehicular traffic speeds along the roadway segment, number of travel lanes, and factors related to intersection approaches with right-turn lanes and unsignalized crossings. LTS scores range from 1 (lowest stress) to 4 (highest stress).

Table 3-3 displays the four LTS categories with descriptions of traffic stress experienced by the cyclist and the cycling conditions associated with each category.



Source: City of San Diego, 2013; Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

LTS Category	LTS Description	Cycling Conditions Fitting LTS Category
LTS 1	Presenting little traffic stress and demanding little attention from cyclists; suitable for almost all cyclists, including children trained to safely cross intersections	 Facility that is physically separated from traffic or an exclusive cycling zone next to a slow traffic stream with no more than one lane per direction A shared roadway where cyclists only interact with the occasional motor vehicle with a low speed differential Ample space for cyclist when alongside a parking lane Intersections are easy to approach and cross
LTS 2	Presenting little traffic stress but demanding more attention than might be expected from children	 Facility that is physically separated from traffic or an exclusive cycling zone next to a well-confined traffic stream with adequate clearance from parking lanes A shared roadway where cyclists only interact with the occasional motor vehicle (as opposed to a stream of traffic) with a low speed differential Unambiguous priority to the cyclist where cars must cross bike lanes (e.g. at dedicated right-turn lanes); design speed for right-turn lanes comparable to bicycling speeds Crossings not difficult for most adults
LTS 3	Presenting enough traffic stress to deter riders not comfortable with sharing the roadway with traffic	 An exclusive cycling zone (lane) next to moderate-speed vehicular traffic A shared roadway that is not multilane and has moderately low automobile travel speeds Crossings may be longer or across higher-speed roadways than allowed by LTS 2, but are still considered acceptably safe to most adult pedestrians
LTS 4	Presenting enough traffic stress to deter all but the Strong & Fearless cycling demographic (estimated at <1% of the population)	 An exclusive cycling zone (lane) next to traffic at high-speeds, and/or multi-lane vehicular traffic A shared roadway with multiple lanes per direction with high traffic speeds Cyclist must maneuver through dedicated right-turn lanes containing no dedicated bicycling space and designed for turning speeds faster than bicycling speeds

Table 3-3 Level of Traffic Stress Classifications and Descriptions

Source: Mekuria, et al. (2012)

Figure 3-4 displays the results of the LTS analysis within the Linda Vista community. As shown, LTS 4 conditions are commonly found along the community's major roadways, such as portions of Linda Vista Road, Mesa College Drive, Genesee Avenue, portions of Ulric Street, portions of Via Las Cumbres, and portions of Morena Boulevard and West Morena Boulevard. By contrast, LTS 1 and 2 conditions are generally found along residential roadways and collectors throughout the community.





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 3-4 Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (LTS)

3.4 Bicycle Network Connectivity

A bicycle travelshed analysis was used to assess the level of connectivity from each study intersection, similar to the previously presented pedestrian travelshed analysis. A 1-mile bicycle network buffer was drawn around each intersection. That area was compared to the area of a 1-mile buffer to develop a Bikeshed Ratio for the intersection. The higher the Bikeshed Ratio at each intersection, the better the overall cycling connectivity from the intersection. **Figure 3-5** presents the Bikeshed Ratio for the community of Linda Vista. As shown, portions of the community near Linda Vista Road, particularly between Ulric Street and Genesee Avenue, have a relatively high Bikeshed Ratio, indicating a higher degree of bicycle connectivity. By contrast, portions of the community away from major roadways, and where street networks are curvilinear, such as near canyon rims, have relatively lower Bikeshed Ratios.

3.5 Composite Cycling Environment Evaluation

A composite evaluation of the cycling environment in the Linda Vista community was assessed using a combination of the bicycle facility quality and connectivity assessments, similar to the previously described pedestrian composite measure. The following steps outline the evaluation process used:

- a. *Facility Quality* roadways with an LTS 1 or 2 score were selected from the roadway network to represent the Quality Bicycle Network.
- b. *Quality Cycling Distance* the shortest cycling distance between the centroid of each Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) within and adjacent to the Linda Vista Community Planning Area border, and all other study TAZs, was calculated along the Quality Bicycle Network, as well as along all possible roadways.
- c. *Quality Walk Ratio* The ratio of high quality opportunity (along LTS 1 or 2 facilities) to overall connectivity (along all roadways, independent of LTS score) is determined using the following equation:

 Quality Ratio =
 High Quality Bicycle Network

 All Bicycle Network

Figure 3-6 presents the quality connectivity analysis for the Linda Vista community. As shown, the strongest intra-community access along Quality Bicycle Network is generally found in TAZs near the central portion of the community, whereas weak intra-community access along Quality Bicycle Network generally exists near the periphery of the community.





Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 3-5 Existing Bikeshed Ratio



Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 3-6 High Quality Bicycle Connectivity Analysis

4.0 Transit Assessment

This chapter provides an overview of existing transit facilities, quality of amenities, safety, and transit ridership potential in the Linda Vista Community. Data sources supporting this analysis include MTS databases, geographic information system (GIS) files accessed via SANDAG, satellite imagery, mapping analyses, and confirmation through field review.

4.1 Station Quality

Each transit station/stop was reviewed for the presence of the following amenities, based on a combination of MTS data and field verification:

- Shelters
- Benches
- Trash Receptacles
- Station Signs

- Maps/Wayfinding
- Lighting
- ADA Compliancy

Table 4-1 displays the standard amenities that should be provided at transit stops/stations basedon daily passenger boardings across all routes.

	Transit A	mennity Stanua	lus by Muersi		
A :4		Daily Passen	iger Boardings b	y Stop/Station	
Amenity	< 50	50 – 100	101 – 200	201 – 500	> 500
Sign and Pole	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Built-in Sign					Х
Expanded Sidewalk			Х	Х	Х
Bench		Х	Х	Х	Х
Shelter			Х	Х	Х
Route Designations	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Time Table				Х	Х
Route Map			Х	Х	Х
System Map					Х
Trash Receptacle				Х	Х
Lighting			Х	Х	Х
ADA Compliant	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 4-1 Transit Amenity Standards by Ridership Levels

Source: MTS Design for Transit (1993)

Table 4-2 displays the existing amenities at each transit stop in the Linda Vista community. A red cell indicates missing amenities that are deemed to be below standard, based on the amenity standards presented in Table 4-1. As shown, a total of eleven (11) transit stops are deficient in terms of amenities currently provided and their ridership level.



Stop ID	Intersection	Direction of Travel	Far Side / Near Side	Daily Boardings	Sign and Pole	Built-in Sign	Expanded Sidewalk	Bench	Shelter	Route Designations	Time Table	Route Map	System Map	Trash Receptacle	Lighting	ADA Compliant
10062	Linda Vista Rd / Colusa St	E/B	Ν	7	✓					~						✓
10082	Osler St / Ulric St	E/B	N	2	✓					~						~
10084	Osler St / Genesee Av	E/B	N	1	✓					~						~
10093	Genesee Av / Richland St	E/B	N	14	✓		~	~		~					Street	✓
10434	Linda Vista Rd / Goshen St	E/B	F	8	~		~	~		~					Street	~
10442	Linda Vista Rd / Via Las Cumbres	E/B	F	15	~		~	~		~						~
10461	Genesee Av / Linda Vista Rd	E/B	F	47	✓		~	~		~	~			✓	✓	✓
10467	Mesa College Dr / Armstrong St	E/B	F	24	~			~		~						~
10476	Mesa College Dr / Ashford St	W/B	F	18	~					~						~
10806	Morena BI / Buenos Av	N/B	N	3	✓					~					Street	✓
10824	Linda Vista Rd / Via Las Cumbres	W/B	N	31	~		~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~
10831	Comstock St / Linda Vista Rd	W/B	Ν	4	✓			~		~						✓
11195	Linda Vista Rd / Brunner St	W/B	F	12	✓					~					Street	~
11219	Osler St / Ulric St	W/B	F	1	✓					~						✓
11230	Genesee Av / Linda Vista Rd	N/B	F	121	✓		~	~		~						~
11238	Genesee Av / Richland St	W/B	Mid-Block	38	✓			✓		✓					Street	~
11578	Morena Bl / Savannah St	S/B	N	15	✓			~		~						✓
11579	Morena Bl / Naples St	S/B	Ν	14	~			~		~						~

 Table 4-2
 Linda Vista Transit Stop Amenities by Ridership Level

Stop ID	Intersection	Direction of Travel	Far Side / Near Side	Daily Boardings	Sign and Pole	Built-in Sign	Expanded Sidewalk	Bench	Shelter	Route Designations	Time Table	Route Map	System Map	Trash Receptacle	Lighting	ADA Compliant
11583	Morena BI / W Morena BI	S/B	N	6	✓		✓	~		✓						✓
11603	Linda Vista Rd / Alcala Knolls Dr	W/B	N	12	~		~	~		~					Street	~
11606	Linda Vista Rd / Northrim Ct	S/B	Ν	42	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓		✓	~	✓
11608	Comstock St / Nye St	S/B	Ν	1	✓					✓						✓
11609	Comstock St / Lanston St	S/B	N	3	✓					~		l			Street	✓
11611	Comstock St / Langmuir St	S/B	F	1	✓					✓						
11617	Genesee Av / Park Mesa Wy	S/B	Mid-Block	2	✓					\checkmark					Street	
11618	Linda Vista Rd / Morley Way	S/B	Ν	160	✓		~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
11620	Linda Vista Rd / Ulric St	S/B	Ν	40	✓		~	~	~	~	~	✓			~	✓
11622	Ulric St / Tait St	S/B	Ν	33	~					>						✓
11630	Linda Vista Rd / Genesee Av	S/B	Ν	27	~		~	~	~	>	~	✓		\checkmark		✓
11648	Linda Vista Rd / Korink Av (N)	S/B	Ν	1	✓		~			~				\checkmark		✓
11949	Linda Vista Rd / Napa St	S/B	F	18	~					>					Street	✓
11952	Linda Vista Rd / Mildred St	S/B	F	11	~			~		~					Street	~
11978	Comstock St / Osler St	S/B	F	8	~					~						
11979	Comstock St / Valjean Ct	S/B	F	1	~					~						
11983	Linda Vista Rd / Kramer St	S/B	F	16	~		✓	~		~					Street	~
11984	Linda Vista Rd / Tait St	S/B	F	44	✓		✓	~	~	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓

 Table 4-2
 Linda Vista Transit Stop Amenities by Ridership Level

Stop ID	Intersection	Direction of Travel	Far Side / Near Side	Daily Boardings	Sign and Pole	Built-in Sign	Expanded Sidewalk	Bench	Shelter	Route Designations	Time Table	Route Map	System Map	Trash Receptacle	Lighting	ADA Compliant
11990	Linda Vista Rd / Comstock St	S/B	F	52	✓		✓	✓		~					Street	✓
11999	Osler St / Genesee Av	W/B	F	4	✓					~						~
12006	Ulric St / Fashion Hills Bl	S/B	F	7	✓		~	~		~					Street	~
12007	Linda Vista Rd / Fulton St	S/B	F	116	✓		~	~	~	~	~	✓		~	✓	✓
12008	Ulric St / Linbrook Dr	S/B	F	4	✓		~	~		~					Street	✓
12021	Linda Vista Rd / Wheatley St	S/B	F	4	✓		~	~		~					Street	~
12046	Linda Vista Rd / Mesa College Dr	S/B	F	122	~		~	~	~	~	~	~		~		~
12359	Morena Bl / Cushman Av	N/B	Ν	3	~		✓	>		>					Street	✓
12360	Morena Bl / Napa St	N/B	Ν	32	✓		~	~		✓				~	Street	✓
12362	Linda Vista Rd / Napa St	E/B	Ν	143	✓		~	~		✓						✓
12363	Linda Vista Rd / Mildred St	N/B	Ν	12	✓			~		✓						✓
12390	Comstock St / Osler St	N/B	N	3	✓					~						~
12392	Comstock St / Valjean Ct	N/B	N	1	✓					~						
12394	Comstock St / Fulton St	N/B	N	1	✓					~						
12403	Genesee Av / Osler St	W/B	N	76	✓		~	~	~	~	~	✓		~		✓
12410	Ulric St / Linbrook Dr	N/B	N	3	✓					~						~
12437	Linda Vista Rd / Family Cr	N/B	Mid-Block	9	✓		~	~		~						~
12680	Morena Bl / Viola St	N/B	F	5	✓		~	\checkmark		~						✓

 Table 4-2
 Linda Vista Transit Stop Amenities by Ridership Level

Stop ID	Intersection	Direction of Travel	Far Side / Near Side	Daily Boardings	Sign and Pole	Built-in Sign	Expanded Sidewalk	Bench	Shelter	Route Designations	Time Table	Route Map	System Map	Trash Receptacle	Lighting	ADA Compliant
12707	Linda Vista Rd / Alcala Knolls Dr	N/B	F	3	~		~	~		~					Street	~
12710	Linda Vista Rd / Northrim Ct	N/B	F	32	~		~	>		~				✓		✓
12712	Comstock St / W Jewett St	N/B	F	3	✓					✓						✓
12714	Comstock St / Langmuir St	N/B	F	2	✓					✓						✓
12719	Linda Vista Rd / Kramer St	N/B	F	22	✓		~	~		✓					Street	✓
12721	Linda Vista Rd / Tait St	N/B	F	32	✓		~	~		✓					Street	✓
12724	Linda Vista Rd / Comstock St	N/B	F	65	✓		~	>	✓	✓	~	✓		~		✓
12727	Genesee Av / Park Mesa Wy	N/B	F	2	~			>		✓						✓
12730	Linda Vista Rd / Morley Way	N/B	F	105	~		~	~	~	~	~	~		✓	~	✓
12732	Linda Vista Rd / Ulric St	N/B	F	67	~		~			~					~	✓
12736	Comstock St / Ulric St	W/B	F	8	~		~	~		~						✓
12738	Ulric St / Tait St	N/B	F	12	~					~						✓
12743	Linda Vista Rd / Fulton St	N/B	F	63	~		✓	~		~				✓	Street	✓
12747	Linda Vista Rd / Genesee Av	N/B	F	55	~		✓	~		~					Street	✓
12761	Linda Vista Rd / Wheatley St	N/B	F	3	~		~	~		~					Street	~
13174	Comstock St / Linda Vista Rd	E/B	F	53	~		~	~		~				~		~
13175	Genesee Av / Osler St	E/B	F	23	~		~	~		~						~
13389	Friars Rd / Avenida De Las Tiendas	W/B	F	4	~		~			~						

 Table 4-2
 Linda Vista Transit Stop Amenities by Ridership Level

Stop ID	Intersection	Direction of Travel	Far Side / Near Side	Daily Boardings	Sign and Pole	Built-in Sign	Expanded Sidewalk	Bench	Shelter	Route Designations	Time Table	Route Map	System Map	Trash Receptacle	Lighting	ADA Compliant
13435	Linda Vista Rd / USD Main Drwy	E/B	Ν	10	~		~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~
13436	Linda Vista Rd / USD Main Entrance	W/B	F	35	~		~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~
75044	Morena/Linda Vista Trolley Station	W/B	N/A	453		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
75045	Morena/Linda Vista Trolley Station	W/B	N/A	564		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
94059	Ulric St / Fashion Hills Bl	N/B	N	3												
99100	Linda Vista Rd / Genesee Av	S/B	F	8	~					~					Street	\checkmark
99386	Linda Vista Rd / Stalmer St	S/B	N	12	~					~						~
99853	Morena BI / Sherman St	S/B	Ν	33	✓		~	✓		~					Street	✓

 Table 4-2
 Linda Vista Transit Stop Amenities by Ridership Level

Source: FY2014 SANDAG Passenger Counting Program, MTS Design for Transit Manual (1993), Chen Ryan Associates; August 2016

Notes:

1) A red cell indicates missing amenities required by the MTS Design for Transit Manual, based on average daily boardings.

2) A gray cell denotes amenities that are not required by the MTS Design for Transit Manual, based on average daily boardings.

4.2 Safety Near Transit Stops

Figure 4-1 displays pedestrian and bicycle collisions that occurred within five hundred (500) feet of a transit stop in Linda Vista, during the six-year period between 2008 and 2013. As shown, higher collision frequencies are present near the intersection of Genesee Avenue and Linda Vista Road, where 8 collisions were recorded near the 5 bus stops at that intersection, as well as near the intersection of Comstock Street and Linda Vista Road, where 9 collisions were recorded near the 4 bus stops at that intersection.

4.3 Potential Transit Ridership

Potential transit ridership was assessed through examination of total housing units and jobs located within walking distance (a 0.5-mile network buffer) of the transit stop. This data is summarized in **Table 4-3**. As shown, the five transit stops with the largest number of jobs and dwelling units within a half-mile radius are:

- Linda Vista Road & Alcala Knolls Drive eastbound (7,741 total jobs and dwelling units),
- Linda Vista Road & Alcala Knolls Drive westbound (7,734 total jobs and dwelling units),
- Linda Vista Road & Via Las Cumbres eastbound (7,307 total jobs and dwelling units),
- Linda Vista Road & Northrim Court southbound (7,222 total jobs and dwelling units), and
- Linda Vista Road & Via Las Cumbres westbound (7,181 total jobs and dwelling units).

Stop ID	Intersection	Jobs	Dwelling Units	Total Jobs and Dwelling Units
12727	Genesee Av & Park Mesa Way (NB)	74	224	298
11617	Genesee Av & Park Mesa Way (SB)	78	231	309
12390	Comstock St & Osler St (NB)	127	852	979
11978	Comstock St & Osler St (SB)	129	866	995
94059	Ulric St & Fashion Hills BI (NB)	145	852	997
12006	Ulric St & Fashion Hills BI (SB)	154	848	1,002
12410	Ulric St & Linbrook Dr (NB)	190	814	1,004
12761	Linda Vista Rd & Wheatley St (NB)	267	780	1,047
12008	Ulric St & Linbrook Dr (SB)	226	852	1,078
11648	Linda Vista Rd & Korink Av	349	743	1,092
12021	Linda Vista Rd & Wheatley St (SB)	281	815	1,096
11999	Osler St & Genesee Av (WB)	217	882	1,099
12403	Genesee Av & Osler St (NB)	264	841	1,105
13175	Genesee Av & Osler St (SB)	272	848	1,120
12392	Comstock St & Valjean Ct (NB)	174	950	1,124
11979	Comstock St & Valjean Ct (SB)	174	951	1,125

Table 4-3 Jobs and Dwelling Units Within 0.5 Mile of Transit



Stop ID	Intersection	Jobs	Dwelling Units	Total Jobs and Dwelling Units
11219	Osler St & Ulric St (WB)	172	962	1,134
11609	Comstock St & Lanston St	229	973	1,202
12712	Comstock St & W Jewett St	233	988	1,221
10084	Osler St & Genesee Av (EB)	218	1,029	1,247
10082	Osler St & Ulric St (EB)	220	1,067	1,287
12714	Comstock St & Langmuir St (NB)	363	1,070	1,433
12394	Comstock St & Fulton St	303	1,185	1,488
11608	Comstock St & Nye St	304	1,192	1,496
10093	Genesee Av & Richland St (EB)	495	1,015	1,510
11238	Genesee Av & Richland St (WB)	484	1,028	1,512
11611	Comstock St & Langmuir St (SB)	418	1,181	1,599
12437	Linda Vista Rd & Family Cir	661	961	1,622
75045	Morena/Linda Vista Station (WB)	1,095	711	1,806
10461	Genesee Av & Linda Vista Rd (EB)	508	1,389	1,897
11984	Linda Vista Rd & Tait St (SB)	325	1,576	1,901
11230	Genesee Av & Linda Vista Rd (WB)	513	1,416	1,929
12747	Linda Vista Rd & Genesee Av (NB)	520	1,485	2,005
12046	Linda Vista Rd & Mesa College Dr	922	1,128	2,050
12721	Linda Vista Rd & Tait St (NB)	405	1,654	2,059
10467	Mesa College Dr & Armstrong St	1,200	895	2,095
11630	Linda Vista Rd & Genesee Av (SB - near side)	558	1,540	2,098
10476	Mesa College Dr & Ashford St	1,082	1,095	2,177
11990	Linda Vista Rd & Comstock St (SB)	550	1,690	2,240
75044	Morena/Linda Vista Station (EB)	1,343	902	2,245
99100	Linda Vista Rd & Genesee Av (SB - far side)	626	1,625	2,251
12736	Comstock St & Ulric St	566	1,688	2,254
99386	Linda Vista Rd & Stalmer St	1,205	1,061	2,266
11618	Linda Vista Rd & Morley Way (SB)	624	1,662	2,286
12730	Linda Vista Rd & Morley Way (NB)	628	1,667	2,295
13174	Comstock St & Linda Vista Rd (EB)	571	1,731	2,302
12724	Linda Vista Rd & Comstock St (NB)	582	1,721	2,303
10831	Comstock St & Linda Vista Rd (WB)	571	1,759	2,330
12738	Ulric St & Tait St (NB)	507	1,824	2,331
11622	Ulric St & Tait St (SB)	506	1,837	2,343
11620	Linda Vista Rd & Ulric St (SB)	733	1,655	2,388

Table 4-3 Jobs and Dwelling Units Within 0.5 Mile of Transit



Stop ID	Intersection	Jobs	Dwelling Units	Total Jobs and Dwelling Units
12732	Linda Vista Rd & Ulric St (NB)	710	1,775	2,485
12743	Linda Vista Rd & Fulton St (NB)	767	1,775	2,542
12007	Linda Vista Rd & Fulton St (SB)	809	1,778	2,587
11983	Linda Vista Rd & Kramer St (SB)	1,819	1,413	3,232
12719	Linda Vista Rd & Kramer St (NB)	1,813	1,427	3,240
13436	Linda Vista Rd & USD Main Entrance (WB)	2,552	968	3,520
13435	Linda Vista Rd & USD Main Drwy (EB)	2,653	947	3,600
12680	Morena BI & Viola St	3,184	498	3,682
10434	Linda Vista Rd & Goshen St	2,587	1,135	3,722
11578	Morena BI & Savannah St	3,269	479	3,748
11949	Linda Vista Rd & Napa St (SB)	2,909	938	3,847
11579	Morena Bl & Naples St	3,393	484	3,877
10806	Morena BI & Buenos Av	3,488	558	4,046
12359	Morena BI & Cushman Av	3,521	672	4,193
11583	Morena BI & W Morena BI	3,570	670	4,240
11195	Linda Vista Rd & Brunner St	2,951	1,358	4,309
12362	Linda Vista Rd & Napa St (NB)	3,310	1,002	4,312
10062	Linda Vista Rd & Colusa St	2,995	1,424	4,419
11952	Linda Vista Rd & Mildred St (SB)	3,268	1,232	4,500
12363	Linda Vista Rd & Mildred St (NB)	3,313	1,262	4,575
13390	Friars Rd & Via De La Moda	3,736	878	4,614
13389	Friars Rd & Avenida De Las Tiendas	4,006	817	4,823
99853	Morena BI & Sherman St	4,210	908	5,118
12360	Morena BI & Napa St	4,177	953	5,130
12710	Linda Vista Rd & Northrim Ct (NB)	5,575	1,459	7,034
10824	Linda Vista Rd & Via Las Cumbres (WB)	6,017	1,164	7,181
11606	Linda Vista Rd & Northrim Ct (SB)	5,772	1,450	7,222
10442	Linda Vista Rd & Via Las Cumbres (EB)	6,094	1,213	7,307
11603	Linda Vista Rd & Alcala Knolls Dr (WB)	6,556	1,178	7,734
12707	Linda Vista Rd & Alcala Knolls Dr (EB)	6,559	1,182	7,741

Table 4-3	Jobs and Dwelling Units Within 0.5 Mile of Transit

Source: Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016



Linda Vista Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy CHEN + RYAN

Figure 4-1 Pedestrian and Bicycle Collisions within 500 Feet of Transit Stops (2008-2013)

5.0 Multimodal Evaluation

This chapter presents evaluation thresholds developed by the City of San Diego to define deficiencies in terms of network quality and connectivity for walking and cycling, as well as station quality for the transit system.

5.1 Evaluation Thresholds

Table 5-1 displays thresholds for the quality and connectivity metrics evaluated in Sections 2.0,3.0, and 4.0.

Mode	Analysis	Туре	High	Medium	Low								
	PEQE	Quality	7+ Points	4-6 Points	3 or fewer points								
Pedestrian	Travelshed	Connectivity	50%+ coverage	30% - 49% coverage	< 30% coverage								
	Quality Ratio	Network Evaluation	0.90+	0.70-0.89	< 0.70								
	LTS	Quality	LTS 1&2	LTS 3	LTS 4								
Bicycle	Travelshed	Connectivity	50%+ coverage	30% - 49% coverage	< 30% coverage								
Dicycle	Quality Paths	Network Evaluation	> 50%+ of BLUs are accessible	30% to 49% of BLUs are accessible	< 30% of BLUs are accessible								
Station Amenities Quality Meets Standards		N/A	Does Not Meet Standards										

 Table 5-1
 Multimodal Analysis and Evaluation Thresholds

Source: Chen Ryan Associates, August 2016

The goal for all modes is to achieve the "High" threshold; however, "Medium" conditions are acceptable along all facilities within the City. Improvements should be considered for all modes that are either currently performing or anticipated to perform in the Low range. A summary of roadway facilities that fall below the target threshold for each evaluation metric is presented in the next section for walking, cycling, and transit, respectively.

5.2 Pedestrian Deficiencies

Intersections and roadway segments that received a "Low" PEQE rating are summarized in **Table 5-2** and **Table 5-3**, respectively. As shown, a total of 9 segments are deficient along one or both sides of the roadway. Similarly, a total of 62 intersections are deficient along one or more leg.



No.	Roadway	From	То	Side of Roadway
1	Linda Vista Rd	Study Area Boundary	Stalmer St	East
2	Genesee Ave	Osler St	Whitney St	Both
3	Genesee Ave	Whitney St	Linda Vista Rd	Both
4	Genesee Ave	Linda Vista Rd	Richland St	North
5	Genesee Ave	Richland St	SR-163 SB On-Ramp (EB Approach)	Both
6	Genesee Ave	SR-163 SB On-Ramp (EB Approach)	SR-163 SB Off-Ramp	Both
7	Genesee Ave	SR-163 SB Off-Ramp	SR-163 SB On-Ramp (WB Approach)	Both
8	West Morena Blvd	Tecolote Rd Under-Cross	Vega St	East
9	Tecolote Rd	I-5 NB Ramps	Morena Blvd	Both
			Source: Chen Ryan Associate	s. August 2016

Table 5-2 Deficient PEQE Roadway Segments

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; August 2016

	PEQE Rating				
No.	Intersection	North Leg	South Leg	East Leg	West Leg
1	Linda Vista Road and Stalmer Street	N/A	Low	Low	Low
2	Linda Vista Road and Markham Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	Low
3	Linda Vista Road and Family Circle	N/A	N/A	Low	N/A
4	Linda Vista Road and Korink Avenue	N/A	N/A	Low	Low
5	Linda Vista Road and Wheatley Street	Low	Low	Low	Low
6	Linda Vista Road and Korink Avenue	N/A	N/A	Low	Low
7	Linda Vista Road and Genesee Avenue	Low	Low	Low	Low
8	Linda Vista Road and Levant Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	Low
9	Linda Vista Road and Fulton Street	Medium	Low	Low	Low
10	Linda Vista Road and Tait Street	Low	Medium	Medium	Low
11	Linda Vista Road and Northrim Court	N/A	N/A	Low	N/A
12	Linda Vista Road and Goshen Street	N/A	Low	N/A	N/A
13	Linda Vista Road and Brunner Street	Low	Low	N/A	N/A
14	Linda Vista Road and Colusa Street	N/A	Low	N/A	N/A
15	Linda Vista Road and Marian Way	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low
16	Linda Vista Road and Mollie Street	N/A	Low	N/A	N/A
17	Linda Vista Road and Metro Street	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A
18	Mesa College Drive and Ashford Street	Low	N/A	N/A	Low
19	Mesa College Drive and Komet Way	N/A	Low	N/A	N/A

Table 5-3 Deficient PEQE Intersections



No		PEQE Rating				
No.	Intersection	North	South	East	West	
	Mesa College Drive and SR-163 SB	Leg	Leg	Leg	Leg	
20	On-Ramp (WB)	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Genesee Avenue and Whitney			N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	
21	Street	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	Genesee Avenue and Richland Street	N/A	Low	Low	Low	
23	Genesee Avenue and I-805 SB On- Ramp (EB)	N/A	Low	N/A	N/A	
24	Genesee Avenue and I-805 SB Off- Ramp	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	
25	Osler Street and Preece Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
26	Osler Street and Nye Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
27	Osler Street and Comstock Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
28	Osler Street and Ulric Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
29	Ulric Street and Zane Court	Low	Low	N/A	Low	
30			-		_	
	Ulric Street and Waterman Court	Low	Low	N/A	Low	
31	Ulric Street and Upton Court	Low	Low	N/A	Low	
32	Ulric Street and Savage Court	Low	Low	N/A	Low	
33	Ulric Street and Fulton Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
34	Ulric Street and Jewett Street/Eastman Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
35	Ulric Street and Morley Street	N/A	Low	Low	Low	
36	Ulric Street and Dunlop Street	Low	Low	Low	N/A	
37	Ulric Street and Burroughs Street	Low	Low	Low	N/A	
38	Ulric Street and Comstock Street	Low	Low	N/A	Low	
39	Ulric Street and Tait Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
40	Comstock Street and Comstock Court	Low	Low	Low	N/A	
41	Comstock Street and Valjean Court	Low	Low	Low	N/A	
42	Comstock Street and Thomson Court	Low	Low	Low	N/A	
43	Comstock Street and Roeblin Court	Low	Low	Low	N/A	
44	Comstock Street and Fulton Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
		N/A		Low	_	
45	Comstock Street and Gifford Way Comstock Street and Morley	N/A	Low	LOW	Low	
46	Street/Kelly Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
47	Fulton Street and Levant Street	Low	N/A	Low	Low	
48	Fulton Street and Eastman Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
49	Kelly Street and Drescher Street	N/A	Low	Low	Low	
50	Tait Street and Westinghouse Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
51	Tait Street and Abbe Street	N/A	Low	Low	Low	
52	Tait Street and Burroughs Street	Low	Low	Low	Low	
53	Burroughs Street and Westinghouse Street	N/A	Low	Low	Low	

 Table 5-3
 Deficient PEQE Intersections



		PEQE Rating			
No.	Intersection	North Leg	South Leg	East Leg	West Leg
54	Napa Street and Gaines Street	N/A	N/A	Low	N/A
55	Napa Street and Friars Road	Medium	N/A	Low	N/A
56	Morena Boulevard and Viola Street	N/A	N/A	Low	N/A
57	Morena Boulevard and Savannah Street	N/A	N/A	N/A	Low
58	Morena Boulevard and Naples Street/Dorcas Street	N/A	N/A	Low	Low
59	Morena Boulevard and Buenos Avenue	Medium	Low	Low	Low
60	Morena Boulevard and Morena Place	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A
61	Morena Boulevard and Cushman Avenue	N/A	N/A	Low	N/A
62	West Morena Boulevard and Buenos Ave	Low	Low	Low	N/A
63	I-5 NB Ramps and Tecolote Road	Low	Low	Low	Low

 Table 5-3
 Deficient PEQE Intersections

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; August 2016



5.3 Bicycle Deficiencies

Roadway segments that received a rating of LTS 4 are presented in **Table 5-4**. Nine segments were found to be deficient within the Study Area, primarily along large, heavily travelled roadways.

		Table 5-4	Deficient LTS Roadway Segments
No.	Roadway		Segment
1	Mesa College Dr		All segments within community boundary
2	Genesee Ave		All segments within community boundary
3	Linda Vista Rd		I-805 to Wheatley St
4	Linda Vista Rd		Comstock St to Morena Blvd
5	Ulric St		David St to Friars Rd
6	Via Las Cumbres		Camino Costanero to Friars Rd
7	W. Morena Blvd		Tecolote Rd to Morena Blvd
8	W. Morena Blvd	Friars Road	Over-Cross to approximately 300 feet north of Friars Road Over-Cross
9	Tecolote Rd		I-5 to Morena Blvd
			Courses Chan Duan Associates: August 2010

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; August 2016

In addition to deficient segments, certain roadway network locations adjacent to major intersections received an LTS 4 rating. Although LTS is primarily a segment-specific analysis, an intersection's impact on traffic stress is considered when one or more legs are unsignalized. **Table 5-5** summarizes these 10 additional deficient locations. As shown, roadway network features adjacent to side-street stop controlled intersections along Linda Vista Road, Friars Road, and Ulric Street are ranked with an LTS 4 rating.

Iable	J-J Dencient			
No.	Roadway	Cross Street		
1	Metro St	Linda Vista Rd		
2	Josephine St	Linda Vista Rd		
3	Brunner St	Linda Vista Rd		
4	Goshen St	Linda Vista Rd		
5	Northrim Ct	Linda Vista Rd		
6	Linbrook Dr	Ulric St		
7	Donahue St	Friars Rd		
8	Fresno St	Friars Rd		
9	Goshen St	Friars Rd		
10	Gaines St	Friars Rd		

Table 5-5 Deficient LTS Intersections

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; August 2016



5.4 Transit Deficiencies

Table 5-6 summarizes the 11 transit stops within the Study Area that currently lack one or more amenities required by MTS' 1993 *Design for Transit Manual*, based on stop-specific ridership level. As shown, a lack of ADA compliance is the most common deficiency.

No.	Stop ID	Intersection	Direction of Travel	Far Side / Near Side	Deficiency(ies)
1	11230	Genesee Av / Linda Vista Rd	N/B	F	Shelter, Route Map, Lighting
2	11611	Comstock St / Langmuir St	S/B	F	ADA Compliance
3	11617	Genesee Av / Park Mesa Way	S/B	Mid-Block	ADA Compliance
4	11978	Comstock St / Osler St	S/B	F	ADA Compliance
5	11979	Comstock St / Valjean Ct	S/B	F	ADA Compliance
6	12046	Linda Vista Rd / Mesa College Dr	S/B	F	Lighting
7	12362	Linda Vista Rd / Napa St	E/B	Ν	Shelter, Route Map, Lighting
8	12392	Comstock St / Fulton St	N/B	Ν	ADA Compliance
9	12394	Genesee Av / Osler St	W/B	Ν	ADA Compliance
10	12732	Linda Vista Rd / Ulric St	N/B	F	Seating
11	13389	Friars Rd / Avenida De Las Tiendas	W/B	F	ADA Compliance

Table 5-6Deficient Transit Stops

Source: MTS Design for Transit Manual (1993), Chen Ryan Associates; August 2016

In summary, the deficiencies identified in the study set the stage for defining a set of project study areas – both roadway segments and intersections – that will become a focal point of near-terms implementation. The selection of these project study areas will also incorporate considerations of other factors such as the following:

- Locations receiving comments for needing improvement during the public outreach process,
- Locations adjacent to schools (also including the University of San Diego),
- Locations adjacent to parks, and
- Locations adjacent to freeways where high speed transitions and other pedestrian and bicycle conflicts occur.

